Spectrally isometric elementary operators

Published in:
Studia Mathematica

Document Version:
Peer reviewed version

Queen's University Belfast - Research Portal:
[Link to publication record in Queen's University Belfast Research Portal](#)

SPECTRALLY ISOMETRIC ELEMENTARY OPERATORS

MARTIN MATHIEU AND MATTHEW YOUNG

Dedicated to Professor Richard V. Kadison on the occasion of his 90th birthday.

ABSTRACT. We present criteria for unital elementary operators (of small length) on unital semisimple Banach algebras to be spectral isometries. The surjective ones among them turn out to be algebra automorphisms.

1. INTRODUCTION

The study of isometries on Banach spaces is a vast and active area of research. See, for example, the proceedings volume [12] for some recent developments. Many of the results describe (linear, surjective) isometries between certain types of spaces in considerable detail, often one discovers a high degree of compatibility with algebraic or order-theoretic structure. For our purposes, we highlight Kadison's theorem [13] which states that, when $T\colon A \to B$ is a surjective linear isometry between two unital C*-algebras A and B, then $T1$ is a unitary in B and the mapping $x \mapsto (T1)^{-1}Tx$, $x \in A$ is a Jordan *-isomorphism (that is, it preserves selfadjoint elements and squares). A fortiori, if $T1 = 1$ (that is, T is *unital*), T preserves invertibility of elements in both directions; hence the spectrum $\sigma(x)$ of each $x \in A$ agrees with $\sigma(Tx)$ and thus the spectral radius $r(x)$ remains unaltered. We will refer to a linear mapping T with the property $r(Tx) = r(x)$ for all x in the domain of T as a *spectral isometry*.

It has been an open question for some time, see [16] and [14], whether the following non-selfadjoint version of Kadison's theorem holds: Every unital surjective spectral isometry between unital C*-algebras is a Jordan isomorphism. (It is a fact that a unital surjective linear mapping is an isometry if and only if it is a selfadjoint spectral isometry.) As it stands, this conjecture is still open though there has been substantial progress towards it, see, e.g., [1], [8], [15], [18] and the references contained therein. The present paper

aims to contribute to these studies but rather than putting additional conditions on the algebras involved, we investigate special spectral isometries on arbitrary semisimple Banach algebras, that is, we put the constraints on the operators.

Let A be a complex, unital Banach algebra. A linear mapping $S\colon A \to A$ is said to be an *elementary operator* if there exist $a_1, \ldots, a_n, b_1, \ldots, b_n \in A$ such that $Sx = \sum_{j=1}^n a_j x b_j$ for all $x \in A$. As such a representation of S is far from unique, we define the *length $\ell(S)$ of S* as follows. For $a, b \in A$, let $M_{a,b}$ stand for the two-sided multiplication $x \mapsto axb$. If $S = 0$ then $\ell(S) = 0$. If $S \neq 0$ then $\ell(S)$ is the smallest $n \in \mathbb{N}$ such that S can be written as a sum of n two-sided multiplications. We shall denote the algebra of all elementary operators on A by $\mathscr{E}\ell(A)$ and the space of all elementary operators of length at most n by $\mathscr{E}\ell_n(A)$.

Elementary operators on Banach algebras have been studied under a variety of aspects for many decades. Recent interest in elementary operators on C*-algebras has been sparked by the fact that the completely positive ones describe the quantum channels in Quantum Information Theory. Several newer investigations have been compiled in [10]. Elementary operators that are *spectrally bounded*, that is, $r(Sx) \leq M\, r(x)$ for some $M \geq 0$ and all $x \in A$, are investigated in [4] and [6], extending earlier work in [9], for instance. General spectrally bounded operators do not allow for a detailed structure theory; for example, *every* bounded linear operator from a commutative C*-algebra is spectrally bounded. Nevertheless, there are some surprisingly strong structural results; once again we refer to [15] for details and references. Our aim in this paper is to determine when elementary operators are spectrally isometric; this problem does not seem to have been attacked so far.

Suppose $S = \sum_{j=1}^n M_{a_j,b_j}$ for n-tuples $\boldsymbol{a} = (a_1, \ldots, a_n)$, $\boldsymbol{b} = (b_1, \ldots, b_n) \in A^n$. We will abbreviate this fact by $S = S_{\boldsymbol{a},\boldsymbol{b}}$ whenever convenient. With this notation, the two questions we pursue in the following are

(i) Suppose $S = S_{\boldsymbol{a},\boldsymbol{b}}$; which conditions on $\boldsymbol{a}$ and $\boldsymbol{b}$ ensure that S is a spectral isometry?

(ii) Suppose S is a spectral isometry; can we represent $S = S_{\boldsymbol{a},\boldsymbol{b}}$ with "nice" properties of $\boldsymbol{a}$ and $\boldsymbol{b}$?

Throughout we will shall make the assumption that S is unital ($S1 = 1$) and at times that S is surjective, too. The main results of this paper are Theorems 4.2 and 4.4 which, somewhat surprisingly, state that conditions on $\boldsymbol{a}$ and $\boldsymbol{b}$ which imply that $S = S_{\boldsymbol{a},\boldsymbol{b}}$ is spectrally bounded together with

the assumption that S is unital already entail that S is a spectral isometry. If, moreover, S is surjective, it turns out to be an inner automorphism. In Corollary 4.6 we prove that every unital surjective spectrally isometric $S \in \mathcal{El}_3(A)$ on a C*-algebra A is an automorphism. On the other hand, we provide an example (Example 4.7) of a non-surjective spectrally isometric unital elementary operator of length three which is not a Jordan homomorphism.

2. PRELIMINARIES

In the following A and B will denote unital Banach algebras over the complex numbers $\mathbb{C}$. We let $\mathrm{rad}(A)$ stand for the Jacobson radical of the algebra A while $Z(A)$ denotes its centre.

The following basic properties of spectral isometries are by now standard; see, e.g., [16], [18].

Lemma 2.1. *Let* $T \colon A \to B$ *be a surjective spectral isometry. Then* $T\mathrm{rad}(A) = \mathrm{rad}(B)$.

As a result, if A is semisimple (i.e., $\mathrm{rad}(A) = 0$) then B is semisimple, and we can without loss of generality always assume that our algebras are semisimple (in order to avoid formulating the results "modulo the radical").

Lemma 2.2. *Let* $T \colon A \to B$ *be a spectral isometry where A is semisimple. Then T is injective.*

Consequently, in this case, a surjective spectral isometry has an inverse which is also a spectral isometry. Moreover, such a mapping is a linear topological isomorphism by [2, Theorem 5.5.2].

Lemma 2.3. *Let* $T \colon A \to B$ *be a surjective spectral isometry where A is semisimple. Then $TZ(A) = Z(B)$.*

This result has a number of very neat applications. Since $Z(A)$ is a commutative semisimple Banach algebra whenever A is a semisimple Banach algebra, we can apply Gelfand theory to it. As norm and spectral radius coincide for continuous functions on a compact Hausdorff space, a spectral isometry turns into an isometry (with respect to the spectral norm) when restricted to the centres. Thus one can apply the very rich theory of isometries which has been successfully exploited in [19]. While it is difficult to control the behaviour of $T1$ when T is just a spectrally bounded operator (see the discussion in [15]), if T is a surjective spectral isometry, then $T1$

is central and $\sigma(T1)$ is always contained in the unit circle $\mathbb{T}$ ([19, Proposition 2.3]). By the afore-mentioned method, this follows immediately from a description of non-unital isometries on subalgebras of algebras of continuous functions due to deLeeuw–Rudin–Wermer ([11, Corollary 2.3.16]). Replacing T by $x \mapsto (T1)^{-1}Tx$, $x \in A$, if necessary, we can henceforth assume that our spectral isometries are unital. This will turn out to be an important simplification.

Before we move on to our main theme, we shall illustrate our techniques by an example of an isometric elementary operator.

Example 2.4. Let $A \subseteq B(H)$ be a unital C*-algebra acting faithfully on a Hilbert space H. Let s_1, s_2 be two isometries in A satisfying $s_1 s_1^* + s_2 s_2^* = 1$ (wherefore they have orthogonal ranges). Let $S \in \mathscr{El}(A)$ be defined by $S = M_{s_1,s_1^*} + M_{s_2,s_2^*}$. Then S is unital and completely positive. Moreover, S is isometric, multiplicative and not surjective. The quickest way to check the isometric property probably is by observing that

$$\left\| \begin{pmatrix} s_1 & s_2 \\ 0 & 0 \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} x & 0 \\ 0 & x \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} s_1^* & 0 \\ s_2^* & 0 \end{pmatrix} \right\|^2$$

$$= \left\| \begin{pmatrix} s_1 & s_2 \\ 0 & 0 \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} x^* & 0 \\ 0 & x^* \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} s_1^* & 0 \\ s_2^* & 0 \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} s_1 & s_2 \\ 0 & 0 \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} x & 0 \\ 0 & x \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} s_1^* & 0 \\ s_2^* & 0 \end{pmatrix} \right\|$$

$$= \left\| \begin{pmatrix} s_1 & s_2 \\ 0 & 0 \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} x^*x & 0 \\ 0 & x^*x \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} s_1^* & 0 \\ s_2^* & 0 \end{pmatrix} \right\|$$

$$= \left\| \begin{pmatrix} x & 0 \\ 0 & x \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} s_1^* & 0 \\ s_2^* & 0 \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} s_1 & s_2 \\ 0 & 0 \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} x^* & 0 \\ 0 & x^* \end{pmatrix} \right\|$$

$$= \left\| \begin{pmatrix} x^*x & 0 \\ 0 & x^*x \end{pmatrix} \right\| = \left\| \begin{pmatrix} x & 0 \\ 0 & x \end{pmatrix} \right\|^2,$$

since $\begin{pmatrix} s_1^* & 0 \\ s_2^* & 0 \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} s_1 & s_2 \\ 0 & 0 \end{pmatrix} = \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 0 \\ 0 & 1 \end{pmatrix}$, and that

$$\|Sx\| = \left\| \begin{pmatrix} Sx & 0 \\ 0 & 0 \end{pmatrix} \right\| = \left\| \begin{pmatrix} s_1 x s_1^* + s_2 x s_2^* & 0 \\ 0 & 0 \end{pmatrix} \right\|$$

$$= \left\| \begin{pmatrix} s_1 & s_2 \\ 0 & 0 \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} x & 0 \\ 0 & x \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} s_1^* & 0 \\ s_2^* & 0 \end{pmatrix} \right\|.$$

Let $x, y \in A$. Then

$$(Sx)(Sy) = (s_1 x s_1^* + s_2 x s_2^*)(s_1 y s_1^* + s_2 y s_2^*)$$

$$= s_1 x s_1^* s_1 y s_1^* + s_2 x s_2^* s_1 y s_1^* + s_1 x s_1^* s_2 y s_2^* + s_2 x s_2^* s_2 y s_2^*$$

$$= s_1 x s_1^* s_1 y s_1^* + s_2 x s_2^* s_2 y s_2^* = S(xy)$$

so that S is multiplicative.

Finally, suppose S is surjective and thus $s_1 x s_1^* + s_2 x s_2^* = s_1$ for some $x \in A$. Then $x = s_2^* s_2 x s_2^* s_2 = s_2^* s_1 s_2^* = 0$ which is impossible.

Similar arguments will be used regularly in the next two sections, with the norm replaced by the spectral radius.

3. Spectrally bounded elementary operators

Let A be a semisimple unital Banach algebra. The recent papers [4]–[6] by Boudi and Mathieu contain necessary and sufficient conditions for an elementary operator S on A to be spectrally bounded; some restrictions on the length of S had to be imposed too. We shall recall some of these results below as we will need them in the discussion on spectral isometries in the next section. However, we will throughout restrict our attention to the unital case, that is, we assume that $S1 = 1$. This is justified by the properties of surjective spectral isometries as explained in the previous section and the fact that $x \mapsto uSx$, $x \in A$ is another elementary operator on A for any $u \in A$. We shall make this assumption on S even if S is not surjective (and it will at times help to find out whether S is surjective or not).

To begin with, the simple identity $r(M_{a,b}x) = r(bax) = r(M_{ba,1}x)$ together with Pták's description of spectrally bounded one-sided multiplications ([21], see also [9] for an alternative proof) tells us that $M_{a,b}$ is spectrally bounded if and only if $ba \in Z(A)$. Now if $ab = M_{a,b}1 = 1$ then $ba = baab = abab = 1$ too. As a result, $b = a^{-1}$ and we find that $M_{a,b} = M_{a,a^{-1}}$ is an inner automorphism of A, thus a surjective spectral isometry. In this way, we obtain our first observation.

Proposition 3.1. *Let A be a unital semisimple Banach algebra and let $a, b \in A$. The following conditions are equivalent.*

(a) *$M_{a,b}$ is unital and spectrally bounded;*
(b) *$M_{a,b}$ is a unital spectral isometry;*
(c) *a is invertible with $b = a^{-1}$.*

In each case, $M_{a,b}$ is automatically surjective.

When the length of the elementary operator is bigger than 1, the situation becomes of course more involved. This is due to the different choices for the coefficients representing the same elementary operator we may have. From [6, Corollary 2.6] we immediately obtain the following result.

Proposition 3.2. *Let A be a semisimple unital Banach algebra. Let $S \in \mathcal{E}\ell_n(A)$ be unital. Suppose that $S = S_{\boldsymbol{a},\boldsymbol{b}}$ with $b_i a_i \in Z(A)$ for all $1 \leq i \leq n$ and $b_i a_j = 0$ for all $i < j$. Then S is a spectral contraction, that is, $r(Sx) \leq r(x)$ for all $x \in A$.*

Proof. Note that the different convention "$i < j$" we use here simply amounts to a re-enumeration of the coefficient n-tuples in comparison with [6, Corollary 2.6]. From $\sum_{i=1}^{n} a_i b_i = 1$ we obtain $b_k = \sum_{i=1}^{n} b_k a_i b_i = \sum_{i=1}^{k} b_k a_i b_i$ for each $1 \leq k \leq n$. Hence $b_k a_k = \sum_{i=1}^{k} b_k a_i b_i a_k = (b_k a_k)^2$ so that each $e_k = b_k a_k$ is a central idempotent in A. Moreover, $b_1 = b_1 e_1$ and $a_n = a_n e_n$. In particular, for each $1 \leq i \leq n$, $r(M_{a_i,b_i} x) \leq r(x)$ for all $x \in A$. Following the argument in the proof of [6, Corollary 2.6], see also the end of the proof of [6, Proposition 2.5], we find that $r(Sx) \leq r(x)$ for all $x \in A$. □

It was shown in [4, Proposition 2.3] that $S = M_{a,b} + M_{c,d}$ is spectrally bounded if $ba, dc \in Z(A)$ and $bc = 0$. Note that this condition is however not necessary as $M_{a,1} + M_{1,d}$ is spectrally bounded if and only if $a, d \in Z(A)$ ([9, Theorem B]). Under the assumption that S is unital, we obtain a stronger result.

Corollary 3.3. *Let A be a semisimple unital Banach algebra. Suppose $S = M_{a,b} + M_{c,d}$ is unital and $e = ba$, $f = dc \in Z(A)$ and $bc = 0$. Then S is an injective spectral contraction, and S is surjective if and only if $e + f = 1$. In the latter case, there is an invertible element $w \in A$ such that $S = M_{w,w^{-1}}$.*

Proof. From the above proposition we know that both e and f are central idempotents and that S is a spectral contraction. Moreover, $b = be$ and $c = cf$.

Take $x \in A$ with $axb + cxd = 0$. Then $0 = cxdc = cxf = cx$ and hence $fx = 0$. Substituting this back yields $0 = baxb = exb = xb$ and hence $xe = 0$. From $S1 = 1$ we conclude that $x = (ab + cd)x = abex + cdfx = 0$ and thus S is injective.

Suppose that $e + f = 1$. From $ef = 0$ we obtain $cxb = cfxbe = 0$ for all x and thus, setting $w = ae + c$, it is straightforward to check that w is invertible with inverse $b + fd$. Since

(3.1)
$$
\begin{aligned}
wxw^{-1} &= (ae + c)x(b + fd) = axb + cxb + aexfd + cxfd \\
&= axb + cxd = Sx
\end{aligned}
$$

for all $x \in A$, we find that S is an inner automorphism, in particular surjective.

Suppose that $e + f \neq 1$. Then there must be a primitive ideal P in A such that $e_P + f_P \neq 1_P$ (where $x_P = x + P$ denotes the coset in A/P). As $Z(A/P) = \mathbb{C}1_P$ this implies that $e_P = f_P = 1_P$ ($e_P = f_P = 0$ is ruled out by $S1 = 1$). From

(3.2) $\qquad d_P a_P = d_P(a_P b_P + c_P d_P)a_P = (e_P + f_P)d_P a_P = 2\,d_P a_P$

we obtain $d_P a_P = 0$. If $x \in A$ satisfies $a_P x_P b_P + c_P x_P d_P = a_P$ then $x_P = d_P c_P x_P d_P c_P = d_P a_P c_P = 0$ which is impossible since $e_P = b_P a_P = 1$. Therefore S cannot be surjective. $\qquad\square$

4. Spectrally isometric elementary operators

In Example 2.4 we determined that a certain unital elementary operator of length 2 is isometric and multiplicative while not surjective. Using similar ideas, we will now obtain a more general result for spectral isometries which strengthens Corollary 3.3 above.

We first need to have a look at the behaviour of an elementary operator with respect to primitive quotients. Let A be a semisimple unital Banach algebra and let $P \subseteq A$ be a primitive ideal in A. Let S be an elementary operator on A with $\ell(S) = n > 0$. As $SP \subseteq P$ we obtain an induced elementary operator $S_P \in \mathcal{E}\ell_n(A/P)$ via $S_P x_P = (Sx)_P$, where $x_P = x + P$ denotes the coset of $x \in A$. Clearly, if $S = S_{\boldsymbol{a},\boldsymbol{b}}$ then $S_P = S_{\boldsymbol{a}_P, \boldsymbol{b}_P}$, and S is unital if and only if S_P is unital for every primitive ideal P.

Denote by $\mathrm{Prim}(A)$ the set of all primitive ideals of A. If S_P is spectrally bounded for each $P \in \mathrm{Prim}(A)$, say $r(S_P x_P) \le M_P\, r(x_P)$ for some $M_P \ge 0$ and all $x \in A$, and if $M = \sup_P M_P < \infty$, then S is spectrally bounded with $r(Sx) \le M\, r(x)$ for all $x \in A$. However, assuming that S is spectrally bounded, we cannot conclude that each S_P is spectrally bounded in general.

From Theorem 3.5 in [4], see also [6, Corollary 3.7], we can deduce the following characterisation for spectral boundedness of a unital elementary operator $S \in \mathcal{E}\ell_2(A)$. Note that the exceptional case pointed out in [6, Corollary 3.7] cannot occur if $S1 = 1$.

Lemma 4.1. *Let A be a semisimple unital Banach algebra. Let $S \in \mathcal{E}\ell_2(A)$ be unital. Then S is spectrally bounded if and only if, for each $P \in \mathrm{Prim}(A)$, there exist $a_P, b_P, c_P, d_P \in A/P$ such that $S_P = M_{a_P, b_P} + M_{c_P, d_P}$ and $e_P = b_P a_P$, $f_P = d_P c_P$ are central idempotents in A/P and $b_P c_P = 0$. In particular, S is a spectral contraction.*

Proof. By Corollary 3.3, the conditions on the coefficients imply that each S_P is a spectral contraction. Hence so is S which proves the "if"-part.

To obtain the "only if"-part suppose that $S = M_{u,v} + M_{s,t}$ for some $u, v, s, t \in A$ is unital and spectrally bounded. Let $P \in \mathrm{Prim}(A)$. By [4, Theorem 3.5], there is $\beta_P \in \mathbb{C}$ such that

$$(v_P + \beta_P t_P)u_P \in \mathbb{C}1_P \quad \text{and} \quad t_P(s_P - \beta_P u_P) \in \mathbb{C}1_P$$

and either $(v_P + \beta_P t_P)(s_P - \beta_P u_P) = 0$ or $\beta_P = 0$ and $t_P u_P = 0$. In the first case, we put $b_P = v_P + \beta_P t_P$, $a_P = u_P$, $c_P = s_P - \beta_P u_P$ and $d_P = t_P$. Then

$$M_{a_P,b_P} + M_{c_P,d_P} = M_{u_P,v_P+\beta_P t_P} + M_{s_P-\beta_P u_P,t_P} = M_{u_P,v_P} + M_{s_P,t_P} = S_P$$

and $b_P c_P = 0$. From $S_P 1_P = 1_P$ it follows as in Corollary 3.3 that $e_P = b_P a_P$ and $f_P = d_P c_P$ are central idempotents in A/P.

In the second case, put $a_P = s_P$, $b_P = t_P$, $c_P = u_P$ and $d_P = v_P$. Clearly, $S_P = M_{a_P,b_P} + M_{c_P,d_P}$ and the other conditions are satisfied as well.

In either case, each S_P, $P \in \mathrm{Prim}(A)$ is a spectral contraction, so S is too. $\qquad\square$

Theorem 4.2. *Let A be a semisimple unital Banach algebra. Suppose $S \in \mathcal{E}l_2(A)$ is unital. The following conditions are equivalent.*

(a) *S is spectrally bounded;*
(b) *S is spectrally isometric;*
(c) *S is multiplicative.*

Proof. If S is multiplicative and unital then $\sigma(Sx) \subseteq \sigma(x)$ for all $x \in A$; hence S is a spectral contraction which proves (c) $\Rightarrow$ (a). Evidently (b) $\Rightarrow$ (a). We now show (a) $\Rightarrow$ (b) and (a) $\Rightarrow$ (c) simultaneously.

Let $P \in \mathrm{Prim}(A)$ and choose $a_P, b_P, c_P, d_P \in A/P$ such that $S_P = M_{a_P,b_P} + M_{c_P,d_P}$ and the other conditions in Lemma 4.1 are satisfied. As $e_P = b_P a_P$ and $f_P = d_P c_P$ are central idempotents in A/P they can only be either 0 or 1_P. We distinguish the following four cases.

Case 1: $e_P = f_P = 0$. From $a_P b_P + c_P d_P = 1_P$ we obtain $c_P d_P c_P = c_P$ and $b_P a_P b_P = b_P$, that is,

(4.1) $c_P f_P = c_P$ and $b_P e_P = b_P$.

In the case under consideration this would imply $c_P = b_P = 0$ which contradicts $S_P 1_P = 1_P$; so this case cannot occur.

Case 2: $e_P = 1$, $f_P = 0$. From (4.1) we obtain $S_P = M_{a_P,b_P}$ which is a spectral isometry as $b_P a_P = 1_P$. In fact, $b_P = a_P^{-1}$, cf. Proposition 3.1, hence S_P is an inner automorphism in this case.

Case 3: $e_P = 0$, $f_P = 1$. This case is treated analogously to the previous one, and $S_P = M_{c_P,c_P^{-1}}$.

Case 4: $e_P = f_P = 1$. From (3.2) we get $d_P a_P = 0$ and a straightforward computation shows that S_P is multiplicative in this case. Moreover,

$$
\begin{aligned}
r(S_P x_P) &= r\left(\begin{pmatrix} S_P x_P & 0 \\ 0 & 0 \end{pmatrix}\right) \\
&= r\left(\begin{pmatrix} a_P & c_P \\ 0 & 0 \end{pmatrix}\begin{pmatrix} x_P & 0 \\ 0 & x_P \end{pmatrix}\begin{pmatrix} b_P & 0 \\ d_P & 0 \end{pmatrix}\right) \\
&= r\left(\begin{pmatrix} b_P & 0 \\ d_P & 0 \end{pmatrix}\begin{pmatrix} a_P & c_P \\ 0 & 0 \end{pmatrix}\begin{pmatrix} x_P & 0 \\ 0 & x_P \end{pmatrix}\right) \\
&= r\left(\begin{pmatrix} b_P a_P & 0 \\ 0 & d_P c_P \end{pmatrix}\begin{pmatrix} x_P & 0 \\ 0 & x_P \end{pmatrix}\right) = r\left(\begin{pmatrix} x_P & 0 \\ 0 & x_P \end{pmatrix}\right) \\
&= r(x_P)
\end{aligned}
$$

for all $x \in A$.

We conclude that S_P is a spectral isometry in each case and therefore,

$$
r(Sx) = \sup_P r(S_P x_P) = \sup_P r(x_P) = r(x)
$$

for all x. The fact that S_P is multiplicative for all P completes the argument. $\qquad\square$

Note that Theorem 4.2 entails in particular that a unital spectrally bounded elementary operator of length at most two is injective. To determine when such an operator is surjective we employ a similar criterion as in Corollary 3.3; however, since we do not have a global condition on the coefficients, the argument is slightly more involved.

Following the notation used in [6], for $S \in \mathcal{El}_n(A)$, $S = S_{\boldsymbol{a},\boldsymbol{b}}$, we write S^* for the elementary operator $S^* = S_{\boldsymbol{b},\boldsymbol{a}}$.

Proposition 4.3. *Let A be a semisimple unital Banach algebra. Suppose $S \in \mathcal{El}_2(A)$ is unital and spectrally bounded. Then S is surjective if and only if $S^*1 = 1$.*

Proof. We first note the following. If $S = M_{u,v} + M_{s,t}$ with $u, v, s, t \in A$ then $S^* = M_{v,u} + M_{t,s}$ and therefore

$$
(S^*)_P = M_{v_P, u_P} + M_{t_P, s_P} = (S_P)^*;
$$

thus it is legitimate to simply write S_P^*. As A is semisimple, $S^*1 = 1$ if and only if $S_P^*1_P = 1_P$ for all $P \in \mathrm{Prim}(A)$. Continuing to use the notation in the proof of Lemma 4.1,

$$
S_P^*1_P = v_P u_P + t_P s_P = b_P a_P + d_P c_P = e_P + f_P
$$

with $b_P c_P = 0$ and $b_P e_P = b_P$ (where $e_P = b_P a_P$) and $c_P f_P = c_P$ (where $f_P = d_P c_P$) whichever case for $P \in \mathrm{Prim}(A)$ occurs in this lemma.

Suppose now that $S^*1 \neq 1$. Pick $P \in \mathrm{Prim}(A)$ such that $S_P^*1_P \neq 1_P$ and write $S_P = M_{a_P,b_P} + M_{c_P,d_P}$ in a representation as in Lemma 4.1. Then $e_P + f_P = S_P^*1_P \neq 1_P$ and, since both e_P, f_P are central idempotents in A/P, we must have $e_P = f_P = 1$. It follows from (3.2) and the subsequent argument that $d_P a_P = 0$ and S_P is not surjective. As a result, S is not surjective.

Assuming on the other hand that $S^*1 = 1$, which means $e_P + f_P = S_P^*1_P = 1_P$ for all $P \in \mathrm{Prim}(A)$, we can follow the argument in the proof of Corollary 3.3 to show that S_P is an inner automorphism of A/P in this case. Indeed, setting $w_P = a_P e_P + c_P$ we find that w_P is invertible with inverse $b_P + f_P d_P$, because $e_P f_P = 0$. The same calculation as in identity (3.1) entails that $S_P = M_{w_P,w_P^{-1}}$.

Define $T\colon A \to A$ by $(Tx)_P = M_{w_P^{-1},w_P}x_P$ for $x \in A$. Since A is semisimple, it is easily verified that T is well defined and that $TS = ST = \mathrm{id}_A$. Consequently, $T = S^{-1}$, in particular, S is surjective. $\square$

Next we extend this condition for surjectivity to elementary operators of arbitrary length. However, in the absence of an if-and-only-if condition for spectral boundedness we have to use the slightly stronger assumptions of Proposition 3.2 instead which in fact implies that the operator is spectrally isometric, thus extending Corollary 3.3 and part of Theorem 4.2.

Theorem 4.4. *Let A be a semisimple unital Banach algebra. Let $S \in \mathcal{E}\ell_n(A)$ be unital. Suppose that $S = S_{\boldsymbol{a},\boldsymbol{b}}$ with $e_i = b_i a_i \in Z(A)$ for all $1 \leq i \leq n$ and $b_i a_j = 0$ for all $i < j$. Then S is a spectral isometry. Moreover, the following are equivalent.*

(a) *S is surjective;*
(b) *$\sum_{i=1}^n e_i = 1$;*
(c) *$S = M_{w,w^{-1}}$ for an invertible element $w \in A$.*

Proof. First recall from the proof of Proposition 3.2 that each $e_i = b_i a_i$ is a central idempotent in A and that $b_1 = b_1 e_1$, $a_n = a_n e_n$. We shall obtain more complicated relations for the other coefficients of S below.

By Proposition 3.2, $r(Sx) \leq r(x)$ for all $x \in A$ so it suffices to show that $r(Sx) \geq r(x^P)$ for all $x \in A$ and $P \in \mathrm{Prim}(A)$ (where we now changed the notation to $x^P = x + P$ in order to avoid the conflict with subscripts). We accomplish this by induction on n. The cases $n = 1$ and $n = 2$ are Proposition 3.1 and Theorem 4.2, respectively. Thus suppose that $S = \sum_{j=1}^{n+1} M_{a_j,b_j}$ with $n \geq 2$ and $e_i = b_i a_i \in Z(A)$ and $b_i a_j = 0$ for all $1 \leq i < j \leq n+1$ and that the statement holds for elementary operators of length at most n. Let

$\boldsymbol{a} = (a_1, \ldots, a_{n+1})$, $\boldsymbol{b} = (b_1, \ldots, b_{n+1})$ and $\boldsymbol{x} = \mathrm{diag}(x, \ldots, x)$. Then, with $\boldsymbol{b}^t$ denoting the obvious column, we have

$$Sx = \boldsymbol{a}\boldsymbol{x}\boldsymbol{b}^t$$

and hence

$$r(Sx) = r(\boldsymbol{b}^t\boldsymbol{a}\boldsymbol{x})$$

$$= r\left(\begin{pmatrix} b_1a_1 & 0 & \ldots & 0 \\ b_2a_1 & b_2a_2 & \ldots & 0 \\ \vdots & \vdots & & \vdots \\ b_{n+1}a_1 & b_{n+1}a_2 & \ldots & b_{n+1}a_{n+1} \end{pmatrix}\begin{pmatrix} x & 0 & \ldots & 0 \\ 0 & x & \ldots & 0 \\ \vdots & & \ddots & \vdots \\ 0 & 0 & \ldots & x \end{pmatrix}\right).$$

Applying the same reasoning in the primitive quotient A/P we have

$$r(S_Px^P) = r\left(\begin{pmatrix} e_1^P & 0 & \ldots & 0 \\ (b_2a_1)^P & e_2^P & \ldots & 0 \\ \vdots & \vdots & & \vdots \\ (b_{n+1}a_1)^P & (b_{n+1}a_2)^P & \ldots & e_{n+1}^P \end{pmatrix}\begin{pmatrix} x^P & 0 & \ldots & 0 \\ 0 & x^P & \ldots & 0 \\ \vdots & & \ddots & \vdots \\ 0 & 0 & \ldots & x^P \end{pmatrix}\right),$$

where $e_i^P \in \{0, 1^P\}$, $1 \le i \le n+1$. If $e_{n+1}^P = 0$ then $a_{n+1}^P = a_{n+1}^P e_{n+1}^P = 0$ and therefore $\ell(S_P) \le n$ so that we can apply the induction hypothesis to get $r(Sx) \ge r(x^P)$. Otherwise, for $\lambda \in \mathbb{C}$ and $y \in A$,

$$\left(\lambda - \begin{pmatrix} e_1^P & 0 & \ldots & 0 \\ (b_2a_1)^P & e_2^P & \ldots & 0 \\ \vdots & \vdots & \ddots & \vdots \\ (b_{n+1}a_1)^P & (b_{n+1}a_2)^P & \ldots & 1^P \end{pmatrix}\begin{pmatrix} x^P & 0 & \ldots & 0 \\ 0 & x^P & \ldots & 0 \\ \vdots & & \ddots & \vdots \\ 0 & 0 & \ldots & x^P \end{pmatrix}\right)\begin{pmatrix} 0 \\ 0 \\ \vdots \\ y^P \end{pmatrix}$$

$$= \begin{pmatrix} \lambda - e_1^P x^P & 0 & \ldots & 0 \\ -(b_2a_1)^P x^P & \lambda - e_2^P x^P & \ldots & 0 \\ \vdots & \vdots & \ddots & \vdots \\ -(b_{n+1}a_1)^P x^P & -(b_{n+1}a_2)^P x^P & \ldots & \lambda - x^P \end{pmatrix}\begin{pmatrix} 0 \\ 0 \\ \vdots \\ y^P \end{pmatrix}$$

$$= \begin{pmatrix} 0 \\ 0 \\ \vdots \\ (\lambda - x^P)y^P \end{pmatrix}.$$

Let $\lambda \in \sigma(x^P)$ be such that $|\lambda| = r(x^P)$. Then λ belongs to the left approximate point spectrum of x_P and thus we can take a sequence $(y_n^P)_{n \in \mathbb{N}}$ of unit elements in A/P with the property $(\lambda - x^P)y_n^P \to 0$ $(n \to \infty)$. The above calculations show that $r(S_Px^P) \ge |\lambda| = r(x^P)$.

Since this argument yields that $r(Sx) \ge r(x_P)$ for every primitive ideal P, we conclude that S is a spectral isometry.

Turning to the equivalence of the three conditions listed, evidently (c) $\Rightarrow$ (a). In order to establish (a) $\Rightarrow$ (b), let us suppose that $\sum_{i=1}^n e_i \ne 1$. Then there is $P \in \mathrm{Prim}(A)$ such that $\sum_{i=1}^n e_i^P \ne 1^P$. As $Z(A/P) = \mathbb{C}1^P$ this

entails that there are $k, \ell \in \{1, \ldots, n\}$, $k < \ell$ such that $e_k^P = e_\ell^P = 1^P$. (It is easy to verify that the assumption $S1 = 1$ rules out the possibility that all $e_i^P = 0$, $1 \le i \le n$.) Take $x \in A$. Upon multiplying the identity

$$S_P x^P = \sum_{j=1}^n a_j^P x^P b_j^P$$

first on the left by b_k^P and then on the right by a_k^P and noting that $b_i^P a_j^P = 0$ for all $i < j$ we obtain in succession

$$b_k^P (S_P x^P) = \sum_{j=1}^{k-1} b_k^P a_j^P x^P b_j^P + b_k^P a_k^P x^P b_k^P,$$

$$b_k^P (S_P x^P) a_k^P = e_k^P x^P e_k^P = x^P.$$

Consequently no $x \in A$ can satisfy $S_P x^P = a_\ell^P$ as the last identity on the left hand side yields $b_k^P a_\ell^P a_k^P = 0$ but $x_P = 0$ is incompatible with $b_\ell^P a_\ell^P = 1^P$. We conclude that S_P cannot be surjective wherefore S cannot be surjective either.

Finally, to show (b) $\Rightarrow$ (c) we note first that $\sum_{i=1}^n e_i = 1$ implies that all e_i's are mutually orthogonal (which follows at once from the fact that $Z(A)$ is a commutative semisimple Banach algebra or purely algebraically). Consequently, any sum of the form $\sum_{i \in I} \sum_{j \in J} e_i e_j$ with $I, J \subseteq \{1, \ldots, n\}$ reduces to $\sum_{k \in I \cap J} e_k$ (which we interpret as 0 if $I \cap J = \emptyset$). This fact will be used repeatedly in the sequel.

From $S1 = \sum_{j=1}^n a_j b_j = 1$ we obtain

$$(4.2) \qquad b_k = b_k \sum_{j=1}^n a_j b_j = b_k \left(\sum_{j=1}^{k-1} a_j b_j + e_k \right)$$

and

$$(4.3) \qquad a_k = \sum_{j=1}^n a_j b_j a_k = \left(\sum_{j=k+1}^n a_j b_j + e_k \right) a_k$$

for each $1 \le k \le n$. Our next claim is that

$$(4.4) \qquad b_k = b_k \sum_{j=1}^k e_j \quad \text{and} \quad a_k = a_k \sum_{j=k}^n e_j \qquad (1 \le k \le n)$$

which we shall prove by induction and "induction from the top", respectively. We have $b_1 = b_1 e_1$ and thus assume that $b_\ell = b_\ell \sum_{j=1}^\ell e_j$ for all $1 \le \ell < k$. This entails

$$b_\ell = b_\ell \sum_{j=1}^\ell e_j = b_\ell \sum_{j=1}^\ell e_j \sum_{i=1}^k e_i = b_\ell \sum_{i=1}^k e_i.$$

Putting this identity together with (4.2) we find that

$$b_k \sum_{i=1}^{k} e_i = b_k \Big(\sum_{\ell=1}^{k-1} a_\ell b_\ell + e_k \Big) \sum_{i=1}^{k} e_i$$

$$= b_k \Big(\sum_{\ell=1}^{k-1} a_\ell \big(b_\ell \sum_{i=1}^{k} e_i \big) + e_k \Big)$$

$$= b_k \Big(\sum_{\ell=1}^{k-1} a_\ell b_\ell + e_k \Big) = b_k$$

which proves the claim for the b_k. We also know that $a_n = a_n e_n$ and thus assume that $a_\ell = a_\ell \sum_{j=\ell}^{n} e_j$ for all $k < \ell \le n$. It follows that

$$a_\ell = a_\ell \sum_{j=\ell}^{n} e_j = a_\ell \sum_{j=\ell}^{n} e_j \sum_{i=k}^{n} e_i = a_\ell \sum_{i=k}^{n} e_i.$$

Putting this identity together with (4.3) we find that

$$a_k \sum_{i=k}^{n} e_i = \Big(\sum_{\ell=k+1}^{n} a_\ell b_\ell + e_k \Big) a_k \sum_{i=k}^{n} e_i$$

$$= \Big(\sum_{\ell=k+1}^{n} \big(a_\ell \sum_{i=k}^{n} e_i \big) b_\ell + e_k \Big) a_k$$

$$= \Big(\sum_{\ell=k+1}^{n} a_\ell b_\ell + e_k \Big) a_k = a_k$$

proving the second half of our claim.

The identities in (4.4) immediately yield the following information on the M_{a_k, b_k}:

$$(4.5) \qquad M_{a_k, b_k} = \sum_{i=k}^{n} \sum_{j=1}^{k} e_i e_j M_{a_k, b_k} = e_k\, M_{a_k, b_k} \qquad (1 \le k \le n).$$

Put $w = \sum_{k=1}^{n} a_k e_k$ and $v = \sum_{j=1}^{n} b_j e_j$. Then

$$wv = \sum_{k=1}^{n} \sum_{j=1}^{n} e_k e_j a_k b_j = \sum_{k=1}^{n} e_k\, a_k b_k = \sum_{k=1}^{n} a_k b_k = 1$$

and

$$vw = \sum_{j=1}^{n} \sum_{k=1}^{n} e_j e_k b_j a_k = \sum_{j=1}^{n} e_j = 1.$$

Therefore w is invertible with $w^{-1} = v$. Finally, from (4.5),

$$M_{w, w^{-1}} = \sum_{k=1}^{n} \sum_{j=1}^{n} e_k e_j M_{a_k, b_j} = \sum_{k=1}^{n} e_k M_{a_k, b_k} = S$$

which completes the proof. $\qquad\qquad \square$

In the remainder of this paper we shall discuss the state of our knowl-
edge concerning the gap between a full description of spectrally isometric
elementary operators of length (at most) two (Theorem 4.2) and the some-
what more restricted conclusion in the general case (Theorem 4.4).

In contrast to length two elementary operators there is (at present) no
necessary condition for spectral boundedness of a length three elementary
operator, such as in Lemma 4.1, without further assumptions. Firstly, start-
ing with a spectrally bounded elementary operator S, the induced operator
S_P may or may not be spectrally bounded. Even if it is, we only have a
complete description for $S_P \in \mathcal{E}\ell_3(A/P)$ under the assumption that the
representation space has dimension at least 4. We can slightly improve this
description, which was obtained in [6, Theorem 4.3], under the hypothesis
that $S_P 1^P = 1^P$, which we record here for completeness.

Proposition 4.5. *Let A be a unital Banach algebra acting irreducibly as
bounded linear operators on a Banach space E of dimension at least 4. Let
$S \in \mathcal{E}\ell_3(A)$ be unital. Then S is spectrally bounded if and only if there exist
$\boldsymbol{a} = (a_1, a_2, a_3)$ and $\boldsymbol{b} = (b_1, b_2, b_3)$ in $\mathcal{L}(E)^3$ such that $S = S_{\boldsymbol{a},\boldsymbol{b}}$, $e_i = b_i a_i$,
$1 \leq i \leq 3$ are central idempotents and $b_i a_j = 0$ for $1 \leq i < j \leq 3$. In this
case, S is in fact a spectral contraction.*

Proof. Clearly we can extend $S \colon A \to A$ to an elementary operator on
$\mathcal{L}(E)$, the algebra of all bounded linear operators on E, by the same for-
mula. The conditions on the coefficients imply that the extended operator
is spectrally bounded, indeed a spectral contraction, by Proposition 3.2. As
the spectral radius of an element is independent of the surrounding Banach
algebra, it follows that $S \colon A \to A$ is a spectral contraction. This proves the
"if"-part.

For the "only if"-part we only have to deal with the exceptional cases that
are listed in [6, Theorem 4.3], as this result will then imply the statement.
By hypothesis, if S is not already represented as claimed in the above result,
then cases (ii) and (iii) in [6, Theorem 4.3] can be summarised as follows:

$$(4.6) \qquad S = \sum_{j=1}^{3} M_{u_j, v_j} \quad \text{where} \quad (v_i u_j)_{1 \leq i,j \leq 3} = \begin{pmatrix} \lambda & r & 0 \\ s & \lambda & r \\ 0 & -s & \lambda \end{pmatrix}$$

for some $\lambda \in \mathbb{C}$ and rank one operators $r, s \in \mathcal{L}(E)$. We can read off the
following identities

$$v_1 u_3 = v_3 u_1 = 0, \; v_1 u_2 = v_2 u_3 \; \text{ and } \; v_2 u_1 = -v_3 u_2.$$

Upon multiplying $S1 = 1$ on the left by v_1 and on the right by u_3 and using $v_1 u_3 = 0$ we find that $v_1 u_2 v_2 u_3 = 0$. As $v_1 u_2 = v_2 u_3$ it follows that the rank one operator $r = v_2 u_3$ has square zero and therefore must be zero. We conclude that $v_i u_j = 0$ whenever $i < j$ as desired. Finally multiplying $S1 = 1$ simply on the right by u_3 yields $u_3 v_3 u_3 = u_3$, thus $(\lambda - 1)u_3 = 0$ so $\lambda = 1$ which finishes the argument. $\qquad\square$

A Banach algebra A is called an *SR-algebra* if the spectral radius formula holds in every quotient of A; that is, if I is a closed ideal of A then, for each $x \in A$, $r(x + I) = \inf_{y \in I} r(x + y)$. Every C^*-algebra has this property.

Corollary 4.6. *Let A be a unital semisimple SR-algebra. Then every unital surjective $S \in \mathcal{El}_3(A)$ which is spectrally isometric is an algebra automorphism of A.*

Proof. Let $P \in \mathrm{Prim}(A)$. By assumption and as $SP \subseteq P$, $S_P \in \mathcal{El}_3(A/P)$ is unital, surjective and a spectral contraction, by [6, Proposition 2.2] or [17, Proposition 9], and is spectrally isometric if $SP = P$. Suppose first that $\dim A/P < \infty$. Take $y \in P$ and write $y = Sx$ for a (unique) $x \in A$. Then $0 = Sx + P = S_P(x + P)$ and therefore $x + P = 0$ as S_P is injective (it is surjective on the finite-dimensional space A/P). Consequently, $x \in P$ and hence $P = SP$. Since $A/P \cong M_n(\mathbb{C})$ for some $n \in \mathbb{N}$, we conclude that S_P is a Jordan automorphism by [3, Proposition 2]; see also [7, Corollary 1.4] and [15, Example 5.4] for independent proofs.

It is well known that every Jordan automorphism of $M_n(\mathbb{C})$, $n > 1$ is either of the form $x \mapsto wxw^{-1}$ or $x \mapsto wx^t w^{-1}$ for some invertible $w \in M_n(\mathbb{C})$, where x^t denotes the transpose of x; see, e.g., [20, Corollary 1.4]. Note that $x \mapsto x^t$ is the elementary operator $T = \sum_{i,j=1}^{n} M_{e_{ji}, e_{ij}}$, where e_{ij}, $1 \leq i, j \leq n$ denotes the usual set of matrix units. As this set is linearly independent, $\ell(T) = n^2$. Since $\{we_{ji} \mid 1 \leq i, j \leq n\}$ and $\{e_{ji}w^{-1} \mid 1 \leq i, j \leq n\}$ are linearly independent too, whenever $w \in M_n(\mathbb{C})$ is invertible, $\ell(M_{w,w^{-1}}T) = n^2 > 3$ for all $n > 1$. Hence, $S \neq T$ and therefore, S is multiplicative.

Suppose next that $\dim A/P = \infty$. Applying Proposition 4.5 together with Theorem 4.4 to S_P (and its extension to $\mathscr{L}(E)$) we find that S_P is an inner automorphism. As a result, S_P is multiplicative in either case and therefore S is an algebra automorphism of A. $\qquad\square$

We will now discuss an example illustrating that Theorem 4.2 cannot be entirely extended to length three elementary operators.

Example 4.7. Let $A = \mathscr{L}(E)$ for an infinite-dimensional Banach space E. Let $S \in \mathscr{El}(A)$ with $S1 = 1$ and $\ell(S) = 3$. Suppose S is a spectral isometry. By the results above, there exist two linearly independent subsets $\{a_1, a_2, a_3\}$ and $\{b_1, b_2, b_3\}$ of A such that $S = \sum_{j=1}^3 M_{a_j, b_j}$, $e_i = b_i a_i \in \{0, 1\}$, $1 \le i \le 3$ and $b_i a_j = 0$ for $1 \le i < j \le 3$. Suppose that $e_1 = e_3 = 1$ and $e_2 = 0$ (and thus S is non-surjective by Theorem 4.4). For a concrete realisation of this situation, we can, e.g., take the isometries s_1, s_2 from Example 2.4 and a non-zero operator $z \in \mathscr{L}(E)$ with $z^2 = 0$ and put $a_1 = s_1$, $a_2 = s_2 z$, $a_3 = s_2$, $b_1 = s_1^*$, $b_2 = z s_1^*$ and $b_3 = s_2^*$. It is easily verified that these choices satisfy the above conditions. We claim that S is not a Jordan homomorphism.

To this end, we first observe that neither $\{1, b_2 a_1\}$ nor $\{1, b_3 a_2\}$ can be linearly dependent. For example, using $a_1 b_1 + a_2 b_2 + a_3 b_3 = 1$ and multiplying this identity on the left by b_2 yields $b_2 a_1 b_1 = b_2$, thus, if $b_2 a_1 = \lambda 1$ for some $\lambda \in \mathbb{C}$, we obtain $\lambda b_1 = b_2$ violating the above assumption of linear independence. Similarly, linear dependence of $\{1, b_3 a_2\}$ would result in linear dependence of $\{a_2, a_3\}$.

We can therefore find $\zeta, \eta \in E$ such that $\{\zeta, b_2 a_1 \zeta\}$ and $\{\eta, b_3 a_2 \eta\}$ are linearly independent. Since $\zeta = b_1 a_1 \zeta$, setting $\xi = a_1 \zeta$, we have $\{b_1 \xi, b_2 \xi\}$ is linearly independent, and since $\eta = b_3 a_3 \eta$, it follows that $\{a_3 \eta, a_2 \eta\}$ is linearly independent too. Take $x \in A$ such that $x b_1 \xi = 0$ and $x b_2 \xi = \eta$. If $\eta \in \mathrm{lin}\{b_1 \xi, b_2 \xi\}$ then $x \eta = \beta \eta$ for some $\beta \in \mathbb{C}$. If $b_3 a_2 \eta \in \mathrm{lin}\{b_1 \xi, b_2 \xi\}$ then $x b_3 a_2 \eta = \beta' \eta$ for some $\beta' \in \mathbb{C}$. Suppose both cases occur together and that $\beta = \beta' = 0$. Then $\eta = \alpha b_1 \xi$ and $b_3 a_2 \eta = \alpha' b_1 \xi$ for some $\alpha, \alpha' \in \mathbb{C} \setminus \{0\}$. However, this violates the linear independence of $\{\eta, b_3 a_2 \eta\}$, thus this cannot happen. Consequently, if both cases occur together, we have

$$x \eta = \beta \eta \ \text{ and } \ x b_3 a_2 \eta = \beta' \eta \ \text{ with } \ |\beta|^2 + |\beta'|^2 \ne 0.$$

In the case when $\{b_1 \xi, b_2 \xi, \eta\}$ is linearly independent, we can also require of x that $x \eta = \eta$, and in the case when $\{b_1 \xi, b_2 \xi, b_3 a_2 \eta\}$ is linearly independent, we can additionally require that $x b_3 a_2 \eta = \eta$. It follows that, in any of the cases, we have $x \in A$ satisfying
(4.7)
$$x b_1 \xi = 0, \ \ x b_2 \xi = \eta, \ \ x \eta = \beta \eta \ \text{ and } \ x b_3 a_2 \eta = \beta' \eta \ \text{ with } \ |\beta|^2 + |\beta'|^2 \ne 0.$$

We will complete the argument by showing that, for this x, $(Sx)^2 \ne S(x^2)$ wherefore S is not a Jordan homomorphism.

The initial assumptions on the coefficients of S reduce the left hand side in the first line below to the right hand side, and then we apply the special

choice of x as given in (4.7).

$$\big((Sx)^2 - S(x^2)\big)\xi = a_2xb_2a_1xb_1\xi + a_3xb_3a_1xb_1\xi + a_3xb_3a_2xb_2\xi - a_2x^2b_2\xi$$

$$= a_3xb_3a_2\eta - a_2x\eta$$

$$= \beta'a_3\eta - \beta a_2\eta$$

with $|\beta|^2 + |\beta'|^2 \neq 0$. As $\{a_3\eta, a_2\eta\}$ is linearly independent, it follows that $\big((Sx)^2 - S(x^2)\big)\xi \neq 0$, as desired.

We conclude this paper by noting that a unital spectrally bounded elementary operator of length four and above which is not surjective need not be a spectral isometry. As an example the trace on $M_2(\mathbb{C})$ can serve which can be represented as

$$x \mapsto \frac{1}{2}\sum_{j=1}^{4} e_{ij}xe_{ji}$$

where e_{ij} denotes the standard matrix units.

References

[1] J. Alaminos, J. Extremera and A. R. Villena, *Spectral preservers and approximate spectral preservers on operator algebras*, Linear Alg. Appl. **496** (2016), 36–70.

[2] B. Aupetit, *A primer on spectral theory*, Springer-Verlag, New York, 1991.

[3] B. Aupetit, *Spectral characterization of the radical in Banach or Jordan–Banach algebras*, Math. Proc. Cambridge Phil. Soc. **114** (1993), 31–35.

[4] N. Boudi and M. Mathieu, *Elementary operators that are spectrally bounded*, Oper. Theory Adv. Appl. **212** (2011), 1–15.

[5] N. Boudi and M. Mathieu, *Locally quasi-nilpotent elementary operators*, Oper. Matrices **8** (2014), 785–798.

[6] N. Boudi and M. Mathieu, *More elementary operators that are spectrally bounded*, J. Math. Anal. Appl. **428** (2015), 471–489.

[7] C. Costara, *A Cartan type theorem for finite-dimensional algebras*, Linear Alg. Appl. **426** (2007), 299–304.

[8] C. Costara and D. Repovš, *Spectral isometries onto algebras having a separating family of finite-dimensional irreducible representations*, J. Math. Anal. Appl. **365** (2010), 605–608.

[9] R. E. Curto and M. Mathieu, *Spectrally bounded generalized inner derivations*, Proc. Amer. Mathe. Soc. **123** (1995), 2431–2434.

[10] R. E. Curto and M. Mathieu (eds), *Elementary operators and their applications*, Proc. 3rd Int. Workshop, Belfast, 14–17 April 2009; Operator Theory Adv. Appl. **212**, Springer-Verlag, Basel, 2011.

[11] R. J. Fleming and J. J. Jamison, *Isometries on Banach spaces: function algebras*, Monographs and Surveys in Pure and Appl. Maths. **129**, Chapman and Hall, Boca Raton, 2003.

[12] K. Jarosz (ed.), *Function spaces in Analysis*, Proc. Seventh Conf. Function Spaces, Contemp. Math. **645** (2015).

[13] R. V. Kadison, *Isometries of operator algebras*, Annals of Math. **54** (1951), 325–338.

[14] M. Mathieu, *Towards a non-selfadjoint version of Kadison's theorem*, Ann. Math. Inf. **32** (2005), 87–94.

[15] M. Mathieu, *A collection of problems on spectrally bounded operators*, Asian-Eur. J. Math. **2** (2009), 487–501.

[16] M. Mathieu and G. J. Schick, *First results on spectrally bounded operators*, Studia Math. **152** (2002), 187–199.

[17] M. Mathieu and A. R. Sourour, *Hereditary properties of spectral isometries*, Arch. Math. **82** (2004), 222–229.

[18] M. Mathieu and A. R. Sourour, *Spectral isometries on non-simple C*-algebras*, Proc. Amer. Math. Soc. **142** (2014), 129–145.

[19] M. Mathieu and M. Young, *Spectral isometries into commutative Banach algebras*, Contemp. Math. **645** (2015), 217–222.

[20] P. Šemrl, *Maps on matrix spaces*, Linear Alg. Appl. **413** (2006), 364–393.

[21] V. Pták, *Derivations, commutators and the radical*, Manuscripta Math. **23** (1978), 355–362.

Pure Mathematics Research Centre, Queen's University Belfast, Belfast BT7 1NN, Northern Ireland
   E-mail address: m.m@qub.ac.uk

Pure Mathematics Research Centre, Queen's University Belfast, Belfast BT7 1NN, Northern Ireland
   E-mail address: myoung14@qub.ac.uk