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Abstract

Web openings are increasingly used in cold-formed steel beam members of buildings to facilitate ease of services. In this paper, a combination of tests and non-linear finite element analyses is used to investigate the effect of such holes on web crippling under the interior-one-flange (IOF) loading condition; the cases of both flange fastened and flange unfastened to the bearing plate are considered. The results of 61 web crippling tests are presented, with 18 tests conducted on channel sections without web openings and 43 tests conducted on channel sections with web openings. In the case of the tests with web openings, the hole was either located centred beneath the bearing plate or having a horizontal clear distance to the near edge of the bearing plate. A good agreement between the tests and finite element analyses was obtained in term of both strength and failure modes.
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### Nomenclature

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Symbol</th>
<th>Description</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>A</td>
<td>Web holes ratio;</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>a</td>
<td>Diameter of circular web holes;</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$a_{LHS}$</td>
<td>Diameter of circular web holes positioned left hand side of specimen;</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$a_{RHS}$</td>
<td>Diameter of circular web holes positioned right hand side of specimen;</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$b_f$</td>
<td>Overall flange width of section;</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$b_l$</td>
<td>Overall lip width of section;</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>COV</td>
<td>Coefficient of variation;</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>d</td>
<td>Overall web depth of section;</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>E</td>
<td>Young’s modulus of elasticity;</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>FEA</td>
<td>Finite element analysis;</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$f_y$</td>
<td>Material yield strength;</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>h</td>
<td>Depth of the flat portion of web;</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>L</td>
<td>Length of the specimen;</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>N</td>
<td>Length of the bearing plate;</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>P</td>
<td>Experimental and finite element ultimate web crippling load per web;</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$P_{EXP}$</td>
<td>Experimental ultimate web crippling load per web;</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$P_{FEA}$</td>
<td>Web crippling strength per web predicted from finite element (FEA);</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$P_m$</td>
<td>Mean value of tested-to-predicted load ratio;</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>R</td>
<td>Reduction factor;</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$R_p$</td>
<td>Proposed reduction factor;</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$r_i$</td>
<td>Inside corner radius of section;</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>t</td>
<td>Thickness of section;</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>x</td>
<td>Horizontal clear distance of the web holes to the near edge of the bearing plate;</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Symbol</td>
<td>Description</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>--------</td>
<td>-------------</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$\varepsilon_f$</td>
<td>Elongation (tensile strain) at fracture;</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$\sigma_{0.2}$</td>
<td>Static 0.2% proof stress; and</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$\sigma_u$</td>
<td>Static ultimate tensile strength.</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
1 Introduction

Web openings are increasingly used in cold-formed steel members to facilitate ease of services in buildings. In such members, web crippling can occur at points of concentrated loads [1] (see Fig. 1), and also influenced by the size and position of the openings.

Strength reduction factor equations have recently been proposed by Uzzaman et al. [2-5] for the web crippling strength of cold-formed steel channel sections with circular holes in the web under the end-two-flange (ETF) and interior-two-flange (ITF) loading conditions. This paper extends the work of Uzzaman et al. [2-5] to consider the interior-one-flange (IOF) loading condition for cold-formed steel channel sections with circular holes in the web. The web crippling strength of lipped channel sections for the interior-one-flange (IOF) loading condition, as shown in Fig. 2, are considered. The cases of both flange fastened and flange unfastened to the bearing plate are considered, for cold-formed steel channel sections having circular web holes located centred beneath the bearing plate and also with a horizontal clear distance to the near edge of the bearing plate.

In the literature, for the IOF loading condition, Yu and Davis [6] previously considered the case of both circular and square web openings located and centred beneath the bearing plate with flange unfastened to bearing plate. It should be noted, however, that the test arrangement reported did not use the new established IOF testing procedure [7] in which back-to-back channel section specimens were loaded, but instead used two channel sections connected through their lips. Nevertheless, these tests remain the only reported in the literature for the IOF loading condition where the holes are located and centred beneath the bearing plate. For the circular holes, a total of 10 tests were reported, and all tested with a bearing length of 89 mm. A strength reduction factor equation was proposed but was limited to the aforementioned bearing length.
Again for the IOF loading condition, LaBoube et al. [8] have also considered the case of a circular hole that has a horizontal clear distance to the near edge of the bearing plate, but only for the case where the flange is fastened to the bearing plate. The strength reduction factor equation proposed by LaBoube et al. [8] was subsequently adopted by the North American Specification (NAS) [9] for cold-formed steel sections. This strength reduction factor equation, however, was limited to thicknesses ranged from 0.83 mm to 1.42 mm. Other similar work described in the literature include that of Sivakumaran and Zielonka [10] who considered rectangular web openings located and centred beneath the bearing plate under the interior-one-flange loading condition, and Zhou and Young [11] who proposed strength reduction factor equations for aluminium alloy square sections with circular web openings located and centred beneath the bearing plates under end- and interior-two flange loading conditions. Recent research on web crippling of cold-formed steel channel sections, other than that by Uzzaman et al. [2-5] who again considered only the two-flange loading conditions, has not covered the case of holes [12-15].

In this study, a test programme was conducted on lipped channel sections with circular web holes subject to web crippling. In addition, the general purpose finite element analysis (FEA) program ABAQUS [16] was used for the numerical investigation. The finite element model (FEM) included geometric and material non-linearities; the results of the finite element analysis were verified against laboratory test results. Both the failure loads as well as the modes of failure predicted from the finite element analyses were in good agreement with the laboratory test results.

2 Experiment investigation

2.1 Test specimens

A test programme was conducted on lipped channel sections, as shown in Fig. 3, with circular web holes subjected to web crippling. Fig. 3 shows the definition of the
symbols used to describe the dimensions of the cold-formed steel lipped channel sections considered in the test programme. Fig. 4 shows a schematic view of the test set-up. As can be seen from Fig. 4, each test comprised a pair of channel sections with load transfer blocks bolted between them. Washer plates of thickness 6 mm were bolted to the outside of the webs of the channel sections.

The size of the web holes was varied in order to investigate the effect of the web holes on the web crippling behaviour. Circular holes with a nominal diameter (a) ranging from 55 mm to 179 mm were considered in the experimental investigation. The ratio of the diameter of the holes to the depth of the flat portion of the webs (a/h) was 0.2, 0.4 and 0.6. All test specimens were fabricated with web holes located at the mid-depth of the webs and centred beneath the bearing plate and with a horizontal clear distance to the near edge of the bearing plate (x), as shown in Fig. 4(b).

Channel sections without holes were also tested. The test specimens consisted of three different section sizes, having nominal thicknesses ranged from 1.2 mm to 2.0 mm; the nominal depth of the webs and the flange widths ranged from 142 mm to 302 mm. The measured web slenderness (h/t) values of the channel sections ranged from 109 to 157.8. The specimen lengths (L) were determined according to the NAS [9]. Generally, the distance between bearing plates was set to be 1.5 times the overall depth of the web (d) rather than 1.5 times the depth of the flat portion of the web (h), the latter being the minimum specified in the specification.

Tables 1 and 2 show the measured test specimen dimensions for the flange unfastened and fastened to the bearing plate, respectively, using the nomenclature defined in Fig.2 and Fig.3 for the IOF loading condition. The bearing plates were fabricated using high strength steel having a nominal yield strength of 560 MPa and a thickness of 25 mm. Three lengths of bearing plates (N) were used: 100 mm, 120 mm and 150 mm.
2.2 Specimens labelling

In Tables 1 and 2, the specimens were labelled such that the nominal dimension of the specimen and the length of the bearing plates, as well as the ratio of the diameter of the holes to the depth of the flat portion of the webs \((a/h)\) could be identified from the label. For example, the labels “202x65x15-t1.4-N100-A0-FR”, “202x65x15-t1.4-N100-MA0.4-FR” “202x65x15-t1.4-N100-A0-FX” and “202x65x15-t1.4-N100-MA0.4-FX” are explained as follows:

- The first four notations defined the nominal dimensions \((d\times b_f\times b_l-t1.4)\) of the specimens in millimetres (e.g. 202x65x15-t1.4 means \(d = 202\) mm; \(b_f = 65\) mm; \(b_l = 15\) mm and \(t = 1.4\) mm).
- "N100" indicates the length of bearing in millimetres (i.e. 100 mm).
- "A0.2", "A0.4", "A0.6" and "A0.8" represent the ratios of the diameter of the holes to the depth of the flat portion of the webs \((a/h)\) i.e. A0.2 means \(a/h = 0.2\); 0.6 means \(a/h = 0.6\). In all cases, the holes are located at the mid-depth of the web and with a horizontal clear distance to the near edge of the bearing plate \((x = 0.2h)\). Eighteen tests were conducted on the channel section specimens without web holes, and these are denoted by "A0".
- “MA0.2”, “MA0.4” and “MA0.6”, the letter “M” indicate web holes located centred beneath the bearing plate.
- “FR” represents flange unfastened to the bearing plates and “FX” represents flange fastened to the bearing plate.

2.3 Material properties

Tensile coupon tests were carried out to determine the material properties of the channel sections. The tensile coupons were taken from the centre of the web plate in the longitudinal direction of the untested specimens. The tensile coupons were prepared and
tested according to the British Standard for tensile testing of metallic materials [17]. The
coupons were tested in a MTS displacement controlled testing machine using friction
grips. Two strain gauges and a calibrated extensometer of 50 mm gauge length were used
to measure the longitudinal strain. The material properties obtained from the tensile
coupon tests are summarised in Table 3, which includes the measured static 0.2% proof
stress ($\sigma_{0.2}$) and the static tensile strength ($\sigma_u$) based on gauge length of 50 mm.

2.4 Test rig and procedure

The specimens were tested under the interior-one-flange (IOF) loading condition
specified in the NAS [9], as shown in Fig.4(a), Fig. 4(b), Fig. 5(a), Fig. 6(a), Fig. 7(a) and
Fig. 8(a), where two channel sections were used to provide symmetric loading. The
specimens were bolted to load transfer blocks at each end of the specimens. A bearing
plate was positioned at the mid-length of the specimens. Hinge supports were simulated
by two half rounds in the line of action of the force and teflon pads. Two displacement
transducers (LVDTs) were used to measure the vertical displacements. In addition, two
displacement transducers were positioned at the two edges of bearing plate to measure
the vertical displacements. A servo-controlled hydraulics testing machine was used to
apply a concentrated compressive force to the test specimens. Displacement control was
used to drive the hydraulic actuator at a constant speed of 0.05 mm/min. The load was
applied through bearing plate. All the bearing plates were fabricated using high strength
steel having a nominal yield stress of 560 MPa, and thickness of 25 mm. In the
experimental investigation, three different lengths of bearing plates ($N$) were used,
namely, 100 mm, 120 mm and 150 mm. The experimental investigation also considered
flange of the channel section specimens fastened or unfastened to the bearing plate, as
shown in Fig. 4(c) and (d). For the case of the flange fastened test set-up, the flange was
bolted to the bearing plate.
2.5 Test results

A total of 61 specimens were tested under the interior-one-flange (IOF) loading condition. The experimental ultimate web crippling loads per web \( (P_{\text{EXP}}) \) are given in Tables 1 and 2 for flange unfastened and fastened cases, respectively. Fig. 9(a) and (b) show the typical failure mode of web crippling of the specimens with web holes and without web holes for the flange unfastened and fastened to the bearing plate, respectively. Typical load-defection curves obtained from the specimens 142×60×13-t1.3-N100, both without and with web holes are shown in Figs. 10 and 11.

3 Numerical Investigation

3.1 General

The non-linear general purpose finite element program ABAQUS [16] was used to simulate the web crippling behaviour of the channel sections. The bearing plate, the load transfer block, the channel sections and the contact between the bearing plate and the channel section and load transfer block were modelled. The measured cross-section dimensions and the material properties from the tests were used. The channel sections of the model were based on the centreline dimensions of the cross-section. Specific modelling issues are described below.

3.2 Geometry and material properties

One-quarter of the test set-up was modelled, as shown in Fig. 5(b) and Fig. 6(b), Fig. 7(b) and Fig. 8(b). Contact surfaces are defined between the bearing plate and the cold-formed steel section. In addition, contact surfaces are defined between the load transfer block and cold-formed steel section.

The value of Young’s modulus was 205 kN/mm\(^2\) and Poisson’s ratio was 0.3. ABAQUS required the material stress-strain curve input as true stress-true strain. The stress-strain curves were directly obtained from the tensile tests and converted into true
stresses using Equation 1 and Equation 2, as specified in the ABAQUS manual [16]:

\[ \sigma_{true} = \sigma(1 + \varepsilon) \] (1)

\[ \varepsilon_{true(PL)} = \ln(1 + \varepsilon) - \frac{\sigma_{true}}{E} \] (2)

where \( E \) is the Young’s Modulus, \( \sigma \) and \( \varepsilon \) are the engineering stress and strain respectively in ABAQUS [16].

3.3 Element type and mesh sensitivity

Fig. 5(b), Fig. 6(b), Fig. 7(b) and Fig. 8(b) show details of a typical finite element mesh of the channel section, the bearing plate and load transfer block. A mesh sensitivity analysis was used to investigate the effect of different element sizes in the cross-section of the channel sections. Finite element mesh sizes were 5 mm \( \times \) 5 mm for the cold-formed steel channel sections and 8 mm \( \times \) 8 mm for the bearing plates and load transfer block.

From the mesh sensitivity analysis, due to the contact between the load transfer block and inside round corners that form the bend between the flange and web, it was found that at least fifteen elements were required for the corners between the flange and web. On the other hand, for the corners between the flange and lip of the section, only three elements were required. Finer mesh sizes were used around the web holes when the holes were modelled.

Cold-formed steel channel sections with and without web holes were modelled using S4R shell element. The S4R is a four-node double curved thin or thick shell element with reduced integration and finite membrane strains. It is mentioned in the ABAQUS Manual [16] that the S4R element is suitable for complex buckling behaviour. The S4R has six degrees of freedom per node and provides accurate solutions to most applications.

The bearing plate and load transfer block were modelled using analytical rigid plates and C3D8R element, which is suitable for three-dimensional modelling of structures with
plasticity, stress stiffening, large deflection, and large strain capabilities. The solid element is defined by eight nodes having three translational degrees of freedom at each node.

3.4 Loading and boundary conditions

The vertical load applied to the channel section through the bearing plate in the laboratory tests was modelled using displacement control. In the finite element model, a displacement in the vertical y direction was applied to the reference point of the analytical rigid plate that modelled the bearing plate. At the line of symmetry of the channel section, all nodes were restrained in the z direction and rotation about x and y axes. The nodes on symmetry surface of load transfer block were prevented from translational axes in the x direction and rotation about the y and z axes. The channel section specimens were tested in pairs, which were bolted to load transfer blocks at each end of the specimens through the web by a vertical row of M16 high tensile bolts.

In the shell element idealisation, cartesian connectors were used to simulate the bolts instead of physically modelling bolts and holes. “CONN3D2” connector elements were used to model the in-plane translational stiffness i.e. y- and z-directions. The stiffness of the connectors element was 10 kN/mm, which Lim et al. [18] suggestion would be suitable. In the x direction, the nodes were prevented from translating.

Contact between the bearing plate and the cold-formed steel section was modelled in ABAQUS using the contact pairs option. The two contact surfaces were not allowed to penetrate each other. No friction was modelled between the surfaces. In the flange fastened case, in addition to the contact modelled between the bearing plate and the cold-formed steel sections, a connector between the flange and the bearing plate was modelled at the position of the bolt.
3.5 Verification of finite element model

A comparison between the experimental results and the finite element results was carried out in order to verify and check the accuracy of the finite element model. The comparison of the web crippling strength per web obtained from the tests \( P_{\text{EXP}} \) and the finite element analysis \( P_{\text{FEA}} \) is shown in Table 4 and Table 5. The comparison of the load-deflection curves for the specimens 142x60x13-t1.3-N100, without and with web holes, are shown in Fig. 10 and Fig 11, respectively. It is observed that good agreement has been achieved for both without web holes and with web holes cases.

For the unfastened to the bearing plate, the mean value of the ratio \( P_{\text{EXP}}/P_{\text{FEA}} \) is 1.02 and the corresponding coefficient of variation (COV) was 0.020. A maximum difference of 5% and 9% was observed between the experimental and the numerical results for the specimens 142x60x13-t1.3-N150-A0.4-FR and 202x65x15-t1.4-N150-MA0.4-FR, respectively.

For the fastened to the bearing plate, the mean value of the ratio \( P_{\text{EXP}}/P_{\text{FEA}} \) is 1.00 and the corresponding coefficient of variation (COV) was 0.023. A maximum difference of 6% and 4% was observed between the experimental and the numerical results for the specimens 302x90x18-t2.0-N100-A0.6-FX and 202x65x15-t1.4-N100-MA0-FX.

The web crippling failure mode observed from the tests has been also verified by the finite element model for the IOF loading condition, as shown in Figs. 5 to 8. It is shown that good agreement is achieved between the experimental and finite element results for both the web crippling strength and the failure mode.

4 Conclusions

Experimental and numerical investigations on the web crippling behaviour of cold-formed steel lipped channel sections, with and without circular web holes, under the interior-one-flange (IOF) loading condition have been presented. A test programme on
lipped channel sections with web holes located at the mid-depth of the web and centred beneath the bearing plate or with a horizontal clear distance to the near edge of bearing plate were considered. The channel specimens had the measured 0.2% proof stress (yield stress) of 457 MPa, 464 MPa and 479 MPa for three different section sizes. The web slenderness values ranged from 109 to 157.8. The diameter of the web hole was varied in order to investigate the influence of the web holes on the web crippling behaviour. Flange of the lipped channel sections were either fastened or unfastened to the bearing plate.

Finite element models have been developed and verified against the experimental results in term of web crippling failure loads and deformations. The finite element models provide a good prediction for web crippling strength of cold-formed lipped channel section with and without circular web holes. The verified finite element models can be used to carried out an extended study for developing reliable design recommendations for cold-formed steel sections.
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Table 1  Measured specimen dimensions and experimental ultimate loads for flange unfastened case

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Specimen</th>
<th>Web</th>
<th>Flange</th>
<th>Lip</th>
<th>Thickness</th>
<th>Filet</th>
<th>Holes</th>
<th>Length</th>
<th>Experiment result full pair</th>
<th>Exp. load per Web</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>d (mm)</td>
<td>b₁ (mm)</td>
<td>b₂ (mm)</td>
<td>t (mm)</td>
<td>t₁ (mm)</td>
<td>a₁₃₇₅</td>
<td>a₂₉₅</td>
<td>L (mm)</td>
<td>P.EXP</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>142x60x13-t1.3-N100-A0-FR</td>
<td>141.82</td>
<td>60.63</td>
<td>13.66</td>
<td>1.27</td>
<td>4.80</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>720.0</td>
<td>21.56</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>142x60x13-t1.3-N100-A0.6-FR</td>
<td>142.27</td>
<td>60.41</td>
<td>13.86</td>
<td>1.27</td>
<td>4.80</td>
<td>83.62</td>
<td>83.70</td>
<td>720.0</td>
<td>20.33</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>142x60x13-t1.3-N100-MA0.6-FR</td>
<td>142.31</td>
<td>59.94</td>
<td>13.97</td>
<td>1.28</td>
<td>4.80</td>
<td>83.64</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>720.0</td>
<td>20.64</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>142x60x13-t1.3-N120-A0-FR</td>
<td>142.24</td>
<td>60.37</td>
<td>13.90</td>
<td>1.27</td>
<td>4.80</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>740.0</td>
<td>23.27</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>142x60x13-t1.3-N120-A0.6-FR</td>
<td>142.11</td>
<td>60.20</td>
<td>13.97</td>
<td>1.28</td>
<td>4.80</td>
<td>83.72</td>
<td>83.61</td>
<td>740.0</td>
<td>21.07</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>142x60x13-t1.3-N120-MA0.6-FR</td>
<td>142.42</td>
<td>60.20</td>
<td>13.60</td>
<td>1.27</td>
<td>4.80</td>
<td>83.73</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>740.0</td>
<td>21.14</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>142x60x13-t1.3-N150-A0-FR</td>
<td>142.40</td>
<td>59.79</td>
<td>13.28</td>
<td>1.28</td>
<td>4.80</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>770.0</td>
<td>25.20</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>142x60x13-t1.3-N150-A0.4-FR</td>
<td>142.17</td>
<td>59.88</td>
<td>12.95</td>
<td>1.28</td>
<td>4.80</td>
<td>55.04</td>
<td>55.04</td>
<td>770.0</td>
<td>25.20</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>142x60x13-t1.3-N150-MA0.4-FR</td>
<td>142.37</td>
<td>60.26</td>
<td>13.22</td>
<td>1.28</td>
<td>4.80</td>
<td>54.66</td>
<td>0.00</td>
<td>770.0</td>
<td>25.02</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>202x65x15-t1.4-N100-A0-FR</td>
<td>202.04</td>
<td>64.79</td>
<td>14.78</td>
<td>1.39</td>
<td>5.00</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>899.2</td>
<td>24.31</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>202x65x15-t1.4-N100-A0.4-FR</td>
<td>202.03</td>
<td>64.86</td>
<td>14.98</td>
<td>1.39</td>
<td>5.00</td>
<td>79.20</td>
<td>79.30</td>
<td>900.0</td>
<td>23.40</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>202x65x15-t1.4-N100-MA0.4-FR</td>
<td>202.07</td>
<td>65.01</td>
<td>14.95</td>
<td>1.39</td>
<td>5.00</td>
<td>79.26</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>899.0</td>
<td>23.18</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>202x65x15-t1.4-N100-A0-FR</td>
<td>202.11</td>
<td>65.45</td>
<td>14.39</td>
<td>1.39</td>
<td>5.00</td>
<td>119.07</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>900.0</td>
<td>21.62</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>202x65x15-t1.4-N120-A0-FR</td>
<td>202.00</td>
<td>65.00</td>
<td>14.73</td>
<td>1.39</td>
<td>5.00</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>920.0</td>
<td>25.96</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>202x65x15-t1.4-N120-A0.4-FR</td>
<td>202.00</td>
<td>65.04</td>
<td>14.82</td>
<td>1.39</td>
<td>5.00</td>
<td>79.29</td>
<td>79.32</td>
<td>920.0</td>
<td>23.25</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>202x65x15-t1.4-N120-A0-FR</td>
<td>202.66</td>
<td>65.35</td>
<td>14.57</td>
<td>1.38</td>
<td>5.00</td>
<td>119.13</td>
<td>119.30</td>
<td>920.0</td>
<td>22.32</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>202x65x15-t1.4-N120-MA0.4-FR</td>
<td>202.00</td>
<td>65.06</td>
<td>14.88</td>
<td>1.39</td>
<td>5.00</td>
<td>79.32</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>919.5</td>
<td>24.41</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>202x65x15-t1.4-N120-MA0.6-FR</td>
<td>202.26</td>
<td>65.39</td>
<td>14.50</td>
<td>1.39</td>
<td>5.00</td>
<td>119.39</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>920.0</td>
<td>21.90</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>202x65x15-t1.4-N150-A0-FR</td>
<td>202.01</td>
<td>65.04</td>
<td>14.98</td>
<td>1.45</td>
<td>5.00</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>950.0</td>
<td>29.01</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>202x65x15-t1.4-N150-A0.4-FR</td>
<td>202.01</td>
<td>64.96</td>
<td>15.02</td>
<td>1.43</td>
<td>5.00</td>
<td>79.32</td>
<td>79.38</td>
<td>950.0</td>
<td>25.96</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>202x65x15-t1.4-N150-MA0.4-FR</td>
<td>202.00</td>
<td>65.09</td>
<td>15.00</td>
<td>1.39</td>
<td>5.00</td>
<td>79.32</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>949.5</td>
<td>26.46</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>302x90x18-2.0-N100-A0-FR</td>
<td>303.18</td>
<td>87.91</td>
<td>18.83</td>
<td>1.98</td>
<td>5.00</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>1200.0</td>
<td>49.14</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>302x90x18-2.0-N100-A0.6-FR</td>
<td>302.58</td>
<td>88.61</td>
<td>19.28</td>
<td>2.06</td>
<td>5.00</td>
<td>178.97</td>
<td>178.80</td>
<td>1196.7</td>
<td>43.78</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Description</td>
<td>Width</td>
<td>Height</td>
<td>Thickness</td>
<td>Density</td>
<td>Weight</td>
<td>Unit Weight</td>
<td>Price</td>
<td>Discount</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>------------------------------</td>
<td>-------</td>
<td>--------</td>
<td>-----------</td>
<td>---------</td>
<td>--------</td>
<td>-------------</td>
<td>--------</td>
<td>----------</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>302x90x18x2.0-N100-MA0.6-FR</td>
<td>303.05</td>
<td>88.20</td>
<td>18.99</td>
<td>1.98</td>
<td>5.00</td>
<td>179.00</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>1199.0</td>
<td>45.71</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>302x90x18x2.0-N120-A0-FR</td>
<td>303.07</td>
<td>87.95</td>
<td>18.26</td>
<td>1.96</td>
<td>5.00</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>1221.0</td>
<td>50.31</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>302x90x18x2.0-N120-A0.6-FR</td>
<td>303.05</td>
<td>88.03</td>
<td>18.32</td>
<td>2.06</td>
<td>5.00</td>
<td>178.93</td>
<td>179.04</td>
<td>1221.0</td>
<td>46.49</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>302x90x18x2.0-N120-MA0.6-FR</td>
<td>303.03</td>
<td>87.99</td>
<td>18.30</td>
<td>1.98</td>
<td>5.00</td>
<td>179.00</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>1220.3</td>
<td>46.58</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>302x90x18x2.0-N150-A0-FR</td>
<td>303.03</td>
<td>88.54</td>
<td>18.97</td>
<td>1.99</td>
<td>5.00</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>1249.0</td>
<td>56.47</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>302x90x18x2.0-N150-A0.6-FR</td>
<td>302.90</td>
<td>88.47</td>
<td>19.03</td>
<td>2.06</td>
<td>5.00</td>
<td>178.52</td>
<td>178.58</td>
<td>1248.3</td>
<td>48.80</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>302x90x18x2.0-N150-MA0.6-FR</td>
<td>303.63</td>
<td>88.25</td>
<td>19.11</td>
<td>1.99</td>
<td>5.00</td>
<td>178.66</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>1249.3</td>
<td>48.37</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Specimen</td>
<td>Web</td>
<td>Flange</td>
<td>Lip</td>
<td>Thickness</td>
<td>Filet</td>
<td>Holes</td>
<td>Length</td>
<td>Experiment result full pair</td>
<td>Exp. load per Web</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>--------------------------------</td>
<td>------</td>
<td>--------</td>
<td>------</td>
<td>-----------</td>
<td>-------</td>
<td>-------</td>
<td>--------</td>
<td>----------------------------</td>
<td>------------------</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>142x60x13-t1.3-N100-A0-FX</td>
<td>142.49</td>
<td>60.33</td>
<td>13.79</td>
<td>1.29</td>
<td>4.80</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>720.0</td>
<td>22.28</td>
<td>11.14</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>142x60x13-t1.3-N100-A0.6-FX</td>
<td>142.56</td>
<td>60.11</td>
<td>13.78</td>
<td>1.29</td>
<td>4.80</td>
<td>83.58</td>
<td>83.76</td>
<td>720.0</td>
<td>21.77</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>142x60x13-t1.3-N100-MA0.6-FX</td>
<td>142.48</td>
<td>60.06</td>
<td>13.70</td>
<td>1.29</td>
<td>4.80</td>
<td>83.59</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>720.0</td>
<td>21.95</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>142x60x13-t1.3-N120-A0-FX</td>
<td>142.38</td>
<td>60.21</td>
<td>13.68</td>
<td>1.29</td>
<td>4.80</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>740.0</td>
<td>24.66</td>
<td>12.33</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>142x60x13-t1.3-N120-A0.6-FX</td>
<td>142.26</td>
<td>60.22</td>
<td>13.67</td>
<td>1.29</td>
<td>4.80</td>
<td>83.80</td>
<td>83.76</td>
<td>740.0</td>
<td>23.94</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>142x60x13-t1.3-N120-MA0.6-FX</td>
<td>142.53</td>
<td>60.29</td>
<td>13.91</td>
<td>1.29</td>
<td>4.80</td>
<td>83.77</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>740.0</td>
<td>23.37</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>142x60x13-t1.3-N150-A0-FX</td>
<td>142.18</td>
<td>60.12</td>
<td>13.19</td>
<td>1.28</td>
<td>4.80</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>770.0</td>
<td>26.95</td>
<td>13.48</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>142x60x13-t1.3-N150-A0.4-FX</td>
<td>142.35</td>
<td>60.07</td>
<td>13.20</td>
<td>1.28</td>
<td>2.80</td>
<td>55.30</td>
<td>55.22</td>
<td>770.0</td>
<td>26.09</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>142x60x13-t1.3-N150-MA0.4-FX</td>
<td>142.42</td>
<td>60.13</td>
<td>13.13</td>
<td>1.28</td>
<td>4.80</td>
<td>55.20</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>770.0</td>
<td>26.57</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>202x65x15-t1.4-N100-A0-FX</td>
<td>201.99</td>
<td>64.87</td>
<td>14.76</td>
<td>1.38</td>
<td>4.80</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>900.0</td>
<td>26.70</td>
<td>13.35</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>202x65x15-t1.4-N100-A0.4-FX</td>
<td>202.01</td>
<td>64.96</td>
<td>14.76</td>
<td>1.37</td>
<td>4.80</td>
<td>79.36</td>
<td>79.35</td>
<td>900.0</td>
<td>24.84</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>202x65x15-t1.4-N100-A0.6-FX</td>
<td>202.22</td>
<td>65.44</td>
<td>14.42</td>
<td>1.37</td>
<td>4.80</td>
<td>119.46</td>
<td>119.36</td>
<td>900.0</td>
<td>23.46</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>202x65x15-t1.4-N100-MA0.4-FX</td>
<td>202.11</td>
<td>64.92</td>
<td>14.99</td>
<td>1.37</td>
<td>4.80</td>
<td>79.30</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>899.8</td>
<td>25.21</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>202x65x15-t1.4-N100-MA0.6-FX</td>
<td>201.79</td>
<td>65.68</td>
<td>14.64</td>
<td>1.37</td>
<td>4.80</td>
<td>119.45</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>899.8</td>
<td>24.36</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>202x65x15-t1.4-N120-A0-FX</td>
<td>202.05</td>
<td>64.99</td>
<td>14.82</td>
<td>1.41</td>
<td>4.80</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>920.0</td>
<td>29.21</td>
<td>14.60</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>202x65x15-t1.4-N120-A0.4-FX</td>
<td>201.98</td>
<td>65.10</td>
<td>14.92</td>
<td>1.38</td>
<td>4.80</td>
<td>79.33</td>
<td>79.30</td>
<td>920.7</td>
<td>26.73</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>202x65x15-t1.4-N120-A0.6-FX</td>
<td>201.76</td>
<td>65.40</td>
<td>14.62</td>
<td>1.39</td>
<td>4.80</td>
<td>119.44</td>
<td>119.55</td>
<td>920.0</td>
<td>25.96</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>202x65x15-t1.4-N120-MA0.4-FX</td>
<td>202.00</td>
<td>65.16</td>
<td>15.02</td>
<td>1.39</td>
<td>4.80</td>
<td>79.36</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>920.0</td>
<td>27.89</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>202x65x15-t1.4-N120-MA0.6-FX</td>
<td>202.42</td>
<td>65.36</td>
<td>14.40</td>
<td>1.39</td>
<td>4.80</td>
<td>119.41</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>920.0</td>
<td>24.88</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>202x65x15-t1.4-N150-A0-FX</td>
<td>202.00</td>
<td>64.93</td>
<td>15.00</td>
<td>1.41</td>
<td>4.80</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>950.0</td>
<td>32.27</td>
<td>16.14</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>202x65x15-t1.4-N150-A0.4-FX</td>
<td>202.01</td>
<td>64.88</td>
<td>14.98</td>
<td>1.38</td>
<td>4.80</td>
<td>79.30</td>
<td>79.32</td>
<td>950.0</td>
<td>29.27</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>202x65x15-t1.4-N150-MA0.4-FX</td>
<td>202.02</td>
<td>64.88</td>
<td>14.79</td>
<td>1.38</td>
<td>4.80</td>
<td>79.32</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>949.5</td>
<td>29.92</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>302x90x18-t2.0-N100-A0-FX</td>
<td>303.20</td>
<td>88.24</td>
<td>18.66</td>
<td>1.96</td>
<td>4.80</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>1199.0</td>
<td>50.52</td>
<td>25.26</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>302x90x18-t2.0-N100-A0.6-FX</td>
<td>303.44</td>
<td>88.38</td>
<td>19.34</td>
<td>1.90</td>
<td>5.00</td>
<td>178.91</td>
<td>178.90</td>
<td>1201.3</td>
<td>45.89</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Table 2: Measured specimen dimensions and experimental ultimate loads for flange fastened case
Table 3  Measured material properties of specimens

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Section</th>
<th>$\sigma_{0.2}$ (MPa)</th>
<th>$\sigma_s$ (MPa)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>142 x 60 x 13 x 1.3</td>
<td>457</td>
<td>496</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>202 x 65 x 15 x 1.4</td>
<td>464</td>
<td>566</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>302 x 88 x 18 x 2.0</td>
<td>479</td>
<td>575</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
Table 4 Comparison of web crippling strength predicted from finite element analysis with experiment results for flange unfastened case

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Specimen</th>
<th>Web slenderness</th>
<th>Hole diameter ratio</th>
<th>Exp.load per web (kN)</th>
<th>Web crippling strength per web predicted from FEA (kN)</th>
<th>Comparison</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>142x60x13-t1.3-N100-A0-FR</td>
<td>109.67</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>10.78</td>
<td>10.69</td>
<td>1.01</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>142x60x13-t1.3-N100-A0.6-FR</td>
<td>110.03</td>
<td>0.60</td>
<td>10.17</td>
<td>9.98</td>
<td>1.02</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>142x60x13-t1.3-N100-MA0.6-FR</td>
<td>109.18</td>
<td>0.60</td>
<td>10.32</td>
<td>10.19</td>
<td>1.01</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>142x60x13-t1.3-N120-A0-FR</td>
<td>110.00</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>11.64</td>
<td>11.52</td>
<td>1.01</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>142x60x13-t1.3-N120-A0.6-FR</td>
<td>109.02</td>
<td>0.60</td>
<td>10.54</td>
<td>10.17</td>
<td>1.04</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>142x60x13-t1.3-N120-MA0.6-FR</td>
<td>110.14</td>
<td>0.60</td>
<td>10.57</td>
<td>10.43</td>
<td>1.01</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>142x60x13-t1.3-N150-A0-FR</td>
<td>109.25</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>12.60</td>
<td>12.44</td>
<td>1.01</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>142x60x13-t1.3-N150-A0.4-FR</td>
<td>109.07</td>
<td>0.39</td>
<td>12.49</td>
<td>11.90</td>
<td>1.05</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>142x60x13-t1.3-N150-MA0.4-FR</td>
<td>109.23</td>
<td>0.39</td>
<td>12.51</td>
<td>11.87</td>
<td>1.05</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>202x65x15-t1.4-N100-A0-FR</td>
<td>143.35</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>12.15</td>
<td>12.17</td>
<td>1.00</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>202x65x15-t1.4-N100-A0.4-FR</td>
<td>143.35</td>
<td>0.40</td>
<td>11.70</td>
<td>11.41</td>
<td>1.02</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>202x65x15-t1.4-N100-MA0.4-FR</td>
<td>143.37</td>
<td>0.40</td>
<td>11.59</td>
<td>11.75</td>
<td>0.99</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>202x65x15-t1.4-N100-MA0.6-FR</td>
<td>143.40</td>
<td>0.60</td>
<td>10.81</td>
<td>10.50</td>
<td>1.03</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>202x65x15-t1.4-N120-A0-FR</td>
<td>143.33</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>12.98</td>
<td>12.58</td>
<td>1.03</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>202x65x15-t1.4-N120-A0.4-FR</td>
<td>143.33</td>
<td>0.40</td>
<td>11.63</td>
<td>11.65</td>
<td>1.00</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>202x65x15-t1.4-N120-MA0.6-FR</td>
<td>137.76</td>
<td>0.60</td>
<td>11.16</td>
<td>10.89</td>
<td>1.03</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>202x65x15-t1.4-N120-MA0.4-FR</td>
<td>143.32</td>
<td>0.40</td>
<td>12.21</td>
<td>11.95</td>
<td>1.02</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>202x65x15-t1.4-N120-MA0.6-FR</td>
<td>143.51</td>
<td>0.60</td>
<td>10.95</td>
<td>10.66</td>
<td>1.03</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>202x65x15-t1.4-N150-A0-FR</td>
<td>137.31</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>14.51</td>
<td>14.24</td>
<td>1.02</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>202x65x15-t1.4-N150-A0.4-FR</td>
<td>139.27</td>
<td>0.40</td>
<td>12.98</td>
<td>12.68</td>
<td>1.02</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>202x65x15-t1.4-N150-MA0.4-FR</td>
<td>143.32</td>
<td>0.40</td>
<td>13.23</td>
<td>12.18</td>
<td>1.09</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>302x90x18-t2.0-N100-A0-FR</td>
<td>151.12</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>24.57</td>
<td>24.34</td>
<td>1.01</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>302x90x18-t2.0-N100-A0.6-FR</td>
<td>144.88</td>
<td>0.60</td>
<td>21.89</td>
<td>21.82</td>
<td>1.00</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>302x90x18-t2.0-N100-MA0.6-FR</td>
<td>151.06</td>
<td>0.60</td>
<td>22.85</td>
<td>22.44</td>
<td>1.02</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>302x90x18-t2.0-N120-A0-FR</td>
<td>152.63</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>25.16</td>
<td>25.50</td>
<td>0.99</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>302x90x18-t2.0-N120-A0.6-FR</td>
<td>145.11</td>
<td>0.60</td>
<td>23.24</td>
<td>22.30</td>
<td>1.04</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Description</td>
<td>Mean</td>
<td>COV</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>----------------------------------</td>
<td>-------</td>
<td>------</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>302x90x18-t2.0-N120-MA0.6-FR</td>
<td>151.05</td>
<td>0.60</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>302x90x18-t2.0-N150-A0-FR</td>
<td>150.28</td>
<td>-</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>302x90x18-t2.0-N150-A0.6-FR</td>
<td>145.04</td>
<td>0.60</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>302x90x18-t2.0-N150-MA0.6-FR</td>
<td>150.58</td>
<td>0.60</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Mean</td>
<td>150.58</td>
<td>0.60</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>COV</td>
<td>0.020</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
Table 5  Comparison of web crippling strength predicted from finite element analysis with experiment results for flange fastened case

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Specimen</th>
<th>Web slenderness</th>
<th>Hole diameter ratio</th>
<th>Exp.load per web</th>
<th>Web crippling strength per web predicted from FEA</th>
<th>Comparison</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>(h/t)</td>
<td>(a/h)</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>142x60x13-tl.3-N100-A0-FX</td>
<td>108.45</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>11.24</td>
<td>11.39</td>
<td>0.99</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>142x60x13-tl.3-N100-A0.6-FX</td>
<td>108.51</td>
<td>0.60</td>
<td>10.89</td>
<td>10.78</td>
<td>1.01</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>142x60x13-tl.3-N100-MA0.6-FX</td>
<td>108.45</td>
<td>0.60</td>
<td>10.97</td>
<td>10.90</td>
<td>1.01</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>142x60x13-tl.3-N120-A0-FX</td>
<td>108.37</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>12.24</td>
<td>12.38</td>
<td>0.99</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>142x60x13-tl.3-N120-A0.6-FX</td>
<td>108.28</td>
<td>0.60</td>
<td>11.97</td>
<td>11.53</td>
<td>1.04</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>142x60x13-tl.3-N120-MA0.6-FX</td>
<td>108.49</td>
<td>0.60</td>
<td>11.69</td>
<td>11.44</td>
<td>1.02</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>142x60x13-tl.3-N150-A0-FX</td>
<td>109.08</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>13.48</td>
<td>13.42</td>
<td>1.00</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>142x60x13-tl.3-N150-A0.4-FX</td>
<td>109.21</td>
<td>0.40</td>
<td>13.04</td>
<td>13.30</td>
<td>0.98</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>142x60x13-tl.3-N150-MA0.4-FX</td>
<td>109.26</td>
<td>0.39</td>
<td>13.28</td>
<td>13.04</td>
<td>1.02</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>202x65x15-tl.4-N100-A0-FX</td>
<td>145.44</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>13.35</td>
<td>12.78</td>
<td>1.04</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>202x65x15-tl.4-N100-A0.4-FX</td>
<td>145.45</td>
<td>0.40</td>
<td>12.42</td>
<td>12.67</td>
<td>0.98</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>202x65x15-tl.4-N100-MA0.4-FX</td>
<td>145.61</td>
<td>0.60</td>
<td>11.73</td>
<td>11.61</td>
<td>1.01</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>202x65x15-tl.4-N100-MA0.4-FX</td>
<td>145.53</td>
<td>0.40</td>
<td>12.60</td>
<td>12.64</td>
<td>1.00</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>202x65x15-tl.4-N100-MA0.4-FX</td>
<td>145.29</td>
<td>0.60</td>
<td>12.18</td>
<td>12.33</td>
<td>0.99</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>202x65x15-tl.4-N120-A0-FX</td>
<td>141.30</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>14.60</td>
<td>14.43</td>
<td>1.01</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>202x65x15-tl.4-N120-A0.4-FX</td>
<td>144.36</td>
<td>0.40</td>
<td>13.36</td>
<td>13.69</td>
<td>0.98</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>202x65x15-tl.4-N120-A0.6-FX</td>
<td>143.15</td>
<td>0.60</td>
<td>12.98</td>
<td>12.57</td>
<td>1.03</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>202x65x15-tl.4-N120-MA0.4-FX</td>
<td>143.33</td>
<td>0.40</td>
<td>13.94</td>
<td>14.16</td>
<td>0.98</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>202x65x15-tl.4-N120-MA0.6-FX</td>
<td>143.63</td>
<td>0.60</td>
<td>12.44</td>
<td>12.97</td>
<td>0.96</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>202x65x15-tl.4-N150-A0-FX</td>
<td>141.26</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>16.14</td>
<td>15.75</td>
<td>1.02</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>202x65x15-tl.4-N150-A0.4-FX</td>
<td>144.38</td>
<td>0.40</td>
<td>14.63</td>
<td>14.83</td>
<td>0.99</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>202x65x15-tl.4-N150-MA0.4-FX</td>
<td>144.39</td>
<td>0.40</td>
<td>14.96</td>
<td>14.98</td>
<td>1.00</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>302x90x18-tl.2.0-N100-A0-FX</td>
<td>152.70</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>25.26</td>
<td>25.16</td>
<td>1.00</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>302x90x18-tl.2.0-N100-A0.6-FX</td>
<td>157.71</td>
<td>0.60</td>
<td>22.95</td>
<td>24.47</td>
<td>0.94</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>302x90x18-tl.2.0-N100-MA0.6-FX</td>
<td>156.87</td>
<td>0.60</td>
<td>24.26</td>
<td>24.21</td>
<td>1.00</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>302x90x18-tl.2.0-N120-A0-FX</td>
<td>155.25</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>26.40</td>
<td>26.34</td>
<td>1.00</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>--------------------------------</td>
<td>------</td>
<td>---</td>
<td>---</td>
<td>---</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>302x90x18-t2.0-N120-A0.6-FX</td>
<td>157.62</td>
<td>0.60</td>
<td>23.74</td>
<td>23.54</td>
<td>1.01</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>302x90x18-t2.0-N120-MA0.6-FX</td>
<td>157.48</td>
<td>0.60</td>
<td>24.18</td>
<td>24.91</td>
<td>0.97</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>302x90x18-t2.0-N150-A0-FX</td>
<td>157.92</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>28.13</td>
<td>28.09</td>
<td>1.00</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>302x90x18-t2.0-N150-A0.6-FX</td>
<td>152.69</td>
<td>0.60</td>
<td>25.66</td>
<td>25.60</td>
<td>1.00</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>302x90x18-t2.0-N150-MA0.6-FX</td>
<td>157.52</td>
<td>0.60</td>
<td>24.89</td>
<td>24.70</td>
<td>1.01</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Mean</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>COV</td>
<td>0.023</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
Fig. 1  Web crippling at a support point [1]
(a) With holes centred under bearing plate
(b) With holes offset from bearing plate

Fig. 2  Interior-one-flange loading condition
Fig. 3  Definition of symbols
(a) Front view of with hole centred under bearing plate
(b) Front view of with a horizontal clear distance to near edge of bearing plate
Fig. 4  Schematic view of test set-up

(c) End View (Unfastened flange)

(d) End View (Fastened flange)
Fig. 5 Comparison of experiment and finite element analysis for centred hole where flange unfastened to bearing plate.
Fig. 6  Comparison of experiment and finite element analysis for centred hole where flange fastened to bearing plate
Fig. 7  Comparison of experiment and finite element analysis for offset hole where flange unfastened to bearing plate
Fig. 8  Comparison of experiment and finite element analysis for offset hole where flange fastened to bearing plate
(a) Specimen for the flange unfastened case
(b) Specimen for the flange fastened case

Fig.9 Typical failure modes of the specimens
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Fig. 10  Comparison of web deformation curves for specimen 142×60×13-t1.3-N100-FR

Fig. 11  Comparison of web deformation curves for specimen 142×60×13-t1.3-N100-FX