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ABSTRACT

The recent discovery of an Earth-like exoplanet around Proxima Centauri has shined a spotlight on slowly rotating fully convective M-stars. When such stars rotate rapidly (period ≲ 20 days), they are known to generate very high levels of activity that is powered by a magnetic field much stronger than the solar magnetic field. Recent theoretical efforts are beginning to understand the dynamo process that generates such strong magnetic fields. However, the observational and theoretical landscape remains relatively uncharted for fully convective M-stars that rotate slowly. Here, we present an anelastic dynamo simulation designed to mimic some of the physical characteristics of Proxima Centauri, a representative case for slowly rotating fully convective M-stars. The rotating convection spontaneously generates differential rotation in the convection zone that drives coherent magnetic cycles where the axisymmetric magnetic field repeatedly changes polarity at all latitudes as time progresses. The typical length of the “activity” cycle in the simulation is about nine years, in good agreement with the recently proposed activity cycle length of about seven years for Proxima Centauri. Comparing our results with earlier work, we hypothesize that the dynamo mechanism undergoes a fundamental change in nature as fully convective stars spin down with age.
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1. INTRODUCTION

Observations have revealed a tight correlation between the stellar rotation period and the stellar activity. It is clear that rapidly rotating cool stars are more active than their slowly rotating counterparts. The relative magnitudes of the various magnetic activity indicators, e.g., Hα emission (e.g., Reiners et al. 2012; Newton et al. 2016a), Ca II H&K emission (e.g., Astudillo-Defru et al. 2016), UV emission (e.g., Stelzer et al. 2016), and X-ray emission (e.g., Jeffries et al. 2011; Wright et al. 2011), follow a robust trend as a function of the Rossby number Ro = P/τ (P is the stellar rotation period and τ is the typical timescale of stellar convection). For Ro ≲ 0.1, the activity indicators are typically saturated to a plateau, while, for Ro > 0.1, their magnitude gradually decreases. This is commonly referred to as the “rotation–activity” relationship. Stellar activity is powered by the stellar magnetic field that also follows a qualitatively similar trend (Reiners et al. 2009; Vidotto et al. 2014). Magnetic fields in stars are generated by a dynamo mechanism working in their convection zone. Therefore, the rotation–activity relationship indirectly describes how the stellar dynamo behaves with stellar rotation.

In the context of stellar activity, M-stars have a justifiably special place. They are the most numerous stars in our galaxy. Among cool stars, M-stars are the most active and many of them are known as “flare stars” for producing frequent flares (e.g., see West et al. 2004; Vida et al. 2016). Furthermore, M-stars are particularly important for finding habitable exoplanets due to their smaller size that provides a better signal-to-noise ratio for detecting Earth-like exoplanets (e.g., Irwin et al. 2008). Since exoplanets with liquid water will orbit much closer than 1 au around M-stars, the activity levels in M-stars will have a crucial, if not governing, influence on the habitability of such exoplanets (e.g., Cohen et al. 2014).

M-stars with mass less than about 35% of the solar mass are believed to be fully convective, i.e., these stars do not have a radiative core and a tachocline-like region. Although the data are relatively scarce for FC M-stars with slow rotation periods, many studies have suggested that FC M-stars also follow a rotation–activity relationship similar to the stars with a radiative core, i.e., a saturated activity below a threshold Ro and a gradual decline for higher Ro (Kiraga & Stepien 2007; Reiners et al. 2009; Jeffries et al. 2011; Astudillo-Defru et al. 2016; Newton et al. 2016a; Stelzer et al. 2016; Wright & Drake 2016). Therefore, one may postulate that the rotation–activity relationship might be immune to the internal structure of stars. If confirmed with later observation, then this conjecture will be a stringent constraint on the basic stellar dynamo theory. Along with carrying out detailed observations of FC M-stars in the slowly rotating regime, it is imperative that we develop theoretical models that make sense of current and upcoming observations. The immediate need for this exercise is underscored by the discovery of a possible Earth-like exoplanet (Anglada-Escudé et al. 2016) around Proxima Centauri (Prox Cen). This is a frequently flaring, slowly rotating, FC M5.5 star with a rotation period of about 83 days (Kiraga & Stepien 2007; Mascareño et al. 2016). There are now several observational studies that claim activity cycles on Prox Cen. An earlier reporting (Cincunegui et al. 2007) suggested an activity cycle length of about 1.2 years. Recent work based on a longer data set could not confirm the 1.2 year period but found strong evidence for cycles with a length of approximately 7 years (Mascareño et al. 2016; Wargelin et al. 2017).

To understand the dynamo mechanism in fast rotating FC M-stars, we recently performed a fully nonlinear turbulent dynamo simulation (Yadav et al. 2015a). The rotation rate was about 20 days, which is fast enough to push FC M-stars to the saturated regime of the rotation–activity relationship (Reiners...
et al. 2014; Wright & Drake 2016). The simulation self-consistently produces very strong magnetic fields, reaching several kilo Gauss, on both large and small length scales. The morphological features of the magnetic field also resemble the observations to a good extent (Yadav et al. 2015a). Motivated by these favorable results we advance this simulation further using a slower rotation rate. As we show below, the increased Rossby number due to slower rotation rate leads to a fundamental change in the dynamo solution, and, instead of a quasi-steady dipole-dominated field, the simulation produces regular magnetic cycles.

2. SIMULATION SETUP
The simulation we present here is a slowly rotating version of the simulation we reported in Yadav et al. (2015a). For the sake of completeness, we repeat some of the relevant model details; further information can be found in Yadav et al. (2015a) and Yadav et al. (2015b). We employ the magnetohydrodynamic equations modified under the anelastic approximation (Lantz & Fan 1999). This approach is now widely used for simulating subsonic convection in the interiors of stars and planets (e.g., see Browning 2008; Gastine et al. 2013; Augustsson et al. 2015; Duarte et al. 2016). To model the convection zone of a star we consider a spherical shell that is bounded by inner radius \( r_i \) and outer radius \( r_o \). The depth of the convection zone is \( D = r_o - r_i \). Due to technical limitation, we cannot model a fully convective star with \( r_i = 0 \). Therefore, we exclude a small region around the stellar center such that \( r_i/r_o = 0.1 \). The convection is driven by an entropy contrast \( \Delta s \) between the two boundaries. The simulation domain incorporates five density scale heights. The gravity varies linearly with radius. Both boundaries are stress-free for velocity, have constant entropy, and are insulating for the magnetic field.

We use the open source\(^4\) code \texttt{MagIC} for this simulation. This code has been rigorously tested with community benchmarks (Jones et al. 2011; Gastine & Wicht 2012). It uses spherical harmonic decomposition in the latitude and longitude direction and Chebyshev polynomial decomposition in the radial direction. The code also uses \texttt{SHTns}, an open source library for performing fast spherical harmonic transforms (Schaeffer 2013). We perform most of the temporal evolution of the simulation on a grid with 1024 points in longitude, 512 points in latitude, and 121 points in radius.

\texttt{MagIC} solves the equations in non-dimensional form. The fundamental parameters that govern the system and the values chosen for them are the Prandtl number \( P_r = \nu/\kappa = 0.1 \), the magnetic Prandtl number \( P_m = \nu/\lambda = 0.2 \), the Ekman number \( E = \nu D^{-2} \Omega^{-1} = 10^{-3} \), and the Rayleigh number \( Ra = g_s D^3 \Delta s (c_p/\kappa)^{-1} = 1.5 \times 10^{10} \), where \( \nu \) is viscosity, \( \kappa \) is thermal diffusivity, \( \lambda \) is magnetic diffusivity, \( \Omega \) is rotation frequency, \( g_s \) is gravity at \( r_o \), and \( c_p \) is specific heat at constant pressure. Note that due to the higher \( Ra \) value we use here, the magnetic Reynolds numbers \( u D / \lambda \) would be substantially higher in this simulation as compared to those in Yadav et al. (2015a) if we keep the same \( P_m \). Therefore, in order to probe similar magnetic Reynolds numbers we decreased \( P_m \) by a factor of 10 in this study.

The results of a simulation, obtained in non-dimensional terms, can be scaled differently to actual stars. Here, we pick Prox Cen as an example of a slowly rotating fully convective star and equate the shell thickness \( D \) of our model with 0.85 of the observed radius \((0.141 R_{\text{Sun}})\). For viscosity and the diffusivities we must use much larger than realistic values in order to match the numbers of our non-dimensional parameters. In addition, we can fix either the rotation rate or the luminosity to the actual value, but not both simultaneously. Because here we are mainly interested in the correct scaling of time to physical units, we follow the approach by Dobler et al. (2006), by fixing \( \Omega \) to the stellar value. This leaves us in the model with 0.3 times the actual luminosity of Prox Cen (which is 0.0017 \( L_{\text{Sun}} \)). The outer radius of the model at \( r_o = 93,700 \) km is 5\% below the stellar photosphere. With this choice, the density contrast of 150 across our model shell agrees with that obtained in a simple polytropic star model with the same mass and radius as Prox Cen. Density and gravity at the outer model boundary are \( 2440 \) kg m\(^{-3}\) and \( 1850 \) m s\(^{-2}\). For the various diffusion coefficients, taken to be constant with radius, we have \( \nu = 62,000 \) m\(^2\) s\(^{-1}\), \( \kappa = 620,000 \) m\(^2\) s\(^{-1}\), and \( \lambda = 310,000 \) m\(^2\) s\(^{-1}\).

3. RESULTS
To quantify the effect of rotation on convection we use the local Rossby number \( R_{\text{oi}} = u \Omega / l \), where \( u \) is local velocity (in the rotating frame of reference) and \( l \) is the local convection length scale (for more details, see Christensen & Aubert 2006; Yadav et al. 2015b). The time-averaged mean \( R_{\text{oi}} \) in our earlier faster rotating simulation is about 0.05. Due to the slower rotation rate in the simulation we present here, the \( R_{\text{oi}} \) is larger with a value of about 0.25. Furthermore, as typically reported in density stratified convection studies (e.g., Browning 2008), the value of \( R_{\text{oi}} \) varies in radius as shown in Figure 1.

The long-term evolution of the simulation, capturing about 550 rotations,\(^5\) is plotted in Figure 2(a). The panel displays the unsigned mean magnetic field \( B_{\text{surf}} \) on the simulation surface. The time-averaged \( B_{\text{surf}} \) is about 1.1 kG, although it varies\(^6\) from about 0.5 to 2 kG. These field values are comparable to the observed (using Zeeman broadening) range of field strength in Prox Cen which is from 450 to 750 Gauss (Reiners & Basri 2008). We remind the reader that the outer surface of our simulation is a layer 5\% below the photosphere. Therefore, \( B_{\text{surf}} \) in our simulation is likely larger than the photospheric value. There are distinct and sustained modulations present in

\(^4\) https://github.com/magic-sph

\(^5\) Equivalent to about \( 0.2 \tau_{\text{mag}} \), where \( \tau_{\text{mag}} = D^2/\lambda \) is the magnetic diffusion time.

\(^6\) We again note that the dimensionalization procedure is not unique. If, instead of assuming the correct rotation rate, we use the correct luminosity of Prox Cen, then the dimensional mean-field strength is about 1.7 kG (using the scaling law by Christensen et al. 2009). The resulting rotation period would be about 53 days.
the mean magnetic field in Figure 2(a). Applying the Lomb–Scargle periodogram to the entire time series gives a peak at around 40 rotations, meaning that the magnetic field strength peaks on average every 9 years.

Analyzing the evolution of the radial and azimuthal magnetic field, presented in Figures 2(b) and (c) as Butterfly diagrams, reveals the source of the modulations in the magnetic field time series. The simulation is undergoing magnetic cycles that lead to repeated reversals of the magnetic polarities at different latitudes as time progresses. The evolution is rather complex: some cycles produce magnetic features that are equatorially symmetric, i.e., with the same polarities at opposite latitudes in northern and southern hemispheres, while some other cycles produce equatorially antisymmetric features. There is also one cycle where the northern hemisphere did not produce much axisymmetric field (at around 400 rotations). Note that mean-field dynamo studies, which parameterize the differential rotation (DR) and the $\alpha$ effect due to helical convection, also predict magnetic cycles in FC M-stars, including for those that rotate rapidly (Shulyak et al. 2015; Pipin 2016).

In panel (b), let us concentrate on one of the magnetic polarity features (say, around 100 rotations). There are two distinct branches migrating from the polar and the equatorial latitudes to the mid-latitude regions. However, there are also cycles where the polar branch is stable and does not migrate. A similar behavior is present in the radial magnetic field (panel (c)) but to a lesser extent. The temporal evolution of the axisymmetric components of the longitudinal magnetic field and velocity in a typical cycle with an equatorially symmetric structure is presented in Figure 3. Magnetic field features originate in the deep interior and migrate in radius to replace the magnetic field with opposite polarity in the outer layers. Therefore, the entire convection zone participated in building up a cycle. The DR also varies substantially through the cycle (lower panel in Figure 3). In our earlier simulation with smaller Rossby number (Yadav et al. 2015a), DR was quenched in most of the convection zone and persisted only in the outer layers near the equator (see Figure 1(d) in Yadav et al. 2015a).

In this simulation, however, DR exists in the deeper convection zone as well as at higher latitudes. In a thin layer close to the equator of the outer surface, DR is antisolar. This feature is likely to grow at higher Rossby numbers and eventually overwhelm the solar-like DR in the equatorial latitudes (Gastine et al. 2013). However, in the present model, the thin antisolar feature near the equator is rather intermittent and appears only occasionally. For the most part, the simulation DR is solar-like in the equatorial regions.

Magnetic cycles qualitatively similar to the one we find here have been reported in several earlier studies, although mostly in thinner convection zones than ours (e.g., see Gastine et al. 2012; Käpylä et al. 2013; Jones 2014; Warnecke et al. 2014; Augustsson et al. 2015; Duarte et al. 2016; Raynaud & Tobias 2016). It has been argued that such dynamo solutions are Parker waves that are driven by the strong DR produced in the convection zone (for example, see Busse & Smittev 2006; Gastine et al. 2012; Warnecke et al. 2014). Indeed, such cyclic dynamo solutions are always accompanied by a larger DR (Busse & Simitev 2006; Browning 2008; Gastine et al. 2012; Yadav et al. 2013a) as compared to their quasi-steady dipole-dominant counterparts where DR is highly quenched (Aubert 2005; Gastine et al. 2012; Yadav et al. 2013a, 2015b).

Along with producing a strong axisymmetric magnetic field, the simulation also shows localized bipolar magnetic structures that reach high field strengths of about ±3 kG. Such field strengths should be enough to produce starspots. As shown in Figure 4, these regions are distributed almost uniformly across the outer surface of the stars, in agreement with observations of active M-dwarfs.
the surface. The bipolar features are mostly formed in narrow convection downwellings where a relatively high level of turbulence is produced due to the converging and colliding flows.

4. SUMMARY AND DISCUSSION

In this Letter, we investigate the effect of Rossby number (or rotation period) on the dynamo mechanism in a turbulent simulation of fully convective M-stars. We build on our recent modeling strategy that showed that anelastic dynamo simulations can self-consistently reproduce magnetic field properties observed at rapidly rotating \((Ro \lesssim 0.1)\) fully convective M-stars (Yadav et al. 2015a). Here, we simulate a model with a rotation period similar to Proxima Centauri (about 83 days). The Rossby number in this slowly rotating setup is about 0.25. The increased Rossby number fundamentally changes the nature of the dynamo solution and generates coherent magnetic cycles. These cycles have a complex temporal evolution where magnetic field structures migrate to mid-latitudes from poles and equator. Some of these cycles produce a magnetic field that is symmetric about the equator while some others produce antisymmetric fields. Our simulation demonstrates that large Rossby numbers may promote regular activity cycles in fully convective stars.

It is instructive to contrast our earlier simulation with a relatively low Rossby number of about 0.05 (Yadav et al. 2015a) and the current setup with a higher value of 0.25. At low Rossby numbers: (1) the magnetic field is non-cyclic and dipole dominated, i.e., it is mostly concentrated on mid- and high latitudes; (2) a substantial portion (about 30%) of the total magnetic energy resides in the axisymmetric part; and (3) the DR is highly quenched in most of the convection zone. Lacking a tachocline and DR, only the helical turbulence is sustaining the dynamo. Such \(\alpha^2\)-type dynamos might be similar to the dynamo operating in the Earth’s convection zone (Christensen et al. 2009; Yadav et al. 2013b). At larger Rossby numbers: (1) the DR persists throughout the convection zone and at higher latitudes; (2) the amount of magnetic energy in the axisymmetric component decreases to about 13%; (3) the dynamo starts producing magnetic cycles; and (4) the large-scale magnetic field is widespread across different latitudes. Due to the DR in the convection zone (\(\Omega\)-effect), such dynamos can be categorized as being of the \(\alpha\Omega\) type. Here, both large-scale shear and helical turbulence participate in sustaining the dynamo. Based on these inferences from our simulations, we may speculate that fully convective M-stars will transition from steady \(\alpha^2\)-type dynamos to cyclic \(\alpha\Omega\)-type as the Rossby number increases.

Using a rotation period of 83 days and a convective timescale of about 150 days (Wright et al. 2011), the resulting Rossby number in Proxima Centauri is about 0.5, twice as large than in our simulation. However, it must be kept in mind that the simulation convective timescale is based on the actual velocities generated in the model, whereas the observationally constrained convective timescale is an empirical estimate calculated by minimizing the scatter of data around the rotation–activity relationship (Wright et al. 2011). Therefore, a mismatch of a factor of a few between the theoretical and observationally inferred Rossby numbers should not be surprising. Given these uncertainties in the Rossby number, the agreement of the nine-year periodicity found in our model with the observed seven-year activity cycles at Proxima Centauri is remarkable. An intrinsic assumption here is that the activity will peak when the mean magnetic field strength on the simulation surface peaks. Furthermore, as mentioned
earlier, we find that a larger Rossby number tends to distribute the large-scale magnetic field across different latitudes. Although most M-stars will probably have numerous small starspots distributed almost uniformly across the surface, one can imagine that if the large-scale magnetic field is strong enough it may lead to relatively larger starspots or starspot groups. If true, then we can expect that large starspots/starspot groups will form at latitudes ranging from the equator to the poles on Proxima Centauri, unlike the case in rapidly rotating FC M-stars where large starspots/starspot groups preferentially form at high latitudes (e.g., Barnes et al. 2015).

To pin down the mechanism that generates the magnetic cycles in our model, we need to analyze the current simulation in detail and perform additional simulations, especially at different Rossby numbers (different Rayleigh numbers and Ekman numbers) and at different magnetic diffusivities (different magnetic Prandtl numbers). Such an exercise will reveal how much the cycle length is affected by these fundamental parameters. The role of the fluid viscosity and the magnetic Reynolds number on the dynamo mechanism is also an outstanding issue that needs to be tackled with future studies. Due to the aforementioned limitations of numerical simulation, the robustness of the cycle period we get in our studies is probably a more robust result.

To better constrain the dynamo theory for fully convective stars like Proxima Centauri, we need to improve substantially in the coming years. Long-term monitoring campaigns are now beginning to find nearby slowly rotating fully convective M-stars with rotation periods in the 70–100 day range (Irwin et al. 2011; Newton et al. 2016b). An essential, albeit labor intensive, exercise is to monitor such M-stars on the timescale of decades, similar to the one carried out for Sun-like stars (Baliunas et al. 1995). Due to slower rotation, the Zeeman-Doppler Imaging technique (Donati & Landstreet 2009) will not be very helpful in revealing the large-scale morphological features of the magnetic field on Proxima Centauri. Therefore, detailed theoretical models that match the available observations will be essential for characterizing the Proxima Centauri system.
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