LSQ14bdq: a type Ic Super-luminous Supernova with a double-peaked light curve
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ABSTRACT

We present data for LSQ14bdq, a hydrogen-poor super-luminous supernova (SLSN) discovered by the La Silla QUEST survey and classified by the Public ESO Spectroscopic Survey of Transient Objects. The spectrum and light curve are very similar to slow-declining SLSNe such as PTF12dam. However, detections within ~1 day after explosion show a bright and relatively fast initial peak, lasting for ~15 days, prior to the usual slow rise to maximum light. The broader, main peak can be fit with either central engine or circumstellar interaction models. We discuss the implications of the precursor peak in the context of these models. It is too bright and narrow to be explained as a normal 56Ni-powered SN, and we suggest that interaction models may struggle to fit the two peaks simultaneously. We propose that the initial peak may arise from the post-shock cooling of extended stellar material, and reheating by a central engine drives the second peak. In this picture, we show that an explosion energy of ~2 × 1052 erg and a progenitor radius of a few hundred solar radii would be required to power the early emission. The competing engine models involve rapidly spinning magnetars (neutron stars) or fallback onto a central black hole. The prompt energy required may favor the black hole scenario. The bright initial peak may be difficult to reconcile with a compact Wolf–Rayet star as a progenitor since the inferred energies and ejected masses become unphysical.

Key words: supernovae: general – supernovae: individual (LSQ14bdq)

1. INTRODUCTION

Type Ic super-luminous supernovae (SLSNe) are hydrogen-poor explosions reaching absolute magnitudes $M_{\text{peak}} < -21$ (Quimby et al. 2011; Gal-Yam 2012; Inserra et al. 2013). They are intrinsically rare (less than ~0.01% of the core-collapse population; Quimby et al. 2013; McCrum et al. 2015), but their enormous electromagnetic output is observable at cosmological distances, and they show promise as standardizable candles (Inserra & Smartt 2014). However, the power source remains elusive. Viable models must account for the luminosity, blue colors (Quimby et al. 2011), spectroscopic evolution to resemble supernovae (SNe) Ic (Pastorello et al. 2010), diverse light curves (Nicholl et al. 2015), and low-metallicity environments (Neill et al. 2010; Chen et al. 2013, 2014; Lunnan et al. 2014; Leloudas et al. 2015).

The slowest events, such as SN 2007bi (Gal-Yam et al. 2009; Young et al. 2010) have been considered candidates for pair-instability supernovae (e.g., Heger & Woosley 2002): complete thermonuclear disruptions of stellar cores with $M_{\text{core}} > 65 M_\odot$. However, early observations of SLSNe apparently similar to SN 2007bi have shown rise-times and blue colors discrepant with numerical simulations (Kasen et al. 2011; Dessart et al. 2012; Nicholl et al. 2013; McCrum et al. 2014).

Two further power sources have been proposed. One is a central engine, which could be the rotation of a millisecond pulsar with $B \sim 10^{14} G$ (Kasen & Bildsten 2010; Woosley 2010), or accretion by fallback if the SN forms a black hole (Dexter & Kasen 2013). The other is reprocessing of kinetic energy as the ejecta expand into a massive, extended circumstellar medium (CSM) (Woosley et al. 2007; Chevalier & Irwin 2011; Ginzburg & Balberg 2012). Both classes of models can fit SLSN light curves (Chatzopoulos et al. 2013; Nicholl et al. 2014), but the spectra of SLSNe Ic do not show clear signs of CSM.

Here we present the discovery, light curve, and analysis of the SLSN Ic, LSQ14bdq. Dense photometric sampling reveals an initial peak before the main light curve rise. We discuss
physical interpretations of these data in the context of the competing models.

2. OBSERVATIONS

2.1. Discovery and Spectroscopic Classification

LSQ14bdq was discovered by La Silla QUEST (LSQ; Baltay et al. 2013), at coordinates \( \alpha = 10^h0^m41^s.60, \delta = -12^\circ22'13''.4 \) (J2000.0), in images taken on April 5.1 UT (though earlier detections exist; Section 2.2). It was classified by Benitez et al. (2014), as part of the Public ESO Spectroscopic Survey of Transient Objects (PESSTO; Smartt et al. 2014), as a SLSN Ic. This spectrum (1500 s) was taken on 2014 May 4.9 UT with the ESO 3.58 m New Technology Telescope, using EFOSC2 and Grism#13, and was followed by a longer exposure (\( 2 \times 1800 \) s) on the next night. A third spectrum was taken using Grism#11 (2400 s), on 2014 May 7.0 UT. These were reduced using the PESSTO pipeline, applying bias-subtraction, flat-fielding, wavelength and flux calibration and telluric correction (Smartt et al. 2014). The absolute fluxes were matched to contemporaneous photometry. PESSTO data are available from the ESO archive18 or WISeREP19 (Yaron & Gal-Yam 2012).

Figure 1 shows the spectrum summed over these three nights. The Grism#11 spectrum (resolution 13.8 \( \AA \)) shows interstellar Mg II \( \lambda \lambda 2795.528, 2802.704 \) absorption, giving a redshift of \( z = 0.345 \) from Gaussian fits. We estimate that the mean rest-frame phase of the combined spectrum is 19 d before maximum brightness (Section 2.2). Also shown are SLSNe Ic PTF12dam (Nicholl et al. 2013) and PTF09cnd (Quimby et al. 2011). The broad absorption features in LSQ14bdq are ubiquitous in such objects before maximum light. The O II lines are a defining feature of the class (Quimby et al. 2011) and the deep Mg II absorption matches that in PTF09cnd and other objects with near-ultraviolet spectroscopy (e.g., Chomiuk et al. 2011).

2.2. Photometry

The observed light curve of LSQ14bdq is shown in Figure 2. The rise from 2014 March 22.1 UT was measured with the automated LSQ pipeline (Baltay et al. 2013), employing point-spread function (PSF) fitting forced photometry, and are calibrated to SDSS \( r \) (AB system). Inspection of pre-discovery LSQ data showed clear variable flux at the SN position before this first pipeline detection. Applying manual PSF photometry (using SNOoPY),20 revealed that this was an early peak prior to the main rise. Non-detections (Table 1) suggest the explosion occurred on \( MJD = 56721 \pm 1 \), assuming a smooth rise to the first peak. A stack of all LSQ images from 2012–2013 shows no host galaxy to a limiting magnitude of \( r = 24.1 \), hence image subtraction is unimportant.
We obtained multicolor imaging using EFOSC2, the 2.0 m Liverpool Telescope and Las Cumbres Observatory Global Telescope 1 m network. PSF magnitudes were calibrated using a sequence of local field stars, themselves calibrated against standard fields on photometric nights. LSQ14bdq set for the season just before reaching maximum brightness. Subsequent data are a combination of PESSTO, LSQ, Pan-STARRS1 (PS1; Magnier et al. 2013), and GROND (Greiner et al. 2008) images. The PS1 data were taken in the $w_{275}$-band (effectively a $gri$ composite; Tonry et al. 2012) from the Pan-STARRS NEO
Science Consortium survey (Huber et al. 2015), and also calibrated to r.

We converted observed r-band magnitudes to rest-frame g-band for comparison with other SLSNe (at this redshift, observed g, r, i, z are similar to rest-frame u, g, r, i). The K-correction before peak is calculated from synthetic photometry on the LSQ14bdq spectrum ($K_{r-g} \approx -0.3$). As we only have one spectrum, our post-peak data use a K-correction calculated in a similar manner, but from the spectrum of PTF12dam at +171 d (Nicholl et al. 2013). LSQ14bdq has a broad light curve like PTF12dam. A polynomial fit suggests $M_g = -21.96$ at maximum light. The initial peak ($M_g = -20.01$) is much faster, with a rise of $5$ days and a total width of $\sim 15$ days.

Leloudas et al. (2012) presented pre-peak data for SN 2006oz with multi-color detections. Figure 3 shows that the two are qualitatively similar. The rise to peak is not as pronounced as for LSQ14bdq, although time-sampling was sparser. Leloudas et al. (2012) suggested a luminosity plateau powered by oxygen-recombination in extended CSM. Of the other SLSNe with strict explosion constraints, SN 2011ke (Inserra et al. 2013) shows a similar rise to SN 2006oz, but non-detections shortly before discovery limit any early peak to be fainter than those observed for LSQ14bdq and SN 2006oz.

3. ANALYSIS

3.1. Bolometric Light Curve and Main Peak

We constructed the bolometric light curve of LSQ14bdq in two steps. First we integrated the flux in the rest-frame u- to i-bands, following the procedure described in Inserra et al. (2013). Before $\sim 20$ d, we caution that points are derived using the earliest available color information. To estimate the full bolometric light curve, we take the fractional flux outside of this range to be the same as for PTF12dam (Nicholl et al. 2013; Chen et al. 2014). This seems reasonable, at least in the late-time NIR, from the $J$-band detection at 192 days (Table 1). Both the observed ugriz-pseudobolometric and the estimated full bolometric light curve are shown in Figure 2.

We can reproduce the main peak with models powered by a central engine (we take a magnetar as representative) or by ejecta–CSM interaction. For details of the models, see Inserra et al. (2013), Chatzopoulos et al. (2012), and Nicholl et al. (2014). The magnetar fit has magnetic field $B = 0.6 \times 10^{14}$ G, spin period $P = 1.7$ ms, and diffusion time $\tau_d = 90$ d. We have fixed the time of explosion to coincide with the first detection on the precursor peak. The CSM model has ejected mass $M_e = 30.0 M_\odot$, CSM mass $M_{CSM} = 16.0 M_\odot$ (assuming $\kappa = 0.2$ cm$^2$ g$^{-1}$), density $\rho_{CSM} = 3.0 \times 10^{-13}$ g cm$^{-3}$, and explosion energy $E_k = 5.0 \times 10^{51}$ erg.

We also compare to the brightest PISN model of Kasen et al. (2011; a 130 $M_\odot$, bare helium core), which reproduces the peak luminosity. However, the rise-time is discrepant: the well-constrained main rise of LSQ14bdq lasts for 50 days, whereas the PISN model rises for over 100 days, and declines more slowly than LSQ14bdq. We therefore reach the same conclusion as Nicholl et al. (2013) and McCrum et al. (2014), who found that the rise-time ruled out PISN models for two slowly declining SLSNe, PTF12dam and PS1-11ap.

3.2. A Nickel-powered Precursor?

The first scenario we investigate for the early peak is an initially normal SN, powered by the radioactive decay of $^{56}$Ni, before the mechanism powering the super-luminous second peak kicks in. We compare our early light curve to $^{56}$Ni-powered SNe (core-collapse and thermonuclear) in Figure 3, choosing filters with similar effective wavelengths. As the late-time spectra of Type Ic SLSNe closely resemble SNe Ic, we first compare to SN 1994I, a well-observed object with a narrow light curve, suggesting $M_{ej} \lesssim 1 M_\odot$ (Richmond et al. 1996). The width of the LSQ14bdq peak is slightly narrower, but comparable to SN 1994I. However, it is 2.3 magnitudes brighter. Using the Arnett (1982) model, as implemented by Inserra et al. (2013), we find that the photometry$^{21}$ requires an almost pure $^{56}$Ni ejecta of $\approx 1 M_\odot$, which is difficult to produce with core-collapse SNe. SNe Ia produce $\approx 0.5$–$1 M_\odot$ of nickel, but comparing with SN 2005cf (Pastorello et al. 2007) shows that SNe Ia have light curves that are too broad. The fast rise of LSQ14bdq would necessitate a very large explosion energy, even for the lowest possible ejecta mass, $M_{ej} = M_{Ni}$. A fit shows that we require $E_k = 25$ B, where 1 B(Bethe) = $10^{51}$ erg. Because complete burning of $1 M_\odot$ of carbon/oxygen to nickel liberates only $\sim 1$ B, an additional energy source is required. Thus, if the early peak is “a supernova in itself,” it cannot be a normal $^{56}$Ni-powered event.

3.3. Shock Cooling with a Central Engine

In Figure 4, we show an alternative scenario, comparing the early emission from LSQ14bdq to other SNe with two observed peaks. The basic light curve morphology of SNe 1987A, 1993J and 2008D has been interpreted as an initial cooling phase, releasing heat deposited by the shock wave, before a second peak is driven by delayed heating from $^{56}$Ni (Shigeyama &

---

$^{21}$ Assuming a blackbody SED, we apply synthetic photometry to the model using PySynphot (http://stsdas.stsci.edu/pysynphot/).
The light curve of LSQ14bdq is qualitatively similar to these objects, suggesting that the light curve could be explained by a shock cooling phase, followed by internal reheating. While bolometric energy distribution moves into and out of the optical.

We fit the early rise using analytic approximations from Rabinak & Waxman (2011), giving the parameters in Figure 4. We use their blue supergiant (radiative envelope), red supergiant (convective envelope), and Wolf–Rayet models for SN 1987A, 1993J, and 2008D, respectively. The progenitor radius, $R_*$, determines the slope and duration of the rise, while the luminosity scale is set by both $R_*$ and by the explosion energy per unit mass, $E_k/M_\odot$. The values of $R_*$ and $E_k/M_\odot$ used to fit the literature objects are in line with previous estimates. As noted by Rabinak & Waxman (2011), the model assumptions begin to break down after a few days; we end the simulations at the cut-off time prescribed by their Equation (17). The model for SN 1993J is still rising slowly as it goes through the peak. The discrepancy with observations may be due to the very simple density profile assumed in the model. Detailed models of SN 1993J have had $\lesssim 0.1 M_\odot$ in the extended envelope, with most of its mass in the core.

To model LSQ14bdq, we set $E_k/M_\odot = C B/M_\odot$, where $C$ is arbitrary. To try to break the degeneracy between $E_k$ and $M_\odot$, we assume that the diffusion time during the early peak is the same as in our central-engine fit to the main peak. We neglect late-time kinetic energy input from the magnetar, $\lesssim 10^{51}$ erg for our model, because this is small compared to the initial energy found for the shock cooling, as will be seen below. We then have

$$\tau_m = \frac{1.05}{(13.7\kappa)^{1/2}} \left( \frac{M_\odot}{E_k} \right)^{3/4} = 90 \text{ days.}$$

For $\kappa = 0.2 \text{ cm}^2 \text{g}^{-1}$, this leads to

$$M_\odot = 40.1 C^{1/2} M_\odot;$$

$$E_k = 40.1 C^{3/2} \text{ B.}$$

The uncertainty in $\tau_m$ (taking the range where $\chi^2 < 2\chi^2_{\text{min}}$) is $\sim 30\%$, meaning $M_\odot$ and $E_k$ are constrained to within a factor of 2.

Figure 4 shows models for 3 progenitors: a Wolf–Rayet with $R_*=10 R_\odot$, and extended stars with $R_*=100$, $500 R_\odot$. (extended models are insensitive to the choice of radiative/convective envelope). For the compact model, we derive $M_\odot \approx 270 M_\odot$; depending on the precise mass, the implied progenitor should either explode as a PISN or collapse totally (hence invisibly) to a black hole (Heger & Woosley 2002), neither being consistent with the light curve. The inferred energy, $E_k > 10^{54}$ erg, is also unrealistic.

An extended envelope (or wind; Ofek et al. 2010) is therefore a requirement in this scenario. The $100 R_\odot$ model requires $M_\odot \approx 60 M_\odot$ and $E_k \approx 150 \text{ B.}$ This energy is greater than the canonical neutron star gravitational binding energy of $10^{53}$ erg (of which $\sim 1\%$ is normally accessible to power the explosion). The energy released in black hole-formation is higher than for neutron stars and could meet the requirement, if it could couple to the ejecta. A possible mechanism is an accretion disk, such as in the collapsar model (Woosley 1993) of gamma-ray bursts (GRBs). In this case the engine would be black hole accretion (Dexter & Kasen 2013) rather than a magnetar. This accretion engine has a characteristic power law, $L \propto t^{-n}$, similar to the magnetar, with $n_{\text{mag}} = 2$ and $n_{\text{sec}} = 5/3$. Therefore we would expect a similar $\tau_m$, and that Equations (2) and (3) would still hold.

The final model shown is for $R_*=500 R_\odot$. The inferred mass is $M_\odot \approx 30 M_\odot$, with $E_k \approx 20 \text{ B}$, which may also favor a black hole engine over a neutron star (it is similar to the kinetic energy in GRB-SNe), but not so definitively as in the more compact models. The radius is very large for a hydrogen-free star, but similar to SN 1993J, which had only a very diffuse hydrogen envelope, and by maximum light had evolved to resemble a SN Ib. For this model, the velocity, $v \sim \sqrt{10E_k/(3M_\odot)} = 10,000 \text{ km s}^{-1}$, is in good agreement with the observed spectrum.

3.4. Shock Cooling with CSM Interaction

An alternative scenario to consider is that the main peak arises from CSM interaction on scales of $\sim 10^5 R_\odot$, as has been suggested for other SLSNe (e.g., Chatzopoulos et al. 2013). CSM fits for the main peak (Figure 2) require $E_k/M_\odot \sim 0.2 B/ M_\odot$, lower than any of the shock cooling models shown in Figure 4. Then we see no photometric light curve. However models have been proposed in which the cooling phase arises from shock breakout in an inner region of dense CSM rather than the progenitor envelope (Ofek et al. 2010); this may be a viable explanation for LSQ14bdq, but would require a novel CSM structure to get two distinct peaks.
A model was put forward by Moriya & Maeda (2012) to explain the data for SN 2006gz, in which a single CSM interaction produces a double-peaked light curve due to a sudden increase in CSM ionization (and hence opacity) in the collision. However, this model does not specify the source of the early emission before the collision, which for LSQ14bq, we have shown rises too steeply to be explained as a conventional SN. Multi-peaked light curves from interaction could also arise within the pulsational pair-instability model (Woosley et al. 2007). Here, multiple shells are ejected and could produce distinct interaction events with a range of luminosities and timescales. Suppose SN ejecta collide with an inner shell at the beginning of our observations, and the resulting merged ejecta/shell then hit an outer shell fifteen days later, generating the second peak. For a shell separation $R_{CSM} \sim 10^{15}$ cm (from our CSM fit and the Woosley et al. 2007 models), this would require $v_{ej+inner} \sim 10^{4}$ km s$^{-1}$, which is similar to the observed line widths. This may provide a reasonable alternative to the shock cooling scenario, although the massive outer shell must also be accelerated to avoid showing narrow spectral lines. We note that the Woosley et al. (2007) models are much redder than our observations. Hence, further detailed modeling is needed to assess the viability of this scenario for LSQ14bq.

4. DISCUSSION AND CONCLUSIONS

The detection of a double-peaked light curve provides a new opportunity to constrain the physics powering SLSNe Ic. We find that the early peak is not likely to be a normal SN driven by $^{56}$Ni. We also disfavor the CSM interaction model, since a consistent physical scenario seems to require a helium star with an extremely large radius $R_* > 2000 R_\odot$, within a more extended (and hydrogen poor) CSM of $R_{CSM} \gtrsim 10^4 R_\odot$. Pulsational pair-instability models remain a possibility, but do not yet quantitatively reproduce the observed data.

We propose that the initial peak could arise from post-shock cooling, and provide a simple physical interpretation consistent with the main light curve. The first peak is itself remarkably bright ($M_\nu = -20.0$), suggesting a large stellar radius or high explosion energy, or both. The broad width of the second peak implies a large ejected mass, and can be powered by a central engine. We find good fits for an explosion with $E_K \sim 20$ B, in a star with $R_* \sim 500 R_\odot$, that ejected a mass of $\sim 30 M_\odot$. This energy may favor a black hole accretion engine (Dexter & Kasen 2013) rather than a magnetar, and is similar to kinetic energies seen in long GRBs.

The extended radius is surprising, and argues against a compact Wolf–Rayet progenitor ($R < 10 R_\odot$) since it would imply unrealistic ejecta mass and explosion energy. Although such extended He stars are not known in the local universe, the fact that SLSNe may be confined to very low metallicity galaxies and are intrinsically rare ($\sim 1$ in 10,000 massive star deaths) may explain the lack of known counterparts.

Further, early observations of SLSNe will determine whether or not double-peaked light curves are common. Theoretical stellar evolution models with binarity and rotation should be explored for a viable progenitor.

Based on data from ESO as part of PESSTO (188.D-3003, 191.D-0935), 2.2 m MPG telescope (CN2014B-102, GROND DFG grant HA 1850/28-1). PS1 is supported by NASA grants NNX12AR65G and NNX14AM74G from NEO Observation Program. We acknowledge: EU/FP7-ERC grants [291222, 307260, 320360] (S.J.S., A.V.G., M.S.) and FP7 grant agreement No. 267251 (N.E.-R.); CONICYT-Chile FONDECYT grants 3140566, 3140534, Basal-CATA PFB-06/2007, and the Millennium Science Initiative grant IC120009 to MAS (L.G., S.S.); PRIN-INAFF 2014 project Transient universe: unveiling new types of stellar explosions with PESSTO (S.B.).
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