



**QUEEN'S
UNIVERSITY
BELFAST**

Geo-economic variations in epidemiology, patterns of care, and outcomes in patients with acute respiratory distress syndrome: insights from the LUNG SAFE prospective cohort study

Laffey, J. G., Madotto, F., Bellani, G., Pham, T., Fan, E., Brochard, L., Amin, P., Arabi, Y. M., Bajwa, E. K., Bruhn, A., Cerny, V., Clarkson, K., Heunks, L. M., Kurahashi, K., Laake, J. H., Lorente, J. A., McNamee, L., Nin, N., Palo, J. E., ... Pesenti, A. (2017). Geo-economic variations in epidemiology, patterns of care, and outcomes in patients with acute respiratory distress syndrome: insights from the LUNG SAFE prospective cohort study. *The Lancet Respiratory Medicine*, 5(8), 627-638. [https://doi.org/10.1016/S2213-2600\(17\)30213-8](https://doi.org/10.1016/S2213-2600(17)30213-8)

Published in:

The Lancet Respiratory Medicine

Document Version:

Peer reviewed version

Queen's University Belfast - Research Portal:

[Link to publication record in Queen's University Belfast Research Portal](#)

Publisher rights

© 2017 Elsevier Ltd. This manuscript version is made available under the CC-BY-NC-ND 4.0 license <http://creativecommons.org/licenses/by-nc-nd/4.0/>, which permits distribution and reproduction for noncommercial purposes, provided the author and source are cited.

General rights

Copyright for the publications made accessible via the Queen's University Belfast Research Portal is retained by the author(s) and / or other copyright owners and it is a condition of accessing these publications that users recognise and abide by the legal requirements associated with these rights.

Take down policy

The Research Portal is Queen's institutional repository that provides access to Queen's research output. Every effort has been made to ensure that content in the Research Portal does not infringe any person's rights, or applicable UK laws. If you discover content in the Research Portal that you believe breaches copyright or violates any law, please contact openaccess@qub.ac.uk.

Open Access

This research has been made openly available by Queen's academics and its Open Research team. We would love to hear how access to this research benefits you. – Share your feedback with us: <http://go.qub.ac.uk/oa-feedback>

1 **Title:** Geo-economic variations in epidemiology, patterns of care and outcome in
2 patients with Acute Respiratory Distress Syndrome: Insights from the LUNG SAFE
3 prospective cohort study

4

5 **Authors**

6 John G. Laffey, MD¹, Professor (Full)

7 Fabiana Madotto, PhD²

8 Giacomo Bellani, MD³

9 Tài Pham, MD⁴

10 Eddy Fan, MD⁵

11 Laurent Brochard, MD⁴, Professor (Full)

12 Pravin Amin, MD⁶, Professor (Full)

13 Yaseen Arabi MD⁷, Professor (Full)

14 Ednan K. Bajwa, MD⁸

15 Alejandro Bruhn, MD⁹

16 Vladimir Cerny, MD¹⁰, Professor (Full)

17 Kevin Clarkson, MB¹¹

18 Leo Heunks, MD¹², Professor (Full)

19 Kiyoyasu Kurahashi, MD¹³, Professor (Full)

20 Jon Henrik Laake, MD¹⁴

21 Jose A Lorente, MD¹⁵, Professor (Full)

22 Lia McNamee, BSc¹⁶

23 Nicolas Nin, MD¹⁷

24 Jose Emmanuel Palo, MD¹⁸

25 Lise Piquilloud, MD¹⁹

26 Haibo Qiu, MD²⁰, Professor (Full)

27 Juan Ignacio Silesky Jiménez, MD²¹, Professor (Full)

28 Andres Esteban, MD¹⁷

29 Daniel F. McAuley, MD ¹⁶, Professor (Full)

30 Frank van Haren, MD ²²

31 Marco Ranieri, MD ²³, Professor (Full)

32 Gordon Rubenfeld, MD ²⁴, Professor (Full)

33 Hermann Wrigge, MD ²⁵, Professor (Full)

34 Arthur S. Slutsky, MD ²⁶, Professor (Full)

35 Antonio Pesenti, MD ²⁷, Professor (Full)

36 On behalf of the LUNG SAFE Investigators and the ESICM Trials Group

37

38 **Author Affiliations:**

39 ¹Departments of Anesthesia and Critical Care Medicine, Keenan Research Centre for Biomedical
40 Science, St Michael's Hospital, Toronto, Ontario, Canada; Departments of Anesthesia,
41 Physiology and Interdepartmental Division of Critical Care Medicine, University of Toronto,
42 Toronto, Ontario, Canada

43 ²Research Center on Public Health, Department of Medicine and Surgery, University of Milano-
44 Bicocca, Monza, Italy

45 ³Department of Medicine and Surgery, University of Milano-Bicocca, Monza, Italy; Department
46 of Emergency and Intensive Care, San Gerardo Hospital, Monza, Italy

47 ⁴Keenan Research Centre, Li Ka Shing Knowledge Institute, St Michael's Hospital, Toronto,
48 Canada; Interdepartmental Division of Critical Care Medicine, University of Toronto, Toronto,
49 Ontario, Canada; Sorbonne Universités, UPMC Université Paris 06

50 ⁵Department of Medicine, University Health Network and Mount Sinai Hospital, Toronto,
51 Ontario, Canada; Interdepartmental Division of Critical Care Medicine and Institute of Health
52 Policy, Management and Evaluation, University of Toronto, Toronto, Ontario, Canada

53 ⁶Department of Critical Care Medicine, Bombay Hospital Institute of Medical Sciences, Mumbai,
54 India

55 ⁷Intensive Care Department, King Saud Bin Abdulaziz University for Health Sciences, Riyadh,
56 Kingdom of Saudi Arabia; Respiratory Services, King Abdulaziz Medical City, Ministry of National
57 Guard Health Affairs, Riyadh, Kingdom of Saudi Arabia; King Abdullah International Medical
58 Research Center, Riyadh, Kingdom of Saudi Arabia

59 ⁸Division of Pulmonary and Critical Care, Department of Medicine, Massachusetts General
60 Hospital, Harvard Medical School, Boston, Massachusetts, USA

61 ⁹Departamento de Medicina Intensiva, Facultad de Medicina, Pontificia Universidad Católica de
62 Chile, Santiago, Chile

63 ¹⁰Department of Anesthesiology, Perioperative Medicine and Intensive Care, J.E. Purkinje
64 University, Masaryk Hospital, Usti nad Labem, Czech Republic; Department of Research and
65 Development and Department of Anesthesiology and Intensive Care, Charles University in
66 Prague, Faculty of Medicine in Hradec Kralove, Hradec Kralove, Czech Republic

67 ¹¹Department of Anaesthesia, Galway University Hospitals and National University of Ireland,
68 Galway, Ireland

69 ¹²Department of Intensive Care, VU University Medical Centre Amsterdam, Netherlands

70 ¹³Department of Anesthesiology and Intensive Care Medicine, School of Medicine, International
71 University of Health and Welfare, Narita, Japan

72 ¹⁴Division of Critical Care, Department of Anaesthesiology, Rikshospitalet Medical Centre, Oslo
73 University Hospital, Oslo, Norway

74 ¹⁵CIBER de Enfermedades Respiratorias, Hospital Universitario de Getafe, Universidad Europea,
75 Madrid, Spain

76 ¹⁶Centre for Experimental Medicine, Queen's University of Belfast; Wellcome-Wolfson Institute
77 for Experimental Medicine, Belfast; and Regional Intensive Care Unit, Royal Victoria Hospital
78 A&E, Grosvenor Road, Belfast, Northern Ireland

79 ¹⁷Hospital Español, Montevideo, Uruguay, CIBER de Enfermedades Respiratorias, Madrid, Spain

80 ¹⁸Section of Adult Critical Care, Department of Medicine, The Medical City, Pasig, Philippines

81 ¹⁹Adult Intensive Care and Burn Unit, University Hospital of Lausanne, Lausanne, Switzerland
82 and Department of Medical Intensive Care, University Hospital of Angers, Angers, France

83 ²⁰Department of Critical Care Medicine, Nanjing Zhongda hospital, School of Medicine,
84 Southeast University, Nanjing 210009, PR China

85 ²¹Department of Intensive Care, Hospital San Juan de Dios, Department of Intensive Care,
86 Hospital CIMA San Jose, Council of Critical Medicine , University of Costa Rica, Costa Rica

87 ²²Intensive Care Unit, Canberra Hospital; and Australian National University, Canberra, Australia

88 ²³SAPIENZA Università di ROMA, Dipartimento di Anestesia e Rianimazione, Policlinico Umberto
89 I, Viale del Policlinico 155, 00161 Roma, Italy

90 ²⁴Interdepartmental Division of Critical Care Medicine, University of Toronto, Toronto, Ontario,
91 Canada; Program in Trauma, Emergency and Critical Care, Sunnybrook Health Sciences Center,
92 Toronto, Ontario, Canada

93 ²⁵Department of Anesthesiology and Intensive Care Medicine, University of Leipzig, Liebigstr.
94 20, D-04103 Leipzig, Germany

95 ²⁶Keenan Research Center at the Li Ka Shing Knowledge Institute of St. Michael's Hospital,
96 Interdepartmental Division of Critical Care Medicine, and Department of Medicine,
97 University of Toronto, Toronto, Ontario, Canada

98 ²⁷ Dipartimento di Anestesia, Rianimazione ed Emergenza Urgenza, Fondazione IRCCS Cà
99 Granda-Ospedale Maggiore Policlinico and Dipartimento di Fisiopatologia Medico-Chirurgica e
100 dei Trapianti, Università degli Studi di Milano, Milan, Italy

101

102 **Corresponding Author:** John G. Laffey, Departments of Anesthesia and Critical Care Medicine,
103 Keenan Research Centre for Biomedical Science, St Michael's Hospital, University of Toronto,
104 CANADA. E-mail:laffeyj@smh.ca Phone: 1-416-864-5071

105 **Word count:** 3,466.

106 **Take-home message:** Patients with ARDS from European high-income countries had higher
107 ARDS severity, received more adjunctive therapies and had a longer hospital length of stay than
108 patients from the rest of the world high-income countries. Lower country gross national income
109 was associated with poorer hospital survival in patients with ARDS.

110

111 **Tweet:** Geo-economic variations exist regarding ARDS severity, clinician recognition,
112 management approaches, and in patient outcomes from ARDS. [140-character limit]

113 **Abstract**

114 **Background:** There is limited information about the geo-economic variations in demographics,
115 management and outcome of patients with acute respiratory distress syndrome (ARDS).

116 **Methods:** The Large observational study to Understand the Global impact of Severe Acute
117 respiratory Failure (LUNG SAFE) study was conducted during four consecutive weeks in the
118 winter of 2014 in a convenience sample of 459 ICUs from 50 countries across 5 continents. A
119 key secondary aim was to characterize variations in the demographics, management and
120 outcome of patients with ARDS. We compared patients across three major geo-economic
121 groupings, namely Europe high-income (Europe-High), Rest of World high-income (rWORLD-
122 High), and Middle-income (Middle) countries.

123 **Findings:** 1,521 (54%) of the patients were recruited from Europe-High, 746 (27%) were from
124 rWORLD-High, and 546 (19%) from Middle countries. Significant geographic variations were
125 demonstrated regarding patient demographics, ARDS risk factors and comorbid diseases. The
126 proportion of patients with-severe ARDS or with P/F ratio <150 was less in rWORLD-High
127 compared to the two other regions. Use of prone position, neuromuscular blockade and ECMO
128 were greatest in Europe-High. Adjusted duration of invasive mechanical ventilation and ICU
129 length of stay were shorter in patients from rWORLD-High. Higher country per capita gross
130 national income was associated with increased hospital survival in patients with ARDS, while
131 the middle-income countries were associated with reduced hospital survival.

132 **Interpretation:** Important geo-economic differences exist regarding ARDS severity, clinician
133 recognition of ARDS, ARDS management approaches, and in patient outcomes. An independent
134 association exists between per capita income and outcomes in patients with ARDS.

135 **Trial Registration:** ClinicalTrials.gov NCT02010073

136 **Research in context**

137 ***Evidence before this study***

138 We searched PubMed in December, 2016, for articles published since Jan 1 Nov, 1990, with
139 search terms relating to ‘acute respiratory distress syndrome’ and ‘geographic’ or ‘country’.
140 Specific search terms used were "respiratory distress syndrome, adult"[MeSH Terms] OR
141 ("respiratory"[All Fields] AND "distress"[All Fields] AND "syndrome"[All Fields] AND "adult"[All
142 Fields]) OR "adult respiratory distress syndrome"[All Fields] OR ("acute"[All Fields] AND
143 "respiratory"[All Fields] AND "distress"[All Fields] AND "syndrome"[All Fields]) OR "acute
144 respiratory distress syndrome"[All Fields]) AND geographic[All Fields] OR country[All Fields].
145 Searches were not limited by language and were supplemented by review of reference lists. We
146 found some studies reporting findings from more limited regions, such as individual countries
147 or small groups of countries, but no study reporting data regarding acute respiratory distress
148 syndrome across major geo-economic groupings.

149

150 **Added value of this study**

151 Significant variations exist regarding patient demographics, ARDS risk factors and comorbid
152 diseases across the 3 major geo-economic groupings, namely Europe high income (Europe-
153 High), Rest of World high income (rWORLD-High), and Middle Income (Middle) countries. ARDS
154 severity was less overall in Rest of World high-income countries. In terms of patterns of care,
155 the use of prone positioning, neuromuscular blockade and recruitment maneuvers were
156 greatest in Europe-High countries. ICU length of stay was shorter, and the likelihood of
157 unassisted ventilation to day 28 was significantly ($P < 0.001$) higher, in rWORLD-High countries.

158 Lower country gross national product was associated with poorer hospital survival in patients
159 with ARDS. The middle-income country grouping was associated with worse ARDS outcomes
160 than either high-income country grouping.

161

162 **Implications of all the available evidence**

163 Important regional differences exist regarding the demographics, management and outcomes
164 of patients with ARDS. These data identify opportunities to enhance implementation of
165 evidence-based interventions that improve outcome from ARDS.

166 **Introduction**

167 Important geographic and economic variations in regard to the epidemiology, and patterns of
168 care have been described for multiple diseases including diabetes ¹, asthma ², myocardial
169 infarction ^{3,4}, heart failure ⁵, atrial fibrillation ⁶, COPD ⁷, end-stage renal disease ⁸ and breast
170 cancer ⁹. Furthermore, the use of interventions such as blood transfusions ¹⁰, amputation ¹¹,
171 aneurysm repair ¹² and carotid revascularization ¹³, and outcome following procedures such as
172 coronary artery bypass grafting ¹⁴ also vary by region and/or socioeconomic status. Geo-
173 economic variations in the epidemiology and management of patients with acute respiratory
174 distress syndrome (ARDS) may have important implications for patient outcome. To date the
175 extent and the impact of these variations have not been characterized.

176

177 The Large observational study to Understand the Global impact of Severe Acute respiratory
178 Failure (LUNG SAFE) study in 459 ICUs in 50 countries in 6 continents found a two-fold
179 difference in the incidence of ARDS across the different continents ¹⁵. ARDS was under-
180 recognized by clinicians, while the use of evidence based ventilatory strategies and adjuncts
181 was lower than expected. Of most concern, ARDS continues to confer a high mortality, with
182 40% of patients with ARDS dying in hospital.

183

184 A key secondary aim of the LUNG SAFE study was to characterize geo-economic variations in
185 demographics, management and outcome of patients with ARDS. We compared patients across
186 three major geo-economic groupings, namely Europe high income (Europe-High), Rest of World
187 high income (rWORLD-High), and Middle Income (Middle) countries.

188 **Methods and Materials**

189 ***Study Design***

190 The detailed methods has been published elsewhere ¹⁵. In brief, LUNG SAFE was an
191 international, multicenter, prospective cohort study, with a 4 week enrollment window in the
192 winter season in each hemisphere ¹⁵. The study, conceived by the Acute Respiratory Failure
193 Section of the European Society of Intensive Care Medicine (ESICM), was endorsed by multiple
194 national societies/networks (***Appendix 1***). All participating ICUs obtained ethics committee
195 approval, and either patient consent or ethics committee waiver of consent. National
196 coordinators (***Appendix 1***) and site investigators (***Appendix 2***) were responsible for obtaining
197 ethics committee approval and for ensuring data integrity and validity.

198

199 ***Patients, Study Design and Data Collection***

200 Inclusion criteria were: admission to a participating ICU (including ICU transfers) within the 4-
201 week enrollment window; and receipt of invasive or noninvasive ventilation (NIV). Exclusion
202 criteria were: age<16 years or inability to obtain informed consent, where required. Patients
203 were classified as having ARDS based on the Berlin criteria ¹⁶. To ensure a more homogenous
204 dataset, we restricted subsequent analyses to the large subset of patients (2,813 of 3,022
205 patients, 93.1%) fulfilling ARDS criteria on day 1 or day 2 (n=2,813) following the onset of acute
206 hypoxemic respiratory failure (AHRF) [***Figure 1***] that received invasive mechanical ventilation
207 (MV) or non-invasive ventilation (NIV). Data from the first day of fulfillment of ARDS criteria
208 were used in these analyses.

209

210 **Data Definitions**

211 We used the 2016 World Bank countries classification, which accounts for the gross national
212 income per capita (<http://databank.worldbank.org/data/home.aspx>) to define 3 major geo-
213 economic groupings: Europe high income (Europe-High), Rest of World high income (rWORLD-
214 High), and Middle Income (Middle) countries for this analysis (**Table 1**).

215
216 Duration of invasive ventilation was calculated as the number of days that the patient required
217 invasive mechanical ventilation up to day 28. Driving pressure was defined as plateau pressure
218 minus PEEP. Plateau and driving pressure analysis confined to patients (n=742) in whom plateau
219 pressure was measured and in whom there was no evidence of spontaneous ventilation (i.e.
220 when set and measured respiratory rates were equal). All modes other than volume and
221 pressure control modes were considered to permit spontaneous breathing. Mortality was
222 evaluated at 28-days, ICU and hospital discharge (or to day 90, whichever event occurred first).

223

224 **Data Management and Statistical Analyses**

225 Descriptive statistics included proportions for categorical and mean (standard deviation) for
226 continuous variables. The amount of missing data was low¹⁵, and no assumptions were made
227 for missing data. Data were unadjusted unless specifically stated otherwise. Differences among
228 geo-economic groupings were evaluated using chi-square test (or Fisher exact tests) and
229 ANOVA or Kruskal–Wallis test as appropriate. The Shapiro–Wilk test was used to assess
230 normality in data distribution. Bonferroni correction was applied to determine significance in
231 the setting of multiple comparisons.

232

233 Kaplan-Meier analysis was used to estimate the likelihood of liberation from invasive
234 mechanical ventilation and of hospital mortality within 28 days of AHRF onset. We assumed
235 that patients discharged alive from hospital before 28 days were alive at day 28. The
236 comparison among geo-economic groupings was performed using the log-rank test.

237 We used mixed effect logistic regression to evaluate the association between mortality and
238 ICU-level predictors. We considered a two-level random intercept model for binary responses:
239 the first level was represented by individuals and the second level by the ICUs. Demographic,
240 risk, illness severity and management factors were considered at the first level; gross national
241 income (GNI) per capita, geographic area and ICU characteristics (number of beds, academic
242 ICU, beds per nurse, beds per physician, and percentage of ICU beds in hospital) were used as
243 predictors at the second level. Since some ICUs had few observations to support the normal
244 assumption of the model, we applied the bootstrap method (1,000 samples randomly
245 extracted) to estimate the model parameters, odds ratio (ORs) and the confidence intervals
246 (CIs). The individual predictors (level one) were identified through the stepwise approach
247 (forward and backward selection combined with a significance level of 0.05 both for entry and
248 retention) applied to a logistic regression. These predictors were included in a mixed effect
249 logistic regression model and then, one by one, we evaluated the statistical significance of each
250 ICU variable (level two). Analogously, we applied the mixed effect Poisson regression to
251 evaluate the association between ICU-level predictors and ICU length of stay and duration of
252 invasive mechanical ventilation.

253 Statistical analyses were performed with R, version 3.0.2 (R Project for Statistical Computing,
254 (<http://www.R-project.org>) and SAS software, version 9.4 (SAS Institute, Cary, NC, USA). All p-
255 values were two-sided, with p-values <0.05 considered as statistically significant. The study
256 protocol, case report form and full statistical analysis plan are included in **Appendix 3**.

257 **RESULTS**

258 Of the 12,906 patients screened for the LUNG SAFE study, 2,813 (22%) fulfilled ARDS criteria at
259 day 1 or day 2 following enrollment. Of these, 1,521 (54%) were recruited from Europe-High,
260 746 (27%) from rWORLD-High, and 546 (19%) from Middle countries [**Figure 1**]. ARDS
261 comprised 23% of screened patients in Europe-High, compared to 21% in both rWORLD-High
262 and in Middle countries [**Table 1**]. Overall, (298 of 459) 65% of participating ICUs were in
263 academic hospitals, with a median of 14 beds per ICU, and a median nurse to bed daytime ratio
264 of 0.6, and physician to bed ratio of 0.3. Table 1 details the number of ARDS patients enrolled by
265 country and geo-economic region, and data on the structure and organization of participating
266 ICUs.

267

268 **Patient Demographic Characteristics**

269 Patients from Europe-High countries were significantly older, and there was a stepwise increase
270 in body mass index in patients from Middle to Europe-High to rWORLD-High countries. Diabetes
271 mellitus, chronic renal failure and liver disease were significantly more frequent in rWORLD-
272 High countries, while congestive heart failure was highest in patients from Middle countries
273 [**Figure e1A**]. Pneumonia was the dominant risk factor for ARDS across each group [**Figure e1B**].
274 Extra-pulmonary sepsis was highest in Middle countries, while differences were also seen
275 regarding, trauma, inhalational injury, pancreatitis, and the absence of risk factors [**Figure e1B**].

276

277 **Patient Illness Severity**

278 ARDS severity varied by region, with mild ARDS significantly higher and severe ARDS
279 significantly lower in rWORLD-High compared to the Europe-High or Middle countries [**Table 2**;
280 **Figure e1C**]. rWORLD-High had a significantly lower proportion of patients with a P/F ratio <150
281 and a lower proportion of patients with persistent severe ARDS compared to both Europe-High
282 and Middle countries [**Table 2**]. Mean P/F ratios were significantly higher in rWORLD-High while
283 non-pulmonary SOFA scores were lower in Europe-High countries [**Table 2**].

284

285 **Clinician Recognition of ARDS**

286 Clinician recognition of mild and moderate, but not severe, ARDS was lower in rWORLD-High
287 compared to the Europe-High or Middle countries [**Table 3**].

288

289 **Ventilator Management of ARDS**

290 In rWORLD-High countries, more patients (66%) received lower tidal volumes (≤ 8 mL/kg PBW)
291 compared to 62% in Europe-High and Middle countries [**Figure 2A**]. Plateau pressure
292 measurement was highest in patients from Middle countries [**Table 3**]. The distribution of
293 plateau pressures and driving pressures were similar in Europe-High and the rWORLD-High, but
294 differed in Middle countries, with 18% of patients having a driving pressure < 7.5 cmH₂O [**Figure**
295 **2B-C**]. Over 50% of patients in Middle countries had a PEEP < 7.5 cmH₂O, compared to 44% in
296 Europe-High and 40% in rWORLD-High, respectively [**Figure 2D**]. The proportion of patients
297 receiving 'protective' ventilation, defined as a tidal volume ≤ 8 mL/kg PBW and plateau pressure
298 of ≤ 30 cmH₂O, was not different across the geo-economic groupings [**Table 3**].

299 Spontaneous ventilation in early ARDS was significantly more frequent in patients from

300 rWORLD-High countries compared to Europe-High [**Table 3**]. Inspired oxygen use differed by

301 region, with lower FiO₂ (FiO₂ ≤ 0.4) greater in rWORLD-High countries, and higher FiO₂ more
302 frequent in Europe-High countries [**Figure 2E**]. Hypercapnia was more frequent in patients from
303 Europe-High countries, while hypocapnia was more frequent in patients in Middle countries
304 [**Figure e2A**]. Modes of ventilation differed, with least use of pressure support and greatest use
305 of SIMV in Middle countries. Use of volume and pressure control ventilation modes was similar
306 across the geo-economic groupings [**Figure e2B**].

307

308 **Use of adjunctive measures**

309 There was no significant variation in the use of NIV [**Figure 2F**]. The use of neuromuscular
310 blockade, and prone positioning in all patients and in the subgroup with a P/F ratio <150 [Table
311 e1] were significantly higher in patients from Europe-High countries. ECMO use in patients was
312 significantly lower in the Middle countries. Use of recruitment maneuvers was lower in
313 rWORLD-High countries. Adjustment for ARDS severity did not explain the regional differences
314 in the use of adjunctive measures.

315

316 **Outcome from ARDS**

317 Unadjusted duration of invasive ventilation and ICU length of stay were lower in rWORLD-High
318 countries [**Table e2**]. Kaplan-Meier analyses demonstrated significant differences in the
319 probability of weaning from invasive ventilation at each level of ARDS severity [**Figure 3 and e3**].
320 Probability of weaning was greater in patients from rWORLD-High countries compared to
321 Europe-high (P < 0.001, log rank test) and middle-income countries (P < 0.001, log rank test).
322 Multivariate analyses demonstrated that the adjusted incidence rate ratio for duration of

323 invasive mechanical ventilation, and ICU length of stay was significantly higher in Europe-High
324 countries, but not Middle countries, compared to rWORLD-High countries [**Figure 4; Tables e3-**
325 **4**].

326 Unadjusted ICU and hospital mortality were both lower in rWORLD-High countries [**Table e2**].
327 Kaplan-Meier analyses demonstrate that 28-day survival was highest in rWORLD-High countries,
328 and lowest in Middle countries [**Figure 3**]. Multivariate analyses demonstrated that the adjusted
329 incidence rate ratio for ICU and hospital survival significantly better in rWORLD-High and
330 Europe-High, countries, compared to Middle countries [**Figure 4; Tables e5-6**]. There was no
331 impact of differences in approaches to mechanical ventilation on ICU or hospital mortality by
332 geo-economic region [**Tables e5-6**]. Patient level variables associated with hospital mortality
333 included age, active or hematologic neoplasm, chronic liver failure, acidosis, P/F ratio, non-
334 pulmonary SOFA score, and respiratory rate. There was no independent association between
335 ICU level variables, including ICU size, beds per nurse/physician, and the percentage of
336 academic ICUs, and hospital mortality [**Tables e5-6**].

337
338 A separate multivariate analysis across all countries (i.e. without any grouping), and using per
339 capita GNI as a continuous variable, demonstrated that outcome from ARDS is independently
340 associated with GDI [**Table 4**]. Respiratory (42%) and cardiovascular failure (37%) were the most
341 common factors leading to ICU death, with no significant geographic variation seen [**Table e7**].
342 Decisions around limitation of life sustaining measures were less frequent in Middle (17%)
343 compared to Europe-High (27%) or rWORLD (26%) countries.

344 **Discussion**

345 In this prospective observational cohort study, we found important differences in ARDS severity
346 patterns, the extent of clinician recognition, and approaches to management of patients with
347 ARDS across geo-economic regions. Patients from Europe-High countries had longer durations
348 of mechanical ventilation and ICU stays compared to patients from rWORLD high countries. We
349 demonstrate for the first time to our knowledge that indices of national socioeconomic status
350 are associated with patient survival from ARDS.

351
352 While there were significant demographic differences among the patients from the 3 geo-
353 economic regions, the impact on patient outcome appears limited. The older age of patients
354 from Europe-High countries may partly explain the higher unadjusted mortality in this region, as
355 age was independently associated with hospital mortality in this and in prior studies¹⁷⁻¹⁹. Of the
356 pre-existing comorbidities associated with poor outcome, only chronic liver failure, was
357 different, being higher in rWORLD-High countries. The pattern of critical illness seemed to differ,
358 with patients from Europe-High countries having greater ARDS severity, and a higher proportion
359 with persistent severe ARDS, while patients from rWORLD-High countries had more severe
360 systemic illness. As has previously been demonstrated, both ARDS severity and non-pulmonary
361 SOFA scores were independently associated with hospital mortality¹⁹.

362
363 The greater use in Europe-High countries of neuromuscular blockade and prone positioning in
364 patients with a P/F ratio <150, may reflect the fact that the evidence for these approaches was
365 largely developed in Europe-High countries^{20,21}, and may have penetrated to a lesser extent in

366 the rest of the world. Other possible explanations include the unavailability of cisatracurium in
367 some countries, and lack of 'hands-on' experience with prone positioning. Even in Europe-High
368 countries, however, prone positioning was used in <10% of patients with P/F <150, the
369 population in which proning improves outcome ²⁰. A large clinical trial is being conducted in the
370 US by the PETAL network [ROSE trial, NCT02509078] to address any ongoing scientific
371 uncertainty regarding the efficacy of neuromuscular blockade in ARDS. These variations in the
372 use of adjunctive measures are not explained by differences in ARDS severity profile, by
373 economic differences (with the possible exception of ECMO, which was used less in middle-
374 income countries), or by differences in ARDS recognition. The lack of regional variation in the
375 use of NIV suggests that barriers to the use of adjuncts can be addressed ²². Understanding and
376 addressing local barriers and facilitators to the implementation of simple and relatively
377 inexpensive adjunctive measures, such as prone positioning and neuromuscular blockade,
378 should be prioritized, given their potential to improve survival from ARDS.

379
380 Patients from Europe-High countries required longer durations of mechanical ventilation and
381 longer ICU stays compared to patients from countries of similar levels of wealth outside Europe.
382 These differences persisted after adjustment for ARDS severity and other covariates.
383 Importantly, we did not find any association between ICU level variables and outcome from
384 ARDS, suggesting that the differences in ICU organization and/or staffing do not explain the
385 effect of income. This suggests that differences in approaches to ARDS management may have
386 important implications for these highly patient-centered outcomes. Potential explanations
387 include the fact that the proportion of patients that received larger tidal volumes was lowest in

388 rWORLD-High countries and highest in Europe-High countries, a concern given that higher tidal
389 volume was associated with worse outcome in these patients²³. The greater use of higher FiO₂
390 in patients from Europe-High countries is also a concern given the recent demonstration that
391 use of high FiO₂ in the critically ill may be harmful²⁴. Other potential explanations include
392 differences in practices surrounding weaning from ventilation, but we do not have any data to
393 examine this issue. Interestingly, clinician recognition of ARDS was marginally lower in rWORLD
394 countries, although the difference was least marked for severe ARDS. Decision making around
395 limiting life sustaining measures was similar in Europe-High and rWORLD-High countries, so this
396 is unlikely to explain these differences. Better understanding of the reasons underlying
397 differences in the approach to the use of lower tidal volume ventilation, inspired oxygen
398 concentrations, and adjuncts to ventilation, may facilitate further improvement of these patient
399 relevant outcomes in patients with ARDS.

400

401 Respiratory failure and cardiovascular failure were the most important factors leading to death
402 in the ICU, across all regions. This contrasts to some degree with prior studies suggesting
403 respiratory failure is less commonly the cause of deaths from ARDS^{25,26}. However, these studies
404 did define respiratory failure relatively narrowly, confining it to severe gas exchange failure.
405 They also attributed deaths to syndromes such as sepsis as well as to organ failures. We limited
406 the list of possible factors to the different organ systems, and did not ask for a 'cause of death'
407 per. Possible additional explanations for our findings include improvement in outcomes from
408 the shock phases of critical illness²⁷, and potentially the increasing role of decision making to
409 limit life sustaining measures where these are deemed futile.

410

411 The demonstration that national-level indices of wealth are associated patient survival from
412 ARDS is a novel and provocative finding. It does not appear to be explained by differences in
413 ICU organization or staffing. This association does not necessarily reflect a different quality of
414 care or resources in the ICU but may reflect a lower access to critical care services or
415 preventative medicine ²⁸. The latter is supported by data that residents from lower income
416 areas have significantly higher rates of ICU admission ²⁹, and often with higher severity of illness
417 ³⁰. These data build on a growing body of evidence demonstrating the effect of socioeconomic
418 status on survival from illness. Similar effects of income on patient survival have been
419 demonstrated in patients with sepsis ^{31,32}, underlining the impact of economic status on
420 outcomes from critical illness. In patients with diabetes, the percentage of deaths attributable
421 to high blood glucose or diabetes that occurs prior to age 70 is higher in low- and middle-
422 income countries than in high-income countries¹. Socioeconomic differences contributed to
423 outcome in patients with COPD in China ⁷. In an analysis of health administrative data from
424 Ontario, Canada, asthma-specific mortality rates were 60% higher among those in the lowest
425 compared with highest socioeconomic status ³³. In patients following acute myocardial
426 infarction in Italy, lower levels of education were associated with increased odds of 30-day
427 mortality³⁴. Socioeconomic status also contribute to survival rates in patients with breast
428 cancer³⁵. These data present a significant public health challenge, as they further highlight the
429 disadvantages of lower socioeconomic status on outcomes from diverse major medical
430 conditions. Future studies are needed to elucidate the mechanisms by which difference in

431 socioeconomic status mediate differences in outcome, so that targeted interventions can be
432 designed and evaluated.

433

434 **Limitations:** This study has a number of limitations. Our patient cohort is a convenience sample,
435 and therefore may not be representative of actual clinical practice in ICUs across the globe. This
436 could lead to bias in results, particularly where certain types of ICUs e.g. academic ICUs may be
437 over-represented. We did not have any low-income countries in our study, perhaps underlining
438 the resource dense nature of critical care. Our focus on winter months does not allow us to
439 obtain annual incidence figures for ARDS since previous studies have demonstrated an
440 increased incidence of ARDS in the winter months. We did not have access to the source data
441 for the patients in the enrolling ICUs, and it is possible that not all patients with ARDS in
442 participating centers were enrolled. However, enrollment of patients with ARDS from
443 participating ICUs met expectations based on their recorded 2013 admission rates, while data
444 from lower recruiting ICUs was not different from that from higher enrolling ICUs, suggesting
445 the absence of reporting biases. We instituted a robust data quality control program in which
446 all centers were requested to verify data that appeared inconsistent or erroneous. While we
447 have adjusted our analyses to account for known measured confounders, the possibility
448 remains that some of our findings may arise from unmeasured or residual confounding. Lastly,
449 our assumptions that patients discharged from the hospital before day 28 were alive at that
450 time point, and that inpatients at day 90 survived to hospital discharge are further limitations.

451

452 **Conclusions:** Important geo-economic differences exist regarding ARDS severity, clinician
453 recognition of ARDS, and the management of ARDS. An independent association exists between
454 per capita income and outcomes in patients with ARDS.

455

456

457 **Acknowledgments:**

458 **Funding/Support:** This work was funded and supported by the European Society of Intensive
459 Care Medicine (ESICM), Brussels, Belgium, by St Michael's Hospital, Toronto, Canada, and by
460 the University of Milan-Bicocca, Monza, Italy.

461 **Role of the funding source:** The study funders and sponsor had no role in the study design, in
462 the collection, analysis, or interpretation of the data, or in the writing of the report. JL, FM, GB,
463 TP and EF had access to the raw data. The corresponding author had full access to all of the
464 data and the final responsibility to submit for publication.

465

466 **Conflicts of Interest:** All authors declare no conflicts of interest in relation to the present study.

467 **Author Contributions:** JGL, GB, TP and EF contributed to literature searching, study design, data
468 collection, data analysis, data interpretation, drafting of figures, manuscript writing and
469 revisions. FM contributed to data analysis, figure design and data interpretation. LB, AE, DM,
470 FV, MR, GR, HW, AS and AP contributed to study design, data collection, data interpretation,
471 manuscript writing and revisions. PA, YA, EKB, AB, VC, KC, LH, KK, JHL, JAL, LM, NN, JEP, LP, HQ
472 and JISJ contributed to data collection, data interpretation, manuscript writing and revisions.

473

474 **REFERENCES**

- 475 1. World Health Organization. Global report on Diabetes; 2016.
- 476 2. Chamberlain JM, Teach SJ, Hayes KL, Badolato G, Goyal MK. Practice Pattern Variation in the Care of
477 Children With Acute Asthma. *Acad Emerg Med* 2016; **23**(2): 166-70.
- 478 3. Brooks JM, Cook EA, Chapman CG, et al. Geographic variation in statin use for complex acute
479 myocardial infarction patients: evidence of effective care? *Med Care* 2014; **52** Suppl 3: S37-44.
- 480 4. Spatz ES, Beckman AL, Wang Y, Desai NR, Krumholz HM. Geographic Variation in Trends and
481 Disparities in Acute Myocardial Infarction Hospitalization and Mortality by Income Levels, 1999-
482 2013. *JAMA Cardiol* 2016; **1**(3): 255-65.
- 483 5. Chioncel O, Mebazaa A. Characteristics of Intensive Care in Patients Hospitalized for Heart Failure in
484 Europe. *Heart Fail Clin* 2015; **11**(4): 647-56.
- 485 6. Oldgren J, Healey JS, Ezekowitz M, et al. Variations in cause and management of atrial fibrillation in a
486 prospective registry of 15,400 emergency department patients in 46 countries: the RE-LY Atrial
487 Fibrillation Registry. *Circulation* 2014; **129**(15): 1568-76.
- 488 7. Yin P, Feng X, Astell-Burt T, et al. Spatiotemporal Variations in Chronic Obstructive Pulmonary
489 Disease Mortality in China: Multilevel Evidence from 2006 to 2012. *COPD* 2016; **13**(3): 339-44.
- 490 8. Mathur AK, Ashby VB, Sands RL, Wolfe RA. Geographic variation in end-stage renal disease incidence
491 and access to deceased donor kidney transplantation. *Am J Transplant* 2010; **10**(4 Pt 2): 1069-80.
- 492 9. Ess S, Savidan A, Frick H, et al. Geographic variation in breast cancer care in Switzerland. *Cancer
493 Epidemiol* 2010; **34**(2): 116-21.
- 494 10. Likosky DS, Al-Attar PM, Malenka DJ, et al. Geographic variability in potentially discretionary red
495 blood cell transfusions after coronary artery bypass graft surgery. *J Thorac Cardiovasc Surg* 2014;
496 **148**(6): 3084-9.
- 497 11. Jones WS, Patel MR, Dai D, et al. Temporal trends and geographic variation of lower-extremity
498 amputation in patients with peripheral artery disease: results from U.S. Medicare 2000-2008. *J Am
499 Coll Cardiol* 2012; **60**(21): 2230-6.
- 500 12. Raghupathy A, Nienaber CA, Harris KM, et al. Geographic differences in clinical presentation,
501 treatment, and outcomes in type A acute aortic dissection (from the International Registry of Acute
502 Aortic Dissection). *Am J Cardiol* 2008; **102**(11): 1562-6.
- 503 13. Patel MR, Greiner MA, DiMartino LD, et al. Geographic variation in carotid revascularization among
504 Medicare beneficiaries, 2003-2006. *Arch Intern Med* 2010; **170**(14): 1218-25.
- 505 14. Quin JA, Sheng S, O'Brien SM, Welke KF, Grover FL, Shroyer AL. Regional variation in patient risk
506 factors and mortality after coronary artery bypass grafting. *Ann Thorac Surg* 2011; **92**(4): 1277-82.
- 507 15. Bellani G, Laffey JG, Pham T, et al. Epidemiology, patterns of care, and mortality for patients with
508 acute respiratory distress syndrome in intensive care units in 50 countries. *JAMA* 2016; **315**(8): 788-
509 800.
- 510 16. Ranieri VM, Rubenfeld GD, Thompson BT, et al. Acute respiratory distress syndrome: the Berlin
511 Definition. *JAMA* 2012; **307**(23): 2526-33.
- 512 17. Rubenfeld GD, Caldwell E, Peabody E, et al. Incidence and outcomes of acute lung injury. *N Engl J
513 Med* 2005; **353**(16): 1685-93.
- 514 18. Rubenfeld GD, Herridge MS. Epidemiology and outcomes of acute lung injury. *Chest* 2007; **131**(2):
515 554-62.
- 516 19. Brun-Buisson C, Minelli C, Bertolini G, et al. Epidemiology and outcome of acute lung injury in
517 European intensive care units. Results from the ALIVE study. *Intensive Care Med* 2004; **30**(1): 51-61.
- 518 20. Guerin C, Reignier J, Richard JC, et al. Prone positioning in severe acute respiratory distress
519 syndrome. *N Engl J Med* 2013; **368**(23): 2159-68.

- 520 21. Papazian L, Forel JM, Gacouin A, et al. Neuromuscular blockers in early acute respiratory distress
521 syndrome. *N Engl J Med* 2010; **363**(12): 1107-16.
- 522 22. Bellani G, Laffey JG, Pham T, et al. Non-invasive Ventilation of Patients with ARDS: Insights from the
523 LUNG SAFE Study. *Am J Respir Crit Care Med* 2016.
- 524 23. Laffey JG, Bellani G, Pham T, et al. Potentially modifiable factors contributing to outcome from acute
525 respiratory distress syndrome: the LUNG SAFE study. *Intensive Care Med* 2016; **42**(12): 1865-76.
- 526 24. Girardis M, Busani S, Damiani E, et al. Effect of Conservative vs Conventional Oxygen Therapy on
527 Mortality Among Patients in an Intensive Care Unit: The Oxygen-ICU Randomized Clinical Trial. *JAMA*
528 2016; **316**(15): 1583-9.
- 529 25. Stapleton RD, Wang BM, Hudson LD, Rubenfeld GD, Caldwell ES, Steinberg KP. Causes and timing of
530 death in patients with ARDS. *Chest* 2005; **128**(2): 525-32.
- 531 26. Montgomery AB, Stager MA, Carrico CJ, Hudson LD. Causes of mortality in patients with the adult
532 respiratory distress syndrome. *Am Rev Respir Dis* 1985; **132**(3): 485-9.
- 533 27. PROCESS Investigators, Yealy DM, Kellum JA, et al. A randomized trial of protocol-based care for
534 early septic shock. *N Engl J Med* 2014; **370**(18): 1683-93.
- 535 28. Gabriel LE, Bailey MJ, Bellomo R, et al. Insurance status and mortality in critically ill patients. *Crit*
536 *Care Resusc* 2016; **18**(1): 43-9.
- 537 29. Garland A, Olafson K, Ramsey CD, Yogendran M, Fransoo R. Epidemiology of critically ill patients in
538 intensive care units: a population-based observational study. *Crit Care* 2013; **17**(5): R212.
- 539 30. Bein T, Hackner K, Zou T, et al. Socioeconomic status, severity of disease and level of family
540 members' care in adult surgical intensive care patients: the prospective ECSSTASI study. *Intensive*
541 *Care Med* 2012; **38**(4): 612-9.
- 542 31. Vincent JL, Marshall JC, Namendys-Silva SA, et al. Assessment of the worldwide burden of critical
543 illness: the intensive care over nations (ICON) audit. *Lancet Respir Med* 2014; **2**(5): 380-6.
- 544 32. Schnegelsberg A, Mackenhauer J, Nibro HL, Dreyer P, Koch K, Kirkegaard H. Impact of socioeconomic
545 status on mortality and unplanned readmission in septic intensive care unit patients. *Acta*
546 *Anaesthesiol Scand* 2016; **60**(4): 465-75.
- 547 33. To T, Simatovic J, Zhu J, et al. Asthma deaths in a large provincial health system. A 10-year
548 population-based study. *Ann Am Thorac Soc* 2014; **11**(8): 1210-7.
- 549 34. Cafagna G, Seghieri C. Educational level and 30-day outcomes after hospitalization for acute
550 myocardial infarction in Italy. *BMC Health Serv Res* 2017; **17**(1): 18.
- 551 35. Nattinger AB, Wozniak EM, McGinley EL, Li J, Laud P, Pezzin LE. Socioeconomic Disparities in
552 Mortality Among Women With Incident Breast Cancer Before and After Implementation of Medicare
553 Part D. *Med Care* 2016.

554

555

556 **Figure legends:**

557 **Figure 1:** Flowchart of the study population

558 § Patients could have more than one cause for AHRF

559 ‡ This is the number of patients included in the primary analysis

560

561 **Figure 2:** Ventilator management of patients with ARDS by geo-economic region, including
562 distribution of tidal volume (**Panel A**), plateau pressures (**Panel B**), driving pressures (**Panel C**),
563 PEEP (**Panel D**), fractional inspired oxygen (**Panel E**), and adjunctive measures (**Panel F**).

564 * Indicates between region differences

565

566 **Figure 3:** Kaplan-Meier survival curves of probability of weaning from mechanical ventilation
567 (**Panel A**), and of hospital survival (**Panel B**) by geo-economic region.

568 Note: Patients discharged before Day 28 were assumed to be alive and off invasive MV on that
569 day.

570 HR: Hazard ratio; HRs were estimated through Cox Proportional Hazard Models and we used
571 rWORLD-HIGH as the reference category.

572

573

574 **Figure 4:** Plots of the adjusted IRRs and 95% confidence intervals for duration of mechanical
575 ventilation and ICU length of stay (**Panel A**), and adjusted ORs and 95% confidence intervals of
576 ICU and hospital mortality (**Panel B**) for each geo-economic area.

577 Note: rWORLD-High is the reference point for Panel A and Middle as reference for Panel B.

578 Abbreviation: IRR, *incidence rate ratio*; OR, *odds ratio*.

579

580

581 **ONLINE FIGURES**

582

583 **Figure e1:** Patient demographics and ARDS severity profile by geo-economic region.

584 **Panel A:** Frequency of pre-existing comorbidities.

585 **Panel B:** Frequency of ARDS risk factors.

586 **Panel C:** Frequency of ARDS severity categories.

587 * Indicates between region differences

588

589 **Figure e2:** Distribution of arterial PCO₂ tensions (**Panel A**) and modes of ventilation (**Panel B**)
590 by geo-economic region.

591 * Indicates between region differences

592

593 **Figure e3:** Kaplan-Meier survival curves of probability of weaning from mechanical ventilation
594 (**Panel A**), and of hospital survival (**Panel B**) in patients with mild, moderate and severe ARDS by
595 geo-economic region

596 Note: Patients discharged before Day 28 were assumed to be alive and off invasive MV on that
597 day.

598 HR: Hazard ratio; HRs were estimated through Cox Proportional Hazard Models and we used
599 rWORLD-HIGH as the reference category.

600