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Abstract

Little is known about the role of alcohol and smoking in GI cancer survival compared to GI cancer development.

We systematically reviewed the evidence for a role of smoking and alcohol in prognosis among GI cancer patients and inform whether smoking or alcohol cessation interventions or guidelines for GI cancer patients are likely to improve prognosis. A total of 84 relevant studies were identified.

Continued smokers, particularly heavy smokers, had worse prognosis than never smokers in most GI cancers. However, more evidence is needed to establish the likely impact of smoking cessation interventions amongst GI cancer patients.

Heavy alcohol drinkers had worse prognosis in oesophageal squamous cell carcinoma and hepatocellular carcinoma patients. Light alcohol consumption was not associated with worse prognosis from any GI cancer, though further studies are needed. UK guidelines for the general population recommending under 14 units (~7 drinks) per week may be sufficient for GI cancer patients, until further evidence is available.
Introduction

Most of the research on the role of alcohol and smoking in cancer has focussed on preventing cancer development. The role of lifestyle factors in prognosis following cancer diagnosis has not received the same attention though, meaning lifestyle recommendations for cancer patients are often based on evidence for cancer development [1]. For example, the World Cancer Research Fund (WCRF) consider alcohol to be a convincing risk factor for the development of various gastrointestinal (GI) cancers including colorectal, liver, oesophageal and gastric cancer, so recommend alcohol abstinence [2]. The WCRF also recommend that cancer survivors follow lifestyle guidelines for cancer risk [1] but have not directly assessed the role of alcohol in GI cancer survival. These recommendations are stricter than most national guidelines which allow light-moderate drinking but discourage heavy intakes [3].

The potentially important differences in the impact of cancer development and cancer survival mean a comprehensive evaluation of the role of lifestyle factors amongst the increasing number of cancer survivors is needed. Behaviour change interventions targeted at cancer patients may also be more successful as cancer diagnoses could be considered a “teachable moment” [5], with cancer patients often motivated to make lifestyle changes, if given the correct support. Clinicians also often have a more detailed knowledge of the patient’s lifestyle behaviours which may enable them to identify high-risk individuals for behaviour change interventions.

This review took a systematic approach (Supplementary methods 1) to identify and summarise the evidence for a role of smoking and alcohol in prognosis among GI cancer patients and inform whether smoking or alcohol cessation interventions or guidelines for GI cancer patients are likely to improve prognosis.

Methodological considerations

The EMBASE electronic database (which includes MEDLINE references) were systematically searched for observational or interventional studies reporting results for the association between smoking or
alcohol and survival in GI cancer patients published in any language, until May 2016. The comprehensive terms for GI cancers, smoking and alcohol, study design and participants of interest are listed in Supplementary methods 1.

The search identified 5376 study articles. Titles and abstracts were screened, from which 313 potentially relevant articles were identified. Full-texts of these articles were then screened to assess eligibility: Reported results for the association(s) between smoking or alcohol behaviours and subsequent survival in GI cancer patients. A total of 84 relevant studies were included in the review.

Where possible, random effects meta-analyses were used to calculate pooled hazard ratios (HR) and 95% confidence intervals (CI) for the association between alcohol or smoking and survival in GI cancer patients.

We limited the review to studies reporting survival amongst cohorts of GI cancer patients, as cancer mortality outcomes amongst non-cancer cohorts may be affected by the lifestyle factors association with both cancer development and severity of cancer at diagnosis. Some studies have reported on overall survival, which accounts for deaths from any cause and may represent a more comprehensive impact of smoking and alcohol on cancer patients. Some studies presented results for cancer-specific survival, which only accounts for deaths attributed to cancer but may be more useful for understanding the biological mechanism through which smoking and alcohol influence cancer progression.

Unfortunately, to our knowledge, no randomised controlled trials have assessed the impact of smoking cessation or alcohol reduction interventions on survival amongst GI cancer patients. Therefore, the review relies on observational evidence, which could be subject to confounding, making a focus on adjusted results necessary where possible.
Studies measuring smoking and alcohol after diagnosis may capture changes following diagnosis and better reflect the likely impact of interventions beginning after diagnosis. However, studies measuring smoking and alcohol prior to diagnosis may be less subject to reporting bias, short-term behaviour changes and selective recruitment to longer-term survivors. Therefore, each approach has its strengths, though selective recruitment may be a particular issue for studies attempting to measure smoking or alcohol after diagnosis of GI cancers with poorer survival.

In order to assess whether smoking cessation interventions are warranted we would ideally have results from studies comparing survival in patients who quit following diagnosis to patients who continued smoking. In absence of this we will examine whether patients who quit close to diagnosis have better survival profiles than continued smokers.

In terms of alcohol assessment it is important to distinguish between light-moderate, and heavier alcohol consumption as alcohol appears to have a j-shape relationship with risk of death in the general population [6]. It is also important to examine differences compared to lifetime never drinkers, to minimise reverse causality caused by patients with worse health or prognosis giving up drinking.

**Colorectal cancer**

Smoking and colorectal cancer survival

We identified 29 studies [7–35] that have provided estimates for the association between smoking behaviours and survival amongst cohorts of colorectal cancer patients from around the world (Supplementary table 1).

The evidence to date provides substantial evidence that smoking is associated with colorectal cancer prognosis. Consistent with a previous systematic review [36], we found that current smokers have poorer overall and cancer-specific survival than never smokers (n=11 studies [7–17], HR 1.39, 95% CI 1.21 to 1.60, Table 1; n=8 studies [13–20], HR 1.35, 95% CI 1.16 to 1.58, Figure 1, respectively). A
dose-response was also apparent, as heavier smokers had poorer survival than never smokers (n=5 studies [11–14,21], HR 1.52, 95% CI 1.31 to 1.77); whereas the difference in survival in lighter smokers compared to never smokers was smaller and not statistically significant (n=5 studies [11–14,21], HR 1.13, 95% CI: 0.88 to 1.45, Table 1). The impact of smoking on survival may begin shortly after diagnosis or surgery [22,23]. Therefore, prognosis of colorectal cancer patients appears to differ based on smoking status and intensity, with heavy current smokers having the poorest prognosis.

The results for former smokers are less consistent, as the results indicate a more modest, non-statistically significant increase in risk of death from any cause (n=9 studies [8–16], HR 1.10, 95% CI: 0.96-1.27, Table 1) but little or no increase in risk of death from colorectal cancer (n=6 studies [13–16,18–20], HR 1.03, 95% CI 0.96 to 1.10) (Figure 1). However, the result for overall survival was strengthened when limited to studies which adjusted for tumour stage (n=7 studies [8,10–12,14–16], HR 1.20, 1.10 to 1.30). The excess risk of death compared to never smokers tended to be smaller in former smokers who had quit many years prior to diagnosis (n=4 studies [12–15], HR 1.18, 95% CI 1.01-1.39 , Table 1), than former smokers who quit closer to diagnosis (HR 1.40 [12–15], 95% CI 1.22-1.61 , Table 1). Nevertheless this excess risk (compared to never smokers) still tends to be smaller in recent quitters than in current smokers (HR 1.72 95% CI 1.49 to 1.99) in the same studies [12–15] indicating smoking cessation closer to diagnosis may still confer a survival benefit. However, the broad boundaries for the more recent quitter estimates (<10 or even <20 years prior to diagnosis) used in these studies makes it difficult to adequately estimate the likely survival benefit for patients who stop smoking following a diagnosis of colorectal cancer.

Unfortunately, there is limited evidence directly assessing the likely impact of smoking cessation on prognosis by comparing continued smokers to patients who quit following diagnosis. An analysis within the Shanghai Cohort study did find that colorectal cancer patients who quit smoking within the first year after diagnosis had better subsequent survival than patients who continued to smoke
However, other studies did not find a difference between continued smokers and recent quitters [13,21,37], though numbers of recent quitters were small.

In summary, whilst there is substantial evidence that current smokers, particularly heavy smokers, have worse survival than never smokers, however more large-scale studies comparing survival in recent quitters to continued smokers would be useful to advocate targeted smoking cessation interventions in colorectal cancer patients.

Alcohol & colorectal cancer survival

We identified 15 studies assessing the association between alcohol intakes and survival in colorectal cancer patients [8–10,13,15,38–47] from around the world (Supplementary table 1). Many of the studies identified did not adequately separate light, moderate and heavy drinkers [41–43,45]. The results suggest that light, or light-moderate, alcohol consumption may be associated with better survival than complete abstention in patients with colorectal cancer. Nickelsen et al [22] found that 30-day mortality following surgery for colorectal cancer was better amongst light-moderate drinkers than non-drinkers. Long-term survival may also be improved, as pooled analyses suggested light or light-moderate drinkers had better overall survival (n=7 studies [9,10,13,15,38,46,47], HR 0.85, 95% CI: 0.77 to 0.94, Table 1) and colorectal cancer specific survival (n=5 studies [13,15,38,46,47], HR 0.82, 95% CI: 0.67-1.00, Figure 2) than non- or never drinkers. The results seem robust to differences in assessment timing, as no major differences were apparent between studies using pre-diagnostic measures and post-diagnostic measures. However, reverse causality is hard to rule out as the results for colorectal cancer specific survival from two studies using lifetime never drinkers as comparison are less consistent [46,47] and may warrant additional study. Therefore, it appears that colorectal cancer patients who consume light amounts of alcohol have better survival profiles than non-drinkers. This result should be interpreted cautiously and should not be taken to suggest non-drinkers begin drinking after diagnosis but indicates that colorectal cancer patients may not need to abstain from light drinking.
The evidence for the impact of heavier alcohol consumption on survival in colorectal cancer patients is less clear. No major differences in survival in heavier drinkers were observed when compared to non/never drinkers (n=6 studies, HR 0.92, 95% CI 0.84-1.01). However, a J-shape association was noted in some studies, with heavier drinkers having poorer survival when compared to light drinkers [8,39,46], though this was not reflected in other studies as the excess risk compared to never drinkers was similar in heavy drinkers and light drinkers [9,10,13,15,38,47]. More studies comparing heavier drinkers to light drinkers are needed to assess whether alcohol reduction interventions would benefit colorectal cancer patients who drink heavily. Timing may also be important as avoiding heavy alcohol consumption during chemotherapy may be warranted due to potential interactions in the liver [48].

Analyses by type of alcohol consumed indicate that light-moderate wine consumption is associated with improved survival compared to never or non-drinking (n=4 studies [13,43,44,46], HR 0.85, 95% CI 0.77 to 0.94, Figure 3). Similar but not statistically significant improvements apparent for heavier wine drinkers (n=3 studies [13,43,44], HR 0.73, 95% CI 0.52 to 1.01). No reductions were apparent for either light or heavier consumption of either beer or liquor in any of the studies to date (Figure 3).

In summary, the evidence to date suggests that most national guidelines for the general population, which discourage heavy drinking [4,3], may be sufficient for colorectal cancer patients and WCRF guidelines recommending complete abstinence [1] may be too strict. Further prognostic studies which adequately distinguish between lighter and heavier alcohol consumption, ideally using lifetime never drinkers as a comparison group, would help inform whether new guidelines or alcohol reduction interventions are warranted.
Oesophageal cancer

Smoking and survival in oesophageal cancer patients

We identified a total of 20 studies [9,49–65] which investigated the impact of smoking on survival in patients diagnosed with oesophageal cancer (Supplementary table 2). Most studies were single-centred and focused on patients with oesophageal squamous cell carcinoma.

There was little evidence of an association between current or former smoking status and survival in pooled analyses from all oesophageal cancers (Table 1). However, when restricted to patients with oesophageal squamous cell carcinoma, poorer survival was indicated for current as well as former smokers compared to never-smokers (n=2 studies [58,59], HR 1.41, 95% CI 0.96, 2.09; n=2 studies [58,59], HR 1.44, 95% CI 0.81, 2.55), which appeared to be driven by an Australian population-based study of 301 patients [59]. Although a clear dose-response relationship was not evident, there was evidence that patients who reported heavy smoking experienced a significantly shorter survival compared to moderate or light smokers and again this was restricted to patients with oesophageal squamous cell carcinoma patients (heavy n=2 studies [56,59], HR 1.46, 95% CI 1.05, 2.03). Only one study investigated the impact of smoking on cancer-specific mortality and observed no significant association with former smoking but there was somewhat of a dose-response relationship seen moderate (HR 1.40, 95% CI 0.74-1.83) and heavy (HR 1.79, 95% CI 1.13-2.30) smoking compared to never smoking [51]. There was little evidence of any associations between smoking exposure and survival in oesophageal adenocarcinoma patients. Spreafico et al. [57] found no association with survival for every unit increase in pack-years smoked prior to oesophageal adenocarcinoma diagnosis (adjusted HR 1.01, 95% CI 1.00-1.01).

In summary, patients diagnosed with oesophageal squamous cell carcinoma who had a history of smoking, particularly current smokers, experienced a shorter survival after diagnosis, which corroborates the findings from a previous systematic review [66]. Further studies comparing current to former smokers (ideally recent quitters) are required to determine whether smoking cessation
interventions are warranted. No association was evident for patients diagnosed with oesophageal adenocarcinoma but the paucity of available data limited the interpretation of these findings. Additional studies in Western countries, where oesophageal adenocarcinoma rates are at their highest [67], are particularly necessary considering the markedly increasing rates in incidence [68].

Alcohol and survival in oesophageal cancer patients

A total of 15 studies [9,51,53,55,56,58–61,63,65,69–72], mostly in Asian populations, were identified that provided estimates to examine associations between alcohol consumption and risk of death after oesophageal cancer (Supplementary table 2). Few studies distinguish between light, moderate and heavy drinkers.

Overall, there was a significantly worse survival observed for patients who reported to have ever consumed alcohol (n=9 studies [53,55,61,63,65,69,71,72], HR 1.23, 95% CI 1.10,1.37), which was concentrated specifically among studies of oesophageal squamous cell carcinoma patients. Only one study assessed the impact of current and former alcohol use and found a significant increase in mortality for current users (HR 3.01, 95% CI 1.64, 5.53) which was slightly attenuated in former users (HR 2.34, 95% CI 1.14, 4.82). Three studies [58,59,72] examined dose-response relationships with alcohol consumption in oesophageal cancer patients and although non-significant, overall there were indications of increased risk of death for moderate (n=5 studies [58–60,72], HR 1.26, 95% CI 0.92, 1.73) and heavy users (n=8 studies [9,56,58–60,70,72], HR 1.23, 95% CI 0.94, 1.61). Significant increases for light, moderate and heavy alcohol intakes were seen in a population-based study of 301 oesophageal squamous cell carcinoma patients [59]. Too few studies have examined the association with cancer-specific survival for firm conclusions to be made [51]. In oesophageal adenocarcinoma patients, there was little evidence of associations with survival in three population-based studies [58,60,61]. However, a suggestion of an increase in mortality risk was noted for moderate versus light or never drinkers (n=2 studies [58,60], HR 1.34, 95% CI 0.95, 1.89), primarily due to the findings from Thrift et al [60].
In summary, the findings suggest that alcohol drinking affects prognosis in oesophageal squamous cell carcinoma patients, which is in agreement with a previous systematic review [66]. Former users of alcohol experienced a better survival in comparison to current alcohol users, which suggests that alcohol reduction interventions at diagnosis may be of benefit but large-scale studies are required to confirm these findings. There was a notable absence of studies in patients with oesophageal adenocarcinoma, and the relationship between moderate alcohol consumption and survival outcomes needs to be further elucidated.

**Gastric cancer**

Smoking and survival in gastric cancer patients

A total of 10 studies [9,24,55,58,61,73–78] which evaluated the influence of smoking on overall survival in gastric cancer patients were identified (Supplementary table 2). Five studies were population-based and smoking exposure was primarily reported for the period prior to cancer diagnosis. There was variation in the prognostic factors adjusted for across studies (Table 1).

There was evidence of a significant increase in mortality amongst gastric patients who reported ever smoking compared to never smoking (n=7 studies [53,55,61,74,76,78], HR 1.25, 95% CI 1.02 to 1.55). The evidence suggested that current but not former smoking was positively associated with risk of death (n=6 studies [9,24,58,73,75,76], HR 1.39, 95% CI 0.96 to 2.01; n=5 studies [9,58,73,75,76], HR 1.05, 95% CI 0.76 to 1.45, respectively). The lack of an observed relationship for former smoking may be due to the protective association reported by Park et al. [9] however, this study lacked information on cancer stage. There was a modest dose-response relationship observed with increasing smoking exposure from moderate to heavy (moderate n=6 studies [9,58,73,75–77], HR 1.13, 95% CI 0.81 to 1.58; heavy n=2 studies [75,76], HR 1.97, 95% CI 1.08 to 3.59). Only one study [24] examined the influence of post-diagnosis smoking and reported a worse survival in patients who were smokers compared to those who were non-smokers in the Shanghai Cohort study (HR 1.76, 95% CI 1.11 to 2.79). In addition, when analysis was restricted to patients who were current smokers
at diagnosis, there was a suggestion of an increase in mortality risk in patients who continued to smoke after diagnosis relative to those who quit (HR 1.36, 95% CI 0.70 to 2.67), which may imply that smoking cessation interventions would be of benefit.

In summary, smoking prior to cancer diagnosis was associated with a worse overall survival, especially for patients who reported heavy exposure. Further studies are necessary to determine if smoking cessation after gastric cancer diagnosis leads to better survival. Future studies should aim to collect detailed information on the timing and intensity of smoking exposure and aim to standardise measurement categories.

Alcohol and survival in gastric cancer patients

There is probable evidence that alcohol and specifically heavy alcohol consumption increases the risk of gastric cancer (WCRF). We identified six studies [55,58,61,73,75,76] with available risk estimates for inclusion (Supplementary table 2).

No association was observed in ever consumers of alcohol compared to never consumers and similarly, there was little evidence of associations for current (n=3 studies [73,75,76], HR 1.05, 95% CI 0.80, 1.37) or former users (n=3 studies [73,75,76], HR 1.02, 95% CI 0.65, 1.60) compared to never users. In pooled analysis, there was no dose-response associations evident among studies that categorised alcohol intakes into light, moderate or heavy. Few studies separated results by gastric cancer type or location [58,61,75] but there were little differences observed in results in comparison to studies of gastric cancer patients combined.

In summary, alcohol consumption was not associated with survival in gastric cancer patients however; the lack of studies identified limits our interpretation. Moreover, most studies captured information on alcohol consumption at one time-point so there may be a risk that previous (or current) heavy consumers of alcohol falsely report that they are non-users of alcohol. Future studies should aim to collect detailed information on the timing of alcohol intake in relation to cancer diagnosis, as well as information on the types of alcohol consumed.
Liver cancer

Smoking and survival in liver cancer patients

Only 3 studies [79–81] identified assessed the association between smoking and survival amongst liver cancer patients (Supplementary table 3).

In hepatocellular carcinoma patients with viral hepatitis, Shih et al [81] found that current smokers had worse liver cancer specific survival than never smokers (HR 1.25, 95% CI 1.09–1.44) and Holly et al [80] found that current smokers had worse overall survival than non-smokers (HR 2.41, 95% CI 1.28–4.55). In contrast in hepatocellular carcinoma patients without viral hepatitis, neither Shih et al [81] nor Kolly et al [80] found any association between smoking and survival outcomes. However, Kai et al [79] did find that current smokers had poorer overall and liver cancer specific survival compared to never smokers and former smokers, respectively, in a cohort of hepatocellular carcinoma patients without hepatitis. Importantly all results adjusted for important confounders such as age, obesity, tumour size/stage and alcohol history, suggesting the results are unlikely to be substantially influenced by confounding. Therefore, whilst the evidence indicates that current smoking is associated with worse prognosis in hepatocellular carcinoma patients with viral hepatitis, the evidence is less clear in patients without viral hepatitis. The limited number of studies for both types of hepatocellular carcinoma means that more observational studies are needed to clarify whether smoking cessation intervention trials could be warranted.

Alcohol and survival in liver cancer patients

Nine studies [79–87] assessed the association between alcohol consumption and survival in hepatocellular carcinoma patients (Supplementary table 3). Many of these studies used measures of historical heavy alcohol consumption rather than current alcohol use. Findings vary considerably between studies, though most studies found no association [80,82,84], some found an association with worse survival [85], and one found an association with improved survival [86] in historical heavy
drinkers compared to non-heavy drinkers. This could be due to differences in definition of heavy alcohol use and the length of the reference period over which alcohol use was assessed.

Only one study, Shih et al [81], adequately distinguished between never, light and heavy drinkers. Shih et al found that current drinkers had worse liver cancer specific survival, though when intakes were separated by daily or cumulative intakes, only heavy intakes (>46.2g/d; >2744.6 gram-years) were associated with worse survival. The pattern of results was similar between patients with and without viral hepatitis. Therefore, the existing evidence indicates that heavy but not light, alcohol consumption is associated with worse survival. The results also indicated that patients who quit drinking prior to diagnosis had better survival than continuing drinkers, though the result was only statistically significant for patients who quit 10 or more years before diagnosis. More well designed observational studies separating light and heavy drinkers are needed before trials assessing evidence-based alcohol reduction interventions are warranted.

**Pancreatic cancer**

**Smoking and survival in pancreatic cancer patients**

We identified nine studies with available risk estimates for inclusion in the review [88–96] (Supplementary table 4). Most studies were conducted in the United States. Only two were population-based [89,92]. Information on smoking status was primarily obtained from hospital medical records at cancer diagnosis.

Overall, ever smoking was associated with a significant 20% increase risk of death compared to never smoking (n=5 studies [88,89,93,94,96], HR 1.20, 95% CI 1.05, 1.37) with similar findings observed for current smokers compared to never smokers (n=5 studies [90–92,95,96], HR 1.19, 95% CI 0.97, 1.46), albeit non-significant. Former smokers experienced a 14% significant increase in mortality risk compared to never smokers (n=5 studies [90–92,95,96], HR 1.14, 95% CI 1.01, 1.30) and a marked worse survival was demonstrated in patients who recently quit smoking in the 15
years preceding cancer diagnosis (n=2 studies [92,96], HR 1.42 95% CI 1.16, 1.74). In contrast, there was little evidence that long-term quitters (>15 years before diagnosis) experienced an increase in mortality (n=2 studies [92,96], HR 1.11, 95% CI 0.85, 1.44). Pelucchi et al. [96] was the only study to investigate smoking dose-response relationships and found significant increases in mortality in more moderate and heavy smokers compared to light smokers (e.g. ≥20 cigarettes per day: HR1.57, 95% CI 1.26, 1.96, ≥30 years smoking: HR1.49, 95% CI 1.22, 1.83 and >20 pack-years HR 1.49, 95% CI 1.21, 1.83).

Overall, these results provide support for a detrimental role of tobacco smoking on survival after pancreatic cancer. Considering the particularly poor survival in patients who recently quit smoking prior to diagnosis, these findings support continuation of smoking cessation strategies in the general population, prior to the development of pancreatic cancer.

Alcohol and survival in pancreatic cancer patients

We identified only two studies that investigated the association between alcohol intake and overall survival in pancreatic cancer patients [93,96] (Supplementary table 4). Both studies were hospital-based and only one adjusted for prognostic factors (including age and sex).

Pelucchi et al. [96] did not show any significant associations between patients who reported consuming <3 drinks per day (HR 0.88, 95% CI 0.71, 1.09) or ≥3 drinks per day (HR 0.96, 95% CI 0.76, 1.21) compared to non-drinkers. Wang et al. [93] also failed to show a significant association between patients who reported ever consuming alcohol compared to never drinkers at diagnosis (HR 1.16, 95% CI 0.91–1.47).

These findings do not suggest that alcohol impacts upon survival in pancreatic cancer patients but only two studies were identified. Population-based studies, with sufficient information on the amount and type of alcohol consumed, as well as the timing of alcohol exposure are required to further investigate the relationship between alcohol use and pancreatic cancer prognosis.
Practice points: Alcohol

- Alcohol is an established risk factor for the development of most GI cancers (except oesophageal adenocarcinoma).
- Less is known about the role of alcohol in GI cancer prognosis.
- Heavy alcohol intakes were linked with worse prognosis in oesophageal squamous cell carcinoma and hepatocellular carcinoma.
- Light alcohol consumption were not associated with worse prognosis from any GI cancer.

Research agenda: Alcohol

- More prognostic studies separating light, moderate and heavy alcohol intakes are needed amongst cohorts of GI cancer patients, particularly for oesophageal adenocarcinoma, liver cancer and pancreatic cancer.
- Alcohol reduction intervention trials may be warranted in oesophageal squamous cell carcinoma patients.

Practice points: Smoking

- Smoking is a risk factor for various GI cancers, especially oesophageal squamous cell carcinoma.
- Heavy smoking is linked with worse prognosis for most GI cancers, except oesophageal adenocarcinoma.
- Some of the association between smoking and prognosis remained amongst former smokers.

Research agenda: Smoking

- More studies comparing survival in patients who quit following diagnosis to continued smokers are needed.
- Prognostic studies are needed in oesophageal adenocarcinoma and hepatocellular carcinoma patients.
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## Table 1. Pooled results from random effects meta-analysis for the association between smoking, alcohol consumption and overall survival in GI cancer patients

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Smoking</th>
<th>Colorectal cancer</th>
<th>Oesophageal cancer</th>
<th>OSCC</th>
<th>OAC</th>
<th>Gastric cancer</th>
<th>Pancreatic cancer</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Ever</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>10</td>
<td>8</td>
<td>30</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>6</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Current</td>
<td>11</td>
<td>5</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>6</td>
<td>5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Former</td>
<td>9</td>
<td>5</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>5</td>
<td>5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Light</td>
<td>5</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>6</td>
<td>0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Moderate</td>
<td></td>
<td>5</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>6</td>
<td>0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Heavy</td>
<td></td>
<td>5</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Recent former</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Long former</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>2</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Alcohol</th>
<th>Colorectal cancer</th>
<th>Oesophageal cancer</th>
<th>OSCC</th>
<th>OAC</th>
<th>Gastric cancer</th>
<th>Pancreatic cancer</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Ever</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>8</td>
<td>5</td>
<td>55</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Light</td>
<td>7</td>
<td>5</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>5</td>
<td>5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Moderate</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Heavy</td>
<td>6</td>
<td>7</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>5</td>
<td>1</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

* Comparison to light/never smokers or light/non-drinkers. All other comparisons are to never smokers or non-drinkers.
Insufficient studies for meta-analyses of liver cancer

Abbreviations: n: number of studies; OAC: Oesophageal adenocarcinoma; OSCC: Oesophageal squamous cell carcinoma.
Figure 1. Random effects meta-analysis between smoking status and cancer specific survival in colorectal cancer patients.
Figure 2. Random effects meta-analysis between alcohol consumption and cancer specific survival in colorectal cancer patients.
Figure 3. Random effects meta-analysis between type of alcohol consumption and overall survival in colorectal cancer patients.