

Mapping the managerial areas of Building Information Modeling (BIM) using scientometric analysis

He, Q., Wang, G., Luo, L., Shi, Q., Xie, J., & Meng, X. (2017). Mapping the managerial areas of Building Information Modeling (BIM) using scientometric analysis. *International Journal of Project Management*, *35*(4), 670–685. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.ijproman.2016.08.001

Published in:

International Journal of Project Management

Document Version: Peer reviewed version

Queen's University Belfast - Research Portal:

Link to publication record in Queen's University Belfast Research Portal

Publisher rights

© 2016 Elsevier Ltd, APM and IPMA. All rights reserved.. This manuscript version is made available under the CC-BY-NC-ND 4.0 license http://creativecommons.org/licenses/by-nc-nd/4.0/ which permits distribution and reproduction for non-commercial purposes, provided the author and source are cited.

General rights

Copyright for the publications made accessible via the Queen's University Belfast Research Portal is retained by the author(s) and / or other copyright owners and it is a condition of accessing these publications that users recognise and abide by the legal requirements associated with these rights.

Take down policy

The Research Portal is Queen's institutional repository that provides access to Queen's research output. Every effort has been made to ensure that content in the Research Portal does not infringe any person's rights, or applicable UK laws. If you discover content in the Research Portal that you believe breaches copyright or violates any law, please contact openaccess@qub.ac.uk.

Open Access

This research has been made openly available by Queen's academics and its Open Research team. We would love to hear how access to this research benefits you. – Share your feedback with us: http://go.qub.ac.uk/oa-feedback

1

Mapping the managerial areas of Building Information Modeling (BIM) using

2

scientometric analysis

Abstract: The successful adoption of Building Information Modeling (BIM) leads to the 3 subsequent need for improving management practices and stakeholders' relationships. 4 Previous studies have attempted to explore solutions for non-technical issues; however, a 5 systematic and quantitative review of the details of non-technical field, namely, the 6 managerial areas of BIM (MA-BIM), seems to be missing. Hence, a scientometric approach 7 is used to construct knowledge maps in MA-BIM, thereby allowing bibliometric data to 8 provide an objective and accurate perspective in the field as a whole. Through keyword and 9 10 abstract term analysis of 126 related papers published from 2007 to 2015, an integrated conceptual framework is proposed to summarize current status and structure future directions 11 of MA-BIM based on five principal research areas. This study shows the transformation of 12 MA-BIM from an individual approach to a wide-ranging organizational strategy. It provides 13 new insights into managing BIM projects by referring to the accurate representation and 14 analysis of previous research efforts. 15

16 Keywords: Construction project management; Building Information Modeling (BIM);

17 Scientometrics; Literature analysis

18 1. Introduction

Construction projects, particularly megaprojects, are becoming significantly complex and difficult to manage (Bryde et al., 2013). To cope with the increasing complexity and difficulty of project management, BIM has been developing at a rapid pace and becoming extensively utilized. The benefits of BIM in different types of construction projects are manifold and generally recognized by involved stakeholders (Eastman et al., 2011; Gu and London, 2010).

Despite its immense technical advantages and value potential, the facts remain that the use of 24 BIM worldwide still falls considerably short of its capabilities; many construction projects 25 26 even disregard BIM (Cao et al., 2014). Barlish and Sullivan (2012) determined that returns on investment (ROI) generated by BIM may vary considerably from project to project. Oakley 27 28 (2012) revealed minimal effects of several construction projects with the use of BIM on project performance. Although the technology side of BIM is considerably maturing in the 29 construction industry, the managerial areas of BIM (MA-BIM) still have limitations. 30 31 For a construction project, BIM is not merely a software suite. However, obtaining the 32 promised project benefits of BIM seems to hinge on management changes instead of technology issues. A recent example is the Shanghai Tower, in which the critical challenge of 33 34 BIM implementation was not the technical aspects but the coordination among 8 BIM teams 35 with members having diverse occupational backgrounds and different interest orientations. Among the involved parties, the Shanghai Construction Group was the general contractor and 36 one of the owners with a 4% of the share on the project (Shanghai Tower, 2015). The IPD-ish 37 38 partnership (El Asmar et al., 2013) significantly facilitated the involvement of Shanghai Tower contractor in the preplanning and design stages. In this regard, the non-technical 39 40 challenge necessitates an industry-wide demand for the studies on the MA-BIM. Volk et al. (2014) presented a comprehensive review on BIM from a "broad" sense, which comprises 41 functional, informational, technical and organizational/legal issues throughout the entire 42 lifecycle of a project. According to Volk et al. (2014), the organizational/legal issues are what 43

44 MA-BIM needs to improve for project performance.

45 For these reasons, MA–BIM could be proposed as:

46 Organizational and legal strategies for coordinating and managing overall project
47 information, processes and aligning project policies to improve the level of BIM adoption and
48 implementation.

Literature review is regarded as an expedient approach to gain in-depth understanding of a 49 50 research area. Through a systematic examination of existing studies, state-of-the-art 51 advancements and emergent trends can be identified with the purpose of spurring 52 encouragement for future studies. Despite the importance of critical review, almost no such work has yet been conducted regarding MA-BIM. Therefore, the current study undertakes a 53 54 scientometric analysis of MA-BIM articles published from 2007 to 2015. Different from previous studies, this study does not distinguish between sources specific to MA-BIM, which 55 56 enables data to provide a highly accurate general perspective in the field.

57 The objectives of this study are as follows: (1) to summarize MA-BIM studies from 2007 to 2015; (2) to acquire a holistic research status for MA-BIM from the perspective of 58 keyword co-occurrence network, as well as to identify research theme-divisions through 59 60 abstract term cluster analysis; (3) to identify emergent trends from studies in this field through keyword burst detection; and (4) to develop an MA-BIM framework that illustrates a future 61 62 research roadmap. The rest of this paper is structured as follows. Section 2 elaborates on the research method used in this study. Section 3 presents the results of the keyword 63 co-occurrence analysis and burst detection, followed by Section 4 that describes the results 64 and findings from the abstract term cluster analysis. Then Section 5 develops and presents the 65 MA-BIM conceptual framework based on the scientometric analysis. Finally, Section 6 66 concludes the findings of this study. 67

68 2. Research method

69 **2.1 Paper retrieval**

70 To achieve the research objectives of this study, academic journals with the BIM 71 publications were identified. The list of publications was obtained using two databases, 72 namely, Scopus and Web of Science (WoS), for a comprehensive search on the subject area. 73 WoS database covers over 12,000 of high impact journals worldwide, including open access journals and over 150,000 conference proceedings (Thompson-Reuters, 2014). And Scopus 74 75 includes over 21,500 peer-reviewed journals, 7.2 million conference papers, and over 60 76 million records (Elsevier, 2016). The integration of sources from these two databases was considered sufficient to justify broad conclusions regarding the overall development of BIM. 77 78 Given the difficulty of searching each related article, a delimitation of the research 79 boundary is frequently necessary (Chen et al., 2015). The main point of each paper should be determined by its research objectives, methodologies, and major contributions. In the current 80 81 study, three criteria were proposed during the delimitation process of the BIM literature in the 82 managerial areas. Fig. 1 shows the research framework of this study. 83 Insert Fig. 1 Firstly, only papers in peer-reviewed English journals were included for the review with 84 considering their impact positions in the BIM research in terms of SCImago Journal Rank and 85 86 H-index. Book reviews, editorials, and conference papers were excluded so that all retrieved papers could be screened using an identical analytical construct in terms of research aims and 87

88 methods (Mok et al., 2015).



91 was determined by its research aims and methods from abstract. Those papers, which aim at 92 providing technical solutions of BIM without referring to project strategies for improved BIM 93 adoption level or implementation process, were preliminarily excluded. Meanwhile, the topic 94 of each paper could also be identified from the research methods. It is noteworthy that 95 interviews and questionnaires are typically used as the principal means of investigating the 96 managerial issues of BIM. In contrast, technologies integration and systems development are 97 essentially employed to address the technical issues of BIM.

98 Thirdly, papers aimed at addressing functional issues that describe BIM functionalities and 99 applications, informational issues that describe industry foundation classes (IFC) and model view definition (MVD), and technical issues that describe data capture, data processing, 100 object recognition, and modeling, were excluded. After identifying the research aims and 101 102 methods from abstracts, there was still a need for in-depth understanding of the primary contents of each paper. For example, if the main body of a paper discussed the whole process 103 of BIM plug-in development, but nearly had no relationship with organizational/legal issues, 104 105 it was screened out. To decrease potential bias during the selection of target papers, the contents of each paper were screened by different authors to identify the ones suitable for this 106 107 study.

The search rule in this study was ("BIM" OR "building information modeling" OR "building information modelling" OR "building information model" OR "virtual design and construction" OR "VDC" OR "as-built model" OR "virtual model") AND ("management" OR "managerial" OR "managing" OR "manage"). To avoid omissions of target papers, the timespan of the publication search was set for "all years" (ended in August 18, 2015).

According to the first criterion, a total of 308 journal papers were retrieved. As shown in 113 Table 1, 16 journals are selected in this process. These journals have published at least one 114 115 paper that fit the first criterion, and are highly ranked by construction management researchers. Despite the rigorous search rule, some retrieved publications appear to be less 116 117 relevant. Based on the second and third criteria, 126 papers were identified for further analysis after the filtering process. The first study on the 'selection of papers' list is Fox and Hietanen 118 (2007), which conducted an investigation on the potential of BIM for interorganizational use 119 in Finland, including its automational, informational, and transformational effects. The 120 121 subsequent analyzing process is thus set from 2007 to 2015 in CiteSpace.

122

Insert Table 1 about here

123 **2.2 Scientometric analysis**

124 Due to a wide spectrum of research topics in relation to MA-BIM, there is little prospect of characterizing the overall field through manual literature analysis. And the manual review, 125 while insightful, is prone to be biased and limited in terms of subjective interpretation. 126 127 Therefore, the current study provides a holistic analysis of MA-BIM using the scientometric technique, a research method that refers to knowledge domain visualization or mapping 128 129 (Pollack and Adler, 2015). This technique is a quantitative method that applies bibliometrics to published literature; it is used to map the structure and evolution of numerous subjects based on 130 large-scale scholarly data sets (Börner et al., 2003). Through network modeling and 131 visualization, scientometric research aims to analyze the intellectual landscape of a knowledge 132 133 domain and perceive questions that researchers have been attempting to answer, as well as methods that they have developed to achieve their goals (Chen, 2006). Visualizing the entire 134

MA–BIM provides an approach to acquire a global perspective of research patterns and trendsin the field.

137 The MA–BIM literature provides tangible evidence of the developments in this field, which can lead to conclusions on influential studies that drive BIM adoption, implementation, and 138 139 post-evaluation, as well as the managerial areas where these works are embodied. The size and scope of the MA-BIM field have expanded, which makes it considerably beyond the reach of 140 manual and intellectual analysis. The techniques required to undertake rapid and effective 141 142 analysis belong to the domain visualization toolkit, such as CiteSpace, Science of Science (Sci2 143 Tool), and BibExcel (Chen et al., 2011). In this quantitative interpretivist research, CiteSpace software is used for network analysis and visualization based on the terms that the authors have 144 145 used to describe their publications.

Keywords and abstracts are considered as clear and concise descriptions of research contents, which necessitates using such terms as units of analysis to identify prominent groupings that affect the structure of the MA–BIM field. In this study, the MA–BIM literature was analyzed in terms of keywords and abstract terms to retain the opinion of the authors as much as possible. And the keyword co-occurrence analysis, keyword burst detection, and abstracts cluster analysis were employed to reveal the research patterns and trends in the MA–BIM field.

Firstly, the keyword co-occurrence analysis makes an aggregate representation of the MA–BIM field, and the indicators of keyword co-occurrence network provide evidence for the subsequent cluster analysis. *Secondly*, the keyword burst detection shed further insight on the relative change of significance between keywords over time to identify the research trends of MA–BIM, in contrast to the keyword co-occurrence analysis that merely presents a static description of the field as a whole, *Thirdly*, the abstracts cluster analysis indicates the research patterns of the MA–BIM field in detail, and various specific research themes associated with each principal area are identified, which lays the foundation for the establishment of MA–BIM conceptual framework.

162 **3.** The keyword co-occurrence analysis and burst detection

163 **3.1** The keyword co-occurrence network

The selected 126 MA-BIM papers were analyzed in terms of keywords. Four common 164 165 keywords were noted, namely, "building information modeling," "building information modelling," "building information model," and "BIM." These keywords were defined as the 166 domain stop-words because they form a high percentage in the analysis domain (Hu and 167 168 Zhang, 2015). These four stop-words were excluded because they did not add value to the current study, as well as influenced cluster accuracy of keyword co-occurrence network. 169 Moreover, not all the keywords provided by the authors were determined to be normalized; 170 171 thus, the extracted keywords were normalized to ensure consistent treatment of unifying synonyms. As shown in Table 2, "information technologies" was replaced with "information 172 technology" and "construction projects" was replaced with "construction project", and so on. 173 Börner (2010) described that "...80% effort in scientometric research is spent on data 174 acquisition and preprocessing." After data acquisition and preprocessing, analyses of keyword 175 co-occurrence, keyword burst detection, and abstracts cluster were conducted. 176

177

Insert Table 2 about here

178 Keyword co-occurrence network analysis was performed using CiteSpace. The overall

network characterizes the development of MA–BIM over time and showed the most
important footprints of this field. Nodes in the network represented individual keywords used
to generalize the essence of each article. Edges that connect nodes were co-occurrence links,
wherein two different keywords were used together in the same article.

183 Table 3 indicates the overall characteristics of the keyword co-occurrence network. In particular, modularity Q and mean silhouette scores are two significant metrics that determine 184 the overall structural properties of the network. It is notable that a modularity Q of 0.8115 is 185 relatively high (Q > 0.3), which indicates that the network is reasonably divided into loosely 186 187 coupled clusters (Newman, 2006). A mean silhouette score of 0.9372 (> 0.7) suggests that the homogeneity of these clusters is also relatively high, which indicates that network cluster is 188 efficient and reliable (Kaufman and Rousseeuw, 2009). The results provide the basis for 189 190 ensuring usefulness and credibility of datasets in the succeeding work.

191

Insert Table 3 about here

The overall keyword co-occurrence network is shown in Fig. 2. Node size represents the 192 193 frequency at which a keyword occurs, whereas edge weight represents the frequency at which two keywords are used jointly. The colors of these lines are designed to show when a 194 195 connection is made among different keywords for the first time. The color encoding clarifies which part of the network is old and which one is new. Fig. 2 indicates that blue represents 196 the keywords connected for the first time in 2007, whereas orange represents the connections 197 of keywords in 2015. Color transition from a cool tone to a warm tone represents the timespan 198 199 from past to present.

200

The timespan set for the present study in CiteSpace is from 2007 to 2015, which is related 201 to the size of dataset (Chen, 2014). After searching from WoS and Scopus within "all years" 202 203 as well as delimitation process as introduced in Section 2.1, the dataset of this study that included 126 papers published from 2007 to 2015 were identified and considered as recent 204 work. The concept of BIM can be traced back to the "building description systems" proposed 205 by Eastman in the mid-1970s (Eastman, 1976). It is acknowledged that the 126 identified 206 papers do not include all publications that contribute to MA-BIM research to date. However, 207 208 16 selected journals include the most prominent publications relevant to MA-BIM. The 126 209 identified papers were considered sufficient to represent the latest developments in the last decade as a whole. 210

211 Fig. 3 highlights the most frequently occurring keywords. The frequency of "information 212 technology" is the highest, which represents the physical attribute of BIM. As a major shift in information technology during the last decade, BIM, which refers to both the activity of 213 modeling and the digital and virtual models of a building, triggers the transformation of the 214 project management paradigm (Succar, 2009). Other keywords that relate to "information 215 technology" also include "information system" and "information management." The 216 proximity of the keywords "education," "engineering education," and "adoption" aligns with 217 the expectation of an association between these topics. Hartmann and Fischer (2008) 218 concluded that "far-reaching education and training programs" are required to achieve 219 extensive BIM adoption. Similarly, arriving at conclusions regarding the association of other 220 keywords based on their placement is possible. For example, "integration," "coordination," 221 and "lean construction" are distributed on the bottom left side of Fig. 3. Lean construction and 222

BIM are relatively different initiatives, but are inextricable part of each other. The integration 223 and coordination between these two initiatives can be maximized to improve project 224 225 processes beyond the degree to which such processes may be improved by the independent application of either of these paradigms (Sacks et al., 2010). On the one hand, construction 226 227 projects on a lean journey are contingent on BIM to enhance the lean outcomes. On the other hand, changes in business processes as a consequence of BIM implementation significantly 228 contribute to make a project considerably lean. Based on the placement, issues associated 229 230 with design and construction appear to be highly associated with information technology, 231 whereas issues associated with operation and maintenance are lacking. Although the review of BIM literature indicates an increasing interest in facility management, a considerable 232 233 divide remains between the studies that focus on new construction and existing buildings. 234 Insert Fig. 3 about here Keyword co-occurrence network is a static representation of a specific field that has not 235 considered changes over time in the manner in which the terms are used. However, CiteSpace 236 237 provides a time zone perspective that each term is arranged in chronological order to show 238 development trends and interactions among keywords. As shown in Fig. 4, the evolution of MA-BIM-related keywords continued from 2007 to 2015. The lines that connect nodes are 239 co-occurrence links between different keywords. The colors of these lines are designed to 240 show when a connection has been made for the first time. Given the transformation of BIM 241 from 3D to nD, keywords unsurprisingly veer away from "collaborative design" to 242 "construction safety," "cost," and "energy." Increasing interests are emerging on "change 243 management," "information technology strategy," and "team working" in 2015. By contrast, 244 earlier keywords tend to focus on specific implementation process in relation to MA-BIM, 245

such as "implementation", "design process" and "process improvement", which potentially 246 indicate a change from a tactic focus to an emphasis on strategy. This change may also be 247 248 partly caused by the increasing complexity of construction projects, particularly emerging mega construction projects (MCPs), which leads to high project uncertainty, complex 249 250 stakeholder interrelationships, and conflicting interests. It should be noted that keywords 251 co-occurrence taken in isolation can lead to misinterpretation if taken out of context (Pollack and Adler, 2015). In this study, it is essential to refer to specific articles using these keywords 252 253 to avoid ambiguity.

254

Insert Fig. 4 about here

255 **3.2 The keyword burst detection**

256 Keyword co-occurrence analysis through network mapping provides several insights into 257 the MA-BIM field. However, the process of keyword frequency change with time remains unclear. A keyword burst provides evidence that a particular keyword is associated with a 258 surge of occurrence frequency. Accordingly, a keyword burst is considered an indicator of a 259 260 highly active research area that represents changes in significance among keywords from a historical perspective. As a function in CiteSpace based on Kleinberg's bursty and 261 hierarchical structure in streams (Kleinberg, 2003), keyword burst detection can be used in 262 the present study to explore emergent trends and passing fads within the MA-BIM field 263 (Pollack and Adler, 2015). Evidently, the bursting keyword has attracted an unusual degree of 264 attention from the research community during a specific period. Fig. 5 shows a visualization 265 of the keyword burst analysis in the MA-BIM field from 2007 to 2015; the top 25 bursting 266 keywords are also shown, as sorted based on their beginning year of burst. 267

269	The burst detection algorithm indicates unusually large changes in the frequency of a
270	datum over time (Pollack and Adler, 2015). For example, with the proliferation of 4D, 5D, or
271	nD BIM, the keyword "three-dimensional models" was barely part of the common terms at
272	present. Since 2007, a robust growth was observed in the "three-dimensional models" use for
273	construction project management. After 2010, the term "three-dimensional models" became
274	common, although of a relatively high frequency compared with that in the 2000s, and would
275	no longer be considered to be bursting because it already reached a steady state.
276	Fig. 5 shows that the keywords "change management," "information technology strategy,"
277	"maintenance," "design errors" and "team working" have continued bursting from 2007 to
278	2015, which is consistent with the findings of the timeline view in Fig. 4. This case is
279	unsurprising based on the results of keyword burst detection. For example, as MCPs emerged
280	in multitude, their extreme uncertainty and complexity resulted in cost overruns and time
281	delay, which led to the demand for efficient change management and the minimization of
282	design errors. Similarly, research focus shifts from design to maintenance (Becerik-Gerber et
283	al., 2011), particularly of complex construction projects, which is also in line with the
284	analysis results in Fig. 5. It is noteworthy that keyword burst detection may indicate an
285	emphasis away from individual-centered issues to a broad organizational perspective in
286	general. This case highlights the importance of "team working", "collaborative design" and
287	"organizations." In addition, MA-BIM hotspots and frontiers are also identified based on
288	keyword burst detection, particularly on frequency changes occurring within the last five
289	years, such as "lean construction," "impact," "diffusion," "constructability," "sustainable

290 development," "information system," "adoption," "modelling," and "education."

4. The abstract term cluster analysis

292 4.1 Summary of abstract term cluster analysis

A keyword co-occurrence network provides several general insights into the MA-BIM 293 294 field. However, frequency and timeline analyses fail to clarify major areas and structures of MA-BIM studies. As a mathematical and statistical method, cluster analysis is used to 295 identify the latent semantic themes within the textual data (Hossain et al., 2011). Cluster 296 analysis employs a set of algorithms to convert unstructured text into structured data objects 297 298 to detect research patterns for the discovery of knowledge (Delen and Crossland, 2008). The main idea behind cluster analysis is to collect all the contexts belonging to the words in the 299 300 literature dataset, and derive associated clusters that represent related research themes 301 (Yalcinkaya and Singh, 2015). Therefore, cluster analysis can be used to identify several prominent groupings, and has been adopted in this study to show research patterns in the 302 MA–BIM field. 303

304 As a tool for progressive knowledge domain visualization, CiteSpace provides various functions to facilitate the understanding and interpretation of network patterns, including 305 306 decomposing a network into clusters and automatic labeling clusters with terms from the titles, keywords, or abstracts (Chen, 2006). Apart from keywords, titles and abstracts are also 307 typically used by authors to describe a publication. Ultimately, abstracts are taken as a unit of 308 cluster analysis because they provide the complete expression of research contents, and 309 consequently, reliable indicators of theme-divisions in the MA-BIM field. To characterize the 310 nature of an identified cluster, CiteSpace can automatically extract noun phrases from the 311

312	abstracts based on a set of algorithms, including frequency-inverse document frequency
313	(tf*idf), log-likelihood rate (LLR) and mutual information (MI) (Chen, 2014). Each cluster
314	reserves and represents a certain amount of the overall observed terms, and the clusters are
315	organized in the order of how many terms they explain. Fig. 6 shows that the clusters are
316	numbered in descending order of cluster size, starting from the largest cluster #0, the second
317	largest #1, and so on. And this is the default naming patterns of clusters in CiteSpace.
318	Insert Fig. 6 about here
319	Overall, MA-BIM has 8 prominent research clusters; each cluster can be regarded as a
320	research theme. These themes are relatively independent of one another, as well as partially
321	overlapping. In any text, multiple words may share the same meaning and one word may have
322	many synonyms in different contexts. Cluster analysis "loads" the words that share the same
323	meaning to their associated theme and also "loads" one word to various latent semantics other
324	than its main associated theme (Yalcinkaya and Singh, 2015). Thus, there is the case that
325	some of the clusters are overlapping. In other words, some of the abstract terms belong to
326	several clusters at the same time.
327	It is notable that the automatic labeling clusters can lead to misinterpretation if their labels
328	are taken out of context. As mentioned earlier, one word may bring out various meanings in

different contexts. It is thus necessary to refer to the specific abstract terms of each cluster to

329

330 resolve this. Three to five abstract terms with top frequencies were, therefore, selected to represent the theme-clusters because they were most likely to be selected and used by the 331 researchers in each cluster. And the names of each cluster were further refined by referring to 332 the individual articles using these high-frequency abstract terms, with a view to avoiding and 333

eliminating the ambiguity of the automatic labels generated by CiteSpace. Table 4 shows the cluster size and representative terms of each theme-cluster. Cluster size refers to the number of abstract terms involved for each cluster, and the silhouette shows the homogeneity of a cluster as mentioned in Section 3.1. The higher the silhouette score, the more consistent the cluster members. Unlike most previous studies based on the subjective understanding of a specific field from authors, abstract term cluster analysis provides a more objective approach to perceive the overall structure of a certain knowledge domain.

341

Insert Table 4 about here

342 **4.2 Detailed MA–BIM research themes**

343 4.2.1 Collaborative working environment

344 Cluster #0 is related to the research of collaborative working embedded into various 345 environments. In the current digital economy, the construction industry is on the verge of a technological revolution. The main trajectories that characterize the development and 346 application of digital technologies include visualization, collaboration, automation, 347 integration, and transformation (Hassan, 2013). BIM appears to be the emerging leading 348 paradigm, which should be considered a dynamic process rather than a model per se, thereby 349 350 supporting collaborative working environments for involved parties during the overall project life cycle. As a backbone for collaboration, interoperability in relation to BIM is not only a 351 technical issue, but also concerns business processes, culture, values, and management of 352 contracts between interacting parties. To achieve significantly high value levels in the 353 construction industry, changes in interoperability are required to depart from "efficiency and 354 differentiation" to "value innovation;" these changes are emerging with the design of new 355

3D-based collaborative environments that sustain creativity and also through a complete 357 dematerialization and reconfiguration of traditional processes within cross-organizational 358 construction projects (Grilo and Jardim-Goncalves, 2010).

Apart from interoperability, collaborative working using BIM also demands new expert 359 360 roles of model managers who possess information and communications technology (ICT) and construction process expertise (Sebastian, 2011). It is hard for a BIM manager to be involved 361 neither in decision making on design and engineering solutions nor in project management 362 processes but mainly focuses on model specification and information management. In many 363 364 cases, managerial hierarchy also exists among BIM managers, which leads to some differences in their organizational roles. Furthermore, changing roles in collaborative work 365 that applies BIM affect the traditional contractual relationship, particularly payment schemes. 366 367 Given that engineering work is done concurrently with the design through BIM, for example, a new payment percentage in the early design phase is also necessary (Chao-Duivis, 2009). 368

369 4.2.2 Innovation

370 Cluster #1 refers to the studies on innovation during the BIM diffusion process. Within the construction industry, innovation is infamously known to be difficult to define and 371 372 conceptualize (Green et al., 2004). However, the concept of innovation is certain to be further related with the espoused change in the construction industry, which is renowned for its 373 adversarial relationship and lack of trust among involved parties. Elmualim and Gilder (2014) 374 investigated the relationship among design management, innovation, and the role of BIM in 375 advancing collaboration in response to the required change. The innovation and the 376 application of emerging technologies are considered as enablers for transforming the project 377

delivery process and adding value across the entire project life cycle. Two main innovation
processes are involved with regard to the use of BIM as an innovative technology, namely,
innovation adoption and implementation.

Innovations take time to become extensively adopted because of insufficient referential 381 experiences (Gu and London, 2010). BIM is a relatively complex and influential innovation 382 (Eastman et al., 2011), and the general rate of BIM adoption is still much lower than expected 383 (Cao et al., 2015). To explore the internal mechanism of varying levels of BIM adoption, 384 Linderoth (2010) considered the diffusion of BIM as the transfer and spread of innovations 385 386 that are occurring in networks of actors linked to one another. The roles and relationships of actors in a network relate to their potential motives for accepting or rejecting BIM. 387 Furthermore, Singh and Holmström (2015) investigated innovation-related decisions from the 388 389 viewpoint of Maslow's motivational theory on the hierarchy of needs, which developed insights into the psychological processes that underlie the motivation to adopt BIM. 390

Along with BIM implementation, innovations are constantly emerging in this process to 391 392 improve management efficiency. Numerous variations of BIM technology arises at different 393 implementation stages to enhance information management efficiency performance and 394 facilitate the accomplishment of established project goals, including "site BIM," "green BIM," and "cloud BIM." Davies and Harty (2013) applied an innovative "site BIM" system 395 in a major hospital construction project based on BIM-enabled tools that allow site workers to 396 use mobile tablets to access design information and acquire work quality and progress data 397 synchronously. "Green BIM" has become a tremendously popular term and concept in recent 398 years; it is applied to both building sustainability analysis and design management, as well as 399

construction stages; the goal of this process also extends to the entire life cycle of a building, 400 including the operation (commissioning and occupation), repair and maintenance, and 401 402 demolition stages (Wong and Zhou, 2015). Cloud computing refers to both the applications delivered as a service over the Internet and the hardware and system software in data centers 403 404 that openly interoperate and exchange information (Armbrust et al., 2010). Cloud-based BIM serves as an innovative platform that will enhance BIM usability experience for various 405 disciplines in making key design decisions at a relatively early project stage (Redmond et al., 406 2012). 407

408 4.2.3 Stakeholder/actor network

Cluster #2 is related to the analytical perspective of the stakeholder or actor in BIM 409 adoption and implementation studies. As a "system" of multiple innovations, BIM generates 410 411 derived benefits to those involved in its implementation, but is also associated with the potential for failures (i.e., cost overruns, and legal disputes). Gilligan and Kunz (2007) 412 conducted a survey to determine the value of BIM to project stakeholders, which mainly 413 referred to reducing risks for stakeholders distributed across the project and to engage 414 stakeholders further. By contrast, BIM has been challenged with the issues regarding 415 416 stakeholder collaboration and the manner of managing and controlling information (Sebastian, 2011). Murphy (2014) explained that the mechanism problem exposed in BIM 417 implementation was based on BIM currently being delivered as a project rather than an 418 innovation, as well as the failure to address stakeholder competency as the key delivery agent 419 420 of BIM.

421 Apart from the research that focuses on the individual level, the organizational-level

research related to the overall structure and characteristics of the actor network in which BIM 422 is applied provides a holistic approach to determine how BIM defines roles and relationships 423 424 among actors in a network (Linderoth, 2010), as well as how and why project networks respond to new systemic innovations (i.e., BIM) that are misaligned to the existing network 425 426 structure (Alin et al., 2013). Moreover, the overall network approach suggests a need to rethink actor interlinkages and interorganizational effects (i.e., task sequence alignment, 427 knowledge base alignment, and work allocation alignment), as well as to create new roles 428 429 associated with the implementation of BIM in construction projects.

430 4.2.4 Spatial visualized management

Cluster #3 refers to the studies related to spatial visualized management using BIM in 431 construction projects. ICT changes architectural visualization by extending architectural 432 433 design to visualization in information systems and by applying highly extensive computer visualization given the availability of digital media (Koutamanis, 2000). Architectural 434 visualization plays a significant role in managing complicated interactions among involved 435 436 parties to balance all types of constraints and requirements (Wang et al., 2014). As a digital representation of the physical and functional characteristics of a building, BIM fosters 437 438 multi-dimensional visualization capabilities to communicate ideas and share information among various stakeholders in construction projects (Johansson et al., 2015). The application 439 and development of BIM in the spatial visualized management of a project are embodied in 440 two significant methods. 441

The first method is the integration of BIM with augmented reality (Wang et al., 2013), a
geographic information system (GIS) (Irizarry et al., 2013), and a wireless sensor (Riaz et al.,

2014), which extends the limits of visualized management, with the attempt to fill in the 444 technical gap. The second method concerns the synergy between BIM and lean philosophy to 445 446 provide process transparency to all participants and to pull the flow of teams and materials (Sacks et al., 2009). Given the dynamic and dispersed physical environments and the 447 448 complicated contracting interfaces of construction projects, efficient and reliable visualized management is based addressing technical solutions and improving 449 on on management-centered processes. This process highlights the importance of bridging both 450 technical and non-technical issues to create the enabling environment of real-time 451 452 autonomous decision making within highly variable project information flows.

453 **4.2.5 BIM adoption**

454 Cluster #4 covers the issues that focus on adoption activities in BIM implementation 455 process. Although the potential benefits of technologies may appear evident in BIM, the industry adoption level of this process varies extensively, and the actual diffusion rate of 456 technology among involved parties worldwide remains considerably lower than expected (Gu 457 458 and London, 2010). Such a discrepancy between expected adoption and the realized adoption of BIM may be explained by the uncertainty of its value and effectiveness. By considering the 459 460 possible gap among technical feasibility, potential value, and practical adoption, increasing research interests and efforts are presented to examine the degree by which BIM is currently 461 adopted through the life cycles of construction projects in different countries or regions (Cao 462 et al., 2015; Samuelson and Björk, 2014; Mahalingam et al., 2015; Imoudu Enegbuma et al., 463 2014), as well as the factors that drive BIM adoption in various types of organizations 464 (Aibinu and Venkatesh, 2013; Son et al., 2015). The studies on investigating BIM adoption 465

466 can be placed at three levels: the individual/actor, the project/organization, and the entire467 market/industry.

468 The initial decision for BIM adoption has mostly been considered at the individual level, or occasionally, at the organization level, and for single actors in the industry (Samuelson and 469 470 Björk, 2013). It is noteworthy that individual-level studies mainly revolve around technology acceptance behavior-related theories, including theory of planned behavior (TPB), technology 471 acceptance model (TAM), and task-technology fit model (TTF). These theories put 472 considerable emphasis on the behavioral intentions of individuals. For the 473 474 project/organization level, the most prominent studies of BIM adoption include those on the practices and effectiveness of BIM in construction projects in China (Cao et al., 2015) and 475 where to focus on the successful adoption of BIM within an organization (Won et al., 2013). 476 477 In summary, these findings identify numerous factors that drive or impede BIM adoption at both the individual and project levels. These factors can be further grouped into three 478 dimensions, namely, technical and non-technical, institutional and non-institutional, and 479 480 internal behavioral intentions and external environment.

Regarding the market level, Succar and Kassem (2015) introduced a number of macro-adoption models, matrices, and charts to assess BIM adoption across markets systematically, as well as to inform the structured development of country-specific BIM diffusion policies. Based on the industry level, Gu and London (2010) analyzed the readiness of the industry with respect to the products, processes, and people to position BIM adoption in terms of the current status and expectations across disciplines. The aforementioned studies also established the collaborative BIM decision framework to facilitate BIM adoption in the 488 construction industry. Both market- and industry-level studies provide the holistic conceptual
489 framework to facilitate decision making, particularly for policymakers, within the BIM
490 diffusion process.

491 4.2.6 Transmission

492 Cluster #5 refers to the studies that focus on culture or policy transmission related to BIM 493 through the project life cycle, which is closely associated with cluster 4; however, the two 494 clusters have different priorities. BIM adoption is suggested to pay increased attention to 495 individual-level decision making in light of behavioral intentions. By contrast, culture or 496 policy transmission actually focuses on the BIM diffusion process, which highlights the 497 importance of creating the enabling environment to drive large-scale applications of BIM.

From the cultural transmission perspective, Brewer and Gajendran (2012) determined the link among culture formation, culture development, and their effects on using BIM in temporary project organization (TPO), which illuminated the positive cultural traits demonstrated by the specialist subcontractor.

Policies are "written principles or rules to guide decision making," which results in 502 environmental pressures (i.e., preparatory, regulatory, and contractual requirements) to 503 504 project decision makers in terms of acquiring institutional legitimacy. Succar (2009) introduced an integrated framework that treated policy as one of the three major fields of BIM 505 to provide a research and delivery foundation for BIM diffusion policy development. 506 Furthermore, Succar and Kassem (2015) provided a "policy action model" by which the 507 508 actions that policymakers take to facilitate market-wide diffusion are identified, assessed, and compared, thereby informing the macro-environment of country-specific BIM adoption 509

510 policies. These findings suggest that BIM diffusion is a highly socialized and complicated 511 activity that may be motivated by individual behavioral intentions to improve the efficiency 512 and effectiveness of the design, construction, and operation processes. This activity may also 513 be driven by cultural and policy transmission to be in line with its specific external 514 environment.

515 4.2.7 Conceptual framework

Cluster #6 is related to the development of a conceptual framework in MA-BIM studies. To 516 517 analyze MA-BIM, a few conceptual frameworks are proposed to represent domain concepts 518 and their relations, which can be divided into two types, namely, strategic- and tactical-level frameworks. A strategic-level framework mainly focuses on the conceptual system, diffusion, 519 and adoption of BIM from a macroscopic perspective regardless of detailed implementation 520 521 steps. A tactical-level framework is concerned with the BIM application process, which aims to provide a specific approach to overcome technical, procedural, and organizational 522 523 challenges.

At the strategic level, Succar (2009) introduced a series of conceptual frameworks to structure the term "BIM" in a stepwise manner, including BIM fields, BIM maturity stages, and BIM lenses. With the proliferation of BIM concepts through project organizations, a few strategic assessment frameworks are introduced to recognize BIM "value proposition," inform the status of BIM implementation, and evaluate BIM diffusion policies based on the need for guidance on the place to start, the tools available, and working through both technical and non-technical issues.



with other technologies or business processes to provide implementation approaches. Varying levels of understanding, adoption, and implementation of BIM within and among countries exist—from discipline to discipline and from project to project. The challenges to achieve a completely integrated collaborative multi-disciplinary platform of implementation is based on determining technical solutions or addressing MA–BIM issues, as well as on setting up the enabling conceptual framework, which integrates both strategic- and tactical-level approaches.

539 4.2.8 Operation and Maintenance

540 The research themes of cluster #7 concentrate on the operations and maintenance (O&M) stage in BIM implementation process. It is noteworthy that the use of BIM focuses on the 541 542 preplanning, design, and construction of buildings and infrastructure; however, the focus of 543 recent research has shifted from early life cycle stages to maintenance, refurbishment, and deconstruction (Volk et al., 2014). The long building life cycles has resulted in O&M 544 management that becomes a major to exploit the functionalities and benefits of BIM fully, 545 546 particularly in relation to environmental performance monitoring and management using virtual prototyping/visualization tools. Therefore, an increasing number of studies are 547 548 emerging, which aims to explore how BIM can be a beneficial platform to supplement O&M practices. The two major dimensions that are centered on the research themes of cluster #7 are 549 building types (e.g., new buildings and existing buildings) and application areas (e.g., 550 energy/thermal analysis and control, space management, refurbishment/renovation planning 551 552 and execution, quality assurance and control).

553 Within the dimension of building types, Volk et al. (2014) explained that with a decrease in

new construction rates worldwide, particularly in industrialized countries, existing buildings would become the main application field of BIM. Unlike new buildings (e.g., buildings under construction, and recently completed buildings), existing buildings without preexisting BIM face the considerable challenges of automatic data capture and BIM creation, along with the handling and modeling of uncertain data, objects, and relations. The limitations of BIM use in the O&M stage of existing buildings call for future research efforts.

For the dimension of application areas, Becerik-Gerber et al. (2011) conducted an online 560 survey and face-to-face interviews to assess the current status of BIM implementations in the 561 562 O&M stage, potential applications, and the interest level in the utilization of BIM, which highlighted the synergy between BIM and O&M in terms of data requirements. Moreover, 563 resource scarcity and highly strict decrees for recycling and resource efficiency in 564 565 construction projects have awakened the construction industry to the importance of enhancing environmental sustainability through emerging new technologies (i.e., 'green BIM'). 566 Therefore, BIM-based environmental performance management is among the highly 567 important application areas in O&M stages. Wong and Zhou (2015) stated that a 568 "one-stop-shop" BIM for environmental sustainability monitoring and management over the 569 entire life cycle of a building should be considered in future studies, particularly during 570 building maintenance, retrofitting, and demolition stages. Based on the aforementioned 571 problems and challenges, "green BIM" and BIM-enabled existing building management seem 572 to be the most important directions for future O&M studies. 573

574 5. The conceptual framework for MA-BIM

575 **5.1 Introduction to MA–BIM conceptual framework**

The scientometric analysis of MA–BIM provides supporting elements to this study in its objective to develop an integrated framework. Although the framework is currently conceptual, the scientometric analysis of the overall structure, theme-divisions, and emergent trends, along with the practical experiential knowledge, of MA–BIM makes this framework both practical and enlightening.

581 Fig. 7 shows that the conceptual framework has three major parties, namely, current status, 582 research areas, and future directions. Instead of applying an a priori classification approach, this paper conduct a quantitative analysis based on the abstract terms to distinguish different 583 584 research themes. And 8 theme-clusters are further integrated into 5 research areas according 585 to the framework developed by Gu and London (2010). It is noteworthy that this framework summarizes the perceptions of BIM from the perspective of *product*, *process*, and *people*, and 586 also emphasizes the importance of creating the enabling environment of BIM management 587 588 and choosing suitable *application approaches* to fulfill BIM potential.

589

Insert Fig. 7 about here

BIM adoption leads to substantial changes in the existing project management processes, involving innovation diffusions, culture and policy transmissions. In parallel with the rapid popularization of BIM technologies, a series of "soft" products (i.e., conceptual frameworks) have emerged to provide support and guidance for BIM implementation. And stakeholders and actors are people whose roles associate with BIM practices. It is noteworthy that collaborative working environment refers to the external context in relation to BIM, and spatial visualized management and O&M are particularly concerning issues when choosing suitable application approaches of BIM. Fig.8 summarizes the 5 principal research areas of MA–BIM at a broad level, and shed further light on their inter-relationships. The implications and inter-relationships of 8 theme-clusters are further described on the basis of 5 research areas of MA–BIM as follows.

Firstly, conceptual framework (#6) can be regarded as a managerial product to structure 601 strategies and implementation approaches against MA-BIM issues. Secondly, innovation (#1), 602 BIM adoption (#4), and transmission (#5) are interrelated and interact on each other in the 603 604 BIM diffusion process. Thirdly, new roles and relationships within the project teams are emerging through the BIM adoption and implementation process. And stakeholder or actor 605 (#2) is the implement subject of BIM throughout the project life cycle. Fourthly, spatial 606 607 visualized management (#3) is the application way of BIM in relation to managerial aspects (i.e., lean philosophy). O&M (#7) becomes the most potential stage for future MA-BIM 608 research as mentioned in Section 4.2. Both of application way and stage for this study is 609 610 summed up in one aspect—'application approach', with a view to focusing on the way of realization for MA-BIM benefits. Finally, collaborative working environment (#0) is 611 612 regarded as the ideal external condition to be achieved for MA-BIM. Through the lens of the aforementioned 5 principal research areas, the current status and future directions of 613 MA-BIM are further discussed as follows. 614

615

Insert Fig. 8 about here

5.2 Detailed elements of MA–BIM conceptual framework

617 5.2.1 Conceptual framework

618 The conceptual framework corresponds to cluster #6 (conceptual framework), which can be divided into two levels (i.e., strategic and tactical). Apart from the adoption and diffusion of 619 620 BIM, developing strategic-level frameworks for the post-evaluation process in view of tracking BIM application performance throughout the project life cycle is useful. It is notable 621 that the applicability of tactical-level frameworks should be regarded within different 622 organizational and regional contexts. Contextual factors (i.e., organizational model, 623 624 institutional pressures, and cultural environment) exert substantial implications on the manner by which stakeholders engage in BIM adoption and implementation processes. Despite the 625 626 close association between MA-BIM framework and contextual factors, there is still a lack of 627 studies exploring this issue and its impact. A substantial proportion of existing literature has ignored contextual differences and endeavored to establish MA-BIM frameworks which are 628 universal across organizational and regional boundaries. Therefore, future research in this 629 630 field could bring insightful and beneficial results.

631 5.2.2 Adoption process

The adoption process relates to clusters #1, #4, and #5 (i.e., innovation, BIM adoption, and transmission, respectively), which involve the spread of innovation, cultural and policy transmission, technology acceptance behavior-related theories, and influential factors of BIM adoption. In future studies, leadership theory and psychological factors can be considered for empirical studies in terms of individual BIM adoption process. For instance, empirical studies can be undertaken to explore the influences of different styles of leadership (e.g., transformational leadership and transactional leadership) and psychological capital on the
individual BIM adoption process. Moreover, exploring the influences of organizational inertia
on BIM adoption process is also necessary. For the market-level BIM adoption, the influences
of regional differences (e.g. culture variances and institutional environment) cannot be
ignored.

643 5.2.3 Stakeholder and actor

The stakeholder and actor refers to cluster #2 (stakeholder/actor network), which concerns 644 stakeholder competency, stakeholder collaboration, and actor networks. Successful BIM 645 646 adoption and implementation process requires fully considering and effectively balancing stakeholder interests relations and priorities of concerns. Notwithstanding the significance of 647 648 analyzing stakeholder interrelationships in project organizations, existing MA-BIM research 649 in relation to stakeholder or actor has paid inadequate attention to quantitative measurement of stakeholder network characteristics. In future research, social network analysis (SNA) can 650 be undertaken to reveal the overall structure (e.g., density and cohesive subgroup) and 651 652 relational ties (e.g., expressive ties and instrumental tie) of stakeholder network. By identifying the key stakeholder (e.g. opinion leader) through SNA assessment, the leadership 653 influences could be better exercised to facilitate BIM adoption and implementation. In 654 addition, external environment factors (e.g., institutional pressure) also need to be given 655 attention in project networks analysis. 656

657 5.2.4 Application approach

The application approach corresponds to cluster #3 (i.e., spatial visualized management, and O&M, respectively), which focuses on the way (stage) to achieve BIM's capabilities in

managerial areas. Currently, real-time information visibility and traceability still falls short of 660 expectations partially because of the complex physical conditions of construction sites and 661 662 fractured contract interfaces. Therefore, future studies in this area will synchronize visualized management with ongoing project processes in a real-time manner. Combining visualized 663 664 management with risk scenario planning is also necessary to remove lurking perils beforehand. In addition, increasingly serious worldwide environmental problems and numerous buildings 665 without preexisting BIM in the design and construction stages stimulate research interests 666 related to "green BIM" and existing BIM-enabled building management. 667

668 5.2.5 Working environment

The working environment relates to cluster #0 (collaborative working environment), which 669 670 involves interoperability, as well as changing roles in collaborative working. The efforts for 671 interoperability of the construction industry have been highly focused on technical issues of connecting systems and applications among the involved parties. It is noteworthy that 672 widening the technically focused view of interoperability is required to cover business 673 674 processes and contractual management in creating a collaborative working environment. The concept of organizational climate is a particularly useful indicator to characterize MA-BIM 675 working environment. In future research, empirical studies can be undertaken to analyze the 676 practical implications of different types of organizational climate (e.g., empowerment climate, 677 ethical climate) for the success of BIM adoption and implementation. 678

679 **6.** Conclusions

BIM technology and its increasing use are prompting several profound changes in businessprocesses and project management practices. As the technical side of BIM evolves, new roles

and relationships within project stakeholders, along with various project delivery systems (i.e., 682 IPD, IPD-ish, or IPD-lite), are constantly emerging. The managerial areas of BIM have been 683 684 attracting considerable attention from both the construction industry and academia because of the potential of this area in coordinating and managing overall project information and 685 686 processes, as well as aligning organizational strategies within a complex project environment. This study has drawn findings from a body of literature comprising 126 papers published in 687 16 academic journals, in response to the search term "MA-BIM." A variety of scientometric 688 techniques are used to analyze changes in MA-BIM studies published between 2007 and 689 690 2015, including keyword co-occurrence network, keyword burst detection, and abstract term cluster analysis. 691

692 The keywords and abstracts have been analyzed in terms of the co-occurrence and rate of 693 frequency change of keywords, and semantic grouping of abstract terms. Firstly, the keywords are used to construct co-occurrence network maps of the field as a whole. Secondly, 694 future directions are identified in the MA-BIM field using keyword burst detection, which 695 696 indicate a paradigm shift from tactic focus to an emphasis on broad strategy, as well as from individual-centered issues to a broad organizational perspective. Thirdly, the abstract term 697 698 cluster analysis reveals 8 prominent research themes in the MA-BIM field, namely collaborative working environment, innovation, stakeholder/actor network, spatial visualized 699 management, BIM adoption, transmission, conceptual framework, and O&M. 700

Based on the scientometric analysis, this study has further developed an integrated conceptual framework for MA–BIM to refine the 8 theme-clusters into 5 key aspects, with the objective of providing structured means of describing current status and future directions. The traditional BIM adoption analysis, which emphasizes individual behavior intentions, has been

ros extensively used in MA-BIM regardless of leadership factors and organizational inertia. This

scientometric analysis of MA-BIM is significant and invaluable in allowing bibliometric data

- 707 to provide a highly accurate representation of previous research efforts, as well as in
- 708 illustrating a future research direction for this field.

709 Acknowledgment

710 This study is jointly supported by the National Natural Science foundation of China

- 711 (Project No.: 71571137 and 71390523) and the International Exchange Program for Graduate
- 712 Students in Tongji University. The authors would like to acknowledge Professor Yongkui Li,
- 713 Tongji University, and Doctor Giorgio Locatelli, the University of Leeds, for their valuable
- 714 opinions and suggestions.

715 **References**

Aibinu, A., & Venkatesh, S., 2013. Status of BIM adoption and the BIM experience of cost consultants
in Australia. Journal of Professional Issues in Engineering Education and Practice, 140(3),
04013021.

- Alin, P., Maunula, A. O., Taylor, J. E., & Smeds, R., 2013. Aligning Misaligned Systemic Innovations:
 Probing Inter Firm Effects Development in Project Networks. Project Management Journal, 44(1),
 77-93.
- Armbrust, M., Fox, A., Griffith, R., Joseph, A. D., Katz, R., Konwinski, A., ... & Zaharia, M., 2010. A
 view of cloud computing. Communications of the ACM, 53(4), 50-58.
- Barlish, K., & Sullivan, K., 2012. How to measure the benefits of BIM—A case study approach.
 Automation in construction, 24, 149-159.
- 726 Becerik-Gerber, B., Jazizadeh, F., Li, N., & Calis, G., 2011. Application areas and data requirements for
- BIM-enabled facilities management. Journal of construction engineering and management, 138(3),431-442.
- Börner, K., Chen, C., & Boyack, K. W., 2003. Visualizing knowledge domains. Annual review of
 information science and technology, 37(1), 179-255.
- 731 Börner, K., 2010. Atlas of Science: Visualizing What We Know. MIT Press, London.
- Brewer, G., & Gajendran, T., 2012. Attitudes, behaviours and the transmission of cultural traits: Impacts
 on ICT/BIM use in a project team. Construction Innovation, 12(2), 198-215.
- 734 Bryde, D., Broquetas, M., & Volm, J. M., 2013. The project benefits of building information modelling
- (BIM). International Journal of Project Management, 31(7), 971-980.

- Cao, D., Li, H., & Wang, G., 2014. Impacts of isomorphic pressures on BIM adoption in construction
 projects. Journal of Construction Engineering and Management, 140(12), 04014056.
- Cao, D., Wang, G., Li, H., Skitmore, M., Huang, T., & Zhang, W., 2015. Practices and effectiveness of
 building information modelling in construction projects in China. Automation in Construction, 49,
 113-122.
- Chao-Duivis, M., 2009. Legal implications of working with BIM. Tijdschrift voor Bouwrecht, 44,
 204-212.
- Chen, C., 2006. CiteSpace II: Detecting and visualizing emerging trends and transient patterns in
 scientific literature. Journal of the American Society for information Science and Technology, 57(3),
 359-377.
- Chen, C., 2014. The CiteSpace Manual. Retrieved 31 August, 2015, from
 http://cluster.ischool.drexel.edu/~cchen/citeSpace/CiteSpaceManual.pdf.
- Chen, K., Lu, W., Peng, Y., Rowlinson, S., & Huang, G. Q., 2015. Bridging BIM and building: From a
 literature review to an integrated conceptual framework. International Journal of Project
 Management.
- Chen, Y. W., Fang, S., & Börner, K., 2011. Mapping the development of scientometrics: 2002–2008.
 Journal of Library Science in China, 3, 131-146.
- Davies, R., & Harty, C., 2013. Implementing 'Site BIM': a case study of ICT innovation on a large
 hospital project. Automation in Construction, 30, 15-24.
- Delen, D., & Crossland, M. D., 2008. Seeding the survey and analysis of research literature with text
 mining. Expert Systems with Applications, 34(3), 1707-1720.
- Eastman, C., 1976. General purpose building description systems. Computer-Aided Design, 8(1),
 17-26.
- Eastman, C., Eastman, C. M., Teicholz, P., & Sacks, R., 2011. BIM handbook: A guide to building
 information modeling for owners, managers, designers, engineers and contractors. John Wiley &
 Sons.
- 762 El Asmar, M., Hanna, A. S., & Loh, W. Y., 2013. Quantifying performance for the integrated project
 763 delivery system as compared to established delivery systems. Journal of Construction Engineering
 764 and Management, 139(11), 04013012.
- 765 Elmualim, A., & Gilder, J., 2014. BIM: innovation in design management, influence and challenges of
 766 implementation. Architectural Engineering and design management, 10(3-4), 183-199.
- 767 Elsevier, 2016. Scopus Content Coverage Guide. Retrieved 28 May, 2016, from
- https://www.elsevier.com/ data/assets/pdf_file/0007/69451/ scopus_content_coverage_guide.pdf.
- Fox, S., & Hietanen, J., 2007. Interorganizational use of building information models: potential for
- automational, informational and transformational effects. Construction Management and Economics,
 25(3), 289-296.
- Gilligan, B., & Kunz, J., 2007. VDC use in 2007: significant value, dramatic growth, and apparent
 business opportunity. TR171, 36.
- Green, S. D., Newcombe, R. A., Fernie, S., & Weller, S., 2004. Learning across business sectors:
 knowledge sharing between aerospace and construction.
- Grilo, A., & Jardim-Goncalves, R., 2010. Value proposition on interoperability of BIM and
 collaborative working environments. Automation in Construction, 19(5), 522-530.
- Gu, N., & London, K., 2010. Understanding and facilitating BIM adoption in the AEC industry.
- Automation in construction, 19(8), 988-999.

- 780 Hartmann, T., & Fischer, M., 2008. Applications of BIM and Hurdles for Widespread Adoption of BIM.
- 781 2007 AISC-ACCL eConstruction Roundtable Event Rep.
- Hassan Ibrahim, N., 2013. Reviewing the evidence: use of digital collaboration technologies in major
 building and infrastructure projects. Journal of Information Technology in Construction, 18, 40-63.
- Hossain, M. M., Prybutok, V., & Evangelopoulos, N., 2011. Causal Latent Semantic Analysis (cLSA):
 An Illustration. International Business Research, 4(2), 38.
- Hu, J., & Zhang, Y., 2015. Research patterns and trends of Recommendation System in China using
 co-word analysis. Information Processing & Management, 51(4), 329-339.
- 788 Imoudu Enegbuma, W., Godwin Aliagha, U., & Nita Ali, K., 2014. Preliminary building information
 789 modelling adoption model in Malaysia: A strategic information technology perspective. Construction
- 790 Innovation, 14(4), 408-432.
- 791 Irizarry, J., Karan, E. P., & Jalaei, F., 2013. Integrating BIM and GIS to improve the visual monitoring
 792 of construction supply chain management. Automation in Construction, 31, 241-254.
- Johansson, M., Roupé, M., & Bosch-Sijtsema, P., 2015. Real-time visualization of building information
 models (BIM). Automation in Construction, 54, 69-82.
- Kaufman, L., & Rousseeuw, P. J., 2009. Finding groups in data: an introduction to cluster analysis (Vol. 344). John Wiley & Sons.
- Kleinberg, J., 2003. Bursty and hierarchical structure in streams. Data Mining and Knowledge
 Discovery, 7(4), 373-397.
- Koutamanis, A., 2000. Digital architectural visualization. Automation in Construction, 9(4), 347-360.
- Linderoth, H. C., 2010. Understanding adoption and use of BIM as the creation of actor networks.Automation in Construction, 19(1), 66-72.
- Mahalingam, A., Yadav, A. K., & Varaprasad, J., 2015. Investigating the Role of Lean Practices in
 Enabling BIM Adoption: Evidence from Two Indian Cases. Journal of Construction Engineering and
 Management, 141(7), 05015006.
- Mok, K. Y., Shen, G. Q., & Yang, J., 2015. Stakeholder management studies in mega construction
 projects: A review and future directions. International Journal of Project Management, 33(2),
 446-457.
- 808 Murphy, M. E., 2014. Implementing innovation: a stakeholder competency-based approach for BIM.
 809 Construction Innovation, 14(4), 433-452.
- Newman, M. E., 2006. Modularity and community structure in networks. Proceedings of the National
 Academy of Sciences, 103(23), 8577-8582.
- 812 Oakley, J., 2012. Getting a BIM rap: Why implementations fail, and what you can do about it.813 AECbytes Viewpoint.
- 814 Pollack, J., & Adler, D., 2015. Emergent trends and passing fads in project management research: A
- scientometric analysis of changes in the field. International Journal of Project Management, 33(1),236-248.
- Redmond, A., Hore, A., Alshawi, M., & West, R., 2012. Exploring how information exchanges can be
 enhanced through Cloud BIM. Automation in Construction, 24, 175-183.
- Riaz, Z., Arslan, M., Kiani, A. K., & Azhar, S., 2014. CoSMoS: A BIM and wireless sensor based
 integrated solution for worker safety in confined spaces. Automation in Construction, 45, 96-106.
- Ronda-Pupo, G. A., & Guerras-Martin, L. Á., 2012. Dynamics of the evolution of the strategy concept
 1962 2008: a co word analysis. Strategic Management Journal, 33(2), 162-188.
- 823 Sacks, R., Treckmann, M., & Rozenfeld, O., 2009. Visualization of work flow to support lean

- construction. Journal of Construction Engineering and Management, 135(12), 1307-1315.
- Sacks, R., Koskela, L., Dave, B. A., & Owen, R., 2010. Interaction of lean and building information
 modeling in construction. Journal of construction engineering and management, 136(9), 968-980.
- Samuelson, O., & Björk, B. C., 2013. Adoption processes for EDM, EDI and BIM technologies in the
 construction industry. Journal of Civil Engineering and Management, 19(sup1), S172-S187.
- Samuelson, O., & Björk, B. C., 2014. A longitudinal study of the adoption of IT technology in the
 Swedish building sector. Automation in Construction, 37, 182-190.
- Sebastian, R., 2011. Changing roles of the clients, architects and contractors through BIM. Engineering,
 Construction and Architectural Management, 18(2), 176-187.
- 833 Shanghai Tower, 2015. About Us. Retrieved 10 August, 2015, from
 834 <u>http://www.shanghaitower.com.cn/enversion/show_news.asp?c_id=219</u>.
- Singh, V., & Holmström, J., 2015. Needs and technology adoption: observation from BIM experience.
 Engineering, Construction and Architectural Management, 22(2), 128-150.
- Son, H., Lee, S., & Kim, C., 2015. What drives the adoption of building information modeling in
 design organizations? An empirical investigation of the antecedents affecting architects' behavioral
 intentions. Automation in Construction, 49, 92-99.
- Succar, B., 2009. Building information modelling framework: A research and delivery foundation for
 industry stakeholders. Automation in construction, 18(3), 357-375.
- Succar, B., & Kassem, M., 2015. Macro-BIM adoption: Conceptual structures. Automation in
 Construction, 57, 64-79.
- 844 Thompson-Reuters, 2014. Web of Science. Retrieved 28 May, 2016, from
- <u>http://thomsonreuters.com/content/</u> dam/openweb/ documents/pdf/ scholarly-scientific-research/
 fact-sheet/wos-next-gen-brochure.pdf.
- Volk, R., Stengel, J., & Schultmann, F., 2014. Building Information Modeling (BIM) for existing
 buildings—Literature review and future needs. Automation in Construction, 38, 109-127.
- Wang, J., Wang, X., Shou, W., & Xu, B., 2014. Integrating BIM and augmented reality for interactive
 architectural visualisation. Construction Innovation, 14(4), 453-476.
- Wang, X., Love, P. E., Kim, M. J., Park, C. S., Sing, C. P., & Hou, L., 2013. A conceptual framework
 for integrating building information modeling with augmented reality. Automation in Construction,
 34, 37-44.
- Won, J., Lee, G., Dossick, C., & Messner, J., 2013. Where to focus for successful adoption of building
 information modeling within organization. Journal of Construction Engineering and Management,
 139(11), 04013014.
- Wong, J. K. W., & Zhou, J., 2015. Enhancing environmental sustainability over building life cycles
 through green BIM: A review. Automation in Construction, 57, 156-165.
- 859 Yalcinkaya, M., & Singh, V., 2015. Patterns and trends in Building Information Modeling (BIM)
- research: A Latent Semantic Analysis. Automation in Construction, 59, 68-80.

Table 1

Distribution of selected papers.

	Number of retrieved papers	Number of selected	
Journal name	from WoS and Scopus	papers for this study	
Automation in Construction	152	30	
Journal of Construction Engineering and	77	10	
Management	21	18	
Construction Innovation	22	15	
Journal of Professional Issues in Engineering	12	11	
Education and Practice	15	11	
Journal of Information Technology in	28	11	
Journal of Management in Engineering	12	9	
Construction Management and Economics	10	7	
Engineering, Construction and Architectural	6	ſ	
Management	0	0	
Building and Environment	4	3	
Building Research and Information	4	3	
International Journal of Project Management	4	3	
Proceedings of the Institution of Civil	4	2	
Engineers-Civil Engineering	4	3	
Canadian Journal of Civil Engineering	5	2	
KSCE Journal of Civil Engineering	8	2	
Project Management Journal	2	2	
Journal of Civil Engineering and Management	7	1	
Total	308	126	

Table 2

Normalized keywords list.

No.	Primary keywords	Normalized keywords
1	Application areas	Application area
2	Case studies	Case study
3	Costs	Cost
4	Construction projects	Construction project
5	Construction sites	Construction site
6	Computer-aided design (cad)	Computer aided design
7	Computer-aided design	Computer aided design
8	Curricula	Curriculum
9	Decision-making	Decision making
10	Engineering and construction (aec) industry	Construction industry
11	Facilities management	Facility management
12	Information technologies	Information technology
13	Information technology (it)	Information technology
14	Quantity takeoff	Quantity take-off
15	Surveys	Survey
16	Structural equation modeling (sem)	Structural equation model
17	Technology acceptance model (tam)	Technology acceptance model
18	Tam (technology acceptance model)	Technology acceptance model
19	Three-dimensional (3d) models	Three-dimensional models
20	3d models	Three-dimensional models

Table 3

Network	Nodes	Edges	Density	Modularity Q	Mean Silhouette
keyword	400	1//8	0.0121	0.8115	0.0372
co-occurrence	790	1440	0.0121	0.8115	0.9372

Whole characteristics of the keyword co-occurrence network.

Table 4

Eight research clusters in the field of MA-BIM.

Cluster	Size	Silhouette	Top terms
#0	15	0.723	Collaboration, Collaborative design, Interoperability
#1	14	14 0.845 Innovation,	Innovation, Innovation processes, Innovation diffusion,
#1			14 0.843
#2	11	0.892	Stakeholder management, Stakeholder competence, Actor network
#3	11	0.909	Visualization, Visualized management, Real-time visualization
#4	9	0.948	Adoption, Industry adoption, Behavioral intentions, Point of adoption
#5	9	0.912	Cultural transmission, Policy transmission, BIM diffusion policy
#6	5	1	Framework, Conceptual framework, Theoretical framework
#7	5	0.947	O&M, Existing building, Refurbishment, Deconstruction



Fig. 1. Research framework of this study.



Fig. 2. Keyword co-occurrence network: 2007-2015.



Fig. 3. Top keywords occurring more than twice: 2007-2015.



Fig. 4. A timeline view of keyword co-occurrence network: 2007-2015.

Keywords	Year	Strength	Begin	End	2007 - 2015
three-dimensional models	2007	1.1287	2007	2010	
change management	2007	0.9851	2007	2015	
information technology strategy	2007	0.9851	2007	2015	
maintenance	2007	0.9851	2007	2015	
design errors	2007	0.9851	2007	2015	
team working	2007	0.9851	2007	2015	
decision making	2007	0.9686	2007	2013	
design	2007	0.9065	2007	2011	
construction	2007	0.7398	2007	2012	
collaborative design	2007	0.9645	2008	2015	
project management	2007	0.2976	2009	2013	
innovation	2007	1.1533	2010	2012	
organizations	2007	0.6091	2010	2015	
collaboration	2007	0.4853	2010	2013	
management	2007	0.4853	2010	2013	
construction industry	2007	0.4746	2010	2012	
lean construction	2007	1.1636	2011	2015	
impact	2007	0.8715	2011	2013	
diffusion	2007	0.7749	2011	2015	
constructability	2007	0.7749	2011	2015	
sustainable development	2007	0.7749	2011	2015	
information systems	2007	0.6545	2011	2015	
adoption	2007	0.3972	2011	2013	
modelling	2007	1.0924	2012	2015	
education	2007	0.5654	2012	2015	





Fig. 6. Cluster analysis in MA-BIM field: 2007-2015.



Fig. 7. The conceptual framework for MA-BIM.



Fig. 8. The five principal research areas of MA-BIM