

Structure and properties of clay/recycled plastic composites

Istrate, O., & Chen, B. (2018). Structure and properties of clay/recycled plastic composites. *Applied Clay Science*, *156*, 144-151. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.clay.2018.01.039

Published in: Applied Clay Science

Document Version: Peer reviewed version

Queen's University Belfast - Research Portal: Link to publication record in Queen's University Belfast Research Portal

Publisher rights

Copyright 2018 Elsevier.

This manuscript is distributed under a Creative Commons Attribution-NonCommercial-NoDerivs License (https://creativecommons.org/licenses/by-nc-nd/4.0/), which permits distribution and reproduction for non-commercial purposes, provided the author and source are cited.

General rights

Copyright for the publications made accessible via the Queen's University Belfast Research Portal is retained by the author(s) and / or other copyright owners and it is a condition of accessing these publications that users recognise and abide by the legal requirements associated with these rights.

Take down policy

The Research Portal is Queen's institutional repository that provides access to Queen's research output. Every effort has been made to ensure that content in the Research Portal does not infringe any person's rights, or applicable UK laws. If you discover content in the Research Portal that you believe breaches copyright or violates any law, please contact openaccess@qub.ac.uk.

Open Access

This research has been made openly available by Queen's academics and its Open Research team. We would love to hear how access to this research benefits you. – Share your feedback with us: http://go.qub.ac.uk/oa-feedback

1	Structure and properties of clay/recycled plastic composites
2	
3	Oana M. Istrate ^{a,1} and Biqiong Chen ^{b,*}
4	^a Department of Mechanical and Manufacturing Engineering and Trinity Centre for Bioengineering,
5	Trinity College Dublin, Dublin 2, Ireland
6	^b School of Mechanical and Aerospace Engineering, Queen's University Belfast, Belfast BT9 5AH,
7	United Kingdom
8	*Corresponding author. Email: b.chen@qub.ac.uk
0	
9	
10	¹ Current address: National Graphene Institute, School of Materials, University of Manchester,
11	Manchester M13 9PL, UK
12	
12	
13	We are presenting a clay (montmorillonite) based method of reintroducing plastics back into the market
14	without subjecting them to extended processing methods. We have prepared montmorillonite/recycled
15	polymer materials with recycled polystyrene (R-PS) and recycled polyethylene (R-PE). R-PS was melt
16	mixed with as-received organomodified montmorillonite or blowing agent treated organomodified
17	montmorillonite which led to intercalated/exfoliated clay/polymer nanocomposites. Similarly, R-PE was
18	melt compounded, with or without the addition of a compatibiliser with the above mentioned
19	organomodified clay minerals which resulted in conventional composite formation. In the case of R-PS,
20	the thermal degradation temperature of the materials increased with the presence of clay minerals,
21	whereas for R-PE based materials it was observed that the thermal degradation temperatures decreased
22	with the presence of clay minerals. Overall it was observed that the presence of clay minerals improved
23	the stiffness of the materials. The use of blowing agent treated organomodified clay minerals in R-PS led
24	to nearly doubled impact strength compared to organomodified clay/R-PS nanocomposites.
25	Keywords: Plastics; Clay minerals; Nanocomposites; Thermal properties; Mechanical properties;
26	Recycling;

27 1 Introduction

28 During the life cycle of a plastic material and depending on the environment in which the material 29 is used, the polymer may undergo thermo- and/or photo-oxidative degradation, leading to irreversible 30 changes at molecular and morphological levels (Kartalis et al., 2001; Pospíšil et al., 1995). These changes 31 to the structure of the polymer are typically more pronounced when material recovery is performed. 32 Mechanical recycling is an energy effective plastics recovering process that uses mechanical processes 33 (e.g. separation, washing, shredding and processing) to recover polymeric materials from the recycled 34 plastic stock (Finnveden et al., 2005; Vilaplana and Karlsson, 2008). However, the mechanically recycled 35 polymers are typically characterised by inferior mechanical properties, compared to the pristine materials 36 (Kartalis et al., 2001), which may be due to thermo-mechanical deterioration that may occur during the 37 recovery process (Strömberg and Karlsson, 2009; Vilaplana and Karlsson, 2008). 38 Thermo-oxidative and thermo-mechanical degradation of polymer chains and the possible 39 presence of unwanted degraded chemical substances make interesting the use of additives that are able to 40 minimise the impact of these undesirable products. Over the years, a myriad of materials (such as: 41 stabilisers, compatibilisers and particles) have been used in order to diminish the impact of thermo-42 oxidative and thermo-mechanical degradation experienced by the plastic materials (Fortelný et al., 2004; 43 Vilaplana and Karlsson, 2008). The well-known ability of clay minerals to adsorb and absorb chemical 44 substances and the beneficial improvement of thermal, mechanical and barrier properties with the 45 dispersion of small amounts of clay minerals in pristine polymers and polymer blends make clay an ideal 46 candidate to aid in the recovery of plastic materials (Chaiko and Leyva, 2005; Katti et al., 2006; Lee et 47 al., 1997; Liu et al., 2000; Okada and Usuki, 2007; Zhao et al., 2005). 48 Clay minerals are ubiquitous in nature, have the ability to absorb harmful substances that might be 49 present in the recycled stock and each clay layer is characterised by superior strength and stiffness 50 compared to any polymer matrix (Chen and Evans, 2006). The effects of adding natural bentonite (i.e.,

- 51 sodium montmorillonite, Cloisite® Na) or organomodified bentonite (i.e., Cloisite® 25A) in recycled
- 52 polyethylene terephthalate (PET) have been structurally and mechanically evaluated for different clay
- 53 mineral loads (Pegoretti et al., 2004). It was observed that the dispersion of organomodified bentonite
- 54 resulted in intercalated clay nanostructures, whilst natural bentonite presented mostly as aggregates. The

55 tensile properties showed that the modulus increased with clay minerals load augmentation and the tensile 56 strength climaxed at 5 wt.% clay regardless of the type of clay mineral used (Pegoretti et al., 2004). The 57 formation of intercalated and exfoliated nanostructures increases the exposure of the surface of the clay 58 layers and allows for the stress to which polymer matrix is subjected to transfer to the nanostructure so as 59 to withhold superior loads. The effect of 5 wt.% organomodified bentonite dispersion into another 60 recycled polyester, i.e., poly(butylene terephthalate) (PBT) has also been investigated (Quispe et al., 61 2015). It was observed that the type of organic modifier influences the morphology of the polymer 62 nanocomposite. Partially exfoliated clay/polymer nanocomposites were obtained when using single tail 63 tallow (i.e., Cloisite® 25A) and only intercalated nanostructures occurred when a double tail tallow (i.e., 64 Cloisite® 20A) was used. The partially exfoliated nanocomposites presented a better dispersion of the 65 nanofiller and the higher improvements in the tensile modulus and the tensile strength over recycled PBT 66 when compared to intercalated Cloisite® 25A/PBT nanocomposites (Quispe et al., 2015). The dispersion 67 of organomodified bentonite (i.e., Cloisite® 30B) into recycled polypropylene with 30 wt.% maleated 68 polypropylene led to the formation of well dispersed composite materials characterised by highly 69 intercalated nanostructures (Phuong et al., 2008). The mechanical properties showed progressive 70 improvements with smectite augmentation with the highest values for tensile strength and Charpy impact 71 strength being encountered for a clay load of 4 wt.% (Phuong et al., 2008).

72 Analysing the average waste consumption of a household, it was discovered that thermoplastic 73 waste represented 12% of the yearly household residue; from which polyethylene (PE) made up 75% and 74 polypropylene (PP), polystyrene (PS), polyvinyl chloride (PVC) and PET represented 10, 8, 4 and 3%, 75 respectively (Finnveden et al., 2005). Thus, the current study focuses on two major household waste 76 thermoplastics, a non-polar polymer, i.e., PE, and a low-polar polymer, i.e., PS. This work examines the 77 structure and thermal and mechanical properties of clay/recycled polymer composites manufactured with 78 an as-received organomodified montmorillonite (Organoclay Nanomer® I.44P) and a blowing agent-79 treated organomodified montmorillonite. These treated clay minerals have been previously used to 80 manufacture clay/polymer nanocomposites with a higher degree of exfoliation and superior properties 81 (Istrate and Chen, 2014). It is hypothesised that by dispersing these clay minerals in recycled polymer 82 matrices polymer composites/nanocomposites with better clay dispersion and superior properties will 83 form. If this is the case, we hope that by using this procedure higher amounts of plastics will be recycled

84 and reintroduced to the market and that the versatility of the products manufactured with recycled

- 85 polymers will increase.
- 86 **2** Experimental section
- 87 **2.1 Materials**

88 Recycled high-density polyethylene (R-PE) from Monnad Industries (Ireland), obtained from 89 pelletizing milk jugs, was generously provided by Athlone Institute of Technology (Ireland). Recycled 90 impact-modified polystyrene (Axpoly® PS01), denoted from here on as R-PS and representing 100% 91 post-consumer recycled polymer recovered from refrigerators, was generously supplied by Axion 92 Polymers (UK). R-PE and R-PS were used as polymer matrices for the manufacturing of clay/polymer 93 composites. For R-PE a compatibilising agent, i.e., polyethylene-grafted-maleic anhydride (PEgMA) was 94 used. PEgMA was purchased from Sigma-Aldrich Ireland Ltd. (Ireland). Organomodified 95 montmorillonite Nanomer® I.44P (Clay), a dimethyl dihydrogenated tallow ammonium chloride 96 (2M2HTA) modified montmorillonite, manufactured by Nanocor Corporation (USA), was kindly 97 supplied by Nordmann, Rassmann GmbH (Germany). The organic content of the organomodified 98 montmorillonite was previously determined from loss on ignition test to be 40% (Istrate et al., 2012). The 99 as-received organomodified montmorillonite was treated with azodicarboxamide (ADC), a well-known 100 blowing agent, following a procedure described in our previous publication (Istrate and Chen, 2014). The 101 resulting clays were denoted as ADC-Clay.

102

2.2 Nanocomposite manufacturing

103R-PS, R-PE and compatibilised R-PE (R-PE/PEgMA = 90/10, w/w) with 4 wt% clay layers were104manufactured on a Prism twin screw extruder (UK) with 16 mm-diameter screws and a length to diameter

105 ratio of 25. The materials were passed three times through the twin-screw, once at a screw speed of 200

106 rpm and then twice at a screw speed of 100 rpm. For the organomodified montmorillonite

107 nanocomposites the temperatures were maintained at 160, 170, 175, and 180 °C from hopper to die, for

- 108 all three processes. For the blowing agent-treated organomodified montmorillonite the temperatures were
- 109 maintained at 160, 170, 175, and 180 °C when the material was processed at 200 rpm and increased to
- 110 165, 175, 190, and 200 °C when the material was processed at 100 rpm. After passing the material
- 111 through the extruder, the extrudates were water cooled and pelletized. Tensile and impact specimens were

- 112 manufactured on a bench top injection moulder (Ray Ran model 2 Test Sample Injection Moulding
- 113 Apparatus, UK). The injection moulder was used at a barrel temperature of 220 °C, a tool temperature of

114 55 °C and a pressure of 0.76 MPa for R-PE materials and a barrel temperature of 210 °C, a tool

115 temperature of 55 °C and a pressure of 0.76 MPa for R-PS materials.

116

2.3 Characterization

117 X-ray diffraction (XRD) was carried out on a Phillips PW1720 X-Ray Diffractometre with a

118 CuK α_1 (λ =0.15406 nm) anode tube at standard conditions of 40 kV and 20 mA. The samples were tested

119 from 2° to 10°, 2θ angle, at a step size of 0.02° and a duration of 2.5 s per step. Powder samples were used

120 for the clay minerals, while thin samples (1 mm thick) were used for the composite materials. These

- 121 samples were prepared by applying a pressure of 5.1 MPa for 10 s at 210 °C.
- 122 Transmission electron microscopy (TEM) was performed on a TECNAI G2 20 Twin electron

123 microscope at 200 kV accelerating voltage. The specimens were ultramicrotomed using a Reichert–Jug

- 124 'Ultracut' equipped with a diamond knife. The sections (~100 nm in thickness) were collected in a trough
- 125 filled with water and then placed on a 200 mesh copper grid.
- 126Scanning electron microscopy (SEM) imaging on tensile fractured surfaces was performed using a127Zeiss Ultra Scanning Electron Microscope (for R-PE materials) or on a Tescan MIra Variable Pressure

128 Field Emission Scanning Electron Microscope (for R-PS materials) at a voltage of 5.0 kV. Prior to being

- 129 analysed the samples were mounted on stubs and their surface were platinum or gold coated.
- 130 Thermogravimetric analysis (TGA) was performed on a Perkin Elmer Pyrus 1 TGA equipped with
- 131 an ultra-micro balance with a sensitivity of 0.1 μ g, under nitrogen flow (20 mL·min⁻¹), from 100 °C to
- 132 650 °C at a heating rate of 10 °C·min⁻¹.
- 133 The tensile tests were run according to ISO 527:1996 on a Zwick Z005 machine (Germany). Five
- dog bone specimens (Type 1BA) were tensile tested using a 5 kN load cell and a testing speed of 20
- 135 $\text{mm}\cdot\text{min}^{-1}$ for R-PE materials and a 2.5 kN load cell and a testing speed of 5 $\text{mm}\cdot\text{min}^{-1}$ for R-PS materials.
- 136 Impact tests were run according to standard ISO 179:1997 at room temperature on a Charpy impact tester
- 137 (JinJian XJJD-5, China). The tests were run at a speed of 2.9 m·s⁻¹ and using a hammer of 2 J for R-PE
- 138 materials and 0.5 J for R-PS materials. Seven specimens (80 mm×10 mm×4 mm,

139 length×width×thickness) were impact tested for each batch of materials. Prior to being tested the impact

140 specimens were notched with a type A notch, using a 45° cutter and a milling machine. The mean and

141 standard deviation values reported for the mechanical tests represent a confidence level of 95%. Statistical

- 142 significance was assessed by a Two-tailed, Type II 't' test with a criterion that the probability of a
- 143 difference in means due to chance is smaller than 0.05.
- 144 **3** Results and discussion

145 **3.1 Structure**

146 The XRD traces presented in Fig 1A show that the untreated organomodified montmorillonite 147 (Curve 1) presented a broad diffraction peak at a 20 value of 3.3° which corresponds to a basal spacing, 148 $d_{(001)}$, of 2.7 nm.(Istrate et al., 2012) By treating the organomodified montmorillonite with the organic 149 blowing agent, the diffraction peak shifted towards a higher 20 value. The intercalation of ADC inside the 150 interlayer space and the positive shift which was attributed to the removal of some surfactant molecules 151 from the clay mineral interlayer space have been discussed in our previous works (Istrate and Chen, 2012; 152 Istrate and Chen, 2014).

153 The dispersion of Clay (Fig 1B, Curve B1) into R-PS shifted the (001) diffraction peak for Clay to 154 a lower 20 value of 2.5°, corresponding to a $d_{(001)}$ of 3.5 nm. This indicated the formation of intercalated 155 nanostructures. However, as observed from Fig 1B, Curve B2, the XRD trace for the ADC-Clay in the R-156 PS does not present any significant peaks, except for a small and broad diffraction peak at a 20 value of 157 5°. This peak represented the (002) diffraction peak, which is located at the same position as the (002)158 diffraction peak of Clay/R-PS nanocomposite. The absence of the (001) peak and the presence of small 159 and broad (002) peak could suggest that the dispersion of ADC-Clay in R-PS led to the formation of 160 highly exfoliated nanocomposites. However, this could also arise from the orientation effect due to 161 sample preparation via hot pressing (Chen, 2005). This will be sequentially discussed from the TEM 162 images.

163



164

Fig. 1 XRD profiles of A) clay minerals (Istrate and Chen, 2014), and B) clay/R-PS, C) clay/R-PE and D)
clay/PEgMA/R-PE composites and nanocomposites.

167 By dispersing Clay in R-PE (Fig 1C, Curve C1) the (001) diffraction peak shifted to slightly 168 higher 2θ values indicating that conventional composites have formed. This is similar to the findings 169 reported for neat Clay/PP composites and attributed to the immiscibility between the polymer and the 170 organomodified montmorillonite and/or the degradation of the surfactant during melt processing (Chen 171 and Evans, 2008; Istrate and Chen, 2012; Istrate and Chen, 2014). Replacing the Clay with ADC-Clay, 172 the XRD spectra (Fig 1C, Curve C2) showed no significant (001) diffraction peaks between the 20 values 173 of 2° and 4°, where the (001) peaks for Clay (Fig 1A, Curve A1), ADC-Clay (Fig 1A, Curve A2) and 174 Clay/R-PE (Fig 1C, Curve C1) were previously encountered. This can be attributed to the orientation 175 effect of the clay layers inside the polymer matrix. The ADC-Clay/R-PE XRD trace presented two 176 significant peaks that can be attributed to the (002) and (003) diffraction peaks of the clay mineral. These 177 higher order peaks suggest that a highly ordered layer structures may have formed (Delbem et al., 2010). 178 The dispersion of Clay into maleated ethylene compatibilised recycled PE (PEgMA/R-PE) showed no 179 shift in the (001) diffraction peak (Fig 1D, Curve D1). Similar to the ADC-Clay/R-PE XRD spectra (Fig 180 1D, Curve D2), the presence of blowing agent-treated organomodified montmorillonite in PEgMA/R-PE 181 showed no significant (001) peaks between the 2 θ values of 2° and 4°; however, it did show the high

- 182 order diffraction peaks (002) and (003). The formation of composite or nanocomposite structures in
- 183 ADC-Clay/R-PE and ADC-Clay/PEgMA/R-PE will be discussed below from the TEM images.



184

Fig. 2 TEM images of A. Clay/R-PS (Scale bar: 500 nm), A1. Clay/R-PS (Scale bar: 50 nm, the red arrows indicate single clay layers), B. ADC-Clay/R-PS (Scale bar: 500 nm), B1. ADC-Clay/PS (Scale bar: 50 nm, the red arrows indicate single clay layers), C. ADC-Clay/R-PE (Scale bar: 2 μm), D. ADC-Clay/PEgMA/R-PE (Scale bar: 1 μm) and D1. ADC-Clay/PEgMA/R-PE (Scale bar: 200 nm; the black arrows indicate the presence of voids between the clay layers). (For interpretation of the references to colour in this figure legend, the reader is referred to the web version of the article)

191 The intercalated nanostructures observed *via* XRD for clay/R-PS were confirmed by TEM (Fig 2A

and 2A1). As observed from the XRD (Fig 1B) the addition of Clay (Curve B1) resulted in the formation

193 of mostly intercalated clay nanostructures (Fig 2A). From the TEM images it was assessed that the

- 194 intercalated mass fraction of nanostructures represented 87%, whilst only 13% of the nanostructures
- 195 presented as exfoliated clay layers (determined from over 80 nanostructures). The intercalated clay
- 196 tactoids presented between 2 and 12 clay layers per stack with an average of 3.9 clay layers per stack. The
- 197 structure of ADC-Clay/R-PS was investigated via TEM (Fig 2B and 2B1). The fraction of exfoliated clay
- 198 layers was determined to be 43%, whilst 57% of nanostructures were found to be intercalated clay

199 tactoids (determined from over 80 nanostructures). The intercalated nanostructures exhibited between 2

and 7 clay layers per stack with an average of 3.2 clay layers per stack. Using a blowing agent treated

201 organomodified montmorillonite resulted in more exfoliated clay/R-PS nanocomposites, which is in good

202 agreement with our previous findings on neat PS (Istrate and Chen, 2014). Typically,

203 intercalated/exfoliated nanostructures occur inside melt processed nanocomposites due to the shear forces

204 that are present during melt blending and the interfacial interactions between the polymer matrix and clay

205 minerals (Fornes and Paul, 2003; Paul and Robeson, 2008). When a chemical blowing agent is present

206 inside the interlayer space during melt mixing and heat exposure the blowing agent degrades forming

207 bubbles pushing the clay layers further apart (Istrate and Chen, 2014). The bubbles, gas molecules that

208 formed during heat decomposition of the blowing agent (Istrate and Chen, 2012), present as voids in the

TEM images (Fig 2D1).

210 The TEM images of ADC-Clay/R-PE (Fig 2C) showed that the absence of the (001) diffraction 211 peak from the XRD traces (Fig 1C, Curve C2) was due to the formation of conventional composites. 212 Similarly, the TEM representative images for compatibilised ADC-Clay/PEgMA/R-PE (Fig 2D) showed 213 that although the clay mineral was well dispersed in the polymer matrix, the reinforcement was mainly 214 made up by clay particles with intercalated clay tactoids being marginally identified. Thus, from the XRD 215 traces and the representative TEM images it was concluded that the dispersion of organomodified 216 montmorillonite and ADC-treated organomodified montmorillonite in compatibilised and un-217 compatibilised R-PE resulted in the formation of conventional composites. This was due to the non-polar 218 character of R-PE and the possibility of having different polymer grades, additives and impurities present 219 into the recycle stock used. Although PEgMA was used as a compatibiliser, conventional composites 220 were obtained; this may be due to the compatibiliser content that may not have been enough to create an 221 interface between clay minerals and R-PE and the possible impurities that may be present in the recycling 222 stock.

223

3.2 Thermal properties

The thermal degradation temperature (measured as the peak temperature on the differential thermogravimetric curves, Fig 3) showed different variations according to the type of recycled polymer matrix, the type of clay mineral used and the presence or absence of the compatibilising agent. From Fig

- 3A it can be observed that the dispersion of clay minerals (Curve A2 and Curve A3 vs. Curve A1) led to
- 228 no change in the thermal degradation temperature of R-PS. Typically, the dispersion of an

organomodified montmorillonite into a polymer matrix leads to two effects: a catalysis effect, due to the

- 230 presence of the surfactant which upon heat exposure decomposes, and a barrier effect, due to the presence
- 231 of clay layers and clay tactoids which delay the volatilisation of the gases produced by the decomposition
- of the surfactant (Araujo et al., 2007; Gilman, 1999; Gilman et al., 2000; Xu et al., 2010). From the TGA
- 233 traces for clay/R-PS it can be observed that these two opposite effects cancelled each other leading to no
- significant change in the thermal degradation temperature.



235

Fig. 3 Differential thermogravimetric profiles of A) clay/R-PS, B) clay/R-PE and C) clay/PEgMA/R-PE

composites and nanocomposites.

239 The dispersion of Clay in R-PE resulted in a slight decrease in the thermal degradation temperature 240 (Fig 3B, Curve B2), whilst the presence of ADC-Clay in R-PE exhibited a prominent negative shift in the 241 thermal degradation temperature form 491 °C in R-PE (Fig 3B, Curve B1) to 468 °C (Fig 3B, Curve B3). 242 This can be due to poor dispersion of the clay layers inside the polymer matrix. Compared to R-PE or 243 PEgMA/R-PE the thermal degradation temperature of Clay/PEgMA/R-PE and ADC-Clay/PEgMA/R-PE 244 (Fig 3C) was found to diminish by up to 17 °C. The further exposure of the surfactant resulted into a 245 catalysis effect that dominated and facilitated the degradation of the recycled material. These results are 246 in good agreement with the findings previously reported for clay/PP composites (Istrate and Chen, 2014)

247

248

3.3 Mechanical properties

249 The dispersion of Clay and ADC-Clay in R-PS increased the Young's modulus, with statistical 250 significance, by 41% and 35% (Fig 4A). These enhancements can be attributed to the presence of 251 intercalated and exfoliated nanostructures inside the polymer matrix. The well dispersed single layers and 252 few-layer clay tactoids are characterised by a higher modulus than the clay particles which leads to stiffer 253 materials. The addition of organomodified montmorillonite or ADC-treated organomodifed 254 montmorillonite into R-PE and PEgMA/R-PE resulted in statistically significant enhancements in the 255 Young's modulus of up to 36% without the presence of a compatibilising agent and up to 47% in the 256 presence of PEgMA compared to R-PE. As opposed to the stiffness of PEgMA/R-PE, the dispersion of 257 the as-received organomodified montmorillonite and the blowing agent-treated organomodified 258 montmorillonite led to up to 34% statistically significant improvements. In this case the reinforcement 259 was represented by clay particles that were characterised by a superior stiffness compared to the polymer 260 matrix.

The addition of clay minerals improved the ultimate tensile strength (Fig 4B) of R-PS and R-PE. The enhancements were between 12 and17% for R-PE and R-PS with Clay. However, when clay minerals were added to compatibilised R-PE, the changes were insignificant. Elongation at break (Fig 4C) showed depreciations compared to the neat recycled polymer for R-PS and R-PE, regardless of the clay mineral used. This can be attributed to the brittle character of R-PS and to the formation of conventional composites in the case of noncompatibilised R-PE. However, when clay minerals were added to PEgMA/R-PE improvements close to 500% were observed compared to the compatibilised R-PE. The

268 improvements occurred due to the formation of intercalated clay/polymer nanocomposites and to the 269 mobility, dispersion and compatibilising effect of the clay tactoids (Chen and Evans, 2008; Dasari et al., 270 2007). The changes in toughness observed during tensile testing (calculated as the energy absorbed by the 271 system before the breaking point) (Chen and Evans, 2009) and impact testing are depicted in Fig 4D. 272 Regardless of the type of clay mineral dispersed in R-PS, the tensile energy absorbed at break was found 273 to decrease by 72-77%. Similarly, the tensile energy at break of R-PE reduced with the addition of either 274 Clay or ADC-Clay. The reductions are due to the embrittlement effect of the clay mineral, as previously 275 reported in literature (Cotterell et al., 2007). However, compared to PEgMA/R-PE, the presence of Clay 276 and ADC-Clay led to statistically significant enhancements in the tensile energy at break by 458-463%. 277 The remarkable increases that occurred in the compatibilised R-PE with the addition of clay minerals can 278 be attributed to the dispersion, mobility and compatibilising effect of montmorillonite. The clay minerals, 279 in the presence of the maleated component, acted as a compatibilising agent between the different 280 polymer grades. Compared to the noncompatibilised clay/R-PE composites, clay/PEgMA/R-PE 281 composites presented a better dispersion of the clay particles (Fig 2D). Thus, the homogeneous dispersion 282 of clay particles in clay/PEgMA/R-PE resulted in improved tensile properties as opposed to the 283 noncompatibilised clay/R-PE composites. As shown in Fig 4D, the impact strength of the recycled 284 plastics decreased with statistical significance, regardless of the type of clay mineral used. However, 285 ADC-Clay/R-PS showed a 93% statistically significant improvement in impact strength compared to 286 Clay/R-PS. This enhancement may be attributed to better dispersion of nanostructures and an increase in 287 the degree of exfoliation from 13% to 43% as previously discussed. As it can be observed from Fig 4D, 288 only recycled impact-modified ADC-Clay/PS showed superior impact strength compared to Clay/R-PS; 289 the other materials presented reductions that were within the error.

290 The effect of dispersing organomodified montmorillonite or blowing agent-treated organomodified

291 montmorillonite in R-PS, R-PE or PEgMA/R-PE was investigated *via* SEM by analysing the impact

- 292 fractured surfaces of the recycled polymers and clay/polymer composites and nanocomposites. The
- dispersion of clay minerals in R-PS (Fig 5A1 and 5A2) led to the formation of a rougher surface
- 294 compared to neat R-PS (Fig 5A). However, the presence of Clay resulted in a material that exhibited
- some smoother areas compared to ADC-Clay/R-PS nanocomposites, which is in good agreement with the
- lower impact strength observed for the former. The R-PE (Fig 5B) presented a vein-type pattern with a

297 fibrillar aspect, in which the addition of the compatibilising agent led to a change in the fibrillar and vein-

- type pattern density (Fig 5C), which is in accordance with the decrease in the toughness (estimated as the
- 299 tensile energy at break during the tensile test or as the impact strength determined from the Charpy impact
- 300 test). The conventional composites obtained by dispersing Clay in R-PE showed a fibrillar pattern and
- 301 some smooth areas that were due to the embrittlement phenomenon that the clay layers induced (Cotterell
- 302 et al., 2007). The dispersion of ADC-Clay in R-PE led to the formation of longer fibrils which is in good
- 303 agreement with the higher energy absorbed at break that was observed *via* tensile testing for ADC-
- 304 Clay/R-PE in comparison to Clay/R-PE. Similarly, the presence of clay minerals in maleated R-PE also
- 305 resulted in the occurrence of highly fibrillar impact surfaces.



306

Fig. 4 A) Young's modulus for clay/R-PS nanocomposites and compatibilised and noncompatibilised clay/R-PE, B) ultimate tensile strength of clay/R-PS and compatibilised and noncompatibilised clay minerals/R-PE, C) elongation at break of clay/R-PS and compatibilised and noncompatibilised clay minerals/R-PE and D) toughness of clay/R-PS nanocomposites and compatibilised and noncompatibilised clay/R-PE composites (the bars represent averages of five measurements; the error bars represent ± standard deviation)

313 The intercalated/exfoliated ADC-Clay/R-PS nanocomposites in which the ratio of intercalated clay 314 tactoids to exfoliated clay layers was close to unity presented a substantial increase in the impact strength 315 compared to the highly intercalated Clay/R-PS nanocomposite. It has been previously reported in 316 literature (Dasari et al., 2007) that a highly intercalated clay/nylon 6 nanocomposite presented a moderate 317 increase in the impact strength compared to a highly exfoliated clay/nylon 6 nanocomposite. The ratios of 318 the intercalated structures to the exfoliated structures presented in this work and the work from Dasari et 319 al. (2007) are rather different, which may be a key reason for these different observations. Other reasons 320 may include different clay minerals, polymers and interfacial interactions in both types of clay/polymer 321 nanocomposites. In the current study, the improvement observed in the impact strength of the material 322 with intercalated/exfoliated nanostructures over the one with mostly intercalated nanostructures may be 323 due to enhanced exfoliation and exposure of the surfactant which may interact with the impact additives 324 in the R-PS and improve the toughness of the system. The rougher fracture surface of ADC-Clay/R-PS 325 nanocomposite compared to the slightly smoother fracture surfaces of Clay/R-PS nanocomposite were in 326 good agreement with the impact strength data. However, the clay/R-PS nanocomposites present little 327 variation in the tensile energy at break suggesting that presence of impact additives may interfere with the 328 movement of the exfoliated clay layers during the tensile tests.

329 Unlike the clay/R-PS nanocomposites, ADC-Clay/R-PE microcomposite presented a reduction 330 in the impact strength compared to Clay/R-PE microcomposite, whereas the tensile energy at break 331 presented the opposite variation. This can be attributed to the smaller clay aggregates that form when the 332 ADC-Clay was dispersed in R-PE. The enhanced impact strength of Clay/R-PE over ADC-Clay/R-PE 333 may be a consequence of aggregates presence that once encountered on the crack path may force the 334 crack to deviate and thus increase the energy absorbed by the system during the crack propagation. This 335 difference may also be due to the different testing speeds that are used in impact tests compared to tensile 336 tests, which was previously discussed in literature (Chen and Evans, 2008; Chen and Evans, 2009). In 337 contrast, the presence of a compatibilising agent in the clay/R-PE conventional systems led to similar 338 variations in the impact strength and tensile energy at break, presumably due to the larger clay 339 microparticles present in these systems.



Fig. 5 SEM images: A. R-PS, A1. Clay/R-PS and A2. ADC-Clay/R-PS; B. R-PE, B1. Clay/R-PE and B2.
ADC-Clay/R-PE; C. PEgMA/R-PE, C1. Clay/PEgMA/R-PE, and C2. ADC-Clay/PEgMA/R-PE (Scale

bars for the main figures: $10 \ \mu m$; and for the insets: $1 \ \mu m$).

344 Although there are differences between neat PE and R-PE and neat PS and R-PS, owing mostly to 345 the presence of impurities in the recycled materials, the changes observed in the mechanical properties 346 with the addition of clay to R-PS and compatibilised and noncompatibilised R-PE are in good agreement 347 with the changes observed for neat PE, compatibilised PE and neat PS (Istrate, 2012; Istrate and Chen, 348 2014). Clay/R-PS and ADC-Clay/R-PS presented superior stiffness compared to R-PS; however, the 349 toughness of both nanocomposites decreased. In these cases the addition of clay embrittled the material. 350 The compatibilised and noncompatibilised clay/R-PE microcomposites presented higher Young's moduli 351 compared to the R-PE and PEgMA/R-PE. However, the toughness of clay/R-PE systems decreased 352 regardless of the testing method. Unlike clay/R-PE systems, the presence of a compatibilising agent

353 resulted in enhanced tensile energy at break and small reductions in the impact strength. The superior

354 stiffness and improved toughness attained with the dispersion of clay minerals in a compatibilised R-PE 355 matrix suggest the potential that the dispersion of a small amount of clay layers in a recycled polymer 356 matrix has.

357 4 Conclusions

The dispersion of Clay and ADC-Clay in R-PS led to the formation of intercalated/exfoliated clay/polymer nanocomposites. By dispersing the same clay minerals in R-PE with or without the addition of a compatibilising agent, conventional composites were formed. The highly non-polar character of the matrix, even with the introduction of the compatibilising agent, obstructed the delamination of the clay particles.

The presence of montmorillonite in R-PS only marginally improved the thermal degradation temperature of the material. However, the dispersion of Clay and ADC-Clay decreased the thermal degradation temperatures of the compatibilised and noncompatibilised R-PE. In this case, the catalytic effect of the surfactant dominated.

By dispersing Clay or ADC-Clay in the recycled materials the stiffness improved. The energy at break of the PEgMA/R-PE, assessed from the tensile tests, was found to significantly increase with the addition of montmorillonite. This may be due to the ability of clay to act, in the presence of a maleated component, as a compatibilising agent between different polymer grades and to the mobility of clay layers during the slow-speed testing. The superiority of the ADC-Clay over Clay was emphasised by a 93% increase in the impact strength of R-PS.

The presence of clay minerals generally improved the mechanical properties of the recycled materials. Although the thermal degradation temperature was reduced; this procedure, with some further optimisations, still has potential to help reuse recycled materials and ease the unavoidable polymer feedstock recuperation process.

377

378 Acknowledgements

379 The authors are grateful to the Environmental Protection Agency for supporting this work under research380 grant no. EPA-2008-PhD-WRM-4. Eoin Kearney is thanked for helping with sample preparation and

- 381 testing. The Conway Institute is thanked for aiding with the sample ultramicrotoming and facilitating
- access to the TEM instrument.
- 383

384 References

- 385 Araujo, E.M., Barbosa, R., Rodrigues, A.W.B., Melo, T.J.A., Ito, E.N., Processing and characterization of
- 386 polyethylene/Brazilian clay nanocomposites. Mater. Sci. Eng. A 445, 2007, 141-147.
- 387 Chaiko, D.J., Leyva, A.A., Thermal transitions and barrier properties of olefinic nanocomposites. *Chem.*
- 388 Mat. 17, 2005, 13-19.
- 389 Chen, B., Polymer-clay Nanocomposites, 2005, The University of London.
- 390 Chen, B., Evans, J.R.G., Nominal and effective volume fractions in polymer-clay nanocomposites.
- 391 *Macromolecules* **39**, 2006, 1790-1796.
- 392 Chen, B., Evans, J.R.G., Impact and tensile energies of fracture in polymer-clay nanocomposites.
- 393 *Polymer* **49**, 2008, 5113-5118.
- Chen, B., Evans, J.R.G., Impact strength of polymer-clay nanocomposites. *Soft Matter* 5, 2009, 3572 3584.
- 396 Cotterell, B., Chia, J.Y.H., Hbaieb, K., Fracture mechanisms and fracture toughness in semicrystalline
- 397 polymer nanocomposites. Eng. Fract. Mech. 74, 2007, 1054-1078.
- 398 Dasari, A., Yu, Z.-Z., Mai, Y.-W., Transcrystalline regions in the vicinity of nanofillers in polyamide-6.
- 399 *Macromolecules* **40**, 2007, 123-130.
- 400 Delbem, M.F., Valera, T.S., Valenzuela-Diaz, F.R., Demarquette, N.R., Modification of a Brazilian
- 401 smectite clay with different quaternary ammonium salts. *Química Nova* **33**, 2010, 309-315.
- 402 Finnveden, G., Johansson, J., Lind, P., Moberg, A., Life cycle assessment of energy from solid waste -
- 403 part 1: general methodology and results. J. Clean. Prod. 13, 2005, 213-229.
- 404 Fornes, T.D., Paul, D.R., Formation and properties of nylon 6 nanocomposites. *Polímeros* 13, 2003, 212-
- 405 217.
- 406 Fortelný, I., Michálková, D., Kruliš, Z., An efficient method of material recycling of municipal plastic
- 407 waste. Polym. Degrad. Stab. 85, 2004, 975-979.

- 408 Gilman, J.W., Flammability and thermal stability studies of polymer layered-silicate (clay)
- 409 nanocomposites. Appl. Clay Sci. 15, 1999, 31-49.
- 410 Gilman, J.W., Jackson, C.L., Morgan, A.B., Harris, R., Manias, E., Giannelis, E.P., Wuthenow, M.,
- 411 Hilton, D., Phillips, S.H., Flammability properties of polymer-layered-silicate nanocomposites.
- 412 Polypropylene and polystyrene nanocomposites. *Chem. Mat.* **12**, 2000, 1866-1873.
- 413 Istrate, O.M., Polymer/Clay Nanocomposites, Mechanical and Manufacturing Engineering, 2012,
- 414 University of Dublin, Trinity College Dublin.
- 415 Istrate, O.M., Chen, B., Porous exfoliated poly(ε-caprolactone)/clay nanocomposites: preparation,
- 416 structure and properties. J. Appl. Polym. Sci. 125, 2012, E102–E112.
- 417 Istrate, O.M., Chen, B., Enhancements of clay exfoliation in polymer nanocomposites using a chemical
- 418 blowing agent. *Polym. Int.* **63**, 2014, 2008-2016.
- 419 Istrate, O.M., Gunning, M.A., Higginbotham, C.L., Chen, B., Structure-property relationships of polymer
- 420 blend/clay nanocomposites: compatibilised and noncompatibilised polystyrene/propylene/clay J. Polym.
- 421 Sci. B Polym Phys 50, 2012, 431-441.
- 422 Kartalis, C.N., Papaspyrides, C.D., Pfaendner, R., Hoffmann, K., Herbst, H., Recycled and restabilized
- 423 HDPE bottle crates: retention of critical properties after heat aging. *Polym. Eng. Sci.* **41**, 2001, 771-781.
- 424 Katti, K.S., Sikdar, D., Katti, D.R., Ghosh, P., Verma, D., Molecular interactions in intercalated
- 425 organically modified clay and clay-polycaprolactam nanocomposites: experiments and modeling.
- 426 *Polymer* 47, 2006,403-414.
- 427 Lee, J.H., Song, D.I., Jeon, Y.W., Adsorption of organic phenojs onto dual organic cation
- 428 montmorillonite from water. Sep. Sci. Technol. 32, 1997, 1975-1992.
- 429 Liu, W., Gan, J., Papiernik, S.K., Yates, S.R., Sorption and catalytic hydrolysis of diethatyl-ethyl on
- 430 homoionic clays. J. Agric. Food Chem. 48, 2000, 1935-1940.
- 431 Okada, A., Usuki, A., Twenty years of polymer-clay nanocomposites (vol 291, 1449, 2006). *Macromol.*
- 432 Mater. Eng. 291, 2007, 1449-1476.
- 433 Paul, D.R., Robeson, L.M., Polymer nanotechnology: Nanocomposites. *Polymer* 49, 2008, 3187-3204.
- 434 Pegoretti, A., Kolarik, J., Peroni, C., Migliaresi, C., Recycled poly(ethylene terephthalate)/layered silicate
- 435 nanocomposites: morphology and tensile mechanical properties. *Polymer* **45**, 2004, 2751-2759.

- 436 Phuong, N.T., Gilbert, V., Chuong, B., Preparation of recycled polypropylene/organophilic modified
- 437 layered silicates nanocomposites part I: the recycling process of polypropylene and the mechanical
- 438 oroperties of recycled polypropylene/organoclay nanocomposites. J. Reinf. Plast. Compos. 27, 2008,
- 439 1983-2000.
- 440 Pospíšil, J., Sitek, F.A., Pfaendner, R., Upgrading of recycled plastics by restabilization—an overview.
- 441 Polym. Degrad. Stab. 48, 1995, 351-358.
- 442 Quispe, N.B., Fernandes, E.G., Zanata, F., Bartoli, J.R., Souza, D.H., Ito, E.N., Organoclay
- 443 nanocomposites of post-industrial waste poly(butylene terephthalate) from automotive parts. *Waste*
- 444 Manag. Res. 33, 2015, 908-918.
- 445 Strömberg, E., Karlsson, S., The design of a test protocol to model the degradation of polyolefins during
- 446 recycling and service life. J. Appl.Polym. Sci. 112, 2009, 1835-1844.
- 447 Vilaplana, F., Karlsson, S., Quality concepts for the improved use of recycled polymeric materials: a
- 448 review. *Macromolec. Mater. Eng.* 293, 2008, 274-297.
- 449 Xu, X., Ding, Y., Wang, F., Wen, B., Zhang, J., Zhang, S., Yang, M., Effects of silane grafting on the
- 450 morphology and thermal stability of poly(ethylene terephthalate)/clay nanocomposites. *Polym. Compos.*
- **451 31**, 2010, 825-834.
- 452 Zhao, J., Morgan, A.B., Harris, J.D., Rheological characterization of polystyrene-clay nanocomposites to
- 453 compare the degree of exfoliation and dispersion. *Polymer* **46**, 2005, 8641-8660.
- 454