

# Environmental filtering vs. resource-based niche partitioning in diverse soil animal assemblages

Maaß, S., Maraun, M., Scheu, S., Rillig, M. C., & Caruso, T. (2015). Environmental filtering vs. resource-based niche partitioning in diverse soil animal assemblages. Soil Biology and Biochemistry, 85, 145-152. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.soilbio.2015.03.005

#### Published in:

Soil Biology and Biochemistry

**Document Version:** Peer reviewed version

Queen's University Belfast - Research Portal: Link to publication record in Queen's University Belfast Research Portal

Publisher rights Copyright 2015 Elsevier

This is the author's version of a work that was accepted for publication in Soil Biology and Biochemistry. Changes resulting from the publishing process, such as peer review, editing, corrections, structural formatting, and other quality control mechanisms may not be reflected in this document. Changes may have been made to this work since it was submitted for publication. A definitive version was subsequently published in Soil Biology and Biochemistry, [VOL 85, June 2015] DOI: 10.1016/j.soilbio.2015.03.005

#### General rights

Copyright for the publications made accessible via the Queen's University Belfast Research Portal is retained by the author(s) and / or other copyright owners and it is a condition of accessing these publications that users recognise and abide by the legal requirements associated with these rights.

#### Take down policy

The Research Portal is Queen's institutional repository that provides access to Queen's research output. Every effort has been made to ensure that content in the Research Portal does not infringe any person's rights, or applicable UK laws. If you discover content in the Research Portal that you believe breaches copyright or violates any law, please contact openaccess@qub.ac.uk.

#### **Open Access**

This research has been made openly available by Queen's academics and its Open Research team. We would love to hear how access to this research benefits you. - Share your feedback with us: http://go.qub.ac.uk/oa-feedback

1	Article type: Research
2	
3	Environmental filtering vs. resource-based niche partitioning in diverse soil animal
4	assemblages
5	
6	Running title: Niche in soil communities
7	
8	Authors:
9	Stefanie Maaß <sup>a,b</sup> , Mark Maraun <sup>c</sup> , Stefan Scheu <sup>c</sup> , Matthias C. Rillig <sup>a,b</sup> , Tancredi
10	Caruso <sup>d*</sup> ,
11	
12	<sup>a</sup> Institut für Biologie, Plant Ecology, Freie Universität Berlin, Altensteinstraße 6, 14195
13	Berlin, Germany
14	<sup>b</sup> Berlin-Brandenburg Institute of Advanced Biodiversity Research (BBIB), 14195
15	Berlin, Germany
16	<sup>c</sup> J.F. Blumenbach Institute of Zoology and Anthropology, Georg August University
17	Göttingen, Berliner Str. 28, 37073 Göttingen, Germany
18	<sup>d</sup> School of Biological Sciences, Queen's University of Belfast, 97 Lisburn Road,
19	Belfast, BT9 7BL, Belfast, Northern Ireland
20	* Corresponding author: phone: +44 (0)28 9097 2271 t.caruso@qub.ac.uk

## 21 Abstract

22 Terrestrial invertebrates constitute most of described animal biodiversity and soil is a 23 major reservoir of this diversity. In the classical attempt to understand the processes 24 supporting biodiversity, ecologists are currently seeking to unravel the differential roles 25 of environmental filtering and competition for resources in niche partitioning processes: 26 these processes are in principle distinct although they may act simultaneously, interact 27 at multiple spatial and temporal scales, and are often confounded in studies of soil 28 communities. We used a novel combination of methods based on stable isotopes and 29 trait analysis to resolve these processes in diverse oribatid mite assemblages at spatial 30 scales at which competition for resources could in principle be a major driver. We also 31 used a null model approach based on a general neutral model of beta diversity. A large 32 and significant fraction of community variation was explainable in terms of linear and 33 periodic spatial structures in the distribution of organic C, N and soil structure: species 34 were clearly arranged along an environmental, spatially structured gradient. However, 35 competition related trait differences did not map onto the distances separating species 36 along the environmental gradient and neutral models provided a satisfying 37 approximation of beta diversity patterns. The results represent the first robust evidence 38 that in very diverse soil arthropod assemblages resource-based niche partitioning plays a 39 minor role while environmental filtering remains a fundamental driver of species 40 distribution.

41

42 Keywords: stable isotopes, trophic niche, community structure, neutral theory, soil
43 microarthropods, oribatid mites

44

## 45 **1. Introduction**

46 The classical view of communities and the assembly processes forming them has 47 historically been dominated by the approaches pioneered by the founders of niche 48 theories. More recently classical theories have been rethought to include stochastic 49 processes such as those related to stochastic demographic fluctuations and dispersal 50 dynamics, which for example are the only mechanisms postulated in neutral theories 51 (Bell, 2001; Hubbell, 2001). Stochastic processes have also been included in the more 52 general framework of metacommunity theories (Cottenie, 2005; Leibold et al., 2004), 53 which focus on the spatial nature of assembly processes and extend the principles of 54 metapopulation dynamics to community ecology. For example, processes such as 55 dispersal create spatial patterns in species distribution. These spatial patterns do not 56 depend on spatial structure in the distribution of environmental variables although the 57 processes generating these patterns may interact with environmentally driven processes 58 (Smith and Lundholm, 2010). Biotic interaction, too, can create spatial patterns (e.g., 59 segregation of competing species in fairly homogeneous environments), regardless of 60 other spatial processes (Gotelli, 2000; Gotelli et al., 2010). Environmental gradients 61 determine spatial patterns in species distribution by sorting species according to their 62 environmental requirements (e.g., dry-tolerant vs. moist tolerant species) and for a long 63 time community ecology has been synonymous with studying species distributions 64 along such gradients (Morin, 2011).

These various processes are entangled in nature at multiple spatial scales but a key general point we analyse in this paper is that environmental filtering is one component of niche partitioning dynamics, which might or might not involve resource based niche partitioning due to competition for shared resources (Adler et al., 2013;

HilleRisLambers et al., 2012; Hubbell, 2005; Kraft et al., 2014). Interestingly, the point
of possible independence of environmental filtering and resource-based niche

71 partitioning has been made both by niche (HilleRisLambers et al., 2012; Kraft et al.,

2014) and neutral theorists (Hubbell, 2005) in spite of the fact that several ecologists in
practice continue to see niches in the sense of Grinnell, that is to say in terms of species
environmental requirements (Chase and Leibold, 2003).

75 Invertebrates constitute most of animal biodiversity and soil is a major reservoir of this 76 diversity. Soil animal community ecologists, following other animal and plant 77 ecologists (Dornelas et al., 2006; Hubbell, 2001; Ritchie, 2009), for a long time have 78 addressed taxonomically defined assemblages such as oribatid mites, collembolans or 79 nematodes to unravel the mechanisms that allow species coexistence in very diverse 80 systems (Wardle, 2002). Recently, microarthropods have also been investigated within 81 the niche-neutral debates or the more general framework of metacommunity theories 82 (Caruso et al., 2012; Lindo and Winchester, 2009; Nielsen et al., 2010; Salmon and 83 Ponge, 2012). However, in recent years studies based on stable isotopes and molecular 84 genetics have clearly shown that assemblages such as oribatid mites or collembolans 85 actually consist of species that can range in diet from being decomposers of low quality 86 organic matter to being top predators of nematodes (Heidemann et al., 2011; Maraun et 87 al., 2011; Schneider et al., 2004). This fact implies a strong bias of previous studies in 88 terms of how observed patterns can inform on underlying mechanisms. For example, if 89 we test neutral theories against niche partitioning theories, we should test these within 90 trophic levels (Hubbell, 2005), which challenges previous studies (Caruso et al., 2012; 91 Gao et al., 2014; Lindo and Winchester, 2009; Nielsen et al., 2010). In general, there is 92 little theoretical and empirical support for the hypothesis that soil animal communities 93 are structured by niche dynamics based on competition (Gao et al., 2014; Wardle, 94 2006), although several studies have shown that microarthropod communities are sorted 95 by environmental gradients (Auclerc et al., 2009; Lindo and Winchester, 2009; Salmon 96 and Ponge, 2012).

97 We addressed this general point by focusing on diverse soil oribatid mite assemblages 98 from a dry grassland using a spatially explicit sampling design that allowed us minimise 99 dispersal processes and focus on environmental filtering and niche partitioning based on 100 food resources. Instead of focusing on taxonomic assemblages, we used the stable isotopes ratios  ${}^{15}N/{}^{14}N$  and  ${}^{13}C/{}^{12}C$ , and for the first time focus community analysis on 101 102 trophic assemblages within which competition for shared resources could be a key 103 process. To further characterise species in terms of traits that can be related to 104 competition for resources, we quantified body size and depth distribution and then 105 defined a trait matrix. We used these data to test the hypothesis that species that were 106 closer in space and time were more dissimilar and vice-versa (limiting similarity 107 concept) than expected by chance. The assumption is that limiting similarity and/or trait 108 trade-offs should be observed if resource based niche partitioning is a mechanism 109 through which species coexist locally while competing for shared resources. Still, 110 resource-based niche partition and environmental filtering may act simultaneously. 111 Thus, species could also be sorted along environmental gradients either in relation to the 112 measured traits or not. In fact, environmental filtering and resource-based niche 113 partition could also be decoupled if competition is not taking place or is of minor 114 importance. The rationale behind the test of these hypotheses is that demonstrating a 115 clear link between trait differences and environmental distance is a key premise to 116 unravel the mechanisms that allow species coexistence in rich communities (Adler et 117 al., 2013).

118

119 **2. Materials and Methods** 

120 2.1 Study area and sampling strategy

121 This study was conducted in dry grassland in a natural reserve in Mallnow, Lebus,

122 (Brandenburg, Germany, 52°27.778' N, 14°29.349' E). This reserve has been managed

123 by low-intensity sheep grazing for at least 500 years and is dominated by Festuca 124 brevipila (Poaceae). There are areas where grazing may not occur for one year or longer 125 and plant diversity can be very high locally (e.g., > 40 species in a 10 x 10 m plot) 126 although grasses such as *Festuca* spp. dominate the assemblage. In these areas, in April 127 and October 2012 we took soil core samples (local communities) within two 128 undisturbed plots of  $15 \times 15$  m along the slope of a hillside, with the two plots about 20 129 m apart. The two plots represented spatial replicates of a steep soil textural gradient 130 running from the sandy-loamy soil uphill to highly sandy soil downhill. Main soil 131 parameters such as pH, water content, organic C and N varied along the gradient, in 132 some case with remarkable variation (Supplementary Material, Table S1). Sampling 133 was replicated in the two main seasons (spring and autumn). To standardise the local 134 soil arthropod community, we took soil cores (5 cm diameter, 10 cm deep) centred on 135 the grass Festuca brevipila, which was by far the most abundant species in the area (in 136 some case cover > 70%). Twenty randomly positioned samples per plot were collected in each season (total of 80 local communities) and the position of each sample was 137 138 recorded in the UTM system.

139

#### 140 2.2 Sample processing and analysis

141 Each soil core was cut into five 2 cm slices to quantify species depth distribution. 142 However, the soil core was the main unit of analysis and we defined the local assemblage 143 as the species inhabiting this unit. Eventually, each species was assigned a depth score 144 based on the weighted average of its depth distribution and depth was treated as a species 145 trait. The soil fauna was extracted in a Macfadyen apparatus for two weeks. All 146 arthropods were preserved in 70% ethanol and the adult oribatids morphologically 147 determined to species level (Weigmann, 2006). Body lengths were measured for each 148 individual under a dissecting microscope (Leica M 165, Wetzlar, Germany) using the software LAS. Each species was assigned a size score based on the average length obtained from a number of replicated measurements (mean number of measurements per species = 85; median number of measurements per species = 30). Soil water content was measured as the difference between the weights of fresh vs. dried soil (soil dry weight, SWD), with samples collected at field capacity. Soil pH was measured in a soil-water suspension, where 3 g of soil and 15 ml distilled H<sub>2</sub>O were mixed and stirred. The measurement was conducted in the supernatant until the value remained constant.

Organic carbon (C) and total nitrogen (N) were measured by direct combustion of 30 mg of soil in a Euro EA Element Analyzer (HEKAtech GmbH, Wegberg, Germany). Mean weight diameter (MWD) was calculated as the weighted sum of the proportion of soil particles and aggregates in each size class (2-4 mm, 1-2 mm, 0.5-1 mm and 0.2-0.5 mm), determined by dry sieving of the soil.

161

#### 162 2.3 Stable isotope analysis

163 Specimens were transferred into tin capsules. Rare (e.g. Carabodes willmanni) or smaller-164 sized species (e.g. Microppia minus) required the pooling of several individuals to reach 165 the biomass necessary to the analysis. After drying at 60°C for at least 12 h, samples were 166 reweighed and stored in a desiccator until further analysis. The same procedure was used 167 to prepare samples of nematodes, extracted from fresh soil by using a modified Baermann 168 funnel method. Soil, mosses, lichens, roots, and plant material were ground and subjected 169 to the same procedure (root and plant material 1.0 - 1.5 mg, soil 34.1 - 35.3 mg). We 170 analysed these organisms and material to obtain baseline values of different potential food 171 sources for oribatid mites (Supplementary Material). A coupled system of an elemental 172 analyzer (Euro EA 3000, Euro Vector S.p.A.: Milano, Italy) and a mass spectrometer 173 (Delta V Plus Thermo Electron; Bremen, Germany) was used to analyze the  ${}^{13}C/{}^{12}C$  and <sup>15</sup>N/<sup>14</sup>N ratios (Reineking et al., 1993). The primary standard for <sup>15</sup>N was atmospheric 174

175 nitrogen whereas acetanilide (C8H9NO, Merck, Darmstadt, Germany) served for internal

176 calibration. Vienna Pee Dee Belemnite (V-PDB) was used as a primary standard for <sup>13</sup>C.

177 See also Fischer et al. (2010), Maraun et al. (2011), Pollierer et al. (2009), and Schneider

178 et al. (2004) for further details.

179

180 2.4 Data analysis

181 We used stable isotopes to focus on a diverse but narrowly defined trophic assemblage.

182 We based the definition of 'relatively narrow trophic assemblage' on the concentration

183 of <sup>15</sup>N, which increases from food sources to consumers (Deniro and Epstein, 1981;

184 Peterson and Fry, 1987; Scheu, 2002). The enrichment of <sup>15</sup>N varies with diet,

185 especially in generalists, but despite this variation, an average enrichment of 3.4‰ is

186 commonly used to define trophic groups (Post, 2002). The concentration of  $^{13}$ C is

187 usually associated with the analysis of <sup>15</sup>N because <sup>13</sup>C reflects the basal food source

188 (Deniro and Epstein, 1981; Peterson and Fry, 1987; Post, 2002). The variance of stable

189 isotope signatures reflects the dietary niche width of consumers (Bearhop et al., 2004),

190 which led some authors to define the concept of isotopic niche (Newsome et al., 2007).

191 Eventually (see results) we could define a set of 18 species that potentially competed for

192 fungal resources, and we focused our analysis on this assemblage.

193 In order to visualise and quantitatively summarise the multivariate covariation of

194 environmental variables (Organic C, N, C:N, Water, pH, Mean Weight Diametre of soil

195 particles) and major gradients, we performed a Principal Component Analysis (PCA) on

196 the correlation matrix of the variables (Legendre and Legendre 1998; Gotelli and

197 Ellison 2004). We used PCA axes as environmental correlates of species distribution to

198 eliminate collinearity in predictors (Gotelli and Ellison 2004).

199 Given the small scale of the study and all else being equal, we used a modelling strategy

200 consisting of several steps to test the general hypothesis that species closer in space and

201 time were more dissimilar in terms of traits related to competition for resources 202 (limiting similarity concept): if resource based niche partitioning is a mechanism 203 through which species coexist locally while competing for shared resources, then 204 limiting similarity or trait trade-offs should be observed (HilleRisLambers et al., 2012; 205 Adler et al. 2013). In order to test this hypothesis, we first used a multivariate regression 206 approach based on RDA (Borcard et al., 2004, 1992; Legendre and Legendre, 1998) to 207 empirically define the spatial and temporal niches of each species. We Hellinger 208 transformed raw data to meaningfully apply RDA, which is PCA-based (Euclidean 209 space), and ensure no inflation of the weights of rare species (Legendre and Gallagher, 210 2001). The spatially explicit and seasonal sampling design together with the 211 measurement of several crucial environmental variables allowed us to model species 212 distribution as a function of both spatial and environmental factors, and changes 213 between the two sampled seasons. We used the well-established method of principal 214 coordinate analysis of neighbour matrices (PCNM; Borcard and Legendre, 2002) to 215 define a set of spatial factors that parsimoniously accounted for patterns in species 216 distribution. The final set of PCNM vectors was defined using a multivariate extension 217 of the Akaike information criterion (AIC; Dray et al., 2006). Environmental factors 218 were soil water content (% dry weight), pH, organic C, total N, the C:N ratio, and the 219 mean weight diameter of soil aggregates, used as a proxy for soil structure (Caruso et 220 al., 2011). We used the species scores of the statistically significant axes of the RDA 221 model to define species niches: by definition, the Euclidean distance between any two 222 species in the vectorial space defined by RDA axes reflects predicted distances in space, 223 seasons, and environmental conditions: the further apart any two species are in the RDA 224 space the further apart these species are in space, time, and average environmental 225 characteristics of the patches they colonise. We also used permutational tests to test for 226 the effects of spatial and environmental factors, including partial effects (i.e. testing for

227 one factor while statistically controlling for other factors). Once we defined the RDA 228 model-based spatial, temporal and environmental position of species (Grinnellian niche), we used body size and depth distribution together with the  ${}^{15}N/{}^{14}N$  and  ${}^{13}C/{}^{12}C$ 229 230 signature to define a species trait matrix. After data standardization and calculation of 231 Euclidean distance, a trait distance matrix of species was obtained. We finally used a 232 Mantel test to test the hypothesis of a negative correlation between the trait distance 233 matrix and the distance matrix based on space, season, and environment: we expected a 234 negative correlation under the limiting similarity hypothesis because the more similar 235 species are in traits involved in competition the more distant species should be in their 236 Grinnellian niche. In practice, species minimise spatial and temporal coexistence to 237 avoid competition and at the same time can coexist locally if they differ in key traits. 238 Conversely, the closer species are in terms of spatial, temporal and environmental 239 position the less similar they should be in terms of traits involved in competition. We 240 used the R packages vegan, spacemakeR and ade4 for all multivariate analyses 241 (Chessel et al., 2004.; Dray et al., 2006; Oksanen et al., 2009). 242 We completed our analysis with a neutral model, based on the null assumption that 243 trophically similar species are not involved in resource-based niche partitioning when 244 they come together to form assemblages. To fit a general neutral model, we used the 245 formula for multiple samples and a PARI/GP code (Etienne, 2007) to estimate neutral 246 model parameters theta (diversity) and I (immigration rate). Afterwards, we used the 247 PARI/GP function urn2.gp (Etienne, 2007) to create 4999 neutral communities based on 248 the estimate parameters. We applied this approach to the following datasets: all species 249 across all trophic levels (spring and autumn, respectively), and just fungal feeders 250 (spring and autumn, respectively). The simulated communities were used to build a null 251 distribution of beta diversity values. We quantified beta diversity (BD) following 252 Legendre and De Cáceres (2013): the sum of species variances in the species by site

253 matrix (with usual correction terms for unbiased estimates of variance). Data were

254 Hellinger-transformed (Legendre and Gallagher 2001). The observed value of BD was

compared to the null distribution: if observed BD was within the 95% interval of the

simulated data sets, the neutral model could not be rejected at p < 0.05 (Maaß et al.,

257 2014).

258

259 **3. Results** 

260 3.1 Environmental variation

261 PCA of environmental variables (Fig. 1) summarised more than three quarters of total 262 variation in the first two axes. Although all variables have some effect on all PCA axes, 263 PC1 (53%) described a main gradient mostly due to organic matter (organic C and total 264 N) and soil structure (Mean Weight Diameter, MWD) while PC2 (24%) mostly 265 accounted for a negative covariation between water content and C:N ratio. Consistently 266 with the construction of our sampling strategy, the gradients were maximised along the 267 up- to down-hill direction, with some variation between the two sampling plots 268 (Supplementary Material, Table S1): the gradient in organic matter and soil structure 269 was more pronounced in Plot 1 while the negative correlation between water and C:N 270 was more pronounced in Plot 2. There was no significant difference between spring and 271 autumn samples for either plots (Supplementary Material, Fig. S1). Absolute variation 272 in individual soil variables was remarkable in some case: for example, organic C 273 content ranged from 0.15 to 3.49%, total N from 0.01 to 0.26%, and pH from 4.8 to 8.9,

and these ranges were comparable between the two plots.

275

276 *3.2 Oribatid mite assemblage and isotopes* 

277 In total, we collected 2,397 adult Oribatids of 33 species belonging to 18 families. The

278 most abundant species in both seasons were Liebstadia pannonica, Punctoribates

279	punctum and Peloptulus phaenotus. There were five species (Achipteria coleoptrata,
280	Carabodes willmanni, Trichoribates novus, Galumna obvia, and Minunthozetes
281	semirufus) that were present with few individuals (1 to 4) only in one of the two
282	seasons. Rarefaction curves (not shown) confirmed that the sampling effort was
283	sufficient to describe the overall richness of the oribatid community. We obtained $^{15}\mathrm{N}$
284	and <sup>13</sup> C data for 28 species (Supplementary Material, Fig. S2 and Table S3). <i>Microppia</i>
285	minus and Porobelba spinosa showed the highest <sup>15</sup> N signatures whereas Carabodes
286	willmanni had the lowest <sup>15</sup> N signature. Three species (M. semirufus, T. vel. sarekensis,
287	S. sculptus) had very similar <sup>15</sup> N signatures comparable with the root signatures while
288	mosses, lichens, and nematodes were about one trophic level below their potential
289	consumers/predators (Supplementary Material, Fig. S2 and Table S1).
290	Overall, the stable isotope analysis and relevant literature (Fischer et al., 2010; Maraun
291	et al., 2011; Pollierer et al., 2009; Schneider et al., 2004) allowed us to group the
292	oribatid mite community into five trophic groups (predators, fungal feeders/secondary
293	decomposers, decomposers, lichen feeders and species with endophagous
294	juveniles/tunnelers, see Supplementary Material). However, for T. novus, Passalozetes
295	perforates and M. semirufus, the group affiliation was not clear. We consider P.
296	perforates to be a mycophagous species and M. semirufus a moss feeder but definitive
297	evidence is missing. The feeding preferences of <i>T. novus</i> remain unclear.
298	Based on these data, we defined a group of 18 species (Table 1; Supplementary
299	Material, Table S2) in the broad category fungal feeder/secondary decomposers: several
300	of these species can in principle compete for shared resources. We focused our
301	modelling and hypothesis testing on this assemblage.
302	

*3.3 Hypothesis testing* 

304 The RDA showed that PCNM-based spatial factors and environmental factors (PC1 and 305 PC2 from PCA of environmental variables, see Fig. 1) could account for 31% of total 306 community variation, the total effect of these factors being statistically significant at p < 307 0.01 following a permutational test. However, variance partitioning showed that 21% of 308 this variation was attributable to spatial patterns in the environmental variables while 309 10% were accounted for by statistically significant (partial RDA, p < 0.05) spatial 310 patterns not related to environmental variation. Less than 1% of variation was 311 explainable in terms of environmental variation that was not spatially structured and this 312 variation was not statistically significant. A RDA based just on environmental factors 313 (i.e. implicitly including spatial structures) accounted for 22% of total variation, the 314 effect of the environment being significant at p < 0.01. To test for the factor season, we 315 extracted the residuals of the first, main RDA model and submitted these to a 316 PERMANOVA test, which showed a significant effect of season ( $F_{1,78} = 4.17$ , p < 317 0.01). 318 Introducing the season factor in the RDA increased total explained variation to 44%. A 319 permutation test showed that the first five RDA axes were significant at p < 0.01 and 320 these axes were therefore retained to define the niche space (i.e., based on spatial and 321 temporal distance, which we, given our result, basically understand as the 322 environmental or Grinnellian component of a species niche). A plot of the first two 323 RDA axes (Fig. 2) and the main environmental gradients (based on PCA of 324 environmental variables) showed that the first RDA axis is driven by a gradient in 325 organic matter and soil structure. This gradient is associated with a certain species set 326 while the second axis is driven by a second gradient due to the negative covariation of 327 soil water and C:N. This second gradient is associated to a species set other than that 328 associated to the first gradient. Size and the <sup>15</sup>N signature were negatively and

329 significantly correlated with each other but scarcely correlated with the major

330 environmental gradients, although a positive and significant correlation was detected between <sup>15</sup>N and RDA1 (Fig. 3). After standardization, a Euclidean distance matrix was 331 332 calculated from the Grinnellian niche space and correlated to the species trait distance matrix (based on <sup>15</sup>N, <sup>13</sup>C, size and depth distribution) via a Mantel test: no significant 333 334 correlation was found (Fig. 4), which is inconsistent with the limiting similarity hypothesis. 335 336 None of the tested assemblages differed significantly from a neutral model for beta 337 diversity (Supplementary Information, Fig. S3; whole assemblage, spring: p = 0.10; 338 whole assemblage autumn: p = 0.16; fungal feeders spring: p = 0.07; fungal feeders

autumn: p = 0.10, see Table S4 for the estimate of neutral model parameters). However,

340 in all cases we observed assemblages with beta diversity higher than expected under

341 neutrality (Fig. S3), and this trend was more pronounced in the fungal feeder group.

342

#### 343 **4. Discussion**

#### 344 4.1 Differences between environmental filtering and competition

345 In recent works investigating the role of deterministic and stochastic drivers of soil 346 organism community structure (Beck et al., 2015; Caruso et al., 2012; Dumbrell et al., 347 2010; Gao et al., 2014; Lindo and Winchester, 2009; Nielsen et al., 2010) researchers 348 contrasted environmental filtering, typically equated to niche dynamics, with spatial 349 factors not dependent on patterns of environmental variation, sometimes called 'pure' 350 spatial factors. These spatial factors are often understood as the effect of dispersal 351 and/or demographic fluctuations in neutral assembly processes; but several ecologists, 352 including those cited above, also recognise that these factors do not necessarily 353 represent stochastic spatial factors (Anderson et al., 2011; Caruso et al., 2012; Smith 354 and Lundholm, 2010). Besides the problem of the interpretation of spatial factors, a key 355 but not often addressed aspect of this central topic is that environmental filtering may

356 imply competition for resources but does not necessarily imply resource-based niche 357 partitioning dynamics: this is a point on which niche and neutral theorists may agree 358 (HilleRisLambers et al., 2012; Hubbell, 2005), although from very different 359 perspectives. At certain scales environmental filtering is compatible with neutral 360 processes because in neutral dynamics competition for resources between species is not 361 a driver of community structure while individuals, regardless of the species they belong 362 to, must still exploit resources and fit their environment (Hubbell 2005). Different 363 species can therefore come together into a local community if they are adapted to the 364 environmental conditions of the locale, and in this sense the environment will tend to 365 select for similar species (e.g., shade-tolerant species in shaded environments).. A 366 neutrally assembled local community can therefore be environmentally filtered at 367 certain scales while being neutral at scales at which competition among species has 368 classically been postulated to structure communities (Etienne, 2007; Hubbell, 2005). It 369 is in this general framework that we interpret our results: when biotic interactions start 370 to be a fundamental driver and predictor of community structure neutral theories should 371 be abandoned. Specifically, neutral theories directly contrast with resource-based niche 372 partitioning processes. A first consideration is therefore that not all biological 373 interactions should be considered, especially multitrophic interactions, which, apart 374 from possible future developments, are usually outside the realm of application of 375 neutral theories (Hubbell, 2005, 2001). For the first time, we have focused on a soil 376 animal assemblage that was trophically defined by the use of stable isotopes of N and C. 377 In doing so, we could start from the empirically validated assumption that competition 378 for resources is a fairly valid possibility within the analysed assemblage. The small 379 scale of the study also allowed us to assume that dispersal limitation, while still a 380 possible factor given the size of our animals (Ettema and Wardle, 2002), should play a 381 minor role. As shown by the analysis of the soil, communities were sampled along steep

382 environmental gradients in a very short distance. Accordingly, we observed a strong, 383 spatially structured correlation between environmental gradients and the structure of the 384 species assemblage. We can therefore conclude that the assemblage was subjected to 385 environmental filtering. This result might imply that species living in different 386 environmental patches spatially segregate to avoid competition locally. However, by no 387 means can this result in itself be considered evidence of resource-based niche 388 partitioning, which should also explain coexistence locally. This is an observational 389 study: in order to reject non-neutral dynamics and find strong evidence of resource-390 based niche partitioning, we should have rejected neutral prediction of beta diversity 391 and detected patterns consistent with the limiting similarity hypothesis along the 392 environmental gradient, including the local scale of the assemblage inhabiting 393 individual soil cores. Instead, neither could we reject neutral predictions of beta 394 diversity nor could we find patterns consistent with the limiting similarity hypothesis. 395 Observed beta diversity of the assemblage was higher than neutral predictions, as 396 usually expected under environmental filtering (Caruso et al., 2012; Dornelas et al., 397 2006), but not significantly higher, with fairly high p-values in all cases but one. 398 Species more similar in terms of spatial and seasonal distribution were not more 399 dissimilar in terms of isotopic signature, size, and depth distribution. In theory, size 400 could here be related to competition if we make the classical assumption that species at 401 similar trophic positions avoid competition by differing in size: in this way competing 402 species have access to similar resources in different places (i.e., colonization of 403 differently sized soil pores; Weis-Fogh, 1948; Ritchie, 2009; Turnbull et al., 2014). The 404 local community of our study is the cylindrical soil core used as sampling unit. In this 405 relatively small locale, species that feed on similar resources and have similar size could 406 still partition space by dwelling at different average depths but species weighed mean 407 average depth was not a trait that could explain coexistence.

## 409 4.2 Niche partitioning mechanisms and competition

410 In spite of all the efforts we made to identify the possible dimensions along which 411 competing species could partition their niches, none of these dimensions or their 412 combination provided us with evidence of limiting similarities indicative of resource-413 based niche partitioning. In fact, the only pattern we have found is a slightly positive correlation between trophic position ( $\delta^{15}$ N value) and the major environmental gradient 414 415 along which the community is structured. However, the correlation seems made up by three low  $\delta^{15}$ N values and one high  $\delta^{15}$ N value, with the other points scattered in a fairly 416 417 random manner. In any case, even if we accepted the validity of this correlation, this 418 result would not support the limiting similarity hypothesis. We observed a significant 419 fraction of spatial variation that was not related to environmental gradients. This 420 variation can be due to stochastic but spatial factors such as dispersal, or it could be due 421 to biotic interactions such as predation or competition. Predation can mediate 422 competition by controlling the population of the more competitive species (Chase and 423 Leibold, 2003): predators may spatially structure their prey but in the case of oribatid 424 mites, and differently from collembolans, there is strong evidence that predation is not a 425 strong factor controlling populations (Peschel et al., 2006). Competition and resource 426 based niche partitioning could still play some role because we measured the traits that 427 were most logically expected to be key traits for coexistence, but in fact we could have 428 missed some important aspects. For example, there are limitations in the stable isotope markers we employed: the <sup>13</sup>C signature of animal fatty acids has now been 429 430 demonstrated to be a finer marker for a detailed differentiation of fungal feeders 431 (Pollierer et al., 2012; Ruess and Chamberlain, 2010) while with the method we 432 employed we have been able to isolate a narrowly defined trophic assemblage (i.e. 433 guild) but we might not have been able to differentiate trophic differences within this

434 assemblage. Natural variability in isotopic signatures may also suggest high 435 intraspecific variability in feeding strategies. This could be especially true for different 436 developmental stages. We are aware of data at this level for one species only (Schneider 437 et al. 2004) and these data suggest small differences between adults and nymphs but 438 other species could definitely vary their diet depending on developmental stage. The 439 interesting point is that high intraspecific variability can imply broad interspecific niche 440 overlaps at the species level, opening the way to neutral assembly processes. The same 441 arguments apply to temporal variation in species soil depth and may imply a theoretical 442 scenario for which levels of competition vary in space (both horizontally and vertically) 443 and time as a function of fluctuations in population densities.

Another limit of our study is that we might not have included all the species relevant to the analysed assemblage. We focused on fungal feeder/secondary decomposer oribatid mites, which is by far the most diverse and abundant group of microarthropods together with collembolans. However, there are other fungal feeders/secondary decomposers in soil, for example collembolan species. We cannot exclude that competition for resources would have been a strong driver of an assemblage that included all the species competing for a limited set of resources.

451 Finally, our multivariate analysis suggested that seasonal variation is potentially a key

452 niche dimension although our study is deficient in terms of temporal replication.

453 Species competing for similar resources could peak at different times of the year to

454 avoid competition, basically for the same principle for which competing species may

455 segregate spatially. Nevertheless, only future studies will tell whether the observed

456 temporal patterns depend on a temporal form of environmental filtering (e.g.

457 seasonality) or resource based niche partitioning mediated by temporal fluctuations in

458 resources and population densities, or both.

- 460 partitioning can be decoupled in soil animal assemblages while the burden of the proof
- 461 of resource-based niche partitioning in soil community still remains with the ecologist.

## 463 Acknowledgments

- 464 This work was supported by a grant from the Deutsche Forschungsgemeinschaft to T.C.
- 465 and Stefan Hempel (Freie Universität Berlin) and by the project SENSE (Structure and
- 466 Ecological Niche in the Soil Environment; EC FP7 631399 SENSE), which is an EU
- 467 Marie Curie Career Integration Grant to T.C.. S.M. was supported by the Friedrich-
- 468 Naumann–Stiftung.

469

#### 470 Data Accessibility

471 All data (species abundances, environmental and geographical data, isotopic data, trait

472 data) are uploaded as Supporting Information

473

## 474 **References**

- Adler, P.B., Fajardo, A., Kleinhesselink, A.R., Kraft, N.J.B., 2013. Trait-based tests of
  coexistence mechanisms. Ecol. Lett. 16, 1294–1306. doi:10.1111/ele.12157
- 477 Anderson, M.J., Crist, T.O., Chase, J.M., Vellend, M., Inouye, B.D., Freestone, A.L.,
- 478 Sanders, N.J., Cornell, H.V., Comita, L.S., Davies, K.F., Harrison, S.P., Kraft,
- 479 N.J.B., Stegen, J.C., Swenson, N.G., 2011. Navigating the multiple meanings of
- 480  $\beta$  diversity: a roadmap for the practicing ecologist. Ecol. Lett. 14, 19–28.
- 481 doi:10.1111/j.1461-0248.2010.01552.x
- Auclerc, A., Ponge, J.F., Barot, S., Dubs, F., 2009. Experimental assessment of habitat
   preference and dispersal ability of soil springtails. Soil Biol. Biochem. 41, 1596–
- 484 1604. doi:10.1016/j.soilbio.2009.04.017

485	Bearhop, S., Adams, C.E., Waldron, S., Fuller, R.A., Macleod, H., 2004. Determining
486	trophic niche width: a novel approach using stable isotope analysis. J. Anim.
487	Ecol. 73, 1007–1012. doi:10.1111/j.0021-8790.2004.00861.x
488	Beck, S., Powell, J.R., Drigo, B., Cairney, J.W.G., Anderson, I.C., 2015. The role of
489	stochasticity differs in the assembly of soil- and root-associated fungal
490	communities. Soil Biol. Biochem. 80, 18-25. doi:10.1016/j.soilbio.2014.09.010
491	Bell, G., 2001. Neutral macroecology. Science 293, 2413 –2418.
492	doi:10.1126/SCIENCE.293.5539.2413
493	Borcard, D., Legendre, P., 2002. All-scale spatial analysis of ecological data by means
494	of principal coordinates of neighbour matrices. Ecol. Model. 153, 51-68.
495	doi:10.1016/S0304-3800(01)00501-4
496	Borcard, D., Legendre, P., Avois-Jacquet, C., Tuomisto, H., 2004. Dissecting the spatial
497	structure of ecological data at multiple scales. Ecology 85, 1826–1832.
498	doi:10.1890/03-3111
499	Borcard, D., Legendre, P., Drapeau, P., 1992. Partialling out the Spatial Component of
500	Ecological Variation. Ecology 73, 1045–1055. doi:10.2307/1940179
501	Caruso, T., Barto, E.K., Siddiky, M.R.K., Smigelski, J., Rillig, M.C., 2011. Are power
502	laws that estimate fractal dimension a good descriptor of soil structure and its
503	link to soil biological properties? Soil Biol. Biochem. 43, 359-366.
504	doi:10.1016/j.soilbio.2010.11.001
505	Caruso, T., Taormina, M., Migliorini, M., 2012. Relative role of deterministic and
506	stochastic determinants of soil animal community: a spatially explicit analysis of
507	oribatid mites. J. Anim. Ecol. 81, 214–221. doi:10.1111/j.1365-
508	2656.2011.01886.x
509	Chase, J.M., Leibold, M.A., 2003. Ecological Niches: Linking Classical and
510	Contemporary Approaches. Chicago University Press, Chicago, IL.

511	Chessel, D., Dufour, AB., Thioulouse, J., 2004. The ade4 package-I- One-table
512	methods. RNews 4, 5–10.
513	Cottenie, K., 2005. Integrating environmental and spatial processes in ecological
514	community dynamics. Ecol. Lett. 8, 1175–1182. doi:10.1111/j.1461-
515	0248.2005.00820.x
516	Deniro, M.J., Epstein, S., 1981. Influence of diet on the distribution of nitrogen isotopes
517	in animals. Geochim. Cosmochim. Acta 45, 341-351. doi:10.1016/0016-
518	7037(81)90244-1
519	Dornelas, M., Connolly, S.R., Hughes, T.P., 2006. Coral reef diversity refutes the
520	neutral theory of biodiversity. Nature 440, 80-82. doi:10.1038/nature04534
521	Dray, S., Legendre, P., Peres-Neto, P.R., 2006. Spatial modelling: a comprehensive
522	framework for principal coordinate analysis of neighbour matrices (PCNM).
523	Ecol. Model. 196, 483-493. doi:10.1016/j.ecolmodel.2006.02.015
524	Dumbrell, A.J., Nelson, M., Helgason, T., Dytham, C., Fitter, A.H., 2010. Relative roles
525	of niche and neutral processes in structuring a soil microbial community. ISME
526	J 4, 337–345.
527	Etienne, R.S., 2007. A neutral sampling formula for multiple samples and an "exact"
528	test of neutrality. Ecol. Lett. 10, 608–618. doi:10.1111/j.1461-
529	0248.2007.01052.x

- 530 Ettema, C.H., Wardle, D.A., 2002. Spatial soil ecology. Trends Ecol. Evol. 17, 177-
- 531 183. doi:10.1016/S0169-5347(02)02496-5
- Fischer, B.M., Schatz, H., Maraun, M., 2010. Community structure, trophic position and
   reproductive mode of soil and bark-living oribatid mites in an alpine grassland
- 534 ecosystem. Exp. Appl. Acarol. 52, 221–237. doi:10.1007/s10493-010-9366-8
- 535 Gao, M., He, P., Zhang, X., Liu, D., Wu, D., 2014. Relative roles of spatial factors,
- 536 environmental filtering and biotic interactions in fine-scale structuring of a soil

- 537 mite community. Soil Biol. Biochem. 79, 68–77.
- 538 doi:10.1016/j.soilbio.2014.09.003
- 539 Gotelli, N.J., 2000. Null model analysis of species co-occurence patterns. Ecology 81,

540 2606–2621. doi:10.1890/0012-9658(2000)081[2606:NMAOSC]2.0.CO;2

- 541 Gotelli, N.J., Ellison, A.M., 2004. A Primer of Ecological Statistics. Sinauer Ass.,
  542 Sunderland, MA.
- Gotelli, N.J., Graves, G.R., Rahbek, C., 2010. Macroecological signals of species
  interactions in the Danish avifauna. Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci. 107, 5030–5035.
- 545 doi:10.1073/pnas.0914089107
- 546 Heidemann, K., Scheu, S., Ruess, L., Maraun, M., 2011. Molecular detection of
- nematode predation and scavenging in oribatid mites: Laboratory and field
  experiments. Soil Biol. Biochem. 43, 2229–2236.
- 549 doi:10.1016/j.soilbio.2011.07.015
- 550 HilleRisLambers, J., Adler, P.B., Harpole, W.S., Levine, J.M., Mayfield, M.M., 2012.
- 551 Rethinking Community Assembly through the Lens of Coexistence Theory.
- Annu. Rev. Ecol. Evol. Syst. 43, 227–248. doi:10.1146/annurev-ecolsys-
- 553 110411-160411
- Hubbell, S.P., 2001. The Unified Neutral Theory of Biodiversity and Biogeography.

555 Princeton University Press, Princeton.

- 556 Hubbell, S.P., 2005. Neutral theory in community ecology and the hypothesis of
- 557 functional equivalence. Funct. Ecol. 19, 166–172. doi:10.1111/j.0269-
- 558 8463.2005.00965.x
- 559 Kraft, N.J.B., Adler, P.B., Godoy, O., James, E.C., Fuller, S., Levine, J.M., 2014.
- 560 Community assembly, coexistence and the environmental filtering metaphor.
- 561 Funct. Ecol. n/a–n/a. doi:10.1111/1365-2435.12345

562	Legendre, P., De Cáceres, M., 2013. Beta diversity as the variance of community data:
563	dissimilarity coefficients and partitioning. Ecol. Lett. 16, 951-963.
564	doi:10.1111/ele.12141
565	Legendre, P., Gallagher, E.D., 2001. Ecologically Meaningful Transformations for
566	Ordination of Species Data. Oecologia 129, 271–280. doi:10.2307/4223083
567	Legendre, P., Legendre, L., 1998. Numerical Ecology. Elsevier, Amsterdam, The
568	Netherlands.
569	Leibold, M.A., Holyoak, M., Mouquet, N., Amarasekare, P., Chase, J.M., Hoopes,
570	M.F., Holt, R.D., Shurin, J.B., Law, R., Tilman, D., Loreau, M., Gonzalez, A.,
571	2004. The metacommunity concept: a framework for multi-scale community
572	ecology. Ecol. Lett. 7, 601–613. doi:10.1111/j.1461-0248.2004.00608.x
573	Lindo, Z., Winchester, N., 2009. Spatial and environmental factors contributing to
574	patterns in arboreal and terrestrial oribatid mite diversity across spatial scales.
575	Oecologia 160, 817-825. doi:10.1007/s00442-009-1348-3
576	Maaß, S., Migliorini, M., Rillig, M.C., Caruso, T., 2014. Disturbance, neutral theory,
577	and patterns of beta diversity in soil communities. Ecol. Evol. 4, 4766–4774.
578	doi:10.1002/ece3.1313
579	Maraun, M., Erdmann, G., Fischer, B.M., Pollierer, M.M., Norton, R.A., Schneider, K.,
580	Scheu, S., 2011. Stable isotopes revisited: Their use and limits for oribatid mite
581	trophic ecology. Soil Biol. Biochem. 43, 877-882.
582	doi:10.1016/j.soilbio.2011.01.003
583	Morin, P.J., 2011. Community Ecology, 2nd edition. ed. Wiley-Blackwell, Oxford, UK.
584	Newsome, S.D., Martinez del Rio, C., Bearhop, S., Phillips, D.L., 2007. A niche for
585	isotopic ecology. Front. Ecol. Environ. 5, 429-436. doi:10.1890/060150.1
586	Nielsen, U.N., Osler, G.H.R., Campbell, C.D., Neilson, R., Burslem, D.F.R.P., van der
587	Wal, R., 2010. The Enigma of Soil Animal Species Diversity Revisited: The

- 588 Role of Small-Scale Heterogeneity. PLoS ONE 5, e11567.
- 589 doi:10.1371/journal.pone.0011567
- 590 Oksanen, J., Kindt, R., Legendre, P., O'Hara, R.B., Gavin, L., Simpson, G.L., Solymos,
- 591 P., Stevens, M.H., Wagner, H., 2009. vegan: Community Ecology Package. R
  592 package version 1.15–4. HttpCRANR-Proj.
- 593 Peschel, K., Norton, R.A., Scheu, S., Maraun, M., 2006. Do oribatid mites live in
- enemy-free space? Evidence from feeding experiments with the predatory mite
  Pergamasus septentrionalis. Soil Biol. Biochem. 38, 2985–2989.
- 596 doi:10.1016/j.soilbio.2006.04.035
- 597 Peterson, B.J., Fry, B., 1987. Stable Isotopes in Ecosystem Studies. Annu. Rev. Ecol.
  598 Syst. 18, 293–320. doi:10.2307/2097134
- 599 Pollierer, M.M., Dyckmans, J., Scheu, S., Haubert, D., 2012. Carbon flux through fungi
- and bacteria into the forest soil animal food web as indicated by compound-
- 601 specific 13C fatty acid analysis. Funct. Ecol. 26, 978–990. doi:10.1111/j.1365-
- 602 2435.2012.02005.x
- 603 Pollierer, M.M., Langel, R., Scheu, S., Maraun, M., 2009. Compartmentalization of the
- soil animal food web as indicated by dual analysis of stable isotope ratios
- 605 (15N/14N and 13C/12C). Soil Biol. Biochem. 41, 1221–1226.
- 606 doi:10.1016/j.soilbio.2009.03.002
- 607 Post, D.M., 2002. Using Stable Isotopes to Estimate Trophic Position: Models,
- 608 Methods, and Assumptions. Ecology 83, 703–718. doi:10.2307/3071875
- 609 Reineking, A., Langel, R., Schikowski, J., 1993. 15-N, 13-C on-line measurements with
- 610 an elemental analyser (Carlo Erba, NA 1500), a modified trapping box and a gas
- 611 isotope mass spectrometer (Finnigan, MAT 251). Isot. Environ. Health Stud. 29,
- 612 169–174.

- Ritchie, M.E., 2009. Scale, Heterogeneity, and the Structure and Diversity of Ecological
  Communities. Princeton University Press.
- 615 Ruess, L., Chamberlain, P.M., 2010. The fat that matters: Soil food web analysis using
- fatty acids and their carbon stable isotope signature. Soil Biol. Biochem. 42,
- 617 1898–1910. doi:10.1016/j.soilbio.2010.07.020
- 618 Salmon, S., Ponge, J.F., 2012. Species traits and habitats in springtail communities: A
- 619 regional scale study. Pedobiologia 55, 295–301.
- 620 doi:10.1016/j.pedobi.2012.05.003
- 621 Scheu, S., 2002. The soil food web: structure and perspectives. Eur. J. Soil Biol. 38, 11–
  622 20. doi:10.1016/S1164-5563(01)01117-7
- 623 Schneider, K., Migge, S., Norton, R.A., Scheu, S., Langel, R., Reineking, A., Maraun,
- 624 M., 2004. Trophic niche differentiation in soil microarthropods (Oribatida,
- 625 Acari): evidence from stable isotope ratios (15N/14N). Soil Biol. Biochem. 36,
- 626 1769–1774. doi:10.1016/j.soilbio.2004.04.033
- 627 Smith, T.W., Lundholm, J.T., 2010. Variation partitioning as a tool to distinguish
- between niche and neutral processes. Ecography 33, 648–655.
- 629 doi:10.1111/j.1600-0587.2009.06105.x
- 630 Turnbull, M.S., George, P.B.L., Lindo, Z., 2014. Weighing in: Size spectra as a
- 631 standard tool in soil community analyses. Soil Biol. Biochem. 68, 366–372.
- 632 doi:10.1016/j.soilbio.2013.10.019
- 633 Wardle, D.A., 2002. Communities and Ecosystems: Linking the Aboveground and
- Belowground Components. Princeton University Press, New Jersey.
- 635 Wardle, D.A., 2006. The influence of biotic interactions on soil biodiversity. Ecol. Lett.
- 636 9, 870–886. doi:10.1111/j.1461-0248.2006.00931.x
- 637 Weigmann, G., 2006. Hornmilben (Oribatida). Goecke & Evers., Keltern.

- 638 Weis-Fogh, T., 1948. Ecological investigations on mites and Collemboles in the soil.
- 639 Nat. Jutlandica 1, 135-270.

#### 643 **Fig. Legends**

644 Fig. 1 Principal Component Analysis (PCA) of the correlation matrix (z-scores) of

645 environmental variables: 77% of total variance can be summarized in the first two axes.

646 PC1 (53%) described a main gradient in organic matter (organic C and total N) and soil

647 structure (Mean Weight Diameter, MWD); PC2 (24%) described a negative covariation

648 between water content and the C:N ratio. The vectors associated with the variables are

649 based on PCA eigenvectors (i.e. variables loadings on PCA axes).

650

651 Fig. 2 First two RDA axes based on a model including spatial vectors, environmental

652 gradient and seasons. Only species points are displayed to show which species are

653 associated with the two environmental gradients. See Table 1 for species labels. This

RDA model accounted for 44% of total species matrix. The RDA axis 1 is driven by a

655 gradient of organic matter and soil structure (PC1 of Fig. 1). RDA axis 2 by a contrast

656 between water content and C:N ratio (PC2 of Fig. 1);

657

654

658 **Fig. 3** a) correlation between size (x-axis) and species trophic position ( $^{15}N$ , y-axis) is 659 negative and statistically significant; b and c), correlation between species scores of RDA 1 (y-axis; see Fig. 2) and size (panel b) or <sup>15</sup>N (panel c), on the x-axis. RDA1 is a 660 661 proxy for the environmental, spatial and temporal (seasonality in this case) components 662 of niche. No or weak correlation is observed in panel c and d respectively. Similar 663 figures were drawn (but now shown here) for the first five RDA axes, with the same 664 result. Each data point represents a species.

665

666 Fig. 4 Niche distance between species is based on the species scores of the statistically 667 significant axes of an RDA (spatial vectors, seasons, and environmental variables). The 668 Euclidean distance between any two species in the vectorial space defined by RDA axes

- 669 reflects predicted spatial, temporal and environmental distances: the further apart any
- 670 two species are in this space the further apart these species are in terms of their niche.
- 671 This RDA-based Euclidean distance matrix was correlated to the species trait distance
- 672 matrix (based on <sup>15</sup>N, <sup>13</sup>C, size and depth distribution) via a Mantel test: the Fig. and test
- 673 show a remarkable lack of correlation, which is inconsistent with the limiting similarity
- 674 hypothesis.