Low inorganic arsenic in hydrolysed-rice formula used for cow's milk protein allergy
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To the Editor

Hypoallergenic formulas are recommended for use in young children with cow’s milk protein allergy (CMPA), where breastmilk is not available, with the choice between both extensively hydrolysed casein/whey or amino acid-based products. More recently, hydrolysed rice protein-based formulas (HRF) have become available and are now commonly used in Europe for CMPA. Some of these formulas have gone through the clinical trials required for hypoallergenicity according to EAACI guidelines and have shown their suitability for children with CMPA. However, concerns have been raised with respect to the arsenic content in infant rice products.

Arsenic is a ubiquitous metalloid present at low concentrations in rocks, soil and natural ground water, mainly in inorganic forms. The International Agency for Research on Cancer classifies inorganic arsenic [As(V)] as carcinogenic to humans, and concerns have been raised with respect to exposure, particular in early childhood (where relative exposure is greater due to smaller body mass). A publication from the USA in 2012 found that non-dairy based infant formulas had significantly more As(V) than cow’s milk-based equivalents. HRF are gaining popularity, due to both palatability and cost. We therefore sought to assess levels of arsenic in commonly-used HRF in Europe.

For this study HRF were sourced from Italy, France and Belgium and analysed at the Institute for Global Food Security, Queen’s University Belfast. For each brand, we analysed samples taken from two different batch numbers, testing 2 samples (from separate tins) for each batch. We used the method of Meharg et al. for analysis. In brief, 100 mg of each sample was microwave-digested at 95°C in 10 ml of 1% nitric acid. A certified reference material (CRM), rice flour NIST1568b, was used for quality control in each analytical batch [variance 2.1% for AS(V)]. Samples, blanks and CRMs were tested in triplicate for arsenic content using Ion Chromatography-Inductively Coupled Plasma Mass Spectrometry (ThermoScientific iCap Q ICP-MS coupled to Thermo IC5000 Ion Chromatograph) with a gradient mobile phase for separation of all arsenic species. The results of the analysis are shown in Table 1.
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We analysed dry formula powder rather than reconstituted formula, to eliminate confounding due to arsenic present in household water. Levels of inorganic arsenic in all the HRF samples tested were very low, and similar to that reported by Vela et al. for conventional cow’s milk infant formula but slightly higher than that reported by Jackson et al. using a different analysis platform. The average volume of consumption of hypoallergenic formula at 0-6 months is 600-800 ml per day, which would require 90-120g of powder (based on an average scoop size of 4.5g for HRF). This would equate to 1.3-1.8 μg of As(V), which in an 8 kg infant (50th centile for weight, z score 0) is an exposure of 0.16-0.23 μg/kg body weight (b.w.). This is well below the average exposure in childhood generated from data produced by European Food Safety Authority (EFSA) for both infants [0.24 ug/kg – 0.43 ug/kg b.w./per day] and toddlers [0.32-0.45 ug/kg per b.w./day]. The As(V) exposure based on an average infant would also be 10-fold less than the limit for exposure set by the World Health Organisation at 2ug/kg per b.w./day. It is important to note that all these studies are based on analysis of formula powder; actual As(V) exposure is significantly influenced by the arsenic in the tap water used to reconstitute the formula, which (according to EFSA) is 1.1-2.0 μg/kg b.w. There is no maximum level set by EFSA for infant formulas currently, but water for human consumption is set at 10 ug/L (Council Directive 98/83/EC).

Table 1: Summary of hydrolysed rice formulas analysed in this study and results of arsenic content

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Name of feed</th>
<th>Origin</th>
<th>Characteristic</th>
<th>As(V)* (ug/kg)</th>
<th>DMA (ug/kg)</th>
<th>Sum of Species [As(V)+DMA] (ug/kg)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Modilac Expert Riz 1</td>
<td>France</td>
<td>Hydrolysed rice suitable from 0-6 months</td>
<td>9</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>11</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Riz 1 (batch one)</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Modilac Expert Riz 1</td>
<td>France</td>
<td>Hydrolysed rice suitable from 0-6 months</td>
<td>20</td>
<td>15</td>
<td>35</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Riz 1 (batch 2)</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Modilac Expert Riz 2</td>
<td>France</td>
<td>Hydrolysed rice suitable from 6-12 months</td>
<td>12</td>
<td>13</td>
<td>25</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Riz 2 (batch 1)</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Modilac Expert Riz 2</td>
<td>France</td>
<td>Hydrolysed rice suitable from 6-12 months</td>
<td>13</td>
<td>14</td>
<td>27</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Riz 2 (batch 2)</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Modilac Expert Riz AR 1</td>
<td>France</td>
<td>Hydrolysed rice from 0-6 months thickened with carob and corn starch</td>
<td>14</td>
<td>19</td>
<td>33</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Riz AR 1 (batch 1)</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Product</td>
<td>Country</td>
<td>Description</td>
<td>As(V) Median</td>
<td>As(V) 90% CI</td>
<td>As(V) Range</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>-------------------------</td>
<td>------------------</td>
<td>------------------------------------------------------------------------------</td>
<td>--------------</td>
<td>-------------</td>
<td>-------------</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Modilac Expert Riz AR 2 (batch 2)</td>
<td>France</td>
<td>Hydrolysed rice suitable from 6-12 months thickened with carob and corn starch</td>
<td>12</td>
<td>6</td>
<td>18</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Novalac Novarice (batch 1)</td>
<td>Belgium and France</td>
<td>Extensively hydrolysed rice from 0-12 months</td>
<td>11</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>13</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Novalac Novarice (batch 2)</td>
<td>Belgium and France</td>
<td>Extensively hydrolysed rice from 0-12 months</td>
<td>11</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>13</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Plasmon Risolac (batch 1)</td>
<td>Italy</td>
<td>Hydrolysed rice suitable from 0-12 months</td>
<td>9</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>15</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Plasmon Risolac (batch 2)</td>
<td>Italy</td>
<td>Hydrolysed rice suitable from 0-12 months</td>
<td>10</td>
<td>5</td>
<td>15</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

*As(V) = inorganic arsenic  
◊DMA = dimethylarsinic acid  
The limit of detection (LOD) for all species by HPLC-ICP-MS (calculated from DMA calibration) were 0.0003 mg/kg.

The main limitation of this study was that samples were not compared to extensively hydrolysed or amino acid formula, which are the mainstay of treatment formulas for CMPA. However, the latter have been used for the last 60 years in the treatment of CMPA and were assumed safe. In the light of current data, a future study establishing As(V) levels also in these formulas would be recommended.

In conclusion, this study found that As(V) levels in hydrolysed rice formulas are well within the safe range as stipulated by EFSA/WHO. However, it is important take the source of water into account when mixing formulas, which may affect levels.
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