



**QUEEN'S  
UNIVERSITY  
BELFAST**

## Postnatal Lifestyle Intervention For Overweight Women With Previous Gestational Diabetes: A Randomized Controlled Trial

Holmes, V. A., Draffin, C. R., Patterson, C. C., Francis, L., Irwin, J., McConnell, M., Farrell, B., Brennan, S. F., McSorley, O., Wotherspoon, A. C., Davies, M., McCance, D. R., & group, PAIGE. S. (2018). Postnatal Lifestyle Intervention For Overweight Women With Previous Gestational Diabetes: A Randomized Controlled Trial. *The Journal of Clinical Endocrinology & Metabolism*, 1-15. Advance online publication. <https://doi.org/10.1210/jc.2017-02654>

**Published in:**

The Journal of Clinical Endocrinology & Metabolism

**Document Version:**

Peer reviewed version

**Queen's University Belfast - Research Portal:**

[Link to publication record in Queen's University Belfast Research Portal](#)

**Publisher rights**

Copyright 2017 Oxford University Press. This work is made available online in accordance with the publisher's policies. Please refer to any applicable terms of use of the publisher.

**General rights**

Copyright for the publications made accessible via the Queen's University Belfast Research Portal is retained by the author(s) and / or other copyright owners and it is a condition of accessing these publications that users recognise and abide by the legal requirements associated with these rights.

**Take down policy**

The Research Portal is Queen's institutional repository that provides access to Queen's research output. Every effort has been made to ensure that content in the Research Portal does not infringe any person's rights, or applicable UK laws. If you discover content in the Research Portal that you believe breaches copyright or violates any law, please contact [openaccess@qub.ac.uk](mailto:openaccess@qub.ac.uk).

**Open Access**

This research has been made openly available by Queen's academics and its Open Research team. We would love to hear how access to this research benefits you. – Share your feedback with us: <http://go.qub.ac.uk/oa-feedback>

**Postnatal Lifestyle Intervention For Overweight Women With Previous Gestational Diabetes: A Randomized Controlled Trial**

Valerie A. Holmes Ph.D,<sup>1\*</sup> Claire R. Draffin Ph.D,<sup>1\*</sup> Chris C. Patterson Ph.D,<sup>1</sup> Loraine Francis BSc,<sup>2</sup> Joanne Irwin BSc,<sup>2</sup> Mae McConnell MD,<sup>3</sup> Brid Farrell MD,<sup>4</sup> Sarah F. Brennan Ph.D,<sup>1</sup> Oonagh McSorley Ph.D,<sup>1</sup> Amy C Wotherspoon Ph.D,<sup>1</sup> Mark Davies DClinPsych,<sup>2</sup> David R. McCance MD,<sup>2</sup> on behalf of the PAIGE study group.

\*Joint first authorship

<sup>1</sup>Centre for Public Health, Queen's University Belfast

<sup>2</sup>Regional Centre for Endocrinology and Diabetes, Belfast Health and Social Care Trust

<sup>3</sup>Craigavon Area Hospital, Southern Health and Social Care Trust

<sup>4</sup>Public Health Agency, Northern Ireland

**Short running title:** PAIGE: a randomized controlled trial

**Keywords:** Gestational Diabetes, Post-Partum Weight Retention, Lifestyle Intervention, Randomized Controlled Trial, PAIGE

**Corresponding author:**

Professor David R. McCance

Belfast Health and Social Care Trust

Regional Centre for Endocrinology and Diabetes,

Royal Victoria Hospital

Grosvenor Road

Belfast

BT12 6BA

Tel: 02890633430 Email: [david.mccance@belfasttrust.hscni.net](mailto:david.mccance@belfasttrust.hscni.net)

**Funding:** This research was funded by the Public Health Agency, Northern Ireland.

**Disclosure Summary:** No potential conflicts of interest relevant to this article were reported.

Slimming World kindly provided complimentary referral scheme vouchers for use in this trial, but were not involved in the design or implementation of the research, data collection or analysis of the data.

**Clinical trial registration number:** ISRCTN55443431, [www.isrctn.com](http://www.isrctn.com)

1 **Background:** Gestational diabetes mellitus (GDM) is associated with a 7-fold increased  
2 lifetime risk of type 2 diabetes. Excessive gestational weight gain and postpartum weight  
3 retention are established predictors of long-term obesity.

4 **Objective:** To determine the impact of a postnatal lifestyle intervention programme for  
5 overweight women with previous gestational diabetes mellitus (PAIGE).

6 **Design:** Postnatal overweight women with previous GDM participated in a multi-center  
7 randomized controlled trial between June 2013 and December 2014. The intervention  
8 comprised a one-hour educational programme, a free three-month referral to a commercial  
9 weight management organization (Slimming World), a pedometer and structured telephone  
10 and text support, in addition to usual care. The control group received usual care only. The  
11 primary outcome was weight loss at 6 months.

12 **Results:** A total of 60 women were randomized (29 intervention; 31 control) in two centers  
13 based on their week of attendance. The intervention group demonstrated significant weight  
14 loss at 6 months post-randomization: mean (SD) 3.9(±7.0)kg, compared with the control  
15 group: mean 0.7(±3.8)kg,  $p=0.02$ . No significant difference was observed in blood glucose  
16 levels. With respect to wellbeing measures, a significant reduction in bodily pain was  
17 observed in the intervention group ( $P=0.007$ ).

18 **Conclusions:** PAIGE resulted in significantly greater weight loss at 6 months compared with  
19 usual care. Such weight loss could prove beneficial in terms of better long-term health and  
20 subsequent prevention of type 2 diabetes in overweight women with previous GDM. Future  
21 interventions need to consider recruitment strategies, timing of the intervention and the  
22 inclusion of partners and/or other family members.

23 **Word count: 249 (max 250)**

1 **Précis:** A multi-component post-partum lifestyle intervention that resulted in significant  
2 weight loss at 6 months in overweight women with a history of gestational diabetes

3 **Character count:** 164 (Max 200)

4

## 1 Introduction

2 Almost half of all women are overweight or obese at the beginning of pregnancy,  
3 significantly increasing their risk of a number of adverse outcomes including gestational  
4 diabetes mellitus (GDM) (1). GDM currently affects 2-18 % of all pregnancies globally (2,3),  
5 and results in a 7-fold increased lifetime risk of type 2 diabetes (4). Additionally, excessive  
6 gestational weight gain is associated with postpartum weight retention, with both being  
7 established predictors of long-term obesity (5).

8 Lifestyle modification can prevent or delay the development of type 2 diabetes mellitus in  
9 those at high risk (6), with a meta-analysis reporting a relative risk reduction of developing  
10 type 2 diabetes of 0.55 (0.44-0.69) (7). Diet and exercise together appear to be more effective  
11 than diet alone in promoting weight loss after childbirth (8) and inclusion of individual or  
12 group counselling combined with written and telephone contact or food and exercise diaries  
13 may be of benefit (9). In the 10 year follow-up of the Diabetes Prevention Program (DPP),  
14 women with a history of GDM lost less weight than the general DPP population but still  
15 experienced a 35% reduction in the development of type 2 diabetes through an intense  
16 lifestyle intervention, compared with the control group (10).

17 Recently the Gestational Diabetes' Effects on Moms (GEM) cluster randomized controlled  
18 trial (RCT) demonstrated that a DPP-derived lifestyle intervention **initiated during pregnancy**  
19 modestly reduced postpartum weight retention and increased physical activity in women with  
20 GDM (11). In this trial, women received telephone sessions between 6 **weeks gestation and**  
21 24 weeks postpartum and were encouraged to set weekly diet and physical activity goals.  
22 Primary outcomes included reaching pregravid weight (if pregravid BMI < 25.0 kg/m<sup>2</sup>) or  
23 losing 5% of pregravid weight (if BMI ≥ 25.0 kg/m<sup>2</sup>). Significantly more women in the  
24 intervention group met the weight loss goal and had greater increases in physical activity

1 compared with the usual care group. The National Institute for Health and Care Excellence  
2 (NICE) recently concluded that **additional** studies are urgently needed to assess the  
3 effectiveness and cost effectiveness of weight management interventions after childbirth to  
4 prevent the development of type 2 diabetes following GDM (12). In addition, there is no  
5 consensus as to the components of the ‘optimal’ postnatal intervention **and questions remain**  
6 **in relation to the role of diet, physical exercise, group and individualized therapy** (13-17).  
7 The aim of this trial was to determine the impact of a pragmatic lifestyle intervention  
8 programme (PAIGE), **incorporating a DPP-derived lifestyle intervention and utilizing a**  
9 **community-based commercially available weight management organization** on postnatal  
10 overweight women with a history of GDM.

11

## 12 **Materials and Methods**

### 13 **Trial design**

14 A pragmatic cluster RCT with two parallel arms conducted in two joint diabetes-antenatal  
15 clinics in Northern Ireland between June 2013 and December 2014.

16 This research was approved by the Northern Ireland Research Ethics Committee  
17 (13/NI/0026). Each participant gave written informed consent and was advised that they had  
18 the right to withdraw at any time.

19

### 20 **Participants**

21 Overweight or obese pregnant women with a history of GDM in their recent pregnancy  
22 (diagnosed by 75g oral glucose tolerance test [OGTT] or high random blood glucose), who

1 were referred to the participating joint diabetes-antenatal clinics, were identified by their  
2 diabetes care team around 38 weeks gestation and given a patient information sheet. Eligible  
3 women (including those who delivered before identification at the antenatal clinic) were sent  
4 a letter by the diabetes care team at 4-6 weeks postpartum reminding them of the importance  
5 of attending for their routine postnatal OGTT, and inviting them to take part in the study. At  
6 the postnatal visit, following written informed consent, women were randomized on the basis  
7 of their week of attendance either to standard care or to the PAIGE programme.

8 Inclusion criteria included overweight or obese women ( $\text{BMI} \geq 25 \text{ kg/m}^2$ ) aged 18 years and  
9 older with a history of GDM in their recent pregnancy. GDM was defined by the  
10 International Association of Diabetes in Pregnancy Study Group /2013 World Health  
11 Organization (WHO) criteria (18) (fasting glucose  $\geq 5.1 \text{ mmol/l}$  or 1h plasma glucose  $\geq 10.0$   
12  $\text{mmol/l}$  or 2h plasma glucose  $\geq 8.5 \text{ mmol/l}$  during pregnancy). Women with a history of  
13 GDM directly preceding the most recent pregnancy, and who were given lifestyle advice and  
14 were performing self-monitoring of blood glucose from early pregnancy, were also included  
15 if capillary glucose monitoring exceeded target values (fasting  $\geq 5.3 \text{ mmol/l}$  (95 mg/dl); 1h  
16 post prandial  $\geq 7.8 \text{ mmol/l}$  (140 mg/dl)) (12), thus negating the need for an OGTT. Women  
17 were included if they had a  $\text{BMI} \geq 25 \text{ kg/m}^2$  at booking if  $< 12$  weeks (otherwise inclusion was  
18 based on reported pre-pregnancy weight) and a  $\text{BMI} \geq 25 \text{ kg/m}^2$  at their postnatal OGTT visit  
19 (or equivalent to  $25 \text{ kg/m}^2$  for non-Caucasian subjects) (19). Women were only included if  
20 their postnatal OGTT did not meet the diagnostic criteria for overt diabetes outside of  
21 pregnancy; thus women with normal glucose tolerance, impaired glucose tolerance or  
22 impaired fasting glucose (IGT/IFG) were invited to participate.

23 Exclusion criteria included: a pregnancy outcome resulting in anomaly or stillbirth; a history  
24 of diabetes outside pregnancy or diagnosis of diabetes on the postnatal OGTT; history of



1 heart, liver or chronic renal disease; medications that adversely affect glucose tolerance (e.g.  
2 steroids); inability to participate in moderate physical activity; moderate/severe depressive  
3 illness or excess alcohol consumption; inability to adequately understand verbal explanations  
4 or written information in English, or have special communication needs. Women who were  
5 planning another pregnancy within the next 6 months or taking part in other research or  
6 already attending any commercial weight management organization (CWMO) were also  
7 excluded. Women who were diagnosed with diabetes at the postnatal OGTT were referred to  
8 the local diabetes care team and excluded from the study post-randomization.

9

## 10 **Intervention**

11 The control arm received usual care alone, which included an educational DVD that is  
12 provided routinely to women upon diagnosis of GDM. This resource is used to educate on the  
13 likely symptoms, causes, consequences, and management of GDM, including information on  
14 weight management and physical activity both before and after pregnancy as recommended  
15 by NICE guidelines (12,20). The intervention arm, in addition to usual care, (which included  
16 the DVD) was enrolled in the PAIGE Programme as detailed below.

### 17 ***The PAIGE Programme***

18 The PAIGE Programme is a pragmatic, theory driven, motivationally-targeted, education  
19 programme customized to the postnatal period. PAIGE was adapted from the DPP Lifestyle  
20 Intervention, sponsored by the US National Institutes of Health and supported by the Centre  
21 for Disease Control and Prevention (21). The programme was informed by focus groups  
22 composed of overweight/obese postpartum women with previous GDM. Feedback from these  
23 groups directed the tone and content which comprised an initial 60 minute educational

1 session, delivered by a health educator trained in motivational interviewing at the 6-week  
2 postnatal visit. The session was delivered in small groups in a hospital setting between the  
3 fasting and 2-hour postnatal OGTT blood samples. Programme delivery was modelled on the  
4 person-centred philosophy using learning techniques designed to promote lifestyle change  
5 and self-management in line with the Medical Research Council framework for complex  
6 interventions (22). Using motivational interviewing techniques, each session was adapted to  
7 the needs of the group, taking into account socioeconomic status and ethnicity. The content of  
8 the session was broadly divided into three equal time periods: the first addressing the causes,  
9 consequences, complications, timelines and implications of GDM and overweight/obesity,  
10 the second offering advice regarding a healthy dietary approach for both mother and baby,  
11 and the third targeted to address the importance of physical activity as a treatment for the  
12 prevention of diabetes and future GDM, physical activity recommendations, barriers, action  
13 plans and diaries. At the end of the education session, two individualized PAIGE Programme  
14 goals were agreed: 5% weight loss through lifestyle change over a 6-month period and 150  
15 minutes of brisk physical activity each week. The PAIGE Programme included a booklet  
16 describing the programme under the section headings: Healthy Eating, Physical Activity,  
17 Triggers and Desires for Food, Breastfeeding, and Planning your next Pregnancy. In addition  
18 the booklet contained blank pages for questions and notes, and a pedometer step log to record  
19 proposed daily step increases, target daily steps and actual daily steps. Women in the  
20 intervention group were given a free voucher for 12-weeks membership of the CWMO  
21 Slimming World (SW). Women were guided as to their preferred centre and encouraged to  
22 join within the first 3 months of the 6 month follow-up period. Whilst the overall programme  
23 weight loss goal was 5% weight loss over 6 months, women were encouraged to set  
24 individual weight loss targets with their SW leader within the context of the overall  
25 programme target. After the 12-week SW programme, women were allowed to continue to

1 attend the programme for the remainder of the study if they so desired, but at their own  
2 expense. In addition, women in the intervention group were given a sealed piezoelectric  
3 pedometer with a 7-day memory (NL-800; NEW-LIFESTYLES, Lee's Summit, MO) to help  
4 them to reach the physical activity goal. Sedentary participants were encouraged to increase  
5 their activity levels incrementally using proximal objectives by at least 3,000 steps per day,  
6 equivalent to approximately 30 minutes of walking (23). Women were encouraged to wear  
7 the pedometer daily to self-monitor their ambulatory activity using a 'steps per day' log, and  
8 were prompted to document this by weekly texts and monthly telephone calls. Finally,  
9 women were contacted intermittently by a health educator by text and telephone. Text  
10 messaging was weekly for the first month (primarily to encourage the women to register for  
11 SW) and then fortnightly. Structured telephone calls utilizing motivational interview  
12 techniques took place monthly, and included documentation of pedometer activity, barriers to  
13 compliance with diet and activity and suggested solutions. At the end of the study, the control  
14 group was offered free referral to SW (12 weeks duration), and a copy of the PAIGE  
15 Programme handbook.

### 16 *Slimming World*

17 The trial utilized a CWMO as one component of the postpartum lifestyle intervention that  
18 was underpinned by the central need of accessibility. NICE recognizes the role of CWMOs  
19 which follow guidance criteria for best practice. SW meets these criteria (24), and has an  
20 extensive community-based infrastructure of around 14,000 support groups held each week,  
21 at different times and days across the UK, including Northern Ireland. The organization  
22 makes provision for members with specific needs such as those with diabetes, heart disease  
23 and pregnancy and is willing to work in close collaboration with health care professionals.  
24 While much of the emphasis is on behaviour change for weight management and healthier  
25 food choices, there is advice and support on a graded approach to physical activity (25).

## 1 **Randomization**

2 Women were cluster-randomized to treatment group according to the week they attended for  
3 their routine OGTT, to avoid contamination through women potentially discussing treatment  
4 allocation and additionally allowed the programme to be delivered to the largest possible  
5 number of women on any given day. Delivery of the PAIGE programme took place on 2 out  
6 of every 4 weeks at each site, which was allocated at random, using a restricted  
7 randomization approach drawn up in advance. The allocations were only made available to  
8 recruitment centres at a sufficient time in advance for necessary administrative arrangements  
9 and, of note, only after women had received their OGTT appointment. Women who were  
10 willing to participate were assessed for eligibility on arrival, and full written informed  
11 consent was obtained. Women were then informed of their treatment group. Due to the nature  
12 of the intervention, the study was not blinded.

13

## 14 **Outcomes**

15 The primary outcome was weight loss at 6-months post-randomization. Secondary outcomes  
16 included fasting glucose and 2-hour OGTT glucose (optional), waist circumference, BMI and  
17 pedometer counts (change from baseline at 6 months (intervention group only)).  
18 Questionnaire data were recorded at baseline and 6 months and included details of nutrient  
19 intake (as estimated by completion of a 7-day food diary) along with a series of standardized  
20 questionnaires, including the long last (7-day) International Physical Activity Questionnaires  
21 (IPAQ) (26), General Health and Well-Being Survey (SF-12v2™ Health Survey) (27),  
22 Exercise Self-efficacy Survey (28) and the Risk Perception Survey for Developing Diabetes  
23 (RPS-DD) (29). Postpartum depression was assessed at both time-points using the Edinburgh

1 Postnatal Depression Scale (EPDS) (30). All these measures have established reliability and  
2 validity.

3 Pedometer counts are not reported due to incomplete data. The nutrient intake data will be  
4 reported separately.

5 Other outcomes included SW involvement, such as attendance, number of visits and weight  
6 at first and last visits (intervention group only). A planned subgroup analysis for the primary  
7 outcome was examined for the differential weight loss among women attending six or more  
8 SW meetings versus women attending five or fewer SW meetings.

### 9 **Sample size**

10 Assuming an inter-patient standard deviation of 4.5kg for weight loss (17), a study of 100  
11 women (randomized to two groups) had 95% power at the 5% significance level to detect a  
12 differential 5% weight loss between groups (equivalent to 4kg) assuming the mean baseline  
13 weight is 80kg and allowing for a 33% dropout rate (i.e. 33 women per group completing the  
14 study). A 5% weight loss was selected given its association with clinically meaningful health  
15 benefits (31).

### 16 **Statistical analysis**

17 Primary and secondary outcomes were compared between the intervention and control groups  
18 at 6 months by the intention to treat principle. The data from the returned questionnaires were  
19 entered into the SPSS version 21 (IBM Corp., Armonk, NY). Descriptive statistics were used  
20 to display the baseline characteristics of the participants and both descriptive and inferential  
21 statistics (independent samples t-tests on changes in anthropometric results and analyses of  
22 covariance for other outcomes) were performed to determine if there were any significant  
23 differences in outcomes between randomized groups. Comparisons were adjusted for the  
24 cluster randomization using the cluster option in the regress command of Stata release 12

1 (StataCorp, College Station, TX), but this made little difference to conclusions and so  
2 uncorrected results are reported throughout. A *p*-value of <0.05 was considered statistically  
3 significant

#### 4 **Results**

5 A total of 404 women were screened for eligibility, of which 344 were excluded (184 did not  
6 meet the inclusion criteria, 60 did not wish to take part, 61 did not attend for the OGTT, and  
7 39 were excluded for other reasons). In total, 60 women were randomized (control group,  
8 *n*=31; intervention group, *n*=29). Nine women were lost to follow-up and six were excluded  
9 at visit 2. The final analysis was conducted on the data from 45 women (**Figure 1**). Of the 60  
10 women randomized, 56 were newly diagnosed with GDM during pregnancy, with the  
11 remaining women (*n*=4) having previous GDM and abnormal glucose results. Women were  
12 aged 22-45 years; mean±SD age in the usual care group was 33.2±5.3 years and in the  
13 intervention group 34.2±4.3 years. Mean±SD years in education was slightly higher in the  
14 intervention group 17.1±3.0 years versus 16.3±3.1 years in the usual care group. The  
15 majorities in both groups were white, employed and had a partner (**Table 1**). The intervention  
16 group had a mean±SD baseline weight of 89.6 ±16.8 kg, and BMI 34.1±6.3kg/m<sup>2</sup>;  
17 corresponding values in the control group were 90.2±16.4 kg /m<sup>2</sup> and 33.6±5.4 kg/m<sup>2</sup>,  
18 respectively (Table 1). Rates of breastfeeding at randomization were also higher, although  
19 not significantly, in the control group, with 45% breastfeeding in the control group compared  
20 to 24% in the intervention group reporting having breast fed at least initially after birth.

21 The intervention group lost significantly more weight than the control group (mean±SD  
22 weight change: -3.9 ±7.0 kg vs 0.7±3.8 kg; mean difference (95% confidence interval): -4.5  
23 (-8.1;-0.9) *p*= 0.02). The reductions in BMI and waist circumference were also significantly  
24 greater in the intervention group compared to the control group (**Table 2**). No significant

1 difference was observed between the groups for fasting and 2-hour plasma glucose levels at  
2 baseline or at 6 months (Table 2). Analysis of covariance of 6-month results adjusting for  
3 baseline differences showed that serum lipids, CRP, serum insulin or HbA1c did not differ  
4 significantly between the intervention and control group (see **Supplemental Table 1**).

5 Data analysed from the SF-12v2™ Health Survey revealed no important differences at  
6 baseline between the groups with regards to general, physical, social and emotional health,  
7 however at 6 months, the intervention group had significantly higher levels of physical  
8 functioning meaning that, for example, they could climb several flights of stairs more easily  
9 than the control group. Bodily pain (consisting of reported pain that interfered with normal  
10 work inside or outside the home) was reported to be significantly lower in the intervention  
11 group than in the control group at 6 months (**Table 3**). There were no significant differences  
12 in exercise self-efficacy (Table 3) or risk perception for developing diabetes (**Supplemental**  
13 **Table 2**) between the two groups at baseline or at 6 months. Two women in each group at 6  
14 months had symptoms suggestive of postnatal depression as self-reported through the EPDS.  
15 Valid data from the IPAQ questionnaire were available for 14 women in the intervention  
16 group and 20 women in the control group (data from 12 women were excluded as per the  
17 IPAQ guidelines as they reported > 960 minutes per week physical activity). All 14 women  
18 in the intervention group were categorized as “active” at 6 six months using the IPAQ  
19 questionnaire (i.e. being active for at least 150 minutes per week according to Chief Medical  
20 Officers guidelines) (32). In the usual care group 17 women were “active”, two were  
21 “somewhat active” (60-100 minutes per week) and one woman was “inactive” (< 60 minutes  
22 per week).

23 **Although most women used step counts initially to** provide an index of their activity, very  
24 few women recorded their step counts or texted counts to the research team during the study,

1 thus no data on step counts are reported. No adverse consequences were reported by any of  
2 the participants.

### 3 *Participation in the CWMO*

4 The intervention group (n=29) were given vouchers to attend a local SW group of their  
5 choice for 12 weeks without charge. Twenty women registered and 12 completed the course  
6 (attended 10 or more weeks out of the 12), giving a 41% compliance rate with this aspect of  
7 the intervention. Among this group, one woman achieved >10% weight loss, four women  
8 achieved between 5-10% weight loss and three women achieved between 3-4.9% weight loss  
9 while four women did not lose any weight at the end of their 12 week SW programme.  
10 Women (n=8) in the intervention group who attended five or fewer SW classes did not  
11 achieve any weight loss or weight loss was less than the 5% target needed to achieve clinical  
12 meaningful health benefits.

13

### 14 **Discussion**

15 The aim of this trial was to evaluate the effect of a pragmatic lifestyle modification  
16 programme on weight loss in overweight postnatal women with a recent history of GDM.  
17 Women randomized to the PAIGE programme lost significantly more weight and had  
18 significantly lower BMI after 6 months compared to those women receiving usual care.  
19 Overall, attrition rates from this study were 25%, which is in line with other studies in this  
20 patient group (33,34).

21 The PAIGE intervention programme consisted of four elements: a one hour education  
22 programme delivered at the time of the early postnatal OGTT, referral to SW, a pedometer  
23 and supportive texts and phone calls. This combination is supported in the literature as having



1 an effect on weight loss in women postpartum (9,35-39). A recent systematic review also  
2 concluded that effective interventions are generally composed of dietary and physical activity  
3 elements (40). The extent to which each of the individual elements of the intervention group  
4 'menu' contributed to the successful outcome is uncertain. Two thirds of women who  
5 completed the SW programme achieved some degree of weight loss, while 42% achieved the  
6 target 5% weight loss or more, which is known to translate into clinically meaningful health  
7 benefits (31). This is in keeping with a website survey involving 590 members attending SW  
8 up to 2 years postnatally which reported that 43% of respondents had reached their pre-  
9 pregnancy weight and 41% stated that they were now lighter than before becoming pregnant  
10 (41).

11 In the current study, weight loss was not associated with a significant reduction in plasma  
12 glucose levels, which is contrast to some previous studies (42,43), and may relate to study  
13 size or our inclusion of subjects with both normal and impaired glucose tolerance. There were  
14 no significant differences in psychosocial factors, quality of life and lifestyle, with the  
15 exception of significantly reduced bodily pain after 6 months reported by the women  
16 assigned to the intervention. Previous evidence in other populations has highlighted the  
17 benefit of weight loss interventions on bodily pain and other quality of life indicators (44-46).  
18 It is also of note that, despite the control group having significantly higher rates of breast  
19 feeding at baseline, the intervention group still lost significantly more weight, possibly  
20 reflecting evidence from a recent systematic review challenging the common belief that  
21 breastfeeding promotes weight loss (47).

22 In general, most previous studies have focused on metabolic and anthropometric endpoints  
23 individually, while only a small number have both investigated metabolic and anthropometric  
24 as well as psychosocial and lifestyle changes. However, the true efficacy of our intervention  
25 is not entirely clear, as the number of subjects studied was relatively small due to recruitment

1 issues. These problems have been reported previously (48) and are a challenge for testing  
2 interventions. In addition, more women than anticipated had a BMI within the normal range  
3 at their recruitment visit and a significant number also had mental health issues, which  
4 excluded them from the study.

5 The strengths of PAIGE include the randomized controlled study design and the  
6 multicomponent nature of the intervention informed by focus groups, targeted to the early  
7 postnatal period and delivered in conjunction with a CWMO **with an extensive community-**  
8 **based infrastructure**. This novel approach has highlighted key issues, which will inform  
9 future lifestyle interventions in this population.

10 Study weaknesses include the well-recognized high rate of non-attendance for routine  
11 postnatal OGTT appointments (49). This impacted on recruitment and may also limit  
12 generalizability of our study findings **and go some way to explaining the relatively high**  
13 **levels of educational attainment and employment among the participants**. Additionally, the  
14 majority of participants were of white ethnicity, contrasting with the higher risk of GDM in  
15 women from ethnic minorities. **Data from pedometers was also limited, as women did not**  
16 **continue with these consistently during the study, thus these counts were not seen as a good**  
17 **assessment of compliance to lifestyle change**. Finally, even though referral to the CWMO  
18 was free, which may not be possible in all circumstances, fewer than half of women attended  
19 and completed the course.

20 This high rate of non-attendance, along with the most recent UK NICE guidelines (12), which  
21 recommend that a 75g OTT should not routinely be offered to postnatal women with a history  
22 of GDM during pregnancy, has further implications for the best time to recruit women in the  
23 postnatal period. Sixty women declined to participate in the study for reasons, which included  
24 time constraints, unavailability of childcare, or they did not want to leave their baby. This

1 highlights the recognized barriers faced by women in the postpartum period regarding  
2 participation in a lifestyle intervention (46). All of these factors will need careful  
3 consideration in future research.

4 In conclusion this RCT involving a pragmatic lifestyle modification programme among  
5 overweight women with a recent history of GDM delivered in conjunction with the CWMO  
6 Slimming World and the NHS resulted in a significant reduction in weight and BMI at 6  
7 months post-partum compared with women randomized to routine care. This novel approach  
8 has highlighted key issues, which will inform future lifestyle interventions in this population.  
9 Larger definitive trials are now urgently required to confirm the validity of the intervention  
10 and importantly to determine if the PAIGE programme is effective in the long term at  
11 reducing the risk of type 2 diabetes.

## 12 **Acknowledgements**

13 The authors would like to thank members of the Diabetes Care Team and administrative staff  
14 at the Royal Victoria Hospital, Belfast and Craigavon Area Hospital for their help with this  
15 trial and all the patients who volunteered to participate in this research. The authors also  
16 thank Slimming World, who provided complimentary vouchers for their referral scheme,  
17 which were provided to patients as part of the intervention.

## 18 **Conflict of Interest**

19 No potential conflicts of interest relevant to this article were reported. Slimming World  
20 kindly provided complimentary referral scheme vouchers for use in this trial, but were not  
21 involved in the design or implementation of the research, data collection or analysis of the  
22 data.

## 23 **Author Contributions**

1 DRM, VAH, CRD, BF, MMcC, CP and MD a contributed to the study design; CRD, LF, JI  
2 and SFB conducted the research; CRD, VAH, CP, OMcS and ACW contributed to analysis  
3 and interpretation of data; VAH, CRD, OMcS, ACW and DRM drafted the article and all  
4 authors edited and revised the article.

5 \*The authors present this study on behalf of a larger team, **The PAIGE study group**:

6 *Core project team:* Professor David R. McCance (Principal Investigator) and Dr Mark  
7 Davies, Belfast Health and Social Care Trust; Dr Valerie A. Holmes and Professor Chris C.  
8 Patterson, Queen's University Belfast; Dr Brid Farrell, Public Health Agency, Northern  
9 Ireland and Dr Mae McConnell, Southern Health and Social Care Trust.

10 *Research Fellows:* Dr Claire R. Draffin (Lead Research Fellow), Dr Sarah F. Brennan and  
11 Dr Oonagh McSorley, Queen's University Belfast.

12 *Research Midwives/Nurses:* Jo-anne Irwin and Loraine Francis, Belfast Health and Social  
13 Care Trust.

14 *Project Steering Committee:* Liz Taylor (Dietetic Manager), Janine Briggs (Dietician),  
15 Joanne Quinn (Diabetes Specialist Sister), Louisa Dunlop (Diabetes Specialist Nurse),  
16 Thamra Ayton (Physiotherapist), Belfast Health and Social Care Trust and Dr Una Bradley  
17 (Physician), Southern Health and Social Care Trust

## References

- (1) Scott-Pillai R, Spence D, Cardwell C, Hunter A, Holmes V. The impact of body mass index on maternal and neonatal outcomes: a retrospective study in a UK obstetric population, 2004–2011. *BJOG: An International Journal of Obstetrics & Gynaecology* 2013;120(8):932-939.
- (2) Coustan DR, Lowe LP, Metzger BE, Dyer AR. The Hyperglycemia and Adverse Pregnancy Outcome (HAPO) study: paving the way for new diagnostic criteria for gestational diabetes mellitus. *Obstet Gynecol* 2010;202(6):654. e1-654. e6.
- (3) Ferrara A. Increasing prevalence of gestational diabetes mellitus: a public health perspective. *Diabetes Care* 2007 Jul;30 Suppl 2:S141-6.
- (4) Bellamy L, Casas J, Hingorani AD, Williams D. Type 2 diabetes mellitus after gestational diabetes: a systematic review and meta-analysis. *The Lancet* 2009;373(9677):1773-1779.
- (5) Gore SA, Brown DM, West DS. The role of postpartum weight retention in obesity among women: a review of the evidence. *Annals of Behavioral Medicine* 2003;26(2):149-159.
- (6) Gillies CL, Abrams KR, Lambert PC, Cooper NJ, Sutton AJ, Hsu RT, et al. Pharmacological and lifestyle interventions to prevent or delay type 2 diabetes in people with impaired glucose tolerance: systematic review and meta-analysis. *BMJ* 2007 Feb 10;334(7588):299.
- (7) Yamaoka K, Tango T. Efficacy of lifestyle education to prevent type 2 diabetes: a meta-analysis of randomized controlled trials. *Diabetes Care* 2005 Nov;28(11):2780-2786.
- (8) Berger AA, Peragallo-Urrutia R, Nicholson WK. Systematic review of the effect of individual and combined nutrition and exercise interventions on weight, adiposity and metabolic outcomes after delivery: evidence for developing behavioral guidelines for postpartum weight control. *BMC pregnancy and childbirth* 2014;14(1):319.
- (9) Messina J, Johnson M, Campbell F, Everson Hock E, Guillaume L, Duenas A, et al. Systematic review of weight management interventions after childbirth. The University of Sheffield: ScHARR Public Health Collaboration Centre 2009.
- (10) Aroda VR, Christophi CA, Edelstein SL, Zhang P, Herman WH, Barrett-Connor E, et al. The effect of lifestyle intervention and metformin on preventing or delaying diabetes among women with and without gestational diabetes: the Diabetes Prevention Program outcomes study 10-year follow-up. *J Clin Endocrinol Metab* 2015 Apr;100(4):1646-1653.
- (11) Ferrara A, Hedderson MM, Brown SD, Albright CL, Ehrlich SF, Tsai AL, et al. The Comparative Effectiveness of Diabetes Prevention Strategies to Reduce Postpartum Weight Retention in Women With Gestational Diabetes Mellitus: The Gestational Diabetes' Effects on Moms (GEM) Cluster Randomized Controlled Trial. *Diabetes Care* 2016 Jan;39(1):65-74.

- (12) NICE. Diabetes in pregnancy: management of diabetes and its complications from preconception to the postnatal period. 2015; Available at: <https://www.nice.org.uk/guidance/ng3/resources/diabetes-in-pregnancy-management-of-diabetes-and-its-complications-from-preconception-to-the-postnatal-period-51038446021>. Accessed 10th August, 2016.
- (13) Craigie AM, Macleod M, Barton KL, Treweek S, Anderson AS. Supporting postpartum weight loss in women living in deprived communities: design implications for a randomised control trial. *Eur J Clin Nutr* 2011;65(8):952-958.
- (14) Østbye T, Krause KM, Lovelady CA, Morey MC, Bastian LA, Peterson BL, et al. Active Mothers Postpartum: a randomized controlled weight-loss intervention trial. *Am J Prev Med* 2009;37(3):173-180.
- (15) Spahn JM, Reeves RS, Keim KS, Laquatra I, Kellogg M, Jortberg B, et al. State of the evidence regarding behavior change theories and strategies in nutrition counseling to facilitate health and food behavior change. *J Am Diet Assoc* 2010;110(6):879-891.
- (16) Colleran HL, Lovelady CA. Use of MyPyramid Menu Planner for Moms in a weight-loss intervention during lactation. *Journal of the Academy of Nutrition and Dietetics* 2012;112(4):553-558.
- (17) Bertz F, Brekke HK, Ellegard L, Rasmussen KM, Wennergren M, Winkvist A. Diet and exercise weight-loss trial in lactating overweight and obese women. *Am J Clin Nutr* 2012 Oct;96(4):698-705.
- (18) International Association of Diabetes and Pregnancy Study Groups Consensus Panel, Metzger BE, Gabbe SG, Persson B, Buchanan TA, Catalano PA, et al. International association of diabetes and pregnancy study groups recommendations on the diagnosis and classification of hyperglycemia in pregnancy. *Diabetes Care* 2010 Mar;33(3):676-682.
- (19) NICE,. Type 2 diabetes: prevention in people at high risk. 2012;PH38.
- (20) National Institute for Health and Care Excellence. NICE. Behaviour Change: General Approaches. 2007; Available at: <https://www.nice.org.uk/guidance/ph6/resources/behaviour-change-general-approaches-55457515717>. Accessed 10th August, 2016.
- (21) Centers for Disease Control and Prevention. National Diabetes Prevention Program. 2016; Available at: <https://www.cdc.gov/diabetes/prevention/index.html>. Accessed 10th August, 2016.
- (22) Medical Research Council. Developing and evaluating complex interventions. 2008; Available at: <http://www.mrc.ac.uk/Utilities/Documentrecord/index.htm?d=MRC004871>. Accessed 10th August, 2016.
- (23) Tudor-Locke C, Bassett DR. How many steps/day are enough? *Sports medicine* 2004;34(1):1-8.

- (24) NICE. Weight management: lifestyle services for overweight or obese adults. 2014; Available at: [www.nice.org.uk/guidance/ph53/resources/weight-management-lifestyle-services-for-overweight-or-obese-adults-1996416726469](http://www.nice.org.uk/guidance/ph53/resources/weight-management-lifestyle-services-for-overweight-or-obese-adults-1996416726469). Accessed 10th August, 2016.
- (25) Stubbs RJ, Morris L, Pallister C, Horgan G, Lavin JH. Weight outcomes audit in 1.3 million adults during their first 3 months' attendance in a commercial weight management programme. *BMC Public Health* 2015;15(1):882.
- (26) Craig CL, Marshall AL, Sjoström M, Bauman AE, Booth ML, Ainsworth BE, et al. International physical activity questionnaire: 12-country reliability and validity. *Med Sci Sports Exerc* 2003 Aug;35(8):1381-1395.
- (27) Ware Jr JE, Kosinski M, Keller SD. A 12-Item Short-Form Health Survey: construction of scales and preliminary tests of reliability and validity. *Med Care* 1996;34(3):220-233.
- (28) Sallis JF, Pinski RB, Grossman RM, Patterson TL, Nader PR. The development of self-efficacy scales for health-related diet and exercise behaviors. *Health Educ Res* 1988;3(3):283-292.
- (29) Kim C, McEwen LN, Piette JD, Goewey J, Ferrara A, Walker EA. Risk perception for diabetes among women with histories of gestational diabetes mellitus. *Diabetes Care* 2007 Sep;30(9):2281-2286.
- (30) Cox JL, Holden JM, Sagovsky R. Detection of postnatal depression. Development of the 10-item Edinburgh Postnatal Depression Scale. *Br J Psychiatry* 1987 Jun;150:782-786.
- (31) Diabetes Prevention Program Research Group. Reduction in the incidence of type 2 diabetes with lifestyle intervention or metformin. *N Engl J Med* 2002;346(13):393-403.
- (32) DoH. Start Active, Stay Active: A report on physical activity for health from the four home countries. 2011; Available at: <http://www.bhfactive.org.uk/userfiles/Documents/startactivesstayactive.pdf>.
- (33) O'Dea A, Tierney M, McGuire BE, Newell J, Glynn LG, Gibson I, et al. Can the Onset of Type 2 Diabetes Be Delayed by a Group-Based Lifestyle Intervention in Women with Prediabetes following Gestational Diabetes Mellitus (GDM)? Findings from a Randomized Control Mixed Methods Trial. *Journal of diabetes research* 2015;2015.
- (34) O'Reilly SL, Dunbar JA, Versace V, Janus E, Best JD, Carter R, et al. Mothers after Gestational Diabetes in Australia (MAGDA): A Randomised Controlled Trial of a Postnatal Diabetes Prevention Program. *PLoS Med* 2016;13(7):e1002092.
- (35) Leermakers E, Anglin K, Wing R. Reducing postpartum weight retention through a correspondence intervention. *International Journal of Obesity & Related Metabolic Disorders* 1998;22(11).
- (36) Lovelady CA, Stephenson KG, Kuppler KM, Williams JP. The effects of dieting on food and nutrient intake of lactating women. *J Am Diet Assoc* 2006;106(6):908-912.

- (37) McCrory MA, Nommsen-Rivers LA, Mole PA, Lonnerdal B, Dewey KG. Randomized trial of the short-term effects of dieting compared with dieting plus aerobic exercise on lactation performance. *Am J Clin Nutr* 1999 May;69(5):959-967.
- (38) O'Toole ML, Sawicki MA, Artal R. Structured diet and physical activity prevent postpartum weight retention. *Journal of Women's Health* 2003;12(10):991-998.
- (39) Albright CL, Maddock JE, Nigg CR. Increasing physical activity in postpartum multiethnic women in Hawaii: results from a pilot study. *BMC Womens Health* 2009;9(1):4.
- (40) Guo J, Chen J, Whittemore R, Whitaker E. Postpartum lifestyle interventions to prevent type 2 diabetes among women with history of gestational diabetes: a systematic review of randomized clinical trials. *Journal of Women's Health* 2016;25(1):38-49.
- (41) Avery A, Allan J, Lavin J, Pallister C. Supporting postnatal women to lose weight. *Lancet* 2010;368(9542):1164-1170.
- (42) Hu G, Tian H, Zhang F, Liu H, Zhang C, Zhang S, et al. Tianjin Gestational Diabetes Mellitus Prevention Program: study design, methods, and 1-year interim report on the feasibility of lifestyle intervention program. *Diabetes Res Clin Pract* 2012 Dec;98(3):508-517.
- (43) Wein P, Beischer N, Harris C, Permezel M. A trial of simple versus intensified dietary modification for prevention of progression to diabetes mellitus in women with impaired glucose tolerance. *Aust N Z J Obstet Gynaecol* 1999 May;39(2):162-166.
- (44) Claesson IM, Klein S, Sydsjo G, Josefsson A. Physical activity and psychological well-being in obese pregnant and postpartum women attending a weight-gain restriction programme. *Midwifery* 2014 Jan;30(1):11-16.
- (45) Fine JT, Colditz GA, Coakley EH, Moseley G, Manson JE, Willett WC, et al. A prospective study of weight change and health-related quality of life in women. *JAMA* 1999 Dec 8;282(22):2136-2142.
- (46) Sarwer DB, Moore RH, Diewald LK, Chittams J, Berkowitz RI, Vetter M, et al. The impact of a primary care-based weight loss intervention on the quality of life. *Int J Obes (Lond)* 2013 Aug;37 Suppl 1:S25-30.
- (47) Neville CE, McKinley MC, Holmes VA, Spence D, Woodside JV. The relationship between breastfeeding and postpartum weight change--a systematic review and critical evaluation. *Int J Obes (Lond)* 2014 Apr;38(4):577-590.
- (48) Gilinsky A, Kirk A, Hughes A, Lindsay R. Lifestyle interventions for type 2 diabetes prevention in women with prior gestational diabetes: a systematic review and meta-analysis of behavioural, anthropometric and metabolic outcomes. *Preventive medicine reports* 2015;2:448-461.
- (49) Ferrara A, Peng T, Kim C. Trends in postpartum diabetes screening and subsequent diabetes and impaired fasting glucose among women with histories of gestational diabetes



mellitus: A report from the Translating Research Into Action for Diabetes (TRIAD) Study.  
Diabetes Care 2009 Feb;32(2):269-274.

**Table 1:** Baseline characteristics of PAIGE participants (n=60)

	<b>Intervention (n=29)</b>	<b>Control (n=31)</b>
<b>Age (years)</b>	34.2 (4.3)	33.2 (5.3)
<b>Weight (kg)</b>	89.6 (16.8)	90.2 (16.4)
<b>BMI (kg/m<sup>2</sup>)</b>	34.1 (6.3)	33.6 (5.4)
<b>Waist Circumference (cm)</b>	103.7 (11.2)	105.8 (12.1)
<b>Hip circumference (cm)</b>	116.8 (12.9)	116.2 (11.4)
<b>Fat mass (kg)</b>	39.7 (12.8)	39.1 (11.9)
<b>Fat free mass (kg)</b>	49.9 (5.7)	51.2 (6.5)
<b>White Ethnicity, n (%)</b>	25 (86.0)	26 (84.0)
<b>Employed, n (%)</b>	22 (76.0)	20 (65.0)
<b>Education (years)</b>	17.1 (3.0)	16.3 (3.1)
<b>Married/Co-habiting, n (%)</b>	24 (83.0)	27 (87.0)
<b>Smoker, n (%)</b>	3 (10.0)	4 (13.0)
<b>Parity</b>	2.5 (1.2)	2.3 (1.2)
<b>Primiparous, n (%)</b>	5 (17.0)	8 (26.0)
<b>Breastfeeding, n (%)</b>	7 (24.0)	14 (45.0)
<b>Diet only treatment of GDM in most recent pregnancy, n (%)</b>	8 (28.0)	9 (29.0)
<b>Time since delivery (weeks)*</b>	9.8 (3.2)	9.3 (2.2)
<b>Fasting Plasma Glucose (mmol/l)</b>	5.05 (0.56)	5.15 (0.66)
<b>2h Plasma Glucose post OGTT (mmol/l)</b>	6.09 (1.76)	6.14 (2.28)
<b>Fasting serum Insulin mU/L †</b>	11.7 (6.3 to 19.1)	12.6 (7.7 to 13.9)
<b>2h serum Insulin mU/L ‡</b>	41.1 (18.2 to 56.4)	33.3 (16.9 to 46.4)

<b>HbA1c(mmol/mol) §</b>	35.0 (4.2)	37.4 (4.1)
<b>LDL (mmol/l)   </b>	3.0 (0.7)	3.4 (0.9)
<b>HDL (mmol/l) ¶</b>	1.5 (0.4)	1.5 (0.3)
<b>Cholesterol (mmol/l) ¶</b>	5.3 (0.8)	5.6 (1.1)
<b>Triglycerides (mmol/l) ¶</b>	1.4 (0.9 to 2.1)	1.3 (0.7 to 1.6)

OGTT Oral Glucose Tolerance Test. Data are mean (SD), median (interquartile range) or n (%). \*Data available on 28 women (intervention) and 31 women (control). †Data available on 29 women (intervention) and 30 women (control). ‡Data available on 15 women (intervention) and 21 women (control). §Data available on 15 women (intervention) and 20 women (control). ||Data available on 23 women (intervention) and 27 women (control). ¶Data available on 24 women (intervention) and 27 women (control).

**Table 2:** Group differences in anthropometric and blood glucose measurements from baseline to 6-months post-intervention\*

	Intervention n=20			Control n=25			Intervention effect~	
	Baseline	6-months post- intervention	Change	Baseline	6-months post- intervention	Change	Estimate (95%CI)	P Value (2 tailed)
<b>Weight (kg) †</b>	91.6 (17.3)	87.7 (17.8)	-3.9 (7.0)	90.6 (16.4)	91.2 (17.8)	0.7(3.9)	-4.5(-8.1;-0.9)	0.02
<b>BMI (kg/m<sup>2</sup>) ‡</b>	34.8 (6.7)	33.4 (6.9)	-1.4 (2.7)	33.5 (5.6)	33.8 (6.2)	0.2 (1.4)	-1.6(-3.0;-0.2)	0.03
<b>Waist Circumference (cm) §</b>	106.2 (10.1)	103.3 (12.0)	-2.9 (6.7)	106.8 (13.3)	108.4 (12.4)	1.7 (5.3)	-4.5 (-8.4;-0.7)	0.02
<b>Hip Circumference (cm) §</b>	117.9 (13.8)	115.8 (14.4)	-2.1 (6.3)	115.9 (11.0)	114.9 (10.6)	-1.0 (4.5)	-1.2(-4.7;2.4)	0.51
<b>Fat mass (kg) §</b>	41.0 (12.8)	38.4 (14.5)	-2.6 (7.5)	37.9 (11.5)	38.0 (12.7)	0.1 (5.7)	-2.7 (-6.9;1.6)	0.22

<b>Fat free mass (kg) §</b>	51.5 (4.9)	50.4 (6.9)	-1.0 (6.1)	51.7 (6.9)	51.9 (6.4)	0.1 (5.4)	-1.2 (-4.8;2.5)	0.52
<b>Fasting Plasma Glucose (mmol/l) ¶</b>	5.1 (0.5)	5.3 (0.9)	0.2 (0.5)	5.0 (0.4)	5.1 (0.5)	0.1 (0.4)	0.1 (-0.2;0.4)	0.49
<b>2h Plasma Glucose post OGTT (mmol/l) ¶¶</b>	5.9 (1.8)	6.3 (2.5)	0.4 (1.8)	5.7 (1.4)	5.6 (0.9)	-0.1 (0.9)	0.5 (-0.4;1.4)	0.27
<b>Fasting serum Insulin (mU/L) #</b>	14.9 (8.1)	15.5 (7.5)	0.6 (4.8)	10.1 (4.1)	14.5 (8.1)	4.4 (7.0)	-1.8 (-6.3;2.7)	0.43

OGTT Oral Glucose Tolerance Test. \*Data are mean (standard deviation). †Data available on 20 women (intervention) and 25 women (control).

‡Data available on 20 women (intervention) and 24 women (control). §Data available on 19 women (intervention) and 22 women (control).

¶Data available on 19 women (intervention) and 21 women (control). ¶¶Data available on 16 women (intervention) and 21 women (control).

#Data available on 19 women (intervention) and 20 women (control). ~From independent samples t-test on changes (anthropometric data only)

or analysis of covariance of 6 month results with baseline as covariate

**Table 3.** Results from the SF-12 questionnaire and the Exercise Self-efficacy questionnaire at six months in the intervention and control groups

	Intervention n=20			Control n=25			Intervention effect~	
	Baseline	6-months post- intervention	Change	Baseline	6-months post- intervention	Change	Estimate (95%CI)	P Value (2 tailed)
<b>SF-12</b>								
General health*	51.6 (20.1)	46.1 (21.8)	-5.5 (25.3)	57.5 (23.3)	55.2 (24.6)	-2.3 (22.3)	-6.3 (-19.5; 7.0)	0.35
Mental health†	56.9 (18.8)	55.0 (10.3)	-1.9 (16.4)	60.7 (10.9)	57.1 (12.4)	-3.8 (13.8)	-0.9 (-7.6; 5.8)	0.79
Physical functioning‡	85.0 (22.1)	94.1 (10.2)	9.1 (18.9)	86.4 (21.4)	79.3 (23.0)	-7.0 (22.6)	15.3 (5.1; 25.5)	0.004
Bodily pain§	55.4 (31.3)	5.4 (10.6)	-50.0 (31.0)	57.7 (27.7)	36.5 (37.7)	-21.2 (38.0)	-30.6 (-51.9; -9.3)	0.007
Vitality	45.8 (23.1)	50.0 (21.0)	4.2 (32.4)	51.1 (17.6)	52.2 (21.2)	1.1 (26.6)	-2.2 (-15.9; 11.6)	0.75
Role emotional ¶	84.2 (19.9)	82.2 (22.9)	-2.0 (23.7)	85.3 (21.5)	88.0 (21.1)	2.7 (21.3)	-5.3 (-17.7; 7.2)	0.40
Social functioning¶	86.8 (22.6)	81.6 (27.4)	-5.3 (28.5)	82.6 (23.2)	81.5 (28.4)	-1.1 (33.3)	-1.3 (-18.5;15.9)	0.88

Role physical #	71.7 (23.5)	85.5 (15.7)	13.8 (26.6)	78.0 (25.3)	82.5 (25.5)	4.5 (28.6)	4.6 (-8.5; 17.8)	0.48
<b>Exercise self- efficacy</b>								
Sticking to it #	3.4 (0.8)	3.4 (0.8)	-0.1 (0.6)	3.3 (0.6)	2.9 (0.8)	-0.4 (0.8)	0.4 (0.0; 0.8)	0.07
Making time to exercise #	3.7 (0.7)	3.5 (0.7)	-0.3 (0.9)	3.6 (0.7)	3.3 (0.8)	-0.3 (0.9)	0.1 (-0.3; 0.6)	0.52

Data are mean (SD). \*Data available on 19 women (intervention) and 24 women (control). †Data available on 20 women (intervention) and 23 women (control). ‡Data available on 20 women (intervention) and 22 women (control). §Data available on 14 women (intervention) and 13 women (control). ||Data available on 18 women (intervention) and 23 women (control). ¶Data available on 19 women (intervention) and 23 women (control). #Data available on 19 women (intervention) and 25 women (control). ~From analysis of covariance of 6 month results with baseline as covariate.