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ABSTRACT
Geopolymer and Alkali-Activated Binders (AAB) has recently emerged as a new, green material with the potential to replace Portland cement in several applications. They can reduce the CO₂ footprint of concrete by up to 80% and this is in addition to being more durable in certain aggressive environments. However, commercial alkaline activators contribute significantly to the cost and CO₂ footprint of AAB concrete mixes. This research investigated the production of a low cost, low environmental impact sodium silicate solution (waterglass) from Rice Husk Ash (RHA) and more specifically RHA from Vietnam. A hydrothermal process for the dissolution of RHA in sodium hydroxide solution was developed. Sodium hydroxide solution concentration, process temperature and duration were studied. Optimised procedure parameters were found to be: NaOH concentration 3M, heating temperature 80 °C and heating duration 3h. The obtained solution was used for the production of AAB mortar made with a blend of fly ash and ground granulated blast furnace slag. Obtained compressive strength of mortar was in the range of 60 MPa at 28 days, matching the strength obtained from control samples produced with commercially available activators. Microstructural investigation (isothermal calorimetry, infrared spectroscopy, X-ray diffraction and thermogravimetric analysis) on pastes confirmed the equivalence between the solution produced with the optimised method and commercially available options. Cost analysis indicated that the proposed method could allow a reduction of almost 55% of the cost for the activation of AAB. Results from a simplified preliminary environmental analysis suggested increased sustainability of the RHA-derived solution when compared with commercially available waterglass.
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1 INTRODUCTION

1.1 Background

Concrete is one of the most common building materials used in the construction of buildings, bridges and infrastructure across the world. However, the production of Portland Cement (PC) has already been recognised as a cause of significant environmental threats as it is responsible for 8% of anthropogenic CO$_2$ emissions worldwide (Mehta, 2002; Olivier et al., 2015; Provis, 2014). In order to reduce the CO$_2$ emissions, geopolymers or alkali-activated binders (AAB) are considered to offer an alternative to PC for concrete production. These concretes offer a number of benefits over conventional PC concrete including significantly lower CO$_2$ emissions (up to 80%) than PC concrete (Davidovits, 2002). Alkali-activated slag concrete has 73% lower greenhouse gas emissions (Davidovits, 1994), 43% less energy consumption and uses 25% less water than conventional Portland cement concrete (Jiang et al., 2014). Additional benefits include (a) better thermal insulation properties; (b) higher fire resistance and (c) improved durability (Palomo et al., 1999; Roy, 1999; Schneider et al., 2011; Soutsos et al., 2015).

Alkali Activated Binders (AAB) are produced from an alumino-silicate source containing vitreous silica and alumina components, such as ground granulated blast furnace slag (GGBS), metakaolin, fly ash (FA). The precursor material is mixed with an alkaline activator, which promotes the dissolution of the silicate and aluminate species and their consequent polycondensation to form a binder with good mechanical performance (Shi et al., 2006; Duxson et al., 2007). Sodium silicate has been extensively used as an alkaline activator in AAB and geopolymer concrete. It generally results in the highest mechanical strength development along with a reduced permeability, which is associated with a stable and dense structure. However, the use of sodium silicate markedly increases the embodied energy and CO$_2$ emissions associated with AABs, as a consequence of its manufacturing process. This typically involves the calcination of sodium carbonate (Na$_2$CO$_3$) and quartz sand (SiO$_2$) at temperatures between 1400 and 1500 °C (Shi et al., 2006; Davidovits, 2011), generating CO$_2$ from the decomposition of Na$_2$CO$_3$ and from the fuel used to reach these high temperatures (Fawer et al., 1999). The process is also expensive due to its energy consumption. The development of alternative activators with reduced CO$_2$ footprint and thus better sustainability credentials when used for geopolymer concrete is therefore much desired and can lead to significant reduction in the global warming potential of alkali activated binders (Passuello et al., 2017; Torres-Carrasco et al., 2015; Torres-Carrasco and Puertas, 2015).

In 2016 the worldwide rice and paddy production was over 952 million tonnes (FAOSTAT, 2018). Rice husk is an agricultural waste material. The rice husk is the outer cover of the rice and on average it accounts for 20% of the paddy produced. Annual husk output is about 190 million tonnes. Rice husk has various applications in different industries, e.g. (a) as an industrial fuel for processing paddy and generation of process steam in power plants (1 tonne of rice husk is required for producing 1 MWh of electricity); (b) as a fertilizer and substrate or pet food fibre; (c) as an ingredient for the preparation of
activated carbon or substrate for silica and silicon compound production; and (d) as raw material for brick production (Kumar et al., 2013; Natarajan et al., 1998). The largest national rice producers (China, India, Thailand, Cambodia) use rice husk as a renewable energy resource for power generation from biomass (Kapur et al., 1996; Bhattacharyya, 2014). Vietnam generates approximately 8.7 million tonnes of rice husk per year as a by-product from rice milling (FAOSTAT, 2018).

Rice husk in Vietnam is currently burnt in simple incinerators for resident energy, industrial steam and thermal power plant. If all the rice husk was used for electricity generation, it would produce approximately 8.7 billion kWh of electricity and 1.7 million tonnes of rice husk ash (RHA) per year. This RHA is rich in silica (approximately 90%), similarly to silica fume and glass, mostly in amorphous form (Van et al., 2013).

RHA has been extensively investigated as a potential source of silicates. Several processes have been described in the literature (Chandrasekhar et al., 2003; Foletto et al., 2006; Ma et al., 2012; Ghosh and Bhattacherjee, 2013; Sjahrul et al., 2013; Cui et al., 2015; Liu et al., 2016), but a complete review of these is outside the scope of this paper.

There exist only a relatively small number of studies on the utilisation of RHA as a precursor for the production of AAB. RHA was blended with other precursors such as fly ash (Detphan and Chindaprasirt, 2009; Hwang and Huynh, 2015; Ziegler et al., 2016), calcined water treatment sludge (Nimwinya et al., 2016), slag and palm oil fuel ash (Karim et al., 2013), slag and fly ash (Karim et al., 2015), slag (Suksiripattanapong et al., 2017), or red mud (He et al., 2013). Research results confirmed the reactivity of RHA in an alkaline environment as a Si donor.

More recently, RHA has been investigated as a silica source for the production of sodium silicates, which are typically used for the alkali activation of geopolymers and AAB. Research focussed mainly on the use of RHA-based silicate solutions for the activation of metakaolin, either neat metakaolin (Kamseu et al., 2017; Tchakouté et al., 2016a, 2016b) or metakaolin blended with other precursors such as slag (Bernal et al., 2012), water treatment sludge (Geraldo et al., 2017), or fly ash (Mejía et al., 2016). Only few publications investigated the use of RHA-based sodium silicate solutions for the alkaline activation of other precursors, such as fluid catalytic cracking catalyst (Bouzón et al., 2014), slag (Bernal et al, 2015), or fly ash/slag blends (Mejía et al., 2013). Details from the literature on RHA utilisation for the production of sodium silicates for activation of AAB are given in Table 1.

Results from the studies listed in Table 1 confirmed the general suitability of RHA as source of silicate for the production of silicate solutions. Compressive strengths were found to be influenced by the silicate content of the solutions and by the precursor used for producing the binder. Metakaolin-based AAB gave strengths comparable with the control mixes, whilst slag-based AABs gave higher strengths (Bernal et al., 2015).
### Table 1 Literature available on the utilisation of RHA for the production of silicate solutions for the activation of AAB.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Reference</th>
<th>AAB precursor</th>
<th>Extraction technique</th>
<th>Process parameters</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Kamseu et al., 2017</td>
<td>Metakaolin</td>
<td>Dissolution in NaOH solution at room temperature</td>
<td>RHA ground to $&lt; 45 \mu m$ and dissolved in NaOH solution having different concentrations (8, 10 and 12 M) for a minimum of 24 h.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Tchakouté et al., 2016a</td>
<td>Metakaolin</td>
<td>Dissolution in NaOH solution at 80 °C</td>
<td>Different ratios of solid NaOH and RHA powder mixed in 200 ml of distilled water for 2 h at 80 °C. Obtained solutions stored at room temperature for at least 24 h before use.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Tchakouté et al., 2016b</td>
<td>Metakaolin</td>
<td>Dissolution in NaOH solution at 100 °C</td>
<td>NaOH pellets and RHA powder mixed with a 200 ml of distilled water for 2 h at 100 °C. Obtained solutions stored at room temperature for at least 7 days before use.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Bernal et al., 2012</td>
<td>Metakaolin/ slag blends</td>
<td>Dissolution in NaOH solution at room temperature</td>
<td>RHA particle size distribution between 1 and 60 µm with a $d_{50}$ of 9.2 µm. RHA and aqueous solution of NaOH mixed for 10 min, then kept at 25 °C for 24 h before use.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Geraldo et al., 2017</td>
<td>Metakaolin/ water treatment sludge</td>
<td>Dissolution in NaOH solution at 90 °C</td>
<td>RHA and aqueous solution of NaOH mixed for 30 min at 90 °C ± 5 °C, then stored at room temperature before use.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Mejía et al., 2016</td>
<td>Metakaolin/ fly ash</td>
<td>Dissolution in NaOH solution at room temperature</td>
<td>RHA ground to particle size of 5 µm. Dissolved in NaOH solution under stirring for 24 h at room temperature.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Bouzón et al., 2014</td>
<td>fluid catalytic cracking catalyst</td>
<td>Dissolution in NaOH solution at boiling temperature with reflux</td>
<td>RHA ground to particle size of 20.3 µm. 3 g of NaOH and 2.9 g of RHA dissolved in 10 ml of deionised water, which was boiled in a reflux system for different times (5–240 min).</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Bernal et al., 2015</td>
<td>Slag</td>
<td>Dissolution in NaOH solution at room temperature</td>
<td>Particle size distribution between 1 and 60 µm with a $d_{50}$ of 9.2 µm. RHA and aqueous solution of NaOH mixed for 10 min, then kept at 25 C for 24 h before use.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Mejía et al., 2013</td>
<td>fly ash/slag</td>
<td>Dissolution in NaOH solution at room temperature</td>
<td>Particle size distribution with $d_{50}$ of 36.9 - 39.5 µm. RHA and aqueous solution of NaOH kept at room temperature for 24 h before use.</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

#### 1.2 Research Significance

The dissolution of RHA in NaOH solution, which is a hydrothermal method, is a well-known extraction technique. However, its use for the production of sodium silicate which in turn is to be used for the activation of AAB requires further research in that:

- Mejía et al. (2013) presented results on the complete substitution of commercial sodium silicate with RHA-based solution for the activation of 100% fly ash, 100% slag and blended (50/50) fly ash/slag –based AABs. Compressive strengths lower than the control mixes were obtained. It was suggested that this was because of the presence of crystalline SiO$_2$ in RHA and therefore reduced availability of silicates for the polymerisation reaction. However, the microstructural analysis was carried out only on samples produced with RHA with a low amorphous content, whereas the strength reduction was also observed on samples prepared with almost fully
amorphous RHA. The use of RHA-based sodium silicate solutions for the activation of fly ash/slag blended binders requires therefore further investigation.

- Parameters influencing the efficiency of the hydrothermal method have been investigated but the optimum conditions for RHA dissolution (i.e., process temperature and duration, NaOH solution concentration) have not yet been confirmed, see Table 1. Further studies are therefore required.

- RHA can offer an alternative to the commercial solution with the potential advantage of lower cost and lower environmental impact, as has been suggested in the literature (Passuello et al., 2017; Torres-Carrasco et al., 2015; Torres-Carrasco and Puertas, 2015). Some further economic and environmental analysis, even at a preliminary level, would strengthen the case for converting a waste into an added value product for either local use or for export to provide a valuable income stream.

This paper presents findings from an investigation aimed at optimising the hydrothermal process for the production of RHA-based silicate solution. Parameters such as the concentration of the sodium hydroxide solution, process temperature and duration were investigated for minimizing energy consumption and low carbon footprint. Figure 1 shows the comparison between close vessel process for sodium silicate production and the proposed method for the production of RHA-based silicate solution. The obtained RHA-based sodium silicates were used in conjunction with NaOH solution for activating a blend of 60% fly ash and 40% GGBS. Mortar samples were used for compressive strength measurements and these were compared with a control mix with commercially available sodium silicate. Paste samples were used for microstructural characterisation. The aim was to compare the efficiency of the RHA-derived silicate solution to commercially available waterglass. A preliminary cost analysis was carried out for assessing the economic sustainability of the process. A simplified environmental analysis was also carried out for assessing the benefits in terms of environmental impact of the proposed RHA-based sodium silicate when compared with commercially available option.
Figure 1 Comparison between (a) close vessel process for sodium silicate; (b) proposed method for the production of RHA-based sodium silicate solution.

2 MATERIALS AND EXPERIMENTAL PROCEDURES

2.1 Rice Husk Ash (RHA)

The RHA used in this study was obtained by burning rice husk in a modified incinerator available at the National University of Civil Engineering (Hanoi, Vietnam), see Figure 2. The working principle of the incinerator replicates the equipment developed by Shuichi Sugita (Sugita, 1994; Van, 2013). The method consists of carbonisation at about 300 – 400 °C and incineration at about 600 – 800 °C. The duration of the process depends on the mass of processed rice husk, ranging from 10 hours for about 800 g of rice husk to about 72 hours when a mass of 500 kg of rice husk is to be processed (Sugita, 1994). Times and temperatures are intended for maximising the amorphous content of the RHA, as higher temperature and shorter times would induce the formation of crystalline SiO₂ (Fernandes et al., 2017; Ananthi et al., 2016; Bhattacharyya, 2014; Chandrasekhar et al., 2003; Bui, 2001). Amorphous RHA with a SiO₂ content > 95% is available on the market and therefore the industrial upscale of the proposed sodium silicate production method is possible.
The RHA was then ground at 300 rpm in a laboratory ball mill Retsch PM400 for achieving a mean particle size ($d_{50}$) between 5 and 10 µm, as per literature suggestions (see Table 1). The rationale behind this choice was that the finer the RHA powder, the higher the specific area is, benefitting the reaction kinetics. Particle size distributions of ground RHA were determined with a laser diffraction particle size analyser and are shown in Figure 3. Milling times of 15 and 30 minutes were sufficient for achieving the desired fineness. The actual values of mean particle diameter ($d_{50}$) obtained after grinding for 15 and 30 minutes were 6.82 and 6.02 µm respectively, whereas initial $d_{50}$ (i.e., before milling) was 95.87 µm. The specific surface areas were determined by BET nitrogen absorption method, and the results were 30.0 and 29.0 m$^2$/g for 15 and 30 min milling time respectively. Results showed that 15 minutes of grinding were sufficient and further grinding did not significantly increase the specific surface area nor
the fineness of the powder. RHA samples ground for 15 min were therefore used for the subsequent experiments.

The obtained RHA had high silica content, as the sample had more than 90% of SiO₂ and low carbon content, see Table 2 (minor oxides are not included). X-ray diffraction (XRD) analysis (Figure 4) showed that the investigated RHA mainly consisted of a large quantity of amorphous phases and minor presence of crystalline SiO₂, presumably formed during the incineration phase at temperatures in the range 600 – 800 °C. The amorphous content was not determined with analytical analysis, but the diffused halo observed in the diffractogram between 15° and 30° 2θ suggested that almost all the sample was amorphous, as commonly found in the literature.

The amorphous content depends on the incineration process parameters. A lower amorphous content would adversely affect the RHA dissolution, as the crystalline structure of SiO₂ would be more difficult to break under the hydrothermal conditions. The degree of crystallinity of RHA is a limiting factor that could significantly affect the process parameters.

Table 2 Chemical compositions of FA, GGBS and RHA

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Chemical composition</th>
<th>CaO</th>
<th>SiO₂</th>
<th>Al₂O₃</th>
<th>MgO</th>
<th>SO₃</th>
<th>K₂O</th>
<th>TiO₂</th>
<th>Fe₂O₃</th>
<th>Na₂O</th>
<th>L.O.I</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>RHA</td>
<td>0.9</td>
<td>90.5</td>
<td>0.3</td>
<td>0.4</td>
<td>0.4</td>
<td>2.0</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>0.2</td>
<td>0.1</td>
<td>3.8</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>FA</td>
<td>2.2</td>
<td>46.8</td>
<td>22.5</td>
<td>1.3</td>
<td>0.9</td>
<td>4.1</td>
<td>1.1</td>
<td>9.2</td>
<td>0.9</td>
<td>3.6</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>GGBS</td>
<td>43.7</td>
<td>29.4</td>
<td>11.2</td>
<td>6.9</td>
<td>1.8</td>
<td>0.9</td>
<td>0.7</td>
<td>0.4</td>
<td>1.0</td>
<td>2.4</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Figure 3 Particle size distributions of RHA before (RHA-0) and after (RHA-15 and RHA-30) grinding. Numbers indicate the grinding times in minutes.
2.2 Production of sodium silicate solution from RHA
Sodium silicate solution was produced by a hydrothermal method, dissolving RHA powder into NaOH solution heated and kept under magnetic stirring. The well-known factors influencing the hydrothermal process were investigated in the following ranges:

- Heating temperature: 5 temperatures (room temperature, 60, 80, 90 and 100 °C).
- Heating time: 5 durations (1, 3, 5, 7 and 15 hours of heating).
- NaOH concentration: 5 concentrations (1.0, 2.0, 3.2, 4.9, and 6.5 M) were used; obtained by dissolving increasing amount of NaOH in water.

The investigated ranges were based on the available information in the literature as shown in Table 1. The efficiency of the dissolution process was evaluated by assessing the percentage of dissolved SiO$_2$ compared to the estimated quantity initially available in the RHA. This indicator was expressed as a mass ratio of dissolved SiO$_2$ over the total SiO$_2$ available from RHA. The dissolved SiO$_2$ was determined according to the Vietnamese standard 64TCN 38: 1986, which involves the following steps:

- The RHA sludge resulting from the hydrothermal process was filtered and thoroughly washed with a 50/50 mixture of distilled water and ethanol.
- The obtained clear solution was neutralised with HCl solution 1% conc.
- The precipitate (i.e. pure SiO$_2$) was filtered and washed on a filter paper. The precipitate was washed by deionized water several times and then both filter paper and precipitate were calcined in an oven at 500 °C for removing (dehydrate) all the chemically-bound water, until no mass change was observed (about 5 hours).
- The mass of pure SiO$_2$, dissolved from RHA, was weighted, and the yield of silica ratio was determined according to the following equation:

$$\text{Yield of silica} = \frac{\text{weight of silica obtained}}{\text{weight of silica in RHA}} \times 100$$

(Eq. 1)

### 2.3 Binder and commercial activators

The binder used in this study was a mixture consisting of 60% class F fly ash (FA, supplied by Power Minerals Ltd., UK) and 40% ground granulated blast furnace slag (GGBS, supplied by Civil and Marine Ltd., Hanson group, UK) by weight. Average particle sizes ($d_{50}$) were 16.8 and 14.4 µm for FA and GGBS respectively, whilst particle densities were 2.42 and 2.92 g/cm$^3$ for FA and GGBS respectively. Chemical compositions of FA and GGBS were determined by XRF analysis and are shown in Table 2. The alkaline coefficients of the GGBS (Pal et al., 2003) were calculated as:

$$K = \frac{CaO + MgO + Al_2O_3}{SiO_2} = 2.1$$

(Eq. 2)

$$K_b = \frac{CaO + MgO}{SiO_2 + Al_2O_3} = 1.25$$

(Eq. 3)

With $K > 1.4$ and $K_b > 1.0$, the slag can be classified as neutral slag with good hydraulic properties (Pal et al., 2003). The total SiO$_2$+ Al$_2$O$_3$+ Fe$_2$O$_3$ content in FA was 78.5%, higher than the minimum of 70% required for Class F according to ASTM C618 (2008). Mineralogical compositions of FA and GGBS were determined with XRD analysis, indicating that the main crystalline phases for FA were quartz and mullite, whilst the amorphous content was 86%, whereas GGBS was almost entirely in amorphous state, with minor presence of akermanite and gehlenite. Results are shown in Figure 4.

The analysis of the effect of variation of chemical composition of FA, GGBS and RHA was outside the scope of this study. No major deviations from the obtained results would be expected when materials with similar properties (i.e. class F fly ash and neutral slag) are used. Previous works suggested that the reactivity of FA is influenced mainly by average grain size, amorphous content and loss on ignition (Soutsos et al., 2016).

Sodium hydroxide used in this study was a commercial grade of 99% purity. NaOH solution with the required concentration was prepared by dissolving NaOH pellets in tap water and then leaving the solution to cool down for at least 24 hours before use. A commercially available sodium silicate solution (with Na$_2$O = 12.8%, SiO$_2$ = 25.5%, H$_2$O = 61.7%) was used as a control activator solution, and this was supplied by Fisher Scientific UK. Tap water and natural sand (graded 0/4 mm, grain density 2.69 g/cm$^3$) were used for the mortar mixes.

### 2.4 Paste and mortar mixes

Activator dosage was determined according to two parameters: Alkali Dosage (M$^+$) and Alkali Modulus (AM). M$^+$ is the mass percentage of Na$_2$O in the activation solution to the total binder content. AM is the mass ratio of Na$_2$O to SiO$_2$ in the activation solution. M$^+$ and AM used were 7.5% and 1.0
respectively based on previous work (Rafeet, 2016). The Water/Solid ratio (W/S) is the mass ratio of water (including free water plus water in activating solutions) over the mass of binder and added solid chemical activator (Na$_2$O and SiO$_2$). This ratio was kept constant at 0.37 for all the samples. Mortar mix ratios are shown in Table 3, whilst mix proportions in kg/m$^3$ for RHA-derived mortars and control mortar mixes produced with commercially available activators are shown in Table 4. Samples “Control 1” were produced with M+ 7.5% and AM = 1.0 using commercially available sodium hydroxide solution and sodium silicate solution, whilst samples “Control 2” were produced using only NaOH (i.e., AM = $\infty$), in order to determine the lower threshold, i.e., no silicate in the mix. Pastes were produced with the same proportions as the mortars but without sand.

### Table 3 Mix ratios for mortars

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Ratio</th>
<th>Value</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Binder composition (FA:GGBS)</td>
<td>60:40</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>AM = Na$_2$O/ SiO$_2$</td>
<td>1.0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>M+ = Na$_2$O/ Binder (%)</td>
<td>7.5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Sand/ Binder</td>
<td>2.75</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>W/S</td>
<td>0.37</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

### Table 4 Mix proportions for mortars

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Constituent</th>
<th>RHA-derived sol.</th>
<th>Control 1</th>
<th>Control 2</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>FA (kg/m$^3$)</td>
<td>325.8</td>
<td>320.9</td>
<td>329.4</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>GGBS (kg/m$^3$)</td>
<td>217.2</td>
<td>213.9</td>
<td>219.6</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Sand (kg/m$^3$)</td>
<td>1493.1</td>
<td>1470.8</td>
<td>1509.6</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>RHA-derived solution (kg/m$^3$)</td>
<td>195.6</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Sodium silicate solution (kg/m$^3$)</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>157.3</td>
<td>-</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>NaOH 30% solution (kg/m$^3$)</td>
<td>118.7</td>
<td>85.9</td>
<td>177.1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Water (kg/m$^3$)</td>
<td>16.3</td>
<td>70.4</td>
<td>94.4</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

2.5 Mixing, casting and curing procedures

Pastes and mortars were mixed in a planetary mixer, according to the following mixing procedure (Rafeet, 2016): (a) FA and GGBS were initially blended manually to ensure the homogeneity of the binder; (b) sand was added to the mix and this was dry mixed for one minute; (c) water, sodium hydroxide solution and sodium silicate solution were mixed together and added to the dry constituents and mixed for a further 5 minutes.

The wet mix was cast in 50x50x50 mm PVC moulds, covered by a plastic film to prevent evaporation, and then cured at room temperature. Samples were demoulded after 24 hours, labelled and then put back
until testing into a controlled temperature curing room (t=20±2 °C) with a relative humidity (fixed by the chamber conditions) of 50±5%.

2.6 Cube compressive strength and setting time determination
Compressive strength was tested at 1, 7 and 28 days. A first set of tests aimed at assessing the effect of different parameters involved in sodium silicate solution production on the mortar cube compressive strength. Two 50 mm cube specimens for each mix were crushed at each testing age for this set. A second set of tests aimed at comparing the mechanical properties of samples mixed with RHA-derived silicate solution with the mechanical properties of samples mixed with commercially available chemicals. Three 50 mm cube specimens for each mix at each testing age were tested in this second set of experiments. The loading rate was set at 0.6 N/(mm²·s) according to BS EN 12390-3:2009.

The initial and final setting time of mortar mixes were determined by probe penetration resistance according to ASTM standard C403M-08.

2.7 Heat of hydration, chemical and microstructural investigations
A TAM Air isothermal calorimeter (IC) was used to determine the heat of hydration of pastes activated with different solutions. 3±0.01 g of blended GGBS and FA powders were mixed with the required amount of alkaline activator solutions into capped glass vials and then lowered into the machine which was set at 20 °C.

Microstructural characterisation was carried out on pastes by X-ray diffraction (XRD), thermogravimetric analysis (TG/TGA) and Fourier transform infrared spectroscopy (FTIR). XRD tests were carried out using pure copper-K-Alpha 1 radiation with wavelength 1.54 Å. The X-ray generator was set to 40 kV and 40 mA, the recorded angular range was 5 to 70° (2θ) with a step close to 0.017°.

Samples for microstructural characterisation were obtained by hand grinding fragments from crushed paste samples in a mortar with a pestle. These were then stored in airtight plastic bags and in a glass vacuum desiccator prior to testing.

3 RESULTS AND DISCUSSION
Heat duration and temperature, and NaOH concentration were investigated as main factors affecting the silicate dissolution from RHA. The investigation also assessed the effects of the RHA-based sodium silicate solution (RHA sol.) on the setting time, mechanical strength, as well as microstructural characteristics such as the reaction products of the alkali activated paste and mortar. As mentioned in Section 2.4, these results were compared to two mortar mixes prepared with commercially available activators, “Control 1” and “Control 2”, which were produced with commercially available sodium hydroxide solution and sodium silicate solution, and using only NaOH, respectively.

3.1 Optimum procedure for RHA-derived sodium silicate solution production
The influence of production parameters on the dissolution of silicates was investigated with the aim of obtaining a high SiO₂ content. The obtained solutions were then used to produce the mortar with mix
proportions as shown in Table 4. It was assumed that the solution containing the highest SiO$_2$ content would give the highest strength when used for activating the binder.

3.1.1 Effect of NaOH concentration.

The effect of the concentration of NaOH solution on the dissolution rate of SiO$_2$ was investigated through the production of 5 solutions with NaOH concentrations varying from 1 to 6.5 M kept stirred at 80 °C for 3 hours. The dissolution rate of SiO$_2$ increased with the increase of the NaOH concentration in the solution. However, Figure 5 shows that there was no significant increase in the yield of silica when the NaOH concentration was higher than 2 - 3 M, which already led to 95% of silica dissolution.

![Figure 5](image)

**Figure 5** Effect of NaOH solution concentration on the yield of silica and mortar compressive strength. Yield of silica was measured on the solution and therefore is not a time-dependent factor.

Concentrations lower than 2 M (i.e. lower pH of the solution) were presumably not suitable for obtaining the maximum SiO$_2$ dissolution. The reduction of the final compressive strength of mortar samples when NaOH concentration was lower than 2 M indicates that the pH value of these solutions may entail changes in the degree of polymerisation of the dissolved chemical species (Torres-Carrasco and Puertas, 2015).

3.1.2 Effect of heating temperature and process duration.

In order to investigate the effect of heating temperature and heating time on the dissolution rate of SiO$_2$, 316 g of NaOH solution with concentration 3.0 M and 100 g of RHA were stirred for 3 hours at different
temperatures, i.e. 60, 80, 90, and 100 °C. The yield of silica increased with the increase of the temperature from 60 to 80 °C, but no further significant increases were recorded for higher process temperatures, see Figure 6a. 7-day compressive strength for heating at 90 °C seemed not to follow the trend, but 28-day results was as expected.

The temperature of 80 °C was then chosen in order to investigate the effect of heating duration. Both mortar cube strength and yield of silica results indicated that there was an increase in SiO\textsubscript{2} dissolution (associated with an increase in compressive strength) from 1 to 3 h of heating duration, whilst the optimum seemed to be reached between 5 and 7 hours. However, the gain in SiO\textsubscript{2} dissolution and in compressive strength for longer heating durations seemed not enough to justify a process time longer than 3 hours, see Figure 6b.

**Figure 6** Effect of (a) heating temperature and (b) heating time on the yield of silica and mortar compressive strength.

Obtained results seemed to indicate that the optimal process parameters for the production of RHA - derived sodium silicate solution were: concentration of NaOH solution of about 3 M and heating temperature of 80 °C for 3 hours. A marked reduction in the NaOH content of the dissolving solution was achieved when compared to available literature (Bouzón et al., 2014, Tchakouté et al., 2016a), with obvious positive impacts on economic and environmental performances.
3.2 Efficiency of RHA-derived sodium silicate solution

The assessment of the effects of the factors involved in the production of silicate solution allowed the selection of a set of parameters leading to the best compromise. High silica yield was obtained with reasonable process duration and temperature and low amount of NaOH in solution.

The efficiency of the developed RHA-derived solution in activating GGBS/FA binder was assessed against the commercially available activators. Results from setting time and compressive strength of mortar prepared with the RHA-derived solution were compared with results obtained with mortars prepared with commercially available activators: “Control 1” was mixed using sodium hydroxide solution and sodium silicate solution to match the dosage M+ 7.5% and AM = 1.0, whilst “Control 2” was produced without sodium silicate (i.e., AM = ∞). These were also used for comparing the microstructure of pastes with IC, TGA, XRD, and FTIR analysis.

3.2.1 Setting time

Initial and final setting times of mortars mixed with RHA-derived and commercially available chemicals are shown in Figure 7. Both initial and final setting times of the mortars with RHA-derived solution were shorter than those of the commercial solution. This seemed to indicate that the silicates in the RHA-derived solution are more readily available for the reaction, confirming the high activation potential of RHA-derived sodium silicates. As expected, neat NaOH activation (i.e., Control 2 mix) resulted in longer setting times as no silicates were available in the solution and the reaction was therefore slower.
3.2.2 Compressive strength

The compressive strength development of mortars activated with RHA-derived waterglass, Control 1 and Control 2 mixes are shown in Figure 8.

The compressive strength values of mortar samples prepared with RHA-derived waterglass were overlapping those obtained with mortar Control 1 prepared with the commercial activators, confirming that the amount and the reactivity of dissolved silicates in the RHA-derived solution were similar to the commercial sodium silicate solution. The obtained strengths were also much higher than those of the mortar activated with NaOH only (i.e. Control 2, no SiO₂). The results obtained confirmed that the activation potential of RHA-derived sodium silicate was equivalent to that of the commercially available waterglass, with the former potentially leading to lower cost and better environmental performance than the latter. Results on compressive strength are consistent with literature on metakaolin-based and slag-based AABs (Bernal et al., 2015). Previous results on fly ash/slag based AAB (Mejía et al., 2013), obtained dissolving RHA in NaOH solution at room temperature for 24 h, suggested that the strength of control samples was not achieved by RHA-based solution, which is in contrast with results from this study. A possible reason can be that room temperature dissolution did not resulted in the same silicate yield as the heated process, but no information on the amount of dissolved silica was given in the paper.
3.2.3 Heat of reaction – Isothermal calorimetry

The effect of RHA-derived solution on the rate of heat evolution of the alkaline activated pastes was determined with an isothermal calorimeter set at 20 °C. Figure 9a shows the heat output of the investigated pastes, where three peaks can be identified. The first one developed after about 1 hour, with a marked difference between the sample activated with NaOH only (Control 2) and samples activated with waterglass (Control 1). This peak is presumably due to the dissolution of Si and Al from the precursor materials. NaOH only activation showed a higher peak, presumably due to the higher pH of the pore solution, but this reduced to below 2 mW/g very quickly, suggesting that only a partial dissolution was achieved. The second peak, developed between 80 and 90 minutes for samples prepared with waterglass, is probably due to the formation of calcium silicate hydrate gel, which is promoted by the availability of free Si species in the activating solution. The heat flows continued for a longer time and only reduced to below 2 mW/g after 2.5 hours. This second peak was instead delayed until about 3 hours for the NaOH solution only. The last peak developed over a broad hump after about 15 – 16 hours and was noticeable only for the RHA-derived waterglass-based sample. RHA-derived waterglass sample seemed to show a quicker heat development than the sample prepared with the commercial activators, i.e. the first two peaks appeared earlier, and this may explain the shorter initial and final setting times.
reported above. When the cumulative heat output was plotted against time, see Figure 9b, the total heat for both samples containing silicate solutions was found to be similar with the cumulative heat output for RHA derived solution being marginally higher (about 8-10%) than that for Control 1. This difference may be due to the broad hump discussed above, recorded after 15 – 16 hours, observed for RHA derived solution but not identified for Control 1. This hump can be due to some further dissolution of SiO₂ from RHA due to the development of favourable pore solution conditions, but this hypothesis needs further investigation. Paste produced with NaOH solution only developed a lower total heat.

![Figure 9](image)

**Figure 9** (a) Heat flow and (b) cumulative heat of pastes activated with RHA-derived and commercial alkaline solutions

3.2.4 TG/DTG of GGBS/FA blends.

Mass losses vs. heating temperature of the investigated alkaline activated paste samples are shown in Figure 10. Main mass losses occurred in the region 40 to 160 °C. The physically bound water can be removed at temperatures lower than 100 °C under low heating rate conditions. The dynamic heating applied during TG test may result in free water being removed at temperatures slightly higher than 100 °C, particularly if its presence is significant. The relatively large amount of water removed at temperatures higher than 100 °C observed in Figure 10 suggests that samples were not completely dried prior to testing. Thus, removal of physically and chemically bound water from the structure was observed. This observation is in agreement with the results of FT-IR analysis (see section 3.2.6). The mass loss calculated between 200 and 600 °C was 3.06% and 3.25% for samples activated with
commercial and RHA-derived solutions respectively, whilst it was 2.22% for the sample activated with NaOH only. These mass losses were attributed to the progressive dehydration of chemically bound water, i.e. water bound in the calcium silicate hydrate (C-S-H) gel. Samples prepared with RHA-derived silicate solution and commercially available waterglass showed approximately the same mass loss suggesting a similar content of C-S-H gel. Paste activated with NaOH only showed a lower mass loss, indicating therefore a lesser amount of C-S-H gel. This observation is consistent with the higher compressive strength obtained with samples containing waterglass. Total mass losses were calculated to be in the range of 20 – 25% at 7 days, whilst values at 28 days were in the range 15 – 20%. This difference was attributed to the fact that longer curing time allowed the sample to dry, as mass losses at 100 °C were lower for samples cured for 28 days, confirming that 7-day samples were not dried. Results are consistent with the literature (Tchakouté et al., 2016a, 2016b). Bernal et al. (2015) reported in their investigation on slag activated with alternative silicate solution (using silica fume and RHA) that similar amounts of reaction products were observed by TG analysis on slag binder produced with commercial and alternative activators.

Figure 10 Thermogravimetry curves for pastes activated with RHA-derived and commercial alkaline solutions at (a) 7 days and (b) 28 days.
3.2.5 XRD analysis.

The XRD patterns obtained for the three investigated samples at 7 and 28 days are shown in Figure 11. No crystalline reaction product was identified in the samples and this is due to the amorphous nature of the reaction products. The main peaks identified in all the samples were poorly ordered calcium silicate hydrate (C-S-H) type gel, quartz (SiO₂) and minor presence of mullite, these latter two resulting from fly ash. These findings are consistent with results previously reported for slag and fly ash activation in the literature (Bernal et al., 2013; Rafeet et al., 2014; Rafeet, 2016). The intensities of peaks associated with crystalline quartz and mullite in paste prepared with RHA-derived and commercial waterglass were lower than those in paste prepared with NaOH only (Control 2), but the intensity of C-S-H was higher, which is consistent with results from the TGA analysis. A lower intensity for crystalline phases was detected for 28-day cured samples, suggesting that the volume of C-H-S gel increased over time, which is in agreement with the increase in compressive strengths of 28-day old mortars when compared to their 7-day strength. The increase in C-S-H gel volume resulted in a dilution of the presence of quartz and mullite minerals.
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**Figure 11** XRD pattern for pastes activated with RHA-derived and commercial alkaline solutions at (a) 7 days and (b) 28 days.

3.2.6 FT-IR analysis.

Since XRD analysis did not allow the detection of amorphous reaction products, FTIR spectroscopy was used for investigating reacted pastes at 7 and 28 days. The band centred at 1080 cm⁻¹ in the unreacted fly ash sample is typically assigned to the asymmetric stretching vibration of X-O-X bond (X is tetrahedral Si or Al). In all tested samples (i.e. RHA-derived waterglass, Control 1 and Control 2) the X-O-X band shifted toward a lower wavenumber. The bands were centred at 948, 958 and 960 cm⁻¹ for Control 1, RHA-derived waterglass and Control 2 pastes respectively. These shifts are attributed to the development of amorphous structures where silicate species are partially substituted by aluminate species. Figure 12 shows a hump centred at around 3400 cm⁻¹ which is attributed to the stretching mode of H-OH groups, whilst the peak in the wavenumber position around 1700 cm⁻¹ is due to the bending
vibration of O-H of the hydrated reaction products. No significant difference was observed between samples prepared with RHA-derived silicate solution and commercially available waterglass, confirming that the reaction products are similar and thus the RHA-derived solution is suitable for alkali activation. Similar observations were reported by Bernal et al. (2012), where slight differences between samples activated with commercial chemicals and samples activated with RHA-based sodium silicate were ascribed to the presence of unreacted SiO₂ in RHA. Samples at 28 days seemed to show a smaller hump in the range 3400 cm⁻¹, which can be attributed to a lower moisture content of the material, which is in agreement with the results from TG and confirmed that samples were not completely dried when tested.

![FTIR spectra](image)

**Figure 12.** FTIR spectra for unreacted FA, GGBS and pastes activated with RHA-derived and commercial alkaline solutions at (a) 7 days and (b) 28 days.

### 4 COST ANALYSIS

A preliminary cost analysis was carried out in order to determine the economic benefit of using the RHA-derived waterglass instead of commercially available activators for the production of alkali activated concrete. The mix proportioning of concrete is a complex task that involves a large number of parameters and must take into account mix constituents as well as desired properties of the concrete.
When discussing the cost analysis of concrete, some simplifications are needed. Recent research on AAC suggested a range of binder content of 300 to 400 kg/m³ (Rafeet et al., 2017). An average of 350 kg/m³ was considered in this analysis.

Costs associated with the production of the RHA-derived waterglass are mainly: (a) the cost of RHA, (b) the cost of NaOH, (c) the cost of energy for heating the solution up to the process temperature (i.e., 80 °C) over the process duration (i.e., 3 hours).

For this preliminary analysis, transportation costs were not considered, i.e., it was assumed that RHA was available locally. However, even under the scenario of using RHA procured on the industrial market, transportation costs would not be included, for two reasons: (a) realistic and accurate information on the position of a possible production plant as well as on the origin of the materials would be needed. (b) Transportation costs should also be included in the analysis of the commercial sodium silicate solution option. Transportation costs would only offset the results (considering a similar origin for the procured goods) but would not significantly affect the ratio between the two options. Furthermore, transportation costs calculated per unit of SiO₂ would be higher for commercial silicate solution than for RHA, as only about 30 – 35% by mass of the solution is silica, whilst RHA is composed of about 90% of SiO₂. Not including transportation costs was therefore considered a conservative hypothesis.

Commercial website trading amorphous RHA powder with minimum SiO₂ content in the range of 90% to 95% (i.e. ash with similar properties as the RHA used in this study, without need for pre-treatments) gave price ranges from 100 to 225 £/t (USD 140 – 300 per tonne, FOB) for suppliers from South-East Asian region (Alibaba trading website, 2018a). A price equal to 150 £/t was considered suitable for the analysis, inclusive of any required pre-treatment.

The same trading website suggested cost of NaOH in the range 337 to 374 £/t, i.e. USD 450 – 500 per tonne (Alibaba trading website, 2018b), and cost for sodium silicate solution in a similar range (Alibaba trading website, 2018c). A price equal to 350 £/t was adopted for the calculation for both the chemicals. The energy cost can be estimated considering a simplified model where the solution is heated from 20 °C to 80 °C in an insulated vessel. At the beginning of the process, the system consists of NaOH solution with concentration 3 M and dispersed particles of RHA powder, whilst at the end of the process a solution with about 22% SiO₂, 7% Na₂O and 69% H₂O is obtained. The heat capacity of the initial NaOH solution can be assumed to be 3900 J/(kg·°C), whilst the heat capacity of the final sodium silicate solution can be assumed to be 3400 J/(kg·°C) (McCready, 1951). A conservative value of 3700 J/(kg·°C) was assumed in the calculation. The efficiency of the insulation system in maintaining the required temperature of the vessel over the time was assumed to be 30% (i.e., some energy is required to keep the temperature for 3 hours as the insulation of the hypothetical vessel is not perfect). A further simplification in the analysis was that the heat developed by the dissolution of NaOH in water was not
taken into account. The simplifications introduced were conservative, and therefore the estimation of the actual energy required was more than the actual that would be needed.

The energy required for the production of the solution can be calculated as follows:

\[ E = \frac{C_p \times m \times \Delta T}{\varepsilon} \]  

(Eq. 4)

where \( C_p \) is the heat capacity of the solution, \( m \) is the mass of solution, \( \Delta T \) is the increase in temperature (i.e., from 20 °C to 80 °C), and \( \varepsilon \) is the efficiency of the insulation.

The unit cost for energy was assumed to be 0.15 £/kWh (typical UK cost), although this is a conservative hypothesis as the cost of energy for industry in Vietnam is about 0.09 £/kWh for the most expensive option (peak hour, voltage of below 6 kV customer group) as per information obtained online (EVN, 2018).

Table 5 shows the details for the calculation of the required energy, whilst Table 6 shows the details for the calculation of the cost of one tonne of RHA-based sodium silicate solution.

**Table 5** Energy required for the production of 1 tonne of RHA-based sodium silicate solution

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Parameter</th>
<th>Units</th>
<th>Value</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>( C_p )</td>
<td>J/(kg·°C)</td>
<td>3700</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>m</td>
<td>kg</td>
<td>1000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>( \Delta T )</td>
<td>°C</td>
<td>60</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>( \varepsilon )</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>0.3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Energy</td>
<td>J</td>
<td>7.40E+8</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Conversion factor</td>
<td>kWh/J</td>
<td>2.78E-7</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Energy</strong></td>
<td>kWh</td>
<td><strong>205.72</strong></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Table 6** Cost determination of 1 tonne of RHA-based sodium silicate solution

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Constituent</th>
<th>Units</th>
<th>Unit cost (£/unit)</th>
<th>Quantity (unit/t)</th>
<th>Cost (£/t of solution)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>RHA</td>
<td>t</td>
<td>150</td>
<td>0.240</td>
<td>36.00</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>NaOH</td>
<td>t</td>
<td>350</td>
<td>0.087</td>
<td>30.45</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Energy</td>
<td>kWh</td>
<td>0.15</td>
<td>205.72</td>
<td>30.86</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Water</td>
<td>t</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>0.673</td>
<td>0.67</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Total cost (£/t)</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td><strong>97.98</strong></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

With the above assumptions and based on the composition of the RHA-derived solution (NaOH 8.7%, RHA 24%, water 67.3%, in mass), the cost of 1 tonne of solution can be estimated to be £98.
Table 7 shows the data used for comparing the cost of the RHA-derived activation option versus commercial activation option, assuming the binder content to be 350 kg/m³, water to solid ratio = 0.38 and activation dosage of M+ = 7.5% and AM = 1.0.

Table 7 Cost comparison for the activation of 1 m³ of AAC

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Constituent</th>
<th>Unit cost (£/t)</th>
<th>RHA-based option quantities (kg/m³)</th>
<th>RHA-based option cost (£/m³)</th>
<th>Commercial option quantities (kg/m³)</th>
<th>Commercial option cost (£/m³)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>RHA-derived solution</td>
<td>98</td>
<td>120</td>
<td>11.76</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Sodium silicate solution</td>
<td>350</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>102.9</td>
<td>36.02</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>NaOH</td>
<td>350</td>
<td>20.5</td>
<td>7.18</td>
<td>16.9</td>
<td>5.92</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Water</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>72.2</td>
<td>0.07</td>
<td>89.5</td>
<td>0.09</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Total activation cost (£/m³)</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td><strong>19.01</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td><strong>42.02</strong></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Activation with RHA-derived waterglass allows a reduction of almost 55% of the cost for the activation of AAC. Since the alkali activators represent about 40% of the cost of alkali activated concrete (Rafeet et al., 2017), the use of RHA-derived waterglass would lead to savings of about 22% on the cost per cubic metre of alkali activated concrete.

5 SUSTAINABILITY ANALYSIS

The assessment of the sustainability of a process requires a detailed analysis based on life cycle assessment, and which requires knowledge of a number of factors that are not readily available. This is particularly the case in the initial (i.e., laboratory development) phase of the process development as is the case discussed in this paper.

Nonetheless, a preliminary analysis of the sustainability of the process, i.e., a simplified comparison that considers environmental performances of both the proposed RHA-based waterglass and commercially available waterglass, was carried out, following the method proposed by Bontempi (2017). This method was developed with the aim of assessing the environmental sustainability of the substitution of a raw material with another material and it is based on the calculation of an index (SUB-RAW) which includes the embodied energy and CO₂ footprint of selected materials (Bontempi, 2017). The method was applied considering the commercial waterglass as the “raw” material, and the RHA-derived waterglass as the “substitute” material. The SUB-RAW index was calculated as:

\[
SUB - RAW = \frac{1}{2} \log(EE_{raw} - \log(EE_{sub})) + \log(CF_{raw} - \log(CF_{sub}))
\]

(Eq. 5)
Where

- $EE_{raw}$ is the embodied energy of the raw material (MJ/kg).
- $EE_{sub}$ is the embodied energy of the substitute material (MJ/kg).
- $CF_{raw}$ is the CO$_2$ footprint of the raw material (kg/kg).
- $CF_{sub}$ is the CO$_2$ footprint of the substitute material (kg/kg).

The result of the formula is a dimensionless value between -9 and 9. When the index is positive, the substitute material has an increased sustainability in respect with the original material, whilst the opposite applies when negative values are obtained (Bontempi, 2017).

Embodied energies and CO$_2$ footprints were estimated for both the commercially available waterglass and for the proposed RHA-based waterglass. The values adopted in this study are to be considered indicative, as local conditions or different assumptions can have large impacts.

Embodied energy and CO$_2$ footprint of commercially available waterglass were obtained from the literature, although with significant scatter (Jamieson et al., 2015, Fawer et al., 1999, Jyothi et al., 2017, Maskell et al., 2014, Mathew et al., 2013, Turner and Collins, 2013, Habert et al., 2011). $EE_{raw}$ equal to 5 MJ/kg and $CF_{raw}$ equal to 1.5 kg/kg were considered suitable values for waterglass.

Values of EE and CF for the RHA-based waterglass were estimated by considering the environmental impacts of rice husk combustion, production of the NaOH used in the solution and the solution heating process.

The combustion of rice husk involves a reaction between the available carbon and oxygen to produce CO$_2$. Considering that (a) 240 g of RHA are need for 1 kg of solution, (b) the ash mass is about 20% of the initial rice husk mass, and (c) carbon represents about 40% of rice husk (Chungsangunsit et al., 2009), the calculation was carried out as follows:

- The mass of rice husk needed for producing the RHA required for 1 kg of solution was calculated to be 1.202 kg, which corresponds to 0.481 kg of C, i.e. about 40 moles.
- As the reaction molar ratio C:CO$_2$ was 1:1, the same number of moles (i.e. 40 mol.) of CO$_2$ were assumed to be produced during the combustion.
- The CO$_2$ emission due to the combustion of rice husk for the production of 1 kg of solution was therefore calculated to be 1.76 kg/kg.
- In a life cycle perspective, the biomass of rice will sequestrate the same CO$_2$ during the cultivation season, and therefore the balance should be zero. However, in order to include other ancillary processes not currently accounted for in this simplified analysis, 10% of the calculated value (i.e., 0.176 kg/kg) was assumed as the contribution from the RHA preparation.

The energy obtained from the combustion of rice husk could in theory be used for production of electricity in a boiler, and indeed this would be the preferred option, provided it is technically feasible. Alternatively, the heat developed during incineration could be used for heating the solution. This would
reduce the global energy requirement for the production of solution. However, due to the uncertainties related to this, the energy contribution from rice husk combustion was not included in the analysis. A conservative approach was adopted.

The EE of NaOH was assumed to be 8MJ/kg as recommended in the literature (Jamieson et al., 2015, Fawer et al., 1999, Jyothi et al., 2017, Maskell et al., 2014, Mathew et al., 2013, Turner and Collins, 2013, Habert et al., 2011). This value was then scaled down according to the amount of NaOH in 1 kg of solution (i.e. 87 g), resulting in 0.69 MJ/kg. Similarly, the CO₂ emission was assumed to be 1.9 kg/kg of NaOH, again as recommended in the literature, which was then scaled down according to the actual amount of NaOH in 1 kg of solution, and the value of 0.16 kg/kg was used.

Under the assumptions described in section 4, the energy required for heating the solution was estimated to be 0.74 MJ/kg (i.e. 0.205 kWh/kg). Considering a high impact scenario (i.e., electricity produced only from coal-fuelled power station, for which the conversion factor between kWh produced and kg of CO₂ emitted is about 0.9), CO₂ emission were assumed equal to 0.18 kg/kg.

All other contributions (transportation, treatments, other processing) were not included in this simplified analysis.

Table 8 shows the values adopted for the analysis and the obtained SUB-RAW index.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Constituent</th>
<th>EE (MJ/kg)</th>
<th>CF (kg/kg)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Sodium silicate solution</td>
<td>5</td>
<td>1.5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>RHA-derived solution</td>
<td>1.43</td>
<td>0.52</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Combustion of rice husk</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>0.18</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>NaOH contribution</td>
<td>0.69</td>
<td>0.16</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Solution heating contribution</td>
<td>0.74</td>
<td>0.18</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>SUB-RAW index</strong></td>
<td><strong>0.499</strong></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

The obtained SUB-RAW index was positive and therefore, for the assumptions made, it can be stated that the proposed process has an increased environmental sustainability when compared with the current industrial production of sodium silicate solution.

It is important to stress that the quality of the output from LCA analysis depends strongly on the precision of the input data. For this reason, this analysis should be considered as a preliminary assessment only, as a detailed LCA was outside the scope of this paper.

### 6 CONCLUSIONS

Alkali activated binders require a significant amount of alkali chemicals for triggering and completing their reaction, and this has repercussions on their cost and environmental footprint. The use of waste-
derived activators can mitigate both of these problems, provided that such alternative activators show the same efficiency as commercially available chemicals.

RHA from Vietnam, obtained through an incineration process with controlled temperature and duration that produced an almost fully amorphous material, was investigated as silicate source for the production of alternative sodium silicate solution. The study focussed on a hydrothermal procedure, i.e. dissolution of RHA powder, ground to an average particle size of less than 10 µm, in an alkaline solution. The amorphous content of RHA is important for its dissolution in NaOH solution, and thus the results obtained are only representative of ash with high amorphous content.

The outcomes of the research are:

- The hydrothermal process was optimised in terms of NaOH concentration of the solution, process temperature and duration. NaOH concentration 3 M, heating temperature 80 °C and heating duration 3 allowed a SiO2 dissolution rate higher than 95%. This set of parameters was the best compromise, delivering high silica yield with reasonable process duration, temperature and NaOH concentration.

- The RHA-derived sodium silicate solution was used for the activation of a blend of 60% fly ash and 40% GGBS, in place of commercial sodium silicate. Compressive strength and setting time results confirmed that the obtained solution was as effective as the commercial option.

- Microstructural analysis (IC, TGA, XRD, FTIR) confirmed that the hydrothermal process was able to produce a silicate solution with the required amount of silicates in a reactive form. Pastes produced with RHA-derived solution gave similar results of those produced with commercially available waterglass, whilst paste produced with NaOH showed distinct differences.

- A 55% reduction of the cost for the activation of AAC can be achieved using the RHA-derived sodium silicate solution. This would lead to about 22% cost reduction per cubic metre of AAC when compared to concrete produced with commercially available chemical activators, thus enabling its commercialisation.

- A simplified environmental analysis indicated that the proposed process has an increased environmental sustainability when compared with the current industrial production of sodium silicate solution.
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