

Consistent effects of consumer species loss across different habitats

Mrowicki, R. J., Maggs, C. A., & O'Connor, N. E. (2015). Consistent effects of consumer species loss across different habitats. Oikos, 124(12), 1555-1563. https://doi.org/10.1111/oik.02138

Published in: Oikos

Document Version: Peer reviewed version

Queen's University Belfast - Research Portal:

Link to publication record in Queen's University Belfast Research Portal

Publisher rights

Copyright 2015 The Authors. Oikos Copyright 2015 Nordic Society Oikos

This is the accepted version of the following article: Mrowicki, R. J., Maggs, C. A. and O'Connor, N. E. (2015), Consistent effects of consumer species loss across different habitats. Oikos. doi: 10.1111/oik.02138, which has been published in final form at http://onlinelibrary.wiley.com/doi/10.1111/oik.02138/abstract

General rights

Copyright for the publications made accessible via the Queen's University Belfast Research Portal is retained by the author(s) and / or other copyright owners and it is a condition of accessing these publications that users recognise and abide by the legal requirements associated with these rights.

Take down policy The Research Portal is Queen's institutional repository that provides access to Queen's research output. Every effort has been made to ensure that content in the Research Portal does not infringe any person's rights, or applicable UK laws. If you discover content in the Research Portal that you believe breaches copyright or violates any law, please contact openaccess@qub.ac.uk.

Open Access

This research has been made openly available by Queen's academics and its Open Research team. We would love to hear how access to this research benefits you. - Share your feedback with us: http://go.qub.ac.uk/oa-feedback

OIKOS

CONSISTENT EFFECTS OF CONSUMER SPECIES LOSS ACROSS DIFFERENT HABITATS

Journal:	Oikos
Manuscript ID:	OIK-02138.R1
Wiley - Manuscript type:	Research
Date Submitted by the Author:	n/a
Complete List of Authors:	Mrowicki, Robert; Queen's University Belfast, School of Biological Sciences Maggs, Christine; Queen's University Belfast, School of Biological Sciences; Queen's University Belfast, School of Biological Sciences O'Connor, Nessa; Queens University Belfast, Biological Sciences
Keywords:	species loss, algae-grazer interactions, benthic
Abstract:	Our knowledge of the effects of consumer species loss on ecosystem functioning is limited by a paucity of manipulative field studies, particularly those that incorporate inter-trophic effects. Further, given the ongoing transformation of natural habitats by anthropogenic activities, studies should assess the relative importance of biodiversity for ecosystem processes across different environmental contexts by including multiple habitat types. We tested the context-dependency of the effects of consumer species loss by conducting a 15-month field experiment in two habitats (mussel beds and rock pools) on a temperate rocky shore, focussing on the responses of algal assemblages following the single and combined removals of key gastropod grazers (Patella vulgata, P. ulyssiponensis, Littorina littorea and Gibbula umbilicalis). In both habitats, the removal of limpets resulted in a larger increase in macroalgal richness than that of either L. littorea or G. umbilicalis. Further, by the end of the study, macroalgal cover and richness were greater following the removal of multiple grazer species compared to single species removals. Despite substantial differences in physical properties and the structure of benthic assemblages between mussel beds and rock pools, the effects of grazer loss on macroalgal cover, richness, evenness and assemblage structure were remarkably consistent across both habitats. There was, however, a transient habitat-dependent effect of grazer removal on macroalgal assemblage structure that emerged after three months, which was replaced by non-interactive effects of grazer removal and habitat after 15 months. This study shows that the effects of the loss of key consumers may transcend large abiotic and biotic differences between habitats in rocky intertidal systems. While it is clear that consumer diversity is a primary driver of ecosystem functioning, determining its relative importance across multiple contexts is necessary to understand the consequences of consumer species loss against a backgrou



1	CONSISTENT F	EFFECTS OF CONSUMER SPECIES LOSS
2	ACI	ROSS DIFFERENT HABITATS
3		
4	ROBERT J. MROWI	CKI, CHRISTINE A. MAGGS AND NESSA E. O'CONNOR
5	School of B	iological Sciences, Queen's University Belfast, UK
6		
7	Type of paper: Research p	aper
8		
9	Corresponding author:	Robert J. Mrowicki
10	Address:	School of Biological Sciences, Queen's University Belfast,
11		Medical Biology Centre, 97 Lisburn Road, Belfast, BT9 7BL,
12		Northern Ireland, UK
13	Email:	rmrowicki01@qub.ac.uk
14	Telephone:	+44 (0)28 9097 2030
15	Fax:	+44 (0)28 9097 5877

16	Abstract:
17	
18	Our knowledge of the effects of consumer species loss on ecosystem functioning is limited by
19	a paucity of manipulative field studies, particularly those that incorporate inter-trophic effects.
20	Further, given the ongoing transformation of natural habitats by anthropogenic activities,
21	studies should assess the relative importance of biodiversity for ecosystem processes across
22	different environmental contexts by including multiple habitat types. We tested the context-
23	dependency of the effects of consumer species loss by conducting a 15-month field
24	experiment in two habitats (mussel beds and rock pools) on a temperate rocky shore,
25	focussing on the responses of algal assemblages following the single and combined removals
26	of key gastropod grazers (Patella vulgata, P. ulyssiponensis, Littorina littorea and Gibbula
27	umbilicalis). In both habitats, the removal of limpets resulted in a larger increase in
28	macroalgal richness than that of either L. littorea or G. umbilicalis. Further, by the end of the
29	study, macroalgal cover and richness were greater following the removal of multiple grazer
30	species compared to single species removals. Despite substantial differences in physical
31	properties and the structure of benthic assemblages between mussel beds and rock pools, the
32	effects of grazer loss on macroalgal cover, richness, evenness and assemblage structure were
33	remarkably consistent across both habitats. There was, however, a transient habitat-dependent
34	effect of grazer removal on macroalgal assemblage structure that emerged after three months,
35	which was replaced by non-interactive effects of grazer removal and habitat after 15 months.
36	This study shows that the effects of the loss of key consumers may transcend large abiotic and
37	biotic differences between habitats in rocky intertidal systems. While it is clear that consumer
38	diversity is a primary driver of ecosystem functioning, determining its relative importance
39	across multiple contexts is necessary to understand the consequences of consumer species loss
40	against a background of environmental change.

41 Introduction

42

43	Global biodiversity loss continues to threaten the provision of ecosystem services and
44	ultimately human wellbeing (Naeem et al. 2009, Hooper et al. 2012). Following more than
45	two decades of intensive research, it is now accepted widely that declining biodiversity affects
46	rates of ecosystem processes, such as resource capture and biomass production (Loreau et al.
47	2001, Cardinale et al. 2012, Gamfeldt et al. in press). In recognition of the complexity of
48	biotic interactions within natural communities, an increasing number of biodiversity-
49	ecosystem functioning studies have incorporated inter-trophic effects (Duffy et al. 2007).
50	Despite this important move towards a multi-trophic perspective, our knowledge of the
51	influence of consumer diversity loss on lower trophic levels is relatively incomplete (Duffy et
52	al. 2007, Griffin et al. 2013). This is of particular concern, given that consumers generally
53	have impacts that are disproportionate to their abundance and face a higher risk of extinction
54	compared to producers (Duffy 2002).
55	
56	Long-term field removal experiments are an effective means of characterising the effects of
57	species loss within diverse natural assemblages (Díaz et al. 2003) and complement laboratory
58	studies by revealing mechanisms that may not be manifested in smaller scale experiments
59	conducted under more homogeneous conditions (Stachowicz et al. 2008). Further, as the
60	ecological effects of biodiversity change are influenced by environmental context (Boyer et
61	al. 2009, Crowe et al. 2011, Mrowicki and O'Connor in press), empirical studies that examine
62	consumer diversity effects under a range of abiotic and biotic conditions (Griffin et al. 2009,
63	O'Connor and Donohue 2013) will improve our ability to predict the consequences of species
64	loss in the face of global environmental change involving multiple anthropogenic stressors
65	(Harley et al. 2006).

66	
67	Coastal ecosystems are exposed to a range of anthropogenic impacts, which can result in
68	rapid declines in biodiversity and dramatic transformation or loss of habitat (Airoldi and Beck
69	2007). For example, on temperate rocky shores, overexploitation and pollution, coupled with
70	the physiological and phenological responses of organisms to climate change, may lead to
71	reduced densities or extinctions of key grazer species in certain localities (Thompson et al.
72	2002, Mieszkowska et al. 2005). Additionally, intertidal biogenic habitats, such as macroalgal
73	and mussel beds on rocky substrata, have decreased in extent and structural complexity in
74	many regions in response to various factors including physical disturbance and compromised
75	water quality (Airoldi and Beck 2007). Changes in habitat complexity and heterogeneity alter
76	interspecific interactions and the degree of resource partitioning among consumers, and can
77	thus modify consumer diversity effects on resources (Hughes and Grabowski 2006, Griffin et
78	al. 2009). In combination, these processes have the potential to shift the dynamic balance
79	between producers and consumers and alter the functioning of coastal marine ecosystems
80	(Hawkins et al. 2009).
81	
82	The aim of this study was to determine whether the ecological consequences of consumer
83	species loss vary with environmental context, in light of ongoing reductions in biodiversity
84	and habitat homogenisation in coastal ecosystems. We quantified changes in macroalgal
85	assemblages in response to individual and combined removals of common gastropod grazers,
86	Patella vulgata, P. ulyssiponensis, Littorina littorea and Gibbula umbilicalis, in two different
87	habitats on an exposed north-east Atlantic rocky shore. Patellid limpets are key grazers in
88	European rocky intertidal habitats, and although their presence or absence often dominates the
89	effects of grazer assemblages on algal communities on emergent rock and in rock pools
90	(Hawkins and Hartnoll 1983, O'Connor and Crowe 2005, Coleman et al. 2006, Griffin et al.

91	2010), the extent of their influence in other habitats, such as mussel beds, is less well known
92	(O'Connor and Crowe 2008). Further, the relative roles of these grazer species may vary
93	across different conditions, and the importance of changes in the richness versus identity of
94	these species is likely to increase with environmental heterogeneity (Griffin et al. 2009). To
95	examine the context-dependency of the roles of these key consumers, we performed
96	simultaneous grazer removals in mussel beds (on emergent rock) and in rock pools. These
97	two distinct habitats differ greatly with respect to the intensity and variability of a range of
98	abiotic stressors such as desiccation potential, temperature and wave disturbance.
99	Specifically, emergent rock habitats experience relatively greater fluctuations in abiotic
100	variables, but conditions can be more spatially variable among rock pools (Metaxas and
101	Scheibling 1993). At the same time, the physical structure afforded by either mussels or turf
102	algae (e.g. Corallina officinalis) enables diverse, yet divergent, biotic assemblages to persist
103	(Seed 1996, Kelaher 2002). Thus, owing to contrasting patterns of physical and biological
104	heterogeneity in mussel beds versus rock pools, the relative effects of grazer removal may
105	differ between these two habitats. Focussing on changes in macroalgal abundance, diversity
106	and assemblage structure, we hypothesised that: (1) there are species-specific consumer
107	identity effects, dominated by the influence of Patella spp. in both mussel beds and rock
108	pools; (2) the effects of the combined removal of multiple grazer species will exceed the
109	effects of removals of single species; and (3) these effects of grazer species loss will differ
110	between rock pools and mussel beds and vary according to experimental duration.
111	
112	Materials and methods
113	
114	Study site

116	The experiment was conducted on an exposed rocky shore in Glashagh Bay, Fanad, Co.
117	Donegal, Ireland (55.265°N, 7.675°W). The shore was characterised by a large, gently sloping
118	granitic platform, covered by a mosaic of patches of barnacles and macroalgae, typical of
119	exposed shores in the region (O'Connor and Crowe 2008, Mrowicki et al. 2014). Beds of
120	mussels (Mytilus spp.) were distributed patchily along the shore above mid-tidal level (2.0-
121	2.5 m above Chart Datum). Numerous discrete rock pools of varying area and depth were
122	present throughout the intertidal zone. Macroalgal assemblages associated with mussels
123	consisted of extensive epibiotic turfs of coarse red algae (mostly Gelidium spp.) interspersed
124	with ephemeral red (e.g. Porphyra umbilicalis) and green (e.g. Ulva intestinalis) algae. Small
125	clumps of brown algae (e.g. Fucus spiralis and F. serratus) were also found in and around the
126	mussel beds. The rock pools were dominated by turfs of upright calcareous algae (Corallina
127	officinalis), which supported an array of macroalgal species including fine (e.g. Polysiphonia
128	elongata and Ceramium rubrum) and coarse (e.g. Osmundea hybrida and Gelidium spp.)
129	branched red algae, ephemeral (e.g. U. compressa) and perennial (e.g. Codium tomentosum)
130	green algae and brown canopy algae (e.g. F. vesiculosus and Halidrys siliquosa). Encrusting
131	coralline algae ('Lithothamnia spp.') covered most of the remaining substratum. Thus, on this
132	shore, in addition to there being large differences in algal assemblage structure between the
133	two habitats, the diversity of algae was greater in rock pools compared to mussel beds (see
134	Results).

135

Grazing gastropods were common and widespread across the shore. The most conspicuous
species, which occurred in both mussel beds and rock pools, were the common and China
limpets (*Patella vulgata* and *P. ulyssiponensis*, respectively), common periwinkle (*Littorina littorea*) and flat top shell (*Gibbula umbilicalis*). Although the two limpet species co-occurred
in both habitat types, particularly as newly settled juveniles in rock pools, *P. ulyssiponensis*

adults were dominant in rock pools (Firth and Crowe 2008), whereas P. vulgata, which tends

142 to disperse out onto emergent rock, constituted the majority of limpets in mussel beds. Other 143 gastropod species, including L. saxatilis, L. obtusata and G. cineraria, were also present in 144 both habitats. Non-gastropod grazers such as chitons (e.g. Acanthochitona crinita) and 145 amphipods (e.g. *Echinogammarus marinus*) were found on the shore at lower densities. 146 147 *Experimental design* 148 149 Our experiment involved the single and combined removal of three genera of gastropod 150 grazer within each of the two habitat types (mussel beds and rock pools). We employed a 151 'subtractive' approach with no compensation for the reduction in biomass of particular species by increasing that of the remaining species. Unlike a substitutive design, whereby 152 total grazer density would be equalised across treatments, such an approach avoids 153 154 confounding changes in intraspecific interactions with changes in interspecific interactions 155 among grazers (Byrnes and Stachowicz 2009). Further, instead of standardising species 156 densities across habitat types, we opted to mimic actual densities specific to mussel beds and 157 rock pools. Thus, we did not elicit potentially unsustainable experimental densities by 158 exceeding natural densities in either habitat (Harley 2006) and we minimised transplant-159 induced stress or mortality of grazers, particularly limpets (Firth and Crowe 2010). 160 Importantly, although our design did not allow the effects of grazer removal and habitat type 161 to be separated from those of grazer density, incorporating (rather than eliminating) natural 162 variability in species densities was intended to enhance the realism of our study (Diaz et al. 163 2003) with respect to this particular system.

164

141

165	Within each of the two habitats, 20 plots (35×35 cm) were located haphazardly around mid-
166	tidal level across approximately 100 m of shoreline, with a minimum separation between any
167	two plots of 1 m. Mussel bed plots were positioned on well-drained, approximately
168	horizontal, substratum and incorporated $50.8 \pm 2.2\%$ (mean \pm SE) mussel cover. Rock pool
169	plots were situated in separate pools of relatively similar area (range 0.5–5.0 m^2) and depth (<
170	15 cm) and included $46.5 \pm 4.2\%$ cover of <i>Corallina officinalis</i> . By incorporating, rather than
171	controlling for, environmental heterogeneity such as inherent differences in habitat size (i.e.
172	mussel patch extent and rock pool volume), we aimed to enhance the relevance of this study
173	to variable natural systems.
174	
175	Five grazer removal treatments were assigned randomly among the plots in each habitat type
176	(n = 4): one 'non-removal' treatment requiring the removal of no species; three 'single-
177	removal' treatments involving the removal of either Patella spp. (P. vulgata and P.
178	ulyssiponensis; hereafter Patella), Littorina littorea (hereafter Littorina) or Gibbula
179	umbilicalis (hereafter Gibbula); and one 'multi-removal' treatment, in which all three grazer
180	genera were removed. Owing to difficulties in the identification of <i>P. vulgata</i> and <i>P.</i>
181	ulyssiponensis, particularly juveniles and small adults, without causing substantial
182	disturbance, it was not possible to discriminate between limpet species. On rocky shores in
183	Ireland, adults of these two species tend to be segregated so that <i>P. vulgata</i> is more common
184	on emergent substrata than P. ulyssiponensis, which is more common in rock pools (Firth and
185	Crowe 2010). Further, there is the potential for contrasting functional roles of different limpet
186	species within the same habitat (Moore et al. 2007). Therefore, it is not possible here to
187	separate the effects of <i>P. vulgata</i> and <i>P. ulyssiponensis</i> across mussel beds and rock pools.
188	Instead, as both species are considered key grazers within their respective primary habitats
189	(Hawkins and Hartnoll 1983, O'Connor and Crowe 2005), the removal of Patella should be

interpreted as the combined loss of putative strongly-interacting consumers in the case of both

190

191 mussel beds and rock pools. While it is possible here to make inferences regarding the 192 specific roles of *Littorina* and *Gibbula*, caution must be exercised when attributing the effects 193 of Patella removal, and their context-dependency, to particular species. 194 195 Experimental grazer abundances were derived from natural densities in mussel beds (*Patella*, $27.5 \pm 6.2 \text{ m}^{-2}$ [mean \pm SE; n = 25]; *Littorina*, $40.3 \pm 14.3 \text{ m}^{-2}$) and rock pools (*Patella*, 201.6) 196 $\pm 26.8 \text{ m}^{-2}$; Littorina, 90.2 $\pm 13.9 \text{ m}^{-2}$; Gibbula, 9.6 $\pm 2.9 \text{ m}^{-2}$), adjusted to account for the high 197 198 proportion (~50%) of *Patella* juveniles (< 15 mm) encountered in both habitat types. 199 Although not encountered within the area sampled by preliminary surveys, Gibbula was 200 present in mussel beds at low overall density, often in small aggregations adjacent to mussel 201 patches (R. J. Mrowicki, pers. obs.). Thus, experimental abundances were as follows: 3 202 Patella, 5 Littorina and 2 Gibbula in mussel bed plots; and 12 Patella, 11 Littorina and 2 203 Gibbula in rock pool plots. In a few cases, Littorina and Gibbula populations were 204 supplemented with additional individuals to meet target densities, although this was not 205 necessary for *Patella*. Treatments were maintained using stainless steel mesh cages ($35 \times$ 206 35 cm area, 12 cm high) fixed to the substratum with stainless steel screws and washers. This 207 method was found to be most effective means of manipulating densities of mobile grazers 208 over extended time periods on this particular shore. The mesh size (0.9 mm wire diameter, 209 4.17 mm aperture, 67% open area) of cages restricted the movement of the target grazer 210 species while allowing access to smaller mobile consumers and leaving plots open to 211 propagule supply. 212

To enable the detection of cage effects on experimental assemblages, an additional four plots
were established within each habitat and marked at opposite corners with stainless steel

215 screws, thus remaining open to ambient densities of mobile organisms. Although there is the 216 potential for experimental artefacts to vary among treatments (Peterson and Black 1994, 217 Benedetti-Cecchi and Cinelli 1997), testing for interactions between cage effects and grazer 218 removal treatments would require the manipulation of grazer densities independently of the 219 use of cages, which is not feasible. Therefore, these uncaged control plots were designed to 220 test for the direct (e.g. shading and disruption of water flow) and indirect (e.g. altered grazer 221 behaviour) effects of cages on algal assemblages in the presence of ambient grazer densities 222 only, by comparing controls with non-removal caged plots. This approach follows previous 223 studies that have demonstrated no consequences of identical cages on the structure of 224 macroalgal assemblages in mussel beds and rock pools on similar shores (O'Connor and 225 Crowe 2005, O'Connor and Donohue 2013). 226 227 The experiment ran for 15 months starting in July 2011 and plots were surveyed at the 228 beginning of the experiment, after three months (October 2011) and after 15 months (October 229 2012). At each census, percent cover of macroalgal and sessile invertebrate species in each 230 plot was recorded by identifying species under 64 intersections of a 25×25 cm quadrat. 231 Species present within the quadrat but not located under an intersection were recorded and 232 assigned a value of 1% each. The quadrat was positioned centrally within plots to avoid edge 233 effects. Total percent cover values often exceeded 100% owing to the multi-layered nature of 234 macroalgal communities. The numbers of grazer species within each plot were also recorded. 235 Treatments were maintained during monthly visits, at which times cages were also cleaned of 236 fouling species or debris to minimise cage effects on assemblages.

237

To determine whether percent cover served as a reliable proxy for macroalgal biomass, on the final sampling date, a destructive sample of the central 25×25 cm area in each experimental

240	plot was taken to estimate biomass of each macroalgal species (excluding crustose corallines)
241	following drying to constant mass at 60°C. Dry biomass values for Corallina officinalis were
242	multiplied by 0.2 to convert them to calcium carbonate-free estimates (Griffin et al. 2010).
243	There was a significant linear relationship between total dry biomass and total cover of
244	macroalgae (excluding crustose corallines), which differed between mussel beds and rock
245	pools (mussel beds: biomass $[g m^{-2}] = -2.07 + 4.42 \times \text{cover} [\%], R^2 = 0.929, P < 0.001; rock$
246	pools: biomass = $-31.98 + 1.74 \times \text{cover}$, R ² = 0.808, P < 0.001).
247	

248 Data analysis

249

250 For each sampling date separately, differences in macroalgal total cover, taxon richness (S) 251 and evenness (Simpson's $1-\lambda$) were tested using two-way factorial ANOVA involving habitat 252 (fixed, 2 levels) and grazer removal treatment (fixed, 5 levels). Richness and evenness are 253 complementary measures that are recommended for use in studies examining the 254 consequences of biodiversity change (Altieri et al. 2009). Total algal cover was found to 255 differ among grazer removal treatments across both habitats at the start of the experiment, 256 although it was not possible to resolve these differences fully (Supplementary material 257 Appendix 1 Table A1). Therefore, algal cover data were converted into the overall change in 258 total cover to simplify interpretation. We used a priori planned contrasts to test for differences 259 between the single-removal treatments and the multi-removal treatment but, given the 260 limitations on making inferences regarding limpet identity, the variance explained by grazer 261 removal was not partitioned further to isolate grazer 'identity' effects explicitly (Duffy et al. 262 2005). To test for cage effects, comparisons between caged non-removal plots and uncaged 263 control plots were made for all variables. Prior to ANOVA, Shapiro-Wilk and Cochran's tests 264 were used to check normality and homoscedasticity of data, respectively. In the case of total

265	cover data for three months, transformation was unable to stabilise heterogeneous variances,
266	therefore results were interpreted with caution by reducing the limit for statistical significance
267	($\alpha = 0.01$). Student-Newman-Keuls (SNK) tests were used to make post hoc comparisons
268	between levels of significant effects. Although SNK tests have the potential for excessive
269	Type I error rates when treatments fall into groups spaced widely apart (Day and Quinn
270	1989), which was generally not the case in the current study, a greater problem is the loss of
271	power resulting from the use of alternative procedures where SNK tests would otherwise be
272	suitable (Underwood 1997). Therefore, in this study, SNK tests were an appropriate means of
273	examining alternatives following the rejection of null hypotheses.
274	
275	Permutational multivariate analysis of variance (PERMANOVA; McArdle and Anderson
276	2001, Anderson 2001) was used to test for effects of grazer treatments on macroalgal
277	assemblage structure in mussel beds and rock pools, separately for each sampling date, based
278	on the same model structure as the ANOVAs. Analyses were performed on zero-adjusted
279	Bray-Curtis dissimilarity matrices, i.e. via the addition of a dummy species with 1% cover to
280	all plots (Clarke et al. 2006), to deal with instances where no algae were recorded within
281	plots. Tests involved 9,999 permutations of residuals under the reduced model (Anderson and
282	ter Braak 2003). Differences among levels of significant factors were examined with post hoc
283	pairwise permutational <i>t</i> -tests. Where significant differences were found, similarity of
284	percentages analysis (SIMPER; Clarke 1993) was used to identify the algal taxa responsible
285	for differences in assemblage structure between treatment levels. To visualise differences in
286	macroalgal assemblage structure among treatment groups, nonmetric multidimensional
287	scaling (MDS) plots were produced. For all multivariate analyses, percent cover data were
288	$log_{10}(x+1)$ -transformed to reduce the influence of dominant algal species (Clarke and
289	Warwick 2001). All analyses were conducted in R (v3.0.1; R Development Core Team 2013),

290	except for the PERMANOVAs, which were performed using the PERMANOVA+ add-on
291	(v1.0.3) in PRIMER (v6.1.13; PRIMER-E Ltd., Plymouth, UK).
292	
293	Results
294	
295	At the start of the experiment, macroalgal total cover, richness and evenness were greater in
296	rock pools than in mussel beds, and macroalgal assemblage structure differed between the two
297	habitats (Appendix 1 Table A1). After three months, there were still differences in algal
298	richness, evenness and assemblage structure between habitats (Fig. 1c,e; Table 1b-d).
299	Additionally, richness and evenness differed among grazer removal treatments, independently
300	of habitat (Fig. 1c,e; Table 1b,c). Across both mussel beds and rock pools, algal richness was
301	greater in the multi-removal treatment than in any other treatment (Fig. 1c). Although post
302	hoc tests were unable to resolve differences among the non-removal and single-removal
303	treatments fully, the removal of Patella appeared to result in an increase in algal richness
304	relative to the non-removal treatment across both habitats (Fig. 1c). Further, algal richness
305	was greater in the multi-removal treatment compared to the single-removal treatments (Table
306	1b). There also appeared to be an increase in algal evenness in the multi-removal treatment
307	compared to both the non-removal treatment and the Littorina single-removal treatment, but
308	post hoc tests were unable to resolve treatment differences fully (Fig. 1e).
309	
310	After 15 months, the overall decline in total macroalgal cover was greater in rock pools than
311	in mussel beds (Fig. 1b; Table 1a). Again, macroalgal richness and evenness were found to be
312	greater in rock pools compared to mussel beds (Fig. 1d,f; Table 1b,c) and assemblage
313	structure differed between the two habitats (Table 1d). In addition to algal richness, total

314 cover change and assemblage structure were affected by grazer removal independently of

315	habitat (Fig. 1b,d; Table 1a,b,d). The removal of Patella led to an increase in algal richness
316	relative to the non-removal treatment and the other two single-removal treatments (Fig. 1d).
317	Further, the multi-removal of all three grazers resulted in greater algal richness than any other
318	treatment (Fig. 1d) in addition to the mean of the single-removal treatments (Table 1b). The
319	multi-removal treatment led to an overall increase in total algal cover, which appeared to
320	differ significantly from the overall declines exhibited by the non-removal and the Patella and
321	Gibbula single-removal treatments, but post hoc tests were unable to resolve differences
322	among all treatments fully (Fig. 1b). In terms of algal evenness, there was no longer any
323	effect of grazer removal (Fig. 1f; Table 1c). The presence of cages reduced macroalgal
324	richness at three months (ANOVA; $F_{1,12} = 5.83$, $P = 0.033$; Fig. 1c) and evenness at 15
325	months ($F_{1,12} = 5.23$, $P = 0.041$; Fig. 1f; Appendix 2 Table A2)
326	
327	There was a significant interaction between habitat and grazer removal treatments affecting
328	algal assemblage structure after three months, indicating that the responses of algal
329	assemblages to grazer removal differed between mussel beds and rock pools (Fig. 2a,c; Table
330	1d). Although post hoc tests were unable to resolve differences among treatments fully, they
331	suggested tentatively that, in mussel beds, the Patella single-removal and multi-removal
332	treatments resulted in a shift in algal assemblage structure relative to the Littorina and
333	Gibbula single-removal treatments (Fig. 2a; Appendix 3 Table A3). In contrast, in rock pools,
334	algal assemblage structure appeared to differ only between the non-removal and multi-
335	removal treatments (Fig. 2c; Appendix 3 Table A3). Algal assemblage structure also differed
336	between caged non-removal and uncaged control plots (Appendix 2 Table A2). After 15
337	months, there was no longer any interactive effect of habitat and grazer removal on algal
338	assemblage structure, indicating that the effects of grazer species loss were consistent between
339	mussel beds and rock pools (Fig. 2b,d; Table 1d). Across both habitats, there was a shift in

algal assemblage structure in the *Patella* single-removal and multi-removal treatments relative to all other treatments (Appendix 3 Table A3). This shift was driven consistently (i.e. $\overline{\delta}_i/\text{SD}(\delta_i) > 1$) by a relative increase in *Fucus vesiculosus* ($\overline{\delta}_i = 13.3\%$) and by relative decreases in calcareous encrusting algae and *Corallina officinalis*, both of which were primarily constituents of rock pool assemblages (Table 2). These changes were accompanied by an increase in *Cladophora rupestris* and fucoid germlings across both habitats (Table 2).

- 347 **Discussion**
- 348

349 To advance our understanding of the consequences of species loss in the face of changing 350 environmental conditions, we must assess the relative contribution of biodiversity to 351 ecosystem processes across a range of contexts, while incorporating the complexity that 352 characterises natural ecosystems (Duffy et al. 2007, Cardinale et al. 2012). We performed 353 single and multiple removals of common grazer species, or groups of species, simultaneously 354 in mussel beds and rock pools, which represent two contrasting ecological contexts against a 355 background of natural environmental variability. The most striking aspect of our findings is 356 the overall consistency of responses to consumer loss across habitats over the duration of the 357 study, demonstrated by a general lack of interactions between habitat and grazer removal 358 treatments. While the effects of limpet removal cannot be attributed to individual species, the 359 loss of this group of putative key grazers, versus that of other grazer species, resulted in 360 similar relative changes to algal assemblages in mussel beds and rock pools. The fact that this 361 pattern emerged despite obvious differences in grazer densities and relative abundances 362 between habitats, in addition to initial differences in algal assemblages and environmental 363 conditions, suggests that consumer diversity (i.e. both identity and richness) is a major driver 364 of ecological processes in this system. In addition, the changes in the patterns of algal

365	abundance and diversity over the course of the experiment and the transient habitat-dependent
366	response of algal assemblage structure emphasise that experimental duration is critical to the
367	interpretation of studies examining the effects of species loss across environmental contexts
368	(Cardinale et al. 2004, O'Connor and Crowe 2005, Stachowicz et al. 2008).
369	
370	In both mussel beds and rock pools, algal total cover, species richness and evenness
371	underwent significant changes in response to grazer removal. In particular, the removal of
372	limpets led to a greater increase in algal richness than the removal of either Littorina littorea
373	or Gibbula umbilicalis. The key ecological role of patellid limpets, relative to other grazer
374	species, regulating the establishment of algae on emergent substrata and in tide pools on
375	European rocky shores is well known (Hawkins and Hartnoll 1983, O'Connor and Crowe
376	2005, Coleman et al. 2006, Griffin et al. 2010). The extent of their influence on algal
377	community dynamics in mussel beds, however, is perhaps less well appreciated (O'Connor
378	and Crowe 2008, Crowe et al. 2011). Our findings suggest that the relative functional roles of
379	limpets collectively, whether represented predominantly by Patella ulyssiponensis or by P.
380	vulgata, may be of similar importance in mussel beds compared to other habitats on rocky
381	shores, in spite of natural differences in total abundance.
382	
383	Further, the differences among single-removal treatments suggest that other common grazer

384 species, even those present at higher natural densities, appear to be limited in their capacity to

385 compensate for the loss of limpets in mussel beds as well as rock pools (O'Connor and Crowe

386 2005, Griffin et al. 2010). Although our experiment allowed only for behavioural rather than

387 numerical compensation, previous research has demonstrated that even corresponding

388 increases in the abundance of L. littorea and G. umbilicalis are insufficient to compensate for

389 limpet removal in this system over similar timescales (O'Connor and Crowe 2005). While we

cannot separate the effects of different limpet species, our results imply some degree of
functional complementarity between *P. ulyssiponensis* and *P. vulgata* at the scale of this study
owing to their spatial segregation between mussel beds and rock pools (Firth and Crowe
2010). Nonetheless, further experimentation is required to determine precisely how the
relative roles of these key species vary across habitats in which they coexist, particularly
because other closely related limpet species are known to have idiosyncratic effects on rocky
shore communities in this region (Moore et al. 2007).

397

398 A key finding of our study was that the removal of multiple grazer species led to a greater 399 increase in algal richness than did the removal of limpets alone, even though the single 400 removal of either Littorina littorea or Gibbula umbilicalis had no effect. This effect was 401 accompanied by a shift in algal assemblage structure in the limpet single-removal and multi-402 removal treatments compared to all other treatments, which was driven largely by the 403 increased establishment and growth of fucoid macroalgae at the apparent expense of other 404 species. While consumer identity can be of overarching importance for the functioning of 405 marine ecosystems (O'Connor and Crowe 2005, Stachowicz et al. 2007), declines in 406 consumer diversity per se may lead to reduced top-down control owing to trait differentiation 407 among consumer species in terms of, for example, feeding preferences (Duffy 2002, Griffin et 408 al. 2009).

409

Alternatively, these patterns may have resulted from the reduction in grazer abundance
associated with the multi-removal treatment in our 'subtractive' experimental design, rather
than a reduction in grazer species richness. For example, the establishment of fucoid
macroalgae on rocky shores may occur only when grazer density falls below a certain
threshold (Jonsson et al. 2006). Indeed, the effects of grazer removal observed here may have

415	been driven, at least in part, by differences in density among experimental treatments as
416	opposed to grazer identity or richness. Previous research has emphasised the importance of
417	density-dependent effects in regulating biodiversity-ecosystem functioning relationships (e.g.
418	Benedetti-Cecchi 2004, Maggi et al. 2009). To improve our understanding of complex, non-
419	linear effects of consumer species loss, future studies should aim to separate the importance
420	of consumer density from that of identity and richness, such as by incorporating density
421	explicitly as an additional treatment (Benedetti-Cecchi 2004, Byrnes and Stachowicz 2009).
422	While there were logistical constraints on the maximum number of treatments and replicates
423	in our study, incorporating (rather than eliminating) differences in grazer density between
424	treatments helped to maintain the relevance of our findings to species loss from natural
425	habitats in this system, at least for comparable spatial and temporal scales. Importantly, even
426	though the mechanisms underlying differences between the single-removal and multi-removal
427	treatments are unclear, our results suggest that, in both mussel beds and rock pools, the roles
428	of grazer species, or groups of species, depend on the presence or absence of other grazers
429	and, therefore, cannot be deduced from the effects of their removal in isolation.
430	
431	Initially, grazer-driven changes in algal assemblage structure varied according to habitat.
432	Although the mechanisms driving this context-dependency remain unclear, there was some
433	indication of a greater overall response of algal assemblages, at least in terms of the number
434	of differences between treatment groups, in mussel beds compared to rock pools.
435	Nonetheless, the suggestion of a transient effect of habitat on community responses highlights
436	the importance of experimental duration in assessing the consequences of species loss from
437	complex ecosystems (O'Connor and Crowe 2005, Stachowicz et al. 2008). It is perhaps
438	surprising that habitat-dependent effects of grazer removal were not more common in our
439	study, given the contrasting patterns of environmental heterogeneity (Metaxas and Scheibling

440 1993) and inherent differences in the abundance and structure of grazer and algal assemblages 441 between habitats (e.g. the difference in limpet densities between mussel beds and rock pools). 442 Instead, for the majority of responses measured in our study, the effects of grazer removal on 443 algal assemblages were remarkably consistent across habitats. Although this may mean that 444 the effects of consumer loss on algal communities were not mediated strongly by local-scale 445 variability between habitats on the same shore, other processes such as variation in 446 recruitment or disturbance regimes may play a greater role over larger scales (Jenkins et al. 447 2005, Mrowicki et al. 2014). For example, divergent effects of grazer removal may emerge 448 even in similar habitats on different rocky shores separated by kilometres (Crowe et al. 2011). 449 While the consequences of changing diversity are expected to be more apparent over larger 450 spatial and temporal scales (Cardinale et al. 2004, Stachowicz et al. 2008), it is less clear how 451 the importance of abiotic factors in determining the effects of species loss varies across 452 multiple scales.

453

454 There are some caveats that should be considered when attempting to extend our findings to 455 rocky intertidal systems in general. First, the presence of experimental cages appeared to 456 influence the structure of algal assemblages, either directly, via shading or hydrodynamic 457 disruption, or indirectly, by altering the movement of grazers or providing habitat for other 458 consumers. Owing to the nature of the study system, cages were the most suitable means of 459 manipulating grazer populations over the timescale of the experiment, and it was not possible 460 to test whether cage effects interacted with grazer removal treatment. The fact that clear 461 differences emerged among caged treatments despite substantial environmental variability 462 within and between habitats, however, suggests that the observed effects of grazer species 463 loss may indeed be representative of unmanipulated, 'real world' communities within this 464 system. Second, it was found that total algal cover was not equivalent across treatments at the

465	start of the experiment, which may have influenced the responses of algal assemblages to
466	grazer removal. The initial pattern of algal cover, however, did not correspond with that
467	observed later in the experiment, in addition to the differences (or lack thereof) in richness
468	and evenness between treatments. Again, this suggests that grazer removal was the most
469	important force driving changes in algal assemblages over the course of the experiment.
470	While it is important to exercise caution in relating the results of manipulative studies to real
471	world scenarios, field-based removal experiments are useful for understanding how complex
472	ecosystems respond to species loss, serving as much-needed tests of fundamental ecological
473	theory (Díaz et al. 2003, Stachowicz et al. 2008, Gamfeldt et al. in press).
474	
475	In conclusion, our results demonstrate that the relative effects of the loss of key groups of
476	consumers can transcend different physical and biological conditions between habitats.
477	Specifically, limpets, which comprised predominantly Patella vulgata in mussel beds and P.
478	ulyssiponensis in rock pools, were of comparable importance, in relation to Littorina littorea
479	and Gibbula umbilicalis, in the maintenance of the abundance, diversity and structure of algal
480	assemblages. We found clear effects of grazer removal despite inherent environmental
481	heterogeneity both between and within habitats, which provides compelling evidence of the
482	overarching importance of these grazer species across the contexts examined in this study. On
483	European rocky shores, community processes and energy transfer are driven by the spatial
484	and temporal dynamics of algae, which in turn are regulated largely by the activities of such
485	mobile grazers (Hawkins and Hartnoll 1983). Therefore, although the applicability of our
486	findings to other rocky shore habitats remains to be tested, shifts in the dynamics of algal
487	communities resulting from changing compositions and densities of consumer populations
488	may have important consequences across multiple environmental contexts in coastal
489	ecosystems. Overall, while it is clear that biodiversity plays a fundamental role in driving

495	Acknowledgements
494	
493	(Hooper et al. 2012).
492	greatest influence, particularly against the current background of global environmental change
491	be enhanced by determining the range of relevant contexts and scales over which it has the
490	ecosystem functioning, our ability to predict the ecological consequences of species loss will

496

107	W 41 1 C T TT 1	T	D D	TT 1/	1.0		•	C	C 1
/14 /	We thank S I Hawking		N K	Houghton	and tour	anonymous	reviewerg	tor 1	ICATIL
エノ /	We mank D. J. Hawkins.	J. 1	D. N.	Houghton	and rour	anonymous		IUI (iseiui
				0		2			

498 comments that improved this manuscript substantially. We are grateful to M. Alexander, R.

499 Burgundy, N. Carey, C. Magill, E. Nolan, C. Reddin, E. Strain, R. Thomson and S. Vye for

solution assistance in the field. This study was completed as part of a PhD funded by the Department

501 for Employment and Learning Northern Ireland.

502

503 References

- 504
- 505 Airoldi, L. and Beck, M. W. 2007. Loss, status and trends for coastal marine habitats of

506 Europe. - Oceanogr. Mar. Biol. Annu. Rev. 45: 345–405.

- Altieri, A. H. et al. 2009. Consumers control diversity and functioning of a natural marine
 ecosystem. PLoS One 4: e5291.
- 509 Anderson, M. J. 2001. A new method for non-parametric multivariate analysis of variance. -
- 510 Austral Ecol. 26: 32–46.
- 511 Anderson, M. J. and ter Braak, C. J. F. 2003. Permutation tests for multi-factorial analysis of
- 512 variance. J. Stat. Comput. Sim. 73: 85–113.
- 513 Benedetti-Cecchi, L. 2004. Increasing accuracy of causal inference in experimental analyses
- 514 of biodiversity. Func. Ecol. 18: 761–768.

515	Benedetti-Cecchi, L. and Cinelli, F. 1997. Confounding in field experiments: direct and
516	indirect effects of artifacts due to the manipulation of limpets and macroalgae J. Exp.
517	Mar. Biol. Ecol. 209: 171–184.
518	Boyer, K. E. et al. 2009. Biodiversity effects on productivity and stability of marine
519	macroalgal communities: the role of environmental context Oikos 118: 1062–1072.
520	Byrnes, J. E. and Stachowicz, J. J. 2009. The consequences of consumer diversity loss:
521	different answers from different experimental designs Ecology 90: 2879–2888.
522	Cardinale, B. J. et al. 2004. Effects of species diversity on the primary productivity of
523	ecosystems: extending our spatial and temporal scales of inference Oikos 104: 437-
524	450.
525	Cardinale, B. J. et al. 2012. Biodiversity loss and its impact on humanity Nature 486: 59–
526	67.
527	Clarke, K. R. 1993. Non-parametric multivariate analyses of changes in community structure.
528	- Aust. J. Ecol. 18: 117–143.
529	Clarke, K. R. and Warwick, R. 2001. Change in marine communities: an approach to
530	statistical analysis and interpretation, 2 nd edition PRIMER-E Ltd., Plymouth, UK.
531	Clarke, K. R. et al. 2006. On resemblance measures for ecological studies, including
532	taxonomic dissimilarities and a zero-adjusted Bray-Curtis coefficient for denuded
533	assemblages J. Exp. Mar. Biol. Ecol. 330: 55-80.
534	Coleman, R. A. et al. 2006. A continental scale evaluation of the role of limpet grazing on
535	rocky shores Oecologia 147: 556–564.
536	Crowe, T. P. et al. 2011. Interactive effects of losing key grazers and ecosystem engineers
537	vary with environmental context Mar. Ecol. Prog. Ser. 430: 223-234.
538	Day, R. W. and Quinn, G. P. 1989. Comparisons of treatments after an analysis of variance in
539	ecology Ecol. Monogr. 59: 433-463.

- 540 Díaz, S. et al. 2003. Functional diversity revealed by removal experiments. Trends Ecol.
- 541 Evol. 18: 140–146.
- 542 Duffy, J. E. 2002. Biodiversity and ecosystem function: the consumer connection. Oikos 99:
- 543 201–219.
- 544 Duffy, J. E. et al. 2005. Ecosystem consequences of diversity depend on food chain length in
- 545 estuarine vegetation. Ecol. Lett. 8: 301–309.
- 546 Duffy, J. E. et al. 2007. The functional role of biodiversity in ecosystems: incorporating
- 547 trophic complexity. Ecol. Lett. 10: 522–538.
- 548 Firth, L. B. and Crowe, T. P. 2008. Large-scale coexistence and small-scale segregation of
- 549 key species on rocky shores. Hydrobiologia 614: 233–241.
- 550 Firth, L. B. and Crowe, T. P. 2010. Competition and habitat suitability: small-scale
- 551 segregation underpins large-scale coexistence of key species on temperate rocky shores. -
- 552 Oecologia 162: 163–174.
- 553 Gamfeldt, L. et al. In press. Marine biodiversity and ecosystem functioning: what's known
- and what's next? Oikos. DOI: 10.1111/oik.01549
- 555 Griffin, J. N. et al. 2009. Spatial heterogeneity increases the importance of species richness
- for an ecosystem process. Oikos 118: 1335–1342.
- Griffin, J. N. et al. 2010. Consumer effects on ecosystem functioning in rock pools: roles of
 species richness and composition. Mar. Ecol. Prog. Ser. 420: 45–56.
- 559 Griffin, J. N. et al. 2013. Effects of predator richness on prey suppression: a meta-analysis. -
- 560 Ecology 94: 2180–2187.
- 561 Harley, C. D. G. 2006. Effects of physical ecosystem engineering and herbivory on intertidal
- 562 community structure. Mar. Ecol. Prog. Ser. 317: 29–39.
- 563 Harley, C. D. G. et al. 2006. The impacts of climate change in coastal marine systems. Ecol.
- 564 Lett. 9: 228–241.

565	Hawkins	S 1	and Hartnoll	R	G	1983	Grazing	of intertidal	algae h	v marine	invertebrates	_
505	I I u w KIII S,		. una manunon	, Ι	О.	1705.	Oruzing	, or micruau	uigue 0	y maine	myoricoluco.	

- 566 Oceanogr. Mar. Biol. Annu. Rev. 21: 195–282.
- 567 Hawkins, S. J. et al. 2009. Consequences of climate-driven biodiversity changes for
- 568 ecosystem functioning of North European rocky shores. Mar. Ecol. Prog. Ser. 396: 245–
- 569 259.
- 570 Hooper, D. U. et al. 2012. A global synthesis reveals biodiversity loss as a major driver of
- 571 ecosystem change. Nature 486: 105–108.
- 572 Hughes, A. R. and Grabowski, J. H. 2006. Habitat context influences predator interference
- 573 interactions and the strength of resource partitioning. Oecologia 149: 256–264.
- 574 Jenkins, S. R. et al. 2005. Regional scale differences in the determinism of grazing effects in
- 575 the rocky intertidal. Mar. Ecol. Prog. Ser. 287: 77–86.
- 576 Jonsson, P. R. et al. 2006. Interactions between wave action and grazing control the

577 distribution of intertidal macroalgae. - Ecology 87: 1169–1178.

578 Kelaher, B. P. 2002. Influence of physical characteristics of coralline turf on associated

579 macrofaunal assemblages. - Mar. Ecol. Prog. Ser. 232: 141–148.

- 580 Loreau, M. et al. 2001. Biodiversity and ecosystem functioning: current knowledge and future
- 581 challenges. Science 294: 804–808.
- 582 Maggi, E. et al. 2009. Effects of changes in number, identity and abundance of habitat-
- forming species on assemblages of rocky seashores. Mar. Ecol. Prog. Ser. 381: 39–49.
- 584 McArdle, B. H. and Anderson, M. J. 2001. Fitting multivariate models to community data: a
- comment on distance-based redundancy analysis. Ecology 82: 290–297.
- 586 Metaxas, A. and Scheibling, R. E. 1993. Community structure and organization of tidepools. -
- 587 Mar. Ecol. Prog. Ser. 98: 187–198.

- 588 Mieszkowska, N. et al. 2005. Marine biodiversity and climate change: assessing and
- 589 predicting the influence of climatic change using intertidal rocky shore biota. Scottish
- 590 Natural Heritage Commissioned Report No. 202 (ROAME No. F01AA402).
- 591 Moore, P. et al. 2007. Effects of grazer identity on the probability of escapes by a canopy-
- 592 forming macroalga. J. Exp. Mar. Biol. Ecol. 344: 170–180.
- 593 Mrowicki, R. J. and O'Connor, N. E. In press. Wave action modifies the effects of consumer
- diversity and warming on algal assemblages. Ecology. DOI: 10.1890/14-0577.1
- 595 Mrowicki, R. J. et al. 2014. Does wave exposure determine the interactive effects of losing
- 596 key grazers and ecosystem engineers? J. Exp. Mar. Biol. Ecol. 461: 416–424.
- 597 Naeem, S. et al. 2009. Biodiversity, Ecosystem Functioning, and Human Wellbeing: An
- 598 Ecological and Economic Perspective. Oxford Univ. Press.
- 599 O'Connor, N. E. and Crowe, T. P. 2005. Biodiversity loss and ecosystem functioning:
- distinguishing between number and identity of species. Ecology 86: 1783–1796.
- 601 O'Connor, N. E. and Crowe, T. P. 2008. Do mussel patches provide a refuge for algae from

602 grazing gastropods? - J. Mollus. Stud. 74: 75–78.

- 603 O'Connor, N. E. and Donohue, I. 2013. Environmental context determines multi-trophic
- 604 effects of consumer species loss. Glob. Change Biol. 19: 431–440.
- 605 Peterson, C. H. and Black, R. 1994. An experimentalist's challenge: when artifacts of
- 606 intervention interact with treatments. Mar. Ecol. Prog. Ser. 111: 289–297.
- 607 R Development Core Team 2013. R: A language and environment for statistical computing.
- 608 in press. R Foundation for Statistical Computing, Vienna, Austria.
- 609 Seed, R. 1996. Patterns of biodiversity in the macro-invertebrate fauna associated with mussel
- 610 patches on rocky shores. J. Mar. Biol. Assoc. UK 76: 203–210.
- 611 Stachowicz, J. J. et al. 2007. Understanding the effects of marine biodiversity on communities
- and ecosystems. Annu. Rev. Ecol. Evol. Syst. 38: 739–766.

- 613 Stachowicz, J. J. et al. 2008. Complementarity in marine biodiversity manipulations:
- 614 reconciling divergent evidence from field and mesocosm experiments. P. Natl. Acad.
- 615 Sci. USA 105: 18842–18847.
- 616 Thompson, R. C. et al. 2002. Rocky intertidal communities: past environmental changes,
- 617 present status and predictions for the next 25 years. Environ. Conserv. 29: 168–191.
- 618 Underwood, A. J. 1997. Experiments in Ecology: Their Logical Design and Interpretation
- 619 Using Analysis of Variance. Cambridge Univ. Press.
- 620
- 621 Supplementary material (Appendix oik.XXXX at
- 622 <www.oikosjournal.org/readers/appendix>). Appendix 1–3.

623 Tables

- 624 **Table 1.** ANOVAs and PERMANOVA testing effects of habitat (mussel beds versus rock pools) and grazer removal treatments (non-removal;
- 625 single-removals of *Patella*, *Littorina* and *Gibbula*; multi-removal of all three grazers) on macroalgal (a) total cover change, (b) taxonomic
- first field for the second se

		(a) Total	cover ch	ange [†]	(b) Rich	(b) Richness			enness		(d) Assemblage structure		
Source of variation	DF	MS	F	Р	MS	F	Р	MS	F	Р	MS	Pseudo-F	Р
Three months:					R								
Habitat, H	1	23.93	0.07	0.789	455.62	236.69	<0.001	0.	62 13.1	3 0.001	64400.00	93.74	<0.001
Grazer removal, Gr	4	479.77	1.46	0.240	19.29	10.02	<0.001	0.	16 3.4	1 0.021	2155.10	3.14	<0.001
Single vs. multi	1	802.15	2.43	0.129	37.50	19.48	<0.001	0.	18 3.7	8 0.061	3086.90	3.91	0.006
$\mathrm{H} imes \mathrm{Gr}$	4	62.87	0.19	0.941	3.81	1.98	0.123	0.	06 1.2	9 0.295	1771.70	2.58	<0.001
Residual	30	329.59			1.92			0.	05		686.99		
15 months:													
Н	1	1329.00	6.13	0.019	250.00	100.00	<0.001	0.	20 5.4	5 0.026	56223.00	75.95	<0.001
Gr	4	1510.80	6.96	<0.001	37.96	15.19	<0.001	0.	09 2.6	0 0.056	3770.90	5.09	<0.001
Single vs. multi	1	3711.80	17.11	<0.001	82.51	33.00	<0.001	0.	12 3.4	2 0.074	7052.70	7.74	<0.001
$\mathrm{H} imes \mathrm{Gr}$	4	199.60	0.92	0.465	0.69	0.28	0.892	0.	02 0.6	3 0.644	1090.10	1.47	0.089
Residual	30	216.90			2.50			0.	04		740.24		

627 included as covariates. Significant *P*-values are highlighted in bold.

628 [†]Transformation of data for three months was unable to stabilise heterogeneous variances.

629	Table 2. SIMPER analysis of algal assemblage structure across both habitats (mussel beds
630	and rock pools) after 15 months, comparing the treatments involving the removal of Patella
631	(the Patella single-removal treatment and the multi-removal treatment) to all other grazer
632	removal treatments collectively (the non-removal treatment and the Littorina and Gibbula
633	single-removal treatments). $\overline{\delta}_i$ /SD(δ_i) = average species contribution to group dissimilarity
634	divided by standard deviation of contributions; $\overline{\delta}_i \%$ = percent contribution of species to
635	overall between-group dissimilarity. Calculations are based on $log_{10}(x+1)$ -transformed species
636	abundances. Only the most important species ($\bar{\delta}_i > 3\%$) are shown.

	multi-removal	Other removal		
Species	treatments	treatments	$\overline{\delta}_i/\mathrm{SD}(\delta_i)$	$\overline{\delta}_i \%$
Fucus vesiculosus	11.91	• 0.50	1.50	13.32
Lithothamnia spp.	18.62	27.60	1.04	12.69
Corallina officinalis †	30.66	19.79	1.08	12.59
F. spiralis	6.15	0.07	0.87	8.82
Ceramium shuttleworthianum ‡	1.37	2.17	0.86	6.97
Gelidium pusillum	2.37	1.44	0.98	5.44
Cladophora rupestris	2.37	1.58	1.15	5.18
Fucus sp. (juvenile)	0.95	0.04	1.05	4.51
Asparagopsis armata †	0.58	1.84	0.90	3.73
Ulva intestinalis [‡]	0.88	0.13	0.55	3.39
Polysiphonia fucoides [†]	0.94	1.45	0.86	3.30

637 [†]Recorded in rock pools only; [‡]Recorded in mussel beds only.

638 Figure legends

639

640 Figure 1. Mean (+ or - SE) macroalgal (a,b) total cover change, (c,d) species richness and 641 (e,f) evenness for different grazer removal treatments (None = non-removal; P, L and G =642 single-removal of *Patella*, *Littorina* and *Gibbula*, respectively; PLG = multi-removal of all 643 three grazers) in mussel beds (shaded bars, M) and rock pools (open bars, R), after (a,c,e) 644 three and (b,d,f) 15 months. 'M <> R' indicates a significant difference between habitats (*P < 0.05, **P < 0.01, ***P < 0.001), based on ANOVA results. Letters denote grazer removal 645 646 groups (i.e. across both levels of habitat) that are not significantly different ($P \ge 0.05$), based 647 on post hoc SNK tests, to illustrate significant main effects of grazer removal independently 648 of habitat. 649 650 Figure 2. Non-metric MDS ordinations of macroalgal assemblages for different grazer 651 removal treatments (None = non-removal; P, L and G = single-removal of Patella, Littorina 652 and *Gibbula*, respectively; PLG = multi-removal of all three grazers) in (a,b) mussel beds and653 (c,d) rock pools after (a,c) three and (b,d) 15 months, based on $log_{10}(x+1)$ -transformed species 654 abundances. Care should be taken when interpreting plots for which stress > 0.2 (Clarke

655 1993).





Rock pools

CONSISTENT EFFECTS OF CONSUMER SPECIES LOSS ACROSS DIFFERENT HABITATS

ROBERT J. MROWICKI, CHRISTINE A. MAGGS & NESSA E. O'CONNOR

Appendix 1. Results of tests for differences in algal assemblages among treatments at the start of the experiment.

Table A1. ANOVAs and PERMANOVA testing effects of habitat (mussel beds versus rock pools) and grazer removal treatments (non-removal; single-removals of *Patella*, *Littorina* and *Gibbula*; multi-removal of all three grazers) on macroalgal (a) total cover, (b) taxonomic richness, (c) Simpson's evenness $(1-\lambda)$ and (d) assemblage structure at the start of the experiment in July 2011. Significant *P*-values are highlighted in bold.

Source of variation DF		(a) Total cover			(b) Richness			(c) Evenness [†]			(d) Assemblage structure		
		MS	F	Р	MS	F	Р	MS	F	Р	MS	Pseudo-F	Р
Habitat, H	1	156622.00	615.84	<0.001	161.33	80.67	<0.001	0.60	20.38	<0.001	69095.00	76.70	<0.001
Grazer removal, Gr	5	816.00	3.21	0.017 [‡]	4.88	2.44	0.053	0.05	1.54	0.201	1407.00	1.56	0.073
$\mathrm{H} imes \mathrm{Gr}$	5	294.00	1.16	0.350	2.43	1.22	0.321	0.02	0.70	0.630	1307.30	1.45	0.114
Residual	36	254.00			2.00			0.03			900.85		

[†]Data were squared to improve normality and stabilise heterogeneous variances; [‡]Student-Newman-Keuls post hoc tests were unable to resolve differences fully among grazer removal treatments.

CONSISTENT EFFECTS OF CONSUMER SPECIES LOSS ACROSS DIFFERENT HABITATS

ROBERT J. MROWICKI, CHRISTINE A. MAGGS & NESSA E. O'CONNOR

Appendix 2. Results of tests for the effects of experimental cages on algal assemblages.

Table A2. ANOVAs and PERMANOVA testing the effects of habitat (mussel beds versus rock pools) and the presence of cages (caged nonremoval treatment versus uncaged control treatment) on macroalgal (a) total cover change, (b) taxonomic richness, (c) Simpson's evenness $(1-\lambda)$ and (d) assemblage structure, after three (October 2011) and 15 (October 2012) months. Significant *P*-values are highlighted in bold.

Source of variation	DF	(a) Total o	cover chan	ige [†]	(b) Rich	ness		(c) Ever	nness‡		(d) Assemblage structure		
		MS	F	Р	MS	F	Р	MS	F	Р	MS	Pseudo-F	Р
Three months:													
Habitat, H	1	7.91	0.02	0.894	126.56	69.83	<0.001	0.2	9 18.57	0.001	26479.00	30.47	<0.001
Cage, C	1	0.35	0.00	0.978	10.56	5.83	0.033	0.0	6 4.10	0.066	2541.60	2.92	0.029
$\mathbf{H} \times \mathbf{C}$	1	243.17	0.57	0.465	0.06	0.03	0.856	0.0	0.64	0.439	1807.90	2.08	0.096
Residual	12	426.59			1.81			0.02	2		869.07		
15 months:													
Н	1	2×10^{-4}	3×10^{-3}	0.959	110.25	31.88	<0.001	0.0.	3 0.52	0.486	25273.00	28.18	<0.001
С	1	0.05	0.74	0.408	4.00	1.16	0.303	0.2	7 5.23	0.041	1046.60	1.17	0.310
$H \times C$	1	0.08	1.21	0.292	4.00	1.16	0.303	0.1	1 2.09	0.174	1183.70	1.32	0.254
Residual	12	0.07			3.46			0.03	5		896.76		

[†]Data for 15 months were squared to stabilise heterogeneous variances; [‡]Data for three months were squared to improve non-normality.

CONSISTENT EFFECTS OF CONSUMER SPECIES LOSS ACROSS DIFFERENT HABITATS

ROBERT J. MROWICKI, CHRISTINE A. MAGGS & NESSA E. O'CONNOR

Appendix 3. Results of post hoc tests for differences in algal assemblage structure among experimental treatments.

Table A3. PERMANOVA post hoc pairwise tests of differences in algal assemblage structure among grazer removal treatments (None = non-removal; P, L and G = single-removal of *Patella, Littorina* and *Gibbula*, respectively; PLG = multi-removal of all three grazers), (a) after three months, for mussel beds and rock pools separately, and (b) 15 months, across both habitats. Significant *P*-values are highlighted in bold.

	(a) Three months ^{\dagger}				(b) 15 months		
	Mussel beds		Rock pools		Both	Both habitats	
Comparison	t	Р	t	Р	t	Р	
None vs. P	1.38	0.161	1.31	0.178	1.88	0.012	
None vs. L	1.07	0.339	1.48	0.100	1.14	0.280	
None vs. G	1.08	0.337	1.36	0.152	0.88	0.555	
None vs. PLG	1.59	0.099	2.44	0.011	3.38	<0.001	
P vs. L	2.47	0.016	1.31	0.180	2.27	0.003	
P vs. G	2.14	0.022	0.97	0.443	2.00	0.009	
P vs. PLG	1.18	0.272	1.31	0.176	1.49	0.054	
L vs. G	0.68	0.686	1.21	0.233	1.03	0.407	
L vs. PLG	2.98	0.007	1.17	0.273	3.37	<0.001	
G vs. PLG	2.34	0.012	1.54	0.078	3.41	0.001	

[†]Owing to the low number of possible permutations (\leq 35), Monte Carlo asymptotic *P*-values, rather than standard permutational *P*-values, are presented.