**QUEEN'S
UNIVERSITY
BELFAST**

Thermodynamics of trajectories of a quantum harmonic oscillator coupled to N baths

Pigeon, S., Fusco, L., Xuereb, A., Chiara, G. D., & Paternostro, M. (2015). Thermodynamics of trajectories of a quantum harmonic oscillator coupled to N baths. *Physical Review A (Atomic, Molecular, and Optical Physics)*, 92(1), [013844]. <https://doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevA.92.013844>

Published in:
Physical Review A (Atomic, Molecular, and Optical Physics)

Document Version:
Publisher's PDF, also known as Version of record

Queen's University Belfast - Research Portal:
[Link to publication record in Queen's University Belfast Research Portal](#)

Publisher rights
©2015 American Physical Society

General rights
Copyright for the publications made accessible via the Queen's University Belfast Research Portal is retained by the author(s) and / or other copyright owners and it is a condition of accessing these publications that users recognise and abide by the legal requirements associated with these rights.

Take down policy
The Research Portal is Queen's institutional repository that provides access to Queen's research output. Every effort has been made to ensure that content in the Research Portal does not infringe any person's rights, or applicable UK laws. If you discover content in the Research Portal that you believe breaches copyright or violates any law, please contact openaccess@qub.ac.uk.

Thermodynamics of trajectories of a quantum harmonic oscillator coupled to N baths

Simon Pigeon,^{1,*} Lorenzo Fusco,¹ André Xuereb,^{2,1} Gabriele De Chiara,¹ and Mauro Paternostro¹¹*Centre for Theoretical Atomic, Molecular and Optical Physics, School of Mathematics and Physics, Queen's University Belfast, Belfast BT7 1NN, United Kingdom*²*Department of Physics, University of Malta, Msida MSD2080, Malta*

(Received 20 November 2014; published 24 July 2015)

We undertake a thorough analysis of the thermodynamics of the trajectories followed by a quantum harmonic oscillator coupled to N dissipative baths by using an approach to large-deviation theory inspired by phase-space quantum optics. As an illustrative example, we study the archetypal case of a harmonic oscillator coupled to two thermal baths, allowing for a comparison with the analogous classical result. In the low-temperature limit, we find a significant quantum suppression in the rate of work exchanged between the system and each bath. We further show how the presented method is capable of giving analytical results even for the case of a driven harmonic oscillator. Based on that result, we analyze the laser cooling of the motion of a trapped ion or optomechanical system, illustrating how the emission statistics can be controllably altered by the driving force.

DOI: [10.1103/PhysRevA.92.013844](https://doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevA.92.013844)

PACS number(s): 42.50.Ar, 03.65.Yz, 05.70.Ln, 05.30.—d

I. INTRODUCTION

The description of the dynamics resulting from the interaction of a quantum system with its environment is one of the key goals of modern quantum physics. We currently lack a fully satisfactory description of the multifaceted implications of the interaction between a quantum system and its surrounding world, as well as efficient ways to account for the open-system dynamics that results from such couplings. Very useful object-specific approaches, inspired by specific experimental contexts and exploited with remarkable success, have been developed in the past, such as input-output theory for quantum optics [1], and full counting statistics for fermionic systems [2]. The formal description of the evolution of an open system, on the other hand, is typically tackled through a master equation [3]. Recently, a promising approach came to light, combining the quantum master equation and large-deviation theory [4]. Unlike others, this approach applies to any dissipative quantum systems, paving the way to a standard description of dynamics of open quantum systems in terms of thermodynamics of trajectories, and thus enriching the toolbox of instruments available for the analysis of the phenomenology of the system–environment interaction in a substantive way.

In the context of statistical physics, large-deviation theory has led to the development of a powerful tool to characterize the dynamic and thermodynamic behavior of nonequilibrium classical systems [5]. Based on the long-time limit of the probability distribution associated with the trajectories of a particular observable, this method is currently used as a benchmark to define thermodynamic quantities and relations in classical nonequilibrium systems [6,7]. Following the proposal put forward in Ref. [4] to extend this method to the quantum regime, several different problems have been addressed, proving the efficacy of this approach in shedding new light on the dynamics of exchange between a quantum system and its environment [8–10].

Despite being at the heart of a rather effective method, the calculation of the large-deviation function itself—which

encodes all the relevant information about a chosen counting process—often faces practical difficulties in both classical and quantum cases. Indeed, in most cases the analytical calculation of the large-deviation function remains out of reach, while the numerical estimation of such function is strongly affected by the size of the phase or Hilbert space of the system.

In this paper we consider a paradigmatic system in quantum mechanics, a quantum harmonic oscillator connected to N arbitrary baths whose dynamics is governed by a master equation in Lindblad form. This system is a fundamental building block of quantum optics and is used to describe a large variety of situations, including the motion of trapped ions and molecules, cavity and circuit quantum electrodynamic systems, and many-body systems. One of our key results is an analytical expression for the large-deviation function of this frequently encountered infinite-dimensional Hilbert space problem (i.e., a continuous-variable system). In the case where the harmonic oscillator is coupled to two thermal baths, we compare our results to the classical case, showing perfect agreement at high temperatures and an unexpected quantum suppression at low temperatures. Following this, we analyze a driven harmonic oscillator, again presenting analytical results for the large-deviation function. Far from being an exclusively descriptive approach, we show how to engineer the output of a quantum harmonic oscillator to read physically meaningful internal quantities. As an example of the practical application of the presented method we will consider a trapped ion or optomechanical system and see how the large-deviation function gives access to internal degrees of freedom through the outgoing flux of quanta, and how driving can significantly enhance this flux.

II. MODEL

We consider a quantum harmonic oscillator coupled to N baths; the system Hamiltonian is defined as $H = \omega(a^\dagger a + \frac{1}{2})$ with ω the harmonic oscillator frequency (we use units such that $\hbar = 1$). Under the Born-Markov approximation, the coupling between the system and the i th bath ($1 \leq i \leq N$) is modeled through the superoperator

$$\mathcal{L}_i(\bullet) = \bar{\Gamma}_i(2a^\dagger \bullet a - \{\bullet, aa^\dagger\}) + \Gamma_i(2a \bullet a^\dagger - \{\bullet, a^\dagger a\}), \quad (1)$$

*Corresponding author: s.pigeon@qub.ac.uk

allowing the exchange of quanta both to the bath (with a rate $\Gamma_i \geq 0$) and from it ($\bar{\Gamma}_i \geq 0$). The dynamics of such a system will obey the master equation $\partial_t \rho = \mathcal{W}[\rho]$ where ρ is the density matrix associated to the harmonic oscillator and $\mathcal{W}[\bullet] = -i[H, \bullet] + \sum_{i=1}^N \mathcal{L}_i[\bullet]$ is the superoperator governing the open dynamics.

In order to describe the dynamical behavior of the system we will focus on a counting process K associated with the net number of quanta leaving the system to bath 1, which we refer to as the reference bath. We can unravel the master equation of the reduced density matrix by projecting it onto a particular number of quanta, i.e., $\partial_t \rho = P^K \mathcal{W}[\rho]$ where P^K is a projector over trajectories counting K net exchanged quanta. Thus, $p_K(t) = \text{Tr}\{P^K \rho(t)\}$ represents the probability of observing such a trajectory. The moment-generating function associated to $p_K(t)$ is $Z(t, s) = \sum_{K=0}^{\infty} e^{-sK} p_K(t) = \text{Tr}\{\rho_s(t)\}$ [4], with $\rho_s(t) = \sum_{K=0}^{\infty} e^{-sK} \rho^K(t)$, where s is the bias parameter. The biased density operator $\rho_s(t)$ evolves according to the modified master equation $\partial_t \rho_s = (\mathcal{V} + \mathcal{L}_s)[\rho_s]$, where

$$\mathcal{L}_s[\bullet] = 2\Gamma_1(e^{-s} - 1)a \bullet a^\dagger + 2\bar{\Gamma}_1(e^s - 1)a^\dagger \bullet a. \quad (2)$$

In the long-time limit, large-deviation theory applies and we can write $Z(t, s) \rightarrow e^{t\theta(s)}$, where the large-deviation function $\theta(s)$ represents the system's dynamical free energy [4]. Consequently, we have $\theta(s) = \lim_{t \rightarrow \infty} \ln(\text{Tr}\{\rho_s(t)\})/t$.

We define the symmetrically ordered characteristic function associated to $\rho_s(t)$, i.e., $\chi(\beta) = \text{Tr}\{\exp(i\beta a^\dagger - i\beta^* a)\rho_s\}$ [1] with $\beta \in \mathbb{C}$ and β^* its complex conjugate. This leads to the Fokker-Planck equation $\partial_t \chi(\beta) = \mathcal{X}[\chi(\beta)]$, where

$$\begin{aligned} \mathcal{X}[\bullet] = & \left[\left(i\omega + \frac{\Delta_-}{2} \right) \beta^* \partial_{\beta^*} - \left(i\omega - \frac{\Delta_-}{2} \right) \beta \partial_\beta - \frac{\Delta_+}{2} |\beta|^2 \right. \\ & - 4f_+(s) \left(\partial_\beta \partial_{\beta^*} + \frac{1}{4} |\beta|^2 \right) \\ & \left. - 2f_-(s) (\beta^* \partial_{\beta^*} + \beta \partial_\beta + 1) \right] \bullet, \end{aligned} \quad (3)$$

$\Delta_\pm = \sum_{i=1}^N (\Gamma_i \pm \bar{\Gamma}_i)$, and $f_\pm(s) = \frac{1}{2} [\Gamma_1(e^{-s} - 1) \pm \bar{\Gamma}_1(e^s - 1)]$. A key feature of the present system and its associated dynamics is that, owing to the quadratic nature of the operators being involved, the Gaussian nature of the characteristic function is ensured, even including the superoperator (2) in the dynamics. Whatever its initial state, the system will always evolve towards the same Gaussian steady state. Consequently, we will consider a Gaussian ansatz

$$\chi(p, q) = A(t) \exp(i\mathbf{u}' \boldsymbol{\mu}(t) - \frac{1}{2} \mathbf{u}' \Sigma(t) \mathbf{u}), \quad (4)$$

where $\mathbf{u} = (p, q)'$, $\boldsymbol{\mu}(t) = [x(t), y(t)]'$ is the vector of first moments,

$$\Sigma(t) = \begin{pmatrix} \sigma_x & \sigma_{xy} \\ \sigma_{xy} & \sigma_y \end{pmatrix} \quad (5)$$

is the covariance matrix, and $A(t)$ is an amplitude, which arises due to the trace nonpreserving character of the superoperator in Eq. (2). Normalization of $\rho_s(t)$, i.e., $A(t) = 1$, is recovered for $s = 0$. In writing $\chi(\beta)$ we introduced the quadrature variables $q = (\beta + \beta^*)/\sqrt{2}$ and $p = i(\beta^* - \beta)/\sqrt{2}$. Using the completeness of the coherent states, we can write $\rho_s = (1/\pi) \int \chi(\beta) D^\dagger(\beta) d^2\beta$ [11] with $D(\beta) = \exp(\beta a^\dagger - \beta^* a)$

the displacement operator. Finally, noting that $\text{Tr}\{D(\beta)\} = \pi \delta^2(\beta)$, we have $\text{Tr}\{\rho_s\} = A(t)$. The procedure used to define $\rho_s(t)$ through the introduction of the superoperator (2) has the effect of encoding the information relating to the counting process in the trace of $\rho_s(t)$. Consequently, determining $A(t)$ is sufficient to obtain the large-deviation function $\theta(s)$.

Inserting Eq. (4) into Eq. (3) and identifying the different moments of p and q we can determine the evolution of the different parameters entering $\chi(\beta)$. Starting from an initial thermal state, $x(0) = y(0) = \sigma_{xy}(0) = 0$, we can deduce that $x(t) = y(t) = \sigma_{xy}(t) = 0$ for all t . This leads to a symmetric solution, such that $\sigma_x(t) = \sigma_y(t) \equiv \sigma(t)$ for all t . The evolution equations of the Gaussian parameters then reduce to two:

$$\begin{aligned} \partial_t \sigma(t) &= -2(\Delta_- + 2f_-(s))\sigma(t) + 2\Delta_+ + 2f_+(s)(\sigma(t)^2 + 1), \\ \partial_t A(t) &= 2(f_+(s)\sigma(t) - f_-(s))A(t). \end{aligned} \quad (6)$$

In this case, the large-deviation function can be written as

$$\theta(s) = 2 \lim_{t \rightarrow \infty} \frac{1}{t} \int_0^t (f_+(s)\sigma(\tau) - f_-(s)) d\tau. \quad (7)$$

Notice that the effects linked to initial conditions and transients disappear in the long-time limit, since the system has a unique steady state, and thus do not appear in the large-deviation function. For the same reasons, any initial state will evolve to the state described by $\theta(s)$.

In order for the obtained state to be physically admissible, $\sigma(t)$ must be real and positive. To fulfill this criterion we require that $\Gamma_1 \geq \bar{\Gamma}_1$ and $s^- \leq s \leq s^+$, where

$$e^{s^\pm} = \frac{1}{A} \left(B \pm \sqrt{B^2 - AC} \right), \quad (8)$$

$A = 4\bar{\Gamma}_1(\Delta_+ + \Delta_- - 2\Gamma_1)$, $B = 2\bar{\Gamma}_1(\Delta_+ + \Delta_-) + 2\Gamma_1(\Delta_+ - \Delta_- - 4\bar{\Gamma}_1) + \Delta_-^2$, and $C = 4\Gamma_1(\Delta_- - \Delta_+ + 2\bar{\Gamma}_1)$. This ensures the existence of a steady state, and thus stationary values of both $\sigma(t)$ and $A(t)$, so that we can legitimately use large-deviation theory [7]. After a straightforward calculation we find the large-deviation function

$$\theta(s) = \Delta_- - \sqrt{(\Delta_- + 2f_-(s))^2 - 4f_+(s)(\Delta_+ + f_+(s))}, \quad (9)$$

which is such that $\theta(0) = 0$, as required by the normalization of $\rho(t)$.

Having at hand the large-deviation function, we can obtain access to key figures of merit of the system. The most immediate one is the mean net activity $k(0) = -\partial_s \theta(s)|_{s=0}$, which represents the mean rate of excitations exchanged between the system and the reference bath. In our case, it takes the explicit form $k(0) = (\Gamma_1 - \bar{\Gamma}_1)\Delta_+/\Delta_- - (\Gamma_1 + \bar{\Gamma}_1)$. A second quantity of much relevance to quantum optics that is directly accessible from $\theta(s)$ is the Mandel Q factor [12], $Q(0) = -\partial_s^2 \theta(s)/\partial_s \theta(s)|_{s=0} - 1$. The Q factor is related to the variance of the number of exchanged quanta, and is therefore useful in characterizing counting statistics. In the specific case where $\bar{\Gamma}_1 = 0$ (e.g., when the bath in question is thermal and at zero temperature), the Mandel Q factor will be directly related to the variance of emitted quanta to the reference bath and be expressed as $Q(0) = \Gamma_1(\Delta_+ - \Delta_-)/\Delta_-^2$. For physical reasons we need to have $\Delta_+ \geq \Delta_-$; we can thus conclude that no antibunching [$Q(0) < 0$] can be obtained with the scenario in question [13]: A quantum harmonic oscillator coupled to any

FIG. 1. (Color online) Large-deviation function $\theta(s)$ for different temperatures of the second thermal bath. From top to bottom ($0 < s \lesssim 0.1$), we have $n_2 = 20$ (red), $n_2 = 30$ (green), and $n_2 = 60$ (blue). The vertical dashed lines highlight the branch points for each curve. ($n_1 = 10$, $\gamma_1 = \gamma_2/2 = 0.01$.)

number of baths will not exhibit antibunching in the excitations it emits to any one of those baths.

III. THERMAL-BATH CASE

Let us consider the explicit situation of two thermal baths, where $\Gamma_i \equiv \gamma_i(n_i + 1)/2$ and $\bar{\Gamma}_i \equiv \gamma_i n_i/2$, with n_i the mean number of excitations in bath $i = 1, 2$ and γ_i the coupling strength between the system and the bath. For $N > 2$ baths, we may group the baths with $i \geq 2$ into one superbath; the situation we consider here is thus general. We show in Fig. 1 the corresponding large-deviation function $\theta(s)$, as defined in Eq. (9), for different temperatures n_2 of the second bath. We can see that increasing this temperature tends to increase the curvature of $\theta(s)$ and brings about an associated increase of the mean net activity $k(0)$. The curves demonstrate the expected Gallavotti-Cohen symmetry property [10,14] of $\theta(s)$, i.e., $\theta(s)$ is symmetric about some $s = s_0$, and features two branch points, at s_+ and s_- ; these branch points are related to exponential tails of the probability distribution $p_K(t)$, and are well-known features of large-deviation functions [7].

For the classical analog of this system, the associated large-deviation function has been derived analytically through different methods [15,16]; the resulting function also exhibits two branch points and the Gallavotti-Cohen symmetry. To compare the two results quantitatively, we note that the number of excitations n_i in each bath can be related to a temperature T_i as $n_i = 1/(e^{\hbar\omega/k_B T_i} - 1)$ [1]. In the high-temperature limit, $n_i \sim T_i$ and we obtain a perfect match between the classical large-deviation function [15,16] and its quantum counterpart Eq. (9). Turning to the opposite limit, where $T_i \rightarrow 0$, we find that a prominent difference appears: There is a significant suppression of mean net activity in the quantum case. These features are shown in Fig. 2, where we plot the mean net rate of excitations exchanged between the system and bath 1 [i.e., $k(0)$] as a function of the temperature T_1 of this bath, for both classical and quantum harmonic oscillators. At high temperatures, the classical (full red line) and quantum (green dashed) results converge, while at low temperatures the two differ markedly. The net number of excitations exchanged, which can be related to the average work done by the harmonic

FIG. 2. (Color online) Mean rate of excitations exchanged [i.e., mean net activity $k(0)$] between the harmonic oscillator and its reference bath as a function of the bath temperature T_1 . The classical case is illustrated by the red solid curve and the quantum case by the green dashed curve. ($T_2 = 2T_1$, $\gamma_1 = \gamma_2/2 = 0.01$.)

oscillator on the reference bath, is smaller in the quantum case than in the classical one; we conjecture that this is due to the discrete nature of the excitation-exchange process in the quantum case. The approach developed here allows us to investigate these features without the limitations imposed by a numerical approach based on a truncated Hilbert space.

IV. DRIVEN HARMONIC OSCILLATOR

We now consider the generic case of a driven harmonic oscillator connected to N baths. The Hamiltonian will be modified to include a driving term $\mathcal{F}(t)(a^\dagger + a)$, where $\mathcal{F}(t)$ represents the time-dependent amplitude of the driving force. The calculation in this case proceeds similarly to the preceding one, the difference being that the dynamical equation for the characteristic function, $\mathcal{X}[\bullet]$, will now include the driving-dependent term $4\omega\mathcal{F}(t)\bullet$. Under these conditions, the set of differential equations for the Gaussian parameters can no longer be reduced to the simple form Eq. (6), since we have

$$\begin{aligned}\partial_t x(t) &= -\omega y(t) + (2f_+(s)\sigma(t) - 2f_-(s) - \Delta_-)x(t), \\ \partial_t y(t) &= \omega(x(t) + 4\mathcal{F}(t)) + (2f_+(s)\sigma(t) - 2f_-(s) - \Delta_-)y(t).\end{aligned}\quad (10)$$

Furthermore, the second equation in Eqs. (6) is modified to

$$\partial_t A(t) = (f_+(s)(2\sigma(t) + x^2(t) + y^2(t)) - 2f_-(s))A(t). \quad (11)$$

Consequently, we can write $\theta(s) = \theta_{\text{osc}}(s) + \theta_d(s)$, where $\theta_{\text{osc}}(s)$ stands for the large-deviation function of the bare harmonic oscillator as defined in Eq. (9), and $\theta_d(s)$ the contribution coming from the driving. Solving Eqs. (10) analytically is possible. As was done previously, we consider the long-time limit, where any transient effects are discarded. Consequently, we may simply consider the steady state σ_{st} of $\sigma(t)$ to solve Eqs. (10). We find, for $s_- \leq s \leq s_+$ and independently of $\mathcal{F}(t)$,

$$\sigma_{\text{st}} = (\Delta_- + 2f_-(s) - \Sigma(s))/(2f_+(s)) \quad (12)$$

with $\Sigma(s) = \sqrt{(\Delta_- + 2f_-(s))^2 - 4f_+(s)(\Delta_+ + f_+(s))}$. In order to proceed further, we must specify the form of the driving

force $\mathcal{F}(t)$; we shall now consider two cases, (i) constant driving and (ii) periodic driving. In the former case, we take $\mathcal{F}(t) = \mathcal{F}$, and the driving contribution to $\theta(s)$ is

$$\theta_d(s) = \frac{16\mathcal{F}^2\omega^2}{\Sigma(s)^2 + \omega^2} f_+(s). \quad (13)$$

In the latter, we take $\mathcal{F}(t) = \mathcal{F} \cos[(\omega + \delta)t]$ and find

$$\theta_d(s) = \frac{8\mathcal{F}^2\omega^2}{\Sigma(s)^2 + \delta^2} \left(1 - \frac{2\omega(\omega + \delta)}{\Sigma(s)^2 + (2\omega + \delta)^2} \right) f_+(s) \quad (14)$$

for $\delta \neq -\omega$. In the special case $\delta = -\omega$, the driving is no longer periodic and Eq. (13) must be used; continuity of $\theta_d(s)$ at $\delta = -\omega$ fails because of the interplay between the long-time limit and the time-dependence of the driving [17]. However, from both results we see that the large-deviation function scales as the square of the excitation amplitude, leading to the conclusion that the mean net activity or any other moment of the distribution of interest will scale quadratically with the excitation amplitude.

In order to see how these results apply to practical situations, we consider now the cooling of the motional degree of freedom of a trapped ion, or an equivalent optomechanical system, and use the large-deviation function to interpret the resulting statistics of excitations exchanged.

V. ION/OPTOMECHANICAL COOLING CASE

Let us consider now a trapped ion that is laser cooled by a field in a standing-wave configuration; application to optomechanics follows analogously. In the Lamb-Dicke limit, the system can be modeled as a quantum harmonic oscillator coupled to a single bath. The corresponding coefficients Γ_1 and $\bar{\Gamma}_1$ can be found in Ref. [18] and depend on the frequency of ion motion ω , the Rabi frequency associated with the internal degree of freedom of the ion Ω , the relative position of the ion with respect to the standing wave φ , the spontaneous emission rate of the ion, and the detuning between the laser and the ion transition frequency Δ . It can be shown that the steady-state mean number of excitations of the ion motion is $n_{\text{st}} = \bar{\Gamma}_1/(\Gamma_1 - \bar{\Gamma}_1)$. A similar approach can be used to treat the sideband cooling of an optomechanical oscillator [19]. In scenarios such as these, where we only have one bath, the counting process referring to the net number of excitations exchanged is meaningless, since it gives $\theta(s) = 0$ for all s . We therefore modify the arguments in the preceding sections and focus on the outgoing flux of quanta K . The results obtained before will take similar form, with the modification $f_{\pm}(s) \rightarrow \Gamma_1(e^{-s} - 1)/2$. From what was stated previously we see that with no driving, there is a direct relation between n_{st} and the statistics of outgoing quanta: $k(0) = 2n_{\text{st}}\Gamma_1$ and $Q(0) = 2n_{\text{st}}^2\Gamma_1/\bar{\Gamma}_1$. Thanks to the large-deviation function Eq. (9), measuring the different moments of the outgoing flux of quanta yields directly relevant physical properties of the system, e.g., the mean number of excitations.

Let us consider a particular set of parameters to illustrate our results; we take a small Rabi frequency $\Omega = \omega/2$, a negative detuning Δ , and a relative position $\varphi = \pi/4$, following Ref. [18]. The expected steady-state excitation number of the ion motion is reported against Δ in the left panel of Fig. 3. We see that a minimum population close to zero is reached for

FIG. 3. (Color online) Left: The steady-state number of phonons, n_{st} , in the vibrational state of a trapped ion against the detuning Δ between the ion's internal transition and the laser field; note that n_{st} is independent of the driving. Right: $\ln k(0)$ for the same situation, and for three different driving scenarios; from top to bottom we have (i) periodic driving with $\mathcal{F} = 10$ (blue curve), (ii) constant driving with $\mathcal{F} = 10$ (green), and (iii) no driving ($\mathcal{F} = 0$; red). We have used the parameters $\delta = 0$, $\Omega/\omega = 0.5$, $\gamma/\omega = 1$, $\varphi = \pi/4$.

suitable detuning. In the right panel we report the mean activity, on a logarithmic scale, under the same conditions. The red line corresponds to Eq. (9) with no driving. We can see that the activity, especially for large $|\Delta|$, is extremely small; this makes it hard to collect enough excitations to compute the statistics of the outgoing quanta. Nevertheless, through adequate driving this activity can be enhanced, leading to conditions suitable to the experimental analysis of the statistics. For example, $k(0)$ gains an extra component that depends on $\mathcal{F}$ and that reads

$$k_{\mathcal{F}}(0) = \frac{4\mathcal{F}^2\omega^2\Gamma_1 n_{\text{st}}^2}{\bar{\Gamma}_1^2 + n_{\text{st}}^2\delta^2} \left(1 + \kappa(\omega + \delta) - \frac{2\omega n_{\text{st}}^2(\omega + \delta)}{\bar{\Gamma}_1^2 + n_{\text{st}}^2(\delta + 2\omega)^2} \right), \quad (15)$$

where $\kappa(x) = 0 \ \forall x \neq 0$ and $\kappa(0) = 1$. The Mandel factor $Q(0)$ is modified similarly, but the expressions involved are less transparent. In the right panel of Fig. 3, the green curve represents the mean activity for continuous excitation, where we see that the activity is enhanced by almost five orders of magnitude. In blue is represented the situation with driving resonant at the oscillator frequency. We see in this case an increase of about ten orders of magnitude in the rate of emitted quanta. Both situations are more favorable for determining the internal state of the ion through statistical analysis of the outgoing excitations without modifying its internal temperature. The present approach therefore allows us to easily tailor the output through appropriate driving.

VI. CONCLUSIONS

We have presented an analytic phase-space approach to determining the large-deviation function associated with the dynamics of a general driven, or undriven, quadratic bosonic system coupled to a Markovian bath. Physical quantities related to the statistics of quanta exchanged, such as the rate (mean net activity) and the Mandel Q factor, can be fully determined from the analytical expression of the large-deviation function that we have obtained. By comparing our result for a quantum harmonic oscillator coupled to two thermal baths to its classical counterpart, we have shown that at low temperatures the rate of excitations exchanged between the system and its bath is suppressed in the quantum case.

We then extended this approach, applying it to a driven harmonic oscillator; in this case we obtained an analytical formulation of the large-deviation function associated with the flux of quanta. As a practical example, we looked at a trapped ion and an optomechanical system, where we predicted that an appropriate driving scheme can considerably increase the mean rate of quanta emitted by the system, without changing its internal state. This paves the way to a more effective analysis of the motional degree of freedom of the ion through the statistics of the emitted quanta. In this way, we have thus illustrated how powerful thermodynamics of trajectories can

be in describing a quantum system through an analysis of the excitations exchanged with its environment.

ACKNOWLEDGMENTS

This work was supported by the UK EPSRC (EP/L005026/1 and EP/J009776/1), the John Templeton Foundation (Grant ID 43467), the EU Collaborative Project TherMiQ (Grant Agreement 618074), and the Royal Commission for the Exhibition of 1851. Part of this work was supported by COST Action MP1209 “Thermodynamics in the quantum regime.”

-
- [1] C. W. Gardiner and P. Zoller, *Quantum Noise* (Springer, Berlin, 2004).
 - [2] W. Belzig and Yu. V. Nazarov, *Phys. Rev. Lett.* **87**, 197006 (2001).
 - [3] N. G. van Kampen, *Stochastic Processes in Physics and Chemistry* (Elsevier, Amsterdam, 1981).
 - [4] J. P. Garrahan and I. Lesanovsky, *Phys. Rev. Lett.* **104**, 160601 (2010).
 - [5] V. Lecomte, C. Appert-Rolland, and F. van Wijland, *Phys. Rev. Lett.* **95**, 010601 (2005).
 - [6] U. Marconi, A. Puglisi, L. Rondoni, and A. Vulpiani, *Phys. Rep.* **461**, 111 (2008).
 - [7] H. Touchette, *Phys. Rep.* **478**, 1 (2009).
 - [8] C. Ates, B. Olmos, J. P. Garrahan, and I. Lesanovsky, *Phys. Rev. A* **85**, 043620 (2012).
 - [9] S. Genway, W. Li, C. Ates, B. P. Lanyon, and I. Lesanovsky, *Phys. Rev. Lett.* **112**, 023603 (2014).
 - [10] S. Pigeon, A. Xuereb, I. Lesanovsky, J. P. Garrahan, G. De Chiara, and M. Paternostro, *New J. Phys.* **17**, 015010 (2015).
 - [11] K. E. Cahill and R. J. Glauber, *Phys. Rev.* **177**, 1857 (1969).
 - [12] L. Mandel, *Opt. Lett.* **4**, 205 (1979).
 - [13] For a reference bath with $\bar{\Gamma}_1 > 0$, e.g., a thermal bath at nonzero temperature, we must redefine the counting process to only account for the outgoing flux. For the associated Q factor, we find the same expression as the case of a bath with $\bar{\Gamma} = 0$, thus allowing us to conclude that no antibunching of the outgoing flux can be observed for a quantum harmonic oscillator coupled to multiple baths.
 - [14] J. L. Lebowitz and H. Spohn, *J. Stat. Phys.* **95**, 333 (1999).
 - [15] P. Visco, *J. Stat. Mech.* (2006) P06006.
 - [16] H. C. Fogedby and A. Imparato, *J. Stat. Mech.* (2011) P05015.
 - [17] In other words, $\lim_{\delta \rightarrow 0} \theta_d(s) \neq \theta_d(s)|_{\delta=0}$. The reason for this is that $\lim_{t \rightarrow \infty} \int \cos(\delta\tau) d\tau / t = 0$ for any nonzero δ , so the limits $t \rightarrow \infty$ and $\delta \rightarrow 0$ do not commute.
 - [18] J. I. Cirac, R. Blatt, P. Zoller, and W. D. Phillips, *Phys. Rev. A* **46**, 2668 (1992).
 - [19] F. Marquardt, J. P. Chen, A. A. Clerk, and S. M. Girvin, *Phys. Rev. Lett.* **99**, 093902 (2007).