

Glucose transporter 1 critically controls microglial activation through facilitating glycolysis

Wang, L., Pavlou, S., Du, X., Bhuckory, M., Xu, H., & Chen, M. (2019). Glucose transporter 1 critically controls microglial activation through facilitating glycolysis. *Molecular Neurodegeneration*, 14(2). https://doi.org/10.1186/s13024-019-0305-9

Published in:

Molecular Neurodegeneration

Document Version: Publisher's PDF, also known as Version of record

Queen's University Belfast - Research Portal:

Link to publication record in Queen's University Belfast Research Portal

Publisher rights Copyright 2019 the authors.

This is an open access article published under a Creative Commons Attribution License (https://creativecommons.org/licenses/by/4.0/), which permits unrestricted use, distribution and reproduction in any medium, provided the author and source are cited.

General rights

Copyright for the publications made accessible via the Queen's University Belfast Research Portal is retained by the author(s) and / or other copyright owners and it is a condition of accessing these publications that users recognise and abide by the legal requirements associated with these rights.

Take down policy

The Research Portal is Queen's institutional repository that provides access to Queen's research output. Every effort has been made to ensure that content in the Research Portal does not infringe any person's rights, or applicable UK laws. If you discover content in the Research Portal that you believe breaches copyright or violates any law, please contact openaccess@qub.ac.uk.

Open Access

This research has been made openly available by Queen's academics and its Open Research team. We would love to hear how access to this research benefits you. - Share your feedback with us: http://go.qub.ac.uk/oa-feedback

RESEARCH ARTICLE

Molecular Neurodegeneration

Open Access



Glucose transporter 1 critically controls microglial activation through facilitating glycolysis

Luxi Wang[†], Sofia Pavlou[†], Xuan Du, Mohajeet Bhuckory, Heping Xu^{*} and Mei Chen^{*}

Abstract

Background: Uncontrolled microglial activation contributes to the pathogenesis of various neurodegenerative diseases. Previous studies have shown that proinflammatory microglia are powered by glycolysis, which relays on high levels of glucose uptake. This study aimed to understand how glucose uptake is facilitated in active microglia and whether microglial activation can be controlled by restricting glucose uptake.

Methods: Primary murine brain microglia, BV2 cells and the newly established microglial cell line B6M7 were treated with LPS (100 ng/ml) + IFNγ (100 ng/ml) or IL-4 (20 ng/ml) for 24 h. The expression of glucose transporters (GLUTs) was examined by PCR and Western blot. Glucose uptake by microglia was inhibited using the GLUT1-specific inhibitor STF31. The metabolic profiles were tested using the Glycolysis Stress Test and Mito Stress Test Kits using the Seahorse XFe96 Analyser. Inflammatory gene expression was examined by real-time RT-PCR and protein secretion by cytokine beads array. The effect of STF31 on microglial activation and neurodegeneraion was further tested in a mouse model of light-induced retinal degeneration.

Results: The mRNA and protein of GLUT1, 3, 4, 5, 6, 8, 9, 10, 12, and 13 were detected in microglia. The expression level of GLUT1 was the highest among all GLUTs detected. LPS + IFN γ treatment further increased GLUT1 expression. STF31 dose-dependently reduced glucose uptake and suppressed Extracellular Acidification Rate (ECAR) in naïve, M(LPS + IFN γ) and M(IL-4) microglia. The treatment also prevented the upregulation of inflammatory cytokines including TNF α , IL-1 β , IL-6, and CCL2 in M(LPS + IFN γ) microglia. Interestingly, the Oxygen Consumption Rates (OCR) was increased in M(LPS + IFN γ) microglia but reduced in M(IL-4) microglia by STF31 treatment. Intraperitoneal injection of STF31 reduced light-induced microglial activation and retinal degeneration.

Conclusion: Glucose uptake in microglia is facilitated predominately by GLUT1, particularly under inflammatory conditions. Targeting GLUT1 could be an effective approach to control neuroinflammation.

Keywords: Neuroinflammation, Microglia, Glucose metabolism, Retinal degeneration

Background

Microglia are resident macrophages of the central nervous system (CNS) including the neuronal retina [1, 2]. As innate immune cells, microglia can initiate immune protection under pathophysiological conditions to remove metabolic wastes, cellular debris and pathogens [2–4]. Under physiological conditions, the debris in the CNS microenvironment is largely derived from active neurons,

* Correspondence: heping.xu@qub.ac.uk; m.chen@qub.ac.uk [†]Luxi Wang and Sofia Pavlou contributed equally to this work.

The Wellcome-Wolfson Institute of Experimental Medicine, School of Medicine, Dentistry and Biomedical Sciences, Queen's University Belfast, 97 Lisburn Road, Belfast BT9 7BL, UK including apoptotic bodies and synaptic debris [5]. Microglia are actively involved in synaptic pruning and synapse maturation [6], therefore play an important role in the regulation of synaptic plasticity [7, 8]. During infection, microglia can phagocytize pathogens and remove dead cells to maintain homeostasis [9]. Uncontrolled microglial activation has been implicated in neurodegenerative diseases such as Huntington disease, multiple sclerosis, Alzheimer's disease [10], and retinal degeneration [2].

A dynamic cell body and flexibility to rapid change shape are essential for microglia to carry out their



© The Author(s). 2019 **Open Access** This article is distributed under the terms of the Creative Commons Attribution 4.0 International License (http://creativecommons.org/licenses/by/4.0/), which permits unrestricted use, distribution, and reproduction in any medium, provided you give appropriate credit to the original author(s) and the source, provide a link to the Creative Commons license, and indicate if changes were made. The Creative Commons Public Domain Dedication waiver (http://creativecommons.org/publicdomain/zero/1.0/) applies to the data made available in this article, unless otherwise stated. protective roles. The protrusions of "resting" microglia are continuously moving around, scanning the CNS [11, 12]. After activation, microglia rapidly extend processes towards the damaged site and retract processes facing the other direction [13]. The processes are employed to phagocytize cellular debris and damaged neurons [14]. Once engaged with pathogens, they become fully activated, obtain an "ameboid" shape and can move around rapidly. In addition, microglia release various cytokines and chemokines, and can proliferate in situ in response to increased demand in immune protection [15]. Morphogenesis, migration require phagocytosis, and dynamic reorganization of the actin cytoskeleton, for which vast amount of energy in the form of adenosine triphosphate (ATP) are necessary [16]; whereas, proliferation and the production of inflammatory mediators need essential "building materials" such as amino acids, nucleotides, and fatty acids. How microglia meet their metabolic demands is not fully understood.

Glucose, amino acids and fatty acids are the three main energy substrates. Each cell type has its own unique metabolic profile and is fueled by specific energy substrates under physiological conditions, and changes in cellular activity can induce a switch of this metabolic profile. A previous study using RNA-sequencing technique has shown that microglia express transporters for all these substrates, indicating a flexible use of available energy resources [17]. However, which metabolic pathway dominates the energy resource in microglia under pathophysiological conditions remains unknown.

Recent studies have shown that LPS-stimulated inflammatory microglia switch from mitochondrial oxidative phosphorylation (OXPHOX) in resting conditions to anaerobic glycolysis [18], a phenomenon that is similar to active macrophages [19]. The mammalian brain utilizes glucose as its main source of energy [20], and the majority of CNS glucose is consumed by neurons through the glucose transporter 3 (GLUT3) [20]. During CNS inflammation, the high level of glycolysis in active microglia not only supports the production of toxic inflammatory mediators, but also consumes vast amount of glucose that is desperately needed by neurons. Further understanding the metabolic pathways of active microglia will be important to better control neuroinflammation and improve the management of neurodegeneration.

In this study, we show that microglia express high levels of glucose transporter 1 (GLUT1), which critically controls glucose uptake under pathophysiological conditions. Blocking GLUT1 suppressed microglial activation and reduced neurodegeneration in a mouse model of light-induced retinal degeneration.

Materials and methods Animals

Four-week old wild-type C57BL/6J mice were used for primary microglial culture. Twelve-week old C57BL/6J and *CX3CR1*^{gfp/+} mice (C57BL/6J background) were used in the light-induced retinal degeneration study. All mice were maintained in the Biological Services Unit of Queen's University Belfast (QUB) with 12-h light-dark cycle. All procedures were conducted under the regulation of the UK Home Office Animals (Scientific Procedures) Act 1986, and were in compliance with the Association for Research in Vision and Ophthalmology Statement for the Use of Animals in Ophthalmology and Vision Research. The procedures were approved by the Animal Welfare & Ethical Review Body of QUB.

Microglial culture

BV2 cells were cultured in DMEM (Gibco, BRL, Paisley, UK) supplemented with 10% fetal calf serum (FCS) and 100 µg/ml primocin (Invivogen, San Diego, California, USA). Primary murine microglia were isolated and cultured from C57BL/6J mice using a previously reported protocol with slight modifications [21]. Briefly, brain tissues were dissected from 4-week old mice and digested with 0.05% trypsin at 37 °C for 10 min. The single cell suspension was cultured in DMEM with 10% FCS and 20% L929 conditioned media [22]. After 5 days, floating cells were removed, and attached cells (microglia) were cultured for a further 5 days. The cells were passaged once reached confluency, and cells from passages 2-5 were used in the study. Immunocytochemistry showed that > 95% of cells were $CD11b^{+}F4/80^{+}$. During primary microglia culture, one cell line was spontaneously immortalized and subsequently cloned. One of the clones, B6M7 was also used in this study.

Cell stimulation

BV2, B6M7 and primary microglia were treated with LPS (100 ng/ml, *E. coli*, serotype 055: B5) + IFN γ (100 ng/ml) or IL-4 (20 ng/ml) (all from Sigma-Aldrich, Dorset, UK) for 24 h. The cells were termed as M(LPS + IFN γ) and M(IL-4) respectively. The supernatants were collected for cytokine/chemokine measurements (see below). Cells were collected for gene and protein expression studies.

Quantitative real-time reverse transcription PCR

Total RNA was extracted from BV2, B6M7 or primary microglia using TRI Reagent (Sigma-Aldrich). cDNA synthesis was performed with a random primer using the SuperScript^{**} II Reverse Transcriptase kit (Invitrogen) in a reaction of 1 μ g of total RNA per reaction. Murine mRNA expression levels were quantified by qRT-PCR using SYBR Green I Master and the LightCycler 480 system (Roche Diagnostics GmbH, Mannheim, Germany) with specific

primers (Table 1). GLUT1–13 primers were purchased from Primer Design (Southampton, UK). Other primers were self-designed using the Primer-Blast (https:// www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/tools/primer-blast/) and purchased from Integrated DNA Technologies, Inc. (Leuven, Belgium).

Cytokine and chemokine measurement

Cytokines and chemokines including MCP-1/CCL2, IL-6, TNF- α and CCL5/RANTES in supernatants were

 Table 1 Primers used for qRT-PCR

Targets	Annealing temperature (°C)	Sequences (5' - 3') F: forward R: reverse
β-actin	58	F CCTTCCTTCTTGGGTATG
		R TGTAAAACGCAGCTCAGTAA
GLUT1	60	F CCCCGTCCTGCTGCTATTG
		R GCACCGTGAAGATGATGAAGAC
GLUT3	60	F TGTCCAGGAACCGATCTATGC
		R CCACCAGGAACAGAGAAACTAC
GLUT4	60	F CCAGTATGTTGCGGATGCTAT
		R TTTTAGGAAGGTGAAGATGAAGAAG
GLUT5	60	F ACCTCAGCGCAGGCGTGAAA
		R AGCAGGCTATGAGGCAGGTGGA
GLUT6	60	F CGTCCAGTTTGTGCCAAGG
		R CAGCAGGGGTATCAGGGTAAG
GLUT8	60	F GCTCACCGCTGCCTTCTG
		R CGAAATGGGCTGTGACTTGTT
GLUT9	60	F ACTATGTGGACTCAATGCGATCT
		R TCAATGACCAAGCCAGAGAAGA
GLUT10	60	F CTCTGTGGCGTTCATCAAGTG
		R ACGAGGAAGGCGGAGACT
GLUT12	60	F TGGCAGCAAAACCTTCCTCT
		R AGGGACTGGTTAAGAAGACTATGG
GLUT13	60	F CTGGAATAAACTGGATTTTCAACG
		R TCAGGGAGGCAGCCATAGA
Arginase 1	61	F TTATCGGAGCGCCTTTCTCAA
		R TGGTCTCTCACGTCATACTCTGT
CCL2	58	F AGGTCCCTGTCATGCTTCTG
		R TCTGGACCCATTCCTTCTT
IL-1β	61	F TCCTTGTGCAAGTGTCTGAAGC
		R ATGAGTGATACTGCCTGCCTGA
iNOS	60	F GGCAAACCCAAGGTCTACGTT
		R TCGCTCAAGTTCAGCTTGGT
TNF-α	58	F GCCTCTTCTCATTCCTGCTT
		R CTCCTCCACTTGGTGGTTTG
IL-12P40	60	F GACATCATCAAACCAGACCCGCC
		R GCCTTTGCATTGGACTTCGGT

measured by Cytometric Bead Array (CBA) assay (BD Biosciences, Oxford, UK) according to the manufacturer's instructions. Briefly, $25 \,\mu$ l sample and $25 \,\mu$ l capture beads were mixed and incubated for 1 h followed by the addition of $25 \,\mu$ l PE-conjugated detection reagent for a further hour. Samples were washed and were acquired using FACS Canto II (BD Biosciences). Data were analyzed using FlowJo software. The fold change in cytokine levels relative to control groups was calculated.

Produciton of Reactive Oxygen Species (ROS)

Intracellular ROS was measured using CellROX Green kit; mitochondrial ROS was measured using MitoSOX (MitoSOX Red), and nitrite oxide (NO) concentration in the supernatants was measured using the Griess Reagent Kit according to the manufacturers' instructions. All reagents were from Thermo Fisher Scientific (Winsford, UK). Fluorescent signaling for CellROX and MitoSOX and absorbance for NO was immediately measured using FLUOstar Omega plate reader (BMG Labtech Ltd., Bucks, UK).

Glucose uptake

Cells were cultured in a 96-well plate until 80% confluence. Prior to the assay, cells were washed with PBS twice and incubated in serum-free DMEM overnight. Glucose uptake by different microglial cells was measured using the Glucose Uptake Assay Kit (ab136955, Abcam, Cambridge, UK) following manufacturer's instructions. Glucose levels were was quantified using the FLUOstar Omega plate reader (BMG Labtech).

Cell bioenergy tests

BV2 and B6M7 cells were seeded in XFe 96-well microplates (6000 cells/well) (Agilent Technologies, Sana Clara, USA) with/without 5 μ M STF31 (C₂₃H₂₅N₃O₃S, Millipore, Watford, UK) for 24 h, followed by LPS + IFN γ (both 100 ng/ml) or IL-4 (20 ng/ml) stimulation for a further 24 h. Cells were washed and incubated in base medium (Agilent Technologies) at 37 °C for 1 h. Extracellular Acidification Rate (ECAR) and Oxygen Consumption Rate (OCR) were measured in real-time with Glycolysis Stress Test Kit and Mito Stress Test Kit respectively using the Seahorse XFe96 Analyser (Agilent Technologies) following manufacturer's instructions. Data were normalized by cell numbers that was measured by the YO-PRO^{*}-1 Assay (Thermo Fisher Scientific).

GLUT1 inhibition by STF31 in vitro

Microglial cells were seeded into 96-well plates and treated with different concentrations of STF31 (Millipore) ranging from $0.01 \,\mu$ M to $50 \,\mu$ M for 24 h to 48 h. Cells were further processed for glucose uptake assay (see

above) or cell viability using AlamarBlue[®] Assay (Thermo Fisher Scientific) following the manufacturer's instructions.

Phagocytosis assay

B6M7 microglial cells in 96-well plates (10,000/well) were treated with different concentrations of STF31for 24 h. The pHrodo[®] Green *S. aureus* BioParticles[®] kit (Thermo Fisher Scientific) was used to determine phagocytosis of microglia following the manufacture's instructions. The fluorescence intensity was quantified using a plate reader (FLUOstar Omega microplate reader) at 485 nm (Excitation)/530 nm(Emission).

TUNEL staining

Cells and tissues were fixed in 2% paraformaldehyde (PFA, Agar Scientific Ltd., Cambridge, UK) for 10 min or 2 h, respectively. Eyes were embedded in optimal cutting temperature compound (OCT, Thermo Fisher Scientific) and cryosectioned (Leica CM1950 cryostat, UK). 14 μ m thick cryosections were treated with 0.1% Triton X-100 (Millipore) for 5 min at room temperature followed by 3 washes with PBS. Samples were incubated with TUNEL-MIX, followed by 5% TUNEL-Enzyme in TUNEL Label (Roche). DNase Ι $(50 \text{ U/}\mu\text{l},$ Sigma-Aldrich) treated samples were used as positive control. Negative controls were incubated with TUNEL label only. The samples were mounted using Vectashield medium with DAPI (Vector Laboratories Ltd., Peterborough, UK).

Light-induced retinal degeneration and STF31 administration in mice

C57BL/6J (12-week old) mice were treated with 10 mg/ kg STF31 twice daily for 2 days, followed by once daily for another 3 days. The vehicle dimethyl sulfoxide (DMSO) treated mice were used as controls. Body weight and electroretinography were measured on day 6, and eyes collected for immunohistochemistry. Four mice were used in each group.

The *CX3CR1*^{gfp/+} mice were treated as above with the first injection received 1 day before light exposure. Mice were dark-adapted for 16 h and pupils were dilated with 1% phenylephrine and 2.5% tropicamide (Chauvin, Essex, UK) under dim light. Mice were anesthetized with ketamine (Vetoquinol UK Ltd., Buckingham, UK) and Rompun (Bayer HealthCare, Kiel, Germany) and exposed to a focal white light (50,000 lx) delivered by an otoscope (1218AA, Karl Storz, Tuttlingen, Germany) for 10 min. At the end of the STF31 treatment, clinical and immunohistochemical investigations were performed. Five mice were used in each group.

Spectral domain optical coherence tomography

Mice were anesthetized and pupils dilated as described above. Viscotears Liquid Gel (Novartis Pharmaceuticals Ltd., Surrey, UK) was used to moisture the cornea. OCT images (30° field of view) were collected using Spectral Domain Optical Coherence Tomography (SD-OCT, Heidelberg Engineering Ltd., Hertfordshire, UK). Neuroretinal thickness was measured in the area approximately 1000 µm away from the edge of the optical disc.

Electroretinography

Scotopic electroretinography (ERG) was conducted in 12-week old WT C57BL/6J mice before and after STF31 treatment using Espion Visual Electrophysiology system (Diagnosys LLC, Cambridge, UK) as described previously [23]. Briefly, mice were anesthetized and pupils dilated as above. The mouse was placed on the heat-pad (38 °C). ERG was recorded using mouse corneal ERG electrodes in response to single white light flash, delivered by a standard Ganzfeld Stimulator (Diagnosys LLC). ERG signals were bandpass filtered between 0.3– 500 Hz (without notch filtering), amplified 500-fold and digitized at 2 kHz using the CMGS-1 electrophysiology system (Diagnosys LLC).

Retinal flat mount staining

Mouse eyes were fixed in 2% PFA at room temperature for 2 h. The retinas were dissected as previously described [24]. Samples were incubated with 1% Triton X-100 in PBS for 4 h, and blocked by incubation with 1% BSA. Retinal flatmounts were incubated with rabbit anti-cone arrestin (Millipore, Watford, UK) at 4 °C overnight, followed by Alexa Fluor 594-conjugated donkey anti-rabbit IgG (Life Technologies Ltd., Paisley, UK) for 2 h. Images were obtained by confocal microscopy (Eclipse TE200-U, Nikon UK Ltd., Surry, UK). The Fiji Image-J Software was used for image analysis.

Immunoblotting

Microglia were lysed in RIPA buffer with protease inhibitor cocktails and phosphatase inhibitors (Sigma-Aldrich). The protein concentration was determined by BCA kit (Thermo Fisher Scientific). Fifty microgram proteins were loaded on a 10% SDS-PAGE gel and transferred to an Immobilon polyvinylidene difluoride membrane (Millipore). The membranes were incubated sequentially with 3–5 ml primary antibodies (Table 2) in 5% dried milk solution in TBS/T overnight at 4 °C. The membranes were washed and incubated with horseradish peroxidase (HRP)-conjugated secondary antibodies (Agilent) for 1 h in the dark at room temperature. The signal was detected by autoradiography using enhanced chemiluminescence (Clarity^{**} Western ECL Blotting

Table 2 Antibodies used for western blot

Targets	Primary Antibody (Company; Dilution)	Secondary Antibody (Dilution)	
β-actin	Mouse Monoclonal (Santa Cruz, 1:10000)	Polyclonal Rabbit anti-mouse HPR (1:5000)	
GLUT1	Rabbit Polyclonal (Thermo Fisher, UK; 1:1000)	Polyclonal Goat anti-Rabbit HPR (1:5000)	
GLUT4	Rabbit Polyclonal (Thermo Fisher, UK; 1:1000)	Polyclonal Goat anti-Rabbit HPR (1:5000)	
GLUT5	Rabbit Polyclonal (Thermo Fisher, UK; 1:1000)	Polyclonal Goat anti-Rabbit HPR (1:5000)	
GLUT9	Rabbit Polyclonal (Millipore Merck, UK; 1:5000)	Polyclonal Goat anti-Rabbit HPR (1:5000)	
GLUT10	Rabbit Polyclonal (Thermo Fisher, UK; 1:800)	Polyclonal Goat anti-Rabbit HPR (1:5000)	
GLUT12	Rabbit Polyclonal (Antibodies-online; 1:2000)	Polyclonal Goat anti-Rabbit HPR (1:5000)	

Substrates, BioRad). Densitometric analysis was performed using ImageJ software.

Statistical analyses

Data were processed and analyzed by GraphPad Prism Software and presented as mean \pm SEM. Comparisons between more than two groups were conducted using one-way ANOVA followed Dunnett's Multiple Comparison Tests. Probability values of < 0.05 were considered significant.

Results

Characteristics of a newly established microglial cell line B6M7 cells

Immunocytochemistry showed that the B6M7 cells express CD45, CD11b, Iba-1, TMEM119, but not Olig2 (Fig. 1a-e). The majority of B6M7 and primary microglial (PMG) cells were strong positive for TMEM119 (Fig. 1e, f), whereas negligible levels of TMEM119 were detected in peritoneal macrophages (Fig. 1g). The macrophage marker F4/80 was strongly expressed by peritoneal macrophages, but only weakly expressed by microglia including BV2, B6M7 cells and PMG (Fig. 1h-k). Furthermore, B6M7 and BV2 cells, but not peritoneal macrophages, showed a strong response to ATP-induced chemotaxis (Additional file 1: Figure S1). The results suggest that B6M7 cells maintain characteristics of microglia.

Glucose transporter expression by microglial cells

To understand which glucose transporters control glucose uptake in microglia, we examined the expression of different GLUTs in BV2, B6M7, and primary microglia. Initial conventional PCR detected the mRNA of GLUT1, 3, 4, 5, 6, 8, 9, 10, 12, and 13 in primary microglial cells (data not shown). Real-time RT-PCR was then employed to determine relative expression levels of GLUTs in different microglial cells. Among the 10 detected GLUTs, GLUT1 had the highest levels of expression in BV2 (Fig. 2a), B6M7 (Fig. 2b) and primary microglia (PMG, Fig. 2c) cells. GLUT3 was also expressed at high levels in all types of microglial cells (Fig. 2a-c). The expression levels of other GLUTs vary in different types of microglial cells. For example, GLUT5 was expressed at high levels in B6M7 cells (Fig. 2b), but at low levels in primary microglia (Fig. 2c). The expression of GLUT1 in B6M7, primary microglia (Fig. 2d) and in vivo retinal microglia (Fig. 2e) was further confirmed by immunostaining.

Increased GLUT-1 expression in inflammatory microglia

LPS + IFNy treatment significantly upregulated the expression of IL-1β, TNFα, CCL2, IL-12p40 and iNOS, whereas IL-4 treatment increased the expression of Arginase-1 in BV2 and B6M7 cells (Fig. 3a). In general, B6M7 cells had higher levels of inflammatory gene expression following LPS + IFNy stimulation compared to BV2 cells (apart from IL-12p40, Fig. 3a). To understand how glucose metabolism is related to microglial activation, glucose uptake was measured in naïve and activated microglial cells. $M(LPS + IFN\gamma)$ microglia consumed significantly higher amounts of glucose than naïve and M(IL-4) microglia (Fig. 3b). In line with higher levels of inflammatory cytokine expression, glucose uptake was also significantly higher in B6M7 M(LPS + IFNy) cells compared with BV2 M(LPS + IFNy) cells (Fig. 3b). Real-time RT-PCR showed that only the expression of GLUT1 was significantly increased following LPS + IFNy stimulation (Fig. 3c). None of the GLUTs were affected by IL-4 treatment (Fig. 3c). The upregulation of GLUT1 by LPS + IFNy treatment was further confirmed at the protein level by Western Blot (Fig. 3d-e).

Bioenergetic profiles in active microglia

The bioenergetic profiles of microglial cells were examined using the Seahorse XFe96 Analyser. The basal OCR, ATP production and maximal respiration of $M(LPS + IFN\gamma)$ microglia was significantly reduced in both BV2 (Fig. 4a-d) and B6M7 (Fig. 4e-h) cells compared to naïve microglia. IL-4 treatment slightly increased the basal OCR and ATP production in BV2 (Fig. 4b, c) but not in B6M7 cells (Fig. 4f, g). The glycolysis and glycolytic capacity were significantly increased following LPS + IFN γ but not IL-4 stimulation in both BV2 (Fig. 5a-c) and B6M7



cells (Fig. 5d-f). Our results suggest that $M(LPS + IFN\gamma)$ microglia are fueled predominately by glycolysis rather than mitochondrial respiratory phosphorylation.

The role of GLUT1 in microglial metabolism

Having shown that $M(LPS + IFN\gamma)$ microglia are fueled by the glycolytic pathway and GLUT1 is highly expressed in these cells, we then examined the effect of a GLUT1 specific inhibitor STF31 [25] on microglial activation. STF31 dose-dependently suppressed glucose uptake in B6M7 cells (Fig. 6a) without affecting cell viability (Additional file 1: Figure S2A, B). 5 μ M of STF31 significantly reduced glucose uptake in naïve, M(LPS +IFN γ) and M(IL-4) microglial cells (Fig. 6b). The expression of GLUT1 and other GLUTs was not affected by STF31 treatment (Additional file 1: Figure S2C-E). This concentration of STF31 was, therefore, used in the rest of the in vitro studies.

Glycolysis stress test in naïve (Fig. 6c), M(LPS + IFN γ) (Fig. 6d) and M(IL-4) (Fig. 6e) cells showed that STF31 (5 μ M) significantly reduced glycolysis (Fig. 6f) and glycolytic capacity (Fig. 6g), suggesting shutting-down of the glycolytic pathway by STF31.

The basal OCR and ATP production was not affected by STF31 in naïve microglia in Mito stress assay (Fig. 7a, d-e). However, the spare respiratory capacity (SRC) was significantly reduced following STF31 treatment (Fig. 7f), suggesting that glucose is needed for maximal respiration but not for basal respiration and ATP production in naïve microglia.

In $M(LPS + IFN\gamma)$ microglia, the treatment of STF31 significantly increased basal OCR, ATP production, and SRC (Fig. 7b, d-f), suggesting re-programming of the metabolic pathway towards mitochondrial respiration. Interestingly, STF31 treatment significantly decreased basal OCR, ATP production and SRC in M(IL-4) microglia (Fig. 7c, d-f), indicating that mitochondrial respiration in these cells is fueled partially by glucose.

Mitochondrial superoxide production (measured by MitoSOX Red) did not change following LPS + IFN γ or IL-4 stimulation in B6M7 and primary microglia (Fig. 7g, h). Blocking glucose uptake by STF31 significantly increased mitochondrial superoxide in M(IL-4) microglia (Fig. 7g, h), suggesting that Glut-1 mediated glucose metabolism is important to maintain mitochondrial membrane potential in these cells.

Taken together, our results suggest that GLUT1 critically control glucose uptake in microglial cells and blocking GLUT1 with STF31 switches the bioenergetic pathway from glycolysis to OXPHOX in $M(LPS + IFN\gamma)$ microglia but reduces OXPHOX in M(IL-4) microglia.



The effect of STF31 on microglial phagocytosis and activation

The phagocytosis of *S.aureus* bioparticles by B6M7 and primary microglia was dose-dependently suppressed by STF31 (Fig. 8a). Real time RT-PCR showed that STF31 treatment significantly reduced the expression of TNF α , IL-1 β , IL-6, iNOS, and CCL2 in M(LPS + IFN γ) cells (Fig. 8b). The production of TNF α , CCL2, RANTES, IL-6, and NO was also significantly reduced following STF31 treatment (Fig. 8c). Interestingly, the treatment also suppressed IL-4-induced arginase-1 expression (Fig. 8b).

Intracellular ROS was significantly increased following LPS + IFN γ treatment in both B6M7 and primary microglia, and the increment was prevented by STF31 treatment (Fig. 8d).

In vivo effect of STF31 on microglial activation and retinal degeneration

Uncontrolled microglial activation is known to contribute to photoreceptor death in the mouse model of light-induced retinal degeneration [26]. Therefore, we further tested the effect of STF31 on microglial activation in this model. Intraperitoneal injection of STF31 (10 mg/kg, for 5 days) in normal mice did not affect their body weight, behavior, and ERG responses (Additional file 1: Figure S3A, B). The treatment did not induce any retinal cell death (Additional file 1: Figure S3C).

Massive photoreceptor loss (Fig. 9a-b, e) and microglial activation (Fig. 9f-g, j) were observed 4 days after light exposure. STF31 treatment improved photoreceptor survival and reduced microglial activation (Fig. 9). The treatment with vehicle (DMSO) did not show any protective effect (Fig. 9). SD-OCT examination showed improvement in both retinal structure and thickness, particularly the outer retinal layers (from ONL to IS/OS, Additional file 1: Figure S4E, G) following STF31 treatment (Additional file 1: Figure S4).The treatment did not significantly improve the thickness of the inner retina (from NFL/GL to OPL, Additional file 1: Figure S4E, F).

Discussion

Glucose is essential for microglial survival and function. A previous study has shown that glucose depletion led to 74% microglia death within 30 min and 98% after 48 h [27]. Here, we show that glucose uptake in microglia is mediated predominately by GLUT1. Importantly, we show that glycolysis in inflammatory $M(LPS + IFN\gamma)$ microglia also relays on GLUT1-mediated glucose uptake, and blocking GLUT1 re-programmed proinflammatory microglia from glycolysis to OXPHOX, suppressed microglial



LPS + IFNy or IL-4 for 24 h. Cells were then processed for real-time RT-PCR (**a**, **c**), glucose uptake (**b**) or Western blot (**d**). **a** The expression of inflammatory mediators in naïve, LPS + IFNy or IL-4 treated BV2 and B6M7 cells. N = 3, *, P < 0.05; **, P < 0.01, ***P < 0.001. **b** Glucose uptake in non-treated control, LPS + IFNy or IL-4 treated BV2 and B6M7 cells. N = 3, *, P < 0.05; **, P < 0.01, ***P < 0.001. **b** Glucose uptake in non-treated control, LPS + IFNy or IL-4 treated BV2 and B6M7 cells. N = 3, *, P < 0.05. **c** mRNA expression of different GLUTs in control, LPS + IFNy or IL-4 treated B6M7 cells. N = 3, ***, P < 0.05. **c** mRNA expression of different GLUTs in control (naïve), LPS + IFNy treated, and IL-4 treated B6M7 cells. β -actin was used as housekeeping control. **e** Densitometric analysis showing GLUT expression related to control in LPS + IFNy or IL-4 treated B6M7 cells. N = 3, ***, P < 0.001 compared to naïve microglia

activation and reduced light-induced retinal degeneration. Our data also show that GLUT1-mediated glucose metabolism is critically involved in mitochondrial respiration in M(IL-4) microglia. Our results highlight the importance of GLUT1-mediated glycolysis in microglial function under pathophysiological conditions.

Naïve microglia are fueled by both glycolysis and mitochondrial oxidative phosphorylation. Previous studies have shown that microglia express GLUT3, GLUT4 [28], and GLUT5 [29, 30]. GLUT1 was reported to be expressed in oligodendrocytes and astrocytes but not microglia [31]. In the current study, we detected 13 GLUTs in murine primary microglia, BV2, and a newly established murine microglial cell line B6M7 cells. Surprisingly, we found that GLUT1 was expressed at the highest level among other GLUTs, and the expression of GLUT1 was further enhanced following LPS + IFN γ stimulation. This is in line with the metabolic re-programming of the cells towards anaerobic glycolysis. The expression of other GLUTs was not affected by LPS + IFN γ treatment. Our results suggest that GLUT1 critically controls glucose uptake and the glycolytic



pathway in microglial cells, particularly under inflammatory conditions.

Phagocytosis is important for microglia to maintain CNS homeostasis. We show that blocking GLUT1-mediated glucose uptake reduced glycolysis (Fig. 6) and suppressed phagocytosis (Fig. 8a). Our results suggest that GLUT1-

mediated glycolysis is critically involved in microglial phagocytosis. This is in line with our recent observation in the phagocytic function of peritoneal macrophages [32]. Blocking GLUT1 in naïve microglia did not affect mitochondrial basal respiration and ATP production, but significantly reduced SRC (Fig. 7f). SRC is important for



Fig. 5 Glucose metabolism in different types of microglial cells. BV2 and B6M7 cells were untreated (control), or treated with LPS + IFN γ or IL-4 for 24 h. **a**, **d** Representative ECAR profiles of BV2 (**a**) and B6M7 (**d**) cells following glucose, oligomycin and 2-DG treatments in the Glycolysis Stress assay. **b**, **e** Changes in basal ECAR in LPS + IFN γ treated and IL-4 treated microglial cells compared to naïve microglia. **c**, **f** Changes in glycolytic capacity in LPS + IFN γ treated and IL-4 treated cells compared to naïve microglia. Mean ± SEM, n = 3 repeated experiments, **P < 0.01, ***P < 0.001



Glucose uptake in naïve (M0), LPS + IFN γ treated and IL-4 treated microglial cells with or without 5 μ M STF31 pre-treatment for 24 h. n = 3, **, P < 0.01; ***, P < 0.001, two-way ANOVA with Bonferroni post-test. **c-e** Representative ECAR profiles in naïve (**c**), LPS + IFN γ treated (**d**), and IL-4 treated (**e**) microglia with or without 5 μ M STF31. **f** The effect of STF31 on glycolysis of M0, M(LPS + IFN γ), and M(IL-4) B6M7 microglial cells. **g** The effect of STF31 on glycolytic capacity of naive, LPS + IFN γ treated and IL-4 treated B6M7 cells. Mean ± SEM, n = 3 repeated experiments, *P < 0.05; **P < 0.01; ***P < 0.001

cells to deal with stress conditions when additional energy is required. Our results suggest that GLUT1-dependent glycolysis may provide reserved energy to microglia under stress conditions for survival and function.

Microglial activation is a necessary and beneficial response to CNS insults. However, uncontrolled microglial activation contributes critically to the pathogenesis of various neurodegenerative diseases [33] through the release of excessive amount of inflammatory mediators. Recent studies have shown that M1-type proinflammatory microglia are fueled by anaerobic glycolysis [18]. Previous studies have shown that the glycolysis pathway provides essential building blocks such as amino acids and fatty acids for the assembling of inflammatory mediators in macrophages [34–36] and effector T helper cells [37–39]. Our data and that of others [18] suggest that inflammatory microglia are also fueled by the glycolytic pathway.

A recent study using dual tracer positron emission tomography has shown that following cerebral ischemia, neurons and astrocytes died from oxygen deficiency but immune cells metabolized glucose non-oxidatively [40], suggesting that they are likely supported by anaerobic glycolysis at the ischemic inflammatory site. The immune cells at the lesion sites may consist of resident microglia and infiltrating leukocytes. Shifting immune cell metabolism towards anaerobic glycolysis in an environment in



which the supply of oxygen and nutrients is restricted requires the upregulation of the facilitators of glucose transport. Our results suggest that inflammatory microglia upregulate GLUT1 to facilitate glucose uptake.

Using STF31, we show that when GLUT1-mediated glucose uptake is blocked in inflammatory microglia, the metabolic pathway can be further re-programmed towards OXPHOX. Consequently, the expression of inflammatory genes and the production of inflammatory mediators are reduced. It is important to note that al-though STF31 dose-dependently reduced glucose uptake in microglia, it did not completely block glucose uptake (Fig. 6a). $5 \mu m$ STF31 reduced glucose uptake from 85 pmol to 25 pmol in M(LPS + IFN γ) microglia (Fig. 6b), and glycolysis was not diminished (Fig. 6c-e). The results

suggest that apart from GLUT1, other GLUTs also facilitate glucose uptake in microglia. This may explain why microglia did not undergo cell death after STF31 treatment as seen in some tumor cells [41].

Another important observation of this study is the requirement of glucose in mitochondrial OXPHOX in alternatively active M(IL-4) microglia. Previous studies have shown that the M(IL-4) microglia are supported by an intact tricarboxylic acid (TCA) cycle and enhanced mitochondrial OXPHOX [18]. However, the fuel source of OXPHOX in M(IL-4) microglia remains elusive. We show that when glucose uptake is restricted by STF31, mitochondrial basal respiration, ATP production and SRC were all significantly reduced, whereas mitochondrial superoxidate is increased in M(IL-4)



microglia. Previously, fatty acids were shown to support mitochondrial oxidative metabolism in M(IL-4) macrophages [42]. Recent studies suggest that glucose can also fuel OXPHOX in M(IL-4) macrophages [43–45].

Our results suggest that mitochondrial respiration in M(IL-4) microglia is at least partially fueled by glucose.

The newly established microglial cell line B6M7 cells were used in this study. The cells express high levels of



TMEM119 (a microglial-specific marker) but low levels of F4/80 (a typical macrophage marker). ATP is known to induce chemotaxis in microglia but not macrophage through Gi/o-coupled P2Y receptors [46]. The B6M7 cells, but not peritoneal macrophages had a strong chemotactic response to ATP stimulation. Our results suggest that B6M7 cells phenotypically and functionally resemble microglia but not macrophages. Lineage tracing study will help to confirm the true identity of the cells. The B6M7 cells and BV2 cells have some functional differences. For example, ATP-induced chemotaxis is significantly higher in B6M7 cells than that in BV2 cells. The LPS + IFNy-induced inflammatory gene expression was also significantly higher in B6M7 cells than that in BV2 cells. The B6M7 cells could be an useful additional resource in neuroimmunology studies.

Conclusion

Glucose uptake in microglia is dominated by GLUT1. Under inflammatory conditions, microglia further upregulate GLUT1 to facilitate glucose uptake and promote anaerobic glycolysis. Blocking GLUT1 is an effective approach to re-program the metabolic pathway and control microglial activation and neurodegeneration. As glucose uptake in neurons is mediated predominately by GLUT3 [20], blocking GLUT1 may not only suppress microglial activation, but also increase available nutrients to neurons, particularly in the inflamed ischemic CNS when glucose supply is restricted. Since GLUT1 is expressed by many other cells (e.g., astrocytes, Muller cells, photoreceptors, endothelial cells) in the retina and it critically controls glucose uptake to CNS parenchyma at the blood-brain-barrier [20], cell-specific inhibition of GLUT1 (e.g., via CX3CR1 or CFSR promoters) would be an ideal approach to control microglial activation and neuroinflammation.

Additional file

Additional file 1: Figure S1. ATP-induced microglial migration using the transwell assay. B6M7, BV2 cells and peritoneal macrophages (3,000/ well) were seeded into the upper chamber in a trans-well culture system for 16h. 100 µM ATP was then added into the bottom chamber. 3 hours later, cell numbers in bottom chambers were counted. Mean ±SEM, N=6 ***P<0.001. Figure S2. The effect of STF31 on microglial cells. (A) B6M7 cells were treated with different concentrations of STF31 and cell viability was assessed by AlamarBlue 24 h and 48 h after treatment. N=3. (B) Representative images and quantifications of TUNEL assay in naïve, M(LPS +IFNy), and M(IL-4) microglia with or without 5 µM STF31. Arrow shows a TUNEL-positive cell. Scale bar = 50 µm. N=3. (C, D) RT-PCR (C) and Western Blot (D) showing the expression of GLUT1 in B6M7, with or without 5 µM STF31. (E) RT-PCR showing the expression of GLUTs in B6M7 microglial cells, with and without 5 µM STF31. RT-PCR and western blot data were expressed as relative expression against β -actin (n=3). Mean ±SEM. Figure S3. The effect of STF31 on mouse retina. Mice were injected intraperitoneally with STF31 (10 mg/kg) for 5 days. Four mice were used. (A) Representative ERG responses at day 0 (before the onset of STF31 treatment) and day 4. (B) Quantitative analysis of a-wave and b-wave amplitudes, before (day 0) and after (day 4) STF31 treatment. (C, D) Representative images (C) and guantifications (D) of TUNEL assay in control and STF31treated mice. Scale bar = $25 \mu m$. Figure S4. The effect of STF31 on retinal thickness in light-induced retinal degeneration. CX3CR1^{gfp/+} mice were exposed to 50,000 lux focal white light for 10 minutes with daily STF31 or

vehicle (DMSO) treatment. (A-D) Representative images from each group of mice. (E) Bar graph showing the average thickness of the entire neuronal retina (from nerve fiber/ganglion layer to inner/outer segments layer, illustrated in A) in each group. (F) Bar graph showing the thickness of the inner retina (from nerve fiber/ganglion layer to inner plexiform layer, illustrated in A). (G) Bar graph showing the thickness of the outer retina (from outer nuclear to inner/outer segments, illustrated in A). Full control: N=4 mice, N=6 mice in other groups. **P < 0.01; ***P < 0.01. (DOCX 1656 kb)

Abbreviations

2DG: 2-deoxyglucose; ATP: Adenosine triphosphate; CNS: Central nervous system; DMSO: Dimethyl sulfoxide; ECAR: Extracellular Acidification Rate; ERG: Electroretinography; FCS: Fetal calf serum; GLUT: Glucose transporter; IFNY: Interferon gamma; IL-4: Interleukin 4; LPS: Lipopolysaccharide; NO: Nitrite oxide; OCR: Oxygen Consumption Rate; OXPHOX: Oxidative phosphorylation; ROS: Reactive oxygen species; SD-OCT: Spectral Domain Optical Coherence Tomography; SRC: Spare respiratory capacity; TCA: Tricarboxylic acid

Acknowledgements

The authors thank the staff in the Biological Services Unit of Queen's University Belfast for their technical support.

Funding

The study was supported by Fight for Sight (UK) (1361/62, 1425/26, 1574/75).

Availability of data and materials

The datasets supporting the conclusions of this article are included within the article and its additional files.

Authors' contributions

LW and SP conducted the in vitro and in vivo experiments, analyzed the data, XD conducted part of the in vitro experiments as well as immunohistochemistry of Glut-1 expression. MB helped the in vivo experiment, MC and HX designed the experimented, analyzed the data. HX, MC and LW wrote the manuscript. All authors read and approved the final manuscript.

Ethics approval and consent to participate

All animal related procedures were conducted under the regulation of the UK Home Office Animals (Scientific Procedures) Act 1986, and the protocols were approved by the Animal Welfare & Ethical Review Body of Queen's University Belfast.

Consent for publication

Not applicable.

Competing interests

The authors declare that they have no competing interests.

Publisher's Note

Springer Nature remains neutral with regard to jurisdictional claims in published maps and institutional affiliations.

Received: 8 March 2018 Accepted: 2 January 2019 Published online: 11 January 2019

References

- 1. Byrne R, et al. Photochromism of nitrobenzospiropyran in phosphonium based ionic liquids. Phys Chem Chem Phys. 2009;11(33):7286–91.
- Karlstetter M, et al. Retinal microglia: just bystander or target for therapy? Prog Retin Eye Res. 2015;45:30–57.
- 3. Hughes V. Microglia: the constant gardeners. Nature. 2012;485(7400):570-2.
- Langmann T. Microglia activation in retinal degeneration. J Leukoc Biol. 2007;81(6):1345–51.
- Tremblay ME. The role of microglia at synapses in the healthy CNS: novel insights from recent imaging studies. Neuron Glia Biol. 2011;7(1):67–76.
- Bilimoria PM, Stevens B. Microglia function during brain development: new insights from animal models. Brain Res. 2015;1617:7–17.

- Parkhurst CN, et al. Microglia promote learning-dependent synapse formation through brain-derived neurotrophic factor. Cell. 2013;155(7):1596–609.
- Eyo UB, Dailey ME. Microglia: key elements in neural development, plasticity, and pathology. J NeuroImmune Pharmacol. 2013;8(3):494–509.
- Mariani MM, Kielian T. Microglia in infectious diseases of the central nervous system. J NeuroImmune Pharmacol. 2009;4(4):448–61.
- Cartier N, et al. The role of microglia in human disease: therapeutic tool or target? Acta Neuropathol. 2014;128(3):363–80.
- 11. Davalos D, et al. ATP mediates rapid microglial response to local brain injury in vivo. Nat Neurosci. 2005;8(6):752–8.
- 12. Nimmerjahn A, Kirchhoff F, Helmchen F. Resting microglial cells are highly dynamic surveillants of brain parenchyma in vivo. Science. 2005;308(5726): 1314–8.
- Koizumi S, et al. UDP acting at P2Y6 receptors is a mediator of microglial phagocytosis. Nature. 2007;446:1091.
- Fu R, et al. Phagocytosis of microglia in the central nervous system diseases. Mol Neurobiol. 2014;49(3):1422–34.
- Monif M, Burnstock G, Williams DA. Microglia: proliferation and activation driven by the P2X7 receptor. Int J Biochem Cell Biol. 2010;42(11):1753–6.
- Brusco J, Haas K. Interactions between mitochondria and the transcription factor myocyte enhancer factor 2 (MEF2) regulate neuronal structural and functional plasticity and metaplasticity. J Physiol. 2015; 593(16):3471–81.
- Zhang Y, et al. An RNA-sequencing transcriptome and splicing database of glia, neurons, and vascular cells of the cerebral cortex. J Neurosci. 2014;34(36):11929–47.
- Orihuela R, McPherson CA, Harry GJ. Microglial M1/M2 polarization and metabolic states. Br J Pharmacol. 2016;173(4):649–65.
- Kelly B, O'Neill LA. Metabolic reprogramming in macrophages and dendritic cells in innate immunity. Cell Res. 2015;25(7):771–84.
- Mergenthaler P, et al. Sugar for the brain: the role of glucose in physiological and pathological brain function. Trends Neurosci. 2013;36(10):587–97.
- 21. Devarajan G, et al. Culture and characterization of microglia from the adult murine retina. Scientific World J. 2014;2014;894368.
- Stanley ER, Heard PM. Factors regulating macrophage production and growth. Purification and some properties of the colony stimulating factor from medium conditioned by mouse L cells. J Biol Chem. 1977;252(12): 4305–12.
- Hombrebueno JR, et al. Loss of synaptic connectivity, particularly in second order neurons is a key feature of diabetic retinal neuropathy in the Ins2Akita mouse. PLoS One. 2014;9(5):e97970.
- Xu H, et al. Leukocyte trafficking in experimental autoimmune uveitis: breakdown of blood-retinal barrier and upregulation of cellular adhesion molecules. Invest Ophthalmol Vis Sci. 2003;44(1):226–34.
- Chan DA, et al. Targeting GLUT1 and the Warburg effect in renal cell carcinoma by chemical synthetic lethality. Sci Transl Med. 2011;3(94):94ra70.
- Scholz R, et al. Minocycline counter-regulates pro-inflammatory microglia responses in the retina and protects from degeneration. J Neuroinflammation. 2015;12:209.
- Kacimi R, Giffard RG, Yenari MA. Endotoxin-activated microglia injure brain derived endothelial cells via NF-kappaB, JAK-STAT and JNK stress kinase pathways. J Inflamm (Lond). 2011;8:7.
- Kalsbeek MJ, Mulder L, Yi CX. Microglia energy metabolism in metabolic disorder. Mol Cell Endocrinol. 2016;438:27–35.
- Sasaki A, et al. Antiserum against human glucose transporter 5 is highly specific for microglia among cells of the mononuclear phagocyte system. Neurosci Lett. 2003;338(1):17–20.
- Sasaki A, et al. Expression of glucose transporter 5 by microglia in human gliomas. Neuropathol Appl Neurobiol. 2004;30(5):447–55.
- Yu S, Ding WG. The 45 kDa form of glucose transporter 1 (GLUT1) is localized in oligodendrocyte and astrocyte but not in microglia in the rat brain. Brain Res. 1998;797(1):65–72.
- 32. Pavlou S, et al. Higher phagocytic activity of thioglycollate-elicited peritoneal macrophages is related to metabolic status of the cells. J Inflamm (Lond). 2017;14:4.
- Polazzi E, Monti B. Microglia and neuroprotection: from in vitro studies to therapeutic applications. Prog Neurobiol. 2010;92(3):293–315.
- Prados J, et al. Regression of established subcutaneous B16-F10 murine melanoma tumors after gef gene therapy associated with the mitochondrial apoptotic pathway. Exp Dermatol. 2010;19(4):363–71.

- Jha AK, et al. Network integration of parallel metabolic and transcriptional data reveals metabolic modules that regulate macrophage polarization. Immunity. 2015;42(3):419–30.
- 36. Assmann N, Finlay DK. Metabolic regulation of immune responses: therapeutic opportunities. J Clin Invest. 2016;126(6):2031–9.
- Michalek RD, et al. Cutting edge: distinct glycolytic and lipid oxidative metabolic programs are essential for effector and regulatory CD4+ T cell subsets. J Immunol. 2011;186(6):3299–303.
- O'Neill LA, Kishton RJ, Rathmell J. A guide to immunometabolism for immunologists. Nat Rev Immunol. 2016;16(9):553–65.
- Shi LZ, et al. HIF1alpha-dependent glycolytic pathway orchestrates a metabolic checkpoint for the differentiation of TH17 and Treg cells. J Exp Med. 2011;208(7):1367–76.
- Backes H, et al. Glucose consumption of inflammatory cells masks metabolic deficits in the brain. Neuroimage. 2016;128:54–62.
- Xintaropoulou C, et al. A comparative analysis of inhibitors of the glycolysis pathway in breast and ovarian cancer cell line models. Oncotarget. 2015;6(28):25677–95.
- Huang SC, et al. Cell-intrinsic lysosomal lipolysis is essential for alternative activation of macrophages. Nat Immunol. 2014;15(9):846–55.
- Covarrubias AJ, Aksoylar HI, Horng T. Control of macrophage metabolism and activation by mTOR and Akt signaling. Semin Immunol. 2015;27(4):286–96.
- Huang SC, et al. Metabolic reprogramming mediated by the mTORC2-IRF4 signaling Axis is essential for macrophage alternative activation. Immunity. 2016;45(4):817–30.
- Tan Z, et al. Pyruvate dehydrogenase kinase 1 participates in macrophage polarization via regulating glucose metabolism. J Immunol. 2015;194(12):6082–9.
- Honda S, et al. Extracellular ATP or ADP induce chemotaxis of cultured microglia through Gi/o-coupled P2Y receptors. J Neurosci. 2001;21(6):1975–82.

Ready to submit your research? Choose BMC and benefit from:

- fast, convenient online submission
- thorough peer review by experienced researchers in your field
- rapid publication on acceptance
- support for research data, including large and complex data types
- gold Open Access which fosters wider collaboration and increased citations
- maximum visibility for your research: over 100M website views per year

At BMC, research is always in progress.

Learn more biomedcentral.com/submissions

