

A progenitor candidate for the type II-P supernova SN 2018aoq in NGC 4151

O'Neill, D., Kotak, R., Fraser, M., Sim, S. A., Benetti, S., Smartt, S. J., Mattila, S., Ashall, C., Callis, E., Elias-Rosa, N., Gromadzki, M., & Prentice, S. J. (2019). A progenitor candidate for the type II-P supernova SN 2018aoq in NGC 4151. *Astronomy and Astrophysics, 622*, Article L1. https://doi.org/10.1051/0004-6361/201834566

Published in:

Astronomy and Astrophysics

Document Version:

Publisher's PDF, also known as Version of record

Queen's University Belfast - Research Portal:

Link to publication record in Queen's University Belfast Research Portal

Publisher rights

Copyright ESO 2019. This work is made available online in accordance with the publisher's policies. Please refer to any applicable terms of use of the publisher.

General rights

Copyright for the publications made accessible via the Queen's University Belfast Research Portal is retained by the author(s) and / or other copyright owners and it is a condition of accessing these publications that users recognise and abide by the legal requirements associated with these rights.

Take down policy

The Research Portal is Queen's institutional repository that provides access to Queen's research output. Every effort has been made to ensure that content in the Research Portal does not infringe any person's rights, or applicable UK laws. If you discover content in the Research Portal that you believe breaches copyright or violates any law, please contact openaccess@qub.ac.uk.

Open Access

This research has been made openly available by Queen's academics and its Open Research team. We would love to hear how access to this research benefits you. – Share your feedback with us: http://go.qub.ac.uk/oa-feedback

Letter to the Editor

A progenitor candidate for the type II-P supernova SN 2018aoq in NGC 4151

D. O'Neill¹, R. Kotak², M. Fraser³, S. A. Sim¹, S. Benetti⁴, S. J. Smartt¹, S. Mattila², C. Ashall⁵, E. Callis³, N. Elias-Rosa^{6,7}, M. Gromadzki⁸, and S. J. Prentice¹

- ¹ Astrophysics Research Centre, School of Mathematics and Physics, Queen's University Belfast, Belfast BT7 1NN, UK e-mail: doneil1955@qub.ac.uk
- ² Department of Physics and Astronomy, Vesilinnantie 5, University of Turku, 20014 Turku, Finland
- ³ School of Physics, O'Brien Centre for Science North, University College Dublin, Belfield Dublin 4, Ireland
- ⁴ INAF Osservatorio Astronomico di Padova, Vicolo dell'Osservatorio 5, 35122 Padova, Italy
- ⁵ Department of Physics, Florida State University, Tallahassee, FL 32306, USA
- ⁶ Institute of Space Sciences (ICE, CSIC), Campus UAB, Carrer de Can Magrans s/n, 08193 Barcelona, Spain
- ⁷ Institut d'Estudis Espacials de Catalunya (IEEC), c/Gran Capitá 2-4, Edif. Nexus 201, 08034 Barcelona, Spain
- ⁸ Warsaw University Astronomical Observatory, Al. Ujazdowskie 4, 00-478 Warszawa, Poland

Received 2 November 2018 / Accepted 3 December 2018

ABSTRACT

We present our findings based on pre- and post-explosion data of the type II-Plateau SN 2018aoq that exploded in NGC 4151. As distance estimates to NGC 4151 vary by an order of magnitude, we utilised the well-known correlation between ejecta velocity and plateau brightness, i.e. the standard candle method, to obtain a distance of 18.2 ± 1.2 Mpc, which is in very good agreement with measurements based on geometric methods. The above distance implies a mid-plateau absolute magnitude of $M_V^{50} = -15.76 \pm 0.14$ suggesting that it is of intermediate brightness when compared to IIP SNe such as SN 2005cs at the faint end, and more typical events such as SN 1999em. This is further supported by relatively low expansion velocities (Fe II λ 5169 ~ 3000 km s⁻¹ at +42 d). Using archival HST/WFC3 imaging data, we find a point source coincident with the supernova position in the F350LP, F555W, F814W, and F160W filters. This source shows no significant variability over the ~2 month time span of the data. From fits to the spectral energy distribution of the candidate progenitor, we find log $(L/L_{\odot}) \sim 4.7$ and $T_{\text{eff}} \sim 3.5$ kK, implying an M-type red supergiant progenitor. From comparisons to single and binary star models, we find that both favour the explosion of a star with a zero-age main sequence mass of ~10 M_{\odot} .

Key words. stars: evolution - supernovae: individual: SN 2018aoq - supernovae: general - galaxies: individual: NGC 4151

1. Introduction

Although type II-plateau (P) supernovae (SNe) are the most commonly occurring subtype of explosions resulting from the core-collapse (CC) of massive evolved stars (Li et al. 2011), a number of issues remain to be explored relating to their evolution prior to collapse and the origin of diversity in their observed properties. Direct detections of sources at the SN site in pre-explosion imaging have led to a growing body of evidence in favour of red supergiants (RSG) being the progenitors of type IIP SNe (van Dyk et al. 2003; Smartt et al. 2004). Based on the consideration of a sample of 20 IIP SNe within 25 Mpc and a combination of detections and limits to the presence of a point source at the SN location, the progenitors have inferred masses in the range $8.5^{+1.0}_{-1.5} \leq M_{\odot} \leq 16.5^{+1.5}_{-1.5}$ (Smartt et al. 2009). There is considerable diversity in the observed photometric

There is considerable diversity in the observed photometric and spectroscopic behaviour of type IIP SNe. At the faint end of the IIP brightness distribution (-13 $\leq M_V \leq -15$), it is arguably natural to assume that they might simply originate from stars that are only just massive enough to undergo Fe CC. Indeed, there is some evidence to support this view from progenitor detections, for example SNe 2005cs and 2008bk (Maund et al. 2005, 2014; Mattila et al. 2008; van Dyk et al. 2012). However, it is plausible that faint SNe arising from CC due to electron captures on ²⁴Mg and ²⁰Ne in the cores of super asymptotic giant branch stars (Nomoto 1984) also play a role. Uncertainties in modelling processes such as mass loss, mixing, and convection together with the influence of metallicity have resulted in a wide mass range $(7-11 M_{\odot})$ over which electron-capture SNe can occur. Although the picture is likely to be far more complicated than described above, gathering progenitor masses estimated from multi-band pre-explosion imaging, provides valuable information against which models can be anchored.

SN 2018aoq (Kait-18P) was discovered on 2018 April 01.436 by the Lick Observatory Supernova Search (LOSS) at +15.3 mag unfiltered magnitude (Nazarov et al. 2018) on the edge of the intermediate spiral Seyfert galaxy NGC 4151 (Fig. A.1). It was spectroscopically classified as a type II SN on 2018 April 02 (Yamanaka 2018). Based on early indications that it might be fainter than the typical type IIP population, and motivated by the abundance of pre-explosion imaging of NGC 4151, we initiated a follow-up campaign as described below.

2. Observations and data reduction

Optical imaging data were collected primarily using a combination of ATLAS (Asteroid Terrestrial-impact Last Alert System; Tonry et al. 2018) and the 2.0 m Liverpool Telescope (LT). Spectroscopic follow-up was carried out primarily at the 2.5 m Nordic Optical Telescope (NOT) as part of the NOT Unbiased Transient Survey (NUTS¹). A complete record of photometric and spectroscopic data can be found in Tables A.1, A.2, and A.4. Standard procedures of bias subtraction, trimming the overscan regions, and flat-field correction were applied to the raw imaging and spectroscopic data. Instrumental magnitudes for the SN photometry were found by fitting a point spread function (PSF) with the SNOoPy IRAF package² to the reference stars listed in Table A.5 and subtracting this PSF from the SN. The photometric zero point corrections for both the LT and NOT images were calculated using the instrumental magnitudes of the stars in the field (Table A.5) and comparing these to the magnitude values given by SSDS DR12 (Alam et al. 2015) in each of the filters. In the case of the B and V bands, the magnitudes in the Sloan bands were transformed using the transformations listed in Jester et al. (2005). For the spectroscopic data, the wavelength calibration was carried out using He-Ne arcs, and relative flux calibration was performed using spectrophotometric standards taken on the same night, and with the same instrumental configuration as for SN 2018aoq. Absolute flux calibration of the spectra was achieved using the broadband imaging points interpolated to the epochs of spectroscopy. From the ATLAS nondetection on 2018 March 28 and the discovery on 2018 April 01 (Fig. 1, Table A.2), we adopt 2018 March 30 (MJD = 58208) as the explosion date.

3. Reddening and distance

The Galactic reddening in the line of sight to SN 2018aoq is found to be E(B - V) = 0.02 (Schlafly & Finkbeiner 2011). We attempt to estimate the host galaxy reddening by comparing the colour evolution and spectral shape to other type IIP SNe. Figure 1 shows the V-I and R-I colour evolution of SN 2018aoq compared to a sample of well-observed IIP SNe (Table A.6). A fairly uniform colour evolution is expected over the first ~100 d as hydrogen recombination dominates (Eastman et al. 1996). A least squares fit using low-order polynomials was carried out on the extinction-corrected colour curves of the sample SNe. The V - I and R - I points of SN 2018aoq were then reddened by iteratively varying the E(B - V) value until the best fit was obtained. The colour evolution of SN 2018aoq tracks the general IIP evolution; a best fit is obtained for the total host and Galactic extinction $E(B - V)_{\text{total}} \sim 0.04$. The V - I colour appears to be systematically bluer than the sample, but still within the range exhibited by other IIP SNe.

Spectra of SN 2018aoq were also compared to the extinctioncorrected spectra of SNe 1999em and 2005cs (with E(B-V) values of 0.1 and 0.05 mag, respectively; Elmhamdi et al. 2003; Pastorello et al. 2009) at similar epochs. We reddened the SN 2018aoq spectra incrementally until a reasonable match was obtained; we found this value to be $E(B - V) \sim 0.03$. Also, we do not clearly detect any feature attributable to the Na I D lines in our earliest spectrum.

We further note that the location of the SN in a relatively isolated region at $\sim 73''$ from the nucleus of the host galaxy is in line with the low inferred extinction. Based on the findings



Fig. 1. *Top panel*: light curves of SN 2018aoq in various filters. Upper limits are indicated by downward pointing arrows. The letters "c" and "o" indicate the "cyan" ($\lambda_{eff} \sim 5330$ Å) and "orange" ($\lambda_{eff} \sim 6790$ Å) ATLAS filters, respectively. The non-detection (>18.85 mag) on 2018 March 28 (MJD = 58206) allows us to constrain the rise time to $\leq 5 d$. *Bottom panel*: extinction-corrected V - I and R - I colour evolution for SN 2018aoq. The errors are the result of compounding errors in transforming from the *V*, *r*, and *i* filters to Johnson *R* and *I*. Open circles are extinction-corrected values taken from 8 well-sampled type IIP SNe (Table A.6). The black line indicates a fit to these data from which we infer E(B - V) = 0.04 for SN 2018aoq.

above, we conclude that the SN suffers from low extinction and adopt of a value of $E(B - V)_{tot} = 0.04$ mag in what follows.

There is a great variation in the estimated distances to NGC 4151, ranging from 3.8–29.2 Mpc as listed on NED³. NGC 4151 has received considerable attention over the years as it is one of the very few local AGN for which dynamical mass estimates of the central black hole can be compared to those obtained from reverberation mapping and other methods, thereby providing a calibration of the black hole mass scale. However, anchoring the calibration to NGC 4151 requires a reliable distance to it. Using the heliocentric radial velocity of the galaxy is 998 km s⁻¹ (Pedlar et al. 1992) and yields a distance of 14.1 Mpc with $H_0 = 71$ km s⁻¹ Mpc⁻¹. However, strong peculiar motions render this value unreliable. Distance estimates using the Tully-Fisher method do not fare much better as the orientation of NGC 4151 is almost perpendicular to our line of sight, making measurements of the rotational velocity challenging. Based on a variant of the quasar parallax method proposed by Elvis & Karovska (2002) to obtain direct distances to quasars, Hönig et al. (2014) reported a value of $19^{+2.4}_{-2.6}$ Mpc. This value is reliable as it depends on purely geometrical arguments rather than requiring an underlying source of known luminosity.

In order to obtain an independent estimate for the distance, we applied the standard candle method (SCM) as described in Hamuy & Pinto (2002). Essentially, the SCM is the manifestation of a positive correlation between the luminosity and expansion velocity during the plateau phase; a convenient reference point is taken to be at mid-plateau (~50 d). Fortuitously, we have

http://csp2.lco.cl/not/

² http://sngroup.oapd.inaf.it/snoopy.html

³ https://ned.ipac.caltech.edu/

V and *i*-band measurements taken on May 18, 49 d post explosion (Table A.1); to convert to the *I*-band, we used the transformations in Jester et al. (2005) to find a value of $I = 15.25 \pm 0.29$ mag. The Fe II λ 5169 velocity at 50 d was found by linear interpolation between the velocity values found at +42 d and +61 d, which yielded a value of 2699 \pm 120 km s⁻¹. We used the sample provided by Polshaw et al. (2015) consisting of type IIP SNe that occurred in galaxies with Cepheid distances for calibration.

We find $D_I = 18.9 \pm 1.5$ Mpc and $D_V = 17.3 \pm 1.8$ Mpc with a weighted average of 18.2 ± 1.2 Mpc, which is the value we adopt in this work. We note that this value is in excellent agreement with the value based on direct methods (Hönig et al. 2014).

4. Supernova photometry and spectroscopy

Using the values for the distance and reddening from Sect. 3, we find $M_V = -15.76 \pm 0.14$ at an epoch of 49 d, i.e. approximately mid-plateau. Thus, SN 2018aoq appears to lie at a brightness intermediate between the normal and subluminous IIP populations, although the demarcations in brightness between these groups are not strictly defined. We note in passing that the rise time to the plateau was relatively rapid (≤ 5 d; Fig. 1), in keeping with previous studies, for example Gal-Yam et al. (2011) and Gall et al. (2015), who reported a possible correlation between rise time and absolute peak brightness.

From a blackbody fit to the photometric data at +49 d, we estimate a quasi-bolometric luminosity of $L_{Bol} = 10^{41.8} \text{ erg s}^{-1}$. Not surprisingly, this is fainter than that of SN 1999em, a normal II-P and marginally brighter than SN 2005cs, $L_{Bol} = 10^{41.4-41.7} \text{ erg s}^{-1}$ (Bersten & Hamuy 2009; Pastorello et al. 2009). The photometric data (Tables A.1, A.2) allow us to constrain the plateau duration to ~80 d following which the light curve drops at a rate of 0.02 mag day⁻¹ (*o*-band) for ~20 d, when it abruptly drops onto the nebular tail phase. This drop is ~2 mag compared to the mid-plateau brightness and is shallower than that of SN 2005cs in a comparable filter ($m_R = 2.7$ mag; Pastorello et al. 2009).

The 4-111 d spectral evolution of SN 2018aoq is shown in Fig. A.4. The spectra are typical of type IIP SNe; the early spectra are blue, which supports our finding (cf. Sect. 3) of minimal extinction. The Balmer lines and He₁ λ 5875 are clearly discernible in the spectra. By 42 d, the metal lines for instance Fe II λ 5169, Ba II λ 6142, and Sc II λ 6246 have all emerged, as has the Ca II triplet. By 61 d, the forbidden [Ca II] λ 7291 emerges - all of these features are expected to be present in type IIP SN spectra at these epochs. From the absorption minimum in the 4 d spectrum, we measure an H α velocity of ~9700 km s⁻¹, which is $\sim 2600 \text{ km s}^{-1}$ slower than the corresponding velocity measured for the earliest spectra available for SN 1999em (+5 d, Leonard 2002). Likewise, the velocities measured from the metal lines in the 42 d spectrum are $\sim 800 \text{ km s}^{-1}$ lower than those of typical IIP SNe such as SN 1999em (Elmhamdi et al. 2003) at similar epochs, but $\sim 800 \text{ km s}^{-1}$ higher than those from the low-luminosity group such as SN2005cs (Pastorello et al. 2009): 2960 ± 60 km s⁻¹ and 2689 ± 60 km s⁻¹, measured for Fe II λ 5196 and Sc II λ 6246, respectively. A spectral comparison with SNe 1999em and 2005cs that illustrates the above is shown in Fig. A.2.

5. Archival data and progenitor identification

A wealth of pre-explosion archival data are available for NGC 4151. We focus primarily on pre-explosion WFC3 imaging data from the *Hubble* Space Telescope (HST) taken



Fig. 2. *Top left panel*: $2'' \times 2''$ HST/WFC3 image stamps. It shows SN 2018aoq at an epoch of 24 d after explosion while all others show pre-explosion images (Table A.3). The filter and epoch are labelled. The offset between the star and SN position, and the associated positional error (in mas) is also indicated. The centroid of the SN position, as measured from the image in the *top left panel*, is shown with a red circle, the radius of which is twice the uncertainty in the position for clarity. The limiting and absolute magnitudes for the progenitor in each filter are $m_{F555W} > 28.0 (-3.3), m_{F350LP} > 26.8 (-4.5), m_{F814W} > 26.0 (-5.3), and <math>m_{F160W} > 24.1 (-7.2)$.

approximately 2 yr prior to the occurrence of SN 2018aoq (Table A.3). Luckily, a post-explosion image (+24 d) in the F555W filter also taken with the WFC3 instrument was publicly available⁴, allowing us to accurately map the position of the SN to pre-explosion images. The position of the SN in the pre-explosion drizzled images was found using a linear transformation computed within the geomap and geoxytran tasks in IRAF using five to six field stars in common. Although the field of view of the WFC3 instrument is $162'' \times 162''$, the difference in exposure times of the pre- and post-explosion F555W images meant that only a few stars were available in the two F555W images to calculate the coordinate transformation. However, as previously noted, SN 2018aoq does not lie in a crowded field.

Figure 2 shows the result of the above procedure in various filters. The 3σ detection limit in each filter was found by adding artificial stars created using TinyTim⁵. The artificial stars were then scaled such that the peak count of the PSF matched the background noise $+3\sigma$. Photometry on these artificial stars was then carried out as previously described. The limits are given in

⁴ HST Proposal ID:15151.

⁵ http://www.stsci.edu/hst/observatory/focus/TinyTim

Fig. 2. A bright point source was identified in the images very close to the SN position. For the F555W pre- and post-explosion images, the offset between the SN centroid and the point source was found to be 19 mas.

In order to robustly estimate the errors, we ran Monte Carlo simulations on synthetic data. The position of the peak was allowed to vary from -100 mas to +100 mas in both directions in steps of 0.4 mas. The process was repeated for 1000 iterations. The discrepancy between this position and that found previously is taken to be representative of the error. The total error in position is the combination, in quadrature, of the positional errors in the SN and pre-explosion source, as well as the error in the transformation. For the F555W filter (available for both pre- and post-explosion epochs), we find the error to be 25 mas. For all filters, we find the offsets to be within the total error estimate (Fig. 2), and therefore conclude that the source is coincident with SN 2018aoq.

Photometry on the star was carried out using DOLPHOT⁶. The measurements for the star in all available filters are listed in Table A.3 and shown in Fig. A.3; The progenitor star had a mean absolute magnitude in the optical bands of $M_{F350LP} = -5.69$, $M_{F555W} = -4.74$, $M_{F814W} = -7.39$ and in the near-infrared: $M_{F160W} = -9.48$. The pre-explosion photometry covers a period of 73 d over the course of which there appears to be little or no variation within a few tenths of a magnitude in any of the filters.

In order to characterise its properties, we used the HST synthetic photometry package stsynphot⁷. From the measured average apparent magnitude in each filter, we generated a spectral energy distribution (SED) using models taken from the PHOENIX stellar atlas (Husser et al. 2013). Using the prescriptions provided by Kochanek et al. (2012) for circumstellar dust, we simultaneously varied the temperature, extinction, log surface gravity (g), and optical depth (τ_V) of the circumstellar dust to generate synthetic magnitudes in the HST filters and compared these with our measured values. The best-fitting parameters, i.e. those that returned the smallest mean scatter ($\sigma = 0.056$ mag), were found to be a temperature of $T = 3500 \pm 150$ K, $\log(g) = 0.0$ and minimal circumstellar extinction $\tau_V = 0.0$. The resulting SED is shown in Fig. 3.

For completeness, we note that no source is visible in preexplosion imaging at 3.6 and $4.8 \,\mu\text{m}$ in Spitzer/IRAC imaging down to limiting Vega magnitudes of >20.7 and >20.1 (from artificial star tests), respectively; these are consistent with the SED.

Integrating over the SED allows us to obtain the total flux from the progenitor, which can then be used to constrain the luminosity and radius. In order to explore a conservative parameter range, we folded into the luminosity bounds the entire range of SCM distances implied by the uncertainties (i.e. 15.5-20.4 Mpc) in Sect. 3. We found a luminosity range of $\log (L/L_{\odot}) = 4.59-4.83$ (4.72 ± 0.12) for the progenitor candidate, making it a likely red supergiant of spectral type M. To estimate its initial mass, we considered evolutionary tracks computed assuming solar metallicity for both single- and binarystar models that contain similar treatments of the dominant physical processes (STARS, BPASSv2.1, Eggleton et al. 2011; Eldridge et al. 2017, respectively). Models were selected by comparing the inferred luminosity and temperature to the calculated values.



Fig. 3. Best-fitting synthetic SED from the PHOENIX stellar atmosphere models corresponding to spectral-type M with T = 3500 K, log g = 0.0 and E(B - V) = 0.03, in units of Vega mag. The average scatter between the SED and observed HST photometry $\sigma = 0.05$ mag. The shaded regions indicate the wavelength range of each of the filters. The red points denote the average measured magnitude in each of the HST filters.

For the single star case, three models matched the progenitor properties with masses in the 9–11 M_{\odot} range (Fig. 4 left panel). A comparison with the non-rotating Geneva models (Meynet et al. 1994), based on a slightly coarser mass grid, is consistent with the above, yielding a likely progenitor in the range $8 \le M_{\odot} \le 12$. We found 253 binary models that matched the progenitor properties out of ≈ 12600 BPASS models considered. The vast majority (~80%) of these consisted of a 9–11 M_{\odot} star in a long period orbit ($P \gtrsim 1000$ days) with a range of companion masses $(1 M_{\odot} - 10 M_{\odot})$. The wide orbits mean minimal mass transfer between the primary and secondary stars during the course of their respective evolutionary phases. Thus, the mass of the companion is of little consequence. The remaining models result in mergers between two lower mass stars in tight orbits with $P \leq 10$ days, resulting in a star with a mass close to 10–11 M_{\odot} . Thus, both the single- and binary star models favour a star in the range $10 \pm 2 M_{\odot}$. There is still some uncertainty regarding the temperature range of RSG stars and the methods used to derive them (Davies et al. 2013; Levesque et al. 2006). In order to ensure that our results are not highly dependent on the estimated temperature, we increased the temperature range considered to 2500-4500 K and repeated the process. We found the results to be entirely consistent with the above.

From the HST photometry and SED (Fig. 3), we can rule out a bright companion star. A single SED matches the HST photometry very well, so a companion would have to have a SED that either peaks sharply in the ultraviolet region or in the midinfrared. The latter is unlikely, given the non-detections in the *Spitzer/IRAC* bands. In order to determine the robustness of the above statements, we combined the progenitor SED with that obtained from synthetic spectra with $2000 \leq T_{\text{eff}} \leq 30000$ and $10 \leq m_{F814W} \leq 30$ to examine whether it might possible for a companion to exist alongside the progenitor, but without affecting the

⁶ http://americano.dolphinsim.com/dolphot/

⁷ https://stsynphot.readthedocs.io/en/latest/



Fig. 4. Evolutionary tracks computed at solar metallicity (Z = 0.02) and selected to satisfy the inferred temperature and luminosity of progenitor as described in Sect. 5 and delineated by the box. *Left panel*: single star models from the STARS code. $9-11 M_{\odot}$ models matched the progenitor properties at its endpoint. The $8 M_{\odot}$ and $12 M_{\odot}$ tracks are shown for comparison. The inset shows a zoom-in of a finer grid of models with masses as indicated in the legend. *Right panel*: evolutionary tracks resulting from binary evolution computed using the BPASS code. In total, 253 tracks matched the progenitor properties. These had primary stars in the mass range $6-10 M_{\odot}$, and 50% had a $10 M_{\odot}$ primary. Shown here is a $10 M_{\odot}$ primary with a $9 M_{\odot}$ secondary on a long period orbit (~4000 d) with little interaction (blue) and an early merger between stars in a small orbit(~10 d), which initially consisted of a $6 M_{\odot}$ primary and $4.8 M_{\odot}$ secondary resulting in a star with a mass between $10 M_{\odot}$ and $11 M_{\odot}$ (red). The red star indicates the position on the isochrone at which the merger was completed.

SED (Fig. 3). This exercise also allows us to assess the feasibility of detecting a companion once the SN has faded away. We were unable to find such a case within the above range of parameters as any companion star would be fainter than $M_{F814W} = -1.3$).

Therefore, we conclude that the progenitor was a single star with $M_{ZAMS} = 10 \pm 2 M_{\odot}$, in a wide binary with a low mass companion, or that it resulted from the merger of two low mass stars with a combined mass close to $M_{ZAMS} = 10 \pm 2 M_{\odot}$. In either of the above cases, a clear prediction would be that very late time imaging observations should show that the source we identified as the progenitor has disappeared because a putative companion would be too faint to detect. Similar results based on an in-depth study of model dependencies related to type II SNe has been found by Zapartas (2018).

6. Summary

We have presented the case for the detection of the progenitor to the II-P SN 2018aoq. Using pre-explosion data from HST, we find that the SED best matches a RSG star that has a temperature of ~3500 ± 150 K and luminosity of log $(L/L_{\odot}) \sim 4.7$, has minimal Galactic and circumstellar dust extinction, and displays minimal variability in the lead up to CC. Both single and binary star models favour a progenitor with a ZAMS mass of $10\pm 2 M_{\odot}$. The minimal extinction and isolated location of SN 2018aoq lends confidence to the progenitor detection, making it one of only a few for which multi-filter, multi-epoch observations were possible. Although further observations will be required to constrain the ⁵⁶Ni mass and to confirm the disappearance of the progenitor, our result adds to the small but growing body of evidence in favour of the explosion of low mass RSGs leading to faint type IIP SNe. Although previous studies have explored the possibility of a link between progenitor mass and explosion energy (e.g. Poznanski 2013), from an observational standpoint, the existence (or otherwise) of such a correlation will have to await a larger sample of robust progenitor detections.

Acknowledgements. We acknowledge comments from an anonymous referee. We thank JJ Eldridge for patiently answering questions related to BPASS. D. O'Neill acknowledges a DEL studentship award. MF acknowledges the support of a Royal Society - Science Foundation Ireland University Research Fellowship. This work is based on observations made with the Nordic Optical Telescope, operated by the Nordic Optical Telescope Scientific Association at the Observatorio del Roque de los Muchachos, La Palma, Spain, of the Instituto de Astrofisica de Canarias. The Liverpool Telescope is operated on the island of La Palma by Liverpool John Moores University in the Spanish Observatorio del Roque de los Muchachos of the Instituto de Astrofísica de Canarias with financial support from the UK Science and Technology Facilities Council. The observations were made as part of the JL18A10b programme. Some of the data presented in this paper were obtained from the Mikulski Archive for Space Telescopes (MAST). STScI is operated by the Association of Universities for Research in Astronomy, Inc., under NASA contract NAS5-26555. This work is also based on data from HST proposal: 15151 (S. V. Dyk) retrieved from the MAST archive. This work has made use of data from the Asteroid Terrestrialimpact Last Alert System (ATLAS) project. ATLAS is primarily funded to search for near-Earth asteroids through NASA grants NN12AR55G, 80NSSC18K0284, and 80NSSC18K1575; byproducts of the NEO search include images and catalogues from the survey area. The ATLAS science products have been made possible through the contributions of the University of Hawaii Institute for Astronomy, the Queen's University Belfast, and the Space Telescope Science Institute. SJS acknowledges funding from STFC Grants ST/P000312/1 and ST/N002520/1. N.E-R. acknowledges support from the Spanish MICINN grant ESP2017-82674-R and FEDER funds. MG is supported by the Polish National Science Centre grant OPUS 2015/17/B/ST9/03167.

References

- Alam, S., Albareti, F. D., Allende Prieto, C., et al. 2015, ApJS, 219, 12
- Baron, E., Branch, D., & Hauschildt, P. H. 2007, ApJ, 662, 1148 Bersten, M. C., & Hamuy, M. 2009, ApJ, 701, 200
- Davies, B., Kudritzki, R.-P., Plez, B., et al. 2013, ApJ, 767, 3
- Eastman, R. G., Schmidt, B. P., & Kirshner, R. 1996, ApJ, 466, 911
- Eggleton, P. P., Tout, C., Pols, O., et al. 2011, Astrophysics Source Code Library, [record ascl:1107.008]
- Eldridge, J. J., Stanway, E. R., Xiao, L., et al. 2017, PASA, 34, e058
- Elmhamdi, A., Danziger, I. J., Chugai, N., et al. 2003, MNRAS, 338, 939
- Elvis, M., & Karovska, M. 2002, ApJ, 581, L67
- Fraser, M., Ergon, M., Eldridge, J. J., et al. 2011, MNRAS, 417, 1417
- Gal-Yam, A., Kasliwal, M. M., Arcavi, I., et al. 2011, ApJ, 736, 159
- Gall, E. E., Polshaw, J., Kotak, R., et al. 2015, A&A, 582, A3
- Hamuy, M., & Pinto, P. A. 2002, ApJ, 566, L63
- Hamuy, M., Pinto, P. A., Maza, J., et al. 2001, ApJ, 558, 615
- Hendry, M. A., Smartt, S. J., Maund, J. R., et al. 2005, MNRAS, 359, 906
- Hönig, S. F., Watson, D., Kishimoto, M., & Hjorth, J. 2014, Nature, 515, 528
- Husser, T.-O., Wende-von Berg, S., Dreizler, S., et al. 2013, A&A, 553, A6
- Jester, S., Schneider, D. P., Richards, G. T., et al. 2005, AJ, 130, 873
- Kochanek, C. S., Khan, R., & Dai, X. 2012, ApJ, 759, 20
- Leonard, D. C. 2002, PASP, 114, 1291
- Levesque, E. M., Massey, P., Olsen, K. A. G., et al. 2006, ApJ, 645, 1102
- Li, W., Leaman, J., Chornock, R., et al. 2011, MNRAS, 412, 1441
- Mattila, S., Smartt, S. J., Eldridge, J. J., et al. 2008, ApJ, 688, L91

- Maund, J. R., Smartt, S. J., & Danziger, I. J. 2005, MNRAS, 364, L33
- Maund, J. R., Mattila, S., Ramirez-Ruiz, E., & Eldridge, J. J. 2014, MNRAS, 438, 1577
- Meynet, G., Maeder, A., Schaller, G., Schaerer, D., & Charbonnel, C. 1994, A&AS, 103, 9
- Nazarov, S. V., Okhmat, D. N., Sokolovsky, K. V., & Denisenko, D. V. 2018, ATel, 11498
- Nomoto, K. 1984, ApJ, 277, 791
- Pastorello, A., Zampieri, L., Turatto, M., et al. 2004, MNRAS, 347, 74
- Pastorello, A., Valenti, S., Zampieri, L., et al. 2009, MNRAS, 394, 2266
- Pedlar, A., Kukula, M., Longley, D., et al. 1992, in Physics of Active Galactic Nuclei, eds. W. J. Duschl, & S. J. Wagner, 338
- Polshaw, J., Kotak, R., Chambers, K. C., et al. 2015, A&A, 580, L15
- Poznanski, D. 2013, MNRAS, 436, 3224
- Roy, R., Kumar, B., Benetti, S., et al. 2011, ApJ, 736, 76
- Schlafly, E. F., & Finkbeiner, D. P. 2011, ApJ, 737, 103
- Smartt, S. J., Maund, J. R., Hendry, M. A., et al. 2004, Science, 303, 499
- Smartt, S. J., Eldridge, J. J., Crockett, R. M., & Maund, J. R. 2009, MNRAS, 395, 1409
- Spiro, S., Pastorello, A., Pumo, M. L., et al. 2014, MNRAS, 439, 2873
- Stanishev, V. 2007, Astron. Nachr., 328, 948
- Tonry, J. L., Denneau, L., Heinze, A. N., et al. 2018, PASP, 130, 064505 van Dyk, S. D., Li, W., & Filippenko, A. V. 2003, PASP, 115, 1289
- van Dyk, S. D., Davidge, T. J., Elias-Rosa, N., et al. 2012, AJ, 143, 19
- Vinkó, J., Sárneczky, K., Balog, Z., et al. 2009, ApJ, 695, 619
- Yamanaka, M. 2018, Transient Name Server Classification Rep., 432 Zapartas, E. 2018, PhD Thesis, Univ. of Amsterdam, The Netherlands

Ë
)ai
đ
can
Ō
Ė
×
б
Ξ
Ð
ပ
Ē
G
Ξ
ō
đ
Ę
ρ
1)
ž
ţþ
lg the
ing the
iling the
tailing the
letailing the
l detailing the
al detailing the
rnal detailing the
ournal detailing the
Journal detailing the
. Journal detailing the
.1. Journal detailing the
A.1. Journal detailing the
e A.1. Journal detailing the
ole A.1. Journal detailing the
able A.1. Journal detailing the

	(+240000)	(g)								
2018 Apr 05	58213.10	S	15.57 (0.05)	15.60 (0.03)	15.48 (0.03)	15.44 (0.03)	15.53 (0.16)	15.64 (0.04)	15.82 (0.06)	NOT
2018 Apr 06	58214.00	9	Í I	15.58(0.03)	Í I	15.42 (0.05)	15.40(0.10)	15.58(0.03)	15.70 (0.07)	LT
2018 Apr 08	58216.03	8	I	15.58 (0.03)	I	15.48 (0.07)	15.41 (0.06)	15.59 (0.06)	15.68 (0.06)	LT
2018 Apr 16	58224.97	17	I	15.60 (0.02)	15.52(0.04)	15.69 (0.07)	15.42 (0.06)	15.60(0.06)	15.60 (0.01)	LT
2018 Apr 18	58226.98	19	I	16.01 (0.03)	15.60 (0.04)	15.75(0.04)	15.43 (0.03)	15.58 (0.05)	15.61 (0.03)	LT
2018 Apr 27	58235.05	27	17.75 (0.04)	16.38 (0.02)	15.71 (0.03)	16.00(0.03)	15.58 (0.02)	15.64 (0.04)	15.64 (0.04)	NOT
2018 May 02	58240.96	33	I	16.48 (0.03)	15.68 (0.03)	15.98 (0.06)	15.50 (0.00)	15.56 (0.01)	Ī	LT
2018 May 06	58244.95	37	I	16.59(0.03)	15.67 (0.02)	16.04(0.06)	15.41 (0.03)	15.51 (0.00)	15.53 (0.05)	LT
2018 May 12	58250.97	43	I	16.69 (0.02)	15.69 (0.02)	16.09 (0.03)	15.50 (0.07)	15.49 (0.03)	15.42 (0.04)	LT
2018 May 18	58256.93	49	I	16.73 (0.03)	15.70 (0.02)	16.11 (0.06)	15.48 (0.20)	15.47 (0.03)	15.42 (0.04)	LT
2018 May 30	58268.92	61	I	16.93 (0.02)	15.80 (0.02)	16.30 (0.02)	15.57 (0.02)	15.50(0.03)	15.46 (0.03)	NOT
2018 Jun 03	58272.96	65	I	16.98(0.03)	15.77 (0.03)	16.28 (0.05)	15.53 (0.02)	15.46 (0.02)	15.45 (0.06)	LT
2018 Jul 25	58324.90	117	I	19.73 (0.34)	18.23 (0.03)	19.03 (0.03)	17.51 (0.02)	17.16 (0.02)	17.02 (0.03)	NOT
2018 Jul 29	58328.88	121	I	20.74 (0.19)	18.90 (0.03)	19.96(0.04)	17.97 (0.02)	17.59 (0.03)	17.37 (0.04)	NOT

Date	MJD (+2400000)	Epoch (d)	Filter	Magnitud
2018 Mar 11	58188.50	-19.50	0	>18.06
2018 Mar 16	58194.46	-13.54	с	>20.37
2018 Mar 19	58196.50	-11.50	с	>19.87
2018 Mar 28	58206.47	-1.53	0	>18.89
2018 Apr 05	58214.47	6.47	0	15.30 (0.0)
2018 Apr 11	58220.45	12.45	0	15.34 (0.0
2018 Apr 14	58222.53	14.53	0	15.33 (0.0
2018 Apr 15	58224.46	16.46	с	15.56 (0.02
2018 Apr 23	58232.43	24.43	0	15.41 (0.0)
2018 Apr 25	58234.39	26.39	0	>15.36
2018 Apr 25	58234.41	26.41	0	15.33 (0.32
2018 May 05	58244.41	36.41	0	15.46 (0.02
2018 May 07	58246.42	38.42	0	15.35 (0.0
2018 May 09	58248.41	40.41	0	15.40 (0.0
2018 May 11	58250.40	42.40	0	15.33 (0.0
2018 May 13	58251.51	43.51	с	15.68 (0.0
2018 May 13	58252.48	44.48	с	15.67 (0.0)
2018 May 15	58254.42	46.42	0	15.40 (0.0)
2018 May 17	58256.40	48.40	с	15.66 (0.0
2018 May 19	58258.43	50.43	0	15.35 (0.0
2018 May 21	58260.43	52.43	0	15.42 (0.0
2018 May 23	58262.36	54.36	0	15.53 (0.2)
2018 May 25	58264 37	56 37	0	15 36 (0.0
2018 May 27	58266.36	58.36	0	15 34 (0.0)
2018 May 31	58270 34	62 34	0	15.37 (0.0
2018 Jun 02	58272 34	64 34	0	15 35 (0.0
2018 Jun 02	58274 33	66 33	0	15.32 (0.0)
2018 Jun 06	58276 32	68.32	0	15.32 (0.0
2018 Jun 08	58278 31	70.31	0	15.32 (0.0)
2018 Jun 10	58280.34	70.31	c	16 36 (0.0)
2018 Jun 12	58282 30	74 30	0	15 36 (0.0)
2018 Jun 12	58284 33	76.33	c	16 39 (0.0
2018 Jun 16	58286.28	78.28	0	15 36 (0.0)
2018 Jun 18	58288 28	80.28	0	15.41 (0.0)
2018 Jun 20	58290.27	82.27	0	15 53 (0.0)
2018 Jun 22	58292.27	84 27	0	15.53 (0.0)
2018 Jun 24	58294 27	86.27	0	15.52 (0.0)
2018 Jun 26	58296.29	88 29	0	15 55 (0.0)
2018 Jun 27	58297 32	89.32	0	15.62 (0.04
2018 Jun 28	58298.26	90.26	0	15.63 (0.0)
2018 Jun 30	58300.27	92.27	0	15.69 (0.0)
2018 Jul 02	58302.28	94.28	0	15.67 (0.0)
2018 Jul 02	58304.26	96.26	0	15 70 (0.0
2018 Jul 10	58310.26	102.26	0	15 79 (0.0
2018 Jul 12	58312.28	102.20	c	18.05 (0.1)
2018 Jul 16	58316.28	104.20	c	>20.13
2018 Jul 18	58318.26	110.26	0	16 14 (0.0
2018 Jul 18	58320.26	112.20	0	16.06 (0.1)
2018 Jul 20	58320.20	112.20	0	16.67 (0.0
2010 Jul 22 2018 Jul 24	58324.20	114.20	0	17.07 (0.0)
2010 Jul 24	J0J24.20	110.20	0	17.66 (0.1)
2018 Jul 30	J0JJU.25	122.23	0	1/.00 (0.1.
2018 JUL 31	J0JJ1.30	120.30	c	>10.30
2018 Aug 0/	58558.24	130.24	0	17.98 (0.38
2018 Aug 13	38344.25	130.25	С	>18.00

Date	MJD	Epoch	Filter	Magnitude
	(+2400000)	(d)		
2018 Mar 11	58188.50	-19.50	0	>18.06
2018 Mar 16	58194.46	-13.54	С	>20.37
2018 Mar 19	58196.50	-11.50	с	>19.87
2018 Mar 28	58206.47	-1.53	0	>18.89
2018 Apr 05	58214.47	6.47	0	15.30 (0.01)
2018 Apr 11	58220.45	12.45	0	15.34 (0.01)
2018 Apr 14	58222.53	14.53	0	15.33 (0.01)
2018 Apr 15	58224.46	16.46	С	15.56 (0.02)
2018 Apr 23	58232.43	24.43	0	15.41 (0.01)
2018 Apr 25	58234.39	26.39	0	>15.36
2018 Apr 25	58234.41	26.41	0	15.33 (0.32)
2018 May 05	58244.41	36.41	0	15.46 (0.02)
2018 May 07	58246.42	38.42	0	15.35 (0.01)
2018 May 09	58248.41	40.41	0	15.40 (0.01)
2018 May 11	58250.40	42.40	0	15.33 (0.01)
2018 May 13	58251.51	43.51	С	15.68 (0.01)
2018 May 13	58252.48	44.48	С	15.67 (0.01)
2018 May 15	58254.42	46.42	0	15.40 (0.01)
2018 May 17	58256.40	48.40	С	15.66 (0.01)
2018 May 19	58258.43	50.43	0	15.35 (0.01)
2018 May 21	58260.43	52.43	0	15.42 (0.06)
2018 May 23	58262.36	54.36	0	15.53 (0.27)
2018 May 25	58264.37	56.37	0	15.36 (0.02)
2018 May 27	58266.36	58.36	0	15.34 (0.05)
2018 May 31	58270.34	62.34	0	15.37 (0.04)
2018 Jun 02	58272.34	64.34	0	15.35 (0.01)
2018 Jun 04	58274.33	66.33	0	15.32 (0.01)
2018 Jun 06	58276.32	68.32	0	15.32 (0.02)
2018 Jun 08	58278.31	70.31	0	15.32 (0.01)
2018 Jun 10	58280.34	72.34	С	16.36 (0.02)
2018 Jun 12	58282.30	74.30	0	15.36 (0.01)
2018 Jun 14	58284.33	76.33	С	16.39 (0.02)
2018 Jun 16	58286.28	78.28	0	15.36 (0.01)
2018 Jun 18	58288.28	80.28	0	15.41 (0.01)
2018 Jun 20	58290.27	82.27	0	15.53 (0.01)
2018 Jun 22	58292.27	84.27	0	15.52 (0.02)
2018 Jun 24	58294.27	86.27	0	15.56 (0.02)
2018 Jun 26	58296.29	88.29	0	15.55 (0.01)
2018 Jun 27	58297.32	89.32	0	15.62 (0.04)
2018 Jun 28	58298.26	90.26	0	15.63 (0.02)
2018 Jun 30	58300.27	92.27	0	15.69 (0.01)
2018 Jul 02	58302.28	94.28	0	15.67 (0.01)
2018 Jul 04	58304.26	96.26	0	15.70 (0.05)
2018 Jul 10	58310.26	102.26	0	15.79 (0.06)
2018 Jul 12	58312.28	104.28	С	18.05 (0.13)
2018 Jul 16	58316.28	108.28	С	>20.13
2018 Jul 18	58318.26	110.26	0	16.14 (0.04)
2018 Jul 20	58320.26	112.26	0	16.96 (0.19)
2018 Jul 22	58322.26	114.26	0	16.67 (0.05)
2018 Jul 24	58324.26	116.26	0	17.29 (0.07)
2018 Jul 30	58330.25	122.25	0	17.66 (0.13)
2018 Jul 31	58331.30	123.30	С	>16.56
2018 Aug 07	58338.24	130.24	0	17.98 (0.38)
2018 Aug 13	58344.25	136.25	С	>18.00

Date	MJD (+2400000)	Phase (d)	Instrument	Filter	Exposure time (s)	Vega mag.
2015 Dec 07	57363.06	-845	WFC3/UVIS	F350LP	1050	25.67 (0.06)
2015 Dec 07	57363.08	-845	WFC3/IR	F160W	1106	21.79 (0.02)
2015 Dec 14	57370.68	-837	WFC3/UVIS	F350LP	1050	25.69 (0.04)
2015 Dec 14	57370.70	-837	WFC3/UVIS	F555W	1100	26.61 (0.11)
2015 Dec 21	57377.92	-830	WFC3/UVIS	F814W	1100	23.77 (0.04)
2015 Dec 28	57384.74	-823	WFC3/IR	F160W	1106	21.89 (0.02)
2016 Jan 03	57390.02	-818	WFC3/UVIS	F350LP	1050	25.83 (0.05)
2016 Jan 03	57390.04	-818	WFC3/UVIS	F555W	1100	26.77 (0.12)
2016 Jan 05	57392.77	-815	WFC3/UVIS	F350LP	1050	25.69 (0.04)
2016 Jan 12	57399.14	-809	WFC3/UVIS	F814W	1100	24.10 (0.05)
2016 Feb 05	57423.56	-784	WFC3/UVIS	F350LP	1050	25.81 (0.04)
2016 Feb 11	57429.54	-778	WFC3/UVIS	F814W	1100	24.07 (0.04)
2016 Feb 18	57436.89	-771	WFC3/UVIS	F350LP	1050	25.76 (0.04)
2018 Apr 23 ^{<i>a</i>}	58232.17	+24	WFC3/UVIS	F555W	270	15.79 (0.01)

Table A.3. Record of the archival WFC3 images used to identify the progenitor.

Notes. ^(a) This image contains the SN (see proposal 15151).

Table A.4. Details of spectroscopic observations of SN 2018aoq.

Date	MJD (+2400000)	Phase (d)	Exposure Time (s)
2018 Apr 03	58211.64	4	1200
2018 Apr 05	58213.61	6	1200
2018 Apr 17	58225.51	18	1200
2018 May 11	58249.52	42	1200
2018 May 30	58269.43	61	1200
2018 Jul 19	58319.39	111	1800

Notes. All spectra were taken using the Nordic Optical Telescope ALFOSC as part of the NUTS programme. Grism 4 (3200–9600Å) was used in a combination with a 1".0 slit providing a resolution of 16.2 Å. The second order contamination of grism4 was corrected using the prescriptions of Stanishev (2007).

Table A.5. Photometry of the reference stars as labelled in Fig. A.1.

No.	RA	Dec	и	В	V	g	r	i	z
1	12:10:38.604	+39:20:29.725	16.17	15.60	14.46	15.02	14.08	12.19	12.61
2	12:10:16.845	+39:19:24.478	17.98	16.67	15.80	16.20	15.54	15.32	15.22
3	12:10:12.437	+39:19:34.249	14.83	13.73	12.83	13.25	12.56	12.43	13.08
4	12:10:23.596	+39:21:24.185	16.90	15.81	15.08	15.40	14.88	14.72	14.63
5	12:10:25.285	+39:23:55.432	16.17	15.11	14.39	14.70	14.19	14.07	14.02
6	12:10:30.733	+39:25:36.616	15.51	14.17	14.58	14.21	14.85	16.14	13.55
7	12:10:37.775	+39:25:09.376	20.50	18.51	17.21	17.87	16.76	16.35	16.12
8	12:10:39.930	+39:23:52.103	18.76	18.18	17.68	17.86	17.58	17.47	17.44
9	12:10:48.489	+39:26:54.815	22.84	20.82	19.14	20.03	18.54	17.21	16.51
10	12:10:55.625	+39:26:24.242	18.34	17.50	16.87	17.13	16.71	16.54	16.47

Table A.6. II-P sample used to calculate extinction.

SN	E(B-V)	Reference
2005cs	0.050	Baron et al. (2007)
1999em	0.100	Hamuy et al. (2001)
1999br	0.024	NED, Pastorello et al. (2004)
2009md	0.100	Fraser et al. (2011)
2003gd	0.100	Hendry et al. (2005)
2004eg	0.399	Spiro et al. (2014)
2008in	0.098	Roy et al. (2011)
2004dj	0.100	Vinkó et al. (2009)



Fig. A.1. LT IO:O *r*-band image showing the location of SN 2018aoq indicated by the arrow. It is located at $\alpha_{J2000} = 12^{h}10^{m}38^{s}$. 190, $\delta_{J2000} = +39^{\circ}23'47''.00$, or 65''.0 E and 33''.6 S of the nucleus of NGC 4151. The reference stars used for calibration are encircled and listed in Table A.5.



Fig. A.2. Spectral comparison of SN 2018aoq alongside a normal IIP (SN 1999em) and a low luminosity IIP (SN 2005cs). The *bottom panels* show the Fe II and Sc II absorption in more detail. Dashed lines indicate the minimum of the absorption feature. The solid line indicates the rest wavelength of the feature.



Fig. A.3. Extinction corrected light curves of the progenitor candidate.



Fig. A.4. Spectral evolution of SN 2018aoq over 4 months. The spectra have been offset for clarity and corrected for both redshift and extinction.