



**QUEEN'S
UNIVERSITY
BELFAST**

The effect of level of straw bale provision on the behaviour and leg health of commercial broiler chickens

Bailie, C. L., & O'Connell, N. E. (2014). The effect of level of straw bale provision on the behaviour and leg health of commercial broiler chickens. *Animal*, 8(10), 1715-1721. <https://doi.org/10.1017/S1751731114001529>

Published in:
Animal

Document Version:
Peer reviewed version

Queen's University Belfast - Research Portal:
[Link to publication record in Queen's University Belfast Research Portal](#)

Publisher rights
© The Animal Consortium 2014

General rights
Copyright for the publications made accessible via the Queen's University Belfast Research Portal is retained by the author(s) and / or other copyright owners and it is a condition of accessing these publications that users recognise and abide by the legal requirements associated with these rights.

Take down policy
The Research Portal is Queen's institutional repository that provides access to Queen's research output. Every effort has been made to ensure that content in the Research Portal does not infringe any person's rights, or applicable UK laws. If you discover content in the Research Portal that you believe breaches copyright or violates any law, please contact openaccess@qub.ac.uk.

Open Access
This research has been made openly available by Queen's academics and its Open Research team. We would love to hear how access to this research benefits you. – Share your feedback with us: <http://go.qub.ac.uk/oa-feedback>

1 **The effect of level of straw bale provision on the behaviour and leg health of**
2 **commercial broiler chickens**

3
4 **C.L. Bailie and N.E. O'Connell**

5 Institute for Global Food Security, School of Biological Sciences, Queens University
6 Belfast, Belfast, BT9 7BL

7
8 Corresponding author: Carley Bailie. E-mail: c.bailie@qub.ac.uk

9
10 **Running Head**

11 **Straw bale provision and broiler chicken welfare**

12
13 **Abstract**

14 This study aimed to assess the effect of the number straw bales provided on the
15 behaviour and leg health of commercial broiler chickens. Houses containing ~23,000
16 broiler chickens were assigned to 1 of 2 treatments (1) access to 30 straw bales (SB)
17 per house '30SB', or (2) access to 45 SB per house '45SB'. This equated to bale
18 densities of 1 bale/44m² and 1 bale/29m² of floor space within houses, respectively.
19 Treatments were applied in 1 of 2 houses on a commercial farm, and were replicated
20 over 6 production cycles. Both houses had windows and were also artificially lit.
21 Behaviour was observed in weeks 3-5 of the cycle. This involved observations of
22 general behaviour and activity, gait scores (0 (perfect) to 5 (unable to walk)) and
23 latency to lie (measured in seconds from when a bird had been encouraged to
24 stand). Production performance and environmental parameters were also measured.
25 Straw bale density had no significant effect on activity levels ($p>0.05$) or walking
26 ability ($p>0.05$). However, the average latency to lie was greater in 30SB birds
27 compared to 45SB birds ($p<0.05$). The incidence of hock burn and podo dermatitis,

28 average body weight at slaughter and levels of mortality and culling were unaffected
29 by straw bale density ($p>0.05$). The results from this study suggest that increasing
30 straw bale levels from 1 bale/44m² to 1 bale/29m² floor space does not lead to
31 significant improvements in the welfare of commercial broiler chickens in windowed
32 houses.

33

34 **Keywords:** *Behaviour, broiler chicken, leg health, straw bales, enrichment*

35

36 **Implications**

37 This study provides novel information on the effect of increasing the level of straw
38 bale provision on welfare-related parameters in commercial broiler chickens. While
39 levels may be stipulated in quality assurance schemes, there appears to be very little
40 published research in this area. The results of this study suggest that increasing the
41 number of straw bales provided in a standard windowed broiler house from 30 to 45
42 (or from 1 bale/44m² to 1 bale/29m² floor space) does not lead to significant
43 improvements in welfare. This highlights the requirement for further research to
44 determine the optimum level of provision of enrichment stimuli to maximise the
45 welfare of birds within large commercial flocks.

46

47 **Introduction**

48 The effectiveness of environmental enrichment is thought to rest on the biological
49 significance of the enrichment for the target species (Newberry, 1995). The provision
50 of straw bales may enable the performance of behaviours that have the potential to
51 improve the welfare of commercial broiler chickens. Bales may conceal birds from
52 any perceived threats during the performance of resting and preening behaviours

53 (Newberry and Shackleton, 1997), which may reduce stress. Foraging behaviour has
54 been shown to be increased in laying hens provided with access to straw on the
55 ground compared to those without access (Aerni, 2000). The addition of dry straw to
56 the litter from the dismantlement of straw bales by birds may therefore promote
57 increased levels of ground pecking or foraging, which are typical fowl behaviours.
58 This may also improve litter quality and, combined with increased activity levels,
59 promote better leg health. Straw bales are an economically viable form of
60 environmental enrichment and are easily replaced between rearing cycles, making
61 their use as enrichment stimuli common within the UK broiler industry.

62 Past research showed a significant increase in activity levels in commercial broiler
63 chickens when straw bales were provided at a density of approximately 1 bale per
64 17m² of floor area compared to when none were provided (Kells *et al.*, 2001).
65 However, more recent research carried out in windowed houses suggests that straw
66 bales, provided at a density of approximately 1 bale per 44m² of floor space, had no
67 significant effect on activity levels (Baillie *et al.*, 2013). Provision of straw bales within
68 the latter study did, however, exert some positive effects on leg health; a factor
69 which was not measured in the study by Kells *et al.* (2001). The difference in the
70 effect on activity levels observed in these 2 studies may have been attributable to the
71 difference in the density of straw bales supplied within houses.

72 At present there is no legislation governing the provision, or optimal levels, of
73 enrichment stimuli for commercial broiler chickens in the EU (Council Directive
74 2007/43/EC). Chickens reared under assured welfare schemes, or those marketed
75 by independent retailers as 'improved welfare' birds, are usually provided with
76 access to environmental enrichment during rearing. However, the numbers and
77 types of enrichments required by different schemes and retailers differ. In the UK the

78 RSPCA Freedom Foods scheme, for example, requires a minimum of ‘1.5 standard
79 sized, long chopped straw bales per 1000 birds’, in addition to perches and pecking
80 devices and access to natural light (RSPCA Welfare Standards for Chickens, 2011).
81 Several large UK retailers also advertise birds from their improved welfare chicken
82 ranges as having been reared in windowed houses with access to straw bales,
83 although the numbers and density of bales supplied is not specified to the consumer.
84 The aim of this experiment was to determine the effects of two levels of straw bale
85 provision on the behaviour and leg health of commercial broiler chickens. The effects
86 of providing either thirty bales (1bale/44m² of floor space) or forty-five bales
87 (1bale/29m² of floor space) per house were investigated. This study was performed
88 as a follow-up to a previous commercial trial (Bailie *et al.*, 2013). As such, the base
89 level of 30 straw bales was dictated by the level provided in this previous trial.
90 Subsequently, however, there had been industry interest in increasing straw bale
91 levels within windowed broiler houses, and provision of 45 bales reflected the new
92 commercial practice on our partner farms. This was chosen as our comparative
93 treatment (45SB) as it appeared to reflect a level that producers would be willing to
94 implement in the absence of specific legislative requirements. We hypothesized that
95 both the activity levels and walking ability of birds would be improved by the use of
96 more straw bales.

97

98 **Materials and Methods**

99 *Treatments and experimental design*

100 The effects of straw bale (SB) density on the behaviour and welfare of broiler
101 chickens was assessed in a study incorporating 2 treatments:(1) thirty straw bales
102 (30SB) and (2) forty-five straw bales (45SB). The study took place in Northern

103 Ireland between January and October 2011. Bales of wheat straw, each measuring
104 80cm x 40cm x 40cm, were supplied from Day 10 of the rearing cycle. These were
105 dispersed as evenly as possible throughout the house at an average density of
106 approximately 1 bale per 44m² of floor space in the 30SB treatment, and 1 bale per
107 29m² in the 45SB treatment. As is normal commercial practice for the company on
108 whose farms this research took place, plastic covering was left around the edges
109 and across the top of opened bales. This increased the time the bales remained
110 intact over the course of the rearing cycle. Over the course of the study, all bales
111 were cut open by the research team in order to minimize differences in the size of
112 area exposed to the birds (Bailie *et al.*, 2013). Twenty-four bales in the 30SB
113 treatment and 36 bales in the 45SB treatment were initially cut open on Day 10 of
114 the rearing cycle. Plastic was removed from the remaining bales after thinning
115 occurred, during week 5. Bales were not replaced during the cycle. Two houses that
116 were located on 1 farm and were identical in floor area, rectangular design and
117 orientation were selected for this study. Each treatment was replicated 6 times,
118 (across 6 production/rearing cycles) with treatments alternated between houses in
119 each replicate.

120

121 *Animals, husbandry and housing*

122 A total of 276,000 Ross and Cobb broiler chickens obtained from 1 breeding
123 company (Aviagen Ltd, UK) were used in this experiment. Approximately 23,000
124 birds were placed in houses 'as hatched', resulting in mixed-sex houses. The total
125 floor area/house available to the birds was 1320 m², resulting in an approximate
126 initial stocking density of 17 birds/m². Approximately half of the birds from each
127 house were removed for slaughter during week 5 of the production cycle, and the

128 remaining birds were removed during week 6. Stocking densities did not exceed 30
129 kg/m². Temperature, ventilation and feeding regimes, and feed sources and blends,
130 were identical between houses. Birds were fed on an *ad libitum* basis and received 3
131 different commercially-available diets across the cycle.

132 Natural light was supplied through 46 windows per house (measuring 220cm wide x
133 60cm high) which were located at a height of 1.5m along the length of the 2 'long'
134 sides of the house. Windows comprised double glazed, toughened glass that
135 allowed both visible wavelengths and a small amount of UV radiation to pass
136 through. Artificial light was also provided in each house using 2 rows of 24
137 fluorescent strip lights running parallel to each other along the length of the house.
138 Rows were placed 8 m from the nearest wall. Forty-eight 1.2m low frequency T8
139 tubes, emitting 3000 lumens each, were used in each house (F40w/29-530/RS warm
140 white energy rating B, Disano Illuminazione UK Ltd., UK). Identical light fittings were
141 also used in both houses (4ft Disano Hybro 951 IP65 fitting, Disano Illuminazione UK
142 Ltd., UK).

143 The artificial lighting regime used was normal practice for the commercial supplier
144 and was identical in both houses. The hours of darkness supplied rose by 1 hour per
145 day from 1 hour at a day old, to 6 hours by 7 days old. This regime was then
146 maintained from 7 until 28 days old. From 29 days old, hours of darkness were
147 gradually reduced by 1 hour each day to 1 hour by 33 days old. One hour of
148 darkness was then maintained until the end of the rearing cycle. The dark period was
149 between 0000 and 0600 hours. Both lights and shutters were automatically
150 controlled using timers. Shutters were set to automatically close and open at the
151 start and end of the dark period, respectively.

152 Large fan heaters were placed in 2 uniform lines down the length of all houses and
153 all drinkers were of the nipple variety and included cups. As is the usual practice on
154 this farm, bedding comprised of wood shavings was placed in the house prior to the
155 birds arriving. Sixty-six kilos of wood shavings was supplied per thousand birds.
156 Additional sawdust was added to specific areas of the houses when deemed
157 necessary by the farmer.

158

159 *Measurements*

160 *Behavioural observations*

161 Behaviour was assessed using direct focal observations that occurred between
162 09.00 and 12.00 hours, and between 13.00 and 16.00 hours on one particular day
163 each week starting in week 3 and ending in week 5 of the rearing cycle. All
164 measures were taken prior to thinning during week 5. The house shape was mapped
165 and virtually divided into 36 equal sized quadrants. Quadrants in which measures
166 were carried out were preselected each week using random number tables. They
167 were categorized as being either at the edge or centre of the house, and containing
168 straw bales or not. Individual focal observations of 8 birds per house per week were
169 conducted using an electronic data recorder (Psion Workabout mx, Psion Industrial
170 Plc., UK). Each observation within a given day was conducted in a different
171 quadrant, and these were selected to include an equal number of edge and centre
172 quadrants, and an equal number of quadrants with and without straw bales. The
173 observations were continuous and lasted for 10 minutes. A 5 minute settling period
174 was implemented prior to each focal observation. Successive observations
175 alternated between the houses, and the house in which initial observations were
176 carried out was alternated on a weekly basis. The bird to be observed was chosen

177 by placing an 'x' on a randomly chosen section of a perspex grid divided into thirty-
178 six 5cm² squares (Kells *et al.*, 2001). The Perspex was held up at arm's length at the
179 edge of the selected quadrant and an observation made of the bird observed closest
180 to the 'x'. The behaviours recorded during focal sampling are shown in
181 Supplementary Table S1. The frequency of behaviours and the total percentage of
182 time the birds spent performing different behaviours was determined.

183

184 *Leg health*

185 Leg health was assessed using a latency to lie test (Weeks *et al.*, 2002) and
186 spontaneous gait scoring. These assessments were performed once a week starting
187 in week 3 and ending in week 5 of the rearing cycle. Latency to lie measures were
188 performed in one house, followed by spontaneous gait scoring, before moving on to
189 the second house. The house that observations started in was alternated weekly,
190 and observations always took place between 12.00 and 15.00 hours. Gait scoring
191 and latency to lie testing were performed in twenty-five quadrants in each house
192 each week. Quadrants were randomly selected for each measure each week with
193 the added provision that each quadrant was only selected once for each measure in
194 order to limit the possibility of selecting the same bird repeatedly. Birds were
195 selected for gait and latency to lie scoring in the same way as birds were selected for
196 focal observations. The bird observed closest to the 'x' was gait scored, and the bird
197 observed lying closest to the 'x' was assessed for latency to lie. The latency to lie
198 test involved gently encouraging a lying bird into a standing position. A stopwatch
199 was then used to record the time spent standing before the bird sat down. The test
200 was terminated if no attempt to sit was witnessed after 5 minutes (Weeks *et al.*,
201 2002). The longer a bird remained standing for, the better the leg health of that bird

202 was deemed to be. The test was performed without use of a water bath (eg. Sherwin
203 et al., 1999; Bailie et al., 2013) in order to limit stress associated with bird handling
204 and removal from house. Gait was scored on a scale of 0-5 where 0 = normal
205 movement and 5 = unable to walk (Kestin et al., 1992). No birds with a gait score of
206 5 were recorded during the trial. Of the seven hundred and fifty birds that were gait
207 scored throughout the trial, only 4 birds were assigned a score of 4. These birds
208 were brought to the attention of the farmer. The percentage of lame birds with a gait
209 score of 3 and above was determined. The incidences of podo dermatitis and hock
210 burn at slaughter were recorded by slaughterhouse staff as described in Bailie et al.
211 (2013). The incidence of hock burn was recorded in 200 birds at thinning and 200
212 birds at slaughter. Two hundred feet removed from birds at thinning and 200 feet
213 removed from birds at slaughter were examined for the presence of podo dermatitis.

214

215 *Environmental parameters*

216 Light intensity (lux) values were recorded immediately following each focal
217 observation from the centre of each of the 4 -SB quadrants selected for focal
218 observations and from the nearest long side of a straw bale in the +SB quadrants in
219 each house each week using a light meter (Digital lux meter LX1010B, Handsun Co.
220 Ltd, China), held at arm's length, at bird height. Four readings were taken at right
221 angles to one another (N, S, E, W) and averaged in order to give 1 reading for each
222 quadrant. Readings for all quadrants were averaged each week to give an average
223 house light intensity value. UV wavelengths ($\mu\text{W}/\text{cm}^2$) were measured using a UV
224 meter (UV-340 meter, Lutron Electronic Enterprise Co. Ltd.Taiwan) following the
225 same procedure, with the exception that a single measurement was taken in each
226 quadrant, pointing the meter towards the nearest source of UV light.

227 *Productivity and mortality*

228 The numbers of birds that died and that were culled for lameness and other reasons
229 in each house were taken from company records on a weekly basis. Farmers culled
230 as normal throughout the study.

231

232 *Statistical analysis*

233 Data were analysed using SPSS (Version 20.0). Due to logistical problems and
234 equipment failure, behavioural data for both houses during Cycle 1 Week 5, Cycle 3
235 Weeks 3, 4 and 5 and Cycle 4 Week 3 were missing from the analysis. Gait scoring
236 and latency to lie data from Cycle 1 Week 5, Cycle 3 Week 5 and Cycle 4 Week 3
237 were also missing from the analysis for both houses. Histograms and QQ plots of the
238 data were scrutinised for normality, and data were subjected to statistical testing for
239 normality using the Shapiro-Wilk test and for homogeneity using Levene's test. The
240 frequency of immobility, preening, eating, idling, resting and ground pecking, the
241 duration of standing, walking, resting, preening, eating, drinking and ground pecking
242 and the average gait score and percentage of lame birds did not meet either the
243 assumptions of normality or homogeneity and were therefore transformed using
244 \log_{10} transformations. Data regarding the frequency of drinking and experiencing a
245 disturbance were transformed using a square root transformation. Data containing
246 zero values had 0.5 added to each value prior to transformation. The means of these
247 measures (and of those that originally met assumptions of normality and
248 homogeneity) were compared using ANOVA with the main effects of Straw bale
249 density, Week and the interaction between these variables as treatment factors and
250 'House' as a blocking factor.

251 For all measures except slaughter weights, hock burn and podo dermatitis
252 incidences, and cumulative percentage of dead birds at day 30 of the rearing cycle,
253 average values per treatment, week and house were used as experimental units. All
254 main effects, and interactive effects of treatment and week, were determined. For all
255 other measures, average values per treatment and house were used as
256 experimental units and main treatment effects were determined. Back transformed
257 means, with 0.5 removed from each value where appropriate, are included in the
258 results section for those measures that required transformation. Root mean square
259 error (RMSE) values are presented for ANOVA data and were calculated by taking
260 the square root of error mean square values. Significant differences between weeks
261 were ascertained using Tukey HSD post hoc tests. Some data did not meet
262 assumptions of normality or homogeneity after transformation. These included the
263 duration of immobility, the frequency of running, dust bathing, aggression and of bale
264 pecking, the duration of running, lying, dust bathing, aggression, bale pecking and of
265 vigilance, and the total number of birds culled by day 30 of the rearing cycle.
266 Kruskal-Wallis tests were therefore used to test the significance of the effect of bale
267 density and week on each of these measures, except in the case of total number of
268 culls, for which the effect of bale density alone was determined. Significant
269 differences between weeks were ascertained using post hoc pairwise comparison
270 tests.

271

272 **Results**

273 *Environmental parameters*

274 There was no significant difference in light intensity (30SB 57.95, 45SB 51.01,
275 R.M.S.E. 29.85lux, $p=0.56$) or UV wavelengths (30SB 4.10, 45SB 3.55, R.M.S.E.
276 1.06 $\mu\text{W}/\text{cm}^2$, $p=0.19$) between bale density treatments.

277

278 *Behaviour*

279 Straw bale density had no significant effect on the frequency (per 10 minute
280 observation) of lying, standing, walking, immobility, vigilance, disturbances, preening,
281 drinking, eating, idling, resting and ground pecking (Table 1) or on the frequency of
282 running, dust bathing, aggression or bale pecking (Table 3). Bale density also had no
283 effect on the percentage of time birds spent walking, standing, resting, preening,
284 eating, drinking, being idle, being disturbed and ground pecking (Table 2) or on the
285 percentage of time spent lying, immobile, running, dust bathing, being aggressive,
286 bale pecking or engaged in vigilance (Table 3).

287 The average frequency of disturbances experienced by focal birds increased
288 significantly with age, although the frequency of lying, standing, walking, immobility,
289 vigilance, preening, drinking, eating, idling, resting and ground pecking remained
290 unaffected (Table 1). The frequency of running decreased significantly with age,
291 however no significant age effects were shown for dust bathing, aggression and bale
292 pecking (Table 3).

293 The average percentage of time spent walking decreased with age, whereas the
294 percentage of time spent standing, resting, preening, eating, drinking, being idle,
295 being disturbed and ground pecking were unaffected by age (Table 2). The
296 percentage of time spent running decreased, and the percentage of time spent
297 immobile increased with age (Table 3). There was no significant effect of age on the

298 percentage of time spent lying, dust bathing, being aggressive, bale pecking and
299 being vigilant (Table 3).

300

301 *Leg Health*

302 Straw bale density had no significant effect on average gait score (30SB 1.25, 45SB
303 1.30, R.M.S.E. 0.06, $p=0.29$), the percentage of lame birds (30SB 12.78, 45SB
304 13.02, R.M.S.E. 0.18%, $p=0.80$), the percentage of birds with hock burn (30SB
305 15.67, 45SB 12.00, R.M.S.E. 6.41%, $p=0.37$), or on the percentage of feet with pododermatitis
306 (30B 55.25, 45B 53.41, R.M.S.E. 18.86%, $p=0.88$). However, average
307 latency to lie was significantly longer in the 30SB treatment than in the 45SB
308 treatment (30SB 23.38, 45SB 18.62, R.M.S.E. 4.16s, $p<0.01$).

309 There was a significant increase in gait score with age (week 3 0.68, week 4 1.21,
310 week 5 1.94, R.M.S.E 0.06, $p<0.01$). In addition, there was a significant increase in
311 the percentage of lame birds (week 3 1.20, week 4 7.00, week 5 30.50, R.M.S.E
312 0.18%, $p<0.01$) and a significant decrease in average latency to lie (week 3 25.71,
313 week 4 20.32, week 5 16.98, R.M.S.E 4.16s, $p<0.01$) with age.

314

315 *Culls, mortalities and productivity*

316 Straw bale density had no significant effect on the average slaughter weight of birds
317 recorded during thinning and clearing (30SB 2261.46, 45SB 2151.15, R.M.S.E.
318 131.74g, $p=0.21$), on the number of birds culled (ranked means: 30SB 6.67, 45SB
319 6.33, $\chi^2(1, N =12)$ 0.03, $p=0.87$), or on the cumulative percentage of dead birds
320 recorded at day 30 of the rearing cycle (30SB 2.86, 45SB 2.76, R.M.S.E. 0.55%,
321 $p=0.76$).

322

323 Discussion

324 Research performed under commercial conditions showed that provision of straw
325 bales at a density of 1 bale/17m² led to significant increases in activity levels of
326 broilers compared to when no bales were provided (Kells *et al.*, 2001). More recent
327 research performed within windowed houses showed no significant effect of access
328 to straw bales provided at a lower density of 1 bale/44m² on the percentage of time
329 birds spent engaged in behaviours such as lying, standing, resting, eating, preening
330 and aggression or on the frequency of any of the behaviours recorded within the
331 study (Bailie *et al.*, 2013). The results of the current study suggest that increasing the
332 number of straw bales by 50%, and therefore increasing the density of bales from
333 approximately 1 bale/44m² to 1 bale/29m² of floor space, had no significant effect on
334 welfare-related parameters such as activity levels, walking ability or incidence of
335 pododermatitis and hock burn. However, no significant differences in slaughter
336 weights, mortalities and culls were evident between treatments, suggesting that
337 increasing the density of straw bales had no detrimental effects on productivity.

338 As the present study was performed in windowed houses, it is possible that
339 variations in the light intensity and UV levels within houses may have influenced
340 broiler behaviour (Newberry *et al.*, 1988; Maddocks *et al.*, 2001; Bailie *et al.*, 2013).
341 However, results showed no significant difference in the intensity or UV content of
342 light between treatments, suggesting that these factors would have had limited
343 effects on the frequency or duration of performed behaviours. The lack of a
344 significant treatment effect on the majority of measures in this study may have
345 reflected the relatively small difference in the numbers of bales provided in each
346 treatment. For example, as bales were dispersed as evenly as possible throughout
347 houses, the average distance between bales would have been 6.6m and 5.4m in the

348 30SB and 45SB treatments, respectively. Also, competition for bales would not have
349 differed greatly between treatments, with approximately 1 bale per 500 birds in the
350 45SB treatment and 1 bale per 760 birds in the 30SB treatment. However, in order
351 for this study to be applicable to the broiler industry, treatments were designed to
352 reflect baseline and increased levels of straw bale provision likely to be seen in
353 commercial practice.

354 The significant increase in the frequency of disturbances experienced by birds as
355 they aged was most likely due to the increase in stocking density and crowding over
356 the course of the rearing cycle (Hall, 2001). The significant decrease in locomotion
357 with age echoes results of past research (Knowles *et al.*, 2008) and may be
358 attributable to an increase in stocking density (Estevez *et al.*, 1997). It may also have
359 been due to the negative effect of weight gain on leg health (Julian, 1998; Kestin *et*
360 *al.*, 2001) which was reflected within this study in the significant increase in gait
361 score, and decrease in latency to lie, across weeks.

362 Results showed an increased latency to lie in birds provided with thirty bales
363 compared to those provided with forty-five bales. The leg health implications of this
364 finding are difficult to interpret in the absence of an accompanying significant
365 improvement in gait score. As birds rarely used straw bales for perching, possibly
366 due to difficulty in accessing the top of high bales (Carley Bailie, personal
367 observation), it is possible that increased numbers of straw bales may have resulted
368 in decreased floor space available for use by the birds (Kells *et al.*, 2001). Increased
369 bale numbers may therefore have effectively increased stocking density, and this
370 has been shown to have a detrimental effect on latency to lie in broilers (Buijs *et al.*,
371 2009). However, as bales tended to disintegrate over the course of the rearing cycle,
372 the resultant decrease in floor space associated with the provision of extra straw

373 bales may not have been an issue during the latter weeks of the rearing cycle. In any
374 case, the initial floor area taken up by straw bales was 9.6m² in the 30 bale treatment
375 and 14.4m² in the 45 bale treatment. This meant that the difference in the size of
376 area allowed for each individual bird was little more than 2cm² and that the reduction
377 in space per bird, and the resultant increase in stocking density, with the provision of
378 45 bales was not likely to be biologically meaningful.

379 Under natural conditions, fowl tend to seek out cover for the performance of resting
380 behaviour (Wood-Gush *et al.*, 1978), and 2 to 3 week old broilers continue to display
381 a similar behavioural repertoire to their red jungle fowl ancestors (Collias and Collias,
382 1967). Modern broilers have shown a tendency to gather around straw bales, poultry
383 house roof supports and walls (eg. Kells *et al.*, 2001). Laying hen strains have also
384 been shown to spend more time in areas containing vertical cover panels, and also
385 to display an increase in preening and resting behaviours when provided with panels
386 (Newberry and Shackleton, 1997). The increased performance of these behaviours
387 may indicate a reduction in fearfulness, or vigilance. Therefore, due to the way in
388 which the latency to lie test was carried out, it is also possible that the increased
389 latency to lie in 30SB birds was due to increased fearfulness associated with
390 reduced (straw bale) cover within the windowed houses. However, no difference in
391 vigilance was observed between treatments within this study, suggesting that birds
392 provided with thirty bales were no more apprehensive of their environment than birds
393 provided with forty-five bales.

394 In conclusion, increasing the level of straw bales provided did not exert significant
395 effects on the activity levels or productivity of commercial broiler chickens. Walking
396 ability and leg health in general remained largely unaffected by the numbers of straw
397 bales provided. This suggests that an increase in the level of straw bales of the

398 magnitude which is likely to be seen in commercial practice may not be sufficient to
399 significantly improve broiler chicken welfare. Further research is required to
400 determine the optimum level of provision of enrichment stimuli to maximise the
401 welfare of birds within large commercial flocks.

402

403 **Acknowledgements**

404 This research project was funded by the Department of Agriculture and Rural
405 Development in Northern Ireland. The authors also wish to thank Moy Park Ltd. for
406 allowing access to their farms and for their support and advice throughout the
407 project.

408

409 **References**

410 Aerni V, El-Lethey H and Wechsler B 2000. Effect of foraging material and food form on
411 feather pecking in laying hens. *British Poultry Science* 41, 16-21.

412 Bailie CL, Ball MEE and O'Connell NE 2013. Influence of the provision of natural light and
413 straw bales on activity levels and leg health in commercial broiler chickens. *Animal* 7, 618-
414 626.

415 Buijs S, Keeling L, Rettenbacher S, Van Poucke E and Tuytens FAM 2009. Stocking density
416 effects on broiler welfare: Identifying sensitive ranges for different indicators. *Poultry Science*
417 88, 1536-1543.

418 Collias NE and Collias EC 1967. A field study of the red jungle fowl in north-central India.
419 *Condor* 69, 360-386.

420 Council Directive 2007/43/EC of June 2007 laying down minimum rules for the protection of
421 chickens kept for meat production. Available at http://eur-lex.europa.eu/LexUriServ/site/en/oj/2007/l_182/l_18220070712en00190028.pdf [Accessed 1st Sept 2013].

423 Estévez I, Newberry R and Arias de Reyna L 1997. Broiler chickens, A tolerant social
424 system? *Etología* 5, 19-29.

425 Estevez I, Tablante N, Pettit-Riley RL and Carr L 2002. Use of cool perches by broiler
426 chickens. *Poultry Science* 81, 62-69.

427 Hall AL 2001. The effect of stocking density on the welfare and behaviour of broiler chickens
428 reared commercially. *Animal Welfare* 10, 23–40.

429 Julian R J 1998. Rapid growth problems: Ascites and skeletal deformities in broilers. *Poultry*
430 *Science* 12, 1773–1780.

431 Kells A, Dawkins MS and Borja MC 2001. The effect of a 'freedom food' enrichment on the
432 behaviour of broilers on commercial farms. *Animal Welfare* 10, 347-356.

433 Kestin SC, Knowles TG, Tinch AE and Gregory NG 1992. Prevalence of leg weakness in
434 broiler chickens and its relationship with genotype. *Veterinary Record* 131, 190– 194.

435 Kestin SC, Gorden S, Su G and Sorensen P 2001. Relationships in broiler chickens between
436 lameness, live weight, growth rate and age. *Veterinary Record* 148, 195–197.

437 Knowles TG, Kestin SC, Haslam SM, Brown SN, Green LE, Butterworth A, Pope SJ, Pfeiffer
438 D and Nicol CJ 2008. Leg Disorders in Broiler Chickens: Prevalence, Risk Factors and
439 Prevention. *PLoS ONE* 3 e1545. doi:10.1371/journal.pone.0001545

440 Maddocks SA, Cuthill IC, Goldsmith AR and Sherwin CM 2001. Behavioural and
441 physiological effects of absence of ultraviolet wavelengths for domestic chicks. *Animal*
442 *Behaviour* 62, 1013-1019.

443 Newberry RC 1995. Environmental enrichment: increasing the biological relevance of
444 captive environments. *Applied Animal Behavioural Science* 44, 229–243.

445 Newberry RC, Hunt JR, Gardiner EE 1988. The influence of light intensity on behaviour and
446 performance of broiler chickens. *Poultry Science* 67, 1020-1025.

447 Newberry RC and Shackleton DM 1997. Use of cover by domestic fowl: a Venetian blind
448 effect? *Animal Behaviour* 54, 387-395.

449 RSPCA Welfare Standards for Chickens 2011. RSPCA Freedom Food Farm Assurance and
450 Food Labelling Scheme. Available at <http://www.rspca.org.uk/ImageLocator/Locate>
451 [Asset?asset=document&assetId=1232725466971&mode=prd](http://www.rspca.org.uk/ImageLocator/LocateAsset?asset=document&assetId=1232725466971&mode=prd) [Accessed 1st Sept 2013].
452 Sherwin CM, Lewis PD and Perry GC 1999. The effects of environmental enrichment and
453 intermittent lighting on the behaviour and welfare of male domestic turkeys. *Applied Animal*
454 *Behaviour Science* 62, 319–333.

455 Weeks CA, Danbury TD, Davies HC, Hunt P and Kestin SC 2000. The behaviour of broiler
456 chickens and its modification by lameness. *Applied Animal Behaviour Science*. 67, 111-125.

457 Weeks CA, Knowles TG, Gordon RG, Kerr AE, Peyton ST and Tillbrook NT 2002. New
458 method for objectively assessing lameness in broiler chickens. *Veterinary Record* 151, 762–
459 764.

460 Woodgush DGM, Duncan IJH and Savory CJ 1978. Observations on social-behavior of
461 domestic-fowl in wild. *Biology of Behaviour* 3,193–205.

Table 1 Effect of straw bale density and age on normally distributed data on the mean frequency of behaviours performed during 10 min focal observations

Behaviour	Transformation used for test	Bale density		Age			R.M.S.E	p(SB)	p(Wk)
		30SB	45SB	Wk3	Wk4	Wk5			
Lie	N/A	31.83	30.77	28.75	29.90	35.25	8.18	0.75	0.26
Stand	N/A	26.94	25.55	24.00	24.60	30.13	8.51	0.69	0.30
Walk	N/A	43.94	46.38	53.00	49.10	33.38	19.25	0.76	0.12
Immobile	Log ₁₀	51.82	54.20	61.00	56.90	41.13	18.64	0.76	0.10
Idle	Log ₁₀	166.72	162.83	170.25	156.7	167.37	0.33	0.77	0.64
Rest	Log ₁₀	28.37	28.62	27.88	25.10	32.50	0.49	0.84	0.41
Eat	Log ₁₀	14.40	10.83	12.63	12.60	12.63	0.61	0.85	0.52
Drink	Square root	32.94	39.06	49.00	30.50	28.50	3.33	0.73	0.29
Preen	Log ₁₀	19.00	19.12	17.50	20.30	19.38	0.77	0.70	0.87
Ground peck	Log ₁₀	19.66	17.18	19.25	20.50	15.50	0.64	0.24	0.59
Vigilance	N/A	43.70	39.43	40.88	42.20	41.63	11.77	0.38	0.97
Disturbance	Square root	13.75	12.45	8.13 ^a	10.30 ^{ab}	20.88 ^b	1.18	0.56	0.02
Other	N/A	0.78	0.57	3.25 ^a	6.40 ^{ab}	9.50 ^b	0.90	0.18	0.03

N/A = non applicable, SB = straw bales, for the effect of straw bale density on all behaviours (df 1, 25). Wk= week, for the effect of age on all behaviours (df 2, 25). R.M.S.E = root mean squared error. Data analysed by ANOVA with 'SBdensity*Week' as a treatment factor and 'House' as a blocking factor. ^{a,b} means (within either the frequency or duration behaviour category) in the same row with a different superscript differ significantly. Data not presented in this table were not normally distributed and were therefore analysed using a Kruskal-Wallis test, the results of which are detailed in Table 3.

Table 2 Effect of straw bale density and age on normally distributed data on the mean % of time spent performing behaviours during 10 min focal observations

Behaviour	Transformation used for test	Bale density		Age			R.M.S.E	p(SB)	p(Wk)
		30SB	45SB	Wk3	Wk4	Wk5			
Stand	Log ₁₀	16.30	16.81	18.31	16.87	14.48	0.79	0.96	0.71
Walk	Log ₁₀	3.71	2.28	4.55 ^a	2.89 ^{ab}	1.54 ^b	0.27	0.25	0.03
Idle	N/A	56.65	56.89	53.92	58.55	57.83	11.17	0.96	0.66
Rest	Log ₁₀	22.70	25.36	26.34	20.81	24.94	0.18	0.45	0.43
Eat	Log ₁₀	3.13	3.27	3.58	2.64	3.38	0.43	0.75	0.68
Drink	Log ₁₀	1.79	1.99	2.62	1.641	1.399	0.39	0.77	0.26
Preen	Log ₁₀	1.33	1.35	1.33	1.35	1.34	0.32	0.66	0.93
Ground peck	Log ₁₀	2.95	2.08	17.50	20.30	19.38	0.95	0.17	0.18
Disturbance	N/A	1.92	1.59	19.25	20.50	15.50	1.18	0.51	0.49
Other	N/A	1.07	0.69	40.88 ^a	42.20 ^b	41.63 ^b	0.22	0.27	0.01

N/A = non applicable, SB = straw bales, for the effect of straw bale density on all behaviours (df 1, 25). Wk= week, for the effect of age on all behaviours (df 2, 25). R.M.S.E = root mean squared error. Data analysed by ANOVA with 'SBdensity*Week' as a treatment factor and 'House' as a blocking factor. ^{a,b} means (within either the frequency or duration behaviour category) in the same row with a different superscript differ significantly. Data not presented in this table were not normally distributed and were therefore analysed using a Kruskal-Wallis test, the results of which are detailed in Table 3.

Table 3 Effect of straw bale density and age on non-normally distributed data on the mean frequency and the mean % of time spent performing behaviours during 10 min focal observations

	Behaviour	Bale density		Age			X ² (SB)	X ² (Wk)	p(SB)	p(Wk)
		30SB	45SB	Wk3	Wk4	Wk5				
Frequency/10mins of behaviours	Run	12.88	14.12	19.13 ^a	13.40 ^{ab}	8.00 ^b	0.18	9.25	0.67	0.01
	Dust bathe	13.00	14.00	13.75	13.20	13.63	0.36	0.09	0.55	0.96
	Aggression	11.73	15.27	14.25	14.00	12.13	1.95	0.53	0.16	0.77
	Bale peck	15.35	11.65	12.63	13.40	14.50	1.60	0.26	0.21	0.88
% of time spent performing behaviours	Lie	13.31	13.69	13.00	12.80	14.88	0.02	0.38	0.90	0.83
	Immobile	12.46	14.54	10.88 ^a	11.10 ^a	19.13 ^b	0.48	6.25	0.49	0.04
	Run	12.92	14.08	19.25 ^a	13.05 ^{ab}	8.31 ^b	0.16	8.92	0.69	0.01
	Dust bathe	13.00	14.00	13.75	13.20	13.63	0.36	0.09	0.55	0.96
	Aggression	11.13	14.73	13.56	13.50	11.64	2.03	0.45	0.15	0.80
	Bale peck	14.62	12.38	12.13	14.85	13.19	0.57	0.60	0.45	0.74
	Vigilance	15.15	11.85	13.00	17.20	9.38	1.22	4.70	0.27	0.10

SB = straw bales, for the effect of straw bale density on all behaviours (df 1, 25). Wk = week, for the effect of age on all behaviours (df 2, 25). Ranked means are displayed for each level of straw bale density and for each week. Data was analysed using a Kruskal-Wallis test with 'Straw bale density' and 'Week' as treatment factors. ^{a,b} ranked means (within either the frequency or duration behaviour category) in the same row with a different superscript differ significantly. Data not presented in this table were normally distributed and were therefore analysed using ANOVA, the results of which are detailed in Tables 1 and 2.