



**QUEEN'S  
UNIVERSITY  
BELFAST**

## **The influence of providing perches and string on activity levels, fearfulness and leg health in commercial broiler chickens**

Bailie, C. L., & O'Connell, N. E. (2015). The influence of providing perches and string on activity levels, fearfulness and leg health in commercial broiler chickens. *Animal*, 9(4), 660-668.  
<https://doi.org/10.1017/S1751731114002821>

**Published in:**  
Animal

**Document Version:**  
Peer reviewed version

**Queen's University Belfast - Research Portal:**  
[Link to publication record in Queen's University Belfast Research Portal](#)

**Publisher rights**  
© The Animal Consortium 2014

**General rights**  
Copyright for the publications made accessible via the Queen's University Belfast Research Portal is retained by the author(s) and / or other copyright owners and it is a condition of accessing these publications that users recognise and abide by the legal requirements associated with these rights.

**Take down policy**  
The Research Portal is Queen's institutional repository that provides access to Queen's research output. Every effort has been made to ensure that content in the Research Portal does not infringe any person's rights, or applicable UK laws. If you discover content in the Research Portal that you believe breaches copyright or violates any law, please contact [openaccess@qub.ac.uk](mailto:openaccess@qub.ac.uk).

**Open Access**  
This research has been made openly available by Queen's academics and its Open Research team. We would love to hear how access to this research benefits you. – Share your feedback with us: <http://go.qub.ac.uk/oa-feedback>

**The influence of providing perches and string on activity levels, fearfulness  
and leg health in commercial broiler chickens**

**C.L. Bailie and N.E. O'Connell**

*Institute for Global Food Security, School of Biological Sciences, Queens University  
Belfast, Belfast, BT9 7BL*

Corresponding author: Carley Bailie. E-mail: c.bailie@qub.ac.uk

**Running Head**

**Influence of perches and string on broiler chicken welfare**

**Abstract**

The aim of this study was to assess the effect of providing environmental enrichment in the form of perches and string on the behaviour and welfare of commercial broiler chickens. Houses containing ~23,000 broiler chickens were assigned to 1 of 4 treatments in a 2 x 2 factorial design. Treatments involved 2 levels of access to perches (P) (present (24/house) '+P', or absent '-P') and 2 levels of access to string (S) (present (24/house) '+S', or absent '-S'). All houses contained windows, and 30 straw bales were provided from day 10 of the rearing cycle. Treatments were applied in 1 of 4 houses on a single farm, and were replicated over 4 production cycles. Behaviour and leg health was observed in weeks 3-5 of the rearing cycle. Production performance and environmental parameters were also measured. There was an interaction between perches and age in the percentage of birds observed lying, with higher percentages of birds observed lying in the +P treatment than in the -P treatment during weeks 4 and 5. There was also a significant interaction between

string and age in the percentage of birds observed in locomotion, with higher percentages observed in locomotion in the -S treatment than in the +S treatment during weeks 4 and 5. There was also an interaction between string and age in average gait scores, with lower gait scores in the +S treatment than in the -S treatment during weeks 3 and 5 but not within week 4. Daytime observations showed that perches and strings were used frequently, with 1 bout of perching occurring approximately every 80s per perch, and 1 bout of pecking at string occurring every 78s per string on average. There was a significant effect of age on use of perches ( $p < 0.001$ ) and string ( $p < 0.001$ ), with perching peaking during week 5 and string pecking peaking during week 3. We conclude that commercial broilers in windowed houses with access to straw bales display an interest in additional enrichment stimuli in the form of perches and string, and therefore that these stimuli have the potential to improve welfare. In addition, provision of string as a pecking device appeared to positively influence walking ability. However, this effect was numerically small, was only shown in certain weeks and was not reflected in the other leg health measure (latency to lie). The results also showed an apparent negative effect of string and perches on the activity levels of birds (recorded away from the immediate vicinity of these enrichments) towards the end of the production cycle. These results emphasise the need for further research into optimum design and layout of enrichment stimuli for modern broilers in windowed houses to ensure that their provision leads to clear welfare benefits.

**Keywords:** *Behaviour, broiler chicken, leg health, perches, string*

## Implications

This study provides novel information on the effect of string and perch provision on welfare-related parameters in commercial broiler chickens. The results of this study suggest that these stimuli are used by broiler chickens and that suspended string has the potential to positively affect the leg health of broilers. However, birds provided with string and perches (observed outside the direct vicinity of these enrichment stimuli) showed reduced activity levels in later weeks. This highlights the need for further research investigating the ‘real world’ implications of the provision of different types of environmental enrichment to be carried out on commercial farms.

## Introduction

Lameness adversely affects economic returns within the poultry industry (Su *et al.*, 1999), particularly through contributing to increased culling rates and mortalities. Lameness also self-select more food containing the painkiller carprofen than non-lame birds, suggesting that the condition is associated with pain and is therefore an animal welfare issue (Danbury *et al.*, 2000). Artificial selection for increased feed conversion and rapid growth rate appears to be a salient factor in the development of lameness in commercial broiler chickens (Kestin *et al.*, 1992; Fanatico *et al.*, 2008). This may be through direct effects of rapid growth on bone health (see Julian, 1998; Olkowski *et al.*, 2011), and/or through indirect effects of genetic selection strategies on behaviour. Research shows that fast growing broilers are extremely inactive and may spend up to 80% of their time lying down (Weeks *et al.*, 2000). This lack of activity has been linked with abnormal bone development and leg conformation (Kestin *et al.*, 1992; Reiter and Bessei, 1998a,b), and may therefore also negatively impact on the walking ability of birds. In addition, the presence of contact dermatitis

76 lesions has been linked with inactivity and may also adversely affect the movement  
77 of birds (Harms and Simpson, 1975; Hester, 1994).

78 Environmental enrichment has been posited as a way in which activity levels may be  
79 increased, and lameness reduced, in commercial flocks of fast growing broiler  
80 chickens (eg. Kells *et al.*, 2001; Bailie *et al.*, 2013). Mounting and dismounting  
81 perches, and the act of perching itself, may exercise the broiler musculoskeletal  
82 system in a different way from standing and walking, and may therefore strengthen  
83 legs. Indeed, Bizeray et al. (2002) found a tendency towards improved morphology  
84 of the tibia when broiler chickens were required to traverse barriers to access  
85 feeders. They hypothesized that the physical activity associated with perching on,  
86 and stepping on to and over these barriers contributed to this effect. Perching may  
87 also reduce the amount of time birds spend in contact with wet litter, and may  
88 therefore reduce the incidence or severity of contact dermatitis lesions (Su *et al.*,  
89 2000). Activity levels may also be increased and leg health improved by stimulating  
90 exploratory behaviour through providing pecking devices. McAdie et al. (2005) found  
91 that laying hen chicks showed sustained interest in string when provided as a  
92 pecking device, however the usefulness of string as a source of environmental  
93 enrichment for commercially-housed broiler chickens does not appear to have been  
94 fully evaluated. In addition to potential effects on leg health, environmental  
95 enrichment has also been shown to exert positive effects on the psychological  
96 welfare of farm animals (Newberry, 1995). In particular it has been suggested as a  
97 way to reduce fear in a number of species, including poultry (Jones, 1996).

98 The aim of this study was to investigate the effects of providing enrichment in the  
99 form of perches and string on activity levels, leg health and fearfulness in  
100 commercial broiler chickens. This research was conducted using chickens housed

with access to natural light and straw bales. Previous research demonstrated welfare benefits associated with providing these types of environmental enrichment (Bailie *et al.*, 2013), and the objective of the current study was to determine if further benefits could be achieved by additional modifications to the environment. We hypothesised that both perches and string would independently increase activity levels, and reduce levels of lameness and fearfulness. We also hypothesised that there would be a cumulative effect of string and perches on these parameters. The study also aimed to gain a better understanding of levels of use of perches and strings by commercially-housed broiler chickens.

## Materials and Methods

### *Animals, husbandry and housing*

A total of 368,000 Ross 308 broiler chickens obtained from 1 breeding company (Aviagen Ltd, UK) were used in this experiment which took place in Northern Ireland between February and August 2011. Approximately 23,000 mixed-sex birds were placed in each experimental house 'as hatched'. The total floor area/house available to the birds was approximately 1324m<sup>2</sup> resulting in an approximate initial stocking rate of 17birds/m<sup>2</sup>. Approximately half of the birds were removed for slaughter after day 30 of the production cycle, and the remaining birds were removed between days 32 and 42. Stocking densities did not exceed 30kg/m<sup>2</sup> at any stage of the production cycle.

Temperature, ventilation, feeding regimes, feed sources and blends were identical between houses. The ventilation system consisted of ceiling fans and flaps which could be opened and closed along the 2 long sides of each house in response to the humidity and temperature (which were recorded by 4 sensors). Fans and flaps

operated automatically in the event that humidity fell outside the optimum range of 55-60% and if temperature reached 5 degrees above or below the optimum for each week. Large gas pan heaters were placed in 2 uniform lines down the length of all houses and the temperature within all houses was 33°C at day 1, and dropped 2 degrees each week until day 21 of the rearing cycle..

All birds had access to natural light from day 4 of the rearing cycle. This was provided through 46 windows per house (measuring 220cm wide x 60cm high) which were located at a height of 1.5m along the length of the 2 'long' sides of the house. Windows comprised double glazed, toughened glass. These windows were shuttered for the first 4 days of the rearing cycle and during the dark period of the artificial lighting regime. The hours of darkness supplied for the birds rose by 1 hour per day from 1 hour at a day old, to 6 hours at 7 days old. This regime was then maintained from 7 until 28 days old. From 29 days old, hours of darkness were gradually reduced by 1 hour each day to 1 hour by 33 days old. One hour of darkness was then maintained until the end of the rearing cycle. The dark period was between 2300 and 0500 hours. Both lights and shutters were automatically controlled using timers.

Artificial light was provided by 2 rows of 24 horizontally suspended fluorescent strip lights running parallel to each other along the length of each house. Rows were placed 8 m from the nearest wall and suspended 12 m from the floor of the house. Lights comprised 1.2m low frequency T8 tubes emitting 3 thousand lumens each (F40w/29-530/RS warm white energy rating B, Disano Illuminazione UK Ltd., UK). Identical light fittings were used in all houses (4ft Disano Hybro 951 IP65 fitting, Disano Illuminazione UK Ltd., UK) and were suspended from the ceiling by a pulley system.

Thirty straw bales, comprising short chopped straw wrapped in plastic, each measuring 800 x 400 x 400 mm, were supplied in each house from day 10 of the rearing cycle. These were dispersed as evenly as possible throughout the house. Bedding comprised of wood shavings and was placed in the house prior to the birds arriving. Sixty-six kilos of wood shavings were supplied per thousand birds. Additional sawdust was added to specific areas of the houses when deemed necessary by the farmer. Birds were fed on an *ad libitum* basis and received 3 different commercially-available diets across the production cycle. All drinkers were of the nipple variety and included cups.

#### *Treatments and experimental design*

The effects of provision of perches (P) and string (S) on the welfare of broiler chickens was assessed in 2 x 2 factorial design study. Twenty-four perches were distributed as evenly as possibly throughout the house in the P treatment. Each perch was manufactured by the commercial supplier of the birds and consisted of a long horizontal, wooden beam (300 cm x 5 cm x 5cm) with a rounded upper edge resting on 2 supports at either end (15 cm high) (Supplementary Figure S1). In the string treatment, 24 pieces of thin white nylon rope (60 cm x 10 mm) were supplied. One piece of string was tied at its mid-point to the wire above each of the 4 feeder lines within the house at approximately even intervals. Six pieces of string in total were tied to each feeder line (Supplementary Figure S2). The wire was positioned 33cms above the litter at the beginning of the rearing cycle and was gradually raised to a maximum height of approximately 50 cms above the litter (as feeders were raised to encourage growing birds to feed in a standing position). The ends of the string may therefore have been situated between 3 and 20 cm above the litter at



different points in the rearing cycle depending on the growth rate of the birds. The number of enrichment items provided was based on the RSPCA Freedom Foods scheme, which requires a minimum of '1 pecking object and 2 m of perching space per 1000 birds' in addition to the provision of straw bales and natural light (RSPCA, 2013).

Four houses were selected for this study, giving 2 matched pairs of houses on a single commercial farm. Pair 1 comprised Houses 1 and 2, and Pair 2 comprised Houses 3 and 4. All 4 houses were of an identical rectangular design, orientation and number of windows, with the exception that Houses 1 and 2 had a central doorway and Houses 3 and 4 had doors that were offset to either the right (House 3) or the left (House 4). As the birds used were part of the normal commercial enterprises of the company, the number of replications was limited. However, each treatment was replicated 4 times (Table 1). All 4 houses were matched exactly for number of chicks placed and strain of bird. The date when chicks were placed and removed was matched exactly for all 4 houses, except for Replicate 3. Due to a logistical problem, Houses 1 and 2 were stocked 1 day earlier than Houses 3 and 4. In order to counteract any confounding effects, all measures were taken on the same day after placement (and thus 1 day apart between the 2 pairs of houses) in this replicate.

## *Measurements*

### *Behavioural observations*

Behaviour was assessed during 2 days each week between weeks 3 and 5 of the rearing cycle. Observations of general activity levels and fearfulness were made on day 1, and use of enrichment was assessed on day 2. All behavioural observations

were taken between the hours of 09.00 and 18.00 and began approximately 4 hours after the end of the dark period. The house shape was mapped and virtually divided into thirty-six equal size quadrants. Quadrants in which all behavioral, leg health and environmental measures were carried out were preselected using a random number table. A different set was chosen each week.

### *Activity levels*

Two video cameras on tripods were employed to record behaviours in the 2 pairs of houses simultaneously. One camera was alternated between the houses within each pair every 2 observations. Video recordings for scan sampling were taken within 6 quadrants per house per week as detailed in Bailie *et al.* 2013. Quarters that were selected for recordings did not contain strings, perches or straw bales in order to ascertain whether or not the presence of enrichment affected general, non-enrichment-related, activity levels. The first 5 min of film was cut from all videos in order to ensure a settling period had been imposed after the exit of the researcher from the house. Instantaneous scan sampling for each 10 minute clip involved recording both the total number of birds and the numbers of birds lying, standing and engaging in locomotion (walking or running) within the full frame of the video recording at 120 second intervals.

### *Fearfulness*

Fearfulness was assessed by recording the flight distance of birds and their response to a novel object. Flight distance scoring was performed in ten quadrants, selected using a random number table, in each house once a week after video recordings were completed. A square piece of Perspex, measuring 30 x 30 cm, with

an 'x' drawn in the middle, was held up at arm's length at the edge of the selected quadrant. The bird observed closest to the 'x' was tested for flight distance. The experimenter ensured that selected birds were not tightly packed within a group before approaching. The approach consisted of slowly walking towards the bird at a constant speed. At the point when the selected bird retreated, the approximate distance (in cm) between the experimenter and the bird was recorded using a standard measure as a guide.

A novel object was also presented in 1 randomly-selected quadrant in each house each week. The experimenter gently placed the novel object upright among the birds and walked to a distance of approximately 4m away. Observations were taken from a standing position on top of a straw bale. The latency of the first bird to approach and contact the object after it had been placed on the ground was measured using a stop watch. If no bird approached the object within 5 min a maximum latency of 300s was recorded and the test was terminated. The number of birds that made contact with the object in the sixty seconds following the first contact with the object was also recorded, along with the number of birds within 50 cm of the object at 300s. Three different novel objects were presented within each treatment each week in the following order; a child's yellow plastic chair (30x30x70cm), a red gardening basket (or 'trug') (40x60cm diameter) and a blue storage container to keep items cool (or a 'cool box') (30x50x60cm). The same novel object was presented in all houses during the same week throughout all cycles.

#### *Use of enrichment*

A video camera placed on a tripod was used to record behaviour directed towards enrichment. Perch recordings took place during the following time periods: 0900 to

1000 hours, 1100 to 1200 hours, 1300 to 1400 hours and 1500 to 1600 hours. String recordings took place on the same day during the following time periods: 1000 to 1100 hours, 1200 to 1300 hours, 1400 to 1500 hours and 1600 to 1700 hours. During these times 1 perch or piece of string was filmed for a 30 min period in each of the 2 houses provided with these enrichments. Two perches or pieces of string from centre quadrants and 2 from edge quadrants were filmed in each house each day in order to balance any effects of enrichment location. During later analysis, the first 5 min of each recording was disregarded in order to allow a settling period for the birds. The total number of times the perch or string was used by any bird was recorded, along with the duration of each bout, during the remaining 25 min. Bouts beginning prior to the 25 min observation window or ending after this period were disregarded in the analysis of both duration and frequency of perching and pecking as their duration could not be accurately ascertained. Data comprising the start and end times of each bout of perching and pecking were also used to deduce the number of bouts that overlapped (i.e. each bout which had a start time prior to the end time of a previous bout, resulting in more than 1 animal using the enrichment simultaneously). The number of overlapping bouts was then calculated as a percentage of the total number of bouts. Bouts beginning prior to the twenty-five minute observation window and ending after this period were included in this analysis.

### *Leg health*

Leg health was assessed using a latency to lie test (Weeks *et al.*, 2002; Berg and Sanotra, 2003) and spontaneous gait scoring. Each assessment was performed in twenty-five randomly selected quadrants following behavioural measures in each

house on day 1 of each week. One bird was randomly selected from each quadrant each week for gait scoring, and one bird for latency to lie testing, which was carried out as in Bailie *et al.* 2013. Gait was scored on a scale of 0-5 where 0 = normal movement and 5 = unable to walk (Kestin *et al.*, 1992). Measures of leg health were carried out within 1 house before moving on to a second house, and the first house used in observations was alternated weekly. The number of birds culled for leg problems by day 30 of the rearing cycle was recorded for each cycle in each house using company records. The incidences of podo dermatitis and hock burn at slaughter were recorded by slaughterhouse staff as in Bailie *et al.* (2013).

#### *Environmental parameters*

Light intensity (lux) values and UV wavelengths ( $\mu\text{W}/\text{cm}^2$ ) were recorded immediately following behavioural observations from the centre of the 6 quadrants videoed for activity levels and from the area beside filmed perches and strings in each house each week using a light meter (Digital lux meter LX1010B, Handsun Co. Ltd, China) and a UV meter (UV-340 meter, Lutron Electronic Enterprise Co. Ltd. Taiwan) as in Bailie *et al.* (2013). Daily temperature and humidity levels were taken from farm records.

#### *Productivity and mortality*

The number of birds culled for reasons other than leg problems and mortalities by day 30 of the rearing cycle, and the cumulative percentage of dead birds by this point, were recorded for each cycle in each house using company records. Slaughter weights were taken from farm records at thinning and clearing. The farmer culled as normal throughout the study.

301

302 *Statistical analysis*

303 Data were analysed using SPSS (v20). Due to a problem with the video recordings  
304 of perches and string, data for 1 of the 4 observations was missing from cycle 2  
305 week 5 for usage of both types of enrichment. All treatments were balanced across  
306 houses during the 4 replications, and analysis was carried out as for a balanced  
307 Latin square design. A histogram of the residuals was plotted for each variable each  
308 week and was scrutinised for normality. Residuals were also subjected to statistical  
309 testing for normality using the Shapiro-Wilk test. Data for light intensity and UV  
310 recorded in the vicinity of enrichment stimuli, the cumulative percentage of dead  
311 birds at day 30 of the rearing cycle, bout lengths of pecking at string and bout  
312 lengths of perching were subjected to a  $\log_{10}$  transformation in order to achieve a  
313 normal distribution. Data containing zero values had 0.5 added to each value prior to  
314 transformation. The means of normally-distributed transformed and untransformed  
315 data were compared using ANOVA with 'cycle' and 'house' as blocking factors.  
316 Normally distributed data on behaviour and leg health, collected during weeks 3, 4  
317 and 5 of the rearing cycle, were compared using ANOVA with 'string\*perches\*week'  
318 as treatment factors and significant differences between weeks were ascertained  
319 using Tukey HSD post hoc tests. Normally distributed data on the use of string were  
320 analysed using perches\*week as treatment factors, and data on the use of perches  
321 were analysed using 'string\*week' as treatment factors. For each measure, average  
322 values per treatment, week and replicate were used as experimental units, and all  
323 main and interactive effects were determined in analysis. Normally distributed data  
324 collected from farm records were analysed using ANOVA with 'string\*perches' as  
325 treatment factors. Average values per treatment and replicate were used as

experimental units, and all main and interactive effects were determined in analysis. Back transformed means, with 0.5 removed from each value where appropriate, are presented in the results section. Root mean square error (RMSE) values are presented for ANOVA data and were calculated by taking the square root of error mean square values. However, data from a small number of measures remained non-normally distributed following transformation; therefore a Kruskal-Wallis test was used to compare ranked means of treatment groups.

## Results

### *Environmental parameters*

There were no significant differences between treatments in light intensity (+S 62.9, -S 63.9,  $p=0.88$ ; +P 61.0, -P 65.8, R.M.S.E. 23.24 lux,  $p=0.48$ ) or UV wavelengths (+S 3.4, -S 3.3,  $p=0.73$ ; +P 3.3, -P 3.4, R.M.S.E. 1.23  $\mu\text{W}/\text{cm}^2$ ,  $p=0.64$ ). There was also no significant difference in the light intensity that birds from the +P and -P treatments were exposed to in the vicinity of string (+P 79.5, -P 69.7, R.M.S.E. 0.31 lux,  $p=0.59$ ) and in the UV content of light near strings (+P 4.3, -P 3.8, R.M.S.E. 0.28  $\mu\text{W}/\text{cm}^2$ ,  $p=0.88$ ). There was no significant difference in the light intensity that birds from the +S and -S treatments were exposed to in the vicinity of perches (+S 67.3, -S 54.6, R.M.S.E. 0.28 lux,  $p=0.08$ ) or in the UV content of light near perches (+S 3.3, -S 2.6, R.M.S.E. 0.28  $\mu\text{W}/\text{cm}^2$ ,  $p=0.12$ ).

### *Behaviour*

#### *Group scans*

On average there were 83 ( $\pm 25$ ) birds present in each scan observation. Provision of string had no significant main effect on the percentage of birds observed lying (+S

77.4 -S 78.4, R.M.S.E. 3.99%,  $p=0.40$ ) and standing (+S 22.6 -S 21.7, R.M.S.E. 3.99%,  $p=0.40$ ). Provision of perches had no significant main effect on the percentage of birds observed in locomotion (+P 3.5 -P 3.5, R.M.S.E. 0.77%,  $p=0.82$ ). However, there was an interaction between perches and age in the percentage of birds observed lying (week 3 +P 77.0 -P 80.9, week 4 +P 79.5 -P 75.2, week 5 +P 78.4 -P 76.2, R.M.S.E. 3.99%,  $p=0.02$ ) and standing (week 3 +P 23.0 -P 19.1, week 4 +P 20.5 -P 24.8, week 5 +P 21.6 -P 23.8, R.M.S.E. 3.99%,  $p=0.02$ ), with the presence of perches leading to a decrease in lying behaviour in week 3 and an increase in weeks 4 and 5. There was an interaction between string and bird age in the percentage of birds observed in locomotion (week 3 +S 4.9 -S 3.9, week 4 +S 3.3 -S 3.7, week 5 +S 2.6 -S 2.8, R.M.S.E. 0.77%,  $p=0.04$ ); with the presence of string leading to an increase in locomotion in week 3 but not in weeks 4 and 5.

#### *Flight distance*

Provision of string and perches had no significant effect on the flight distance of birds. However, there was a significant effect of age on flight distance, with average flight distance decreasing across weeks (Table 2).

#### *Reaction to a novel object*

Neither the provision of string or perches had a significant effect on the latency to touch a novel object or on the number of birds clustered within 50 cm of the object at 300 s (Table 2). There was no significant effect of string or perches on the number of birds that touched the object within 60s of the first touch occurring (ranked means: +S 25.4, -S 23.6,  $\chi^2(1, N = 48)$  0.25; ranked means: +P 24.6, -P 24.6,  $\chi^2(1, N = 48)$  0.00). Age had a significant effect on the latency to touch a novel object, on the



number of birds within 50 cm of the novel object at 300 s (Table 2), and on the number of birds to touch the object within 60 s of the first touch occurring (ranked means: week 3 32.4, week 4 20.9, week 5 20.2,  $\chi^2(1, N = 48)$  10.26,  $p=0.01$ ). Birds gathered near the novel object in smaller numbers as they aged, and fewer birds approached and touched the object in the 60 s prior to the first bird making contact.

### *Use of strings*

On average, there were 19.2 ( $\pm 14.08$ ) individual bouts of pecking at string per 25 min observation, and the average pecking bout lasted 4.2 ( $\pm 2.0$ ) s. The percentage of bouts of pecking that overlapped with at least 1 other previous bout of pecking ranged from 0 to 20% across all observations, with an average value of 3.4%.

Provision of perches had no significant effect on the average frequency of string pecking per 25 minute observation (ranked means: +P 46.0, -P 50.1,  $\chi^2(1, N = 95)$  0.52), or on the percentage of bouts of string pecking where more than 1 bird was involved (ranked means: +P 45.9, -P 50.1,  $\chi^2(1, N = 95)$  0.70). Provision of perches had no significant effect on the average length of pecking bouts (+P 4.3, -P 4.2, R.M.S.E 0.2 s).

There was a significant effect of age on the frequency of string pecking per observation (week 3 64.7, week 4 48.4, week 5 30.4,  $\chi^2(1, N = 95)$  24.36,  $p < 0.01$ , and on the percentage of bouts of pecking that overlapped with at least 1 other bout, with birds tending to peck less frequently, and exhibiting fewer overlapping bouts of pecking with age (ranked means: week 3 59.4, week 4 46.3, week 5 38.0,  $\chi^2(1, N = 95)$  12.58,  $p < 0.01$ ). However, age had no significant effect on the average length of pecking bouts (week 3 4.2, week 4 3.9, week 5 4.6, R.M.S.E 0.2 s).

### *Use of perches*

On average, there were 15.1 ( $\pm 13.6$ ) bouts of perching per 25 min observation, with an average perching bout lasting 117.4 ( $\pm 92.7$ ) s. The percentage of bouts of perching that overlapped with at least 1 other previous bout of perching ranged from 0 to 100% across all observations, with an average value of 65.4%.

Provision of string had no significant effect on the average frequency of perching per observation (+S 13.8, -S 16.2, R.M.S.E 9.06,  $p=0.20$ ) or on average bout length (+S 110.1, -S 111.3, R.M.S.E. 0.35 s,  $p=0.31$ ). Provision of string also had no significant effect on the percentage of perching bouts that overlapped with at least 1 other (+S 73.5, -S 70.2, R.M.S.E. 30.87%,  $p=0.61$ ).

The frequency of perching per 25 minute observation increased with age and peaked during week 5 (week 3 4.1, week 4 16.8, week 5 24.2, R.M.S.E. 9.06,  $p<0.01$ ). Age also affected bout lengths of perching, which increased from weeks 3 to 4 and decreased slightly from week 4 to 5 (week 3 85.2, week 4 124.9, week 5 123.0, R.M.S.E. 0.35,  $p=0.43$ ). There was also a significant increase with age in the percentage of bouts of perching that overlapped with at least 1 other bout (week 3 43.5, week 4 80.7, week 5 91.3, R.M.S.E. 30.87%,  $p<0.01$ ).

### *Leg health*

Neither the provision of string or perches had a significant main effect on gait scores (Table 2). There was however an interaction between age and string on average gait scores, with +S birds displaying lower gait scores than -S birds during weeks 3 and 5 but not during week 4 (week 3: +S 0.7, -S 0.9, week 4: +S 1.5, -S 1.4, week 5: +S 1.9, -S 2.0, R.M.S.E. 0.13,  $p=0.048$ ). There was no significant effect of string or perches on latency to lie, however there was a significant effect of age on this

parameter (Table 2), with latency to lie decreasing across weeks. Treatment had no effect on the numbers of leg culls recorded between days 1 and 30 of the rearing cycle or on the total incidence of hock burn and podo dermatitis recorded during thinning and clearing (Table 3).

#### *Culls, mortalities and productivity*

Treatment had no significant effect on mortalities and the cumulative percentage of dead birds recorded between days 1 and 30 of the rearing cycle, or on the average slaughter weight of birds recorded during thinning and clearing (Table 3). Treatment also had no significant effect on numbers of culled birds recorded between days 1 and 30 of the rearing cycle (ranked means: +S 8.4, -S, 8.6,  $\chi^2(1, N = 16) = 0.01$ ,  $p = 0.92$ , ranked means: +P = 8.7, -P = 8.3,  $\chi^2(1, N = 16) = 0.03$ ,  $p = 0.88$ ).

#### **Discussion**

Although layer chicks have been shown to be interested in, and peck at, bunches of string (Jones *et al.*, 2000), this did not appear to be the case in previous research conducted under experimental conditions with broiler chickens (Arnould *et al.*, 2004). However, results of the current study suggest that the chickens were interested in string, with a bout of pecking occurring approximately every 78s at each piece of string. The fact that strings were presented close to feeders possibly increased the number of birds in the vicinity of them and may have increased usage. Unfortunately the methodology employed did not allow for the identification of individual birds. Therefore it is possible that the use of string by certain individuals remained low; as in previous studies (Arnould *et al.*, 2004).

The frequency of string pecking declined with age, while bout lengths remained consistent throughout the rearing cycle. This may have been due to the increased difficulty in standing experienced with age; this was also reflected in reduced latency to lie times across weeks. Only approximately 4% of pecking bouts involved 2 or more birds, which may suggest a lack of social facilitation in the elicitation of this behaviour. However, this may simply reflect the short bout lengths of string pecking, at approximately 4s on average, or difficulty in large numbers of birds accessing lengths of string simultaneously.

Previous research has assessed levels of usage of perches through instantaneous scan sampling (i.e. Le Van *et al.*, 2000; Martrenchar *et al.*, 2000; Pettit-Riley and Estevez, 2001), which leads to difficulties in making comparisons with this study. However, perches appeared to be used frequently in the current study, with a bout of perching occurring approximately every 99s on each perch. The design of the perches within this study, in particular the low height at which the horizontal perching bar was placed above the ground (Davies and Weeks, 1995), may have facilitated easy access. The increase in the frequency of perching with age agrees with the results of previous research (Le Van *et al.*, 2000) and was probably due to the increase in ease with which birds could access perches as they grew.

The average bout length of perching was short at just under 2 min. Genetic selection for rapid weight gain and increased breast size (Corr *et al.*, 2003) may change the centre of gravity of birds and negatively affect the birds' ability to balance on a perch and thus lead to short perching bouts. In addition to this, skeletal abnormalities of the legs and feet and associated lameness among commercial flocks (Kestin *et al.*, 1992) may negatively affect the ability of broiler chickens to remain on perches. This may be due to either mechanical reasons, such as structural deformities of the feet

inhibiting birds' ability to grip the perch, or the pain this may cause in chronically lame birds (Danbury *et al.*, 2000). Over 65% of the bouts of perching involved 2 or more birds on the perch. This may reflect a high level of social facilitation or motivation to perch within commercial broiler flocks, along with a perch design that enabled access by a number of birds at the same time.

The provision of string and perches had no significant main effect on activity levels recorded out of the vicinity of these enrichments. However, there was an interaction between perches and age in the percentage of birds observed lying. The percentage of +P birds observed lying was higher compared to -P birds during weeks 4 and 5. This finding supports the suggestion that birds supplied with ramps and barriers spend more time sitting than control birds (Bessei, 1992b). Past research has suggested that birds approaching feeders and drinkers disturb other individuals in the vicinity, which leads to increased activity levels in those areas (Arnould and Faure, 2004). A similar effect may have taken place in areas furnished with perches. This may have led to a potential decrease in local stocking density and in bird 'jostling' or disturbances in other areas (Hall, 2001), increasing opportunities for undisturbed resting. The increased activity involved in mounting and dismounting perches may have also made birds tired and more prone to resting. There was also an interaction between string and age in the percentage of birds observed in locomotion, with a greater percentage of -S birds than +S birds observed in locomotion during weeks 4 and 5 of the rearing cycle. As with the provision of perches, the reduced activity observed in +S birds in areas of the house away from enrichment stimuli may have been due to increased activity taking place in the vicinity of strings.

499 Light intensity and UV content have both been shown to influence the activity levels  
500 of broiler chickens (Newberry *et al.*, 1988; Maddocks *et al.*, 2001). This study was  
501 performed in windowed houses, resulting in possible variation in light intensity and  
502 UV wavelengths within houses. However, all houses were supplied with identical  
503 artificial lighting in addition to windows, treatments were randomised among houses  
504 during each cycle, and no significant differences in light intensity or UV wavelengths  
505 were recorded between treatment groups. Therefore it is unlikely that minor  
506 variations in these factors were sufficient to affect activity levels.

507 Provision of string and perches had no significant effect on measures of fearfulness.  
508 This may have been due to individual differences in access to enrichment stimuli  
509 within houses. A greater degree of interaction with enrichment stimuli may have  
510 resulted in less fearful birds, whereas limited or no interaction may have produced  
511 more fearful birds (Jones and Waddington, 1992). Birds appeared to display a  
512 reduced flight distance with age but conversely took longer to approach and make  
513 contact with a novel object. The results of these tests may perhaps reflect limitations  
514 in bird mobility with age (eg. Kestin *et al.*, 1992) rather than an increase or decrease  
515 in fearfulness. This is supported by the fact that latency to lie times significantly  
516 decreased across weeks. The propensity of younger birds to gather in greater  
517 numbers around objects than older birds echoes the results of past research (Kells  
518 *et al.*, 2001; Bailie *et al.*, 2013).

519 Previous studies have shown mixed effects of perches and barriers on leg health,  
520 with several studies reporting no effect of perches on gait score (eg. Bessei, 1992b;  
521 Su *et al.*, 2000). The provision of perches had no effect on any measures of leg  
522 health recorded within this study. Due to constraints on time and labour, gait and  
523 latency to lie were scored in only 0.1% of the population of birds studied. However,

this sample size highlighted significant age effects in both gait score and latency to  
lie measures in this study, and significant environmental enrichment effects in these  
measures in previous research (Bailie *et al.*, 2013). Although string exerted no main  
effects on leg health, there was an interaction between string and age on average  
gait scores. Birds provided with string displayed slightly improved walking ability  
during weeks 3 and 5 of the rearing cycle. The increase in walking ability may have  
been linked to the increase in locomotion observed in birds provided with string  
during week 3 of the rearing cycle. The activity levels of broilers at early and later  
ages have been shown to be positively correlated. Therefore, it has been suggested  
that selection for more mobile chicks may therefore have the potential to reduce the  
occurrence of leg abnormalities later in the rearing cycle (Bizeray *et al.*, 2000).  
However, although statistically significant, the difference in locomotion score  
between treatments was numerically small. This, coupled with a lack of effect on  
latency to lie behaviour, suggests that the effects of string provision on leg health  
were not strong. In line with the results of previous studies (eg Simsek *et al.*, 2009),  
culls performed for reasons other than leg problems, mortality and slaughter weights  
were unaffected by the provision of string and perches, indicating that the provision  
of these types of enrichments in these amounts had no negative effects on  
productivity.

In conclusion, the results of this study confirm that broiler chickens housed in  
commercial windowed houses use perches and string when they are provided. The  
level of usage observed suggested that they were attractive to birds and thus had  
welfare benefits. However further investigation of the nature of usage by individual  
birds is needed. The provision of string and perches exerted no significant main  
effects on the measures of bird activity, leg health or fearfulness employed within this

study. An interaction between string and week suggested a beneficial effect of string provision on gait score in certain weeks of the cycle. However, this effect was numerically small and was not accompanied by a reduction in latency to lie times. It strongly suggests though that further research into the leg health effects of providing different types and levels of pecking devices to commercial broiler chickens is warranted. Interactions between week and both types of enrichment suggested a reduction in activity levels (recorded away from the enrichment) during later weeks of the cycle when enrichment was provided. The reason for this is not clear and an assessment of the effects of enrichment on overall levels of activity (including activity in the vicinity of and away from enrichment items) would be useful in future studies. The provision of perches did not appear to be an effective tool to improve leg health under the 'real world' conditions used in this study. It is clear that careful consideration needs to be given to their design and layout if this is the key goal in their provision within windowed houses.

## Acknowledgements

This research project was funded by the Department of Agriculture and Rural Development in Northern Ireland. The authors also wish to thank Moy Park Ltd. for allowing access to their farms and for their support and advice throughout the project.

## References

Arnould C, Bizeray D, Faure JM and Leterrier C 2004. Effects of the addition of sand and string to pens on use of space, activity, tarsal angulations and bone composition in broiler chickens. *Animal Welfare* 13, 87-94.



574 Arnould C and Faure JM 2004. Use of pen space and activity of broiler chickens reared at  
575 two different densities. *Applied Animal Behaviour Science* 87, 155–170.

576 Bailie CL, Ball MEE and O'Connell NE 2013. Influence of the provision of natural light and  
577 straw bales on activity levels and leg health in commercial broiler chickens. *Animal* 7, 618-  
578 626.

579 Bessei W 1992b. The behaviour of broilers under intensive management conditions. *Archiv*  
580 *fur Geflugelkunde*. 56, 1-7.

581 Bizeray D, Estevez I, Leterrier C, and Faure JM 2002. Effects of increasing environmental  
582 complexity on the physical activity of broiler chickens. *Applied Animal Behaviour Science* 79,  
583 27–41.

584 Bizeray D, Leterrier C, Constantin P, Picard M and Faure JM 2000. Early locomotor  
585 behaviour in genetic stocks of chickens with different growth rates. *Applied Animal*  
586 *Behaviour Science* 68, 231-242.

587 Corr SA, Gentle MJ, McCorquodale CC and Bennett D 2003. The effect of morphology on  
588 the musculoskeletal system of the modern broiler. *Animal Welfare* 12, 145-157.

589 Danbury TC, Weeks CA, Chambers JP, Waterman-Pearson AE and Kestin SC 2000.  
590 Preferential selection of the analgesic drug carprofen by lame broiler chickens. *Veterinary*  
591 *Record* 146, 307-311.

592 Davies HC and Weeks CA 1995. Effect of age and leg weakness on perching behaviour of  
593 broilers. *British Poultry Science* 36, 838.

594 Fanatico AC, Pillai PB, Hestor PY, Falcone C, Mench JA, Owens CM and Emmert JL 2008.  
595 Performance, livability, and carcass yield of slow- and fast-growing chicken genotypes fed  
596 low-nutrient or standard diets and raised indoors or with outdoor access. *Poultry Science*  
597 87, 1012-1021.

598 Hall AL 2001. The effect of stocking density on the welfare and behaviour of broiler chickens  
599 reared commercially. *Animal Welfare* 10, 23–40.

600 Jones RB 1996. Fear and adaptability in poultry. *World's Poultry Science Journal* 52, 131-  
601 174.

602 Jones RB and Waddington D 1992. Modification of fear in domestic chicks, *Gallus gallus*  
603 *domesticus*, via regular handling and early environmental enrichment. *Animal Behaviour* 43,  
604 1021-1033.

605 Jones RB, Carmichael NL and Rayner E 2000. Pecking preferences and predispositions in  
606 domestic chicks: implications for the development of environmental enrichment devices.  
607 *Applied Animal Behaviour Science* 69, 291-392.

608 Julian RJ 1998. Rapid growth problems: ascites and skeletal deformities in broilers. *Poultry*  
609 *Science* 77: 1773-1780.

610 Kells A, Dawkins MS and Borja MC 2001. The effect of a 'freedom food' enrichment on the  
611 behaviour of broilers on commercial farms. *Animal Welfare* 10, 347-356.

612 Kestin SC, Knowles TG, Tinch AE and Gregory NG 1992. Prevalence of leg weakness in  
613 broiler chickens and its relationship with genotype. *Veterinary Record* 131, 190– 194.

614 Le Van NF, Estevez I and Stricklin WR 2000. Use of horizontal and angled perches by  
615 broiler chickens. *Applied Animal Behaviour Science* 65, 349–365.

616 Maddocks SA, Cuthill IC, Goldsmith AR and Sherwin CM 2001. Behavioural and  
617 physiological effects of absence of ultraviolet wavelengths for domestic chicks. *Animal*  
618 *Behaviour* 62, 1013-1019.

619 Martrenchar A, Huonnic D, Cotte JP, Boilletot E and Morisse JP 2000. Influence of stocking  
620 density, artificial dusk and group size on the perching behaviour of broilers. *British Poultry*  
621 *Science* 41, 125–130.

622 McAdie TM, Keeling LJ, Blokhuis HJ and Jones RB 2005. Reduction in feather pecking and  
623 improvement of feather condition with the presentation of a string device to chickens.  
624 *Applied Animal Behaviour Science* 93, 67-80.

625 Newberry RC 1995. Environmental enrichment: increasing the biological relevance of  
626 captive environments. *Applied Animal Behavioural Science* 44, 229–243.

627 Newberry RC, Hunt JR, Gardiner EE 1988. The influence of light intensity on behaviour and  
628 performance of broiler chickens. *Poultry Science* 67, 1020-1025.

629 Olkowski AA, Laarveld B, Wojnarowicz C, Chirino-Trejo M, Chapman D, Wysokinski TW and  
630 Quaroni L 2011. Biochemical and physiological weaknesses associated with the  
631 pathogenesis of femoral bone degeneration in broiler chickens. *Avian Pathology* 40, 639-  
632 650.

633 Pettit-Riley R and Estevez I 2001. Effects of density on perching behavior of broiler  
634 chickens. *Applied Animal Behaviour Science* 71, 127–140.

635 Reiter K and Bessei W 1998a. Effect of locomotor activity on bone development and leg  
636 disorders in broilers. *Archiv Fur Geflugelkunde* 62, 247–253. (Abstr.)

637 Reiter K and Bessei W 1998b. Possibilities to reduce leg disorders in broilers and turkeys.  
638 *Archiv Fur Geflugelkunde* 62, 145–149. (Abstr.)

639 RSPCA, 2013. RSPCA Welfare Standards for Chickens, November 2013. Available at  
640 <http://www.freedomfood.co.uk/industry/rspca-welfare-standards> [Accessed 17/09/14]

641 Simsek UG, Dalkilic B, Ciftci M, Cerci IH and Bahsi M 2009. Effects of enriched housing  
642 design on broiler performance, welfare, chicken meat composition and serum cholesterol.  
643 *Acta Veterinaria Brno* 78, 67-74.

644 Su G, Sorensen P and Kestin SC 1999. Meal feeding is more effective than early feed  
645 restriction at reducing the prevalence of leg weakness in broiler chickens. *Poultry Science*  
646 78, 949-955.

647 Su G, Sorensen P and Kestin SC 2000. A note on the effects of perches and litter substrate  
648 on leg weakness in broiler chickens. *Poultry Science* 79, 1259–1263.

649 Weeks CA, Danbury TD, Davies HC, Hunt P and Kestin SC 2000. The behaviour of broiler  
650 chickens and its modification by lameness. *Applied Animal Behaviour Science*. 67, 111-125.

651 Weeks CA, Knowles TG, Gordon RG, Kerr AE, Peyton ST and Tillbrook NT 2002. New  
652 method for objectively assessing lameness in broiler chickens. *Veterinary Record* 151, 762–  
653 764.

**Table 1** *Replication of treatments across cycles and houses*

Cycle	House 1	House 2	House 3	House 4
1	+S+P	+S-P	-S+P	-S-P
2	-S-P	-S+P	+S-P	+S+P
3	-S+P	-S-P	+S+P	+S-P
4	+S-P	+S+P	-S-P	-S+P

+S = provided with S, -S = no access to string, +P = provided with perches, -P = no access to perches

**Table 2** Main effects of string, perches and bird age on normally distributed measures of fearfulness and leg health recorded during weeks 3, 4 and 5 of the rearing cycle

	String		Perches		Age			R.M.S.E	p(S)	p(P)	p(Wk)
	+S	-S	+P	-P	Wk3	Wk4	Wk5				
Flight distance (cm)	62.1	67.6	63.9	65.8	71.1 <sup>a</sup>	70.1 <sup>a</sup>	53.4 <sup>b</sup>	14.3	0.2	0.7	<0.01
Latency to touch novel object (s)	231.8	222.9	229.0	225.7	167.9 <sup>a</sup>	231.1 <sup>ab</sup>	283.0 <sup>b</sup>	83.0	0.7	0.9	<0.01
Birds within 50cm of novel object <sup>1</sup>	8.1	9.6	8.8	8.9	14.6 <sup>a</sup>	7.3 <sup>b</sup>	4.7 <sup>b</sup>	6.7	0.5	1.0	<0.01
Gait score			1.4	1.4				0.1		0.4	
Latency to lie (s)	19.5	18.8	18.7	19.6	22.5 <sup>a</sup>	20.1 <sup>a</sup>	14.9 <sup>b</sup>	4.1	0.5	0.5	<0.01

<sup>1</sup>No. of birds within 50cm of novel object at 300s. +/-S = presence or absence of string, +/-P= presence or absence of perches for all behaviours (df 1, 47). Means are presented for each treatment. Data not represented here are subject to an interaction effect. Data analysed by ANOVA with 'String\*Perches\*Week' as a treatment factor and 'Cycle' and 'House' as blocking factors. All measures recorded during weeks 3, 4 and 5 of the rearing cycle. <sup>a,b</sup> means in the same row with a different superscript differ significantly

**Table 3** *Main effects of string and perches on normally distributed measures of leg health, productivity and mortality taken from farm records*

	String		Perches		R.M.S.E	p(S)	p(P)
	+S	-S	+P	-P			
Hock burn incidence (%) <sup>1</sup>	12.8	9.3	10.0	12.1	3.9	0.1	0.3
Podo dermatitis incidence (%) <sup>1</sup>	88.2	89.5	87.8	89.9	8.0	0.8	0.6
Slaughter weight (g) <sup>1</sup>	2196	2151	2188	2159	46.8	0.1	0.3
Leg culls <sup>2</sup>	92.0	95.6	95.1	92.5	27.9	0.8	0.9
Mortalities <sup>2</sup>	94.8	96.9	94.3	97.4	17.9	0.8	0.7
Cumulative dead birds (%) <sup>2</sup>	2.3	2.3	2.4	2.3	0.0	0.9	0.7

+/-S = presence or absence of string, +/-P= presence or absence of perches for all behaviours (df 1, 15). Means are presented for each treatment. Data analysed by ANOVA with 'String\*Perches' as a treatment factor and 'Cycle' and 'House' as blocking factors.

<sup>1</sup>Recorded at thinning and slaughter. <sup>2</sup>Recorded at day 30 of the rearing cycle.