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Abstract

The discovery of 1I/‘Oumuamua confirmed that planetesimals must exist in great numbers in interstellar space. Originally generated during planet formation, they are scattered from their original systems and subsequently drift through interstellar space. As a consequence they should seed molecular clouds with at least hundred-meter-scale objects. We consider how the galactic background density of planetesimals, enriched from successive generations of star and system formation, can be incorporated into forming stellar systems. We find that at a minimum of the order of $10^7$ ‘Oumuamua-sized and larger objects, plausibly including hundred-kilometer-scale objects, should be present in protoplanetary disks. At such initial sizes, the growth process of these seed planetesimals in the initial gas- and dust-rich protoplanetary disks is likely to be substantially accelerated. This could resolve the tension between accretionary timescales and the observed youth of fully fledged planetary systems. Our results strongly advocate that the population of interstellar planetesimals should be taken into account in future studies of planet formation. As not only the Galaxy’s stellar metallicity increased over time but also the density of interstellar objects, we hypothesize that this enriched seeding accelerates and enhances planetary formation after the first couple of generations of planetary systems.
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1. Introduction

The detection of 1I/‘Oumuamua (Meech et al. 2017) confirmed the long-standing hypothesis that interstellar objects (ISOs) should be common in the interstellar medium (ISM; Whipple 1975; Sekanina 1976; Torbett 1986; McGlynn & Chapman 1989; Stern 1990; Kresak 1992; Jewitt 2003; Francis 2005; Moro-Martín et al. 2009; Cook et al. 2016; Engelhardt et al. 2017), since a vast portion of every planetary system’s small bodies are ejected or gently drift away over the lifetime of a star. At the extreme end this also includes free-floating planets; though at an estimated occurrence rate of 0.0096–0.18 per star (Fujii & Hori 2018), they are rare compared with ‘Oumuamua-sized objects. The ISM and molecular clouds are therefore enriched by past generations of planetesimals—as much a part of this process as the well-studied elemental enrichment by supernovae. The small mass fraction of heavy elements in planetesimals even merits consideration in how they affect the chemical evolution of the Galaxy (Tinsley & Cameron 1974).

It seems that planetary systems shed their planetesimals to interstellar space as inevitably as dandelions cast their seeds on the wind (Figure 1). Early on, predominantly icy planetesimals are liberated from the outer disk by interactions with neighboring stars, due to the high stellar density during that phase (Pfalzner et al. 2015; Hands et al. 2019). As the gas disk dissipates and the system’s planets migrate, close encounters with giant planets lead to the ejection of a large portion of a system’s remaining planetesimals (Duncan et al. 1987; Charnoz & Morbidelli 2003; Raymond & Izidoro 2017). These planetesimals reside between a few astronomical units to tens of astronomical units from their star and, therefore, will be a mix of rocky and icy bodies. Afterward, throughout the star’s lifetime, predominantly icy planetesimals

4 Here the term “ISOs” describes free-floating planetesimals with a size $\geq 50$ m.
For high-mass stars the last stage of enhanced planetesimal formation would happen much earlier at the end of the lifetime of the star. For planets that reside close to their star, dust accretion is the standard formation scenario (Armitage 2018). Here microscopic dust grains grow by sticking collisions first into larger porous aggregates, later centimeter sized “pebbles,” and eventually planetesimals, from which terrestrial planets or the cores of gas giants form (e.g., Youdin & Rieke 2015). Two potential problems with this scenario have been found: (i) a relatively long formation timescale, especially for giant planets, and (ii) growth barriers during the accretion process. The accretion model requires timescales of $10^3$–$10^4$ yr to form millimeter to centimeter sized pebbles, $10^5$–$10^6$ yr until the planetesimal stage is reached, $10^6$–$10^7$ yr to form terrestrial-type planets, and an additional $10^5$ yr for the gas giants to accumulate their gas (Pollack et al. 1996; Armitage 2018). This seems at odds with observations of the disk frequency in young clusters, which indicate the median protoplanetary disk lifetime to be merely 1–3 Myr for both dust and gas (Haisch et al. 2001; Mamajek 2009; Richert et al. 2018). However, there also exist counterarguments; individual disks have order-of-magnitude scatter from 1 to 10 Myr, and this derived timescale might be biased by selection effects (Pfalzner et al. 2014). Nevertheless, planets have been confirmed in $\sim$ million-year-old gas-rich disks (Johns-Krull et al. 2016; Keppler et al. 2018) and at $\sim$ million-year-old disk-less pre-main-sequence stars (e.g., V830 Tau b; Donati et al. 2016). Fast planet formation is also favored by interpretations of the ring and gap structures commonly observed in very young ($<1$ Myr; perhaps as little as 100 kyr) disks like HL Tau in high-resolution ALMA observations (Andrews et al. 2018) as carved by (proto)planets (e.g., Long et al. 2018; Zhang et al. 2018; van der Marel et al. 2019). At least some planets must form faster than in the originally proposed planetesimal accretion model.

The second, but connected, problem concerns the existence of several growth barriers—the bouncing, fragmentation, and drift barriers—in the original accretion model (Weidenschilling 1980; Brauer et al. 2007; Blum & Wurm 2008; Zsom et al. 2010). The bouncing and fragmentation barriers occur for sizes $<0.1$ m, while modeling of the later stages requires bodies of at least 100 m in size to form planets (Fortier et al. 2007). However, the streaming instability (Youdin & Goodman 2005; Simon et al. 2017) and pebble accretion (Lambrechts & Johansen 2012; Kretke & Levison 2014; Levison et al. 2015a, 2015b; Johansen et al. 2019) are currently regarded as promising ways to overcome these barriers (Armitage 2018). The streaming instability describes a linear instability in aerodynamically coupled mixtures of particles and gas that leads to small-scale clustering of the solids, while pebble accretion is a complementary process where the strong gravitational focusing of pebbles onto larger embryos drives their rapid growth.

The starting point of the various accretion models is dust grains of $\approx 0.1$–$1.0$ μm, growing into millimeter sized particles, as inferred from measurements at infrared wavelengths of interstellar extinction (Testi et al. 2014). However, as only
particles with sizes comparable to the observational wavelength are actually detectable, ISOs are invisible in these measurements. Given the evidence for rapid planet formation, we consider if it is plausible that ISOs act as nucleation centers to jump-start accretion in protoplanetary disks.

3. ISO Abundance from the ISM to the Individual Star

We start by considering the ISOs available in an arbitrary cubic parsec of the ISM, and follow the development all the way to protoplanetary disks, as outlined in Figure 2. We adopt a conservative approach in the sense that we take the lowest possible values at each stage. We emphasize that even if only a relatively small number of ISOs are incorporated early on in the disk, these will suffice to substantially accelerate planet formation.

Initial density, option (i): ISM ISOs float effectively invisible to us through the ISM, only detectable in the event of a chance encounter with the Sun as in the case of ‘Oumuamua. Estimating their occurrence rate is challenging, requiring complex assessment of surveys’ detection efficiency for both active (brighter) and inactive ISOs. The most comprehensive available observational constraint for the local spatial field density of ‘Oumuamua-like (∼100 m inactive) ISOs is \( \rho_{\text{ISO}} \simeq 10^{15} \text{ pc}^{-3} \) (Meech et al. 2017 from 30 integrated years of Pan-STARRS1/Catalina Sky Survey observation, and scaling from sizes of 1 km to 100 m, after Engelhardt et al. 2017; note that PS1 discovered ‘Oumuamua). This is compatible with the active limit from LINEAR comets of Francis (2005).

For simplicity we assume that this ISM density is representative for the Galaxy, fully aware that it probably varies throughout the Galaxy; with higher values in the Galactic bulge and disk, and toward the Galactic center due to more frequent dynamical encounters (Veras et al. 2014a), more metal-rich Population I stars (Tanikawa et al. 2018) and gravitational focusing effects. In addition, impact erosion by interstellar dust grains might generate some localized fluctuations in the ISO population by removing very small ISOs over the course of several tens of megayears (Stern & Shull 1990; Stern 1990; Vavilov & Medvedev 2019), which is compatible with the deficit of very small comets in the size distribution of long-period comets (Meech et al. 2004). In contrast, \( \gtrsim 10 \) km sized ISOs will be long-lived, effectively for the Galactic lifetime, as they experience only a minute reduction in their size (Guilbert-Lepoutre et al. 2015). An additional loss process may be gas-flow-induced torques in the ISM, which could spin-up some ISOs to disruption (Hoang et al. 2018); finally, dynamical capture by fully evolved planetary systems removes only a negligible fraction of the population (Torbett 1986; Engelhardt et al. 2017). However, there is no reason based on the Sun’s current location for the ISO density in the solar neighborhood to be locally enhanced, and the Sun has probably been at its current Galactic distance for around a gigayear. Therefore, we shall assume that our locally measured ISO number density is characteristic for the ISM, and that it is isotropic and uniform within our cubic parsec.

---

5 ‘Oumuamua’s size is constrained by the Spitzer nondetection at an effective radius of 49–220 m, including an effective radius of 70 m for a surface with cometary scattering properties (Trilling et al. 2018). ‘Oumuamua’s visual brightness was not appreciably enhanced by its weak outgassing inferred by Micheli et al. (2018).
Initial density, option (ii): molecular clouds. The ISO concentration could be enhanced in molecular clouds (MCs) in comparison to the ISM as part of the MC forming process, or by capture into already-formed MCs. As MCs form, the gas density typically increases by a factor of $10^4 - 10^6$. The question is whether ISOs are concentrated to the same degree as the gas. MC formation has been attributed to different processes, including converging flows driven by stellar feedback or turbulence, gravitational instability, magneto-gravitational instability, and instability involving differential buoyancy (for a review, see Dobbs et al. 2014). Gravitationally driven processes act as effectively on ISOs as on the gas, but this is not the case for magnetically driven processes. The relative importance of the different processes is still under debate.

ISOs will only be efficiently captured into molecular clouds if their velocity relative to that of the gas is not too large. Unfortunately, there exist a host of uncertainties regarding the relative velocities of clouds and ISOs. Most importantly, the ISO velocity distribution is currently not constrained by observations. 'Oumuamua itself had a velocity very close to the LSR prior to its interaction with the solar system. However, it is unclear whether 'Oumuamua’s velocity is typical for ISOs, especially as Engelhardt et al. (2017) noted that low-velocity ISOs are preferentially detectable, so a selection effect is at work.

As ISOs come from stellar systems, one would expect their velocity distribution to be similar to the stellar velocity distribution, which depends on the stellar age. As we will discuss in Section 5, it is likely that planet, and therefore planetesimal, formation became more efficient over time; as such it can be assumed that most ISOs come from stars $<2$ Gyr. These stars have a lower velocity dispersion than older stars, but are generally more dynamically excited than that of MCs, with rich substructure and complexities (e.g., Hayden et al. 2019; Mackereth et al. 2019). The various ISO production processes (Figure 1) will give them velocities relative to that of their parent star, in the range of $0—10$ km s$^{-1}$ for ejection from young planetary systems (Adams & Spergel 2005; Hands et al. 2019) and $<0.5$ km s$^{-1}$ for the gently unbound late-stage ISOs (D. Veras 2019, personal communication per Veras et al. 2014b). The velocity distribution of each star’s contribution of ISOs will then be broadened over time by encounters; for instance, 'Oumuamua’s velocity has been altered by its solar encounter near the LSR out to a more typical dispersion from the local mean (Meech et al. 2017; Feng & Jones 2018).

In summary, there is potentially substantial ISO concentration once clumps have formed, with plausible densities of up to $10^{21-22}$ pc$^{-3}$. However, there are also extreme uncertainties about the effective Mach number of high-speed objects; to be on the safe side we assume no ISO concentration at all from the ISM to the clump stage, staying at the ISM value of $\sim 10^{15}$ pc$^{-3}$.

From clumps to stars, an entire cluster of stars usually forms near-simultaneously from such a clump, allowing us to estimate the available number of ISOs available per star. For the solar neighborhood, the star formation efficiency typically transforms $10\%-30\%$ of the clump mass into stars. Most stars in such a cluster have a mass of $0.5 M_\odot$; therefore, to form a cluster of 100 stars requires a clump mass of $M_{cl} \approx 170-500 M_\odot$; similarly, forming a cluster of 5000 stars would require a clump of $M_{cl} \approx 8500-25,000 M_\odot$. There is a direct correlation between the mass of a clump and its size (Urquhart et al. 2014; Pfälzner et al. 2016):

$$\log(M_{cl}) = 3.42 \pm 0.01 + (1.67 \pm 0.025) \times \log(R_{cl}), \quad (1)$$

with $M_{cl}$ in units of solar masses and $R_{cl}$ in parsecs. Using this correlation, one finds that the clump for 100 stars would typically have a radius $R_{cl}(100) \approx 0.3-0.4$ pc, whereas those forming 5000 stars have $R_{cl}(5000) \approx 1.0-1.2$ pc. Thus, the volume $V$ of clump space that each individual star draws its material from is $V = 4\pi R_{cl}^3/3N$, and seems to be relatively independent of the cluster mass between 0.001 and 0.002 pc$^3$ at least for the dominant mode of clustered star formation. Applying a typical star formation efficiency of 30% and our adopted ISO density of $\rho_{ISO} \approx 10^{15}$ pc$^{-3}$, the number of ISOs available per forming star, $N_{ISO}^S$, is therefore

$$N_{ISO}^S = 0.3V\rho_{ISO} \approx (3-6) \times 10^{11}. \quad (2)$$

This number should be regarded as a lower limit, as the ISO density in the molecular cloud could be as much as a factor of 10$^3$ higher (as discussed earlier in Section 3), and consequently the same applies for the number of ISOs per star.
4. The Availability and Effect of Planetesimal Seeds in the Disk

The next step is the formation of a protostar and its surrounding disk, where first a gas-rich disk forms from which a gas-poor disk develops. Here again the ISOs will behave similarly to the gas as long as the processes are dominated by gravitational forces, but differ if gas-dynamical or magnetic forces play an important role. We shall oversimplify the complex processes governing disk formation by assuming that the ISO density develops similarly to that of the gas; future investigation will have to confirm how far such an assumption is justified. The inclination distribution of ISOs will damp down and settle into a thin midplane layer in \( \ll \)Myr; more slowly than the orbital timescale, but much less than the disk lifetime. At the end of the disk formation process, the mass of the disk is typically \( 0.01-0.1 \) times the mass of the star (Andrews et al. 2013). If we assume that this ratio is also typical for ISOs, it means that most of the ISOs will actually end up in the star, but despite this low efficiency, the disk typically still contains \( \approx 10^5-10^7 \) ISOs. We term these “seed ISOs” to distinguish that these are embedded planetesimals.

The number of seed ISOs in the disk could be altered by several processes. Heating could destroy some of the smaller \( \lesssim 1 \) km ice-rich seed ISOs, with the efficiency of this process dependant on the seed ISO size, composition, and their location in the disk (i.e., the temperature they experience). The disk area that is cold enough (\( T < 100 \) K) to retain water and other volatiles depends on the mass of the host star; for solar-type stars, it is outside a few astronomical units (Walsh et al. 2012) and moves to \( \sim 20 \) au for higher mass stars. In any case there are large volumes of cold disk available. Particle exchange between inner and outer areas will be quite small as turbulent mixing in T Tauri disks is minimal (e.g., Wilucky et al. 2015). Therefore, we can assume that this processing leaves some larger seed ISOs with surface devolatilization, but otherwise unaffected. The total number of available seed ISOs could also increase during the gas-rich disk phase, due to capture of ISOs from the cluster environment. The effectiveness of aerodynamic drag capture is weak for \( 0.1-1 \) km sized ISOs, but quite efficient for \( \sim 10 \) m ISOs, sourcing only tens of \( >0.5 \) km seed ISOs (Grishin et al. 2018), which probably reshapes the size distribution of the seed ISOs. Like the gas, the ISOs probably have a higher density in the inner disk, which is also where most potential loss processes take place. The combined effects of heating and capture need to be modeled in detail; we infer it leads to a loss of very small seed ISOs. However, even if we assume that only \( 10\% \) of seed ISOs are retained during this stage, \( \mathcal{O}(10^5-10^7) \) seed ISOs with sizes \( \gtrsim 100 \) m will still be present in the accretion stages.

The sizes of the seed ISOs embedded in the disk will control both their survival times during the disk’s \( 10^5-10^7 \) yr gas-rich phase (Alexander et al. 2014) and their efficiency of accretionary growth. At present, the size distribution of the entire ISO population remains unconstrained by our knowledge of only ‘Oumuamua, and moderate constraints will require the discovery of tens of more ISOs by surveys such as LSST (Cook et al. 2016). The cumulative size distribution will depend on the collisional evolution that each ISO has undergone, which will in turn depend on its dynamical history in its origin system. The number density of ISOs cannot yet be reliably related to the mass--density production of planetary systems, as there remain substantial caveats concerning the choice of ISO size distribution function. The size distribution’s precise form is noncritical for our hypothesis; we need only obtain a guide for the behavior of our comparatively tiny sample in a disk. Reasonable size distribution assumptions come from the well-constrained broken power-law distribution functions observed among the various solar system minor planet populations from \( \sim 1 \) to \( 1000 \) km (e.g., discussions in Moro-Martín et al. 2009; Belbruno et al. 2012). Applying the broken power law with break radii at \( r_b = 3, 30, 90 \) km for the number of seed ISOs \( n(r) \) of radius \( r \), after Moro-Martín et al. (2009):

\[
\begin{align*}
  n(r) \propto r^{-q_1} & \text{ if } r < r_b \\
  n(r) \propto r^{-q_2} & \text{ if } r > r_b
\end{align*}
\]

with \( q_1 = 2.0-3.5 \) and \( q_2 = 3-5 \), respectively, we consider geometric albedos of 0.04 (cometary) through \( p_r \approx 0.1 \) (‘Oumuamua; Trilling et al. 2018). Across this parameter space, for our number density of \( N(D > 100 \text{ m}) \approx 10^7 \) seed ISOs, almost all will be ‘Oumuamua-sized objects, with plausibly \( 10^5-10^7 \) objects with diameter \( D < 1 \text{ km} \), some \( 10^3 \) objects with \( D \approx 100 \) km, and even a couple of dwarf planets per planet-forming disk. These estimates are sufficiently robust if instead single power-law distributions are considered.

The majority of the seed ISOs are thus large enough to have long survival times in the disk. Hundred-meter-scale seed ISOs will have a gas-drift-induced drift timescale of \( 10^5 \) yr in the inner part of disks at a few astronomical units, rising to \( 10^7 \) yr by \( \sim 50 \) au (Weidenschilling 1977); longer than the inclination-damping timescale, and certainly long enough for accretionary growth processes. The 1000 km seed ISOs are in the regime where the planet–disk interaction is dominated by gravity and Type I migration can occur (Goldreich & Tremaine 1979). However, they are sufficiently small to only experience minimal migration (e.g., Levison et al. 2015a), with a timescale of a megayear, as the migration timescale decreases linearly with planet mass. It is also plausible that various inhomogeneities of the disk will halt their inward migration (e.g., Cridland et al. 2019). The effects of the seed ISOs on the young disk will be challenging to discern observationally. Single objects of this size are too low mass to open an annular gap and are not directly detectable in continuum emission. They would generate low-amplitude Lindblad spiral density waves, which may be detectable in optical observations of disk eclipses.

With the seed ISOs stably located in the disk for long durations, their size distribution provides progenitors of planet (esimals) at a range of different sizes. At the small end of the size distribution that contains most of the seed ISOs, the provision of \( \approx 10^6 \) 100 m and \( 10^5 \) km sized objects in the gas-rich disk may help in initially resolving the streaming instability’s low efficiency at producing kilometer-size planetesimals, due to the effects of turbulent diffusion (Nesvorný et al. 2018). The effect on the streaming instability of emplacing 100 m objects has not yet been modeled; this is a difficult size for resolving in simulations. It remains to be seen if this density in the disk of small ISO seeds is sufficient for efficient collisonary accretion. In contrast, among the \( \approx 10^3 \) planetesimals of 100 km and larger, any ISO seeds that are \( \gtrsim 200 \) km in size will start to grow toward rapid pebble accretion (Visser & Ormel 2016; Johansen & Lambrechts 2017). Such sizes have been modeled as cores for viscously stirred pebble accretion: embedded in a gas-rich disk that slowly forms pebbles, the initially largest objects are likely to dominate, and rapidly generate terrestrial and giant
planets in $10^3$ yr (Levison et al. 2015a, 2015b). Thus the largest of these nascent worlds will grow efficiently (Youdin & Goodman 2005; Johansen et al. 2007; Ormel & Klahr 2010; Windmark et al. 2012a).

Not all ISO seeds will grow into planets. Some may be scattered from the system after a period of accretion and return to the ISO population. Without sufficient collisions, the small end of the ISO seed size distribution may not grow at all. The simulations of Levison et al. (2015a) imply that even Pluto-sized objects would survive intact without growth in the 20–30 au region of a solar-system-like disk. Thus, our hypothesis predicts the solar system’s trans-Neptunian populations could contain former ISOs—at low probability only, considering the losses during planetary formation and migration.

5. The Temporal Development of Planet Formation

As the overall ISO population of the Galaxy will have gradually built up over a gigayear, modulo the removal of ISOs into new planetary systems as we propose here, the first generation of stars will have lacked a background of planetesimals. This does not trouble our hypothesis: the known variety of planetesimal formation processes would take place in first generation protoplanetary disks, simply on longer timescales than we observe in current-generation disks. This implies that in the past, planet formation would have been slower, and potentially less efficient, so the planet population and planetary system structure could have changed over time. The planetesimals would have been ejected by the processes illustrated in Figure 1 but at slightly later stellar ages. The observed higher frequency of planets around high-metallicity stars than around low-metallicity stars (Fischer & Valenti 2005) and indications that planet properties depend on metallicity (Narang et al. 2018) are natural outcomes of our scenario. Equally, the nondetection of planets in globular clusters can be interpreted as a lower planet formation rate during that epoch, rather than the potential alternatives of a bias against detection due to the high stellar density, hindered planet formation (Vincie & Pfalzner 2018) or increased planet ejection due to the high stellar density.

6. Conclusion

We have shown that the estimated numbers of ‘Oumuamua-like objects in the ISM implies that their presence could accelerate the planet formation process considerably. Some small and icy ISOs are likely to be removed by various erosion and heating processes. Given the substantial uncertainties in several of the evolutionary steps, at least $O(10^2)$ ISOs of hundred-meter-scale and larger size can survive into the gas-rich disk. In particular, uncertainties in whether the formation of molecular clouds concentrates ISOs from their ISM density could mean these values are several orders of magnitude higher in the disk. These embedded planetesimals would then function as seeds for fast and efficient planet formation. The overall ISO population of the Galaxy will have gradually built up over billions of years. We only broadly outline this scenario, but it seems highly necessary that star and planet formation scenarios take into account the abundant presence of ISOs throughout the Galaxy, and their increase on gigayear timescales.

This seeding scenario also implies that planet formation was slower in the earliest generations of stars. Planetary differentiation will surely disperse the original ISO material, which is also $\ll 0.1\%$ of the mass of even a terrestrial planet; the bulk planetary compositions will be dominated by that of the disk. Yet ISOs from an ancient star may have once been the hearts of many young planets.
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