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Abstract

Ravine slopes at the recently discovered Nesseltalgraben site in southeastern Germany provide a unique last glacial sediment record for the Northern Calcareous Alps. The 21 m-long profile is dominated by fine-grained lacustrine-palustrine sediments overlain by several metres of glacifluvial gravels and lodgement tills of the Last Glacial Maximum and underlain by a diamicton. The age model includes 29 radiocarbon analyses and one paleomagnetic anomaly (Laschamp event) together providing a modelled age range from c. 59 to 29.6 ka cal BP, i.e. Marine Isotope Stage (MIS) 3. Apart from a description of the lithofacies, X-ray-fluorescence (XRF) scanning and elemental analyses provide high-resolution records of the geochemical composition. Multivariate analyses of XRF data separate Ca from other major elements. Carbonate contents, represented by Ca and total inorganic carbon, reach maxima in repeatedly occurring calcareous silty to sandy layers and are related to glacigenic origin. These indicate repeated inner-alpine local glaciations during stadials of MIS 3. Scanning electron microscopy and XRF data confirm the detrital origin of these layers. In contrast, organic matter and elements more resistant to chemical weathering (Si, Ti, Zr) accumulated during interstadials and concurrently elevated Rb/Sr ratios indicate intensified weathering. The high-frequency proxy variations determined for Nesseltalgraben reflect interstadial-stadial climate variability comparable with oxygen-isotope records from Greenland ice cores and Alpine speleothems. Thus, Nesseltalgraben is among the very few independently dated sediment records from continental Europe covering the entire MIS 3 and reflecting the full Dansgaard-Oeschger climate variability.
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1. Introduction

Sediment deposits from the Alps prior to the Last Glacial Maximum (LGM) are scarce, because subsequent glacigenic erosion obliterated most of them (Ivy-Ochs et al., 2008). Especially the period immediately before the LGM is one of the least explored of the entire last glacial cycle in the Alpine realm (Heiri et al., 2014). This period, referred to as the Middle Würmian, lasted...
approximately from 73 to 29 ka BP (kiloyears before present) (Van Husen, 2000) and thus covers Marine Isotope Stages (MIS) 4 (71 to 57 ka BP) and 3 (57 to 29 ka BP) (Lisiecki and Raymo, 2005). While MIS 4 represents a major cooling phase in the Greenland ice core record, MIS 3 is characterised by rapid transitions between stadial and interstadial conditions known as Dansgaard-Oeschger cycles or Greenland stadials (GS) and interstadials (GI). 18 GIs are known for MIS 3, consecutively numbered GI 4 to GI 17-2 (Blockley et al., 2014; Rasmussen et al., 2014). Outside of Greenland, interstadials of MIS 3 have been identified, e.g. in independently dated loess records (Moine et al., 2017), lake sediments (Duprat-Oualid et al., 2017), and speleothems (Wang et al., 2001; Spötl and Mangini, 2002; Moseley et al., 2014). However, dating uncertainties, hiatuses, inadequate resolution or inappropriate proxies often delimit the detection and assignment of proxy variations to GIs in continental sediment records (Blaauw et al., 2010), especially in the Alpine realm. Therefore, presently only a few Alpine sediment records of MIS 3 age are available that mirror Greenland ice-core climate variability. Most of them are located in the western (Wohlfarth et al., 2008) or southeastern Prealps and the Alpine foreland (Pini et al., 2010; Monegato et al., 2011). In contrast, MIS 3 records from the northern Alpine region are frequently incomplete or show hiatuses, e.g. at Füramoos (Müller et al., 2003), Baumkirchen (Barrett et al., 2017a), and Unterangerberg (Starnberger et al., 2013). Pollen records are commonly used for inferring interstadial conditions for these archives (Müller et al., 2003; Burga, 2006; Barrett et al., 2018). However, the sedimentary setting during stadial conditions was often unfavourable for pollen preservation in this region, frequently leading to discontinuous pollen records. To overcome these difficulties, we applied high-resolution geochemical analyses to the recently discovered sediment record of Nesseltalgraben in the Northern Calcareous Alps (SE-Germany), an approach rarely used for the investigation of Middle Würmian sediments from the Alps (Kylander et al., 2011; Barrett et al., 2017a).

2. Study area

The site Nesseltalgraben (47°39.4’N, 13°02.8’E) is located at the northern end of the inner-alpine Berchtesgaden basin, 4 km northeast of the city of Berchtesgaden and 15 km south of Salzburg (Austria). The Nesseltalgraben is an eastern tributary ravine to the valley of the river Berchtesgadener Ache draining the Berchtesgaden basin. In its upper reaches, outcrops of last glacial age were uncovered by erosion after extreme rainfall events in summer 2013. These outcrops are the object of ongoing sedimentological investigations (Mayr et al., 2017).
Geologically, the site is located in the Northern Calcareous Alps in a complex tectonic setting (Fig. 1). It is positioned on the Lower Juvavic nappe consisting of a tectonic melange of Permian-Early Triassic evaporitic rocks (anhydrites, gypsum, claystones, halite) of the Haselgebirge Formation (Fm.) (Spötl, 1988) intermingled with up to km-sized carbonate blocks of the Middle-Late Triassic Hallstatt Fm. (Pichler, 1963; Kellerbauer, 1996). To the west of the site, the Upper Juvavic nappe is bordering which mainly consists of Middle-Late Triassic carbonates (Wetterstein Fm., Dachstein Fm.) underlain by shales and sandstones of the Lower Triassic Werfen Fm.. To the south and east the Tyrolic nappe adjoins, consisting of Triassic and Jurassic carbonate rocks as well as of Cretaceous clastic series. Extensive moraine deposits, predominantly from the LGM, cover large parts of the research area (Fig. 1). The glacier thickness during this period was around 700 m in the closer surroundings of the Nesseltalgraben site (Fischer, 1988). Quaternary sediments of pre-LGM time in the Berchtesgaden basin are mainly restricted to conglomerates, gravels and breccia attributed to Rissian and Mindelian-Rissian ages (Fig. 1). A sedimentary basin filled with fine-grained lacustrine-palustrine, coarse-grained (glaci-)fluvial deposits, and tills existed at the Nesseltalgraben site from at least MIS 5c until the LGM (Mayr et al., 2017). Previous research on these lacustrine-palustrine sediments provided a first lithological description, bulk geochemical data, and a stratigraphic inventory based on paleomagnetic data, palynology, and ten radiocarbon ages with a range from > 51.5 to 27.1 ka BP (Mayr et al., 2017). However, due to age reversals and low resolution, the previously published age model was recognized as insufficient for comparison with other high-resolution paleoclimate records.

The aims of this study concentrate on revising and improving the previous age model and to obtain high-resolution geochemical records for paleoclimatic reconstructions from the Nesseltalgraben profile. To achieve these goals, plant macrofossils were selected from the sediment and different pre-treatments for 14C dating were tested. Moreover, we applied XRF techniques and organic geochemical analyses to provide a high-resolution geochemical record for MIS 3 in the northern Alps. Additionally, grain-size and scanning electron microscope analyses were carried out to categorize lithofacies types and characterize the sedimentary environment.

3. Material and methods

3.1 Sampling of sediment profiles
The outcrops sampled were located on the steep walls of the Nesseltalgraben ravine and are exposed over a horizontal distance of c. 50 m (sections B, C, D in Mayr et al., 2017; Fig. 2). Prior to sampling, surfaces of the outcrops were cleaned by thoroughly removing outermost weathered layers manually with digging tools. Sediment bars were carved with scrapers in the cleaned sediment surface and sampled using U channels (UD steel profile 28 x 27 mm, 0.6 mm thick, Knauf, Germany) (Fig. 2 F). In total, 40 overlapping sediment sections of 22 to 125 cm length were taken, labelled, thoroughly sealed with plastic film, and transported to the laboratory, where they were stored cool prior to further processing. For each sampled sediment section, the slope angle, ranging between 40° and 80°, was determined in the field and the geographical position of marker layers was determined with a global navigation satellite system (GNSS, Leica Geosystems).

3.2 Core scanning, ED-XRF, and composite record

In the laboratory, the sediment surface of each U channel was smoothened with a utility-knife blade. Thereafter, it was photographed and scanned with a XRF core scanner (Itrax, Cox Analytical Systems, Sweden). Line scan images were recorded with crossed polarized light and a resolution of 500 dpi. XRF-spectra were recorded continuously in 2 mm steps and with a counting time of 5 s per measurement using a Cr tube at 30 kV and 40 mA. Reproducibility of XRF core scans was evaluated by five repeated scans of a 20 cm profile segment with varying lithology. Results revealed the reproducibility of the semi-quantitative analyses of the elements Al, Si, S, Cl, K, Ca, Ti, Mn, Fe, Zn, Rb, Sr, Zr, Pd, Cd, Sb, Ba, and La. The sum of counts of these elements was used for standardization of each element as percentage values. Only elements contributing more than 1% to the count sum in any of the samples were evaluated further, i.e. Si, S, K, Ca, Ti, Mn, Fe, Zn, Rb, Sr, and Zr. Multivariate statistics of XRF data was performed using the software PAST 3.22 (Hammer et al., 2001).

After XRF scanning, the U channels were sectioned in 2 cm slices, which were lyophilised. The true depth for each section was calculated from the U-channel length and slope angle using the law of sines. Photographs and depths of all samples were rescaled according to the calculated true depths. A composite record was created using elemental records and marker layers as tie points for overlapping U channels. The starting point of the composite profile (0 cm) is the top of the uppermost fine-grained sediment (Fig. 2 C). All depths are given as cm (or m) composite
depth (cm cd; m cd), i.e. below the coarse glacifluvial gravel of LGM age overlying the investigated predominantly lacustrine-palustrine sediment sequence.

In addition to XRF scanning, quantitative energy-dispersive XRF analyses (ED-XRF) of glass beads were applied on 24 selected sediment samples from the profile and 23 rock samples from the surroundings of Nesseltalgraben. These samples were homogenised with a vibratory disc mill (RS 200, Retsch, Germany). One fraction of each pulverised sample was put in a sample carrier (Ohlendorf et al., 2018) and analysed with the XRF core scanner. Another fraction was weighed for ED-XRF analyses on melt tablets. For that, 1.006 ± 0.006 g of the dried powder (105 °C overnight) was heated for 12 h at 1030 °C in a muffle furnace (M110, Heraeus, Germany) to remove carbon and volatiles and to determine loss on ignition (LOI). The residue was mixed with 4.830 g Li2B4O7 and 0.230 g I2O5 as fluxing and melting agents and heated successively to 1050 °C with a fusion system (Oxiflux, CRB Analyse Service, Germany). The glass melt was cast as a tablet in a mould. After cooling, the glass tablets were analysed with an ED-XRF spectrometer (Spectro Xepos He, Spectro Analytical Instruments GmbH, Germany). Results are given as wt%. Two granite standards were repeatedly analysed with every sample batch for quality assurance and precision specification.

3.3 Radiocarbon dating and age model

Macroscopic plant remains and organic debris for radiocarbon dating were collected by wet sieving of sediment slices with a 100-μm steel sieve. The residue was rinsed with deionised water, lyophilised, and organic remains were isolated under a reflected-light binocular. Thereafter the remains were ultrasonically cleaned in deionised water for a few seconds and then lyophilised before undergoing pre-treatment for radiocarbon analyses.

Acid-base-wet oxidation (ABOX) treatment may provide more reliable radiocarbon dates for old samples of charcoal (Bird et al., 1999) or wood (Hatté et al., 2001) than the classical acid-base-acid (ABA) treatment. We used 4% HCl (80 °C, 1-2 h), followed by 0.5% NaOH (20 °C, 1 h) followed by 2M H2SO4 (80 °C, 2 h) for the wet oxidation following Hatté et al. (2001) rather than the K2Cr2O7 used by Bird et al. (1999). A set of ABOX-treated samples of wood, twigs, mosses, and plant remains from Nesseltalgraben was compared with previously reported dates of similar material (Mayr et al., 2017) treated with the ABA method (4% HCl, 2-3 h; 2% NaOH, 1-2 h; 4% HCl, 2-3 h, all at 60 °C). The comparison between conventional 14C ages of samples with ABA and ABOX pre-treatments showed increasing offsets (Δ age) with increasing age (Table 1). The comparatively young sample NE-6, originally dated to 27 14C ka BP, shows no statistically relevant offset after ABOX treatment. The same applies
for the twig sample NE-7, but mosses from the same layer exhibited an 870 $^{14}$C years older age than the twigs. In contrast, the ABOX treated samples NE-4, NE-5, and NE-2 were around 960, 4240, and 6940 $^{14}$C years, respectively, older than the ABA-treated fractions (Table 1). In conclusion, the effects of contamination with younger carbon were especially critical for samples aged 40 $^{14}$C ka BP or older and ABOX effectively removed those. In general the ABA method, while effective at removing humic acid contamination, may allow CO$_2$ to link to the molecular structure of the sample and requires a strong acid to remove it (Hatté et al. 2001). The ABOX method (ABOX-2 in Hippe et al., 2018) we used might not be suitable for sample types such as peat which can contain components of different ages subject to differential degradation (Hippe et al. 2018) but was shown here to be more effective at contamination removal for our single entity samples than the ABA. Therefore, all further samples were pre-treated with ABOX as described above.

The AMS $^{14}$C/$^{12}$C ratio of each sample was background corrected and normalised to the HOXII standard (SRM 4990C; National Institute of Standards and Technology). The concurrently measured $^{13}$C/$^{12}$C ratio was used for correcting for natural and analytical isotope fractionation. The fractionation corrected fraction modern carbon (F$^{14}$C) was calculated as defined in Reimer et al. (2004). The radiocarbon age and one standard deviation was calculated using the Libby half-life following the method of Stuiver and Polach (1977). The IntCal13 (Reimer et al., 2013) curve and the Calib 7.1 software were used to calibrate ages. The age model was calculated using the software Bacon (Blaauw and Christen, 2011) using all default settings except for adapting the section thickness to 25 cm (the default of 5 cm would have resulted in too many sections to run the age-model).

### 3.4 SEM-EDX elemental mapping

For clarification of the origin of carbonate-rich layers, selected samples were embedded in epoxy resin (Gießharz Wasserklar), cut with a precision saw, ground with 400 and 800 SiC and coated with carbon. Geochemical analysis was conducted on a Vega TESCAN\XMU scanning electron microscope (SEM). A silicon drift detector (X-MAX 50 mm, Oxford instruments) and INCA 4.15 software (Oxford Instruments) were used to create element maps with energy-dispersive X-ray spectroscopy on a scanning electron microscope (SEM-EDX). The individual distributions of Mg, Ca and Si were measured and combined in a qualitative composite element map for every sample. Measurement parameters include 10 kV acceleration voltage, 15 mm
working distance, probe current 6 and 310 nm spot size. Every sample was measured for around 45 minutes.

Following SEM-EDX elemental mapping, polished sample surfaces were etched for 30 s with 0.1 N HCl, rinsed in distilled water and again carbon coated. Under the SEM in secondary electron mode the etching reliefs of grains from these samples were documented. Additionally, petrographic thin sections with blue-stained epoxy resin were prepared for analyses of grain size, grainshape, and for assessing the presence of intra-grain cements. Dry bulk sediment from SEM samples was ground with a planet mill and investigated with X-ray diffraction (XRD) for mineral phases using Riethveld quantification.

3.5 Carbon, nitrogen, and sulphur analyses

An aliquot of about 1 ml of selected samples, equally distributed over the profile, was homogenised with a mortar for determination of total carbon (TC), total inorganic carbon (TIC), total organic carbon (TOC), total nitrogen (TN), and total sulphur (TS). For TIC, TN, and TS about 10–50 mg of sample, depending on organic matter estimations based on a previous study (Mayr et al., 2017), were weighed together with 18 mg V₂O₅ in tin capsules and afterwards analysed with an elemental analyser (Euro EA, Eurovector, Germany). For TOC, about 10–20 mg were weighed into silver capsules and afterwards decalcified in two steps first with 3% HCl and subsequently with 20% HCl on a heating device at 80 °C until effervescence stopped. The decalcified sample was then analysed with the same device. The TIC content was calculated from the difference between the TC and the TOC content. TIC contents were multiplied with a constant factor of 8.33 for the calculation of calcium carbonate contents, based on the molar mass of C relative to CaCO₃ assuming a predominance of calcium carbonate (Zolitschka, 1998). Organic matter contents were calculated from TOC contents using a factor of 2.13 (Dean, 1974).

3.6 Grain-size analyses

For grain size analyses, between 0.3 and 1.0 g of each selected sample was sieved through a 2-mm sieve and the sieved fraction dispersed with 30% H₂O₂ to remove organic matter. Thereafter the sample was completely dispersed with (NaPO₃)₅. The grain-size distribution in suspension was analysed with a laser diffractometer (Beckman-Coulter LS 200). Triplicate measurements were performed for each sample for which the mean value is reported.
Classification of the different grain-size fractions followed DIN EN ISO 14688-2:2018-05. Grain sizes > 2 mm were not quantified with this method.

4. Results

4.1 Composite profile

The composite profile covers 2099 cm cd in total (Fig. 3). It is overlain by glacifluvial gravel and lodgement till deposits. The boundary between exclusively glacifluvial and the uppermost lacustrine layer (Fig. 2 C) represents the 0 cm cd level. The top of the composite profile is at 582.03 m a.s.l., the bottom at 560.16 m a.s.l. resulting in a profile height of 2187 cm derived from geodetic measurements. Thus, the profile height from geodetic measurements appears to be 88 cm larger than the height of the composite profile obtained from the profile compilation. The main reason for this discrepancy is the compilation of the composite profile from outcrop sections up to 50 m apart (Sections B, C, D in Mayr et al., 2017) and the gentle dip of the strata towards the west with an angle between 0° and 4° over this distance. The discrepancy has no influence on the age model which was calculated using composite profile depths.

4.2 Inorganic geochemistry

Visually, the composite record shows large lithological variance predominantly caused by varying contents of carbonate and organic matter. In a first approach, the carbonate content was determined using XRF scanning data. The results indicate that Ca is antagonistic to all other elements occurring in significant amounts (Fig. 4). Ca comprises 9.9–98.7% of total counts. Principal component analyses (PCA) on the correlation matrix, i.e. the z-transformed (studentised) XRF scanning data, resulted in 70% variance represented by the first principal component (PC1) and 8.8% by the second (PC2). The first principal component separated Ca from all other elements, the second groups S and Zn against all others. Zn and S show some accentuated peaks connected to high TOC contents. The broken-stick method revealed that only PC1 is statistically significant. Therefore, the scores of PC1 agree to a very high extent with Ca variations and inversely with most of the other elements except for S and Zn (Fig. 4).

Grain size varies largely in accordance with elemental composition, but there is a shift observable in the sign of correlation. Maxima of fine-grained (clay, fine silt) sediments go along
with Ca maxima in the lower 75% of the profile (570-2099 cm cd). Above 570 cm cd, however, the correlation pattern changes. Clay-rich levels in this segment are concurrent with Ca decreases whereas sandy intercalations represent the most Ca-rich layers (Fig. 4).

ED-XRF data included more elements than XRF scanning. PCA results of the standardised ED-XRF data are shown in Fig. 5. Similar as in the XRF scanning dataset, only PC 1 is significant as determined with the broken stick method and comprises 65.3% of the total variance. The elements Ca and Mg as well as LOI form a group with negative loadings on the first axis, while all other elements, including K, Ti, Na, P, Mn, Fe, Sr, Rb, Zn, and Zr have positive loadings.

Among the rock samples, various limestones and dolostones, belonging to different Mesozoic formations, also exhibit negative loadings, while rocks of the Permo-Triassic Werfen and Haselgebirge Fms. have positive loadings (Fig. 5). The Pleistocene sediments are spread over the whole scale range of PC1. PC1 predominantly separates carbonate-rich from carbonate-poor samples. PC2 is mainly influenced by a sample of manganese slate (A-2) contrasting to Mn-poor, but K- and Na-rich samples from the Haselgebirge. PC2, however, explains only 7.6% of the variance and therefore is not relevant for our interpretation.

4.3 Composition and texture of carbonate layers

The microscopic texture and chemical composition of carbonate layers was further investigated with SEM and elemental-mapping techniques. Samples were taken from six representative carbonate-rich layers between 78 and 1712 cm cd. SEM analyses revealed no indications of endogenic or biogenic carbonate precipitation. All investigated carbonate layers are entirely of clastic origin. Petrographic thin sections showed a high porosity and loose packing of angular grains with no diagenetic cements in between. The SEM images of polished slabs exhibit subangular to angular grains of less than 100 μm in size, a grain-supported texture, and poor sorting. Elemental mapping allows distinguishing three major grain components: Mg-rich, Ca-rich, and Si-rich grains (indicated by different colours in Fig. 6) in a fine-grained carbonate matrix. All evidences point to rock flour from the surrounding calcareous mountains as a primary source for the carbonate layers in the Nesseltalgraben section. Grain etching reliefs showed strong dissolution of Ca-rich grains, weak dissolution for Mg-rich grains and no dissolution for Si-rich grains pointing to limestone, dolostone, and quartz or silicate, respectively. Bulk XRD spectra confirmed calcite as main component, and dolomite and quartz as accessory components of the carbonate layers.
4.4 Organic geochemistry

Organic-matter rich layers (> 1% TOC) occur at depths of 2093–1743, 1697–1630, 1560–1513, 1480–1466, 1276–1182, 1160–990, 953–471 (several peaks), and at 109 cm cd. TOC and TN reach maximum values of 27.2 and 1.4 wt%, respectively, at 1020 cm cd. TS shows similar variations as TOC and TN, with a few additional maxima at 1350–1323 and 455–261 cm cd and at the base of the profile (2094 cm cd) in the uppermost part of the basal diamicton (Fig. 7). Organic layers coincide with layers enriched in Ti, K and other elements, but are negatively correlated with carbonate-rich layers in which TOC and TN were frequently below detection level.

A comparison between XRF scanning data and elemental analyses shows consistency between data obtained with both techniques. In particular, the TS record is congruent with the sulphur record obtained from XRF scanning (Fig. 7). The sulphur record from XRF scanning shows enriched values in the basal diamicton (2091 to 2097 cm cd) and where peaks in TS occur. TIC and Ca curves also show the same variability and differ only slightly, e.g. in baseline values. The strong coherence between TIC and Ca demonstrates that most of the Ca in the profile is bound to calcium carbonate and only a small fraction to other minerals such as silicates or sulphates (Fig. 7). Therefore, the Ca record can also be seen as representative for the carbonate content.

4.5 Lithofacies

Based on visual parameters (colour, bedding), grain size, TOC (representing organic matter content), and TIC (representing carbonate content) (Fig. 8), the composite profile was subdivided into six different lithofacies types (Fig. 7).

**Lithotype A** comprises gravel and sand layers with median grain sizes > 63 μm. Layers attributed to this lithofacies are of (glaci-)fluvial origin and between several cm and a few dm thick, have high carbonate (55–95%) and low organic matter (< 0.5%) contents. They predominantly occur above 840 cm cd and appear with increasing frequency towards the top of the profile. Components in these layers predominantly are from Mesozoic carbonate rocks.

**Lithotype B** is characterised by over-consolidated whitish to pale grey/ochre calcareous silt layers. Sediments of this lithofacies are frequently laminated and contain < 0.5% organic matter and > 50% carbonate. Thick layers of this lithotype occur in the lower half of the composite record, the most prominent between 1293–1464 cm cd. Organic remains are very rare in this
lithotype. Within thick lithotype-B layers or at their boundaries soft-sediment-deformation structures such as load casts, water-escape structures and flame-like structures frequently occur. Moreover, intercalated gravel bands, sand layers, and up to a few dm wide erosional channels were observed. The tops frequently have a reworked appearance e.g. at 1293 cm cd and 1704 cm cd.

Lithotype C consists of light to medium grey/ochre silts with 0.5–5% organic matter content. It occurs as up to 1.5 m thick layers in the lower half. The layers can be laminated or unstratified. Towards the top of the profile, layers of lithotype C become thinner and rarer.

Lithotype D classifies medium to dark grey/brown silt layers with 5–10% organic matter content and < 50% carbonate content. Plant (charophyte stems, bryophyte shoots, angiosperm leaves, wood fragments) and animal remains (ostracod valves, gastropod and bivalve shells, chironomid head capsules, beetles, vertebrate bones) have been found predominantly in this lithotype.

Lithotype E signifies highly organic (> 10% organic matter), dark-brown compressed silty peat or gyttia layers. The thickest layer of this lithotype occurs at 993–1033 cm cd and exhibits up to 58% organic matter content. At places, bryophyte and beetle remains are discernible macroscopically in this very dense lithotype.

Lithotype F classifies diamicton layers, i.e. unsorted or chaotically structured layers with a wide variety of grain sizes including pebbles or even boulders. Sediments of lithotype F occur only at the base of the composite profile (Fig. 2 E) and at 1519–1548 cm cd. The basal diamicton layer is 0.4 to 0.7 m thick, has irregular upper and lower boundaries and consists of angular to rounded, unsorted, grain-supported clastic components of sub-mm to several dm size. The clasts consist mainly of Mesozoic limestones and dolostones and to a minor extent of Cretaceous sandstones and very rarely metamorphic rocks in a dark-grey, silty matrix containing organic matter. Only the uppermost 8 cm (2091 to 2099 cm cd) of the basal diamicton are included in the composite profile. The second layer attributed to lithofacies F (1519-1548 cm cd) contains unsorted carbonate clasts of up to several cm size in a silty matrix. This layer is probably laterally connected to a thick diamicton layer in an outcrop further to the east of the composite profile (section A in Mayr et al., 2017), which was previously tentatively correlated with the basal diamicton.

4.6 Chronostratigraphy
31 samples pre-treated with ABOX from the new sediment profile were radiocarbon dated (Table 2). The dated material consists of monocots leaves (N=8), macrophyte debris (N=4), bryophytes (N=5), or wood (twigs, stems, or wood particles, N=14) and covers almost the entire stratigraphic range. Except for a single sample (NTGRC-14) from the basal diamicton, which had no sufficient carbon for dating, all samples were datable and provided ages between 26,130 ± 230 14C yr BP (NTGRC-28) and 49,290 ± 5030 14C yr BP (NTGRC-9). For calculation of the age-depth model with Bacon, date NTGRC-32 had a too young age compared to adjacent samples and was discarded as outlier. The reason for the single erroneous age remains unclear, but most likely is related to contamination with traces of younger organic matter.

A Bacon age model (Fig. 9) was constructed using the remaining 30 radiocarbon dates. The age model revealed no major hiatuses, at least in the upper 1462 cm of the composite profile for which radiocarbon ages were entirely within the calibration curve. A previously reported paleomagnetic excursion (Mayr et al., 2017) was used as additional time marker for the age model. The new radiocarbon dates of this study confirm the earlier assignment of this paleomagnetic anomaly to the Laschamp event (41.3 ± 0.6 ka BP; Laj et al., 2014). Ages below 1462 cm are at the limit of the radiocarbon calibration curve (50 ka cal BP). Thus, the model has a larger error in the lower part of the profile and the age-depth model effectively extrapolates beyond the lowermost dates using the sedimentation rates modelled further up the core. These extrapolated ages should be taken with caution. According to the age model, the top of the profile is dated to 29.6 ka cal BP, and the lowermost sample has an extrapolated age of c. 59 ka cal BP.

5. Discussion

5.1 Paleoclimatic interpretation

Selected proxy records (Rb/Sr ratios, TOC, PC1 scores of XRF scanning data) from the Nesseltalgraben sediment sequence show large similarities. The variability resembles stadial and interstadial conditions as reflected in oxygen isotope records of Alpine speleothems and Greenland ice cores (Fig. 10). Sedimentary facies and geochemical signatures considered typical for warmer or cooler periods during MIS 3 are discussed in the following.

As a representative of organic matter content, TOC accumulated especially during deposition of lithotypes D and E. Compressed peat layers and humic horizons occur in various glacial
deposits from the northern Alpine foreland. In a paleoclimatic context, such organic-rich layers in glacial sediments are commonly used as indicators of interstadial or interglacial conditions (Wohlfarth et al., 2008; Heiri et al., 2014). In the West Eifel Volcanic Field (Germany), the carbon contents of the Auel dry maar were used as a paleoclimatic proxy and for tuning the timescale to the Greenland ice-core chronology (Sirocko et al., 2016). In the Nesseltalgraben record, TOC contents of up to 27% (i.e. 58% organic matter) testify repeated periods of ameliorated climatic conditions favourable for higher bioproductivity, i.e. organic matter production in fen and lacustrine environments.

Almost all of the TOC peaks compare well with negative PC1 scores indicating enrichment of elements such as K, Si, Ti, Rb, Sr, Zr, and others. Si, apart from being component of siliceous microfossils such as diatom frustules (Kylander et al., 2011), is the major constituent of weathering-resistant minerals such as quartz and various silicates. Ti is frequently bound to very weathering-resistant minerals like titanium oxides and ilmenite (Correns, 1978). Zr occurs predominantly in zircon, which is also considered comparatively resistant to chemical weathering (Ernæk et al. 1978). Moreover, Ti and Zr frequently accumulate in detrital and residual minerals of silt and sand fractions of soils and sediments (Milnes and Fitzpatrick, 1989, Boës et al., 2011; Kylander et al., 2011; Davies et al., 2015). Rb behaves similar like K. Both elements are constituents of mica and feldspar, but also accumulate in clay minerals via cation exchange (Brouwer et al., 1983). In the course of chemical weathering, the concentration of both elements generally increases due to adsorption to clay minerals (Heier and Billings, 1970; Liu et al., 1993; Buggle et al., 2011) if weathering is not too intense (Gallet et al., 1996; Buggle et al., 2011). Sr and Ca also show similar geochemical behaviour. Sr is a constituent of K-feldspars and plagioclases and can substitute Ca in carbonates and sulfates (Veizer, 1970). Interestingly, Sr was most abundant in two of our rock samples from the Haselgebirge Fm. In contrast to Rb, Sr is easily mobilised from parent rocks making the Rb/Sr ratio a sediment proxy for chemical weathering (Dasch, 1969; Chen et al., 1999; Fernandez et al., 2013). Elevated Rb/Sr ratios signify relative Sr loss through more intense chemical weathering and are in line with increased (logarithmised) TOC concentrations in our record (Fig. 10). Consequently, high Rb/Sr ratios, increased TOC and negative PC1 scores all point to interstadial conditions when chemical weathering and bioproductivity was enhanced.

In contrast to the element Sr, the easily soluble Ca was dominantly accumulated in the fine-grained fractions of carbonate layers (lithotype B) in the Nesseltalgraben record. In these layers Rb/Sr ratios and TOC values were low and scores of PC1 were high (Fig. 10). Grain-size and SEM-EDX analyses reveal that carbonates occur as clay-sized matrix as well as predominantly
silt-sized components therein. This is a characteristic feature of rock flour originating from
glacigenic grinding and, thus, a strong indication for glaciation (Harland et al., 1966; Small,
1987). Carbonate layers consist predominantly of angular calcite and dolomite grains with a
small fraction of quartz, as evidenced from XRD, grain etching reliefs, and SEM-EDX
elemental composition. Lithotype B resembles (late) glacial rock-flour deposits locally known
as “mountain chalk” (“Bergkreide”) elsewhere in the Northern Calcareous Alps (Jerz and
Ulrich, 1966). Such deposits were typically formed by washout of fine clastic material from
tills by glaciogenic meltwater during the Late Pleistocene (Jerz 1993; Mair et al., 2016). The
recognition of similar layers in the Nesseltalgraben record indicates the presence of glaciers
with their meltwater in the Berchtesgaden basin during MIS 3. Repeated deposition of rock
flour was also used for reconstructing glacier variability outside of the Alps, e.g. at Owens Lake
(Sierra Nevada, USA) for the period between 79 and 15 ka BP (Bischoff and Cummins, 2001).
Evidence for Middle Würmian glaciations in the northern Alps is extremely scarce. A possible
glacier advance in the Kempten basin was dated to MIS 4 using luminescence methods (Link
and Preusser, 2005). An ice-rafted-debris layer in the Baumkirchen record indicates the
proximity of a local glacier around 60 to 55 ka BP in the Inn valley (Barrett et al., 2017a).
Modelling results suggest that glaciers also repeatedly advanced and retreated during MIS 3
(Seguinot et al., 2018). Local glacier advances for that period, as indicated by the rock flour
layers around 51.8–50.9, 49.7–48.7, and 46.3–42.9 cal ka BP in the Nesseltalgraben record,
were, to the best of our knowledge, not yet reported from any other Alpine site.
From these considerations we reason that the layers characterised by lithotypes D and E,
revealing high Rb/Sr ratios and enriched in TOC, K, Si, Ti, Rb, Sr, and Zr, represent interstadial,
while Ca-rich and TOC-poor layers of lithotypes A and B represent stadial conditions.
Lithotype C has an intermediate composition and thus represents a transitional facies between
interstadial and stadial conditions.
Whether the deposition of two diamicton layers (lithotype F) was triggered by climatic shifts
remains to be debated. We tentatively interpret them as debris flow deposits, which could be
triggered by intensive thawing of the permafrost soil, as it has been observed in modern Arctic
and periglacial environments (Matthews et al., 1999).

5.2 Comparison with other regional records

Several sites in the northern Alpine foreland provided humic horizons of Middle Würmian (i.e.
MIS 3) age (Table 3). At the Swiss site Gossau, multiple-dating efforts provided age control for
an interstadial complex consisting of humic horizons embedded in gravel and silty sand (Preusser et al., 2003). The three humic horizons of Gossau were dated to around > 50–49, 42–34, and 32 $^{14}$C ka BP (Schlüchter et al. 1987), later confirmed by U/Th (Geyh and Schlüchter, 1998) and OSL dating (Preusser et al.. 2003).

The Niederweningen site in Switzerland provided humic horizons discovered during excavations and in drill cores (Furrer et al., 2007; Anselmetti et al., 2010). The middle and upper peat layers and fossils embedded therein have been dated to around 45–41 $^{14}$C ka BP (Hajdas et al., 2007) supported by IRSL ages of 47 ± 5 ka and 44 ± 4 ka from underlying strata (Dehnert et al., 2012). The southern German site Breinetsried also provided radiocarbon dates from compressed peat layers of around 48 to 41 $^{14}$C ka BP (Grootes, 1977, 1979; Peschke, 1983; Jerz, 1993).

Unterangerberg and Baumkirchen are inner-alpine sites located in the Inn valley which provided Middle Würmian strata. Luminescence and calibrated radiocarbon ages point to the existence of a lake during partly ameliorated climate conditions, indicated by organic-rich layers, at Unterangerberg around 55 to 45 ka (Starnberger et al., 2013). At the adjacent locality Baumkirchen, lacustrine conditions of MIS 3 prevailed between 45 and 33 ka cal BP (Barrett et al., 2017a). These sediments predominantly consist of finely laminated clays and silts and contain no humic horizons (Barrett et al., 2017a, b). However, pollen analyses revealed two milder periods between 41 to 37 ka (PZ4) and around 35 ka (PZ6) (Barrett et al., 2018).

Available Middle Würmian interstadial radiocarbon dates from these sites are compared with the Nesseltalgraben proxy records (Fig. 10). With the exception of Baumkirchen, for which the range given by the age model was used (Barrett et al., 2018), only dates within the range of radiocarbon calibration and with available error information were compiled and re-calibrated. Most of the interstadials discovered in the northern Alpine region match palynologically defined interstadials in the Netherlands and northern Germany, i.e. the Denekamp complex (Van der Hammen et al., 1967; Van der Hammen, 1971), Hengelo interstadial (Van der Hammen et al., 1967; Van der Hammen, 1971; Vandenbergh and van der Plicht, 2016), and the Moershoofd complex (Zagwijn, 1961; Van der Hammen, 1967; Van der Hammen, 1971; Teunissen and Teunissen-van Oorschot, 1974). The oldest interstadials of Oerel and Glinde, attributed to MIS 3 by Behre and van der Plicht (1992), are not considered here, as they are clearly beyond the radiocarbon calibration range. The ages from the middle and upper peat layers at the Niederweningen excavation site (Hajdas et al., 2007) and from Gossau 1 (Schlüchter et al., 1987) cluster with the dates of the Moershoofd complex (Fig. 10). The well-dated Hengelo interstadial is not present in any of the northern Alpine records, but is time-
equivalent to interstadials we tentatively attribute to GI 10/11 in our record. The Denekamp complex, although controversially debated (Litt et al., 2007), seems to be equivalent to Gossau 3 and PZ6 from Baumkirchen and to maxima in the Nesseltalgraben record centred around GI 6.

While other regional sites provided a few humic horizons or compressed peat layers for the Middle Würmian, Nesseltalgraben revealed more than 20 short-term periods with increased TOC (> 0.5 %) contents. Only few loess and lacustrine sediment records (Sirocko et al., 2016; Moine et al., 2017; Duprat-Oualid et al., 2017) from Central Europe show similar Dansgaard-Oeschger variability as in Greenland ice cores. A comparison between δ¹⁸O records from the North Greenland Ice Core Project (NGRIP, Rasmussen et al., 2014) and the Northern European Alps Stalagmite Chronology (NALPS) demonstrates strong linkages between Central European and Greenland paleoclimate variability during MIS 3 (Moseley et al., 2014; Fig. 10). Unfortunately, the NALPS δ¹⁸O record does not cover the complete MIS 3 because of intermittent speleothem growth interruptions (Moseley et al., 2014). Such growth interruptions were not observed in the Sieben Hengste cave system, but this record does not exceed 29.9 ka BP (Luetscher et al., 2015). Provided that climatic changes in Greenland and Europe were synchronous, the plentiful interstadials found at Nesseltalgraben rather than the few reported from other northern (pre)Alpine sites document the regional climatic variability during MIS 3 (Fig. 10). Apparently, most Alpine sediment records of MIS 3 do not record the full pre-LGM climatic variability. In most cases, hiatuses are the most likely explanation for their fragmentary records. At the Nesseltalgraben site the origin and persistence of the sedimentary basin has been attributed to long-term subrosion of underlying Permo-Triassic evaporitic rocks (Mayr et al., 2017), which could explain such a long period of sediment deposition in an alpine setting. The sediments at Nesseltalgraben could represent remnants of a previously more widespread pre-LGM basin filling which was obliterated elsewhere in the Berchtesgaden valley by glacial erosion during the LGM.

The Nesseltalgraben record allows a comparison between a sediment record from the N-Alps with Greenland stadials and interstadials over the entire range of MIS 3. A tentative correlation reveals that several of the Greenland interstadials correlate with geochemical variability in the Nesseltalgraben record, in particular GI 6, 8, and 14–17. Multiple peaks characterise GI 5 and 7 in the Nesseltalgraben record, while GI 10 and 11 appear as double peaks in the PC1 record. The stadials separating GI 11 and 12 as well as GI 13 and 14 are longer, and a small cooling event during GI 14 appears more prominent in the Nesseltalgraben record compared to NGRIP. Owing to the chronological uncertainties of independently dated records, synchronicity
between events cannot be established with ultimate certainty, as has been previously
demonstrated by comparing the well-dated record of paleolake Les Echets with the Greenland
ice core record (Blauw et al., 2010). Moreover, response times may have been different among
proxies and archives. While ice-core $\delta^{18}$O records reflect a direct and immediate proxy response
to atmospheric changes (Thomas et al., 2007), sedimentary processes also depend on a variety
of factors related to the catchment, such as geology, soil cover, vegetation, or geomorphology
(Einsele and Hinderer, 1998). Glacigenic lithofacies B may have already been deposited when
first cooling caused glacier advances during a fading GI and may have lasted until first warming
occurred during the beginning next GI when enhanced meltwater still provided rock flour. This
may explain that cool stadial phases in the older half of the record, in particular the one between
GI 11 and 12, appear longer than in the NGRIP record. In general, all discussed differences
between the records should be considered on the basis of dating uncertainties which are
particularly large for the oldest part (> 50 cal ka BP) of the Nesseltalgraben record based on
extrapolation (Fig. 10 F).

5.3 Implications for Middle Würmian stratigraphy

The end of the Middle Würmian is defined by a facies change from lacustrine laminated clayey
silts to glacifluvial deposits at the inner-alpine stratotype section Baumkirchen (Chaline and
Jerz 1984). This sedimentary change also indicates the start of the LGM. Recent dating
improvements pinpoint the start of the LGM to 33–32 cal ka BP at Baumkirchen (Spötl et al.,
2014). In the southeastern Alps, glacifluvial activity started in the proximal parts of the outwash
of the Tagliamento fan (Monegato et al., 2007) and in adjacent Cormor fan (Hippe et al., 2018)
at around 32–31 cal ka BP, while in the distal parts of the Tagliamento fan the onset was dated
to between 30.8–29.9 cal ka BP and 28.7–27.8 cal ka BP (Monegato et al., 2007). Further to
the south in the Lake Fimon area, glacifluvial damming of a deeper lake due to aggradation of
the Brenta River outwash fan dated to 27.5–27.2 cal ka BP (Monegato et al., 2011).

The onset of continuous glacifluvial sedimentation in the Nesseltalgraben record starts at
29.6 cal ka BP (modelled age). Thus the LGM phase started later than at Baumkirchen and at
the proximal Tagliamento fan, but earlier than in the distal Tagliamento area and at Lake Fimon.
However, thin gravel intercalations already occurred earlier in the record represented by
lithotype A (Fig. 8). Therefore, the onset of the LGM probably was a more gradual process and
strongly depended on local geomorphological and mesoclimatic conditions. This comparison
also shows that local conditions such as accumulation areas of glaciers, successive overcoming
of watersheds between glaciers and sedimentary basins, and spatially varying precipitation
amounts played a larger role for the onset of the LGM as also suggested by modelling of Alpine
glaciations during the Würmian (Seguinot et al., 2018).

6. Conclusions

In comparison to other regional records, the frequency of humic layers in the Nesseltalgraben
is extraordinarily high. Due to its high-resolution age model it can serve as a key MIS 3 section
in the northern Alps. The geochemical variations, in particular PC1 scores, Rb/Sr ratios, and
TOC contents, document a pronounced similarity with Dansgaard-Oeschger climate variability
derived from Greenland ice cores and northern Alpine speleothems. Despite this overall
similarity, not all GIs and GSs appear synchronous to peaks in the Nesseltalgraben proxy
records owing to dating uncertainties and possibly different response times of the archives.
Several glacier advances are indicated by calcareous clastic silt layers, in particular around
51.8–50.9, 49.7–48.7, and 46.3–42.9 cal ka BP, which have not yet been reported from
anywhere else in the Alps. The onset of the LGM at the Nesseltalgraben site dates to 29.6 cal
ka BP and is within the range of reported dates from other sites in Austria and northern Italy.
Ongoing investigations at the Nesseltalgraben site, including palynological and isotopic
analyses, will complement the presented geochemical studies and will shed further light on the
environmental development during MIS 3 in the northern Alps.
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FIGURE CAPTIONS

Fig. 1. Simplified geological map of the Berchtesgaden area (modified from Geologische Bundesanstalt Wien, 2005, geographic basic data from Bayerische Vermessungsverwaltung). The sampling sites of rock samples (white dots) and the Nesseltalgraben site (black dot) are indicated. The inserted map shows the position of the research area in southeastern Germany.

Fig. 2. Outcrop views from the Nesseltalgraben site. (A) Uppermost c. 9 m cd of the sampled sediment profile on the upper slope of Nesseltalgraben. Profile represents the upper part of section B in Mayr et al. (2017). (B) Lower part of the sediment profile (c. 13-21 m cd), view to the south. White boxes indicate the sampled areas corresponding to sections C (left) and D (right) in Mayr et al. (2017). (C) Detail of the uppermost sampled part showing the boundary between glacifluvial gravel and fine-grained, partly lacustrine deposits (white line). Field book (19 x 12 cm) is for scale. The sampled part to the right of the folding rule corresponds to 0.0-1.1 m cd. (D) Detail of the left section in (B) with the thick carbonate layer at 12.9-14.6 m cd. (E) Basal diamicton, the position of the hand indicates the approximate end of the investigated section (20.9 m cd). (F) Example of a sediment bar cut manually in the thoroughly cleaned wall and thereafter sampled with a steel channel (left). The sediment bar covers 18.2-19.3 m cd.

Fig. 3. Composite sediment record compiled from XRF core-scanner photographs. The record covers a range from 0 cm cd (upper left) to 2099 cm cd (lower right). Magenta-coloured circles indicate the position of radiocarbon samples. White areas marked with a cross represent gravel-rich sections lost during sampling.

Fig. 4. Element counts from XRF scanning (given in % of total counts of significant elements), first principal component (PC1) of XRF data, and grain-size distribution of the composite profile.

Fig. 5. Principal components of ED-XRF analyses. Blue dots represent sediment samples from the composite sediment profile (numbers indicate cm cd). Red dots represent individual rock samples from the Berchtesgaden area stemming from the following formations: A: Allgäu Fm. (Jurassic basin sediments), D: Dachstein Fm., H: Hallstatt Fm., Ha: Haselgebirge Fm., O: Oberalm Fm., R: Ramsau dolomite (belonging to Wetterstein Fm.), Re: Reichenhall Fm., Ro:
Roßfeld Fm., S: Schrambach Fm., T: Tauglboden Fm. (Jurassic basin sediments), W: Werfen Fm.

Fig. 6. Stacked μ-XRF elemental maps of ground slabs from carbonate-rich layers in the Nesseltalgraben profile. The colours indicate the most abundant elements detected, Mg (purple), Ca (blue), and Si (green). The samples are from carbonate-rich layers at 78 (A), 780 (B), 926 (C), 1399 (D), 1599 (E), and 1712 (F) cm cd. The scale bar (100 μm) in F is representative for all figures.

Fig. 7. Contents of organic and inorganic carbon (TOC, TIC), nitrogen (TN) and sulphur (TS) (black) compared to S and Ca data from XRF scans (blue).

Fig. 8. Median grain size, TIC, TOC, and distribution of lithotypes A-F in the composite profile. The thresholds used for lithotype definitions are indicated by dashed lines.

Fig. 9. Bacon age/depth model of the composite record using radiocarbon ages (blue) and the paleomagnetic excursion ascribed to the Laschamp event (green). Note the limit of radiocarbon calibration at 50,000 cal BP (dashed line). The stippled red line indicates the mean age-depth model, the grey stippled lines indicate the 95% confidence ranges, and the greyscales indicate the entire model, where darker grey represent more likely ages.

Fig. 10. (A) Calibrated radiocarbon ages (means and 2 σ ranges) from interstadial deposits in The Netherlands and (B) in the northern Alpine realm. (C) Rb/Sr, TOC, and PC 1 of elements from XRF scans from Nesseltalgraben (smoothed curves of PC1 and Rb/Sr filtered with 101 pt and 23 pt Gaussian filters, respectively). Oxygen isotope records of (D) Alpine speleothems (Hölloch, Moseley et al. 2014; Sieben Hengste cave system, Luetscher et al. 2015) and (E) Greenland ice core NGRIP (Rasmussen et al. 2014). (F) shows the 2σ age errors of the respective records. Grey bars indicate inferred interstadials from the respective records, numbers in (E) the GIs (Rasmussen et al., 2014). Note the log scale of TOC and reverse scale of PC1. References for 14C data in (A) and (B) are given in Table 3.
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Sample ID</th>
<th>Mayr et al. (2017)</th>
<th>This study</th>
<th>Δ age (14C yr)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Laboratory code</td>
<td>Material</td>
<td>Laboratory code</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>NE-7</td>
<td>UBA-24910</td>
<td>twig from peaty layer</td>
<td>27111±234</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>NE-6</td>
<td>UBA-24911</td>
<td>compressed peat</td>
<td>27206±234</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>NE-4</td>
<td>UBA-24902</td>
<td>twig</td>
<td>39611±783</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>NE-5</td>
<td>UBA-24903</td>
<td>40 cm long piece of wood</td>
<td>43335±1100</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>NE-2</td>
<td>Erl-17398</td>
<td>piece of wood</td>
<td>42167±1063</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
Table 2

Radiocarbon data from the composite profile. One outlier is marked with *italics*. Square brackets mark calibrated values at the limit of the calibration curve. Values beyond the calibration period are marked with a dash.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Sample ID</th>
<th>Laboratory code</th>
<th>Material Type</th>
<th>Conventional $^1^4$C age ($^1^4$C yr BP)</th>
<th>$F^1^4$C</th>
<th>Calibrated $^1^4$C age range (cal BP, 2σ)</th>
<th>Mean depth (cm cd)</th>
<th>Sample thickness (cm cd)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>NTGRC -28</td>
<td>UBA-37036</td>
<td>plant debris (mainly monocots)</td>
<td>26,130±227</td>
<td>0.0387±0.0011</td>
<td>29,750-30,880</td>
<td>107.5</td>
<td>1.9</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>NTGRC -15</td>
<td>UBA-35876</td>
<td>mosses</td>
<td>27,222±215</td>
<td>0.0338±0.0009</td>
<td>30,890-31,440</td>
<td>203.2</td>
<td>1.6</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>NTGRC -16</td>
<td>UBA-35877</td>
<td>monocots</td>
<td>26,726±197</td>
<td>0.0359±0.0009</td>
<td>30,620-31,170</td>
<td>203.2</td>
<td>1.6</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>NTGRC -17</td>
<td>UBA-35878</td>
<td>plant debris</td>
<td>26,488±194</td>
<td>0.0370±0.0009</td>
<td>30,360-31,070</td>
<td>241.0</td>
<td>1.6</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>NTGRC -13</td>
<td>UBA-34037</td>
<td>small twigs</td>
<td>28,032±296</td>
<td>0.0305±0.0011</td>
<td>31,260-32,740</td>
<td>299.0</td>
<td>1.0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>NTGRC -18</td>
<td>UBA-35879</td>
<td>plant debris</td>
<td>27,367±211</td>
<td>0.0332±0.0009</td>
<td>30,970-31,540</td>
<td>333.4</td>
<td>1.8</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>NTGRC -19</td>
<td>UBA-35880</td>
<td>mosses</td>
<td>25,592±186</td>
<td>0.0413±0.0010</td>
<td>29,240-30,360</td>
<td>458.9</td>
<td>1.8</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>NTGRC -20</td>
<td>UBA-35881</td>
<td>monocots</td>
<td>27,465±278</td>
<td>0.0328±0.0011</td>
<td>30,930-31,820</td>
<td>458.9</td>
<td>1.8</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>NTGRC -21</td>
<td>UBA-35882</td>
<td>mosses</td>
<td>25,767±179</td>
<td>0.0404±0.0009</td>
<td>29,460-30,530</td>
<td>478.8</td>
<td>2.0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>NTGRC -23</td>
<td>UBA-35884</td>
<td>monocots</td>
<td>27,021±210</td>
<td>0.0346±0.0009</td>
<td>30,790-31,320</td>
<td>513.5</td>
<td>2.0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>NTGRC -22</td>
<td>UBA-35883</td>
<td>plant debris</td>
<td>25,611±175</td>
<td>0.0412±0.0009</td>
<td>29,290-30,350</td>
<td>514.0</td>
<td>2.0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>NTGRC -11</td>
<td>UBA-34038</td>
<td>piece of a small stem</td>
<td>28,893±330</td>
<td>0.0274±0.0011</td>
<td>31,960-33,760</td>
<td>573.0</td>
<td>1.0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>NTGRC -24</td>
<td>UBA-35891</td>
<td>monocots</td>
<td>28,035±232</td>
<td>0.0305±0.0009</td>
<td>31,320-32,600</td>
<td>652.7</td>
<td>1.9</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>NTGRC -25</td>
<td>UBA-35892</td>
<td>mosses</td>
<td>29,914±311</td>
<td>0.0241±0.0009</td>
<td>33,520-34,590</td>
<td>706.6</td>
<td>1.8</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>NTGRC -26</td>
<td>UBA-35893</td>
<td>monocots</td>
<td>28,825±279</td>
<td>0.0276±0.0009</td>
<td>32,000-33,660</td>
<td>706.6</td>
<td>1.8</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>NTGRC -29</td>
<td>UBA-37037</td>
<td>monocots</td>
<td>29,202±259</td>
<td>0.0264±0.0008</td>
<td>32,770-33,910</td>
<td>732.8</td>
<td>1.9</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>NTGRC -30</td>
<td>UBA-37038</td>
<td>mosses</td>
<td>30,782±308</td>
<td>0.0217±0.0008</td>
<td>34,110-35,320</td>
<td>790.8</td>
<td>1.8</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>NTGRC -31</td>
<td>UBA-37039</td>
<td>monocots</td>
<td>31,333±317</td>
<td>0.0202±0.0008</td>
<td>34,630-35,920</td>
<td>906.7</td>
<td>1.5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>NTGRC -2</td>
<td>UBA-34039</td>
<td>piece of a small stem</td>
<td>32,956±532</td>
<td>0.0165±0.0011</td>
<td>35,890-38,480</td>
<td>1015.0</td>
<td>1.0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>NTGRC -27</td>
<td>UBA-35894</td>
<td>small twig</td>
<td>35,219±632</td>
<td>0.0125±0.0009</td>
<td>38,510-41,150</td>
<td>1094.8</td>
<td>1.0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>NTGRC -5</td>
<td>UBA-34040</td>
<td>piece of a small stem (conifer)</td>
<td>35,163±697</td>
<td>0.0126±0.0010</td>
<td>38,340-41,280</td>
<td>1189.0</td>
<td>1.0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>NTGRC -4</td>
<td>UBA-37043</td>
<td>wood</td>
<td>43,851±1538</td>
<td>0.0043±0.0007</td>
<td>44,800-[49,990]</td>
<td>1222.0</td>
<td>1.0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>NTGRC -32</td>
<td>UBA-37040</td>
<td>monocots</td>
<td>31,033±445</td>
<td>0.0210±0.0011</td>
<td>34,130-35,860</td>
<td>1277.4</td>
<td>1.6</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>NTGRC</td>
<td>UBA-</td>
<td>Description</td>
<td>Age</td>
<td>Error</td>
<td>Fission</td>
<td>1462.5</td>
<td>1.0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>--------</td>
<td>-------</td>
<td>--------------------------------------------</td>
<td>---------</td>
<td>---------</td>
<td>---------</td>
<td>--------</td>
<td>-------</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>-3</td>
<td>34041</td>
<td>piece of a small stem</td>
<td>42,882</td>
<td>±1,894</td>
<td>0.0048</td>
<td>0.0010</td>
<td>43,270</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>-6</td>
<td>34042</td>
<td>piece of a small stem (conifer)</td>
<td>45,206</td>
<td>±2,644</td>
<td>0.0036</td>
<td>0.0010</td>
<td>44,130</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>-8</td>
<td>37044</td>
<td>wood (Pinus)</td>
<td>43,452</td>
<td>±1,421</td>
<td>0.0045</td>
<td>0.0007</td>
<td>44,390</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>-33</td>
<td>37041</td>
<td>twig</td>
<td>44,202</td>
<td>±1,567</td>
<td>0.0041</td>
<td>0.0007</td>
<td>45,070</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>-34</td>
<td>37042</td>
<td>wood particles</td>
<td>44,327</td>
<td>±1,685</td>
<td>0.0040</td>
<td>0.0008</td>
<td>44,990</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>-9</td>
<td>34043</td>
<td>piece of a small stem</td>
<td>49,293</td>
<td>±5,031</td>
<td>0.0022</td>
<td>0.0010</td>
<td>--</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>-7</td>
<td>34044</td>
<td>small stem (Betula or Alnus)</td>
<td>46,986</td>
<td>±3,440</td>
<td>0.0029</td>
<td>0.0010</td>
<td>--</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>-14</td>
<td>34045</td>
<td>piece of a small stem</td>
<td>--</td>
<td>--</td>
<td>--</td>
<td>--</td>
<td>2112.0</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
Table 3
Radiocarbon data from sites in The Netherlands and the Northern Alps and their foreland. Only ages within the calibration period are listed. Square brackets mark calibrated values at the limit of the calibration curve.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Site</th>
<th>Conventional $^{14}$C age (14C yr BP)</th>
<th>Calibrated $^{14}$C age range (cal BP, 2σ)</th>
<th>Reference</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Dinkel valley</td>
<td>29,300±300</td>
<td>32,770-34,030</td>
<td>Van der Hammen, et al., 1967; Van der Hammen, 1971</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Dinkel valley</td>
<td>30,100±300</td>
<td>33,690-34,680</td>
<td>Van der Hammen, 1971</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Hengelo A1</td>
<td>33,100±600</td>
<td>35,880-38,700</td>
<td>Vandenberghe &amp; Van der Plicht, 2016</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Hengelo KNZ</td>
<td>36,600±600</td>
<td>39,970-42,130</td>
<td>Vandenberghe &amp; Van der Plicht, 2016</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Breda</td>
<td>37,000±600</td>
<td>40,350-42,390</td>
<td>Vandenberghe &amp; Van der Plicht, 2016</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Hengelo Rientjes</td>
<td>37,500±650</td>
<td>40,760-42,790</td>
<td>Vandenberghe &amp; Van der Plicht, 2016</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Grouw</td>
<td>37,750±850</td>
<td>40,520-43,230</td>
<td>Vandenberghe &amp; Van der Plicht, 2016</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Moershoofd</td>
<td>43,500±1,000</td>
<td>44,940-49,010</td>
<td>Zagwijn, 1961; Van der Hammen et al., 1967</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Moershoofd</td>
<td>44,500±1,500</td>
<td>45,400-[50,000]</td>
<td>Zagwijn, 1961</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Groot Duckenburg</td>
<td>45,300±2,000</td>
<td>45,220-[50,000]</td>
<td>Teunissen and Teunissen-van Oorschot, 1974</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Moershoofd</td>
<td>46,250±1,500</td>
<td>46,560-[50,000]</td>
<td>Zagwijn, 1961; Van der Hammen et al., 1967</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Baumkirchen PZ6</td>
<td>Not reported</td>
<td>c. 34,500-35,500</td>
<td>Barrett et al., 2018</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Baumkirchen PZ4</td>
<td>Not reported</td>
<td>c. 37,000-41,000</td>
<td>Barrett et al., 2018</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Gossau 3 (top)</td>
<td>28,550±310</td>
<td>31,620-33,410</td>
<td>Schlüchter et al, 1987</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Gossau 3 (base)</td>
<td>28,250±350</td>
<td>31,340-33,110</td>
<td>Schlüchter et al, 1987</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Gossau 2 (top)</td>
<td>33,000±2,500</td>
<td>32,170-42,040</td>
<td>Schlüchter et al, 1987</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Gossau 2 (top)</td>
<td>33,410±480</td>
<td>36,378-38,740</td>
<td>Schlüchter et al, 1987</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Gossau 2 (base)</td>
<td>40,920±1,220</td>
<td>42,500-46,510</td>
<td>Schlüchter et al, 1987</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Gossau 1 (top)</td>
<td>45,420±1,200</td>
<td>46,500-[50,000]</td>
<td>Schlüchter et al, 1987</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Breinetsried I (top)</td>
<td>45,500±400</td>
<td>47,970-49,950</td>
<td>Grootes, 1977; Peschke, 1983</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Breinetsried I</td>
<td>46,300+2,200/-1,700</td>
<td>45,900-[50,000]</td>
<td>Grootes, 1979</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Niederweningen upper peat</td>
<td>42,620±780</td>
<td>44,489-47,530</td>
<td>Hajdas et al, 2007</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Niederweningen upper peat</td>
<td>44,520±1,140</td>
<td>45,880-49,960</td>
<td>Hajdas et al, 2007</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Niederweningen middle peat</td>
<td>45,430±1,020</td>
<td>46,790-[50,000]</td>
<td>Hajdas et al, 2007</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>