



**QUEEN'S
UNIVERSITY
BELFAST**

Serendipitous re-sighting of a basking shark *Cerorhinus maximus* reveals inter-annual connectivity between American and European coastal hotspots

Johnston, E. M., Mayo, P., Mensink, P. J., Houghton, J. D. R., & Eric, S. (2019). Serendipitous re-sighting of a basking shark *Cerorhinus maximus* reveals inter-annual connectivity between American and European coastal hotspots. *Journal of Fish Biology*. Advance online publication. <https://doi.org/10.1111/jfb.14163>

Published in:
Journal of Fish Biology

Document Version:
Peer reviewed version

Queen's University Belfast - Research Portal:
[Link to publication record in Queen's University Belfast Research Portal](#)

Publisher rights

© 2019 The Fisheries Society of the British Isles.

This work is made available online in accordance with the publisher's policies. Please refer to any applicable terms of use of the publisher.

General rights

Copyright for the publications made accessible via the Queen's University Belfast Research Portal is retained by the author(s) and / or other copyright owners and it is a condition of accessing these publications that users recognise and abide by the legal requirements associated with these rights.

Take down policy

The Research Portal is Queen's institutional repository that provides access to Queen's research output. Every effort has been made to ensure that content in the Research Portal does not infringe any person's rights, or applicable UK laws. If you discover content in the Research Portal that you believe breaches copyright or violates any law, please contact openaccess@qub.ac.uk.

Open Access

This research has been made openly available by Queen's academics and its Open Research team. We would love to hear how access to this research benefits you. – Share your feedback with us: <http://go.qub.ac.uk/oa-feedback>

BRIEF COMMUNICATION

Serendipitous re-sighting of a basking shark *Cetorhinus maximus* reveals inter-annual connectivity between American and European coastal hotspots.

E.M. Johnston^{1,2,3} | P. Mayo^{1,2} | P.J. Mensink^{1,4,5} | Eric Savetsky⁶ | J.D.R. Houghton^{1,2,4}

¹ School of Biological Sciences, Queen's University Belfast, 19 Chlorine Gardens, Belfast, Co. Antrim, Northern Ireland, UK

² Irish Basking Shark Study Group, Inch Island, County Donegal, Ireland

³ National Parks and Wildlife Service, Department of Culture, Heritage and Gaeltacht, North King Street, Dublin, Ireland.

⁴ Queen's University Marine Laboratory, Portaferry, Co. Down, Northern Ireland, UK

⁵ Department of Biology, Biological & Geological Sciences Building, Western University, London, Ontario, Canada.

⁶ P.O. Box 985, Nantucket, Massachusetts, USA

Corresponding author

Emmett Johnston, School of Biological Sciences, Queen's University Belfast, 19 Chlorine Gardens, Belfast, Co. Antrim, Northern Ireland, BT9 7DL

Email: ejohnston34@qub.ac.uk

This article has been accepted for publication and undergone full peer review but has not been through the copyediting, typesetting, pagination and proofreading process which may lead to differences between this version and the Version of Record. Please cite this article as doi: 10.1111/jfb.14163

Funding information

The Inishowen Development Partnership and the Loughs Agency funds the Shark Spotting project from which this finding arose.

Ethical statement

The care and use of experimental animals complied with Department of Agriculture, Food and Marine, Ireland animal welfare laws, guidelines and policies as well as the ethical research requirements approved by The National Parks and Wildlife Service, Department of Arts, Culture and Gaeltacht, Ireland. This study entailed the deployment of a Wildlife Computers SPOT tag using the standard wildlife computers titanium anchor and tether attachment method. No fish were collected as part of faunal surveys; No fish were killed during or at the end of the experiment; no surgical procedures were performed; experimental conditions did not severely distress any fishes involved in the study; No procedure in this study caused lasting harm to sentient fish and no procedure involved sentient, un-anaesthetised animals that were subjected to chemical agents that induce neuromuscular blockade.

Abstract

A female basking shark *Cetorhinus maximus* was tagged with a satellite transmitter at Malin head, Ireland and re-sighted 993 days later at Cape Cod, USA, a distance of 4632km. Transatlantic stock mixing in basking sharks is supported by low genetic diversity in populations throughout the Atlantic Ocean but this is the only record to date of transatlantic

movement by basking shark despite significant focus on the species' movements; > 1500 individual sharks tagged conventionally and > 150 individuals with remote tracking tags.

KEYWORDS

basking shark, conservation, migration, mark–recapture, genetic diversity, hotspot connectivity

Transatlantic stock mixing in many large marine vertebrates is supported by low genetic diversity in populations throughout the Atlantic Ocean, but direct observations of transatlantic movement are rare (Skomal *et al.*, 2009; Lieber *et al.*, 2015; Andreotti *et al.*, 2016). Information on such broad-scale movements has, on the whole, come from commercial fisheries research (Kenchington, 2003) with studies of more esoteric species emerging over recent decades *via* satellite telemetry and mark–recapture (Sims, 2010; Hays *et al.*, 2016; Kohler *et al.*, 2002). Notable examples include the pan-Atlantic movements of spurdogfish *Squalus acanthias* L. 1758 (Holden, 1967), white *Carcharodon carcharias* (L. 1758) (Skomal *et al.*, 2017) and porbeagle *Lamna nasus* *Lamna nasus* (Bonnaterre 1788) sharks (Cameron *et al.*, 2018). Genetic approaches suggest that ocean scale-mixing may also be common for other large shark species, including both blue *Prionace glauca* (L. 1758) (Veríssimo *et al.*, 2017) and basking sharks *Cetorhinus maximus* (Gunnerus 1765) (Hoelzel *et al.*, 2006).

The filter feeding basking shark, order Lamniformes, is the second largest fish in the world (max length > 10m; Klimley, 2013) and is known for its seasonal surface feeding behaviour in temperate coastal waters (Sims, 2008). The mechanism by which North Atlantic Ocean basking shark stock mixing may occur was suggested by Gore *et al.* (2008) when a large (8 m+) female equipped with a pop-off archival transmitter moved from the Irish Sea to continental shelf waters off Newfoundland, Canada. Given that this was one of the first tracking studies of the species, the authors could only speculate as to how frequently such transatlantic movement might occur. Over recent decades basking shark mark– recapture studies have been conducted widely on both sides of the North Atlantic Ocean (Kohler *et al.*, 1998; Berrow *et al.*, 2011; Lieber *et al.*, 2013; Hoogenboom *et al.*, 2015; Gore *et al.*, 2016). Collectively, these efforts have resulted in >1500 individual sharks being tagged for recapture, but further evidence of transatlantic mixing has remained elusive.

Within this context, the serendipitous re-sighting of a female shark 4632km (straight line displacement) from its original tagging site is noteworthy. This individual was fitted with a satellite transmitter (SPOT, Wildlife Computers; www.wildlifecomputer.com) housed in a unique custom-made body (Customized Animal Tracking Solutions; www.cats.is) on 24 August 2014 at Malin Head, Donegal, Ireland (55.262° N 7, 5.56° W; Figure 1a). The shark was subsequently tracked north to the Hebridean islands, Scotland where 33 days later the final ARGOS position (www.argos-system.org) was determined 5 km west of Barra, Scotland (Figure 1c,d). The re-sighting occurred after 993 days at Nauset Beach, Massachusetts, USA (41.789° N, 69.925° W) by a sub-aqua videographer on 15 June 2017 (Figure 1b). This opportunistic record links three known seasonal hotspots for the species (Witt *et al.*, 2012;

ICES, 2018; Braun *et al.*, 2018) on an inter-annual scale and constitutes the first evidence of movement between European and US waters, expanding on the pioneering study of Gore *et al.* (2008).

The drivers for such long-distance movements in basking shark are likely to be diverse (Sims, 2003; Skomal *et al.*, 2009; Doherty *et al.*, 2017a). From previous research, a broader picture of basking shark's short to medium-term movements in response to the dynamic distribution of prey across the ocean landscape has emerged, including residence in seasonal hotspots and latitudinal migration on both sides of the North Atlantic Ocean (Southall *et al.*, 2005; Stephan *et al.*, 2011; Doherty *et al.*, 2017b, Braun *et al.*, 2018). As large-bodied planktivores, basking shark are typically associated with hydrodynamic features that contain or aggregate high densities of crustacean zooplankton (Sims, 2008). This foraging pattern often manifests itself as latitudinal movements between heterogeneous aggregations of prey over seasonal scales (Roshier *et al.*, 2008); compounded in turn by reproductive and physiological needs; *e.g.*, social behaviour (Sims *et al.*, 2000; Gore *et al.*, 2018) or thermal ecology (Carrier *et al.*, 2010; Priede & Miller, 2009; Braun *et al.*, 2018). However, the timing of the tag deployment in Ireland (August 2014) and re-sighting in the US (June 2017) illustrates that association with summer (April–September) hotspots in the North Atlantic Ocean might be more nuanced than previously thought. Certainly, individual sharks do return on an inter-annual basis to the same coastal hotspot (Gore *et al.*, 2018; Doherty *et al.*, 2017b) but this re-sighting event shows that inter-annual fidelity to a single summer hotspot is not obligate or necessarily exhibited in all individuals.

Accepted Article

At present, transatlantic mixing in the species appears far from common but is obviously sufficient to ensure genetic population diversity remains low (Hoelzel *et al.*, 2006; Noble *et al.*, 2006). Given the tractable nature of basking shark for tracking studies, our understanding of their seasonal movements has gone hand in hand with advances in biotelemetry (Priede, 1984; Sims *et al.*, 2003; Gore *et al.*, 2008; Skomal *et al.*, 2009; Curtis *et al.*, 2014; Miller *et al.*, 2015; Doherty *et al.*, 2017a, Braun *et al.*, 2018). However, the recording of patterns in individual sharks' movements on an inter-annual scale is restricted to some extent by current technology; *i.e.*, battery life and biofouling of transmitters (Hays *et al.*, 2007; Doherty *et al.*, 2017a). Looking forward, as the longevity of satellite transmitter deployments continue to increase, we may begin to record ocean-scale movements more frequently (Costa *et al.*, 2012).

When we consider that the IUCN classifies the basking shark as Endangered in the north-east Atlantic Ocean but Vulnerable in the north-western Atlantic Ocean (Fowler, 2009), the recording of this trans-Atlantic movement advances our understanding of the extent of the species multi-annual range within the North Atlantic Ocean. Furthermore, the confirmation of inter-annual trans-Atlantic connectivity between three hotspots (Ireland, UK, USA) lends support to Southall *et al.* (2006) in their call for deeper international collaboration. Specifically, the OSPAR (2015) and ICES (2018) working groups have a duty to be cognisant of the extensive range at which individual sharks operate within the Atlantic basin. It follows that there exists a pressing requirement for multi annual individual level movement data in order to observe these rarer movements that can provide great insight into population level dynamics. Moreover, consideration should be given to measures that promote the

Accepted Article

collective responsibility for the species held by all states that manage territorial waters and exclusive economic zones through which the sharks pass and or inhabit on a regular basis (Dulvy *et al.*, 2008). In conclusion, an Atlantic Ocean-wide collaborative approach is required to ensure the conservation of the Atlantic oceans second largest fish species, a creature that carries no passport and recognises no national boundaries.

ACKNOWLEDGEMENTS

The authors would like to thank basking shark research teams who assisted in tracking the source of the tag. We also thank the members of the Inishowen and Irish basking shark study group for assisting with field work. Customised Animal Tracking Solutions for their dedicated service and attention to detail. The authors wish to acknowledge use of the Maptool program for analysis and graphics in this paper. Maptool is a product of SEATURTLE.ORG (www.seaturtle.org).

Author contributions

E.J. and J.H. conceived and designed the Shark Spotting study from which this record arose, E.J. and P.M. undertook the fieldwork and Eric Savetsky videoed the re-sighting; all authors contributed to the writing of the manuscript.

REFERENCES

Andreotti, S., Rutzen, M., Van der Walt, S., Von der Heyden, S., Henriques, R., Meyer, M.,

Oosthuizen, H., & Mathee, C. (2016). An integrated mark-recapture and genetic approach to estimate the population size of white shark in South Africa. *Marine Ecology Progress Series*. 552.

Berrow, S. and Johnston, E. (2011) *Basking Shark Satellite Telemetry and Tracking in Ireland*, report to the International Council for the Exploration of the Sea (ICES), Working Group on Elasmobranch Fishes (WGEF). Marine Institute, Galway.

Biais, G., Coupeau, Y., Séret, B., Calmettes, B., Lopez, R., Hetherington, S. and Righton, D. (2017). Return migration patterns of porbeagle shark (*Lamna nasus*) in the Northeast Atlantic: implications for stock range and structure, *ICES Journal of Marine Science: Journal du Conseil*, p. fsw233.

Braun, C., Skomal, G. and Thorrold, S. (2018). Integrating Archival Tag Data and a High-Resolution Oceanographic Model to Estimate Basking Shark (*Cetorhinus maximus*) Movements in the Western Atlantic. *Frontiers in Marine Science*. 5. 10.3389.

Cameron, L.W.J., Rochem W., Green, P., Houghton, J.D.R, Mensink, P.J. (2018) Transatlantic movement in porbeagle sharks, *Lamna nasus*. *Fisheries Research* **207**:25–27.

Carrier, J.C., Musick, J.A, Heithaus, M.R. (2010). Sharks and their relatives II: Biodiversity, adaptive physiology and conservation. *CRC Marine Biology Series*. CRC Press: Boca Raton. 713 pp.

Costa, D.P., Breed, G.A. and Robinson, P.W. (2012). New Insights into pelagic migrations: Implications for ecology and conservation. *The annual review of Ecology, Evolution and Systematics*. 43:73-96.

Curtis, T. H., Zeeman, S. I., Summers, E. L., Cadrin, S. X. and Skomal, G. B., "Eyes in the sky: linking satellite oceanography and biotelemetry to explore habitat selection by basking sharks" (2014). *Animal Biotelemetry*.2:12.

Doherty, P. D., Baxter, J. M., Gell, F. R., Godley, B. J., Graham, R. T., Hall, G., Hall, J., Hawkes, L. A., Henderson, S. M., Johnson, L., Speedie C. and M. J. Witt (2017a). Long-term satellite tracking reveals variable seasonal migration strategies of basking sharks in the north-east Atlantic. *Nature, Scientific Reports* 7, 42837.

Doherty, P., Baxter, J., Godley, B., Graham, R., Hall, G., Hall, J., Hawkes, L., Henderson, S., Johnson, L., Speedie, C. and Witt, M. (2017b). Testing the boundaries: Seasonal residency and inter-annual site fidelity of basking sharks in a proposed Marine Protected Area. *Biological Conservation*. 209. 68-75.

Dulvy, N.K., Baum, J.K., Clarke, S., Compagno, L.J.V., Cortés, E., Domingo, A., Fordham, S., Fowler, S., Francis, M.P., Gibson, C., Martinez, J., Musick, J.A., Soldo, A., Stephens, J.D. Valenti, S. (2008). You can swim but you can't hide: the global status and conservation of oceanic pelagic sharks and rays. *Aquatic Conservation: Marine and Freshwater Ecosystems* 18 (5), 459-482

Fowler, S.L. 2009. *Cetorhinus maximus*. *The IUCN Red List of Threatened Species* 2009: e.T4292A10763893. <http://dx.doi.org/10.2305/IUCN.UK.2005.RLTS.T4292A10763893.en>. Downloaded on 05 August 2019.

Gore, M. A., Rowat, D., Hall, J., Gell, F. R., & Ormond, R. F. (2008). Transatlantic migration and deep mid-ocean diving by basking shark. *Biology letters*, 4(4), 395-8.

Gore, M.A., Frey, P.H., Ormond, R.F., Allan, H. and Gilkes, G. (2016). Use of Photo-Identification and Mark-Recapture Methodology to Assess Basking Shark (*Cetorhinus maximus*) Populations. *PLoS ONE* 11(3).

Gore, M., Abels, L., Wasik, S., Saddler, L. and Ormond, R. (2018). Are close-following and breaching behaviours by basking sharks at aggregation sites related to courtship? *Journal of the Marine Biological Association of the United Kingdom*, 1-13.

Hays, G., Bradshaw, C., James, M.C. Lovell, P. and Sims, D. (2007). Why do Argos satellite tags deployed on marine animals stop transmitting? *Journal of Experimental Marine Biology and Ecology*. 349. 52-60.

Hays, G., Cerqueira F. L., Sequeira, A., Meekan, M., Duarte, C., Bailey, H., Bailleul, F., Bowen, W., Julian C. M., Costa, D., Eguíluz, V., Fossette, S., Friedlaender, A., Gales, N., Gleiss, A., Gunn, J., Harcourt, R., Hazen, E., Heithaus, M., Thums, M., (2016). Key Questions in Marine Megafauna Movement Ecology. *Trends in Ecology & Evolution*. 31. 463-475.

Hoelzel, A. R., Shivji, M. S., Magnussen, J., & Francis, M. P. (2006). Low worldwide genetic diversity in the basking shark (*Cetorhinus maximus*). *Biology letters*, 2(4), 639-42.

Holden, M. J. (1967) Transatlantic movement of a tagged spurdogfish. *Nature* 214, –1141

Hoogenboom, J. , & Wong, S., Ronconi, R., Koopman, H., Murison, L. and Westgate, A. (2015). Environmental predictors and temporal patterns of basking shark (*Cetorhinus maximus*) occurrence in the lower Bay of Fundy, Canada. *Journal of Experimental Marine Biology and Ecology*. 465.

ICES (2018). Basking Shark in the Northeast Atlantic (ICES areas 1–14). ICES Working Group on Elasmobranch Fishes, Report, 218–234. Copenhagen; International Council for the Exploration of the Sea.

www.ices.dk/sites/pub/Publication%20Reports/Expert%20Group%20Report/acom/2018/WGEF/09%20WGEF%20Report%202018_Section%2007%20Basking%20shark.pdf

Kajiura, S.M. & Tellman, S.L. (2016) Quantification of massive seasonal aggregations of blacktip sharks (*Carcharhinus limbatus*) in southeast Florida. *PLoS ONE* 11 (3).

Kenchington, E. (2003). The Effects of Fishing on Species and Genetic Diversity. In *Responsible fisheries in the marine ecosystem*, Chapter 14. CAB International, Wallingford, Oxon, UK.

Klimley P. (2013) *The Biology of Sharks and Rays*, The University of Chicago Press, London.

Kohler N.E., Casey J.G. and Turner P.A. (1998) NMFS Cooperative Shark Tagging Program, 1962-93: An Atlas of Shark Tag and Recapture Data. *Marine Fisheries Review*, vol. 60, no2, pp. 1-87.

Kohler, N. E., Turner, P. a, Hoey, J. J., Natanson, L. J. and Briggs, R. (2002) 'Tag and recapture data for three pelagic shark species: blue shark (*Prionace glauca*), shortfin mako (*Isurus oxyrinchus*) and porbeagle (*Lamna nasus*) in the North Atlantic Ocean', *International Commission for the Conservation of Atlantic Tunas, Collective Volume of Scientific Papers SCRS/2001/64*, 54(4), pp. 1231–1260.

Lieber, L., Berrow, S., Johnston, E., Hall, G., Hall, J., Gubili, C., Sims, D.W., Jones, C.S. & Noble, L.R. (2013). 'Mucus: aiding elasmobranch conservation through non-invasive genetic sampling' *Endangered Species Research*, vol. 21, pp. 215.

Lieber, L., Dawson, D., Horsburgh, G., Noble, L., & Jones, C. (2015). Microsatellite loci for basking shark (*Cetorhinus maximus*) monitoring and conservation. *Conservation Genetics Resources*. 7. 917-944.

Miller, P.I., Scales, K.L., Ingram, S.N., Southall, E.J. and Sims, D.W., (2015) Basking sharks and oceanographic fronts: quantifying associations in the north-east Atlantic. *Functional Ecology*. Vol. 29, No. 8, pp.1099-1109.

Noble, L.R., Jones, C.S., Sarginson, J., Metcalfe, J.D., Sims D.W. and Pawson, M.G. (2006). *Conservation Genetics of basking sharks*, Final report for Defra Tender CR 0288. www.sciencesearch.defra.gov.uk

OSPAR (2015) Convention for the Protection of the Marine Environment of the North-East Atlantic: Background Document on Basking shark, *Cetorhinus maximus* – Update. London; OSPAR. www.ospar.org/documents?v=7377

Priede, I. (1984). A basking shark (*Cetorhinus maximus*) tracked by satellite together with simultaneous remote sensing. *Fisheries Research*. 2. 201-216.

Priede, I. G., & Miller, P. (2009). A basking shark (*Cetorhinus maximus*) tracked by satellite together with simultaneous remote sensing II: new analysis reveals orientation to a thermal front. *Fisheries Research*, 95(2-3), 370-372.

Roshier, D., Doerr, V., & Doerr, E. (2008). Animal movement in dynamic landscapes: Interaction between behavioural strategies and resource distributions. *Oecologia*. 156. 465-77.

Skomal, G.B., Bruan, C.D., Chisholm, J.H. and Thorrold, S.R. (2017). Movements of the white shark *Carcharodon carcharias* in the North Atlantic Ocean. *Marine Ecology Progress Series*, 580:1-16.

Skomal, G.B., Zeeman, S.I., Chisholm, J.H., Summers, E.L., Walsh, H.J., McMahon, K.W., Thorrold, S.R. (2009). Transequatorial migrations by basking sharks in the western Atlantic Ocean. *Current Biology*. Jun 23;19(12):1019-22.

Sims, D. (2008). Sieving a Living: A Review of the Biology, Ecology and Conservation Status of the Plankton-Feeding Basking Shark *Cetorhinus Maximus*. *Advances in marine biology*. 54. 171-220.

Sims D.W. (2010). Swimming behaviour and energetics of free ranging sharks: *New directions in movement Analysis. Fish Locomotion, An Eco-ethological Perspective*, pp. 407-435. Science Publishers, New York.

Sims, D., Southall, E., Richardson, A., Reid, P., & Metcalfe, J. (2003). Seasonal movements and behaviour of basking sharks from archival tagging: No evidence of winter hibernation. *Marine Ecology Progress Series*. 248.

Sims D.W, Southall E.J., Quayle V.A. and Fox A.M. (2000). Annual Social behaviour of basking sharks associated with coastal front areas. *Proc Biol Sci*, 267 (1455): 1897-1904.

Southall, E. J., Sims, D. W., Metcalfe, J. D., Doyle, J. I., Fanshawe, S., Lacey, C., Shrimpton, J., Solandt, J. L. and Speedie, C. D. (2005) Spatial distribution patterns of Basking Sharks on the European shelf: preliminary comparison of satellite-tag geolocation, survey and public sightings data. *Journal of the Marine Biological Association of the United Kingdom*. 85(5), 1083-1088

Southall, E., Sims, D., Witt, M. and Metcalfe, J. (2006) Seasonal space-use estimates of basking sharks in relation to protection and political–economic zones in the North-east Atlantic. *Biological Conservation*, 132, 33-39.

Stephan, E., Gadenne, H. and Jung, A. (2011). *Satellite tracking of basking sharks in the North-east Atlantic Ocean*. Association pour L'Etude et la Conservation des Sélaciens (A.P.E.C.S.) Final report.

Veríssimo, A., Sampaio, Í., McDowell, J. R., Alexandrino, P., Mucientes, G., Queiroz, N., da Silva, C., Jones, C. S. and Noble, L. R. (2017). World without borders-genetic population structure of a highly migratory marine predator, the blue shark (*Prionace glauca*). *Ecology and evolution*, 7(13), 4768-4781.

Windsor, M.L., Hutchinson, P., Hansen, L.P. and Reddin, D.G. (2012). *Atlantic salmon at sea: Findings from recent research and their implications for management*. NASCO document CNL (12)60. Edinburgh, UK. 20pp.

Witt, M., Hardy, T., Johnson, L., McClellan, C., Pikesley, S., Ranger, S., Richardson, P., Solandt, J.L., Speedie, C. and Williams, R. (2012). Basking sharks in the northeast Atlantic: Spatio-temporal trends from sightings in UK waters. *Marine Ecology Progress Series*. 459. 121-134.




Figure 1: a: Post deployment GoPro Hero video snapshot of the Wildlife Computers SPOT tag with CATS body (circled) attached to a 5-6m female basking shark *Cetorhinus maximus* off Malin head Donegal, Ireland. b: A snapshot from Eric Savetsky's video of the damaged tag body (circled) on the shark 993 days later at Nauset Beach, Massachusetts, USA. c: A map illustrating the straight line displacement of 4632km (dotted green line) from the sharks last transmitted position off Barra, Scotland to the resight location at Nauset Beach, Massachusetts, USA (Red star) and inset d: The sharks track north from its initial deployment location at Malin head, Ireland (Red circle) to Barra, Scotland and the location of the last transmission (Red triangle).