**QUEEN'S
UNIVERSITY
BELFAST**

Chromospheric UV bursts and turbulent driven magnetic reconnection

Wu, P. (2019). Chromospheric UV bursts and turbulent driven magnetic reconnection. *Astrophysical Journal*.
<https://doi.org/10.3847/1538-4357/ab4a06>

Published in:
Astrophysical Journal

Document Version:
Publisher's PDF, also known as Version of record

Queen's University Belfast - Research Portal:
[Link to publication record in Queen's University Belfast Research Portal](#)

Publisher rights
Copyright 2019. The American Astronomical Society. All rights reserved.
This work is made available online in accordance with the publisher's policies. Please refer to any applicable terms of use of the publisher.

General rights
Copyright for the publications made accessible via the Queen's University Belfast Research Portal is retained by the author(s) and / or other copyright owners and it is a condition of accessing these publications that users recognise and abide by the legal requirements associated with these rights.

Take down policy
The Research Portal is Queen's institutional repository that provides access to Queen's research output. Every effort has been made to ensure that content in the Research Portal does not infringe any person's rights, or applicable UK laws. If you discover content in the Research Portal that you believe breaches copyright or violates any law, please contact openaccess@qub.ac.uk.

Chromospheric UV Bursts and Turbulent-driven Magnetic Reconnection

Pin Wu

School of Mathematics and Physics Queen's University, Belfast Belfast, BT7 1NN, UK; nanopenny@gmail.com

Max-Planck-Institut für Kernphysik, Saupfercheckweg 1 Heidelberg, D-69117, Germany

Received 2019 August 26; revised 2019 September 29; accepted 2019 September 30; published 2019 November 12

Abstract

We use *Interface Region Imaging Spectrograph* (IRIS) spacecraft data to study a group of Chromospheric ultraviolet bursts (UVBs) associated with an active region. We classify the UVBs into two types: smaller ones that can only be measured once by the scanning slit, and larger UVBs that are measured twice by the slit. The UVBs' optically thin Si IV 1402.77 Å line profiles are studied intensively. By fitting the smaller UVBs' lines with 1–2 Gaussians, we obtain a variety of line-of-sight flow measurements that hint various 3D orientations of small-scale magnetic reconnections, each associated with a UVB. The larger UVBs are, however, unique in a way that they each have two sets of measurements at two slit locations. This makes it possible to unambiguously detect two oppositely directed heated flows jetting out of a single UVB, a signature of magnetic reconnection operating at the heart of the UVB. Here, we report on the first of such an observation. Additionally, all the optically thin Si IV 1402.77 Å line profiles from those UVBs consistently demonstrate excessive broadening, an order of magnitude larger than would be expected from thermal broadening, suggesting that those small-scale reconnections could be driven by large scale (macroscale) turbulence in the active region.

Unified Astronomy Thesaurus concepts: [Solar magnetic reconnection \(1504\)](#); [Interplanetary turbulence \(830\)](#); [Solar ultraviolet emission \(1533\)](#); [Solar chromospheric heating \(1987\)](#)

1. Introduction

Solar ultraviolet bursts (UVBs) are intense, short-lived, and compact brightening events at $\sim 10^5$ K first observed Peter et al. (2014), by the *Interface Region Imaging Spectrograph* (IRIS) launched in 2013 (De Pontieu et al. 2014). Providing a link between photospheric and chromospheric magnetic activities and the heating of the corona, UVBs have since been an active research subject in the field of the lower solar atmosphere remote sensing and have recently been reviewed by Young et al. (2018). Although it is difficult to identify magnetic reconnection directly through remote sensing, several papers have attributed UV bursts to small-scale magnetic reconnection events occurring at photospheric and/or chromospheric heights (e.g., Hansteen et al. 2017; Nobrega-Siverio et al. 2017; Rouppe van der Voort et al. 2017; Young et al. 2018) as well as plasmoid formations (e.g., Innes et al. 2015) through careful examinations and simulations.

UVBs are of active regions origins (Young et al. 2018). An active region on the Sun is a region where the Sun's magnetic field is significantly disturbed. Dark sunspots are visual indicators of active regions. The strong magnetic disturbance in active regions can drive large macroscale turbulence, which evolves and decays as it is convected outward from the Sun with the solar wind flow. Statistical theories of turbulent relaxation predicts that the fluid will relax to spatially nonuniform states, which manifest itself as intermittent coherent structures where most of the dynamic energy dissipates into heat. In a kinetic plasma, this process unavoidably leads to the formation of reconnecting current sheets, as seen in magnetohydrodynamics (e.g., Matthaeus & Lamkin 1986; Servidio et al. 2012) and fully electromagnetic particle-in-cell (PIC) simulations (e.g., Wu et al. 2013b)¹ of decaying turbulence initiated with large scale broadband

random phase fluctuations. Turbulent-driven reconnection was also observed in the solar wind (e.g., Retino et al. 2007). On the other hand, microscale turbulence can develop within reconnection and mediate reconnection rate, as initially suggested by Lazarian & Vishniac (1999), seen in PIC simulations (e.g., Dahlin et al. 2017; Daughton et al. 2017), and observed by the *Magnetospheric Multiscale* mission (Vörös et al. 2017).

Observationally, UVBs share similar phenomena with photospheric Ellerman Bombs (EBs; Ellerman 1917) of the H_α line in the optical range, which are often attributed to reconnection (Georgoulis et al. 2002). Correlation of EBs and UVBs are found (Kim et al. 2015; Vissers et al. 2015; Tian et al. 2016) and it is likely that the underlying physics of EBs and UVBs are related. However, UVBs and EBs are not necessarily always cospatial with one another Tian et al. (2016), probably because of the solar rotation and the solar wind convection from EBs height (~ 1000 km) in the photosphere to UVBs height in the chromosphere and the transition region (TR).

Another observationally transient feature that is similar to UVBs is the miniflare. Although, flares are located in the corona and will have loop emission in the 94 and 131 Å channels (Young et al. 2018) of the *Solar Dynamics Observatory* mission's Atmospheric Imaging Assembly instrument, unlike the UVBs.

In this paper, we study a group of an active region's UVBs (2017 September 3 15:44–15:50) in the chromosphere and the TR. Spectral line profiles of the optically thin Si IV 1402.77 Å lines show that the UVBs are associated with various flow velocities, revealing that reconnections operate in various directions in 3D. We would like to highlight our first unambiguous detection, through two measurements of the same UVB at two slit positions, of two oppositely directed heated flows jetting out of a single UVB, a signature of magnetic reconnection operating at the heart of the UVB.

¹ A simulation movie from Wu et al. (2013b) can be found on <https://youtu.be/DAiLhKrF37o>.

Figure 1. Panels (a)–(f): time series of *IRIS* SJI 1400 Å logarithmic intensity (DN/s). All panels are normalized between 0.1 and 1000 DN/s, with black being the lowest intensity and white being the highest intensity. The preceding/next three slit positions for each plot are marked with dotted lines. The contours mark the identified UVBs where the intensity is a factor of 24 above the image median. We enumerate the UVBs that have been measured by the slit. Each UVB’s number is mark on top of itself.

The excessive nonthermal broadenings of the optically thin Si IV line profiles suggest that the UVB-related small-scale reconnections are driven by turbulence in the entire active region, where small-scale reconnecting current sheets are spontaneously and intermittently forming and evolving in a nonlinear manner. These fine scale reconnection current sheets are locally enhanced heating/dissipation sites Wu et al. (2013a), as well as sources of local reconnection outflows.

2. Methods, Results, and Discussions

The *IRIS* mission has one instrument, an ultraviolet (UV) imaging spectrometer. The spectrometer makes high spatial and temporal resolution observations of selected regions of the solar chromosphere, the layer of the solar atmosphere just above the photosphere at ~ 4000 K, and the TR, the region between the chromosphere and the corona where the temperature rises drastically. The observations are made in two channels: the far-UV (FUV; from 1332 to 1407 Å) and the near-UV (NUV; from 2783 to 2835 Å). The spectrometer’s spatial resolution is $0.33''$ (~ 240 km) and its wavelength resolution is 0.026 Å in the FUV range studied in this paper. There are two sets of observations: the slit-jaw imager (SJI) images and the raster spectrogram (SG) data sets (De Pontieu et al. 2014).

We identify UVBs from an active region using the *IRIS* SJI 1400 Å images and following the criteria outlined in Young et al. (2018). The examined period is from 15:44:48.230 to 15:50:27.800 UT on 2017 September 3, as shown in Figure 1 Panels (a)–(f) time series. For this observation period, the SJI images were taken every 68 s; however, the slit made spectrogram (SG) measurements as it scanned through the observed region every $68/4 = 17$ s. Therefore, for each SJI image at an observed time, the slit position is plotted in black solid line and the preceding/next three slit positions are plotted with dotted lines. We subsequently define a slit position notation used throughout this paper for convenience. Let “ $a \pm 1$ (2,3)” denotes the slit position marked by the 1st (2nd, 3rd) dotted line to the right/left of the slit position in Figure 1 Panel (a). We identify UVBs using contours that encircle areas with intensities at a factor of 24 above the image median, as classified by a recent review (Young et al. 2018). We are able to analyze a UVB’s spectral line profiles if the slit ever made a measurement upon passing the UVB. In Table 1, we enumerate such measurable UVBs and their slit positions. Due to their small sizes, most UVBs were only measured once by the scanning slit. We will call those UVBs smaller UVBs throughout the text. On the contrary, the slightly larger UVBs 4, 8, and 12, were measured twice by the scanning slit, first at their left edges and then at their right edges 17 s afterwards. We

Table 1
UVBs with Spectrogram (SG) Measurements

UVB Number	SG Data Time (hh:mm:ss)	Slit Position ^a	Solar-Y ^b (arcsec)
1	15:45:22.410	a+2/b-2	-269.3
2	15:46:13.460	b+1/c-3	-261.6
3	15:46:30.330	b+2/c-2	-253.0
4	15:46:47.310	b+3	-264.4
	15:47:04.160	c	-264.8
5	15:47:04.160	c	-272.3
6	15:47:04.160	c	-292.5
7	15:47:38.210	c+2	-265.6
8	15:48:12.030	e-3	-268.1
	15:48:29.310	e-2	-268.3
9	15:48:46.180	d+2/e-2	-297.2
10	15:48:46.180	d+2/e-2	-320.3
11	15:49:19.970	d+3/e-1	-233.0
12	15:49:37.210	e+1	-225.0
	15:49:54.050	e+2	-220.0
13	15:50:11.020	e+3/f-1	-229.2

Notes. If more than one UVBs are observed at a time on the slit, we enumerate them from the top to the bottom along the slit.

^a We refer to Figure 1 SJI image panels (a)–(f) to denote slit position in this table. If there is no corresponding SJI image at a SG data time, the preceding/next SJI image is referred to. The slit position is subsequently noted as the $\pm(1-3)$ slit position of that SJI image. For example, “a+2” means that the corresponding slit position is along the second dotted line to the right of the slit position in Figure 1(a), and “b-2” means that the corresponding slit position is along the second dotted line to the left of the slit in Figure 1(b). Note that “a+2” and “b-2” mark the same slit position, likewise “b+1” and “c-3” also mark the same slit position.

^b Each UVB’s position along Solar-Y is taken from the center (highest intensity) of the UVB as measured by the slit.

will call those UVBs larger UVBs (larger than $\sim 2''$, or ~ 1442 km) throughout the text. A close examination of Figure 1 discloses that all UVBs evolve with time and most UVBs last for several minutes. In particular, we note that UVB 8, when viewed in Figure 1(e), appears to be one larger UVB, while when it is viewed in Figure 1(d) 68 s earlier, it appears to be two closely related but seemingly separate UVBs. UVB 7 appear to last for the shortest time: slightly more than one minute.

Figure 2 is an overview of UVB 1’s optically thin Si IV 1393.78/1402.77 Å lines. Note that the Si IV 1394 Å/1402 Å ratio can be used to test whether the ion is formed under optically thin or thick conditions. A ratio close to 2, which is the case for the UVBs studied in this paper, is compatible with the lines being optically thin (e.g., Peter et al. 2014; Yan et al. 2015). However, optically thick lines can still have a ratio of about 2, so one cannot use the line ratio to conclusively state that the lines are optically thin. Panels (a) and (b) show that the UVB has remarkably intensive brightening and broadening of the Si IV lines, being orders of magnitude brighter in spectral radiance and significantly wider in wavelengths than the background Si IV emissions. To form the Si IV line, one needs a temperature of at least $\sim 80,000$ K (Peter et al. 2014) or higher. The strong brightening of this line indicate strong heating of the UVB region plasmas to $\sim 10^5$ K. Note that there is a significant absorption line (Ni II 1393.33 Å) on the left wing of the 1393.78 Å line, as seen before (e.g., Young et al. 2018). Sometimes small instrument effects may cause non-physical shift in lines, the Ni II 1393.33 Å line is useful in a way that it

Table 2
Multiple-Gaussian Fit for the Si IV 1402.77 Å line of Smaller UVBs

UVB Number	Number of Gaussians	LOS Velocity (km s ⁻¹) ^a	Velocity Broadening (km s ⁻¹)	Annotation ^b
1	2	58.1 11.8	± 46.6 ± 85.6	
2	1	-8.1	± 54.6	
3	2	76.0 -88.3	± 81.6 ± 100.0	Absorption
5	2	72.6 1.7	± 19.9 ± 53.6	
6	1	-5.8	± 51.8	Emission
7	1	4.1	± 72.9	Emission
9	1	29.8	± 82.9	
10	2	24.4 -8.2	± 65.9 ± 11.3	
11	2	15.0 11.5	± 53.0 ± 14.0	
13	2	60.6 -33.5	± 33.5 ± 37.8	Absorption

Notes.

^a We use positive speed to denote flows with blueshifted spectra and negative speed to denote flows with redshifted spectra.

^b We mark the UVBs that have obvious absorption or emission at the unshifted Si IV 1402.77 Å line center.

provides a calibration reference point. We perform a two-Gaussian fit to the unblended 1402.77 Å line which is not affected by the Ni II absorption. As seen in panel (c), both Gaussians are blueshifted, to 1402.50 and 1402.71 Å respectively. These correspond to two jets of flows along the line of sight (LOS) at the bulk speeds of 58.12 km s⁻¹ and 11.84 km s⁻¹, respectively. The standard deviations of the two Gaussians are 0.19 and 0.40 Å respectively, which correspond to velocity broadening of $v_{\text{BD}} = \pm 41.61$ km s⁻¹ and ± 85.59 km s⁻¹ respectively. Note that thermal broadening of Si IV at a temperature of $\sim 10^5$ K is just about $v_{\text{th,BD}} = \pm 4$ km s⁻¹ (calculated from the thermal velocity along the LOS $v_{\text{th,LOS}} = (2kT/m_{\text{Si}})^{1/2} \simeq 8$ km s⁻¹). The excessive broadening of line profile observed here, so called nonthermal broadening $v_{\text{nt,BD}} = (v_{\text{BD}}^2 - v_{\text{th,BD}}^2)^{1/2} \simeq v_{\text{BD}}$ (as $v_{\text{BD}} \gg v_{\text{th,BD}}$), is more than 10 times of pure thermal broadening. Even if the left-hand side component of Figure 2(c) is made up of two components, the widest component will still be more than half of the width of it, which is still more than ~ 5 times wider than pure thermal broadening. This is an indication of macroscale turbulent motions, as Jeffrey et al. (2018) points out, opacity and pressure broadening are negligible in optically thin lines such as the Si IV lines here.

Plots (not shown) from the other UVBs show the same trend of enhanced brightening and broadening of the Si IV lines as seen in Figure 2 for UVB 1. The spectral intensity enhancement in those profiles indicate that these regions all have temperatures $> 10^5$ K. We can fit all smaller UVBs’ Si IV 1402.77 Å lines with a multiple-Gaussian fitting routine in a manner similar to the one described above. The Doppler shifts from these fits provide the LOS velocities of the flows at these bursts. The results are listed in Table 2. All smaller UVBs can be fitted with 1–2 broadened Gaussians. Particularly, each of UVB 3, 10, and 13 can be fitted with 2 Gaussians implying two

Figure 2. (a) The Si IV spectral radiance of UVB 1. The dotted white line marks the UVB center (Solar-Y = 269.25742) as measured by the slit. The corresponding line profile is plotted in red (b). The white line in (a) marks the location (at Solar-Y = -313.50652 in this case) of a background measurement of the Si IV lines for comparison. This background emission is plotted in (b) in black. (c) Two-Gaussian fit for the UVB 1402.77 Å line (red). The two Gaussians are plotted in dotted black lines. Their sum is plotted in the solid black line. The centers of the two Gaussians are marked by two vertical dotted black lines at 1402.50 and 1402.71 Å, respectively.

Table 3
Multiple-Gaussian Fit for the Si IV 1402.77 Å line of the Larger UVBs

UVB Number	Slit Position	Number of Gaussians	LOS Velocity (km s ⁻¹)	Velocity Broadening (km s ⁻¹)	Annotation
4	b+3	2	71.6	±89.5	Emission
			-98.2	±39.0	
8	c	1	21.1	±40.1	
			-53.0	±61.5	
12	e-3	1	35.3	±52.1	
			-5.8	±110.7	
	e+1	1	28.5	±59.6	

Note. This table has a similar format as Table 2. The only difference is that here each UVB has two sets of measurements, each at a separate slit position. Therefore, we include the slit position column to indicate the different observation locations.

oppositely directed flows along the LOS. This is consistent with magnetic reconnection operating at those UVBs.

Peculiarly, two UVBs (3 and 13) display apparent absorption at the unshifted Si IV 1402.77 Å line centers as also seen in the Hansteen et al. (2017) simulations, while two UVBs (6 and 7) display apparent emission at the unshifted line centers instead, as shown in Figure 3. The absorptions are not wider than thermal broadening and are unlikely to affect the overall dynamics. They could be an indication of some background cold chromosphere plasmas stacked on top of the hot UVB plasmas, which present an equivalent optically thick effect. On the contrary, the emission in UVBs 6 and 7 may be due to reduced opacity, which is a result of increased temperature (heating) according to Kramer’s opacity law (Carroll & Ostlie 1996). Interestingly enough, for UVBs 2, 6 (as seen in Figure 3(d)), 7, and 9, there are non-Gaussian line shape in the

wings and the center is more peaked. This non-Gaussianity could be due to additional moving components, or more likely, due to microscale turbulence generated by reconnection as in flare observations (e.g., Jeffrey et al. 2018). We observe that all UVBs display excessive nonthermal broadening, an order of magnitude broader than would be expected from mere thermal broadening, as a result of Doppler-shifted emissions from the macroscale turbulent flows. It is thus compelling to remark that macroscale turbulence can drive reconnections which in turn can generate microscale turbulence within the reconnection regions.

Similarly, we study the Si IV 1402.77 Å lines of the larger UVBs 4, 8, and 12 using our multiple-Gaussian fitting routine. The results are listed in Table 3. Note that here we can measure these UVBs at two slit positions, mostly near the two edges of the UVBs. Again, all large UVBs demonstrate excessive

Figure 3. (a)/(c) The Si IV line profiles of UVB 3/6 (red) and their backgrounds (black). (b) Two-Gaussian fit for the UVB 3’s 1402.77 Å line (red). The two Gaussians are plotted in dotted black lines. Their sum is plotted in the solid black line. (d) Gaussian fit (black) for UVB 6 (red). The absorption in UVB 3, as also in UVB 13 (not shown). The emission in UVB 6 are apparent, as also in UVB 7 (not shown).

broadening of line profiles as a result of macroscale turbulent fluid motions that can drive reconnections. UVBs 4 and 8 also demonstrate non-Gaussian wings that imply microscale turbulence generated by reconnection. We find that most of these line profiles can be fitted with one Gaussian. In particular, we would like to single out UVB 8 for more detailed studies. Slightly to the left of the center of Figure 1 Panel (e) and spanning slit positions e–3 and e–2, UVB 8 has an elongated shape. It stands out because its centers at both slit positions are at about the same Solar-Y location. A comparative study of the two slit positions’ measurements is shown in Figure 4. The measurement at slit position e–3 is made at 15:48:29.310 UT and plotted in Panels (a)–(c). The measurement at slit position e–2 is made at 15:48:46.180 UT and plotted in panels (d)–(f). The most striking difference between these two measurements is that one measures a redshifted line as shown in panel (c) and the other a blueshifted line as shown in Panel (f), corresponding to velocities of -53.0 km s^{-1} and 35.3 km s^{-1} along the LOS, respectively (Table 3). The two oppositely directed heated flow measured at the two sides of the UVB are remarkably consistent with the physical picture of magnetic reconnection operating at the heart of this UVB. It is probably also worthwhile to mention that in the laboratory, the Swarthmore Spheromak Experiment also measured bidirectional reconnection outflows using UV spectra (the C III 2297 Å line) (Brown et al. 2008).

We note that UVBs are also observed in Mg II and C II in the chromosphere. We chose to analyze the Si IV lines in this paper

because the other lines are optically thick and hard to interpret. They may be a topic of future investigations. We also note that the sampled UVBs, smaller or larger, display a variety of flow speeds (as in Tables 2 and 3) along the LOS, indicating that small-scale magnetic reconnection is occurring in all directions. This is consistent with a scenario in which those reconnections are driven by large macroscale turbulence (e.g., Matthaeus & Lamkin 1986; Servidio et al. 2012; Wu et al. 2013b) spanning this active region. This macroscale turbulence manifest themselves as excessive nonthermal broadening an order of magnitude larger than would be expected from mere thermal broadening. Further, 19 out of 23 (equivalent of 83%) of the turbulent broadenings indicate maximum LOS speeds (see Tables 2 and 3 velocity broadening) larger than their respective LOS reconnection outflow speeds. Therefore, we suggest that the UVB-related reconnections here are results of a macroscale turbulence driver. Finally, we would like to remark that the underpinning physics of Ellerman Bombs in the photosphere, UVBs in the chromosphere/TR, and the mini flares in the corona could be similar. The validity/invalidity of this suggestion awaits more future investigations.

3. Summary

The presence of ultraviolet bursts (UVBs) in the chromosphere and TR indicate that heating in the solar atmosphere can be sporadically intense and spatially nonuniform. Here we analyze a group of UVBs and their optically thin Si IV 1402.77 Å line profiles. The 13 UVBs we examined are within

Figure 4. UVB 4 Si IV lines. Panels (a)–(c) are measurements made at slit position e-3 at 15:48:29.310 UT. Panels (d), (e), and (f) are measurements made at slit position e-2 at 15:48:46.180 UT. Panels (a) and (d) are Si IV logarithmic spectral radiance L (erg cm⁻² s⁻¹ sr⁻¹ Å⁻¹), where dotted white lines mark the centers of UVB 4 and the white lines mark the backgrounds. Panels (b) and (e) are the UVB center line profiles (red) vs. the background line profiles (black). Panel (c) and (e) are Gaussian fits (black) for the UVB’s 1402.77 Å lines (red). The centers of the two Gaussians are marked by two vertical dotted red lines at 1403.2 and 1402.6 Å, respectively.

one active region. For the first time, we are able to measure a few larger UVBs twice at two slit positions, from which we can directly and unambiguously measure two oppositely directed heated flows out of a UVB, a strong evidence of magnetic reconnection operating underneath those bursts.

The UVB-related flows are at temperatures of $\sim 10^5$ K, orders of magnitude higher than average chromosphere temperature. Their LOS velocities are in the range of -98 to 76 km s⁻¹. The variation of the LOS flow velocities in this range indicates that the flows are multi-directional and that the underlying reconnection processes are also multi-directional in 3D. Such a group of multiple reconnections could be driven by large scale turbulence of the size of the active region in a manner described as turbulent-driven reconnection (e.g., Matthaeus & Lamkin 1986; Servidio et al. 2012; Wu et al. 2013b). The strong magnetic disturbance of the active region provide a nature source of energy for generating this large scale turbulence. Another strong evidence of macroscale turbulence is the excessive broadening (ranging from ± 11.3 to ± 110.7 km s⁻¹) of the Si IV 1402.77 Å line profiles in all UVBs, about an order of magnitude larger than would be

expected from mere thermal broadening. Moreover, most (83%) of the inferred LOS turbulent flow speeds are larger than the related LOS reconnection outflow speeds, indicating that the turbulent driver is on scales larger than individual reconnections. Additionally, non-Gaussian wings of line profiles are seen in 6 out of the 13 UVBs we have studied, implying the generation of microscale turbulence by reconnections. We suggest that a portion of coronal heating are related to the consequential accumulation of heat due to macroscale turbulent-driven small-scale magnetic reconnection that also create the observed UVBs. Such a viewpoint is in accord with the Parker (1988) vision of corona heating.

IRIS is a NASA small explorer mission developed and operated by LMSAL with mission operations executed at NASA Ames Research center and major contributions to downlink communications funded by ESA and the Norwegian Space Centre. The *IRIS* data are publicly available from the Lockheed Martin Solar and Astrophysics Laboratory (LMSAL) website (<http://iris.lmsal.com/>). The open-source SolarSoft code package (<http://www.lmsal.com/solarsoft/>) is used for

the initial data processing. P.W. acknowledges the Science and Technology Facilities Council (STFC) and the Daphne Jackson Trust. P.W. thanks Professor Mihalis Mathioudakis and the anonymous referee for comments; Professor David Riley and Professor Marco Borghesi for their support; and Dr Arron Reid, Dr. Peter Young, Dr. Marc DeRosa, and Dr. Xudong Sun for discussions on data acquisition and processing. P.W. also thanks the Kavli Institute for Theoretical Physics (KITP) for hosting her visit to the “Multiscale Phenomena in Plasma Astrophysics” program as well as funding her family’s travel and child care, during which time she submitted and revised this paper.

References

- Brown, M. R., Cothran, C. D., Cohen, D. H., Horwitz, J., & Chaplin, V. 2008, *JFuE*, **27**, 16
- Carroll, B. W., & Ostlie, D. A. 1996, *An Introduction to Modern Astrophysics* (Boston, MA: Addison Wesley)
- Dahlin, J. T., Drake, J. F., & Swisdak, M. 2017, *PhPI*, **24**, 092110
- Daughton, W., Roytershteyn, V., Karimabadi, H., et al. 2017, *PhPI*, **24**, 092110
- De Pontieu, B., Title, A. M., Lemen, J. R., et al. 2014, *SoPh*, **289**, 2733
- Ellerman, F. 1917, *ApJ*, **46**, 298
- Georgoulis, M. K., Rust, D. M., Bernasconi, P. N., & Schmieder, B. 2002, *ApJ*, **575**, 506
- Hansteen, V. H., Archontis, V., Pereira, T. M. D., et al. 2017, *ApJ*, **839**, 22
- Innes, D. E., Guo, L.-J., Huang, Y. M., & Bhattacharjee, A. 2015, *ApJ*, **813**, 86
- Jeffrey, N. L., Fletcher, L., Labrosse, N., & Simoes, P. J. A. 2018, *SciA*, **4**, eaav2794
- Kim, Y.-H., Yurchyshyn, V., Bong, S.-C., et al. 2015, *ApJ*, **810**, 38
- Lazarian, A., & Vishniac, E. T. 1999, *ApJ*, **517**, 700
- Matthaeus, W. H., & Lamkin, S. L. 1986, *PhFI*, **29**, 2513
- Nobrega-Siverio, D., Martinez-Sykora, J., Moreno-Insertis, F., & van der Voort, L. R. 2017, *ApJ*, **850**, 153
- Parker, E. N. 1988, *ApJ*, **330**, 474
- Peter, H., Tian, H., Curdt, W., et al. 2014, *Sci*, **346**, 1255726
- Retino, A., Sundkvist, D., Vaivads, A., et al. 2007, *NatPh*, **3**, 235
- Roupe van der Voort, L., Pontieu, B. D., Scharmer, G. B., et al. 2017, *ApJL*, **851**, L6
- Servidio, S., Valentini, F., Califano, F., & Veltri, P. 2012, *PhRvL*, **108**, 045001
- Tian, H., Xu, Z., He, J., & Madsen, C. 2016, *ApJ*, **824**, 96
- Vissers, G. J. M., van der Voort, L. H. M. R., & Carlsson, M. 2015, *ApJ*, **811**, 33
- Vörös, Z., Yordanova, E., Varsani, A., et al. 2017, *JGRA*, **122**, 442
- Wu, P., Perri, S., Osman, K., et al. 2013a, *ApJL*, **763**, L30
- Wu, P., Wan, M., Matthaeus, W. H., Shay, M. A., & Swisdak, M. 2013b, *PhRvL*, **111**, 121105
- Yan, L., Peter, H., He, J., et al. 2015, *ApJ*, **811**, 48
- Young, P. R., Tian, H., Peter, H., et al. 2018, *SSRv*, **214**, 120