Worldwide estimates of incidence, prevalence and mortality of type 1 diabetes in children and adolescents: Results from the International Diabetes Federation Diabetes Atlas, 9th edition

Patterson, C. C., Karuranga, S., Salpea, P., Saeedi, P., Dahlquist, G., Soltesz, G., & Ogle, G. D. (2019). Worldwide estimates of incidence, prevalence and mortality of type 1 diabetes in children and adolescents: Results from the International Diabetes Federation Diabetes Atlas, 9th edition. *Diabetes Research and Clinical Practice*, *157*, 107842. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.diabres.2019.107842

Published in:
Diabetes Research and Clinical Practice

Document Version:
Peer reviewed version

Worldwide Estimates of Incidence, Prevalence and Mortality of Type 1 Diabetes in Children and Adolescents: Results from the International Diabetes Federation Diabetes Atlas, 9th edition.

Christopher C. Patterson[a,b], Suvi Karuranga[c], Paraskevi Salpea[c], Pouya Saeedi[c], Gisela Dahlquist[d], Gyula Soltesz[e], Graham D. Ogle[f]

[a] UKCRC Centre of Excellence for Public Health Northern Ireland, Queen's University Belfast, United Kingdom

[b] Centre for Public Health, Queen's University Belfast, United Kingdom

[c] International Diabetes Federation, Brussels, Belgium

[d] Department of Clinical Science, University of Umea, Umea, Sweden

[e] Department of Pediatrics, Pecs University, Pecs, Hungary

[f] Life for a Child Program, Diabetes NSW, Sydney, Australia

Correspondence Address: Prof Chris Patterson, (c.patterson@qub.ac.uk)
Centre for Public Health,
Queen's University Belfast,
Grosvenor Road
Belfast BT12 6BJ
United Kingdom

Abstract count: 230
Word Count: 4226
Tables: 1, Figures: 3 (plus Supplementary Information: Tables: 4, Figures: 1)
References: 32

Abbreviations

AFR – International Diabetes Federation Africa Region
EUR – International Diabetes Federation European Region
GNI – Gross national income
IDF – International Diabetes Federation
IMR – Infant mortality rate
LMIC– Lower-middle-income country
LIC– Low-income country
HIC – High-income country
MENA – International Diabetes Federation Middle East and North Africa Region
NAC – International Diabetes Federation North America and Caribbean Region
SACA – International Diabetes Federation South and Central America Region
SEA – International Diabetes Federation South East Asia Region
SMR – Standardised mortality ratio
T1D – Type 1 diabetes
UMIC – Upper-middle-income country
UN – United Nations
WHO – World Health Organization
WP – International Diabetes Federation Western Pacific Region

Abstract

Aims

This article describes the methods, results and limitations of the International Diabetes Federation (IDF) Diabetes Atlas 9th edition estimates of worldwide numbers of cases of type 1 diabetes in children and adolescents.

Methods

Most information in the published literature is in the form of incidence rates derived from registers of newly-diagnosed cases. After systematic review of the published literature and recent conference abstracts, identified studies were quality graded. If no study was available, extrapolation was used to assign a country the rate from an adjacent country with similar characteristics. Estimates of incident cases were obtained by applying incidence rates to United Nations 2019 population estimates. Estimates of prevalence cases were derived from the incidence estimates after making allowance for higher mortality rates in less-developed countries.

Results

Incidence rates were available for 45% of countries (ranging from 6% in the sub-Saharan Africa region to 77% in the European region). Worldwide annual incidence estimates were 98,200 (128,900) new cases in the under 15 year (under 20 year) age-groups. Corresponding prevalence estimates were 600,900 (1,110,100) existing cases. Compared with estimates in earlier Atlas editions, numbers have increased in most IDF regions, reflecting incidence rate increases, but prevalence estimates have decreased in the sub-Saharan Africa because allowance has been made for increased mortality in those with diabetes.

Conclusions

Worldwide estimates of numbers of children and adolescents with type 1 diabetes continue to increase.

Keywords

Type 1 diabetes, Incidence, Prevalence, Mortality, Children, Adolescents

1. Introduction

Type 1 diabetes is one of the most common endocrine and metabolic conditions in childhood. In type 1 diabetes insulin therapy is life saving and lifelong. A person with type 1 diabetes needs to follow a structured self-management plan including inulin use and blood glucose monitoring, physical activity and a healthy diet [1]. In many countries, especially in economically disadvantaged families access to self-care tools including self-management education, as well as to insulin is limited. This leads to severe disability and early death. Living with type 1 diabetes remains a challenge for the child and the whole family even in countries with access to multiple daily injections or an insulin pump, glucose monitoring, diabetes education and expert medical care. Poor metabolic control may result in the acute complications of hypoglycaemia and ketoacidosis, chronic microvascular and macrovascular complications [2, 3] and death [4].

Children are more sensitive to a lack of insulin than adults and are at higher risk of a rapid and dramatic development of diabetic ketoacidosis. Episodes of severe hypoglycaemia or ketoacidosis, especially in young children, are risk factors for structural brain abnormalities and impaired cognitive function, which may cause schooling difficulties and limit future career choices [5, 6].

Many children and adolescents find it difficult to cope emotionally with their condition. Diabetes causes them embarrassment, results in discrimination and limits social relationships. It may impact on school performance and family functioning. Many schools and nurseries are reluctant to receive children with diabetes [7].

Pharmaco-technological and psycho-social aspects of therapy are equally important. The glycaemic results achieved by an emphasis upon a pharmaco-technological paradigm are frequently disappointing in children and adolescents [8]. At the same time, manufacturers must pay more attention to patients' special needs and convenience.

The incidence of childhood onset diabetes is increasing in many countries. There are clear indications of geographic differences in trends but the overall annual increase is estimated at around 3% [9]. There is some indication that incidence is increasing more steeply in some of the low prevalence countries in Europe and also that in some high incidence European countries the increasing incidence trend is levelling off [10].

The International Diabetes Federation (IDF) Diabetes Atlas provides an authoritative source of evidence for health professionals, academics and policy-makers on the impact of diabetes [11]. Worldwide, regional and national estimates are produced for incidence and prevalence of type 1 diabetes (T1D) in children and adolescents. Prevalence estimates for children under 15 years in the 7th and previous editions of the Atlas have been based largely on available published incidence rates, with an assumption of a prevalence to incidence ratio of 6.2 made for countries with no available age-specific incidence rates [12]. However, anecdotal and published evidence suggests that the resulting prevalence figures were unrealistically high in less developed countries where lack of access to insulin and facilities for T1D management results in high case mortality [13, 14, 15].

Since the 8th edition IDF Diabetes Atlas [11] worldwide estimates of incidence and prevalence of T1D in the under 15 year and under 20 year age-groups have been produced, and more realistic figures for prevalence have been provided than in previous Atlas editions by making allowance for the higher mortality rates in those with prevalent T1D.

The objective of this article is to describe the methods developed for the 8th edition estimates of prevalent cases and to provide more detail and analysis of the incidence and prevalence estimates for the 9th edition.

2. Material and Methods

2.1. Literature Search

For the 8th edition IDF Diabetes Atlas we revised our search for population-based studies on incidence/prevalence of T1D in children and adolescents, without language restrictions and updated it again for the 9th edition. The following databases were searched: PubMed, Cochrane, Zetoc (title search of conferences), Web of Science, Embase and Medline (see Supplementary Figure 1). Studies published between January 1990 and December 2018 were included. Titles and abstracts were first screened to select articles for full-text review. Reference lists of articles were also checked for further studies. Search records were cross-referenced and duplicates removed.

Studies were graded for quality as follows:

A. Population-based studies with validated ascertainment level ≥90%

B. Studies of lesser quality for which rates could be calculated (excluding case-series and studies which were not population-based)

If more than one study was available for a country, the following criteria were applied to select the most suitable: more recent studies, covering a large part of the country, including the age ranges 0-14 and 15-19 years, providing age/sex-specific rates for 0-4, 5-9, 10-14 and 15-19 year age-groups, and quality grade A.

In several countries, two or more studies were judged equally suitable on these criteria and the results of these studies were combined by averaging age/sex-specific rates. All studies used in the 9th edition estimates for T1D in children and adolescents provided incidence rates rather than prevalence rates.

2.2. International Diabetes Federation

The IDF divides countries into seven Regions: Africa (AFR), Europe (EUR), Middle East and North Africa (MENA), North America and Caribbean (NAC), South and Central America (SACA), South-East Asia (SEA) and Western Pacific (WP). This regional division was used throughout this article.

2.3. World Bank Income Group

Countries were assigned an income group based on gross national income (GNI) per capita in 2018 as published in the June 2019 World Bank Income Classification [16]: low-income country (LIC) ≤ $1,025, lower-middle-income country (LMIC) $1,026 to $3,995, upper-middle-income country (UMIC) $3,996 to $12,735; high-income country (HIC) >$12,735.

2.4. Incidence Rates for Children

Where age- and sex-specific incidence rates were available the direct method of standardisation was used, with the standard population having equal populations in each 5-year age/sex subgroup. If age-specific rates were not provided separately for each sex then the same rates were assumed for males and females. For countries in which no published incidence figures were available, the 0-14 year standardised incidence rate from similar countries were used instead. The choice of country from which to extrapolate was based on study quality, geographical proximity, per capita- and ethnic background.

2.5. Incidence Rates for Older Adolescents

Relatively few studies had incidence data available for the 15+ year age-group, but the following ratio was calculated for each country with available data. There was commonly insufficient data for the ratio to be obtained separately for each sex.

$$Ratio = \frac{15-19\ year\ old\ incidence\ rate}{0-14\ year\ old\ incidence\ rate}$$

A representative ratio was then calculated for each of the seven IDF Regions. In several Regions only one country supplied data for the calculation of this ratio, but in Regions where more than one country supplied data the ratio was obtained by averaging. The regional ratios are displayed in Table 1. For each country, the relevant regional ratio was multiplied by the 0-14 year incidence rate to give an estimated rate for the 15-19 year age group.

2.6. Mortality in Type 1 Diabetes

A method for estimating mortality in patients with T1D in any given country was required to adjust the derivation of prevalence from incidence to allow for mortality. Morgan and colleagues [4] conducted a systematic review of mortality follow-up studies in population-based cohorts of patients with T1D diagnosed in childhood or adolescence. Most of the 13 studies they identified reported findings as a standardised mortality ratio (SMR). The number of deaths observed in the cohort was compared with the number of deaths expected given the cohort age-structure on the assumption that the cohort experienced national mortality rates during follow-up.

$$SMR = \frac{observed\ deaths}{expected\ deaths} * 100$$

The SMR describes how many times more likely someone with T1D is to die compared to someone in the general population.

Using a negative binomial regression, Morgan and colleagues [4] fitted the following relationship between SMR and a country's infant mortality rate (IMR).

$$SMR = 1.6532 * 1.0688^{IMR}$$

IMR figures were obtained from the World Health Organization (WHO) Global Health Observatory data repository [17]. For countries not included in the repository, the Central Intelligence Agency World Factbook [18] or IndexMundi [19] were used.

2.7. Numbers of Incident and Prevalent Cases

The number of incident (new) cases per year was estimated for each country by multiplying the United Nations population estimates for 2019 [20] in each of eight age/sex subgroups (males or females aged 0–4, 5–9, 10–14 or 15-19 years) by the corresponding incidence rate.

The number of prevalent (existing) cases was calculated by incrementally cumulating incident cases by year of age having subtracted the number of deaths predicted annually from the fitted SMR used as a multiplier on the national mortality rates. The method is described fully in the Supplementary text.

Numbers of incident and prevalent cases for each country were then summed by IDF Region and by World Bank income group to give regional and global numbers of incident and prevalent T1D cases in the relevant age groups (0-14 years or 0-19 years).

3. Results

3.1. Search summary

The 67 studies used in the analysis provided data for incidence rates in 94 countries (Supplementary Table 2). The table shows the geographical coverage, time period, age range, number of cases, estimated completeness of ascertainment and a quality category for each study. Figure 1 shows a map of these directly standardised rates for 0-14 year olds, with countries shaded according to their rate. The extrapolation of rates to countries without incidence data (the unshaded countries in Figure 1) is summarised in Supplementary Table 3.

Figure 1 Map of age-sex standardised incidence rates (per 100,000) from publications of type 1 diabetes in children aged under 15 years



3.2. Incidence Rates for Older Adolescents

Of the selected publications, only 19 had data on incidence rates of T1D aged 15 or older. This was too small a number of studies for meaningful extrapolation to neighbouring countries (the approach used in the 0-14 year age-group). Instead, 28 publications were selected to contribute towards ratio estimates for each IDF Region. Sixteen of these studies were from the EUR Region, three from the AFR Region, one from SACA Region and two from each of the remaining four Regions. Table 1 shows the ratios obtained for each Region calculated as the average of ratios for studies from the Region with available data. The ratio of 7.0 for the AFR Region was considerably larger than the ratio for any other Region, indicative of a markedly higher incidence in the 15-19 age-group relative to the 0-14 age-group in this Region.

3.3. Worldwide estimates of Type 1 Diabetes

Table 1 also summarises, by IDF Region, the number and percentage of countries with incidence/prevalence data available, the total population and estimates of both incident and prevalent type 1 diabetes cases for both the 0-14 year and 0-19 year age-groups. It is estimated that 98,200 children under 15 years develop T1D worldwide annually, with this figure increasing to nearly 128,900 under 20 years. There are an estimated 600,900 children under 15 years living with type 1 diabetes worldwide, with this figure almost doubling to 1,110,100 for under 20 years.

Table 1 Estimated incident (newly-diagnosed) cases of type 1 diabetes per annum and prevalent (existing) cases after adjustment for mortality for 0-14 years and 0-19 years by IDF Region

Region	Number of Countries with Incidence rates available (%)	Total Population (1,000s)		Ratio of incidence rates in 15-19 year olds compared to 0-14 year olds	Incident Cases per annum (1,000s)		Prevalent Cases (1,000s)	
		0-14 year	0-19 year		0-14 year	0-19 year	0-14 year	0-19 year
AFR	3/47 (6%)	455,072	570,177	7.0	4.3	10.3	9.4	25.8
EUR	44/57 (77%)	166,664	217,968	0.7	25.1	31.1	162.6	296.5
MENA	12/21 (57%)	237,086	301,469	1.7	14.4	20.8	82.9	149.4
NAC	8/24 (33%)	107,354	143,931	0.5	18.7	21.9	121.4	224.9
SACA	12/19 (63%)	119,459	160,798	0.7	9.9	12.3	68.4	127.2
SEA	4/7 (57%)	429,779	576,715	1.2	17.1	21.3	101.7	184.1
WP	11/36 (31%)	462,520	611,028	1.1	8.8	11.2	54.4	102.2
World	**94/211 (45%)**	**1,977,936**	**2,582,088**		**98.2**	**128.9**	**600.9**	**1,110.1**

3.4. Regional estimates of type 1 diabetes

In addition to estimates of incident and prevalent cases by IDF Region presented in Table 1, Figure 2 additionally includes estimated annual numbers of deaths among those with T1D. Over a quarter of the prevalent cases in both age groups are in the EUR Region. The second largest in both age groups is the NAC Region, contributing a further 20% to the global total prevalent cases. The AFR Region contributes the smallest portion of around 2% in both age groups, despite having the second largest population. The WP Region has the largest population in both age groups but contributed only approximately 9% of prevalent cases in each age group. Supplementary Table 4 shows, by IDF Region, each country's estimate of incident and prevalent cases for age-groups 0-14 years and 0-19 years.

3.4.1. Africa Region

Supplementary Table 2 shows that 6% of countries (three out of 47) in the AFR Region had incidence data for the 0-14 year age-group available, by far the lowest percentage of all the Regions. Although only one of the three was assigned to quality category A, all three did also provide data for the 15-19 year age-group. Thus, extrapolation was necessary for most countries in this Region. As can be seen from Supplementary Table 3, studies from both inside the AFR Region (Ethiopia, Rwanda and Tanzania) and from other Regions (Sudan and Mauritius) were used. Despite its low incidence rate, the AFR Region stands out in terms of the numbers of estimated deaths in those with T1D, in both age groups (Figure 2). This is largely a reflection of the high infant mortality rates in the Region resulting in large predicted standardised mortality ratios.

3.4.2. Europe Region

The highest coverage of studies with good quality data is found in the EUR Region, with over three quarters of countries reporting incidence rates for type 1 diabetes and 60% of the publications classified as quality grade A. Most countries without incidence data have small populations. This Region also had 16 publications covering the 15-19 year age group, the largest number for any Region. Estimates for the EUR Region are therefore likely to be the most reliable of all the Regions. The Nordic countries of Finland, Sweden and Norway are in the top five of countries worldwide ranked by incidence rate in the 0-14 year age group, and the United Kingdom, Ireland and Denmark also appear in the top 10. The United Kingdom

(3,500) and the Russian Federation (3,200) have the largest numbers of new cases annually in the 0-14 year age-group in the Region. While this Region has the highest incidence rates and largest numbers of incident and prevalent cases, there are few deaths among those with T1D.

3.4.3. Middle East and North Africa Region

In contrast to the neighbouring AFR Region, the MENA Region has incidence data covering over half the countries in the Region. It has three countries, Kuwait, Saudi Arabia and Qatar, in the top 10 ranked by incidence rate in the 0-14 year age group. The highest number of new cases each year in this age-group are for Algeria (3,100), Saudi Arabia (2,500) and Morocco (2,400). The MENA Region has the third largest estimated number of deaths in those with T1D.

3.4.4 North America and Caribbean Region

Although studies were available for only eight out of the 24 countries in the NACA Region, most of the countries without published incidence rates are small, and together represent only 5% of the population in the Region. The United States ranks in second position worldwide for annual number of incident cases in the 0-14 age groups (14,700) accounting for almost 79% of the incident cases in the Region with Mexico (2,100) and Canada (1,800) accounting for most of the remainder. Despite the NAC Region having the second largest number of cases of all the Regions it had the smallest number of estimated deaths in those with T1D.

3.4.5 South and Central America Region

Published rates were available in the literature for 12 (63%) of the 19 countries in the SACA Region making it second only to the EUR Region for the availability of incidence data. Historically, this Region has reported low incidence rates, but a recent article shows a marked increase in rates in Brazil [21], which has by far the largest annual number of incident cases (7,300 for the 0-14 year age-group), making up almost three quarters of the total for the Region. The estimated number of deaths in those with T1D in the SACA Region is small.

3.4.6 South East Asia Region

Four of the seven countries in the SEA Region had incidence data all quality grade B (India, Bangladesh, Maldives, Mauritius), and only Bangladesh had data on the 15-19 year age-group. Indian incidence rates are based in just one area and may therefore not reflect the diversity of the country, so estimates should be treated with caution. India has by far the largest population and so dominates the estimated case numbers in this Region with 15,900 incident cases in the 0-14 year age group, 93% of the total for the SEA Region. Worldwide, India ranks first in the countries of the world, for number of incident cases in the 0-14 and 0-19 year age groups. The SEA Region was notable for the large estimated numbers of deaths in those with T1D.

3.4.7 Western Pacific Region

Incidence rates data were available for 11 (31%) of the 36 countries in the WP Region, the second lowest of all the Regions. Apart from Australia and New Zealand, the rest of the countries in the Region tend to have low incidence rates. China was used to calculate the ratio between 15-19 year old and 0-14 year old incidence rates as it was the only country with data available in the older age-group. As Australia and New Zealand have large populations of European descent, the EUR Region ratio was used for these two countries. China dominates the figures for the Region accounting for over 50% of the population in the 0-14 year age-group and, with 4,800 incident cases per year, contributes 55% of the estimated new cases in that age-group. Estimated numbers of deaths in those with T1D in the WP Region are small.



Figure 2 Comparison of type 1 diabetes incident and prevalent cases and deaths by IDF Region for 0-14 year and 0-19 year age-groups

3.5 World Bank Income Group Estimates

Figure 3 shows the distribution of incident and prevalent cases and estimated annual numbers of deaths in countries aggregated by World Bank income groups. The number of incident and prevalent cases is largest in the high-income countries even though these countries only include about 10% of the world population in both the 0-14 and 0-19 year age-groups. The lower-middle and upper-middle-income countries have roughly similar numbers of incident cases despite the former having a much larger percentage of the population (47%) than the latter (28%). However, the numbers of prevalent cases are notably smaller in the lower-middle-income countries reflecting the higher predicted mortality. The low-income countries, which account for 15% of the world population in these age-groups, had by far the smallest number of incident and prevalent cases. Most of the total estimated numbers of deaths in children and adolescents with T1D were in the low-income and lower-middle-income countries.



Figure 3 Comparison of Type 1 Diabetes incident and prevalent cases and deaths by World Bank Income Classification for 0-14 year and 0-19 year age-groups (LIC – low-income countries, LMIC – lower-middle-income countries, UMIC – upper-middle-income countries, HIC – high-income countries)

4 Discussion

Nationwide, population-based prospective registries provide the best data on the incidence of T1D in childhood and adolescence diabetes, particularly if high ascertainment rates are maintained, but such studies are typically only conducted in well-resourced countries. Smaller studies can show large year to year fluctuations in T1D incidence and, where available, several years of data were used to obtain more reliable estimates. Given the widely-reported increasing T1D incidence rates in childhood, the use of data published prior to 1990 has been discontinued in the 9th edition estimates and the most up-to-date data available for each country has been used, but many of the sources in Supplementary Table 2 give rates which relate only to the 1990s. No attempt has been made to adjust these rates to reflect increases in incidence in intervening years, and neither have adjustments been made to inflate rates from registers which are known to have incomplete ascertainment. The expense of maintaining high-quality registers is considerable, and in the future it seems likely that alternative sources of incidence rate estimates will be obtained from computerised clinical information systems and prescription or health insurance databases.

As well as the use of extrapolation of incidence from a country to it neighbours, which was particularly common in AFR Region where so few countries supplied data, the use of rates from regional studies to represent whole countries is an obvious weakness, especially in countries with heterogeneous and ethnically-diverse populations. Again, the availability of more publications with national coverage, particularly from less developed countries, would be the most satisfactory solution to this concern.

In the previous 8th edition of the IDF Diabetes Atlas, for the first time, attempts were made to adjust the method of obtaining prevalence from incidence to take account of the well-known excess mortality in children and adolescents with T1D. An important consequence of this is that prevalence estimates for children and adolescents are no longer comparable with those provided in earlier editions of the Atlas, the lack of comparability affecting particularly the less-developed countries where survival of those with T1D is poorest. The method that was used can be criticised on the basis that it requires considerable extrapolation of a relationship between excess mortality in those with T1D (as measured by the SMR) and infant mortality rate that was derived mainly from data in developed counties [4]. As more studies of mortality follow-up are published it may be possible to refine this relationship but it seems likely that the criticism will continue to be relevant until more high-quality mortality studies are published from lower-income countries.

It has been argued that infant mortality is dominated by neonatal mortality and the numbers of deaths in the first year of file are not falling at the same rates as compared to children aged 1-5 years [22, 23]. Therefore the derivation of the formula for SMR (section 2.8) and prevalence calculations were repeated using UNICEF under-5 year mortality rates [24] instead of infant mortality rates. The change in the total prevalent cases in the 0-14 or 0-19 year age groups was minimal in most Regions but prevalence figures for the AFR Region were reduced in both age groups.

The scarcity and poor quality of data means that estimates for the AFR Region in particular should be treated with some caution. In lower income countries, and in sub-Saharan Africa in particular, reported incidence rates may be higher than perceived in reality because of cases being missed and dying without a diagnosis of T1D ever being made [25, 26]. Also some of the cases reported as type 1 may actually be another form of diabetes (type 2, atypical diabetes, or malnutrition-related diabetes) [15, 27]. Unsurprisingly, the inclusion of the correction to adjust for mortality (introduced in the 8th edition estimates) has resulted in a reduction in the numbers of prevalent cases in the AFR Region, but the numbers of incident cases in the AFR Region have also reduced compared with those of previous editions because of the replacement of some older, less-reliable studies with more recent ones. The calculated ratio of

incidence rates in the 15-19 year age group to the 0-14 year age-group was notably higher in each of the three countries in the AFR Region that provided data than in other countries, perhaps indicative of a different pattern of incidence by age-group. Further data from this Region should help to refine this ratio.

Furthermore, there is evidence from Tanzania [13], Mali [14] and Rwanda [15] that numbers of known children and adolescents with T1D can rise quickly with interventions by local diabetes centres supported with insulin, test strips and other supplies by international aid programs such as Life for a Child [28] and Changing Diabetes in Children [29].

The analysis by the World Bank income groups in Figure 3 shows most starkly the disparity across the countries of the world in the distribution between the incidence of T1D and its associated mortality. Although the majority of T1D cases occur in the high-income and upper-middle-income countries, the majority of deaths are in the low-income and lower-middle-income countries. This key finding reinforces the need for improved access to insulin and blood glucose meters and test strips in lower income countries [30, 31] and the training of healthcare workers in such countries to recognise and treat this condition. Three tiers of care (minimal, intermediate and comprehensive) have been defined by availability of insulin and blood glucose monitoring regimens, requirements for HbA1c testing, complications screening, diabetes education, and multidisciplinary care [32], and it is to be hoped that policy-makers will aspire to attain the highest levels of care possible given the resources available.

Acknowledgments

The authors thank Jeannette Aldworth for her contribution to implementing in Excel the calculations for the prevalence figures in this article.

Funding

Jeannette Aldworth's was supported by the Centre of Excellence for Public Health (Northern Ireland) a UKCRC Public Health Research Centre of Excellence and by the Northern Ireland Department of Employment and Learning.

References

[1] Chiang JL, Maahs DM, Garvey KC, Hood KK, Laffel LM, Weinzimer SA et al. Type 1 diabetes in children and adolescents: a position statement by the American Diabetes Association. Diabetes Care 2018;41(9):2026–44.

[2] Barrett EJ, Liu Z, Khamaisi M, King GL, Klein R, Klein BEK et al. Diabetic microvascular disease: an Endocrine Society scientific statement J Clin Endocrinol Metab. 2017;102(12):4343–410.

[3] Bjornstad P, Donaghue KC, Maahs DM. Macrovascular disease and risk factors in youth with type 1 diabetes: time to be more attentive to treatment? Lancet Diabetes Endocrinol. 2018;6(10):809–20.

[4] Morgan E, Cardwell CR, Black CJ, McCance DR, Patterson CC. Excess mortality in Type 1 diabetes diagnosed in childhood and adolescence: a systematic review of population-based cohorts. Acta Diabetol. 2015;52(4):801–7.

[5] Ferguson SC, Blane A, Wardlaw J, Frier BM, Perros P, McCrimmon RJ, et al. Influence of an early-onset age of type 1 diabetes on cerebral structure and cognitive function. Diabetes Care 2005;28(6):1431–7.

[6] Persson S, Dahlquist G, Gerdtham U-G, Steen Carlsson K. Impact of childhood-onset type 1 diabetes on schooling: a population-based register study. Diabetologia 2013;56(6):1254–62.

[7] Delamater AM, de Wit M, McDarby V, Malik JA, Hilliard ME, Northam E, et al. ISPAD Clinical Practice Consensus Guidelines 2018: Psychological care of children and adolescents with type 1 diabetes. Pediatr Diabetes. 2018;19(Suppl. 27): 237–49.

[8] Acerini C. The rise of technology in diabetes care. Not all that is new is necessarily better. Pediatr Diabetes. 2016;17(3):168-73.

[9] DIAMOND Project Group. Incidence and trends of childhood Type 1 diabetes worldwide 1990–1999. Diabet Med 2006;23(8):857–66.

[10] Patterson CC, Harjutsalo V, Rosenbauer J, Neu A, Cinek O, Skrivarhaug T, et al. Trends and cyclical variation in the incidence of childhood type 1 diabetes in 26 European centres in the 25 year period 1989-2013: a multicentre prospective registration study. Diabetologia. 2019;62(3):408-17.

[11] International Diabetes Federation. IDF Diabetes Atlas 8th ed, Brussels, 2017 [accessed 16th April 2019]. Available from: http://www.diabetesatlas.org

[12] Patterson C, Guariguata L, Dahlquist G, Soltész G, Ogle G, Silink M. Diabetes in the young - a global view and worldwide estimates of numbers of children with type 1 diabetes. Diabetes Res Clin Pract. 2014;103(2):161-75.

[13] Muze KC, Majaliwa ES. Type 1 diabetes care updates: Tanzania. Indian J Endocrinol Metab. 2015; 19(Suppl 1): S12–S13.

[14] Pacaud D, Lemay JF, Richmond E, Besançon S, Hasnani D, Jali SM et al. Contribution of SWEET to improve paediatric diabetes care in developing countries. Pediatr Diabetes 2016; 17 (Suppl 23): 46-52

[15] Atun R, Davies JI, Gale EAM, Bärnighausen T, Beran D5, Kengne AP, et al. Diabetes in sub-Saharan Africa: from clinical care to health policy. Lancet Diabetes Endocrinol. 2017;5(8):622-67.

[16] World Bank Data Help Desk. World Bank Country Income Groups, June 2019 [accessed 25th July 2019]. Available from: https://datahelpdesk.worldbank.org/knowledgebase/articles/906519

[17] World Health Organization. Global Health Observatory data repository, Life tables by country WHO. World Health Organization; 2015 [accessed 1st August 2019]. Available from: http://apps.who.int/gho/data/node.main.LIFECOUNTRY?lang=en

[18] Central Intelligence Agency (CIA). The World Factbook [accessed 1st August 2019]. Available from: https://www.cia.gov/library/publications/the-world-factbook/geos/xx.html

[19] IndexMundi. IndexMundi - Country Facts [accessed 1st August 2019]. Available from: http://www.indexmundi.com/

[20] United Nations. World Population Prospects, the 2017 revision. New York: United Nations [accessed 1st

August 2019]. Available from: https://www.un.org/development/desa/publications/world-population-prospects-the-2017-revision.html

[21] Negrato CA, Lauris JRP, Saggioro IB, Corradini MCM, Borges PR, Crês MC, et al. Increasing incidence of type 1 diabetes between 1986 and 2015 in Bauru, Brazil. Diabetes Res Clin Pract. 2017;127:198–204.

[22] Akachi Y, Canning D. Health trends in Sub-Saharan Africa: Conflicting evidence from infant mortality rates and adult heights. Econ Hum Biol. 2010;8(2):273–88.

[23] UNICEF. Levels and Trends in Child Mortality Report 2017 | UNICEF Publications | UNICEF. 2017.

[24] UNICEF. Under-five mortality rate [accessed 1st August 2019]. Available from: https://data.unicef.org/topic/child-survival/under-five-mortality/

[25] Rwiza HT, Swai ABM, McLarty DG. Failure to diagnose ketoacidosis in Tanzania. Diabet Med 1986;3(2):181–3.

[26] Ogle GD, Middlehurst AC, Silink M. The IDF Life for a Child Program Index of diabetes care for children and youth. Pediatr Diabetes 2016;17(5):374–84.

[27] Alemu S, Dessie A, Seid E, Bard E, Lee PT, Trimble ER, et al. Insulin-requiring diabetes in rural Ethiopia: should we reopen the case for malnutrition-related diabetes? Diabetologia. 2009;52(9):1842–5.

[28] Life for a Child [accessed 1st August 2019]. Available from: https://lfacinternational.org/about/

[29] Changing Diabetes in Children [accessed 1st August 2019]. Available from: https://www.novonordisk.com/sustainable-business/commitment-to-access-and-affordability/programmes-and-partnerships/changing-diabetes-in-children.html

[30] Beran D, Ewen M, Laing R. Constraints and challenges in access to insulin: a global perspective. Lancet Diabetes Endocrinol. 2016 Mar;4(3):275-85.

[31] Klatman EL, Jenkins AJ, Ahmedani Y, Ogle GD. Blood glucose meters and test strips; global market and challenges to access in less-resourced settings. Lancet Diabetes Endocrinol. 2019;7(2):150-60.

[32] Ogle GD, von Oettingen JE, Middlehurst A, Hanas R, Orchard T. Levels of type 1 diabetes care in children and adolescents for countries at varying resource levels. Pediatr Diabetes 2019;20(1):93-8.

Supplementary Information

Supplementary text - Calculation of mortality-adjusted prevalence estimates

Age- and sex-specific mortality rates for age-groups under 1 year, 1-4 years, 5-9 years, 10-14 years and 15-19 years were sourced from the World Health Organisation (WHO) Global Health Observatory data repository (1). Each country's IMR was used to estimate an SMR as explained in section 2.6. The SMR was employed as a multiplier for the country's age- and sex-specific mortality rates and estimates of prevalent cases obtained as follows.

For age a in completed years, (a = 0,1,…, 19), let:

s_a = start population (obtained from the UN Population Division 2017 revision of the World Population Prospects for 2019 in 5 year age-groups 0-4 years, 5-9 years, 10-14 years and 15-19 years)

i_a = incidence rate of type 1 diabetes during age interval a (usually derived from published rates in 5 year age-groups 0-4 years, 5-9 years, 10-14 years and 15-19 years)

m_a = mortality rate in the population for age interval a (obtained using WHO rates in the relevant age-group)

f_a = case fatality rate among those with type 1 diabetes during age interval a

n_a = Number of new cases of type 1 diabetes during age interval a **(i.e. Incident Cases)**

b_a = Cumulative cases of type 1 diabetes (taking account of deaths) at beginning of age interval a

r_a = Average number of cases of type 1 diabetes at risk of death during age interval a

d_a = Number of deaths of type 1 diabetes cases during age interval a **(i.e. Deaths)**

e_a = Cumulative cases of type 1 diabetes (taking account of deaths) at end of age interval a

P_a = Cumulative cases to midpoint of age interval a **(i.e. Prevalent Cases)**

New cases during interval a:

$$n_a = s_a i_a \qquad (a = 0, 1, \dots, 19)$$

Cumulative cases of type 1 diabetes (taking account of deaths) at beginning of age interval a:

$$b_a = e_{a-1} \qquad (a = 0, 1, \dots, 19, a \neq 5, 10, 15)$$

$$b_a = e_{a-1}\frac{s_a}{s_{a-1}} \quad (a = 5, 10, 15)$$

the latter adjustment to give consistency with the population estimates in the next-older age-group, and ensure compatibility between prevalence and incidence estimates.

Average number of cases of type 1 diabetes at risk of death during age interval a:

$$r_a = b_a + \frac{1}{2}n_a \qquad (a = 0, 1, \dots, 19)$$

Number of deaths of type 1 diabetes cases during age interval a:

$$d_a = r_a\{1 - \exp(-f_a)\}(a = 0, 1, \dots, 19)$$

where case fatality rate during age interval a was calculated as:

$$f_a = m_a SMR \qquad (a = 0, 1, \dots, 19)$$

Cumulative total cases of type 1 diabetes (taking account of deaths) at end of age interval a

$$e_a = b_a + n_a - d_a \qquad (a = 0, 1, \dots, 19)$$

Cumulative cases to midpoint of age interval a (i.e. Prevalent Cases)

$$P_a = \frac{1}{2}(b_a + e_a) \qquad (a = 0, 1, \ldots, 19)$$

Total no of incident cases (0-19 years) =

Total no of deaths of type 1 diabetes cases (0-19 years) = $\sum_{j=0}^{19} d_j$

Total no of prevalent cases (0-19 years) = $\sum_{j=0}^{19} P_j$

The same process was used for the 0-14 year age group.

(1) World Health Organization. Global Health Observatory data repository, Life tables by country WHO. World Health Organization; 2015 [cited 2017 Jun 6]. Available from: http://apps.who.int/gho/data/node.main.LIFECOUNTRY?lang=en

Supplementary Figure 1 - Flowchart for study selection



Supplementary Table 1 - Search strategy for study selection in MEDLINE

1. exp *Diabetes Mellitus, Type 1/
2. incidence.mp.
3. prevalence.mp.
4. T1D.mp.
5. IDDM.mp.
6. 1 or 4 or 5
7. 2 or 3
8. 6 and 7
9. adolescent.mp.
10. youth.mp.
11. young.mp.
12. child*.mp.
13. p*ediatric.mp.
14. juvenile.mp.
15. 9 or 10 or 11 or 12 or 13 or 14
16. 8 and 15

Supplementary Table 2 - Final selection of published studies with type 1 diabetes incidence rates

Country	Region represented by the study	Date	Age range	No. Cases	Ascertainment	Category
Algeria[i]	Oran	2010-2014	<15	N/A	N/A	B
Antigua and Barbuda[ii]	Antigua	1989-1993	<20	4	100%	A
Argentina[iii]	Avellaneda, Cordoba, Corrientes, Tierra del Fuego	1990-1999	<15	141	88-100%	A/B
Armenia[iv]	Nationwide	2007-2012	<18	345	N/A	B
Australia[v]	Nationwide	2000-2011	<15	11,575	97%	A
Austria[vi]	Nationwide	2009-2013	<15	1,230	100%	A
Azerbaijan[vii]	Baku city and Absheron	2014-2015	<15	43	N/A	B
Bahamas[viii]	Nationwide, African ancestry only	2001-2002	<15	9	N/A	B
Bangladesh[ix]	Dhaka	2011-2016	<19	526	95%	B
Barbados[x]	Nationwide	1982-1991	<15	37	94%	A
Belarus[xi]	Gomel, Minsk	1997-2002	<19	350 approx.	100%	A
Belgium[vi]	Antwerp	2009-2013	<15	151	98%	A
Bolivia[xii]	Cochabamba Province	2005-2017	<15	49	80%	B
Bosnia and Herzegovina[xiii]	Republic of Srpska	1998-2010	<19	413	100%	A
Brazil[xiv]	Bauru, São Paulo State	2007-2015	<15	126	98%	A
Bulgaria[iii]	Varna, West Bulgaria	1990-1999	<15	924	99%	A
Canada[xv]	British Colombia	2010-2013	<20	744	99-100%	A
Chile[xvi]	Nationwide	2006-2014	<20	4,153	High	A/B
China[xvii]	13 areas	2010-2013	<20	1,740	98-99%	A
Colombia[iii]	Cali, Santafe de Bogota	1990-1999	<15	76	N/A, 97%	A/B
Croatia[xviii]	Nationwide	2004-2012	<15	1,066	97%	A
Cuba[iii]	Nationwide	1990-1999	<15	572	25-100%	B
Cyprus[xix]	Greek Cypriots only	1990-2009	<15	208	~100%	A
Czech Republic[vi]	Nationwide	2009-2013	<15	1,642	95%	A
Denmark[vi]	Nationwide	2009-2013	<15	1,357	N/A	B
Dominica[xx]	Nationwide	1990-1993	<15	5	N/A	B

Dominican Republic[iii]	Nationwide	1995-1999	<15	34	39-67%	B
Egypt[xxi]	Nile Delta	2011	<19	189	N/A	B
Estonia[xxii]	Nationwide	1999-2006	<15	310	98%	A
Ethiopia[xxiii]	Gondar and Jimma	1995-2008	<26	65	N/A	B
Fiji[xxiv]	Nationwide	2001-2012	<15	28	N/A	B
Finland[xxv]	Nationwide	2006-2011	<15	3,332	N/A	A
France[xxvi]	Nationwide	2015	<15	2,286	N/A	B
Georgia[xxvii]	Nationwide	1998-1999	<15	115	N/A	B
Germany[vi]	Nationwide	2009-2013	<15	5,615	97-99%	A/B
Greece[xxviii]	Crete	2012-2016	<15	83	100%	A
Hong Kong China[xxix]	Nationwide	1992-1996	<15	120	N/A	B
Hungary[vi]	Nationwide (except Budapest)	2009-2013	<15	1,044	N/A	B
Iceland[xxx]	Nationwide	1989-1998	<15	47	100%	A
India[xxxi]	New Delhi, Chennai	2006-2012	<20	2,091	N/A	B
Ireland[vi]	Nationwide	2009-2013	<15	1,318	100%	A
Islamic Republic of Iran[xxxii]	Fars province	1991-1996	<20	395	100%	A
Israel[xxxiii]	Nationwide	2006-2007	<18	668	N/A	B
Italy[xxxiv]	30 local health units	2003-2012	<15	?	99%	A
Japan[xxxv]	Nationwide	2005-2010	<15	~400	97%	A
Jordan[xxxvi]	Nationwide	1992-1996	<15	275	95%	A
Kuwait[xxxvii]	Nationwide	2011-2013	<15	515	97%	A
Latvia[xxx]	Nationwide	1994-1998	<15	196	100%	A
Libya[xxxviii]	Benghazi	1991-2000	<15	276	100%	A
Lithuania[vi]	Nationwide	2009-2013	<15	466	100%	A
Luxembourg[vi]	Nationwide	2009-2013	<15	84	N/A	B
Macedonia[vi]	Nationwide	2009-2013	<15	137	100%	A
Maldives[xxxix]	Nationwide	2010-2013	<15	N/A	N/A	B
Malta[xl]	Nationwide	2006-2010	<15	81	100%	B
Mauritius[iii]	Nationwide	1990-1994	<15	21	35-100%	B
Mexico[xli]	Nationwide social security register	2000-2010	<20	698	N/A	B

Montenegro[vi]	Nationwide	2009-2013	<15	110	100%	A
Netherlands[xlii]	Nationwide	2010-2011	<15	1,243	98%	A
New Zealand[xliii]	Auckland	2009	<15	~60	N/A	B
Norway[vi]	Nationwide	2009-2013	<15	1,550	97%	A
Oman[xliv]	Nationwide	1993-1994	<15	31	96%	A
Pakistan[iii]	Karachi	1990-1999	<15	104	51%	B
Papua New Guinea[xlv]	Nationwide	1996-2000	<15	8	N/A	B
Paraguay[iii]	Nationwide	1990-1999	<15	168	N/A	B
Peru[iii]	Lima	1990-1994	<15	53	35-100%	B
Poland[xlvi]	Podkarpackie, Warmińsko-Mazurskie, Lubelskie, Świętokrzyskie, Podlaskie, Mazovia	2010-2014	<18	2,174	N/A	B
Portugal[xxx]	Algarve, Madeira	1994-1998	<15	74	85-100%	A/B
Puerto Rico[iii]	Nationwide	1990-1999	<15	1,625	90-97%	A
Qatar[xlvii]	Nationwide	2012-2016	<15	440	N/A	B
Republic of Korea[xlviii]	Nationwide	2012-2014	<15	706	NA	B
Romania[xlix]	Nationwide	2002-2011	<18	2,682	96%	A
Russia[l]	Nationwide	2011	<15	3,407	N/A	B
Rwanda[li]	Nationwide	2007-2011	<20	182	N/A	B
Saudi Arabia[lii]	Al-Madinah	2004-2009	<13	419	N/A	B
Serbia[liii]	Nationwide	2007-2017	<20	1,721	N/A	B
Singapore[liv]	Nationwide	1992-1994	<13	40	92%	A
Slovakia[lv]	Nationwide	1999-2003	<15	718	100%	A
Slovenia[vi]	Nationwide	2009-2013	<15	234	100%	A
Spain[lvi]	Nationwide (except La Rioja, Islas Baleares)	2011	<15	1,235	Most >90%	A/B
Sudan[lvii]	Khartoum	1991-1995	<15	534	97%	A
Sweden[lviii,lix]	Nationwide	2005-2007 2007-2011	<15 15-19	2,029 1,062	96-99% 77%	A B
Switzerland[vi]	Nationwide	2009-2013	<15	800	100%	A
Taiwan[lx]	Nationwide	2009-2010	<15	~500	N/A	B
Thailand[lxi]	North East Thailand	1996-2005	<15	340	N/A	B

Tunisia[iii]	Beja, Gafsa, Kairoan, Monastir	1990-1999	<15	297	N/A	B
Turkey[lxii]	Nationwide	2011-2013	<19	2,465	99%	A
Ukraine[lxiii]	Nationwide	1985-1992	<15	N/A	N/A	B
United Kingdom[vi]	Leeds, Oxford, Northern Ireland	2009-2013	<15	1,880	97%,N/A,N/A	A/B
United Republic of Tanzania[lxiv]	Dar es Salaam	1982-1991	<20	86	100%	A
United States of America[lxv]	Ohio, South Carolina, Washington, California, Colorado	2002-2012	<20	11,245	99%	A
Uruguay[iii]	Montevideo	1992	<15	26	97%	A
US Virgin Islands[lxvi]	Nationwide	2001-2010	<20	50	99%	A
Uzbekistan[lxvii]	13 local endocrine clinics	2008-2010	<15	626	Near 100%	A
Venezuela[iii]	Caracas	1990-1994	<15	43	N/A	B

N/A – not available
A – Population based registers with validated ascertainment levels of 90% or more
B – Other studies with population denominators enabling rates to be calculated.

Supplementary Table 3 Countries used for extrapolation of type 1 diabetes incidence rates in the 0-14 year age-group

Source country	Countries to which source country rate was extrapolated
Algeria	Morocco
Barbados	Grenada, St Maarten, St Kitts and Nevis, St Lucia, St Vincent and the Grenadines, Trinidad and Tobago
China	Kazakhstan, Kyrgyzstan, Mongolia
Colombia	Costa Rica, Ecuador, Panama
Cuba	Bermuda, Cayman Islands, Jamaica
Denmark	Faroe Islands, Greenland
Dominican Republic	Haiti
Ethiopia	Djibouti, Eritrea, Somalia
Fiji	French Polynesia, Kiribati, New Caledonia, Samoa, Tonga, Tuvalu, Vanuatu
France	Monaco
Hong Kong China	Macau China
India	Bhutan, Sri Lanka, Nepal
Italy	San Marino
Jordan	Iraq, Lebanon, State of Palestine, Syrian Arab Republic
Macedonia (FYR)	Albania
Mauritius	Comoros, Seychelles
Mexico	Belize, El Salvador, Guatemala, Honduras, Nicaragua
Oman	Bahrain, United Arab Emirates, Yemen
Papua New Guinea	Federated States of Micronesia, Guam, Marshall Islands, Nauru, Palau, Solomon Islands
Republic of Korea	Democratic People's Republic of Korea
Romania	Moldova
Rwanda	Angola, Benin, Botswana, Burkina Faso, Burundi, Cameroon, Cape Verde, Central African Republic, Chad, Côte d'Ivoire, Democratic Republic of the Congo, Equatorial Guinea, Gabon, Gambia, Ghana, Guinea, Guinea-Bissau, Liberia, Mali, Mauritania, Namibia, Niger, Nigeria, Republic of Congo, Sao Tome and Principe, Senegal, Sierra Leone, Togo, Uganda
Spain	Andorra
Switzerland	Liechtenstein
Thailand	Brunei Darussalam, Cambodia, Indonesia, Lao People's Democratic Republic, Malaysia, Myanmar, Philippines, Timor L'Este, Viet Nam
Turkey	Turkmenistan
United Kingdom	Channel Islands
United Republic of Tanzania	Kenya, Lesotho, Madagascar, Malawi, Mozambique, South Africa, South Sudan, Swaziland, Zambia, Zimbabwe
US Virgin Islands	British Virgin Islands
Uzbekistan	Afghanistan, Tajikistan
Venezuela	Aruba, Curaçao, Guyana, Suriname

FYR – Former Yugoslav Republic

Supplementary Table 4 **Estimates of incident and prevalent cases of type 1 diabetes in the 0-14 and 0-19 year age-groups by country within IDF Region.**

Africa (AFR) Region

Country	Estimated Cases (1000s)				Country	Estimated Cases (1000s)			
	Incident		Prevalent			Incident		Prevalent	
	0-14yr	0-19yr	0-14yr	0-19yr		0-14yr	0-19yr	0-14yr	0-19yr
Angola*	0.2	0.4	0.08	0.2	Madagascar*	0.09	0.2	0.3	0.9
Benin*	0.06	0.1	0.1	0.3	Malawi*	0.07	0.1	0.2	0.6
Botswana*	0.008	0.02	0.03	0.10	Mali*	0.1	0.2	0.1	0.3
Burkina Faso*	0.1	0.2	0.2	0.6	Mauritania*	0.02	0.05	0.05	0.1
Burundi*	0.06	0.1	0.1	0.3	Mozambique*	0.1	0.2	0.3	0.6
Cameroon*	0.1	0.3	0.3	0.7	Namibia*	0.01	0.03	0.04	0.1
Cape Verde*	0.002	0.005	0.008	0.03	Niger*	0.1	0.3	0.3	0.9
Central African Republic*	0.02	0.06	0.01	0.03	Nigeria*	1.0	2.3	1.2	3.0
Chad*	0.08	0.2	0.05	0.1	Republic of Congo*	0.03	0.06	0.10	0.3
Comoros*	0.004	0.01	0.01	0.04	Rwanda	0.06	0.1	0.2	0.6
Côte d'Ivoire*	0.1	0.3	0.1	0.4	Sao Tome and Principe*	0.001	0.003	0.004	0.01
Democratic Rep of Congo*	0.4	1.0	0.5	1.3	Senegal*	0.08	0.2	0.3	0.8
Djibouti*	0.001	0.005	0.003	0.01	Seychelles*	0.000	0.001	0.002	0.004
Equatorial Guinea*	0.005	0.01	0.009	0.02	Sierra Leone*	0.04	0.09	0.02	0.05
Eritrea*	0.007	0.03	0.03	0.1	Somalia*	0.02	0.09	0.01	0.06
Ethiopia	0.1	0.7	0.5	2.1	South Africa*	0.2	0.3	0.5	1.6
Gabon*	0.008	0.02	0.03	0.09	South Sudan*	0.05	0.10	0.09	0.2
Gambia*	0.01	0.03	0.03	0.09	Swaziland*	0.005	0.01	0.01	0.04
Ghana*	0.1	0.3	0.4	1.2	Togo*	0.04	0.09	0.1	0.3
Guinea*	0.06	0.2	0.1	0.3	Uganda*	0.2	0.6	0.8	2.3
Guinea-Bissau*	0.009	0.02	0.02	0.05	United Rep. of Tanzania	0.2	0.4	0.7	2.0
Kenya*	0.2	0.4	0.6	1.7	Zambia*	0.07	0.1	0.2	0.6
Lesotho*	0.007	0.02	0.01	0.03	Zimbabwe*	0.06	0.1	0.2	0.5
Liberia*	0.02	0.06	0.06	0.2					

Europe (EUR) Region

Country	Estimated Cases (1000s)				Country	Estimated Cases (1000s)			
	Incident		Prevalent			Incident		Prevalent	
	0-14yr	0-19yr	0-14yr	0-19yr		0-14yr	0-19yr	0-14yr	0-19yr
Albania*	0.04	0.05	0.3	0.5	Latvia	0.02	0.03	0.1	0.3
Andorra*	0.002	0.002	0.01	0.03	Liechtenstein*	0.001	0.001	0.005	0.01
Armenia	0.04	0.05	0.3	0.5	Lithuania	0.09	0.1	0.5	1.0
Austria	0.2	0.3	1.5	3.0	Luxembourg	0.02	0.02	0.1	0.2
Azerbaijan	0.2	0.2	1.1	1.8	Macedonia	0.03	0.03	0.2	0.3
Belarus	0.09	0.1	0.6	1.0	Malta	0.01	0.02	0.1	0.2
Belgium	0.4	0.4	2.3	4.3	Moldova*	0.05	0.06	0.3	0.6
Bosnia and Herzegovina	0.04	0.05	0.3	0.5	Monaco*	0.001	0.001	0.007	0.01
Bulgaria	0.1	0.1	0.6	1.1	Montenegro	0.02	0.03	0.2	0.3
Channel Islands*	0.007	0.009	0.04	0.09	Netherlands	0.6	0.7	3.7	7.3
Croatia	0.1	0.1	0.7	1.3	Norway	0.3	0.4	2.0	3.8
Cyprus	0.03	0.04	0.2	0.4	Poland	1.1	1.2	7.2	12.6
Czech Republic	0.4	0.4	2.4	4.1	Portugal	0.2	0.2	1.3	2.5
Denmark	0.3	0.3	1.6	3.1	Romania	0.2	0.3	1.5	2.8
Estonia	0.04	0.05	0.3	0.5	Russian Federation	3.2	3.8	21.6	35.7
Faroe Islands*	0.002	0.003	0.01	0.03	San Marino*	0.001	0.001	0.005	0.01
Finland	0.6	0.7	4.1	7.2	Serbia	0.2	0.2	1.4	2.6
France	2.2	2.8	14.8	27.3	Slovakia	0.1	0.1	0.8	1.4
Georgia	0.04	0.04	0.2	0.4	Slovenia	0.05	0.06	0.3	0.6
Germany	2.6	3.3	17.2	33.1	Spain	1.2	1.5	8.7	15.5
Greece	0.3	0.3	1.7	3.1	Sweden	0.8	0.9	4.7	8.6
Greenland*	0.003	0.004	0.02	0.03	Switzerland	0.2	0.2	1.1	2.1
Hungary	0.3	0.4	1.9	3.5	Tajikistan*	0.09	0.1	0.5	0.8
Iceland	0.01	0.01	0.07	0.1	Turkey	2.2	2.8	13.5	26.0
Ireland	0.3	0.3	1.8	3.3	Turkmenistan*	0.2	0.2	1.0	1.8
Israel	0.3	0.5	2.1	4.0	Ukraine	0.6	0.7	3.9	6.4
Italy	1.3	1.7	8.2	16.0	United Kingdom	3.5	4.3	21.2	39.1
Kazakhstan*	0.10	0.1	0.6	0.9	Uzbekistan	0.2	0.3	1.4	2.2
Kyrgyzstan*	0.04	0.04	0.2	0.4					

Middle East and North Africa (MENA) Region

Country	Estimated Cases (1000s)				Country	Estimated Cases (1000s)			
	Incident		Prevalent			Incident		Prevalent	
	0-14yr	0-19yr	0-14yr	0-19yr		0-14yr	0-19yr	0-14yr	0-19yr
Afghanistan*	0.4	0.6	0.9	1.4	Oman	0.03	0.04	0.1	0.3
Algeria	3.1	4.2	20.1	33.1	Pakistan	0.3	0.5	0.9	1.8
Bahrain*	0.008	0.01	0.04	0.08	Qatar	0.1	0.2	0.7	1.4
Egypt	1.0	1.5	6.7	11.8	Saudi Arabia	2.6	3.7	14.4	27.8
Iraq*	0.5	0.7	2.6	5.0	State of Palestine*	0.06	0.09	0.3	0.7
Islamic Republic of Iran	0.7	1.0	4.3	7.8	Sudan	1.7	2.5	7.4	12.6
Jordan	0.1	0.2	0.6	1.2	Syrian Arab Republic*	0.2	0.3	1.2	2.5
Kuwait	0.4	0.5	2.3	4.2	Tunisia*	0.2	0.3	1.1	2.2
Lebanon	0.04	0.07	0.2	0.6	United Arab Emirates*	0.04	0.05	0.2	0.4
Libya	0.2	0.2	0.8	1.7	Yemen*	0.3	0.4	1.5	2.9
Morocco*	2.4	3.6	16.4	30.2					

North America and Caribbean (NAC) Region

Country	Estimated Cases (1000s)				Country	Estimated Cases (1000s)			
	Incident		Prevalent			Incident		Prevalent	
	0-14yr	0-19yr	0-14yr	0-19yr		0-14yr	0-19yr	0-14yr	0-19yr
Antigua and Barbuda	0.001	0.001	0.006	0.01	Guyana*	0.000	0.000	0.002	0.004
Aruba*	0.000	0.000	0.000	0.000	Haiti*	0.02	0.02	0.07	0.1
Bahamas	0.008	0.01	0.06	0.1	Jamaica*	0.02	0.02	0.09	0.2
Barbados	0.003	0.003	0.02	0.03	Mexico	2.1	2.5	14.8	26.6
Belize*	0.007	0.009	0.05	0.09	Sint Maarten*	0.000	0.001	0.003	0.006
Bermuda*	0.000	0.000	0.002	0.003	St Kitts and Nevis*	0.001	0.001	0.005	0.008
British Virgin Islands*	0.001	0.002	0.007	0.01	St Lucia*	0.002	0.002	0.01	0.02
Canada	1.8	2.1	11.8	21.6	St Vincent & Grenadines*	0.001	0.002	0.009	0.02
Cayman Islands*	0.000	0.000	0.002	0.004	Suriname*	0.000	0.000	0.001	0.002
Curaçao*	0.000	0.000	0.000	0.001	Trinidad and Tobago*	0.01	0.02	0.1	0.2
Dominica	0.001	0.001	0.007	0.01	United States of America	14.7	17.2	94.2	175.9
Grenada*	0.001	0.002	0.01	0.02	US Virgin Islands	0.003	0.004	0.02	0.04

South and Central America (SACA) Region

Country	Estimated Cases (1000s)				Country	Estimated Cases (1000s)			
	Incident		Prevalent			Incident		Prevalent	
	0-14yr	0-19yr	0-14yr	0-19yr		0-14yr	0-19yr	0-14yr	0-19yr
Argentina	0.7	0.9	4.6	8.6	Guatemala*	0.4	0.5	2.5	4.3
Bolivia	0.08	0.09	0.5	0.8	Honduras*	0.2	0.2	1.2	2.2
Brazil	7.3	9.1	51.5	95.8	Nicaragua*	0.1	0.1	0.8	1.4
Chile	0.5	0.6	3.1	6.1	Panama*	0.01	0.02	0.09	0.2
Colombia	0.1	0.2	1.0	1.8	Paraguay	0.02	0.02	0.1	0.2
Costa Rica*	0.01	0.02	0.09	0.2	Peru	0.04	0.05	0.3	0.5
Cuba	0.04	0.05	0.2	0.5	Puerto Rico	0.1	0.1	0.8	1.5
Dominican Republic	0.02	0.02	0.1	0.2	Uruguay	0.06	0.07	0.3	0.6
Ecuador*	0.06	0.08	0.4	0.7	Venezuela	0.01	0.01	0.09	0.1
El Salvador*	0.1	0.1	0.7	1.3					

South East Asia (SEA) Region

Country	Estimated Cases (1000s)				Country	Estimated Cases (1000s)			
	Incident		Prevalent			Incident		Prevalent	
	0-14yr	0-19yr	0-14yr	0-19yr		0-14yr	0-19yr	0-14yr	0-19yr
Bangladesh	0.5	0.8	2.1	5.3	Mauritius	0.003	0.005	0.02	0.04
Bhutan*	0.009	0.01	0.06	0.1	Nepal*	0.4	0.5	2.5	4.6
India	15.9	19.7	95.6	171.3	Sri Lanka*	0.2	0.3	1.4	2.6
Maldives	0.003	0.004	0.02	0.04					

Western Pacific (WP) Region

Country	Estimated Cases (1000s)				Country	Estimated Cases (1000s)			
	Incident		Prevalent			Incident		Prevalent	
	0-14yr	0-19yr	0-14yr	0-19yr		0-14yr	0-19yr	0-14yr	0-19yr
Australia	1.1	1.4	7.0	13.0	Myanmar*	0.1	0.2	0.8	1.5
Brunei Darussalam*	0.001	0.001	0.007	0.01	Nauru*	0.000	0.000	0.000	0.000
Cambodia*	0.05	0.07	0.3	0.5	New Caledonia*	0.001	0.001	0.004	0.007
China	4.8	5.9	28.7	54.0	New Zealand	0.2	0.3	1.4	2.5
Dem. People's Rep. Korea*	0.2	0.2	0.9	1.9	Palau*	0.000	0.000	0.000	0.000
Fed. States of Micronesia*	0.000	0.000	0.000	0.000	Papua New Guinea	0.003	0.004	0.02	0.03
Fiji	0.002	0.003	0.02	0.03	Philippines*	0.3	0.4	2.2	3.9
French Polynesia*	0.001	0.001	0.004	0.008	Republic of Korea	0.2	0.3	1.3	2.7
Guam*	0.000	0.000	0.000	0.001	Samoa*	0.001	0.001	0.005	0.008
Hong Kong China	0.02	0.02	0.1	0.2	Singapore	0.02	0.03	0.1	0.3
Indonesia*	0.7	1.0	4.7	8.5	Solomon Islands*	0.000	0.000	0.002	0.003
Japan	0.4	0.5	2.3	4.5	Taiwan	0.2	0.3	1.4	2.8
Kiribati*	0.000	0.000	0.002	0.003	Thailand	0.1	0.2	0.8	1.6
Lao People's Dem. Rep.*	0.02	0.03	0.1	0.2	Timor L'Este*	0.006	0.007	0.03	0.05
Macau China*	0.002	0.002	0.01	0.02	Tonga*	0.000	0.000	0.002	0.004
Malaysia*	0.07	0.1	0.5	1.0	Tuvalu*	0.000	0.000	0.000	0.000
Marshall Islands*	0.000	0.000	0.000	0.000	Vanuatu*	0.001	0.001	0.006	0.01
Mongolia*	0.02	0.02	0.1	0.2	Viet Nam*	0.2	0.3	1.5	2.6

* Figures derived from rates extrapolated from another country (see Supplementary Table 3)

References for Supplementary Table 2

[i] Niar S, Naceur M, Bessahraoui M, Bouchetara A, Zennaki A, Gharnouti M, et al. Épidémiologie du diabète de type 1 de l'enfant dans le département d'Oran (Algérie), de 1975 à 2014. Médecine des Mal Métaboliques. 2015;9(5):529–32.

[ii] Tull E, Jordan O, Simon L, Laws M, Smith D, Vanterpool H, et al. Incidence of childhood-onset IDDM in Black African-heritage populations in the Caribbean. Diabetes Care. 1997;20(3):309–10.

[iii] DIAMOND Project Group. Incidence and trends of childhood Type 1 diabetes worldwide 1990-1999. Diabet Med. 2006;23(8):857–66.

[iv] Navasardyan LV. Epidemiology of type 1 diabetes in children and adolescents in the Republic of Armenia. New Armen Med J. 2014;8(3):89–94.

[v] Haynes A, Bulsara MK, Bower C, Jones TW, Davis EA. Regular peaks and troughs in the Australian incidence of childhood type 1 diabetes mellitus (2000–2011). Diabetologia. 2015;58(11):2513–6.

[vi] Patterson CC, Harjutsalo V, Rosenbauer J, Neu A, Cinek O, Skrivarhaug T et al. Trends and cyclical variation in the incidence of childhood type 1 diabetes in 26 European centres in the 25 year period 1989–2013: a multicentre prospective registration study. Diabetologia. 2019;62(3):408-17.

[vii] Ahmadov GA, Govender D, Atkinson MA, Sultanova RA, Eubova AA, Wasserfall CH et al. Epidemiology of childhood-onset type 1 diabetes in Azerbaijan: Incidence, clinical features, biochemistry, and HLA-DRB1 status. Diabetes Res Clin Pract. 2018;144:252-259.

[viii] Peter SA, Johnson R, Taylor C, Hanna A, Roberts P, McNeil P, et al. The incidence and prevalence of type-1 diabetes mellitus. J Natl Med Assoc. 2005;97(2):250–2.

[ix] Balsa A, Zabeen B, Ogle G, Tayyeb S, Kishwar Azad. Incidence estimate of type 1 Diabetes in Youth in Dhaka. In: Endocrine Abstracts. Lisbon; 2017. p. EP428.

[x] Jordan O, Lipton RB, Stupnicka E, Cruickshank KJ, Fraser HS. Incidence of type I diabetes in people under 30 years of age in Barbados, West Indies, 1982-1991. 1994;17(5):428–31.

[xi] Zalutskaya A, Bornstein SR, Mokhort T, Garmaev D. Did the Chernobyl incident cause an increase in type 1 diabetes mellitus incidence in children and adolescents? Diabetologia. 2004;47(1):147–8.

[xii] Duarte Gómez E, Gregory GA, Castrati Nostas M, Middlehurst AC, Jenkins AJ, Ogle GD, et al. Incidence and mortality rates and clinical characteristics of type 1 diabetes among children and young adults in Cochabamba, Bolivia. J Diabetes Res. 2017;2017:1–8.

[xiii] Radosevic B, Bukara-Radujkovic G, Miljkovic V, Pejicic S, Bratina N, Battelino T. The incidence of type 1 diabetes in Republic of Srpska (Bosnia and Herzegovina) and Slovenia in the period 1998-2010. Pediatr Diabetes. 2013;14(4):273–9.

[xiv] Negrato CA, Lauris JRP, Saggioro IB, Corradini MCM, Borges PR, Crês MC, et al. Increasing incidence of type 1 diabetes between 1986 and 2015 in Bauru, Brazil. Diabetes Res Clin Pract. 2017;127:198–204.

[xv] Fox DA, Islam N, Sutherland J, Reimer K, Amed S. Type 1 diabetes incidence and prevalence trends in a cohort of Canadian children and youth. Pediatr Diabetes 2018; 19(3):501-505.

[xvi] Garfias C, Pinochet C, Borzutzky A, Cerda J, Ugarte F, Martin Y, et al. Rising of type 1 diabetes mellitus incidence in Chilean children between 2006 and 2014 Final Results. In: 55th Annual ESPE. Paris: ESPE Abstracts; 2016. p. 1–227.

[xvii] Weng J, Zhou Z, Guo L, Zhu D, Ji L, Luo X, Mu Y, Jia W and the T1D China Study Group. Incidence of type 1 diabetes in China, 2010-13: population based study. BMJ. 2018;360:j5295.

[xviii] Rojnic Putarek N, Ille J, Spehar Uroic A, Skrabic V, Stipancic G, Krnic N, et al. Incidence of type 1 diabetes mellitus in 0 to 14-yr-old children in Croatia - 2004 to 2012 study. Pediatr Diabetes. 2015;16(6):448–453.

[xix] Skordis N, Efstathiou E, Kyriakides T, Savvidou A, Savva S, Phylactou L, et al. Epidemiology of Type 1 diabetes mellitus in Cyprus: rising incidence at the dawn of the 21st century. Hormones. 2012;11(1):86–93.

[xx] Karvonen M, Viik-Kajander M, Moltchanova E, Libman I, LaPorte R, Tuomilehto J. Incidence of childhood type 1 diabetes worldwide. Diabetes Mondiale (DiaMond) Project Group. Diabetes Care. 2000;23(10):1516–26.

[xxi] El-Ziny MAE-M, Salem NA-B, El-Hawary AK, Chalaby NM, Elsharkawy AA-E. Epidemiology of childhood type 1 diabetes mellitus in Nile Delta, northern Egypt - a retrospective study. J Clin Res Pediatr Endocrinol. 2014;6(1):9–15.

[xxii] Teeäär T, Liivak N, Heilman K, Kool P, Sor R, Paal M, et al. Increasing incidence of childhood-onset type 1 diabetes mellitus among Estonian children in 1999-2006. Time trend analysis 1983-2006. Pediatr Diabetes. 2010;11(2):107–110.

[xxiii] Alemu S, Dessie A, Seid E, Bard E, Lee PT, Trimble ER, et al. Insulin-requiring diabetes in rural Ethiopia: should we reopen the case for malnutrition-related diabetes? Diabetologia. 2009;52(9):1842–5.

[xxiv] Ogle G, Morrison MK, Silink M, Taito RS. Incidence and prevalence of diabetes in children aged <15 yr in Fiji, 2001-2012. Pediatr Diabetes. 2016;17(3):222–6.

[xxv] Harjutsalo V, Sund R, Knip M, Groop P-H. Incidence of type 1 diabetes in Finland. J Am Med Assoc. 2013;310(4):1–12.

[xxvi] Piffaretti C, Mandereau-Bruno L, Guilmin-CreponS, Choleau C, Coutant R, Fosse-Edorh S. Trends in childhood type 1 diabetes incidence in France, 2010–2015. Diabetes Res Clin Pract. 2019;149(1):200-7.

[xxvii] Amirkhanashvili K, Bikashvili N, Metreveli D, Koplatadze K, Kacharavara L. Epidemiology of the diabetes type 1 in Georgian children population, 1990-1999 study. In: 36th Annual EASD Meeting. Diabetologia 2000; 43(suppl 1) A93.

[xxviii] Mamoulakis, D, Vrouvaki F, Louvari V, Galanakis E. Incidence of childhood type 1 diabetes mellitus in Crete. Diabet Med 2018 May 23.[Epub ahead of print]

[xxix] Huen KF, Low LCK, Wong GWK, Tse WWY, Yu ACM, Lam YY, et al. Epidemiology of diabetes mellitus in children in Hong Kong: The Hong Kong childhood diabetes register. J Pediatr Endocrinol Metab. 2000;13(3):297–302.

[xxx] Green A, Patterson CC. Trends in the incidence of childhood-onset diabetes in Europe 1989–1998. Diabetologia. 2001;44(suppl 3):B3–8.

[xxxi] Jensen ET, Dabelea D, Praveen PA, Anandakumar A, Hockett CW , Isom S, Ong TC, Mohan V , D'Agostino Jr. R, Kahn MG, Hamman RF, Tandon N, Mayer-Davis E. P134 Comparison of the incidence of diabetes in U.S. and Indian youth: an international harmonisation of youth diabetes registries. Pediatric Diabetes 2018; 19(S26): 91.

[xxxii] Pishdad GR. Low incidence of type 1 diabetes in Iran. Diabetes Care. 2005;28(4):927–8.

[xxxiii] Zung A, Blumenfeld O, Shehadeh N, Dally Gottfried O, Tenenbaum Rakover Y, Hershkovitz E, et al. Increase in the incidence of type 1 diabetes in Israeli children following the Second Lebanon War. Pediatr Diabetes. 2012;13(4):326–33.

[xxxiv] Bruno G, Pagano E, Rossi E, Cataudella S, De Rosa M, Marchesini G, Miccoli R, Vaccaro O, Bonora E. Incidence, prevalence, costs and quality of care of type 1 diabetes in Italy, age 0-29 years: The population-based CINECA-SID ARNO Observatory, 2002-2012. Nutr Metab Cardiovasc Dis. 2016;26(12):1104-11.

[xxxv] Onda Y, Sugihara S, Ogata T, Yokoya S, Yokoyama T, Tajima N. Incidence and prevalence of childhood-onset type 1 diabetes in Japan: the T1D study. Diabet Med. 2017; 34(7):909-15.

[xxxvi] Ajlouni K, Qusous Y, Khawaldeh A, Jaddou H, Batiehah A, Ammari F, et al. Incidence of insulin-dependent diabetes mellitus in Jordanian children aged 0–14 y during 1992–1996. Acta Paediatr. 1999;88(suppl 427):11–3.

[xxxvii] Shaltout AA, Wake D, Thanaraj TA, Omar DM, Al-AbdulRazzaq D, Channanath A, et al. Incidence of type 1 diabetes has doubled in Kuwaiti children 0-14 years over the last 20 years. Pediatr Diabetes. 2017;18(8):761-6.

[xxxviii] Kadiki OA, Roaeid RBM. Incidence of type 1 diabetes in children (0-14 years) in Benghazi Libya (1991-2000). Diabetes Metab. 2002;28(6 pt I):463–7.

[xxxix] Ogle G, Taito R, Besancon S, Sidibe A, Alghassoum W, Majeed N, et al. Establishment of diabetes registers in four under-resourced countries. In: Pediatric Diabetes. 2014. p. 16–48.

[xl] Formosa N, Calleja N, Torpiano J. Incidence and modes of presentation of childhood type 1 diabetes mellitus in Malta between 2006 and 2010. Pediatr Diabetes. 2012;13(6):484–8.

[xli] Gómez-Díaz RA, Pérez-Pérez G, Hernández-Cuesta IT, Rodríguez-García JDC, Guerrero-López R, Aguilar-Salinas CA, et al. Incidence of type 1 diabetes in Mexico: data from an institutional register 2000-2010. Diabetes Care. 2012;35(11):e77.

[xlii] Spaans EA, Gusdorf LM, Groenier KH, Brand PL, Veeze HJ, Reeser HM, et al. The incidence of type 1 diabetes is still increasing in the Netherlands, but has stabilised in children under five (Young DUDEs-1). Acta Paediatr. 2015;104(6):626–9.

[xliii] Derraik JG, Reed PW, Jefferies C, Cutfield SW, Hofman PL, Cutfield WS. Increasing incidence and age at diagnosis among children with type 1 diabetes mellitus over a 20-year period in Auckland (New Zealand). PLoS One. 2012;7(2):e32640

[xliv] Soliman AT, Al-Salmi IS, Asfour MG. Epidemiology of childhood insulin-dependent diabetes mellitus in the Sultanate of Oman. Diabet Med. 1996;13(6):582–6.

[xlv] Ogle G, Lesley J, Sine P, McMaster P. Type 1 diabetes mellitus in children in Papua New Guinea. P N G Med J. 2001;(3–4):96–100.

[xlvi] Szalecki M, Wysocka-Mincewicz M, Ramotowska A, Mazur A, Lisowicz L, Beń-Skowronek I, Sieniawska J, Klonowska B, Charemska D, Nawrotek J, Jałowiec I, Bossowski A, Jamiołkowska M, Pyrżak B, Miszkurka G, Szypowska A. Epidemiology of type 1 diabetes in Polish children: A multicentre cohort study. Diabetes Metab Res Rev. 2018;34(2):e2962.

[xlvii] Alyafei F, Soliman A, Alkhalaf F, Sabt A, De Sanctis V, Waseef R, Elsayed N.Al-Zyoud M, Ali M, Rahim A, Ibrahim M. Incidence of type 1 and type 2 diabetes, between 2012-2016, among children and adolescents in Qatar. Acta Biomed. 2018; 89(S5):7-10.

[xlviii] Kim JH, Lee CG, Lee YA, Yang SW, Shin CH. Increasing incidence of type 1 diabetes among Korean children and adolescents: analysis of data from a nationwide registry in Korea. Pediatr Diabetes. 2016;17(7):519–24.

[xlix] Serban V, Brink S, Timar B, Sima A, Vlad M, Timar R, et al. An increasing incidence of type 1 diabetes mellitus in Romanian children aged 0 to 17 years. J Pediatr Endocrinol Metab. 2015; 28(3-4):293-8.

[l] Shiryaeva TY, Andrianova EA, Suntsov YI. Type 1 diabetes mellitus in children and adolescents of Russian Federation: key epidemiology trends. Diabetes Mellit. 2013;16(3):21–9.

[li] Marshall S, Edidin D, Arena VC, Becker DJ, Bunker CH, Gishoma C, et al. Prevalence and incidence of clinically recognized cases of Type 1 diabetes in children and adolescents in Rwanda, Africa. Diabet Med. 2015;32(9):1186–92.

[lii] Habeb AM, Al-Magamsi MS, Halabi S, Eid IM, Shalaby S, Bakoush O. High incidence of childhood type 1 diabetes in Al-Madinah, North West Saudi Arabia (2004-2009). Pediatr Diabetes. 2011;12(8):676–81.

[liii] Vukovic R, Jesic MD, Vorgucin I, Stankovic S, Folic N, Milenkovic T, Sajic S, Katanic D, Zivic S, Markovic S, Soldatovic I. First report on the nationwide incidence of type 1 diabetes and ketoacidosis at onset in children in Serbia: a multicenter study. Eur J Pediatr. 2018;177(8):1155-62.

[liv] Lee W, Ooi BC, Thai AC, Loke KY, Tan YT, Rajan U, et al. The Incidence of IDDM in Singapore Children. Singapore Med J. 1998;39(8):359–62.

[lv] Patterson CC, Dahlquist GG, Gyürüs E, Green A, Soltész G. Incidence trends for childhood type 1 diabetes in Europe during 1989-2003 and predicted new cases 2005-20: a multicentre prospective registration study. Lancet. 2009;373(9680):2027–33.

[lvi] Barreiro SC, Rigual MR, Lozano GB, Siguero JPL, Pelegrín BG, Val MPR, Dea MLC. Epidemiology of type 1 diabetes mellitus in children in Spain. An Pediatr (Barc). 2014 Sep;81(3):189.e1-12.

[lvii] Elamin A, Ghalib M, Eltayeb B, Tuvemo T. High incidence of type 1 diabetes mellitus in Sudanese children, 1991-1995. Ann Saudi Med. 1997;17(4):478–80.

[lviii] Berhan Y, Waernbaum I, Lind T, Möllsten A, Dahlquist G. Thirty years of prospective nationwide incidence of childhood type 1 diabetes: the accelerating increase by time tends to level off in Sweden. Diabetes. 2011;60(2):577-81.

[lix] Rawshani A, Landin-Olsson M, Svensson A-M, Nyström L, Arnqvist HJ, Bolinder J, et al. The incidence of diabetes among 0–34 year olds in Sweden: new data and better methods. Diabetologia. 2014;57(7):1375–81.

[lx] Lin W-H, Wang M-C, Wang W-M, Yang D-C, Lam C-F, Roan J-N, et al. Incidence of and mortality from Type I diabetes in Taiwan from 1999 through 2010: a nationwide cohort study. PLoS One. 2014;9(1):e86172.

[lxi] Panamonta O, Thamjaroen J, Panamonta M, Panamonta N, Suesirisawat C. The rising incidence of type 1 diabetes in the northeastern part of Thailand. J Med Assoc Thail. 2011;94(12):1447–50.

[lxii] Yeşilkaya E, Cinaz P, Andıran N, Bideci A, Hatun Ş, Sarı E, et al. First report on the nationwide incidence and prevalence of Type 1 diabetes among children in Turkey. Diabet Med. 2017;34(3):405–10.

[lxiii] Timchenko O, Kozachok GS, Turos EI, Omelchenko EM. The prevalence of diabetes mellitus in children of different regions of Ukraine. Tsitol Genet. 1996;30(6):70–3.

[lxiv] Swai AB, Lutale JL, McLarty DG. Prospective study of incidence of juvenile diabetes mellitus over 10 years in Dar es Salaam, Tanzania. BMJ. 1993;306(6892):1570–2.

[lxv] Mayer-Davis E, Lawrence J, Dabelea D, Divers J, Dolan L, Imperatore G, et al. Incidence Trends of Type 1 and Type 2 Diabetes among Youths, 2002–2012. N Engl J Med. 2017;376(15) 1419-29.

[lxvi] Washington RE, Orchard TJ, Arena VC, LaPorte RE, Tull ES. Incidence of type 1 and type 2 diabetes in youth in the US Virgin Islands, 2001-2010. Pediatr Diabetes. 2013;14(4):280–7.

[lxvii] Rakhimova G, Alimova NU, Ryaboshtan A, Waldman B, Ogle G, Ismailov SI. Epidemiological data of type 1 diabetes mellitus in children in Uzbekistan, 1998-2014. Pediatr Diabetes. 2018;19(1):158-65.