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Abstract—Orbital Angular Momentum (OAM) for radio communications has the potential of simultaneously transmitting multiple signals at the same frequency and time resources. This multiplies the achievable channel capacity at a given bandwidth by increasing the available number of simultaneous data streams. One downside of OAM radio communication is the requirement of multi-mode radio frequency (RF) hardware at both ends of a link i.e. transmitter as well as the receiver. This is not always practically viable, especially as we move towards low profile receivers in future communication devices. In this work, we present a novel method of OAM-based radio communication with enhanced physical layer security that requires only a single antenna receiver. We first present the system architecture, then we design and realize a Rotman lens-based circular antenna array transmitter operating at 5.8 GHz. We then experimentally verify the capability of the hardware to create multiple modes. As a proof-of-concept, we propose a communication system that simultaneously uses mode 0 and +1 of the OAM beamformer and in doing so show how a single receive antenna can be used for data recovery. We first identify a general analogue modulation expression and use the proposed system to transmit digitally modulated data stream to a single antenna equipped receiver. A pre-communication training sequence is required to realize the proposed approach. Experimental results verify the simulated predictions.

Index Terms— Antenna, antenna array, Orbital Angular Momentum, Rotman, Lens, Secure communication, physical layer security.

I. INTRODUCTION

The radio frequency spectrum is getting congested every year, especially the frequency band from 500 MHz to 10 GHz. This band is used by many communication technologies including the Global System for Mobile Communications (GSM), 4th and earlier generations of cellular communication, sub-6 GHz 5G, wireless fidelity (Wi-Fi), and satellite comms. The demand for disruptive technologies that can utilize the available resources for communication has increased significantly. Orbital Angular Momentum (OAM) radio is under investigation currently as one such technology, because of some of its promising features that are not available in a standard radio [1]. The most important property of OAM is its utilization of the same time and frequency resources for orthogonal data transmission. Several studies have highlighted novel approaches to achieve OAM wave-fronts. These include, but are not limited to, metasurface based [2]–[4], reflector-array based [5], ceramic antenna array [6], patch antenna array [4], [7] and helical antenna based [8] OAM transmitters.

At the transmitter side of an OAM link, generally, an antenna with a feed network generates two (as in [9]) or higher number of independent modes [8], [10]. This is generally achieved by fixed phase shifter-based feed networks. Some researchers also introduced reconfigurability into the feed network to support more sophisticated modes [4], [11]. Free space radio communication has also been shown using Rotman lens as feed network for mode separation as demonstrated in [12], [13]. A recent study has also shown an OAM wave-front formation without the requirement of any feed network [6].

At the receiver end, sometimes hardware analogues to the transmitter radio is used to successfully decode the transmitted signal, for example in circular array-based OAM reception in [14]–[17] and in the planar array system [18]. Receive-side methods for OAM signal recovery are problematical. Solutions include OAM phase properties decoded at a long-distance reception with the help two electrically large antennas [19]. Another study explained a new Doppler-based direction-finding approach [20] that detects individual OAM modes based on an interpolation technique.

One of the key bottlenecks in the successful realization of OAM communication is the position of nulls at the boresight of transmitter antenna array [3], [5], [9]–[11], [21], [22]. This limits the coverage area to a doughnut-shape sector especially when we look at the radiation of higher modes. One approach is to use lenses to collimate the beams [23], [24]. In addition to this, the literature has repeatedly shown the distinction between the received signal power densities at the receiver antenna when different modes are used to transmit orthogonal signals. This creates unequal power at radio receivers which sometimes leads
to transmitted signal been undetected. In other words, signal-to-interference-plus-noise ratio’s (SINR) dynamic ranges for the received signal at one mode sometimes dominate the signal in other modes which can result in information loss.

In this paper, we propose a novel mode-mixing OAM transmitter topology using a phase modulation (PM) approach which requires a comparatively simple system at the receiver to decode the transmitted information. The power density range of the transmitted signal is constrained within the detectable range of the receiver by simultaneously exciting multiple modes. The approach also offers a direction dependent secure signaling scheme which does not require additional data payload, thereby adding a level of physical layer security to a simplex communication link. As a proof-of-concept, we use modes 0 and +1 of a Rotman lens-based OAM transmitter and successfully recover a 4-QPSK data stream using a far-field positioned single antenna equipped receiver. Unlike in [20] where OAM detection is done based on the Doppler effect in the frequency domain, this work is purely signal processing based utilizing the demodulated output at a single antenna receiver.

Section II of the paper presents the system block diagram and explains the proposed approach. Section III discusses the hardware realization and experimental results of a circular array of patch antenna fed by Rotman lens mode generator. Section IV discussed the mode-mixing capabilities based on the experimental data. Section V discusses physical layer secure communication example. Section VI discusses the shortcomings of the approach where findings are concluded on section VII.

II. SYSTEM MODEL

Consider the mode-mixing OAM transmitter topology in Fig. 1. Here mode 0 and +1 (also referred to as l = 0 and l = +1) of an OAM circular array transmitter are simultaneously excited by a frequency source and an unequal power divider. The main reason for using unequal power is to balance multiple modes (this ratio is 3:1 for the example presented in section III). Mode 0 is excited directly while a variable full-cycle phase shifter, typically voltage control, is added before excitation input of mode +1. The phase difference induced within mode +1 of the OAM transmitter is controlled by a signal processing unit, which takes in the information stream that is intended to be transmitted. To elaborate on this, we first build our analysis based on classical analogue modulation. We then use this analysis to assist in designing a digital modulation scheme. A standard analogue PM signal can be written as:

\[ i_{pm}(t) = A_p \sin \left( \omega_c t + m_p \sin \omega_m t + \phi \right) \]  

(1)

where, \( m_p = K_p A_m \) is the modulation index when \( K_p \) is the proportionality constant for PM. \( \phi \) is the time-independent phase offset. For simplicity, we consider \( \phi = 0^\circ \). Subscript \( p \) in \( m_p \) shows that the modulation index is for PM waveform. \( \theta_{pm} \) is the instantaneous phase of the carrier defined by

\[ \theta_{pm} = \omega c t + m_p \sin \omega f t \]  

(2)

when modulated signal and the carrier signal is \( e_m = A_x \cos \omega_m t \) and \( e_c = A \cos \omega c t \) respectively. Here \( A \) is the magnitude and \( \omega = 2\pi f \) for the frequency \( f \) while subscripts \( c \) and \( m \) represent carrier and modulated signal respectively. The expression of the modulation vector for (2) can be written as:

\[ i_{pm}(t) = A_p \left[ J_0(m_p) \sin \omega f t + J_1(m_p) \left( \sin(\omega + \omega_m)t - \sin(\omega - \omega_m)t \right) + J_2(m_p) \left( -\sin(\omega + 2\omega_m)t + \sin(\omega - 2\omega_m)t \right) + J_3(m_p) \left( \sin(\omega + 3\omega_m)t - \sin(\omega - 3\omega_m)t \right) + \cdots \right] \]  

(3)

when \( J_n(x) \) is the first kind Bessel function of the order \( n \) [25]. On a base-band frequency spectrum, each summation term in (3) represents a separate sideband. For the majority of the signals, the baseband bandwidth of PM is considered to be \( \approx 2(\Delta \theta_{pm} + 1)f_m \) where \( \Delta \theta_{pm} \) is the peak phase deviation in radians. To understand the impact of \( \theta_{pm} \) on the radiated signal, it is important to analyze the radiation performance of an OAM transmitter.

III. OAM TRANSMITTER REALIZATION

To achieve OAM transmission, we built a Rotman lens-based circular antenna array [13]. The Rotman lens is designed on Taconic RF-60 substrate operating at \( f_i = 5.8 \) GHz. The design parameters of the lens main body were set as: on-axis focal length \( l_i = 4.5\lambda_c \), focal angle \( \alpha = 30^\circ \), on-axis to off-axis focal...
lengths ratio $\beta = 0.88$, expansion factor $\gamma = \sin(\rho)/\sin(\alpha) = 0.9$, when $\rho$ is the sweep angle. The Rotman lens has 5 beam ports, 9 array ports and 8 dummy ports. SMA connectors are mounted at the RF-in i.e at the beam port side. The array port side is connected to 9 phased aligned transmission lines leading to each of the antenna unit cells in the circular array of patch antennas. All the dummy ports are terminated to a $50 \, \Omega$ matched load. Further details on design guidelines for the Rotman lens can be found in [26]. The antenna array unit cell dimensions are $13.20 \times 20 \, \text{mm}^2$ and the coax feed is located $3.10 \, \text{mm}$ inside the patch from the patch’s broader side. $9 \times$ patch antenna unit cells form a circular array having a diameter of $\sim 105 \, \text{mm}$ which is around $2\lambda$. For mode +1, the diameter of the circular array is directly proportional to the peak gain and inversely proportional to the zenith angle at the maximum gain point (details can be found in [27]). The selected diameter $2\lambda$ provides a relative trade-off between peak gain and the zenith angle. The schematic of the OAM transmitter is shown in Fig. 2(a). Note that the antenna orientation along the $yz$-plane of the patch antennas connected to beam ports {1,2,8,9} is mirrored compared to the patch antennas connected to array ports {3,4,5,6,7}. Fabrication of all the layers was done using an LPKF Protomate H100 milling machine. Fig. 2(b) shows the fabricated Rotman lens layer while Fig. 2(c) shows the OAM transmitter mounted to a non-metallic frame for measurements.

Simulated far-field radiation performance of the OAM transmitter is presented in Fig. 3. Excitation of the mode 0 port shown in Fig. 2(a) results in a high directivity beam in the boresight direction. The simulated peak gain for this mode 0 excitation is $16.3 \, \text{dB}$. Mode +1 and +2 excitations reveal doughnut-shape directive beams with a null along the broadside direction with peak gain of $11.4$ and $10.6 \, \text{dBi}$. These are also referred to as vortex beams [28]. A phase spiral wavefront with 1 cycle is evident for mode +1 excitation, and 2 cycles for mode +2 excitation in Fig. 3 simulated phase plots. This is also verified from the measured near-field phase plots presented in Fig. 4. The simulated and measured phase contours agree well with each other for mode 0 and 1, while there is a phase spiral discontinuity in the phase of mode +2 due to hardware anomalies that can be offset using the design approaches in [13]–[16], [29]. Since in this paper we consider mode 0 and +1 so the phase discrepancy in mode +2 is of no importance. Note that a regular convex lens structures and specialized metasurfaces can be used to collimate the beam (like ones presented in [23], [24], [29]). Mode purity of the OAM transmitter is evaluated using spatial spectrum method [30], [31], defined as the ratio between power in the dominant mode over the overall power in all the other modes, given by

$$
C_l = \frac{P_l}{\sum_{q=-\infty}^{\infty} P_q}.
$$

Where $l$ represents the mode number ($l = 0, \pm 1, \pm 2 \ldots$). Over the scanning plan of $300 \times 300 \, \text{mm}^2$, the simulated mode purity for mode 0 and +1 is $>90\%$, while the evaluated mode purity using measured data is $74.75\%$ and $61.38\%$, for mode 0 and +1 respectively. The implications of these mode purity figures will be discussed in section V.
IV. MODE-MIXING OAM TRANSMITTER

Let’s continue the analysis we left in section II. The radiated signal envelop of an OAM transmitter will have an average far-field electric field $E_c$ at the $f_c$, and can be superimposed by a modulating signal of amplitude $K_a$ with frequency $f_m$, thus,

$$i(t) = E_c \sin \omega_c t + K_a \sin \omega_m t \sin \omega_c t.$$  

(5)

This represents analogue amplitude modulation (AM) and can also be written as

$$i(t) = E_c \left( \sin \omega_c t + \frac{1}{2} K_a \cos \left( \omega_m t - \frac{1}{2} K_a \cos \left( \omega_m t + \omega_c t \right) \right) \right).$$  

(6)

which, in contrast to (3), represent only one upper or lower sideband on the baseband frequency spectrum. The signal processing (SP) unit inducing RF phase shift sensitive to $\theta_{PM}$ will be proportional to the excitation voltage induced at the input of the circular antenna array. If the excitation voltage varies sinusoidaly, the antenna current, as well as the radiated field phase, is going to be sinusoidal. We can mathematically represent this by

$$i(t) = E_c \left( 1 + K_a \sin \omega_m t \right) \sin \left( \omega_c t + m_p \sin \omega_m t \right).$$  

(7)

We now have a combination of amplitude and phase modulation. Time varying phase shift at the excitation side is responsible for the phase modulation and the spatial variation of the fields introduce the amplitude dependence, and this impact is multiplicative as in (7). General forms of the modulation vectors of this case are stated at the end of the page.

It is worth noticing that while realizing such a system, the phase information $\theta_{PM}$ directly translates to the position of the far-field radiation maximum. Consider the case where $\theta_{PM}$ is varied continuously from 0° to 360° (analogue modulation) representing maximum achievable $\Delta \theta_{PM}$. This results in spinning of the field maximum as can be seen from the simulated results presented in Fig. 5. We verified this by inducing phase-shifted simultaneous mode excitation in the

![Fig. 4. Measured near-field phase of the circular array when (a) Mode 0, (b) Mode +1 and (c) Mode +2 are excited. 2-dimensional map axis represent the measuring probe position in meters in near-field anechoic chamber.](image)

![Fig. 5. Simulated far-field gain pattern of the OAM transmitter when $\theta_{PM}$ is varied with step of 60°.](image)

Carrier

$$E_c \left[ J_0 \left( m_p \right) \sin \omega_c t + K_a J_1 \left( m_p \right) \cos \omega_m t \right]$$

(8)

First sideband

$$E_c \left[ \sin \omega_c t \left( \sin \omega_m t \cdot K_a \left( J_0 \left( m_p \right) - J_1 \left( m_p \right) \right) \right) + \cos \omega_c t \left( \sin \omega_m t \cdot 2J_1 \left( m_p \right) \right) \right]$$

(9)

Second sideband

$$E_c \left[ \sin \omega_c t \left( \cos 2\omega_m t \cdot 2J_2 \left( m_p \right) \right) + \cos \omega_c t \left( -\cos 2\omega_m t \cdot K_a \left( J_1 \left( m_p \right) - J_0 \left( m_p \right) \right) \right) \right]$$

(10)

Third sideband

$$E_c \left[ \sin \omega_c t \left( 3 \sin 3\omega_m t \cdot K_a \left( J_2 \left( m_p \right) - J_1 \left( m_p \right) \right) \right) + \cos \omega_c t \left( \sin 3 \omega_m t \cdot 2J_2 \left( m_p \right) \right) \right]$$

(11)

and so on.
near-field chamber measurements of OAM transmitter hardware. We then used the two-dimensional fast Fourier transform (FFT) approach (see [32]) to compute the far-field plots as shown in Fig. 6. High-intensity colour code represents the peak power zone which covers almost all the 3dB beamwidth area. The maximum field spinning along the boresight direction of the circular array can be clearly seen from the presented patterns as $\theta_{PM}$ is ramped. Peak directional gain for the simulated cases varies from 12.9 to 13.5 dBi, i.e. the peak gain variation for all $\theta_{PM}$ stays below ~0.7 dB. It is evident from Fig. 6, the spinning wavefront is not as well formed as was predicted by the simulated results in Fig. 5. This is due to fabrication anomalies in the hardware which eventually impacted the beam/mode purity of the OAM transmitter.

Fig. 6. Transformed far-field gain pattern using near-field measured data of the OAM transmitter.

Fig. 7. The 4 color-coded symbols illustrate the expected ideal 4-QPSK constellation sectors while the same color-coded contours represent their travelling into neighbouring sectors when the single antenna receiver is moved away from reference position to (a) $+60^\circ$ and (b) $-60^\circ$ zenith angles.
a single antenna receiver. Amplitude-based reception of the signal which is possible using the phase of the transmitter. This provides the benefit of direct amplitude-based reception of the signal over variations of the far-field patterns, our approach matched polarization i.e. along \(x\)-axis when patch antenna dominant electric field vector is along \(x\)-axis. Mode-mixing OAM is active at \(\theta_{\text{PM}} = 0^\circ\). An arbitrary receiver antenna is placed in the far-field along the field maxima of the OAM transmitter with matched polarization i.e. along \(x\)-axis, we consider this the reference position. Generally, in this situation, the receiver antenna should read an instantaneous magnitude and phase information as long as the received signal \(P_{\text{RSS}}\) at the single antenna receiver is higher than the noise \(P_{\text{noise}}\) for all variations of \(\theta_{\text{PM}}\). Let’s consider the SP unit is encoding QPSK signal over \(\theta_{\text{PM}}\) such that \(0^\circ\) to \(360^\circ\) is quantized to represent 4 QPSK symbols. At a given instant, the received magnitude and phase information corresponding to each QPSK symbol is recorded and a point on an In-phase and Quadrature (IQ) constellation is plotted. For an ideal scenario when channel is noiseless, 4 symbols will represent ideal constellation points represented as a star in Fig. 7. If the receiver antenna is moved away from the reference position, the same point on the IQ constellation is bound to move away from the ideal QPSK since the magnitude and phase combination for the symbols have changed. Because the magnitude and phase information for antenna’s reference position is unique, a new location of receiver antenna will scramble the constellation points.

To show this we use the measured data presented in Fig. 6 to first plot the ideal constellation. We then moved the receiver antenna from its reference position to \(+60^\circ\) zenith angle in elevation (along \(yz\)-plane) and recorded the expected QPSK symbol contours. This is presented in Fig. 7(a). We then moved the receiver antenna to \(-60^\circ\) zenith angle in elevation and again recorded the contours, that are shown in Fig. 7(b). In both cases, the symbol scramble is observed. In Fig. 7(a), constellation contours representing symbols 11 (green) and 01 (red) travelled up to 3 neighboring sectors while symbol 00 (blue) travelled through all 4. A similar trend can be seen in Fig. 7(b) where all the contours travel through a minimum of 3 sectors as the single antenna receiver modes \(-60^\circ\) zenith angles.

We further tested the limitations of the proposed system model by transmitting 10 million randomly selected bits via the mode-mixing OAM transmitter. This is done to ensure an accurate BER estimation i.e. up to \(10^{-4}\). We computed the BER vs receiver antenna position when it is moved away from the reference, with a resolution of \(1^\circ\), for \(\text{SNR} = 6\text{dB}, 9\text{dB} \) and \(12\text{dB}\). Clear visualization of the received signal scramble for 7 receiver positions are given in Fig. 8(a). Three conclusions are drawn from Fig. 8(a) and (b). First, the results show that proposed approach can ensure data reception in a desired direction. This enables a physical layer security that does not require complex receiver system. To further elaborate, examine...
Fig. 8(a) when the single antenna receiver position is moving from 0° to 12°. The received symbols on the constellation move away from their expected position along the prescribed secure direction, in this case 0°, such that at 8° and 12°, symbols 11 and 01 merge together, severely increasing the BER. Second, in addition to the novel spatial encoding approach presented in this work, the spatial integrity of received QPSK data stream also depends upon the SNR, consequently on the $E_b/N_0$ where $E_b$ is the energy per bit. Having said that, our proposed approach can be used for transmitting higher digital modulation schemes like 16 or 64 PSK or Quadrature Amplitude Modulation (QAM). Third, the modulation capability depends primarily on the SP unit, hence any combination of modes can be utilized to scale the proposed system model. In other words, similar results are expected if simultaneous excitation of any two of modes {0, ±1, ±2} were to be used. In this paper we have only focused on the use of mode 0 and +1, conclusions on “which mode combination is the best for a given application?” has not been addressed in this paper. It is worth mentioning that communication scheme with enhanced security in this work is different from the one presented in [33] which shows a directly modulated antenna array when the angular dependence of the signal constellation pattern depends upon the array element spacing. In contrast to this, our approach relies on the simultaneous excitation of all antenna elements and modulation information is translated over the OAM mode mixing transmitter.

Recall Fig. 1, it is assumed that the data stream connected to mode +1 is independently fed to the antenna array and there is no cross-talk between mode 0 and +1. Contrary to this assumption, mode purity of the OAM transmitter hardware presented in this work is low, as mentioned in section III. As an implication, the expected BER at 0° is $\sim 10^{-4}$ instead of an ideal 0. Although the proposed approach works well with the example hardware we used, the received signal BER is expected to improve further by using higher mode purity OAM transmitters. Further information on such OAM beamformers can be found in [34] and the references therein.

Since the transmitted signal carries arbitrary phase information phase calibration at the receiver end is obtained using a training sequence transmission prior to the data stream. One method of doing this is to first broadcast signal such that SP unit induces a phase shift equal to $-\theta_{PM}$ for mode ±1 and $-0.5 \times \theta_{PM}$ for mode ±2. This will produce a static phase at the receiver antenna even when mode ±1 and ±2 introduces phase spiral wave-fronts. This training sequence for a given time $T$ is enough to provide a reference phase at the receiver end for a successful calibration. A constant phase ramp at the receiver end is easily detectable since it will follow the same phase ramp pattern of $\Phi_{±1}$ or $\Phi_{±2}$ i.e. 0 - 360° and 0 - 180° respectively.

### VI. Shortcomings

The first shortcoming of the proposed approach is that the performance in terms of data recovery may not be better along the boresight of the OAM beamformer as compare to the directions of higher directivity. This is because of the inherent boresight null positions corresponding to modes +1 and +2 (see Fig. 3). The impact is also visible from the measurement data in Fig. 6 where nulls positioned close to boresight show field almost 25 dB less than the maximum field, which will have a negative impact on the SNR at a single antenna receiver located at this position. Second, the proposed approach depends critically upon the accuracy of the phase-shifter which can increase the transmitter development cost. Third, the time interval and periodicity of the training sequence will depend upon the channel mobility, and in any case, it will have a cost in terms of additional payload. The proposed approach is suitable for simplex links only.

### TABLE I

COMMUNICATION WITH ENHANCED SECURITY USING OAM TRANSMITTER: OPERATION SUMMARY

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Training sequence</th>
<th>Multi-mode OAM Transmitter</th>
<th>Receiver calibration</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Data stream</td>
<td>QPSK symbol constellation</td>
<td>Eavesdropper</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Secure communication link</td>
<td>Legitimate receiver</td>
<td>Eavesdropper</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Recall Fig. 1, it is assumed that the data stream connected to mode +1 is independently fed to the antenna array and there is no cross-talk between mode 0 and +1. Contrary to this assumption, mode purity of the OAM transmitter hardware presented in this work is low, as mentioned in section III. As an implication, the expected BER at 0° is $\sim 10^{-4}$ instead of an ideal 0. Although the proposed approach works well with the example hardware we used, the received signal BER is expected to improve further by using higher mode purity OAM transmitters. Further information on such OAM beamformers can be found in [34] and the references therein.

Since the transmitted signal carries arbitrary phase information phase calibration at the receiver end is obtained using a training sequence transmission prior to the data stream. One method of doing this is to first broadcast signal such that SP unit induces a phase shift equal to $-\theta_{PM}$ for mode ±1 and $-0.5 \times \theta_{PM}$ for mode ±2. This will produce a static phase at the receiver antenna even when mode ±1 and ±2 introduces phase spiral wave-fronts. This training sequence for a given time $T$ is enough to provide a reference phase at the receiver end for a successful calibration. A constant phase ramp at the receiver end is easily detectable since it will follow the same phase ramp pattern of $\Phi_{±1}$ or $\Phi_{±2}$ i.e. 0 - 360° and 0 - 180° respectively.

### VI. Shortcomings

The first shortcoming of the proposed approach is that the performance in terms of data recovery may not be better along the boresight of the OAM beamformer as compare to the directions of higher directivity. This is because of the inherent boresight null positions corresponding to modes +1 and +2 (see Fig. 3). The impact is also visible from the measurement data in Fig. 6 where nulls positioned close to boresight show field almost 25 dB less than the maximum field, which will have a negative impact on the SNR at a single antenna receiver located at this position. Second, the proposed approach depends critically upon the accuracy of the phase-shifter which can increase the transmitter development cost. Third, the time interval and periodicity of the training sequence will depend upon the channel mobility, and in any case, it will have a cost in terms of additional payload. The proposed approach is suitable for simplex links only.
VII. CONCLUSION AND FUTURE WORK

A communication system model with enhanced physical layer security is presented in this work that simultaneously uses two different modes of an OAM beamformer. Information is securely encoded into the mode mixing transmitter when phase modulation is translated in the far-field electric fields. These fields require a single antenna receiver to decode the information after calibrating itself via a training sequence. Results based on measured far-field patterns reveals a BER increase from the order of $10^{-4}$ to $10^{-3}$ when receiver antenna moves ±15° along elevation from the reference location where the data recovery is the best. The same conclusion is expected to hold valid for any direction, depicting reliable physical layer security. Operational stages of the proposed communication system with enhanced physical layer security using OAM transmitter and a single antenna receiver are summarized in Table I. Detailed investigation on the impact of bandwidth on the quality of the signal received by the single antenna receiver is one of the future directions. Further to this, OAM transmitter hardware complexity reduction requires additional investigation.
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