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Abstract

Objective. The present study aimed to examine the temporal prevalence of overweight and obesity in Irish children through different methodologies and evaluate the change in rates between 1990 and 2019.

Design. Anthropometric data from three Irish national food consumption surveys were used to examine the change in prevalence of body mass index and waist circumference-derived overweight and obesity levels.


Participants. A demographically representative sample of Irish children aged 5-12 years; 1990 (n=148), 2005 (n=594) and 2019 (n=596).

Results. 12% of children had overweight/obesity in 1990, which was significantly higher in 2005 at 25% and significantly lower in 2019 at 16% (p=0.003). In 2019, more girls had overweight/obesity in comparison to boys (19% versus 14%), whilst, children from the lowest social class group had the highest levels of overweight/obesity (p=0.019). Overall the proportion of children with abdominal overweight/obesity was significantly lower in 2019 in comparison to 2005 (p≤0.001).

Conclusions. Evidence from the most recent national survey suggests that overweight and obesity levels are plateauing and in some cases reducing in children in Ireland. Despite this, rates remain high, with the highest prevalence in 2019 observed in girls and in those from the lowest social class group. Thus, overweight/obesity prevention and intervention policies are necessary and should be continued.
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Introduction

Obesity is a disease characterised by the accumulation of excess fat mass relative to total body mass (1). Its origin is multifaceted and complex with long term energy imbalance, genetics, lifestyle, and environmental factors all contributing to its development (2). Evidence from across the globe demonstrates a stark rise in the prevalence of overweight and obesity amongst children and adolescents from just 4% in 1975 to 18% in 2016 (3). Overweight and obesity rates in Irish children also illustrated a rise between 1990 and 2005 (4, 5). However, more recently a systematic review identified a premise that overweight and obesity levels may be plateauing within Irish children, however higher levels are still evident in girls and in children from a lower socioeconomic background (6).

The consequences of childhood overweight and obesity are wide-ranging and can result in immediate health consequences, including obstructive sleep apnoea, hypertension, and low self-esteem (7-9). In addition, children and adolescents with obesity are five times more likely to carry this disorder into adulthood than individuals without obesity (10). Thus, children with overweight/obesity are at risk of the long term health consequences of retaining a high body mass index (BMI) into adult life including an elevated risk of adult morbidities such as; type two diabetes, coronary heart disease and certain cancers (11, 12). This in turn is associated with a huge economic burden; in the Republic of Ireland the total lifetime cost of childhood overweight and obesity was recently estimated to be €4.6 billion with 21% attributed to direct health care costs and 79% from indirect total lifetime costs, including absenteeism, lifetime income losses, and premature mortality (13).

Different anthropometric techniques are used to establish overweight and obesity levels in children. BMI (kg/m2), (through the calculation of population age and gender-specific z-scores and percentiles) persists as the most commonly applied method due to its convenience (14, 15). Other methods focus on measuring abdominal overweight and obesity considering its association with an increased prevalence of cardiometabolic disorders (16). Both waist circumference (WC) and waist: height ratio (WHtR) are strongly correlated with abdominal fat, and have been used as indicators of abdominal overweight and obesity in children (17). The lack of consensus around the use of BMI-derived or WC-derived cut-off’s to establish overweight and obesity levels in children make comparison across studies and populations problematic and, often different rates of overweight and obesity are reported within the same population (18).

The Childhood Obesity Surveillance Initiative (COSI) monitors overweight and obesity levels in children living in Ireland using the International Obesity Taskforce (IOTF) cut-offs, with current data highlighting that girls and children from a lower social class are more susceptible to this disease. In
order to add to population data and augment funding for research programs and policies to tackle the problem of obesity, the continued collection of objective data to monitor childhood weight status is required (19). The primary objective of these analyses was to provide an update on the height, weight, BMI, WC, hip circumference (HC) and WHtR in Irish children aged between 5 and 12 years, by gender and social class group using data collected during the second National Children’s Food Survey (NCFS II 2019). A second objective was to indicate, using various published cut-offs, BMI-derived weight status and abdominal overweight and obesity levels. Lastly, to compare these most recent figures with previously collected anthropometric data from the Irish National Nutrition Survey 1990 (INNS, 1990) and The National Children’s Food Survey (NCFS 2005).
Materials and Methods

Study design and participants

Data for this analysis was derived from the INNS 1990, NCFS 2005 and, NCFS II 2019. Each of these dietary surveys encompassed a national sample of Irish children and obtained anthropometric measurements from children through adherence to a similar protocol, thus providing the basis for comparison of these databases. The INNS 1990 was a cross-sectional and nationally representative survey conducted in 1990 in the Republic of Ireland in which 148 Irish children aged 8–12 years were recruited from primary schools selected with probability proportionate to size and stratified by county (20). The NCFS 2005 was a cross-sectional food consumption survey carried out in the Republic of Ireland between 2003 and 2004 in a nationally representative sample of 594 Irish children aged 5-12 years (21). NCFS II 2019 was a cross-sectional food consumption survey carried out between 2017 and 2018 in the Republic of Ireland in a national sample of 600 children aged 5-12 years (boys: n 300; girls: n 300). In brief, the sample was representative of the Irish population with respect to age, sex, and urban/rural location compared with the 2016 Irish census (22). However the cohort did contain a higher proportion of children of professional workers and a lower proportion of children of semi-skilled and unskilled workers than the national population. Therefore to adjust for these differences a statistical weighting factor was applied which accounted for the underrepresentation of children from the lower social class groups in 2019. Primary schools were selected from databases acquired from The Department of Education and Skills for both the NCFS 2005 and NCFS II 2019. School selection was stratified by location, urban/rural, gender, size of the school and whether or not the school was classified as disadvantaged. Children were randomly selected from the school roll to receive a participant information pack, if interested in participating, a fieldworker contacted the parent/guardian of the child to explain the survey in detail and organise the initial appointment. At this appointment, written consent was obtained from the child’s parent/guardian in accordance with the declaration of Helsinki (23). In the case of each survey ethical approval was obtained as suitable (further detail on this has been provided in the title page as per journal guidelines). The response rates for the INNS 1990, NCFS 2005 and, NCFS 2019 were 68%, 63% and, 65% respectively. In the current analyses anthropometric data from 596 children from the NCFS II 2019, 594 children from NCFS 2005 and 148 children from INNS 1990 were included.

Anthropometric measurements

In all three surveys, anthropometric measurements were carried out by trained researchers (qualified dietitian or nutritionists) using standardised techniques. Height, weight, WC, and HC were measured. Children were measured in light clothing, barefoot, with pockets emptied and after voiding. All
weighing scales were calibrated and placed on a hard level surface. No allowance was made for the
weight of clothing. The INNS 1990 recorded weight in duplicate using a Soèhnle digital personal
weighing scales and height using a folding stadiometer to the nearest 0.1kg/0.1cm (CMS Weighing
personal weighing scale (Chasmore Ltd, UK) and height using the Leicester portable height measure
to the nearest 0.1kg/0.1cm (Chasmore Ltd, UK). For NCFS II 2019 weight was taken in duplicate
using a Tanita Body Composition Analyser BC-420MA (Tanita, Ltd, GB), to the nearest 0.1kg.
Height was measured taken to the nearest 0.1cm through use of The Leicester Height Measure (Seca,
Birmingham, UK) stadiometer. In all surveys, children were asked to stand in an upright position
with his/her back to the backboard of the stadiometer. Their heels and buttocks were touching the
backboard of the stadiometer, with their feet together, and the child’s head positioned in the Frankfurt
Plane. WC was measured in duplicate through use of a Seca 201 tape measure (Seca, Birmingham,
UK) in both NCFS 2005 and NCFS II 2019. Measurements were taken on the bare skin, with the
child in a standing position. The fieldworker identified the top of the child’s iliac crest and the bottom
of the child’s rib cage. The measurement was taken at the halfway point between these two sites. The
measurement was recorded to the closest 0.1cm. HC was measured in a similar manner to WC only
measurements were taken across the widest part of the buttocks and over light clothing.

Defining weight status

For the purpose of this analyses, three methods were utilised to determine BMI-derived weight status
for data from 1990, 2005 and 2019: the IOTF age and gender-specific BMI cut-offs, the UK 90 BMI
reference curves and the WHO growth reference, with morbid obesity defined using the IOTF and
the UK 90 cut-offs (14, 15, 24). Two methods were also used to establish abdominal
overweight/obesity, this was computed using data from 2005 and 2019 only as WC was not measured
in 1990. Children who had a WC ≥85th percentile were classified with abdominal overweight status
whilst ≥95th percentile indicated abdominal obesity (25). Additionally, WHtR was computed for the
2005 and 2019 cohorts, with abdominal overweight/obesity classified as a ratio of ≥0.50 (26). The
LMS growth Microsoft Excel Add-in was used to calculate age and sex adjusted z-scores and
percentiles from a reference population for each method for BMI and WC based on the LMS method
(27).

Social class

Social class was assessed through use of a questionnaire where parents/guardians of children gave
details of their employment status. A code based on the occupational description was then allocated
to children which coincided with defined social class groups based on the Irish Census 2016;
professional, managerial and technical workers, non-manual workers, skilled manual workers and semi-skilled and unskilled workers (including students) (22). In cases where more than one occupation was provided resulting in two different social class codes, the participant was allocated to the higher social class group.

Statistical Analysis

Statistical Analysis was performed utilising IBM SPSS statistics software package version 24 (Armonk, NY, US). Mean, standard deviation and median values were calculated for height, weight, BMI, WC, HC, and WHtR for data obtained throughout NCFS II 2019. In order to assess if significant changes had occurred in anthropometric measurements over time a multivariate general linear model adjusted for age and gender (where applicable) was completed with Holms sequential Bonferroni post hoc test used to adjust for multiple comparisons. This was utilised to determine if any statistically significant changes in age-adjusted mean height, weight and BMI had occurred across the three time points; from 1990 to 2005 and 2019. Whilst changes in age-adjusted mean WC, HC and WHtR were examined between 2005 and 2019 only (due to lack of data on WC, HC and WHtR from 1990). The \( \chi^2 \) test was used to examine if the percentage of children with BMI and WC derived overweight and obesity differed over time and to establish differences in overweight and obesity prevalence based on social class. Significance across all tests was defined as \( p < 0.05 \).
Results

No significant difference in gender was apparent between surveys; in INNS 1990 43% of children were girls compared with 51% in NCFS 2005 and 50% in NCFS II 2019 (p=0.21). The age profile of children differed between surveys; the INNS 1990 had an older cohort with a mean age of 10.4 years (±1.2) in comparison to NCFS 2005 and NCFS II 2019 which both had a mean age of 9.0 years (±2.3) (p≤0.001). Table 1 displays the mean, standard deviation and median values of the anthropometric measurements including: height, weight, BMI, WC, HC and WHtR, of Irish children aged 5-12 years who participated in NCFS II 2019, no significant differences in gender were apparent for any of the parameters.

The age-adjusted mean, standard error and 95% confidence intervals for weight, height and BMI of Irish children measured in 1990, 2005 and 2019 are presented in Table 2. Children in 2019 were significantly heavier (3.0 kg) and taller (2.3 cm) in comparison to 1990, with no significant differences observed between 2019 and 2005. The BMI of children in 2019 was significantly lower than that observed in 2005 (-0.6 kg/m2), whilst no significant difference in BMI was evident between 1990 and 2019. In 2019 boys were significantly heavier than those in 1990 (2.6 kg), but not 2005. In contrast, no significant difference in height was observed across the three time points for boys. In comparison to 1990, the BMI of boys was significantly higher in 2005 (0.8 kg/m2), however, no significant difference was observed in BMI between 2019 and 2005 or 2019 and 1990. Similar to what was found for boys, no significant difference in the weight of girls was observed between 2005 and 2019, although in 2019 girls were significantly heavier than girls in 1990 (3.4 kg). In addition, girls in 2019 were significantly taller than those in 1990 (3 cm), but not in comparison to those in 2005. The BMI of girls in 2019 was significantly lower in 2019 in comparison to 2005 (-0.9 kg/m2), however, no significant difference in the BMI of girls was observed between 2019 and 1990. The age-adjusted mean, standard error and 95% confidence intervals for the WC, HC and WHtR of Irish children measured in 2005 and 2019 are also presented in Table 2. In 2019 children had a significantly lower WC (-3.3 cm), HC (-2.2 cm) and WHtR of (-0.02) in comparison to 2005. This change in WC, HC and WHtR remained significant in both genders although the difference was greater in girls than boys.

The prevalence of normal weight (including underweight), overweight, obesity and morbid obesity in Irish children in 1990, 2005 and 2019 are shown in Table 3. The highest prevalence of overweight and obesity was found through application of the WHO growth reference whereas the IOTF and UK90 methods yielded lower rates. Only the IOTF cut-off results will be discussed here as this is the most comparable method with other research in Ireland and internationally. A significantly lower proportion of Irish children had overweight or obesity in 2019 in comparison to 2005; in 2005 24.6%
of children had overweight or obesity in comparison to 16.4% in 2019, which was higher than the
12.2% of children who had overweight or obesity in 1990. In 2019, less boys had overweight or
obesity in comparison to 2005 (13.9% versus 18.8%) whereas, more boys had overweight or obesity
in 2005 in comparison to 1990 (18.8% versus 9.5%) although these differences were not significant.
Conversely, a higher proportion of Irish girls had overweight or obesity in 2005 in comparison to
1990 (30.2% versus 15.9%) whilst, a significantly lower level of overweight and obesity was
observed in girls in 2019 in comparison to 2005 (19.2% versus 30.2%). With respect to morbid
obesity a higher level was observed in 2019 in comparison to 1990 (1.2% versus 0.7%), with a
marginal difference observed between 2005 and 2019 (1.5% versus 1.2%).

Figure 1 illustrates the proportion of Irish children who had overweight or obesity based on their
social class group in 2019. A significant difference in overweight/obesity levels based on social class
group was apparent (p=0.02). Those from the highest social class had the lowest overall prevalence
of overweight/obesity at 13%, in comparison to those from the lowest social class who had the highest
prevalence at 25%. The same pattern was observed for abdominal overweight/obesity as the
proportion of children with a WC ≥85th percentile was significantly higher in the lowest social class
group at 36% in comparison to 20% in the highest social class group (p=0.006).

The proportion of boys and girls classified as having abdominal overweight/obesity based on their
WC and WHtR measurements are displayed in Figure 2A and 2B. In 2005, a higher percentage of
girls had a WC ≥85th percentile than boys (51% versus 42%), however, in 2019, the difference was
less apparent with 24% of boys having a WC ≥85th percentile versus 28% of girls. Similarly, a higher
percentage of girls had a WHtR ≥0.5 in 2005 in comparison to boys (30% versus 19%), however in
2019 this difference was no longer apparent (both 11%).
Discussion

Overall these findings demonstrate that the prevalence of overweight and obesity in Irish children differed across all three time points. In 1990, 12.2% of Irish children had overweight or obesity, however, in 2005 a much higher prevalence was observed at 24.6%. In contrast to this, a significantly lower level of overweight and obesity was observed in 2019 at 16.4% in comparison to 2005. Furthermore, in 2019 overweight and obesity was more prevalent in girls at 19% than boys at 14%, and a significantly lower level of overweight and obesity was found in 2019 in comparison to 2005 in girls but not boys. Differences in overweight and obesity prevalence were evident based on social class, with higher levels observed in children from the lowest social class group in comparison to those from the highest social class group. In addition, significantly less Irish children had abdominal overweight/obesity in 2019 in contrast to 2005, with this decline being greater in girls than boys.

The levels of overweight and obesity in this population are comparable with other reported levels in Irish children and internationally. Ongoing monitoring of overweight and obesity levels in Irish primary school-aged children has been carried out as part of the World Health Organizations Childhood Obesity Surveillance Initiative (COSI) since 2008. Recent findings based on the IOTF cut-offs reported rates of overweight and obesity ranging from 17% to 20% in 2015 (28). Growing Up in Ireland (GUI) is a national longitudinal study of 9-year old children in Ireland which examines weight status using the IOTF protocol. In the most recent findings from the GUI study a higher rate of overweight was reported in comparison to the current study at 17% with the same level of obesity at 5% (29). Although overweight and obesity rates in children living in Ireland are still elevated and urgently need to be addressed, much higher rates of overweight and obesity have been reported in the USA in children aged 2-19 years at 35% (30). Likewise in England, 14% of children aged from 4-11 years have overweight status with a further 15% having obesity (31). In the current analysis the IOTF cut-off’s were used as these were developed to standardise international measurement and intercountry comparisons, however, it must be noted that both the USA and England have their own reference criteria for defining BMI-derived overweight and obesity levels which can lead to differences in prevalence rates between countries (15, 32).

In 2019, a gender difference in overweight and obesity rates was apparent in the current analysis, as a higher proportion of girls had overweight/obesity at 19% compared to boys at 14%. A systematic review examined the prevalence of overweight and obesity in children living in Ireland between 2002 and 2012 and noted that girls consistently had higher rates of overweight and obesity than boys (6). Similar differences were observed in the COSI for 7 year-olds in 2015, as only 13% of boys had overweight/obesity in contrast to 20% of girls with similar observations found in the GUI study (28, 29, 33). Contrastingly, in the USA 6-11-year old boys had a 4% higher rate of obesity in comparison to
Moreover in line with the USA, evidence from across European countries such as Bulgaria, Croatia, France, and Romania amongst others, showed higher rates of obesity in boys than girls (34). Results reported here support the evidence that girls in Ireland have higher rates of overweight/obesity than boys.

Health inequalities resulting from social class have been labelled as one of the main drivers of the obesity epidemic (35). Prevalence’s of overweight and obesity in children in Ireland were starkly different based on social class in 2019. Levels rose steadily from 13% in children from the highest social class group to 25% in those from the lowest, with a comparable pattern observed for abdominal overweight/obesity. Similarly, it has been reported that a higher proportion of children attending disadvantaged schools had overweight/obesity compared to those attending non-disadvantaged schools (36). Furthermore inequalities in overweight/obesity prevalence have also been described in American and British children (37, 38). These findings highlight the continued widening gap in overweight and obesity levels based on social class in children, with those from a lower social class being more predisposed to this disease.

In comparison to overweight and obesity, children with morbid obesity are three times more likely to be experience immediate health issues such as worsened cardiometabolic risk profiles, high blood pressure, and suffer from depression and anxiety (39-42). In 2019, 1.2% of children had morbid obesity, with a slightly higher level of morbid obesity observed in boys at 1.7% than girls at 0.7%. Moreover, a higher prevalence was observed in children from a low socioeconomic background. A similar level of morbid obesity was found in the COSI at 1.5% in 2012/2015 with higher rates occurring in children attending disadvantaged schools (43). Across Europe a recent assessment of the prevalence of morbid obesity found that of the children who had obesity, at least one in four had morbid obesity, with the highest rates observed in Southern Europe (44). In England higher levels of morbid obesity are evident, as 4% of children aged 10-11 years were classified as having morbid obesity and similar to the present analysis boys, had a higher prevalence than girls (31).

A global analysis of overweight and obesity levels showed a dramatic increase in the BMI of children and adolescents living in developing countries within South and East Asia. In contrast, the BMI of children living in many western and high-income countries has stabilised in the past two decades (3). In line with this, since the early 00’s, a non-significant plateauing trend for overweight and, a significant declining trend in obesity rates was identified in Irish children, an observation which is supported by the findings of the current study (6). Similar to what has been found in Canada, some European countries have either reported a significant decrease in the prevalence of childhood overweight and obesity (Greece, Italy, Portugal, and Slovenia) or have seen a stabilisation in rates (Belgium, Czechia, Great Britain, and Norway). In contrast, other European countries such as Latvia,
Bulgaria, and Lithuania have seen the opposite effect with an increase in childhood obesity rates occurring which is also the case in the USA\textsuperscript{(30, 34, 45-48)}.

WC and WHtR measurements offer a benefit over BMI as they provide relevant information about fat distribution and reflect the degree of abdominal overweight/obesity. Compared with BMI-derived overweight and obesity, abdominal overweight/obesity is more strongly linked to metabolic diseases, and CVD risk\textsuperscript{(16, 17)}. Irrespective of the method used to calculate it, a lower level of abdominal overweight/obesity was observed in 2005 in comparison to 2019, with the greatest difference observed in girls at 44%. Between 2008 and 2015 a reduction in abdominal overweight/obesity in the COSI was also noted\textsuperscript{(49)}. Similarly, in the USA a marginal decrease in abdominal overweight/obesity levels occurred between 2003/2004 to 2011/2012 in 6-11-year olds\textsuperscript{(50)}. Ongoing monitoring of WC in children is necessary to establish if these observed modest declines continue.

The Joint Committee on Children and Youth Affairs in Ireland recently described childhood overweight and obesity as the ‘most urgent health concern facing policymakers, parents/guardians, teachers and children’ and emphasised the need for a whole system, collaborative approach to confront this challenge\textsuperscript{(51)}. Recent efforts have focused on reducing overweight and obesity levels by 2025\textsuperscript{(52)}. To date interventions have included the following initiatives; the introduction of a levy on sugar-sweetened beverages in 2019; public health campaigns; the introduction of a National Physical Activity Plan; and the implementation of school-based interventions to promote healthy lifestyle behaviours in primary school-aged children. Considering the findings of this and other similar studies it could be speculated that such interventions may be assisting in the stabilisation and reduction of overweight and obesity levels in children in Ireland. Despite this as suggested by Keane et al. the increased attention and media scrutiny around obesity may have led to a disincentive for children with overweight and obesity and their parents to participate in such studies which examine weight status due to the associated stigma, and thus this may also have played a role in contributing to the observed stabilisation/reduction in rates\textsuperscript{(6)}. Nonetheless, continued monitoring of overweight and obesity rates is essential in order to evaluate the impact of changes to public health policy and public health promotion campaigns.

This study has many strengths such as the comprehensive anthropometric data collected and the methodological similarities between INNS 1990, NCFS 2005, and NCFS II 2019 allowing for direct comparison between data across these time-points. The assessment of BMI, WC and WHtR-derived overweight and obesity also provides robust information on the proportion of children in Ireland who are at risk of the associated co-morbidities of obesity. The limitations should be acknowledged such as the low representation of children from lower social class groups however a statistical weighting
was applied in order to make the sample representative of the Irish population. Additionally the cross-sectional nature of the data included here is a further limitation of this analysis.

In conclusion, this study adds to the evidence that the proportion of Irish children with overweight and obesity appears to be stabilising and was lower in 2019 in comparison to 2005. Findings would encourage in particular the inclusion of children from a lower social class and girls in interventions to further reduce rates of overweight and obesity. Additionally, in order to gain greater insight into the issue of overweight and obesity in children a more-in depth understanding of the contributors to this disease such as diet, lifestyle and, environmental factors in specific populations is imperative, in order to successfully prevent and reduce the occurrence of obesity in our youth.
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>Total Population</th>
<th>Boys</th>
<th>Girls</th>
<th>P value</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Weight (kg)</td>
<td>596 32.52 11.44 29.80</td>
<td>298 32.55 10.54 30.31</td>
<td>298 32.55 10.54 28.60</td>
<td>0.935</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Height (cm)</td>
<td>596 134.26 14.74 134.35</td>
<td>298 134.76 14.66 135.31</td>
<td>298 133.73 14.83 132.75</td>
<td>0.392</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>BMI (kg/m²)</td>
<td>596 17.50 3.02 16.66</td>
<td>298 17.47 2.75 16.77</td>
<td>298 17.53 3.30 16.53</td>
<td>0.812</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>WC (cm)</td>
<td>591 59.30 8.23 57.35</td>
<td>296 59.66 7.55 58.04</td>
<td>295 58.90 8.89 56.49</td>
<td>0.261</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>HC (cm)</td>
<td>591 71.40 9.89 69.90</td>
<td>296 71.41 9.15 70.00</td>
<td>295 71.38 10.65 68.60</td>
<td>0.973</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>WHtR</td>
<td>591 0.44 0.04 0.44</td>
<td>296 0.44 0.04 0.44</td>
<td>295 0.44 0.05 0.43</td>
<td>0.512</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

NCFS, National Children’s Food Survey. n, sample number. SD, standard deviation. kg, kilograms. cm, centimetres. BMI, body mass index. kg/m², kilograms divided by metres squared. WC, waist circumference. HC, hip circumference. WHtR, waist: height ratio.

P value represents the results of an independent samples t-test to examine differences in anthropometric measurements between genders with p<0.05 signifying a significant difference between boys and girls.
Table 2 Anthropometric measurements of children in Ireland in 1990, 2005 and 2019 split by gender

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>INNS 1990</th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th>NCFS 2005</th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th>NCFS II 2019</th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th>p value</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>n</td>
<td>Mean</td>
<td>SE</td>
<td>95% CI</td>
<td>Lower</td>
<td>Upper</td>
<td>Lower</td>
<td>Upper</td>
<td>Lower</td>
<td>Upper</td>
<td>Lower</td>
<td>Upper</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Total</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Weight (kg)</td>
<td>148</td>
<td>29.9a</td>
<td>0.6</td>
<td>28.7</td>
<td>31.1</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>≤0.001</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Height (cm)</td>
<td>148</td>
<td>132.6a</td>
<td>0.5</td>
<td>131.6</td>
<td>133.6</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>≤0.001</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>BMI (kg/m2)</td>
<td>148</td>
<td>16.9a</td>
<td>0.2</td>
<td>16.5</td>
<td>17.4</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>≤0.001</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>WC (cm)</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>HC (cm)</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>WHtR</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Boys</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Weight (kg)</td>
<td>85</td>
<td>30.2a</td>
<td>0.7</td>
<td>28.8</td>
<td>31.6</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>0.003</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Height (cm)</td>
<td>85</td>
<td>133.4a</td>
<td>0.7</td>
<td>132.1</td>
<td>134.7</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>0.070</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>BMI (kg/m2)</td>
<td>85</td>
<td>16.9a</td>
<td>0.3</td>
<td>16.3</td>
<td>17.4</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>0.044</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>WC (cm)</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>&lt;0.001</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>HC (cm)</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>WHtR</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Girls</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>&lt;0.001</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Weight (kg)</td>
<td>61</td>
<td>29.5a</td>
<td>1.0</td>
<td>27.6</td>
<td>31.5</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>0.002</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Height (cm)</td>
<td>61</td>
<td>131.6a</td>
<td>0.8</td>
<td>131.1</td>
<td>133.1</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>≤0.001</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>BMI (kg/m2)</td>
<td>61</td>
<td>16.9a</td>
<td>0.4</td>
<td>16.2</td>
<td>17.7</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>≤0.001</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>WC (cm)</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>HC (cm)</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>WHtR</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

INNS, Irish National Nutrition Survey. NCFS, National Children’s Food Survey. n, sample number. SE, standard error. 95% CI, 95% confidence interval. kg, kilograms. cm, centimetres.
BMI, body mass index. kg/m², kilograms divided by metres². WC, waist circumference. HC, hip circumference. WHtR, waist: height ratio.

*Mean values within a row with unlike superscript letters were significantly different (p<0.05); general linear model comparing weight, height and BMI between 1990, 2005 and 2019, and WC, HC and WHtR between 2005 and 2019 with Holms sequential Bonferroni post hoc test used to adjust for multiple comparisons, models were adjusted for age (all groups) and gender (total group only)*
Table 3: Weight status of Irish children in 1990, 2005 and 2019 as defined by the IOTF, UK90 and WHO cut-offs.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>INNS 1990</th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th>NCFS 2005</th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th>NCFS II 2019</th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th>p value</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>n</td>
<td>N</td>
<td>OW</td>
<td>OB</td>
<td>M O B</td>
<td>n</td>
<td>N</td>
<td>OW</td>
<td>OB</td>
<td>M O B</td>
<td>n</td>
<td>N</td>
<td>OW</td>
<td>OB</td>
<td>M O B</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Total</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>IOTF</td>
<td>148</td>
<td>87.8</td>
<td>10.1</td>
<td>1.4</td>
<td>0.7</td>
<td>594</td>
<td>75.4</td>
<td>17.0</td>
<td>6.1</td>
<td>1.5</td>
<td>596</td>
<td>83.5</td>
<td>11.7</td>
<td>3.5</td>
<td>1.2</td>
<td>0.003</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>UK 90</td>
<td>148</td>
<td>87.8</td>
<td>6.8</td>
<td>4.7</td>
<td>0.7</td>
<td>594</td>
<td>78.5</td>
<td>10.8</td>
<td>7.9</td>
<td>2.9</td>
<td>596</td>
<td>84.3</td>
<td>8.4</td>
<td>4.9</td>
<td>2.4</td>
<td>0.055</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>WHO</td>
<td>148</td>
<td>81.8</td>
<td>12.8</td>
<td>5.4</td>
<td>0.7</td>
<td>594</td>
<td>21.2</td>
<td>11.3</td>
<td>1.5</td>
<td>0.7</td>
<td>596</td>
<td>17.3</td>
<td>7.5</td>
<td>1.3</td>
<td>0.002</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Boys</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>IOTF</td>
<td>85</td>
<td>90.6</td>
<td>7.1</td>
<td>1.2</td>
<td>1.2</td>
<td>293</td>
<td>81.2</td>
<td>15.0</td>
<td>3.1</td>
<td>0.7</td>
<td>298</td>
<td>86.1</td>
<td>10.4</td>
<td>1.8</td>
<td>1.7</td>
<td>0.243</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>UK90</td>
<td>85</td>
<td>89.4</td>
<td>4.7</td>
<td>4.7</td>
<td>1.2</td>
<td>293</td>
<td>80.2</td>
<td>10.6</td>
<td>6.8</td>
<td>2.4</td>
<td>298</td>
<td>84.5</td>
<td>8.1</td>
<td>5.1</td>
<td>2.3</td>
<td>0.551</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>WHO</td>
<td>85</td>
<td>83.5</td>
<td>10.6</td>
<td>5.9</td>
<td>0.7</td>
<td>293</td>
<td>19.1</td>
<td>9.2</td>
<td>1.5</td>
<td>0.7</td>
<td>298</td>
<td>17.8</td>
<td>7.6</td>
<td>1.8</td>
<td>2.3</td>
<td>0.273</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Girls</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>IOTF</td>
<td>63</td>
<td>84.1</td>
<td>14.3</td>
<td>1.6</td>
<td>0.0</td>
<td>301</td>
<td>69.8</td>
<td>18.9</td>
<td>9.0</td>
<td>2.3</td>
<td>298</td>
<td>80.8</td>
<td>13.2</td>
<td>5.3</td>
<td>0.7</td>
<td>0.014</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>UK90</td>
<td>63</td>
<td>85.7</td>
<td>9.5</td>
<td>4.8</td>
<td>0.0</td>
<td>301</td>
<td>76.7</td>
<td>11.0</td>
<td>9.0</td>
<td>3.3</td>
<td>298</td>
<td>84.1</td>
<td>8.8</td>
<td>4.6</td>
<td>2.5</td>
<td>0.164</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>WHO</td>
<td>63</td>
<td>79.4</td>
<td>15.9</td>
<td>4.8</td>
<td>0.7</td>
<td>301</td>
<td>23.3</td>
<td>13.3</td>
<td>13.3</td>
<td>1.5</td>
<td>298</td>
<td>16.7</td>
<td>7.3</td>
<td>7.3</td>
<td>0.005</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

NCFS, National Children’s Food Survey. %, percentage. N, normal weight, OW, overweight, OB, obese, M OB, morbidly obese. IOTF, International Obesity Taskforce cut-off’s. UK 90, British 1990 child growth reference. WHO, World Health Organization growth reference. χ² used to examine if changes occurred in overweight and obesity levels between 1990, 2005 and 2019 where p<0.05 denotes statistically significant changes over time. Prevalence of underweight included in normal weight category.
Figure 1. Prevalence of overweight, obesity and morbid obesity in children based on social class group in NCFS II 2019 as defined by the IOTF cut-offs.

Social class groups based on the Irish Census. The difference in overweight, obesity and morbidity prevalence across social class groups was assessed by \( \chi^2 \) test with a statistically significant difference found between groups at \( p<0.05 \).
Figure 2. The prevalence of abdominal overweight & obesity in boys (A) and girls (B) in 2005 & 2019.

WHtR, waist: height ratio at risk ≥0.50, not at risk <0.50. WC ≥85th percentile, waist circumference ≥85th percentile. The change in abdominal overweight and obesity levels across time points was assessed by $\chi^2$ test with statistical significance denoted by * at $p<0.05$. 
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