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The molecular landscape and associated clinical experience in infant medulloblastoma: prognostic significance of second-generation subtypes


Aims: Biomarker-driven therapies have not been developed for infant medulloblastoma (iMB). We sought to robustly sub-classify iMB, and proffer strategies for personalized, risk-adapted therapies. Methods: We characterized the iMB molecular landscape, including second-generation subtyping, and the associated retrospective clinical experience, using large independent discovery/validation cohorts (n = 387). Results: iMBgrp3 (42%) and iMBSHH (40%) subgroups predominated. iMB Grp3 harboured second-generation subtypes II/III/IV. Subtype II strongly associated with large-cell/anaplastic pathology (LCA; 23%) and MYC amplification (19%), defining a very-high-risk group (0% 10yr overall survival (OS)), which progressed rapidly on all therapies; novel approaches are urgently required. Subtype VII (predominant within iMBgrp4) and subtype IV tumours were standard risk (80% OS) using upfront CSI-based therapies; randomized-controlled trials of upfront radiation-sparing and/or second-line radiotherapy should be considered. Seventy-five per cent of iMBSHH showed DN/MBEN histopathology in discovery and validation cohorts (P < 0.0001); central pathology review determined diagnosis of histological variants to WHO standards. In multivariable models, non-DN/MBEN pathology was associated significantly with worse outcomes within iMBSHH. iMBSHH harboured two distinct subtypes (iMBSHH-I/II). Within the discriminated favourable-risk iMBSHH DN/MBEN patient group, iMBSHH-II had significantly better progression-free survival than iMBSHH-I, offering opportunities for risk-adapted stratification of upfront therapies. Both iMBSHH-I and iMBSHH-II showed notable rescue rates (56% combined post-relapse survival), further supporting delay of irradiation. Survival models and risk factors described were reproducible in independent cohorts, strongly supporting their further investigation and development.
Conclusions: Investigations of large, retrospective cohorts have enabled the comprehensive and robust characterization of molecular heterogeneity within iMB. Novel subtypes are clinically significant and subgroup-dependent survival models highlight opportunities for biomarker-directed therapies.
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Introduction

Medulloblastoma (MB), the most common malignant paediatric brain tumour, accounts for around 10% of childhood cancer deaths. Five-year overall survival (OS) rates of approximately 70% are currently achieved in non-infants (children aged over either 3 or 5 years at diagnosis, depending on national treatment philosophies) using contemporary multimodal therapies (maximal surgical resection, cranio-spinal irradiation (CSI) and adjuvant combination chemotherapy)[1].

Infant medulloblastomas (iMB; ~30% of all MB patients) are associated with a poorer prognosis (5-year OS <60%) and are treated using separate approaches. Current iMB protocols aim to minimize the permanently disabling late effects associated with irradiation of the developing brain by avoidance/delay of CSI [2]. However, this must be balanced with morbidity and mortality, and any potential for salvage using CSI at a later stage [3]. Desmoplastic nodular/medulloblastoma with extensive nodularity (DN/MBEN) pathology [4] (~40% of iMB; favourable risk) is the only clinically adopted prognostic risk factor and is used as a basis for de-escalation of treatment [5]; no molecular biomarkers are in current clinical use.

Recent years have seen significant advances in our understanding of the disease-wide molecular pathology of medulloblastoma. The 2016 World Health Organisation (WHO) classification of brain tumours recognizes four consensus molecular subgroups (MBWNT, MBShH, MBgrp3, and MBgrp4) [4], however, recent studies, enabled by increased cohort sizes and profiling resolution, have identified intra-subgroup heterogeneity and described further molecular subtypes within these subgroups [6-10]. Importantly, subgroup-directed targeted and risk-adapted therapies are now in clinical trials for non-infant medulloblastoma based on evidence from biological studies in large retrospective cohorts and clinical trials [11-13]. An equivalent evidence base does not exist for iMB, which has, to date, typically only been considered biologically as part of disease-wide studies.

The first dedicated studies of the genomic landscape of iMB are only now emerging, including first prospective characterization of clinical trials cohorts [7,14,15]. Initial findings with clinical potential have emerged. iMBShH subtypes have been described, however, studies of their clinical significance have been based on modestly-sized clinical cohorts (n = 25 [14], n = 76 [7] and n = 28 [15]) and findings are inconsistent, potentially due to cohort and treatment differences, and limited statistical power, within these cohorts. These observations now require further investigation. Importantly, these studies have focused on specific subgroups (i.e. DN/MBEN MBShH [7], non-metastatic DN/MBEN MBShH [15]) and have not explored biological and clinical heterogeneity within the majority of iMB (non-DN/MBEN and non-ShH tumours represent ~60-70% of all iMBs).

Critically, large-scale, systematic biological studies are urgently required to establish the molecular landscape across all iMB disease – including incidence, biological and clinical relevance of molecular features and novel subtypes (e.g. Group3/4 subtypes, iMBShH subtypes [10,13,15]) – to support future clinical advances. In view of the limited clinical studies with biological annotation which have been undertaken to date, the collection and characterization of retrospective iMB cohorts offers the prime current opportunity to address these challenges. Importantly, in view of current strategies towards treatment of iMB with radiation-sparing approaches [15,16], and the common historical use of radiotherapy, its impact must be carefully considered in such retrospective studies.

We report comprehensive characterization of the molecular pathology of iMB using large historical cohorts, encompassing discovery in 202 patients with full centrally reviewed clinical and pathological annotation, and validation in 185 independent patients. We demonstrate that iMBs harbour distinct biological
characteristics and clinically significant molecular subtypes within the core molecular subgroups. Using these factors, reproducible molecular subgroup-directed disease sub-classification and risk-stratification models could be derived which are independent of upfront radiotherapy and outperform current clinico-pathological schemes. These models provide a basis for personalized therapies, improved therapeutic strategies and future clinical trials.

**Materials and Methods**

**Study cohorts**

A primary discovery cohort of 202 infant tumours, <5.0 years of age (median 2.61 years) on the date of first-line surgery, was assembled from UK Children’s Cancer and Leukaemia Group (CCLG) institutions and collaborating centres. All patients had systematic central clinical review and follow up ≥5 years. Central review of histological variants was performed to WHO 2016 criteria [4]. Full demographic and clinical data, including treatment protocols, are given in the Tables S1-S2. Importantly, considering the retrospective nature of the cohort, survival and sub-total resection (STR) rates were equivalent across the ascertainment period (data not shown), and patients collected post-1990 received radiotherapy at equivalent rates. A non-infant comparator cohort (patients ages 5–16 years at diagnosis) is detailed in Table S3. Additional independent iMB cohorts [6,8] were used for the discovery and validation of clinical and molecular features and for these, institutional annotation was used. Full details of external cohorts and subsets used thereof are given in Table S3, including cohort selection criteria.

**Procedures**

Tumours were assigned to the four consensus medulloblastoma molecular subgroups (MB<sub>WNT</sub>, MB<sub>SHH</sub>, MB<sub>Grp3</sub> and MB<sub>Grp4</sub>) using established DNA methylation array-based methods [17]. Chromosome arm-level copy number aberrations (CNAs) were derived from these data as previously described [18]. TP53 status was assessed in iMB<sub>SHH</sub> where possible [4]. To identify heterogeneity within iMB<sub>SHH</sub> class discovery was first undertaken using methylation array data for our primary discovery tumour cohort, then applied to two published datasets [6, 8], together totalling 147 iMB<sub>SHH</sub> patients (see Data S1). Tumours were assigned to subgroups using a consensus of non-negative matrix factorization (NMF) [9] and t-SNE/dbSCAN [8] clustering, as previously described. For iMB<sub>Grp3</sub>, second-generation subtypes were assigned to the combined primary discovery and validation cohorts (detailed in the Data S1) according to the ‘Grp3 and Grp4 Classifier’ found at https://www.molecularneuropathology.org/mnp/classifier/7. Accession numbers for DNA methylation array profiles used for the determination of molecular subgroup/subtype status are GSE93646 [9], GSE85218 [8] (Gene Expression Omnibus) and EGAS00001001953 [6] (European Genome-Phenome Archive). Copy number status of MYC and MYCN was defined by consensus of ≥2 of the following methods; iFISH [19,20], MLPA, Affymetrix Genome-Wide Human SNP Array 6.0 and/or Illumina HumanMethylation450 DNA methylation array [18]. Mutational data for KMT2D, SUFU, PTCH1 and TP53 in our primary discovery cohort were generated using the SureSelect target capture system (Agilent) and subsequent sequencing on the Illumina HiSeq2500 instrument.

**Statistical and survival analyses**

All clinico-molecular features assessed in the study are listed in Table S4; associations between features were assessed by Chi-squared and Fisher’s exact tests. Univariable and multivariable Cox proportional hazards tests were used to investigate the association of features with survival. Analysis was performed using SPSS v23 (SPSS, Chicago, U.S.A.) and the R statistical environment (version 3.2.3).

Expanded methodological and statistical detail can be found in the Data S1.

**Results**

Key medulloblastoma features were differentially distributed between iMB (<5.0 years, n = 202) and non-infants (5-16 years, n = 262) (Figure 1a, Figure S1). As expected, iMB<sub>SHH</sub> (63/163, 39%) and iMB<sub>Grp3</sub> (69/163, 42%) were the predominant molecular subgroups and displayed distinct molecular pathologies; iMB<sub>Grp4</sub> was less common (n = 29/163, 18%) and WNT tumours were largely absent (2 patients: 4.7 and 4.9 years old at diagnosis) (Table S5, Figures S1-S2).
Within iMB, survival was equivalent between consensus molecular subgroups (5yr OS; iMBSHH 66% vs. iMBGrp3 50% vs. iMBGrp4 61%, log rank \( P = 0.397 \)) (5yr PFS; iMBSHH 53% vs. iMBGrp3 50% vs. iMBGrp4 65%) (Figure 1b, Table S5). We thus sought to explore the potential of further molecular heterogeneity, including novel subtypes, to account for survival differences within these groups. Fifty-eight per cent of our cohort received upfront cranio-spinal radiation; we therefore sought to understand its interaction with prognostic features.

Within iMBSHH, two robust subgroups were identified in our primary discovery cohort (Figure 2a, Figure S3a-c) using non-negative matrix factorization (NMF) and t-SNE/dbSCAN. These were recapitulated when derived in a larger, combined, cohort that included external cohorts [6,8] not previously used for iMB-specific assessment (Figure S3d-g). iMBSHH was
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**Figure 1.** Biological and clinical features of iMB differ significantly from the non-infant disease. (a) Incidence of demographic, clinical, pathological and biological features across the age range 0-16 years, dotted line represents 5-year age at diagnosis threshold for infant definition in this study. Abbreviations used CLA, classic; DN/MBEN, desmoplastic/nodular, medulloblastoma with extensive nodularity; LCA, large cell/anaplastic; iso17q, isochromosome 17q. (b) Overall survival (OS) Kaplan-Meier plot of children (childhood MB, grey curve), all infants (iMB, black curve) and all infants by molecular subgroup (iMBSHH, red curve; iMBGrp3, yellow curve; iMBGrp4, green curve), with at risk table (number censored in parentheses). \( P \) values are from log-rank test.
highly associated with the recently reported SHH-β group, whereas iMBSHH-II was enriched for SHH-α and -γ (P < 0.0001, Figure S3b) [8]. In view of this, subgroup reproducibility/validation within our study and compatibility of clinico-molecular correlates across studies [7,15], the naming convention of iMBSHH-I and iMBSHH-II was followed for clarity.

iMBSHH overall presented at a younger age (vs. iMBGrp3): median age 1.9 years vs. 2.8 years at diagnosis (P < 0.0001), however, iMBSHH-I tumours presented later than iMBSHH-II (median age 2.0 years vs. 1.4 years, P = 0.026; Figure S3i). Overall, iMBSHH was strongly but not exclusively associated with DN/MBEN pathologies (45/63, 71%) (Figure 2i, P < 0.0001, Figure S4); three DN/MBEN tumours in our cohort were iMBGrp4. However, notable frequencies of CLA (n = 10, 17%) and LCA (n = 4, 7%) tumours were also observed within iMBSHH (Figure 2c). Patients with these non-DN/MBEN MBSHH tumours presented at an older age (P = 0.047, Figure 2d). Mutations in PTCH1 and SUFU were exclusively associated with iMBSHH (PTCH1, 12/26, 46%, P = 0.002; SUFU, 7/26, 27%, P = 0.0071) but were equivalently distributed between iMBSHH-I and iMBSHH-II. Within iMBSHH, iMBSHH-I was significantly enriched for classic pathology (P = 0.04), KMT2D mutations (P = 0.001, exclusive to iMBSHH-I), chromosome 2 gain (P = 0.009) and loss of 20p (P = 0.016) (Figure 2a). iMBSHH-II had a significant enrichment of MBEN pathology (P = 0.049), 9p gain (P = 0.012) and losses of 10q (P = 0.016) and 9q (P < 0.001). Where assessable, these associations validated in independent cohorts (Figure S2).

iMBSHH patients within our cohort were treated heterogeneously, both at diagnosis and relapse (Table S1). We therefore first assessed whether consistent predictors of overall survival were observed across iMBSHH cohorts. STR (HR 6.4, CI 2.2-17.8, P < 0.0001) and DN/MBEN pathology (HR 0.5, CI 0.3-0.8, P = 0.004) as independent prognostic risk factors (Figure 2e), which validated in an independent cohort [8] (Figure S6b). CLA/LCA and/or STR iMBSHH tumours represented a very high-risk group (VHR; 5yr OS 26%), with >5-fold relative-risk (log rank P < 0.0001) compared to totally resected DN/MBEN favourable-risk disease (FR; 5yr OS 93%) (Figure 2f-g). These relationships were observed consistently, independent of whether upfront radiotherapy was received (Figure S5e).

We next assessed novel second-generation molecular subtypes I-VIII [10] within iMBGrp3 and iMBGrp4, and their clinico-molecular correlates, based on a combined analysis of our primary iMBGrp3 discovery cohort and iMBGrp3 tumours derived from two disease-wide external cohorts [6,8] (total n = 146, Table S3). In iMBGrp3, subtypes II (29%), III (21%) and IV (n = 44%) predominated. Subtype IV was significantly associated with an earlier age at diagnosis (<3ys, P < 0.0001), many frequent CNAs and better OS (80% 5yr OS) (Figure 3a-b). Subtype II was enriched for LCA pathology (P < 0.001), MYC amplification (P < 0.0001), i17q (P = 0.001) and gains of chromosome 1q, 5, 6 and 8 (all P < 0.005) and, expectedly given the enrichment of high-risk features, had a relatively poorer 5yr OS of 32%. Subtype III had significantly fewer CNAs than subtypes II and IV (P < 0.001) but no other characteristic features, with a 5yr OS of 38%. iMBGrp3 samples were occasionally, but rarely classified as subtypes V (5yr OS 63%), I (5yr OS 60%) and VII (5yr OS 80%); these subtypes were heavily enriched for iMBGrp4 samples; subtypes VI (5yr OS 80%) and VIII (5yr OS 83%) were exclusively iMBGrp4 (shown for reference; Figure 3a-b). These associations also validated in independent cohorts (Figure S2) [6,8]. Mutations were infrequent in all iMBGrp3 and iMBGrp4 subtypes.

Notably, iMBGrp3 patients in our cohort were commonly treated with upfront radiotherapy, which may contribute to survival rates observed for subtypes IV and VII (i.e. >75% OS, Figure 3). We therefore undertook univariable and multivariable analyses of overall survival within iMBGrp3, considering all clinical, molecular and treatment factors. In univariable analyses, MYC amplification, LCA pathology, receipt of chemotherapy only, isochromosome 17q and subtype II were significantly associated with poorer OS.
(a) Subgroup

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Subgroup</th>
<th>iMBShH-I</th>
<th>iMBShH-II</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Male</td>
<td>0.866</td>
<td>0.315</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Under 3</td>
<td>0.486</td>
<td>0.078</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>STR</td>
<td>0.049</td>
<td>0.237</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Metastasis</td>
<td>0.155</td>
<td>&lt;0.001</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>MYCN</td>
<td>0.009</td>
<td>0.012</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Cavalli et al</td>
<td>&lt;0.001</td>
<td>&lt;0.001</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>PTCH1</td>
<td>0.183</td>
<td>0.009</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>KMT2D</td>
<td>0.012</td>
<td>0.011</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>SUFU</td>
<td>0.016</td>
<td>0.016</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Loss 9q</td>
<td>0.016</td>
<td>0.016</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Loss 10q</td>
<td>0.016</td>
<td>0.016</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Loss 20q</td>
<td>0.016</td>
<td>0.016</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

(b) Image showing histological sections

(c) Image showing overall survival in iMBShH

(d) Graph showing density over age at diagnosis (years)

(e) Table showing overall survival in iMBShH

(f) Diagram showing relationships between subtypes

(g) Graph showing overall survival with risk groups

---
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Figure 2. The molecular landscape of iMBshh and associated retrospective clinical experience. (a) Clinico-pathological and molecular disease features are differentially distributed in iMBshh subgroups. Membership is derived from unsupervised NMF clustering and ISNE/dbSCAN techniques in a combined methylation array dataset (n = 155). Residuals from Fisher’s exact or χ² tests indicate where subgroup enrichment has occurred (darker shades indicate stronger relationships); scale bar for residuals is shown. Black bar, positive for feature; unfilled, negative for feature; grey bar, data unavailable. (b) Case density of age at diagnosis in iMBshh DN/MBEN (red line), iMBshh non-DN/MBEN (pink) and iMBgrp4 DN/MBEN (green) groups. Box and whiskers plot cohort iMBshh. Features which entered multivariable analysis (and statistical test is inset. (c) Univariable and multivariable Cox proportional hazards regression model of overall survival in discovery cohort iMBshh. Features which entered multivariable analysis (P < 0.1, above line; P < 0.05 shown in bold font) are indicated. Cox proportional hazards test is shown either uncorrected (p) or corrected for multiple testing by the Benjamini-Hochberg method (adjusted p). Additional clinical features are shown for reference (gender, age under 3 years, metastasis). (d) Summary of a novel risk-stratification scheme for overall survival in iMBshh. G. Kaplan-Meier plot of iMBshh risk stratification model. At risk table (number censored in parentheses) and log-rank test is shown. Abbreviations: STR, sub-total resection; CLA, classic; LCA, large cell/anaplastic; DN/MBEN, desmoplastic nodular/medulloblastoma with extensive nodularity; SHH, sonic hedgehog; CI, confidence interval; FR, favourable risk; VHR, very high risk; MR+, metastatic disease M2 or above

(…)

were significantly associated with PFS, with considerable overlap with findings observed for OS. In multivariable analyses, MYC amplification (HR 4.1, CI 1.4-11.6, P = 0.008) and chr11 loss (HR 0.1, CI 0.06-0.5, P = 0.002) (Figure 4a) were the only independent risk factors for PFS.

When PFS was considered in the entire iMBshh subgroup, STR (HR 7.2, CI 2.3-22.8, P = 0.001) and DN/MBEN pathology (HR 0.2, CI 0.08-0.61, P = 0.004) (Figure 4b) were the only independent risk factors, consistent with OS findings for iMBshh. When the DN/MBEN iMBshh patient group was discriminated and considered in isolation (n = 37), membership of iMBshh-I was significantly associated with a worse PFS (HR 3.6, CI 1.0-11.8, P = 0.038) (Figure 4c); the only significant predictor among all variables tested (Table S4). In contrast, the iMBshh-I subtype was not significant when considered across all iMBshh Patients, supporting the importance of diagnosing the DN/MBEN variant within iMBshh.

Sixty-two of iMB patients in our primary/discovery cohort relapsed or progressed (iMBshh n = 26; iMBgrp3 n = 25; iMBgrp4 n = 11: Figure 4e). The mean time from diagnosis to relapse was 1.5 years. Twenty-six per cent (14/54) of these patients received cranio-spinal radiotherapy at relapse; 5-year OS in this group was 24 ± 5% and 5-year post-relapse survival (PRS) was 17 ± 4%. For iMBshh-I, DN/MBEN tumours had a greatly superior PRS (5-year PRS 60 ± 14% vs. 9 ± 8% for CLA/LCA) (Figure 4f, Figure S8). The iMBshh-I PR group (defined at diagnosis, Figure 2) had a significantly better PRS than VHR counterparts (Figure S8, 5yr PRS 60% vs. 5%, P = 0.001). Comparable findings were observed when patients who did not
receive upfront radiotherapy were considered alone (n = 24, Figure 4g). Five-year PRS rates were equivalent between the novel iMBSHH subtypes (iMBSHH-I: 30%±16% vs. iMBSHH-II: 33%±15%, P = 0.9).

Post-relapse outcomes were dismal in iMBGp3 (5yr PRS 10%±7%), irrespective of novel subtypes and treatment received at relapse: most deaths (n = 14, 82%) occurred within a year (median 2 months, IQR 0.5-7). Eighty-eight per cent (n = 7/8) of radionave iMBGp3 patients died within 3 months of relapse, despite a period of remission; only 2 patients received second-line radiotherapy due to rapid disease progression (Figure 4e). For iMBGp4, 11/29 patients relapsed (mean time to relapse, 2.5 years; all PRS <2 years).

Integration of our validated subgroup-dependent OS and PFS prognostication schemes (Figure 2, iMBSHH OS; Figure 3, iMBGp3) and iMBGp4 OS; PFS, Figure 4) allow the sub-classification of iMB patients into schema for the stratified delivery of risk-adapted therapies, based on the biomarkers discovered and therapies used in our retrospective cohorts (Figure 5a). Overall risk can be stratified straightforwardly using four validated features; consensus molecular subgroup, pathology variant, extent of resection and MYC amplification. This subgroup-directed model (Figure 5b) significantly outperformed the current, pathology-based, risk stratification [5] (5yr OS AUC 0.744 vs. 0.580) in our cohort, and was independently reproducible (FR 5yr OS 94%; HR 5yr OS 73%; VHR 5yr OS 46%; log-rank P < 0.001; Figure S6). Following definition of DN/MBEN iMBSHH using this model, further distinction of the iMBSHH-I and iMBSHH-II subtypes enables prediction of PFS (Figure 4), while MBGp3/4 subtypes associated with 60-80% OS following upfront CSI are highlighted (Figure 3) for further clinical investigation (Figure 5a).

Discussion

Our analysis of almost 400 iMB tumours provides critical insights into their subgroup-dependent molecular heterogeneity, its clinical relevance and potential for exploitation towards disease sub-classification and improved, risk-adapted, therapies. Assessment of these large retrospective cohorts has enabled robust definition of the nature and reproducibility of molecular subtypes within iMBSHH (types I, II) and iMBGp3 (types I-VII), and their interaction with established disease biomarkers. Consideration of their clinical associations across independent cohorts provides strong supporting evidence for their incorporation into future research studies and clinical application.

Radiation-sparing approaches have been postulated for iMB in an effort to obviate patients from deleterious, often life-limiting, late effects caused by treatment. Any biomarker discovery study based on retrospective cohorts must therefore consider the impact of radiation therapy. Our study identified risk-stratification groups that were reproducible and independent of receipt of radiotherapy. First, DN/MBEN was confirmed as a favourable-risk biomarker in our cohorts, highly associated with iMBSHH. Importantly, a notable proportion of CLA and LCA iMBSHH tumours were observed, which were associated with a very poor prognosis in both discovery and validation cohorts irrespective of therapy, clearly demonstrating that defining subgroup alone is insufficient for risk stratification in iMBSHH.
(a) Progression-free survival in IMB_{path} (b) Univariable analysis

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Variable</th>
<th>Hazard Ratio</th>
<th>95% CI</th>
<th>p</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>MYC amplification</td>
<td>4.9-26.7</td>
<td>1.9-6.8</td>
<td>0.01</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>LCA pathology</td>
<td>2.5-11.8</td>
<td>1.8-6.5</td>
<td>0.001</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>CTX only</td>
<td>1.3-2.8</td>
<td>0.001</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>subtype IV</td>
<td>0.1-0.6</td>
<td>0.001</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>subtype II</td>
<td>0.6-8.3</td>
<td>0.002</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>CTX and RTX</td>
<td>0.3-0.8</td>
<td>0.002</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>focal RTX</td>
<td>0.1-0.5</td>
<td>0.002</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>11q loss</td>
<td>0.2-0.7</td>
<td>0.002</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>C6I RTX</td>
<td>0.9-0.8</td>
<td>0.003</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>lox17q</td>
<td>1.3-6.7</td>
<td>0.005</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>17q gain</td>
<td>1.1-2.3</td>
<td>0.01</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>10 loss</td>
<td>0.3-0.9</td>
<td>0.028</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>13q loss</td>
<td>0.2-0.9</td>
<td>0.04</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>15q loss</td>
<td>0.2-1.0</td>
<td>0.075</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Variable</th>
<th>Hazard Ratio</th>
<th>95% CI</th>
<th>p</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Male</td>
<td>0.6-3.4</td>
<td>0.378</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Age under 3</td>
<td>0.8-3.5</td>
<td>0.204</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>STR</td>
<td>0.5-3.3</td>
<td>0.386</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

(b) Multivariable analysis

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Variable</th>
<th>Hazard Ratio</th>
<th>95% CI</th>
<th>p</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>MYC amplification</td>
<td>1.4-11.6</td>
<td>0.008</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>11q loss</td>
<td>0.6-0.5</td>
<td>0.002</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

(d) Progression-free survival (proportion)

- IMB_{path*:} 50%
- Radiotherapy: 38%
- IMB_{path*:} 50%
- Radiotherapy: 50%

(e) Consensus subgroup

- MYC
- STR
- CTX
- RTX
- Pathology
- Progression
- Relapse
- Time to relapse < 1 yr
- Death post relapse
- Time to death < 3 yr

(f) Radiotherapy at diagnosis (proportion)

- SHH and DN/MBEN: 64%
- SHH and CLA or LCA: 60%
- Pathology variant: IMB_{path*}

(g) Radiotherapy at relapse (proportion)

- SHH and DN/MBEN: 0%
- SHH and CLA or LCA: 0%
- Pathology variant: IMB_{path*}
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Two discrete and reproducible molecular subtypes – iMBShH-I and iMBShH-II – were discriminated in our analysis of a unified iMBShH cohort totalling 155 tumours, encompassing patients from three independent studies [6,8]. This further supports the reproducibility of these molecular subtypes, as reported in previous studies [7,8,14,15], and defines their characteristics in large cohorts. Following discrimination of the favourable-risk iMBShH DN/MBEN group, definition of iMBShH molecular subtypes enabled prediction of PFS within our cohort, as a potential basis for the stratified delivery of upfront therapy. DN/MBEN iMBShH-II had significantly improved PFS over iMBShH-I independent of whether upfront radiotherapy was received. The prognostic significance of iMBShH-I and iMBShH-II subtypes differs between reported studies, which likely relates to differences in therapy and statistical power. Our study (large retrospective cohort; n = 37 DN/MBEN iMBShH, mixed therapies) and SJYC03 (clinical trial; n = 42 DN/MBEN iMBShH, risk-adapted therapies; no differences between low-, intermediate- and high-risk strata [7]) showed improved PFS for iMBShH-II. Conversely, HIT-2000 (clinical trial; n = 28 non-metastatic DN/MBEN iMBShH, intraventricular methotrexate therapy [15]) reported equivalent PFS for both groups. Two further cohorts, ACNS1221 (clinical trial; n = 25 DN/MBEN iMBShH, conventional systemic chemotherapy without intraventricular methotrexate [14]) and the HIT group/Burdenko Institute validation cohort (retrospective cohort; n = 48 DN/MBEN iMBShH, mixed therapies [15]) did not show a statistically significant difference in PFS between iMBShH-I and iMBShH-II subtypes.

Controlled clinical trials using stratified therapeutic approaches should be considered for the DN/MBEN iMBShH-II patient group, aimed at resolving its interaction with different therapies and minimizing therapy-induced late effects, while maintaining OS rates. We observed equivalent rates of rescue, which commonly involved radiotherapy, in both iMBShH subtypes, further supporting such trials of risk-adapted therapies.

Our studies also reveal clinically actionable subgroups within iMBGrp3. LCA pathology and MYC amplification are enriched in subtype II. Together or in isolation, they define a VHR group associated with a dismal prognosis (10yr OS 0%) and a short time to death, whether or not upfront radiation was received. These patients are refractory to current conventional treatments and often progress rapidly, with many not surviving to initiation of adjuvant therapy.

A series of better prognosis subgroups within iMBGrp3 and iMBGrp4 were noted. These include subtype IV (defined by many frequent CNAs [21]; 80% 5yr OS), subtype VII (iMBGrp4 enriched; 80% OS) and non-MYC/non-LCA iMBGrp3 (73% OS). However, the overwhelming majority (>75%) of these patients received standard upfront radiotherapy, and therefore the prognostic relevance of these groups in the radionuiva iMB setting, and the associated use of post-relapse radiotherapy as a rescue strategy, requires further assessment.

We also assessed iMB prognostic factors defined in previous historical studies, in our cohort [5]. Metastatic disease was enriched in iMBGrp3, but was not significantly associated with poorer survival (Figure 3). Its prognostic relevance, and its interaction with radiotherapy, thus remains unconfirmed in this patient group. Similarly, in a previous iMB cohort, STR was
significantly associated with poorer OS [5]. Our analysis demonstrated its independent prognostic significance in iMBSHH; while this may reflect historic surgical practices, outcomes for, and rates of, STR were equivalent across our collection period. Receipt of upfront focal radiotherapy was not associated with improved PFS within iMBSHH (data not shown). However, receipt of focal radiotherapy was associated with improved PFS (compared to no irradiation) in iMBGrp3 in univariable analyses. This finding is likely contributed to by the high frequency of very-high-risk iMBGrp3 patients in our cohort who received no radiotherapy at all, likely due to a clinical decision to palliate at diagnosis.

To allow maximal inclusion and assessment of clinico-biological relationships we selected patients up to 5 years of age for analysis, however, applying our subgroup-directed survival model reached equivalent findings when restricted to patients under 3 years old in our cohort (Figure S9). This, coupled with the recent identification of age-dependent molecular subtypes within MBShH (MBShH-Infant, <4.3 years vs. MBShH-Childhood) [9], suggests that the definition of the infant disease should include patients at the upper end of the 3-5 age range in current clinical use.

As discussed for DN/MBEN iMBShH and its subtypes, both treatment and prognostic effects may differ between clinical studies. Similarly, our retrospective study encompassed patient cohorts treated with mixed protocols. As far as possible, we controlled for age and therapy type in our survival analyses and risk modelling, and validated findings across large independent cohorts. This has enabled the identification and validation of clinically actionable biological subgroups with distinct and reproducible disease behaviours (favourable-risk DN/MBEN iMBShH, very-high-risk LCA/MYC iMBGrp3), which are independent of treatment. Cohort-specific or treatment-dependent effects, particularly with regard to emerging therapeutic concepts in iMB (e.g. high-dose chemotherapy, intrathecal therapies) must be considered in future clinically controlled studies.

In summary, assessment of the molecular pathology of iMB in large historic cohorts has allowed the robust characterization of each iMB molecular subgroup and the novel molecular subtypes they harbour. Almost a third of iMB can be reclassified into a VHR group, which, based on their dismal outcomes and rapid disease course, should be urgently considered for novel upfront therapeutic approaches, such as anti-MYC therapeutics [22]. Prognostic subtypes within FR groups (e.g. DN/MBEN iMBShH-I, iMBShH-II) offer opportunities to direct the stratified use of upfront therapies. Identified HR iMB groups, with 60-80% survival rates using CSI-based regimes, are suitable for investigation in randomized clinical trials.
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