

Modulation of Mn³⁺ Spin State by Guest Molecule Inclusion

Kühne, I. A., Esien, K., Gavin, L. C., Müller-Bunz, H., Felton, S., & Morgan, G. G. (2020). Modulation of Mn³⁺ Spin State by Guest Molecule Inclusion. *Molecules (Basel, Switzerland)*, *25*(23), Article 5603. https://doi.org/10.3390/molecules25235603

Published in:

Molecules (Basel, Switzerland)

Document Version: Publisher's PDF, also known as Version of record

Queen's University Belfast - Research Portal:

Link to publication record in Queen's University Belfast Research Portal

Publisher rights Copyright 2020 the authors.

This is an open access article published under a Creative Commons Attribution License (https://creativecommons.org/licenses/by/4.0/), which permits unrestricted use, distribution and reproduction in any medium, provided the author and source are cited.

General rights

Copyright for the publications made accessible via the Queen's University Belfast Research Portal is retained by the author(s) and / or other copyright owners and it is a condition of accessing these publications that users recognise and abide by the legal requirements associated with these rights.

Take down policy The Research Portal is Queen's institutional repository that provides access to Queen's research output. Every effort has been made to ensure that content in the Research Portal does not infringe any person's rights, or applicable UK laws. If you discover content in the Research Portal that you believe breaches copyright or violates any law, please contact openaccess@qub.ac.uk.

Open Access

This research has been made openly available by Queen's academics and its Open Research team. We would love to hear how access to this research benefits you. - Share your feedback with us: http://go.qub.ac.uk/oa-feedback





Article Modulation of Mn³⁺ Spin State by Guest Molecule Inclusion

Irina A. Kühne ^{1,†}^(D), Kane Esien ², Laurence C. Gavin ¹, Helge Müller-Bunz ¹, Solveig Felton ²^(D) and Grace G. Morgan ^{1,*}^(D)

- ¹ School of Chemistry, University College Dublin (UCD), Belfield, D04 V1W8 Dublin, Ireland; irina.kuhne@ucd.ie (I.A.K.); laurence.c.gavin@ucd.ie (L.C.G.); helge.muellerbunz@ucd.ie (H.M.-B.)
- ² School of Mathematics and Physics, Queen's University Belfast, Belfast BT7 1NN, UK; K.Esien@qub.ac.uk (K.E.); S.Felton@qub.ac.uk (S.F.)
- * Correspondence: grace.morgan@ucd.ie
- + Present address: FZU-Institute of Physics-Czech Academy of Sciences, Na Slovance 1999/2, 182 21 Prague 8, Czech Republic.

Academic Editor: Sergey G. Ovchinnikov

Received: 10 November 2020; Accepted: 26 November 2020; Published: 28 November 2020



Abstract: Spin state preferences for a cationic Mn^{3+} chelate complex in four different crystal lattices are investigated by crystallography and SQUID magnetometry. The $[MnL_1]^+$ complex cation was prepared by complexation of Mn^{3+} to the Schiff base chelate formed from condensation of 4-methoxysalicylaldehyde and 1,2-bis(3-aminopropylamino)ethane. The cation was crystallized separately with three polyatomic counterions and in one case was found to cocrystallize with a percentage of unreacted 4-methoxysalicylaldehyde starting material. The spin state preferences of the four resultant complexes $[MnL_1]CF_3SO_3 \cdot xH_2O$, (1), $[MnL_1]PF_6 \cdot xH_2O$, (2), $[MnL_1]PF_6 \cdot xsal \cdot xH_2O$, (2b), and $[MnL_1]BPh_4$, (3), were dependent on their ability to form strong intermolecular interactions. Complexes (1) and (2), which formed hydrogen bonds between $[MnL_1]^+$, lattice water and in one case also with counterion, showed an incomplete thermal spin crossover over the temperature range 5–300 K. In contrast, complex (3) with the BPh₄⁻, counterion and no lattice water, was locked into the high spin state over the same temperature range, as was complex (2b), where inclusion of the 4-methoxysalicylaldehyde guest blocked the H-bonding interaction.

Keywords: spin crossover; Mn³⁺; Schiff base; hexadentate; supramolecular; guest inclusion

Academic Editor: Sergey G. Ovchinnikov

1. Introduction

Crystalline forms of spin crossover (SCO) transition metal complexes are well known to be sensitive to lattice contents, and crystal engineering can be used to a good effect to modulate the thermal evolution pathway. This has been convincingly demonstrated in mononuclear complexes of Fe²⁺ [1–6], Fe³⁺ [7–10] and Co²⁺ [11–14] and with SCO 1-D [15–18], 2-D [19–23] and 3-D [24–27] polymeric networks. Intermolecular interactions including hydrogen bonding [28–30] and π – π stacking [16,31–35] can be used to connect SCO-active sites and to change internal lattice pressure. Hydrogen-bonding is a particularly effective tool in modulating SCO and this approach was successfully used to generate an extended homochiral 2D sheet of mixed valence Fe²⁺/Fe³⁺ sites, which exhibited SCO and light induced excited spin state trapping (LIESST) [36]. In our own work with the [Mn(R-sal₂323)]⁺ series of Schiff base complexes, we have established that thermal SCO is possible in the less studied *d*⁴ ion Mn³⁺ [37–46]. The hexadentate ligand type used in this approach results from condensation of 1,2-bis(3-aminopropylamino)ethane with a substituted 2-hydroxybenzaldehyde, and the ligand series may be abbreviated as R-Sal₂323 to indicate the 323 alkyl connectivity in the starting tetraamine and the

substitution (R) on the phenolate ring. Changing lattice solvation and/or the identity of the polyatomic charge-balancing counterion X^- in the [Mn(R-sal₂323)]X complex type has enabled examination of the effect of crystal engineering on the choice of the spin state and has yielded a variety of thermal evolution profiles [38,41,42,44]. Recently we have determined that use of the more sterically demanding naphtholate donor in place of a substituted phenolate stabilizes the rare spin triplet form of Mn³⁺ up to room temperature in crystalline samples, regardless of choice of counterion.[43] We also showed that in the naphtholate donor series, introduction of ethanol solvate guest molecules to the lattice changes the preferred electronic configuration of the Mn³⁺ to the spin quintet form, and in neither case (spin triplet or spin quintet) did we observe thermal SCO [43]. This latter result nicely demonstrates the delicate interplay between lattice contents and choice of spin paired or unpaired arrangement in Mn³⁺ and we build on this result now to demonstrate the effect of introducing a larger guest molecule. This was achieved by the serendipitous cocrystallization of some unreacted starting aldehyde during preparation of $[Mn(4-methoxy-sal_2323)]^+$ complexes with a variety of counterions designed to help $(CF_3SO_3^-, PF_6^-)$ or hinder (BPh₄⁻) hydrogen-bond formation. A magnetostructural study of the resultant complexes [MnL₁]CF₃SO₃·xH₂O, (1), [MnL₁]PF₆·xH₂O, (2), [MnL₁]PF₆·xsal·xH₂O, (2b), and [MnL₁]BPh₄, (3), enabled comparison of the effect of damping intermolecular interactions by the use of a counterion ion where there is no potential for hydrogen bonding (BPh₄⁻), with the effect of increasing the distance between SCO sites by inclusion of a guest molecule.

2. Results

2.1. Synthetic Approach

The reaction of 4-methoxysalicylaldehyde in a 2:1 ratio with 1,2-bis(3-aminopropylamino)-ethane, led to the formation of the Schiff base ligand H₂L₁, which is a suitable hexadentate ligand to chelate a Mn^{3+} centre. This resulted in the formation of dark red/black crystals of complexes (1)–(3), which were prepared in a one-pot synthesis, Scheme 1. The structures of all compounds were established by single crystal X-ray diffraction and the bulk samples were then fully characterized using elemental analysis, IR spectroscopy and magnetic measurements. Complexes (1)–(3) were formed by a salt metathesis procedure with the target counterions introduced as their group 1 salts, for example sodium as indicated in Scheme 1. This method led to the successful crystallization of [Mn(4-methoxy-sal₂323)]⁺ (hereafter termed [MnL₁]⁺) in the preferred crystal lattice. The use of the triflate salt led to the formation of hydrated species [MnL₁]CF₃SO₃·0.7H₂O (1) while the use of the larger, non-hydrogen-bonding tetraphenylborate salt yielded [MnL₁]BPh₄ (3). Salt metathesis with hexafluorophosphate yielded [MnL₁]PF₆·0.5H₂O (2) and its inclusion complex [MnL₁]PF₆·xsal·xH₂O, (2b) in two separate preparation attempts. In the case of complexes (1), (2) and (2b) the fraction of solvation/guest molecule present in the bulk samples used for magnetic measurements was estimated from elemental analysis of the microcrystalline samples, see Section 4.2.



Scheme 1. Synthesis of [MnL₁]X complexes (1)–(3).

Synthesis and Characterization of Compounds (1)–(3)

In the case of the single crystals used in the diffraction experiments the solvent/guest could not be determined crystallographically in terms of atomic sites. Therefore, Platon SQUEEZE [47] was used to compensate for the spread electron density, leading to the respective molecular formulae listed in Table A1.

2.2. Magnetic Characterisation

The magnetic susceptibility of the bulk samples of compounds (1)–(3) was measured using a SQUID magnetometer and the data were collected from 300 K down to 5 K under an applied dc field of 1000 Oe, Figure 1. No thermal hysteresis was detected on warming back to room temperature and plots of $\chi_{\rm M}T$ versus T are shown in the cooling mode only, Figure 1.



Figure 1. Plots of $\chi_M T$ versus T for complexes (1) (yellow), (2) (green), (2b) (red) and (3) (blue) in the temperature range 5–300 K in the cooling mode.

Both hydrated compounds (1) and (2) exhibited an incomplete thermal spin transition. Below 100 K both exist in the low spin state with $\chi_M T$ values close to the expected spin-only value of 1.0 cm³·K/mol for S = 1, assuming g = 2. Above 100 K both show SCO upon warming, with a gentle sigmoidal pathway, although neither reached the pure high-spin state by 300 K. T_{1/2} values were determined to be 217 K for (1) and 242 K for (2). In sharp contrast both complexes (2b) and (3) were in the spin quintet form over the same temperature range with $\chi_M T$ values close to the expected spin only value of 3.0 cm³K/mol for a monomeric Mn³⁺ complex with S = 2 and g = 2.

2.3. Structural Characterisation of Compounds (1)-(3)

Complexes (1) and (2) crystallize isostructurally in monoclinic space group $P2_1/c$ with Z = 4 where the asymmetric unit contains a full $[MnL_1]^+$ cation and one full triflate or one full hexafluorophosphate anion, respectively, Figure 2. The hexadentate Schiff base ligand chelates the Mn^{3+} centre in *pseudo* octahedral geometry with two *trans*-phenolate donors, O1 and O3, two *cis*-amine and two *cis*-imine donor atoms, in the same way as previously observed for manganese complexes with this ligand type [37–46,48]. Residual electron density in both data sets was modelled as part occupancy water molecules using Platon SQUEEZE [47]. Data for complex (2) was collected at 100 K and 293 K on two different crystals and the water content was modelled as half-occupancy and full occupancy respectively (Table A1). Compound **2b**, with partial occupancy of guest molecule 4-methoxysalicylaldehyde, crystallizes in the monoclinic space group $P2_1/n$ with Z = 4. Occupancy of the lattice contents was refined with 0.3 molecules of water and 0.3 molecules of unreacted 4-methoxysalicylaldehyde, yielding a formula of [MnL₁]PF₆·0.3H₂O·0.3sal for the crystalline sample. The benzene ring of the disordered salicylaldehyde was restrained to be regular using SADI and FLAT. Rigid Bond (RIGU) restraints were applied to all non-hydrogen atoms of this molecule. Note that elemental analysis of the bulk sample used in the SQUID measurements showed a better fit to [MnL₁]PF₆·0.4H₂O·0.1sal so the latter formula was used in the calculation of molar magnetic susceptibility. The cell parameters of a = 17.2 Å, b = 9.6 Å and c = 19.0 Å for complex (**2b**) suggest similar dimensions to complex (**2**), (a = 8.0 Å, b = 20.8 Å and c = 16.2 Å), which was modelled as having 0.5 molecules of water in the crystal lattice. An overlay of the complex cations in (**2**) and (**2b**) is shown in Figure 2b.

Complex (3) crystallizes in the triclinic space group *P*1 with Z = 2 and does not contain any guest molecules, the asymmetric unit comprises one unique [MnL₁]⁺ cation and one well ordered full occupancy BPh₄⁻ counterion.



Figure 2. (a) View of complex cation and counterion in complex (2) at 100 K, which was modelled with one half-occupancy water molecule, $[MnL_1]PF_6 \cdot 0.5H_2O$ (water molecule and hydrogen atoms omitted for clarity); (b) structural overlap of the cationic species of complex (2), $[MnL_1]PF_6 \cdot 0.5H_2O$ (orange) and (2b), $[MnL_1]PF_6 \cdot 0.3H_2O \cdot 0.3sal$ (purple) at 100 K.

In Mn³⁺ SCO compounds of the [Mn(R-sal₂323)]⁺ type, the average bond lengths change upon spin transition, but only significantly for the amine and imine bonds in the equatorial positions. The Mn-N_{imine} bond lengths are typically 1.95–2.00 Å in the spin triplet form, increasing to 2.10–2.15 Å in the spin quintet state, while the Mn-N_{amine} bond lengths change from 2.00–2.10 to 2.20–2.30 Å during a spin transition [41]. The bond lengths of complexes (1)–(3) (Table 1) indicate that the SCO complexes (1) and (2) had typical low spin bond lengths at 100 K while high spin complexes (2b) and (3) had those typical for the S = 2 spin state. Upon warming, the bond lengths of complexes (1) and (2) show the expected equatorial elongation (Table 1) indicative of the transition towards the high spin state. The room temperature bond lengths of complexes (1) and (2) show that both compounds have not yet fully reached the pure spin quintet state, which is in good agreement with the magnetic data. This behaviour has also been observed in other Mn³⁺ SCO compounds, which show a gradual and incomplete thermal crossover [37,44], whereas the full transition to high-spin almost always leads to Mn-N_{amine} bond lengths above 2.2 Å [40,42].

In complexes (1) and (2) the increase in bond lengths in the equatorial plane led to a displacement of the benzene ring, which can be seen in the view of the overlaid structures in Figure 2b where

the structural differences between the low spin compound (2) and the high-spin compound (2b) are highlighted. While most of the flexible backbone part of the Schiff base ligand overlapped almost perfectly, there were discrepancies visible in the benzene rings and the peripheral methoxy substituents.

Mn-X	OTf- (1)	OTf- (1)	PF ₆ ⁻ (2)	PF ₆ ⁻ (2)	PF ₆ - (2b)	BPh4 ⁻ (3)
Temp. (K)	100	293	100	293	100	100
Mn-O _{phen}	1.874	1.872	1.881	1.876	1.879	1.866
	1.894	1.885	1.885	1.879	1.881	1.876
Mn-N _{imine}	1.977	2.028	1.983	2.035	2.083	2.079
	1.990	2.068	1.990	2.088	2.139	2.131
Mn-N _{amine}	2.056	2.139	2.054	2.144	2.216	2.237
	2.063	2.161	2.061	2.179	2.279	2.268
Spin State	S = 1		S = 1		S = 2	S = 2

Table 1. Mn-donor bond lengths in complexes (1)–(3).

Hexacoordinated Mn^{3+} compounds exhibit a stronger distortion of the octahedral environment in the S = 2 state than in the almost perfect octahedral geometry observed in the S = 1 state due to the Jahn-Teller effect in the high spin form. The degree of distortion, analysed by the distortion parameters Σ and Θ as defined by McKee et al. [49] highlights the local angular deviation from the *cis* octahedral angles of 90°, while Θ measures the trigonal torsion, which is defined as the degree of twist from a perfect octahedron towards trigonal prismatic geometry. Both values are zero in the case of a perfect octahedron, and the reported literature values for Mn³⁺ compounds are shown in Table 2. [41,44].

Table 2. Typical Angular (Σ) and Trigonal (Θ) distortion values for spin triplet and quintet forms of Mn³⁺.

Spin State	Σ	Θ
S = 1 $S = 2$	28°–45° 48°–80°	79°–125° 135°–230°

 Σ and Θ have been calculated using OctaDist 2.6.1 [50] and the observed parameters are summarized in Table 3, which reflect the structural distortion due to the different spin states of the molecules. At 100 K, compounds (1) and (2) clearly exhibit Σ and Θ values which confirm that both are in the low spin state at this temperature. Upon warming, the values of both complexes increase slightly to $\Sigma = 45.3^{\circ}$ for (1) (46.3° for (2)) and $\Theta = 140.3^{\circ}$ for 1 (145.7° for (2)), which reflected the gradual spin state change within these compounds. In contrast, analysis of the distortion in compounds (2b) and (3), which were determined by magnetometry to be high-spin over the whole measured temperature range, had high Σ and Θ values, confirming the S = 2 assignment. Even though the angular distortion parameter, Σ , is high for these latter two, the values are still within the range that was reported for other SCO Mn³⁺ compounds [41,44], whereas the Θ values of both are higher than what has been reported before. Olguin has recently summarized the Σ values of Mn³⁺ complexes of the [Mn(R-Sal-323]⁺ type, and shows that Σ values above 70° often lead to complexes that are locked in the high-spin state [48]. The fact that this is not necessarily always the case can be seen in the [Mn(3-MeO-Sal-323-]NO₃ complex [37]. This complex has a Σ value of 70.7° in the high spin state, with Θ values of 129.8° in the low spin state and 222.3° in the high spin state, while still being able to undergo spin transition, in contrast to related complexes with lower Σ values, which are locked in the high spin state [48]. It appears that there is a threshold for the Σ values at around 70° but it is not exact, as SCO is observed in some Mn^{3+} complexes with Σ values higher than 70°. On the other hand, the Θ values may be a more reliable indicator of SCO in Mn³⁺, as it seems that for Θ values higher than 250°, the compounds are not able to undergo a spin transition and will remain in the high spin state. This demonstrates the considerable elastic flexibility of the $[MnL_1]^+$ complex type, which can

accommodate bulky anions and neutral molecules in the crystal lattice, resulting in deformation of the complex cation with the possible loss of SCO behaviour.

OTf⁻ (1) OTf- (1) PF_{6}^{-} (2) PF_{6}^{-} (2) PF₆⁻ (2b) BPh₄⁻ (3) Temp. (K) 100 293 100 293 100 100 Σ 45.3 30.8 46.3 72.1 71.1 30.4Θ 87.1 140.3 85.9 145.7 274.5 256.6 Spin State S = 1S = 2 S = 2S = 2

Table 3. Distortion angle parameters, Σ (angular deviation at the origin) and Θ (trigonal torsion angle) for all [MnL₁]X complexes (1)–(3).

Examination of the intermolecular arrangements in complexes (1)-(3) revealed that only the two complexes that exhibited thermal SCO, complexes (1) and (2), make strong intermolecular contacts (See Supplementary Materials Figures S1–S4). These were by way of hydrogen bonding, which in the case of triflate complex (1) connected the complex cation to the counterion via the guest water molecule at both 100 K and 293 K (Figures S1 and S2). In the case of the hexafluorophosphate complex, a hydrogen bond was confirmed between the complex cation and partial occupancy water molecule at both measured temperatures (Figures S3 and S4), but the nature of the interaction with the counterion was less clear, especially given the significant distortion of the PF_6^- counterion in the 100 K structure. In marked contrast both high spin complexes (2b) and (3) had no intermolecular interactions with the complex cation and this likely contributed to the locking-in of the spin quintet state over the thermal range. In complex (2b) the partial occupancy guest water and 4-methoxysalicylaldehyde molecules were highly disordered but were distinct from the $[MnL_1]^+$ cation and PF_6^- counterion, the latter two forming neither hydrogen bonds nor π - π interactions, Figure S5. Thus the [MnL₁]⁺ spin carrier was isolated in this lattice with the large guest molecule, Figure S6, and persisted in a fixed spin state while the temperature was reduced. A similar fixed spin-state response was observed in complex (3) with the largest counterion, BPh₄⁻, which was not disposed to form hydrogen bonds, and which also therefore tended to isolate the complex cation, thereby preventing SCO [41].

3. Discussion

A magnetostructural examination of a new series of SCO and high spin salts of the [MnL₁]⁺, complex cation, in which L₁ is the 4-methoxy-sal₂323 hexadentate Schiff base ligand, revealed the delicate dependence of the complex spin state on lattice contents. This is because the geometry of the immediate coordination sphere of the Mn³⁺ ion will be subject to different degrees of local distortion depending on the identity of the lattice partners in the space between spin-labile $[MnL_1]^+$ cations. This in turn impacts on the ability of the coordination sphere to undergo the significant rearrangement, which is required in spin state switching. The lattice partners, comprising counterions, and/or guest molecules such as solvent or unreacted starting materials, will have both a different volume and a different capacity for forming intermolecular interactions. A thermal spin transition typically necessitates a large change in volume and/or distortion as the antibonding orbitals are depopulated on cooling. This is especially relevant in ions which have a strong distortion in either spin state, as is the case with the Jahn-Teller ions Mn³⁺ and Co²⁺, which have marked distortions in the high spin and low spin forms respectively [48]. The Jahn-Teller effect is also relevant in some Fe^{2+} systems [5]. In the case of the complexes reported here two factors may be at work: Firstly, the inclusion of sterically demanding lattice partners such as a guest 4-methoxysalicylaldehyde molecule or large BPh₄⁻ counterion fills up the void space and causes a marked distortion of the coordination geometry around the Mn³⁺ ion in the high spin state. Thus, the degree of distortion in the high spin forms, as revealed by the Θ angle (Table 3), is higher in those complexes which do not show SCO but which are locked into the high spin form over the temperature range. In contrast those complexes that can

undergo SCO, complexes (1) and (2), show less distortion in their high spin forms, Table 3, suggesting that there is less strain with the less sterically demanding PF_6^- and $CF_3SO_3^-$, counterions.

Secondly it appears that hydrogen bonding plays an important role in coupling the spin labile complex cation to the lattice, and in its absence the complex can get "stuck" in one spin state, in the case of $[MnL_1]^+$ this is the spin quintet form. The connectivity of the complex cation can be modulated by choice of counterion: use of counterions with oxygens and fluorines such as PF_6^- and $CF_3SO_3^-$, enables efficient hydrogen bond formation with one of the phenoxy donors on the complex and SCO ensues. Substitution with BPh_4^- rules out hydrogen bonding and there is a concomitant loss of spin state lability for the cation in this lattice. We also established here that guest molecules other than the solvent could be accommodated, and inclusion of partial occupancy 4-methoxysalicylaldehyde in one of the synthetic attempts to make the PF_6^- complex, blocks the hydrogen bonding that was previously observed with this combination and the SCO is quenched. The importance of crystal engineering in modulating spin state preferences in such Mn^{3+} chelates is clear and our work on related systems continues to try to establish the extent of the phenomenon in such materials.

4. Materials and Methods

4.1. Materials and Physical Measurements

All chemicals and solvents if not otherwise mentioned were purchased from chemical companies and were reagent grade. They were used without further purification or drying. All reactions were carried out under ambient conditions. All measurements were carried out on powdered samples of the respective polycrystalline compound. Elemental analysis (C, H and N) were performed using a Perkin Elmer Vario EL (Waltham, MA, USA). A Bruker Alpha PlatinumATIR spectrometer (Billica, MA, USA), which was used to record the infrared spectra, and mass spectra were recorded on a Waters 2695 Separations Module Electrospray Spectrometer (Milford, MA, USA).

4.2. Synthesis and Characterisation of Compounds (1)-(3)

Complex [*MnL*₁]*CF*₃*SO*₃·0.4*H*₂*O* (1). H₂L₁ (4-methoxy-sal₂323) was synthesised using 0.076 g (0.5 mmol) 4-methoxylsalicylaldehyde together with 0.044 mg (0.25 mmol) 1,2-bis(3-aminopropyl- amino)ethane, in ethanol/acetonitrile (1:1) (10.0 mL). The ligand solution was stirred for one hour under ambient conditions to complete the Schiff base reaction and was then used directly without further purification. The ligand solution of H₂L₁ was added by gravity filtration to a solution 0.25 mmol MnCl₂·4H₂O (0.046 g) dissolved in ethanol/acetonitrile (1:1) (10 mL) together with 0.3 mmol LiCF₃CO₃ (0.047 g). The solution turned dark red (almost black) and was stirred for 10 min at r.t. Any precipitate was filtered off afterwards and the reaction was left for slow evaporation. After a few days, small dark red-purple crystalline plates were isolated by filtration, which were suitable for single crystal X-ray analysis. (yield: 0.040 g, 6%). Mass spectrometry (g/mol): expected: 495.18 (100% complex cation); found: 495.02. Elemental analysis for **1**, [C₂₄H₃₂N₄O₄Mn]⁺[CF₃SO₃]⁻0.4H₂O (%): calculated: C: 46.07; H: 5.07; N: 8.60; S: 4.92. Found: C: 45.85; N: 4.97; N: 8.48; F: 5.14.

Complexes $[MnL_1]PF_6 \cdot 0.8H_2O$ (2) *and* $[MnL_1]PF_6 \cdot 0.1sal \cdot 0.4H_2O$ (2b). The ligand solution of H_2L_1 was added by gravity filtration to a solution 0.25 mmol MnCl₂·4H₂O (0.046 g) dissolved in ethanol/ acetonitrile (1:1) (10 mL) together with 0.3 mmol KPF₆ (0.055 g). The solution turned dark red (almost black) and was stirred for 10 min at r.t. Any precipitate was filtered off afterwards and the reaction was left for slow evaporation. After a few days, small dark red-purple thin crystalline plates were isolated by filtration. (Yield: 0.055 g, 10%). Complex $[MnL_1]PF_6 \cdot 0.1sal \cdot 0.4H_2O$ (2b) was recovered in a separate synthesis attempt.

Complex [*MnL*₁]*PF*₆·0.8*H*₂*O* (**2**). Mass spectrometry (g/mol): expected: 495.18 (100% complex cation); found: 495.04. Elemental analysis for (**2**), $[C_{24}H_{32}N_4O_4Mn]^+[PF_6]^-\cdot 0.8H_2O$ (%): calculated: C: 44.02; H: 5.17; N: 8.56; F: 17.41. Found: C: 44.17; N: 5.04; N: 8.41; F: 17.38.

Complex [*MnL*₁]*PF*₆·0.1*sal*·0.4*H*₂*O* (**2b**). Mass spectrometry (g/mol): expected: 495.18 (100% complex cation); found: 495.02. Elemental analysis for **2b**, $[C_{24}H_{32}N_4O_4Mn]^+[PF_6]^-\cdot0.1(C_8H_8O_3)\cdot0.4H_2O$ (%): calculated: C: 44.94; H: 5.11; N: 8.456. Found: C: 44.98; N: 5.07; N: 8.37.

Complex [*MnL*₁]*BPh*₄ (**3**). The ligand solution of H₂L₁ was added by gravity filtration to a solution 0.25 mmol MnCl₂·4H₂O (0.046 g) dissolved in ethanol/acetonitrile (1:1) (10 mL) together with 0.3 mmol NaBPh₄ (0.103 g). The solution turned dark red (almost black) and was stirred 10 min at r.t. Any precipitate was filtered off afterwards and the reaction was left for slow evaporation. After a few days, small dark red-purple plates were isolated by filtration. Mass spectrometry (g/mol): expected: 495.18 (100% complex cation); found: 495.02. Elemental analysis for **3**, $[C_{24}H_{32}N_4O_4Mn]^+[BC_{24}H_{20}]^-$ (%): calculated: C: 70.76; H: 6.43; N: 6.88. Found: C: 70.28; N: 6.38; N: 6.73.

4.3. Single-Crystal X-ray Structure Determination

Suitable single crystals of complexes (1) to (3) were mounted on Oxford Diffraction Supernova E diffractometer (Oxford, UK) fitted with an Atlas detector; datasets were measured using monochromatic Cu-K α radiation or Mo-K α radiation and corrected for absorption [51]. The temperature (100 K) was controlled with an Oxford Cryosystem instrument. Structures were solved by dual-space direct methods (SHELXT) [52] and refined with full-matrix least-squared procedures based on F^2 , using SHELXL-2016. Non-hydrogen atoms were refined with independent anisotropic displacement parameters, organic H-atoms (i.e., bonded to C) were placed in idealized positions, while the coordinates of H-atoms bonded to O were generally refined with their O-H distance restrained to 0.88 (4) Å. Within complex (**2b**), the hydrogen atoms of the water molecules could not be detected and were therefore placed in the idealized position. The benzene ring of the disordered unreacted salicylaldehyde was restrained to be regular using SADI and FLAT. Rigid Bond (RIGU) restraints were applied to all non-hydrogen atoms of this molecule.

Selected crystallographic data and structure refinements are summarized in Table A1 and crystallographic data for the structures reported in this paper were deposited with the Cambridge Crystallographic Data Centre (CCDC) as supplementary publication numbers CCDC-2042004-2042009. Copies of the data can be obtained free of charge from https://www.ccdc.cam.ac.uk/structures/.

4.4. Magnetic Measurements

The magnetic susceptibility measurements were recorded on a Quantum Design SQUID magnetometer MPMS-XL (San Diego, CA, USA) operating between 1.8 and 300 K. DC measurements were performed on polycrystalline samples. Each sample was wrapped in a gelatine capsule and subjected to fields in the range from 0 to 7 T. The magnetization data was collected at 100 K in order to check for ferromagnetic impurities, which were found to be absent in the samples. Diamagnetic corrections were applied to correct for contribution from the sample holder, and the inherent diamagnetism of the sample was estimated with the use of Pascal's constants. AC measurements were carried out at frequencies between 1 and 1500 Hz.

Supplementary Materials: Figure S1: View of asymmetric unit of $[MnL_1]CF_3SO_3 \cdot 0.7H_2O$ at 100 K showing H-bonding connecting complex cation and counterion via a water molecule, Figure S2: View of asymmetric unit of $[MnL_1]CF_3SO_3 \cdot 0.7H_2O$ at 100 K showing H-bonding connecting complex cation and counterion via a water molecule, Figure S3: View of asymmetric unit of $[MnL_1]PF_6 \cdot 0.5H_2O$ at 100 K showing H-bonding between phenoxide oxygen and water molecule. A close contact is formed between the water molecule and the disordered PF_6^- counterion, Figure S4: View of asymmetric unit of $[MnL_1]PF_6 \cdot 0.5H_2O$ at 293 K showing H-bonding between phenoxide oxygen and water molecule, Figure S5: View of asymmetric unit of $[MnL_1]PF_6 \cdot 0.5H_2O$ at 293 K showing H-bonding between phenoxide oxygen and water molecule, Figure S5: View of asymmetric unit of $[MnL_1]PF_6 \cdot 0.3H_2O \cdot 0.3$ sal at 100 K illustrating the absence of intermolecular interactions to the complex cation and showing disorder of partial occupancy 4-methoxysalicylaldehyde guest molecule and water, Figure S6: Space filling packing arrangement of $[MnL_1]PF_6 \cdot 0.3H_2O \cdot 0.3$ sal at 100 K along the a-axis (left) and along the b-axis (right), Figure S7: View of asymmetric unit of $[MnL_1]BPh_4$ at 100 K illustrating the absence of intermolecular interactions.

Author Contributions: Conceptualization, G.G.M.; methodology, I.A.K., G.G.M.; formal analysis, G.G.M., H.M.-B., I.A.K., L.C.G., K.E., S.F.; investigation, I.A.K., L.C.G., K.E.; resources, G.G.M., S.F.; data curation, I.A.K., G.G.M.; draft preparation, I.A.K., G.G.M.; visualization, I.A.K., G.G.M.; writing—Review and editing, all authors;

supervision, G.G.M.; project administration, G.G.M.; funding acquisition, G.G.M. All authors have read and agreed to the published version of the manuscript.

Funding: We thank SFI for generous support via Frontiers for the Future Award (19/FFP/6909 to G.G.M). We gratefully acknowledge support from DfE through the US-Ireland funding scheme (USI 108 to SF). This research was also supported by the Irish Research Council GOIPD/2016/503 fellowship (I.A.K.), University College Dublin and Meath County Council (studentship to L.C.G.) as well as the EU COST Actions CA15128 Molecular Spintronics (MOLSPIN), CM1305, Explicit Control over Spin-states in Technology and Biochemistry, (ECOSTBio), and CA15107 Multi-Functional Nano-Carbon Composite Materials Network (MultiComp).

Conflicts of Interest: The authors declare no conflict of interest.

Appendix A

Compound	[MnL ₁]OTf·0.7H ₂ O (1)	[MnL ₁]OTf·0.7H ₂ O (1)	[MnL ₁]PF ₆ ·0.3H ₂ O·0.3sal (2b)
Sample code	mor206 (100 K)	mor203 (293 K)	mor1106 (100 K)
Empirical formula	C ₂₅ H _{33.3} N ₄ O _{7.7} F ₃ SMn	C ₂₅ H _{33.5} N ₄ O _{7.7} F ₃ SMn	C _{26.6} H _{35.3} N ₄ O _{5.3} F ₆ PMn
Formula weight	658.06	658.06	696.58
Temperature (K)	100(2)	293(2)	100(2)
Radiation	Μο-Κα	Μο-Κα	Cu-Ka
Crystal system	monoclinic	monoclinic	Monoclinic
Space group	$P2_1/c$	$P2_1/c$	P2 ₁ /n
Crystal size (mm)	$0.50\times0.30\times0.20$	$0.80\times0.40\times0.30$	$0.27 \times 0.20 \times 0.16$
a (Å)	8.0779(10)	8.2335(13)	17.2396(6)
b (Å)	20.908(3)	21.096(3)	9.6048(2)
c (Å)	16.371(2)	16.582(3)	19.0249(6)
α (°)	90	90	90
β (°)	97.789(2)	98.091(3)	105.501(3)
γ (°)	90	90	90
V (Å ³)	2739.3(6)	2851.6(8)	3035.61(16)
Ž	4	4	4
d_{calc} (g cm ⁻³)	1.586	1.533	1.524
$\mu (\text{mm}^{-1})$	0.634	0.610	4.770
F(000)	1366	1366	1439
Limiting indices	$h = \pm 11, k = \pm 29, l = \pm 23$	$h = \pm 10, k = \pm 26, l = \pm 20$	$h = \pm 21, k = \pm 12, l = \pm 23$
Reflect. coll./unia.	29310/7944	24235/5593	34913/6368
R(int)	0.0301	0.0238	0.0621
Complete to Θ (%)	99.4	99.9	99.9
Data/restr./param.	7944/2/398	5593/0/389	6368/93/473
$GooF on F^2$	1.040	1.053	1.037
	$R_1 = 0.00491$	$R_1 = 0.0455$	$R_1 = 0.0412$
Final R indices $[I > 2\sigma(I)]$	$wR_2 = 0.1302$	$wR_2 = 0.1215$	$wR_2 = 0.1119$
	$R_1 = 0.0585$,	$R_1 = 0.0517$,	$R_1 = 0.0438$,
R indices (all data)	$wR_2 = 0.1387$	$wR_2 = 0.1277$	$wR_2 = 0.1155$
Largest diff. peak/hole (e·Å ⁻³)	1.724 and -1.337	0.722 and -0.432	0.863 and -0.554
CCDC no.	2042004	2042005	2042008
Compound	[MnL ₁]PF ₆ ·0.5H ₂ O (2)	$[MnL_1]PF_6 \cdot H_2O$ (2)	$[MnL_1]BPh_4$ (3)
Sample code	mor1152 (100 K)	mor141 (293 K)	mor428
Empirical formula	C ₂₄ H _{33.1} N ₄ O _{4.5} F ₆ P Mn	C ₂₄ H ₃₄ N ₄ O ₅ F ₆ PMn	$C_{48}H_{52}BN_4O_4Mn$
Formula weight	650.24	658.46	814.69
Temperature (K)	100(2)	293(2)	100(2)
Radiation	Cu-Ka	Μο-Κα	Cu-Ka
Crystal system	monoclinic	monoclinic	triclinic
Space group	$P2_1/c$	$P2_1/c$	$P\overline{1}$
Crystal size (mm)	$0.235 \times 0.136 \times 0.063$	$1.20 \times 0.20 \times 0.20$	$0.211 \times 0.161 \times 0.040$
a (Å)	8.00626(4)	8.1783(9)	12.3155(4)
b(Å)	20.81514(9)	20.882(2)	14.1292(4)
$c(\dot{A})$	16,16909(8)	16.6233(18)	14.2513(4)
α (°)	90	90	94.902(2)
β (°)	97.0488(4)	98.856(2)	114.365(3)
γ (°)	90	90	109.167(3)
$V(Å^3)$	2674.24(2)	2805.0(5)	2062.23(14)

Table A1. Crystallographic details for complexes (1)–(3).

Compound	[MnL ₁]PF ₆ ·0.5H ₂ O (2)	$[MnL_1]PF_6 \cdot H_2O$ (2)	[MnL ₁]BPh ₄ (3)
Z	4	4	2
d_{calc} (g cm ⁻³)	1.615	1.559	1.312
μ (mm ⁻¹)	5.343	0.610	2.997
F(000)	1342	1360	860
Limiting indices	$h = \pm 10, k = \pm 26, l = \pm 20$	$h = \pm 10, k = \pm 25, l = \pm 20$	$h = \pm 15, k = \pm 17, l = \pm 17$
Reflections coll./uniq.	54351/5622	42783/5515	48563/7978
R(int)	0.0331	0.0238	0.0647
Complete to Θ (%)	100.0	100.0	100.0
Data/restr./param.	5622/0/401	5515/0/380	7978/0/533
GooF on F ²	1.064	1.058	1.066
Final R indices $[I > 2\sigma(I)]$	$R_1 = 0.0309,$	$R_1 = 0.0568,$	$R_1 = 0.0374,$
$\frac{1}{20(1)}$	$wR_2 = 0.0787$	$wR_2 = 0.1630$	$wR_2 = 0.1016$
R indices (all data)	$R_1 = 0.0321,$	$R_1 = 0.0621$,	$R_1 = 0.0424,$
K marces (an data)	$wR_2 = 0.0798$	$wR_2 = 0.1688$	$wR_2 = 0.1040$
Largest diff. peak/hole (e∙Å ⁻³)	0.576 and -0.698	0.772 and -0.446	0.408 and -0.321
CCDC no.	2042007	2042006	2042009

Table A1. Cont.

References

- 1. Real, J.A.; Gaspar, A.B.; Niel, V.; Muñoz, M.C. Communication between iron(II) building blocks in cooperative spin transition phenomena. *Coord. Chem. Rev.* **2003**, 236, 121–141. [CrossRef]
- Hostettler, M.; Törnroos, K.W.; Chernyshov, D.; Vangdal, B.; Bürgi, H.-B. Challenges in Engineering Spin Crossover: Structures and Magnetic Properties of Six Alcohol Solvates of Iron(II) Tris(2-picolylamine) Dichloride. *Angew. Chem. Int. Ed.* 2004, 43, 4589–4594. [CrossRef] [PubMed]
- Quesada, M.; Prins, F.; Bill, E.; Kooijman, H.; Gamez, P.; Roubeau, O.; Spek, A.L.; Haasnoot, J.G.; Reedijk, J. Counterion Effect on the Spin-Transition Properties of the Cation [Fe(btzx)₃]²⁺ (btzx= m -Xylylenebis(tetrazole)). *Chem. Eur. J.* 2008, 14, 8486–8499. [CrossRef] [PubMed]
- Yamada, M.; Hagiwara, H.; Torigoe, H.; Matsumoto, N.; Kojima, M.; Dahan, F.; Tuchagues, J.-P.; Re, N.; Iijima, S. A Variety of Spin-Crossover Behaviors Depending on the Counter Anion: Two-Dimensional Complexes Constructed by NH…Cl⁻ Hydrogen Bonds, [Fe^{II}H₃L^{Me}]Cl·X (X = PF₆⁻, AsF₆⁻, SbF₆⁻, CF₃SO₃⁻; H₃L^{Me} = Tris[2-{[(2-methylimidazol-4-yl)methylidene]amino}ethyl]amine. *Chem. Eur. J.* 2006, *12*, 4536–4549. [CrossRef]
- 5. Halcrow, M.A. Iron(II) complexes of 2,6-di(pyrazol-1-yl)pyridines—A versatile system for spin-crossover research. *Coord. Chem. Rev.* 2009, 253, 2493–2514. [CrossRef]
- 6. Scott, H.S.; Staniland, R.W.; Kruger, P.E. Spin crossover in homoleptic Fe(II) imidazolylimine complexes. *Coord. Chem. Rev.* **2018**, *362*, 24–43. [CrossRef]
- 7. Tweedle, M.F.; Wilson, L.J. Variable spin iron(III) chelates with hexadentate ligands derived from triethylenetetramine and various salicylaldehydes. Synthesis, characterization, and solution state studies of a new 2T .dblarw. 6A spin equilibrium system. *J. Am. Chem. Soc.* **1976**, *98*, 4824–4834. [CrossRef]
- Halcrow, M.A. Structure: Function relationships in molecular spin-crossover complexes. *Chem. Soc. Rev.* 2011, 40, 4119. [CrossRef]
- Conti, A.J.; Chadha, R.K.; Sena, K.M.; Rheingold, A.L.; Hendrickson, D.N. Dynamics and phase transitions in spin-crossover complexes: X-ray structures and basic crossover phenomena in the solvate series bis(3-ethoxysalicylideneaziridinopropylaminato)iron perchlorate.solvate. *Inorg. Chem.* 1993, 32, 2670–2680. [CrossRef]
- Harding, D.J.; Harding, P.; Phonsri, W. Spin crossover in iron(III) complexes. *Coord. Chem. Rev.* 2016, 313, 38–61. [CrossRef]
- 11. Judge, J.S.; Baker, W.A. Magnetic and spectral studies of some anomolous Mono-(2,2',2'',-terpyridine) complexes of Co(II) and Ni(II). *Inorg. Chim. Acta* **1967**, *1*, 245–248. [CrossRef]
- Galet, A.; Gaspar, A.B.; Muñoz, M.C.; Real, J.A. Influence of the Counterion and the Solvent Molecules in the Spin Crossover System [Co(4-terpyridone)₂]X_p·nH₂O. *Inorg. Chem.* 2006, 45, 4413–4422. [CrossRef] [PubMed]

- Palion-Gazda, J.; Świtlicka-Olszewska, A.; Machura, B.; Grancha, T.; Pardo, E.; Lloret, F.; Julve, M. High-Temperature Spin Crossover in a Mononuclear Six-Coordinate Cobalt(II) Complex. *Inorg. Chem.* 2014, 53, 10009–10011. [CrossRef] [PubMed]
- Palion-Gazda, J.; Machura, B.; Kruszynski, R.; Grancha, T.; Moliner, N.; Lloret, F.; Julve, M. Spin Crossover in Double Salts Containing Six- and Four-Coordinate Cobalt(II) Ions. *Inorg. Chem.* 2017, 56, 6281–6296. [CrossRef]
- van Koningsbruggen, P.J.; Garcia, Y.; Codjovi, E.; Lapouyade, R.; Kahn, O.; Fournès, L.; Rabardel, L. Nonclassical Fe^{II} spin-crossover behaviour in polymeric iron(II) compounds of formula [Fe(NH₂trz)₃]X₂·xH₂O (NH₂trz = 4-amino-1,2,4-triazole; X = derivatives of naphthalene sulfonate). *J. Mater. Chem.* 1997, 7, 2069–2075. [CrossRef]
- 16. Matouzenko, G.S.; Molnar, G.; Bréfuel, N.; Perrin, M.; Bousseksou, A.; Borshch, S.A. Spin-Crossover Iron(II) Coordination Polymer with Zigzag Chain Structure. *Chem. Mater.* **2003**, *15*, 550–556. [CrossRef]
- Setifi, F.; Milin, E.; Charles, C.; Thétiot, F.; Triki, S.; Gómez-García, C.J. Spin Crossover Iron(II) Coordination Polymer Chains: Syntheses, Structures, and Magnetic Characterizations of [Fe(aqin)₂(μ₂-M(CN)₄)] (M = Ni(II), Pt(II), aqin = Quinolin-8-amine). *Inorg. Chem.* **2014**, *53*, 97–104. [CrossRef]
- Romero-Morcillo, T.; Valverde-Muñoz, F.J.; Muñoz, M.C.; Herrera, J.M.; Colacio, E.; Real, J.A. Two-step spin crossover behaviour in the chiral one-dimensional coordination polymer [Fe(HAT)(NCS)₂]_∞. *RSC Adv.* 2015, 5, 69782–69789. [CrossRef]
- Vreugdenhil, W.; Van Diemen, J.H.; De Graaff, R.A.G.; Haasnoot, J.G.; Reedijk, J.; Van Der Kraan, A.M.; Kahn, O.; Zarembowitch, J. High-spin - low-spin transition in [Fe(NCS)₂(4,4'-bis-1,2,4-triazole)₂](H₂O). X-ray crystal structure and magnetic, Mössbauer and EPR properties. *Polyhedron* 1990, *9*, 2971–2979. [CrossRef]
- Halder, G.J.; Chapman, K.W.; Neville, S.M.; Moubaraki, B.; Murray, K.S.; Létard, J.-F.; Kepert, C.J. Elucidating the Mechanism of a Two-Step Spin Transition in a Nanoporous Metal-Organic Framework. *J. Am. Chem. Soc.* 2008, 130, 17552–17562. [CrossRef]
- 21. Bao, X.; Guo, P.-H.; Liu, W.; Tucek, J.; Zhang, W.-X.; Leng, J.-D.; Chen, X.-M.; Gural'skiy, I.; Salmon, L.; Bousseksou, A.; et al. Remarkably high-temperature spin transition exhibited by new 2D metal-organic frameworks. *Chem. Sci.* **2012**, *3*, 1629. [CrossRef]
- 22. Zhang, D.; Trzop, E.; Valverde-Muñoz, F.J.; Piñeiro-López, L.; Muñoz, M.C.; Collet, E.; Real, J.A. Competing Phases Involving Spin-State and Ligand Structural Orderings in a Multistable Two-Dimensional Spin Crossover Coordination Polymer. *Cryst. Growth Des.* **2017**, *17*, 2736–2745. [CrossRef]
- 23. Kusz, J.; Bronisz, R.; Zubko, M.; Bednarek, G. On the Role of Intermolecular Interactions on Structural and Spin-Crossover Properties of 2D Coordination Networks [Fe(bbtr)₃]A₂ (bbtr = 1,4-bis(1,2,3-triazol-1-yl)butane; A = ClO₄⁻, BF₄⁻). *Chem. Eur. J.* **2011**, *17*, 6807–6820. [CrossRef] [PubMed]
- 24. Jameson, G.N.L.; Werner, F.; Bartel, M.; Absmeier, A.; Reissner, M.; Kitchen, J.A.; Brooker, S.; Caneschi, A.; Carbonera, C.; Létard, J.-F.; et al. Anion, Solvent and Time Dependence of High-Spin-Low-Spin Interactions in a 3D Coordination Polymer. *Eur. J. Inorg. Chem.* **2009**, 2009, 3948–3959. [CrossRef]
- 25. Cirera, J. Guest effect on spin-crossover frameworks. Rev. Inorg. Chem. 2014, 34, 199–216. [CrossRef]
- 26. Rodríguez-Velamazán, J.A.; González, M.A.; Real, J.A.; Castro, M.; Muñoz, M.C.; Gaspar, A.B.; Ohtani, R.; Ohba, M.; Yoneda, K.; Hijikata, Y.; et al. A Switchable Molecular Rotator: Neutron Spectroscopy Study on a Polymeric Spin-Crossover Compound. *J. Am. Chem. Soc.* **2012**, *134*, 5083–5089. [CrossRef] [PubMed]
- Meng, Y.; Dong, Y.-J.; Yan, Z.; Chen, Y.-C.; Song, X.-W.; Li, Q.-W.; Zhang, C.-L.; Ni, Z.-P.; Tong, M.-L. A New Porous Three-Dimensional Iron(II) Coordination Polymer with Solvent-Induced Reversible Spin-Crossover Behavior. *Cryst. Growth Des.* 2018, 18, 5214–5219. [CrossRef]
- 28. Weber, B.; Bauer, W.; Obel, J. An Iron(II) Spin-Crossover Complex with a 70 K Wide Thermal Hysteresis Loop. *Angew. Chem. Int. Ed.* **2008**, *47*, 10098–10101. [CrossRef]
- Weber, B.; Obel, J.; Henner-Vásquez, D.; Bauer, W. Two New Iron(II) Spin-Crossover Complexes with N₄O₂ Coordination Sphere and Spin Transition around Room Temperature. *Eur. J. Inorg. Chem.* 2009, 2009, 5527–5534. [CrossRef]
- Li, B.; Wei, R.-J.; Tao, J.; Huang, R.-B.; Zheng, L.-S.; Zheng, Z. Solvent-Induced Transformation of Single Crystals of a Spin-Crossover (SCO) Compound to Single Crystals with Two Distinct SCO Centers. *J. Am. Chem. Soc.* 2010, *132*, 1558–1566. [CrossRef]

- Létard, J.-F.; Guionneau, P.; Codjovi, E.; Lavastre, O.; Bravic, G.; Chasseau, D.; Kahn, O. Wide Thermal Hysteresis for the Mononuclear Spin-Crossover Compound *cis*-Bis(thiocyanato)bis[N-(2'-pyridylmethylene)-4-(phenylethynyl)anilino]iron(II). *J. Am. Chem. Soc.* **1997**, *119*, 10861–10862. [CrossRef]
- 32. Hagiwara, H.; Hashimoto, S.; Matsumoto, N.; Iijima, S. Two-Dimensional Iron(II) Spin Crossover Complex Constructed of Bifurcated NH···O⁻ Hydrogen Bonds and π–π Interactions: [Fe^{II}(HLH,Me)₂](ClO₄)₂·1.5MeCN (HLH,Me = Imidazol-4-yl-methylidene-8-amino-2-methylquinoline). *Inorg. Chem.* 2007, 46, 3136–3143. [CrossRef] [PubMed]
- 33. Zhong, Z.J.; Tao, J.-Q.; Yu, Z.; Dun, C.-Y.; Liu, Y.-J.; You, X.-Z. A stacking spin-crossover iron(II) compound with a large hysteresis. *J. Chem. Soc. Dalton Trans.* **1998**, 327–328. [CrossRef]
- 34. Pfaffeneder, T.M.; Thallmair, S.; Bauer, W.; Weber, B. Complete and incomplete spin transitions in 1D chain iron(II) compounds. *New J. Chem.* **2011**, *35*, 691–700. [CrossRef]
- 35. Halcrow, M.A.; Capel Berdiell, I.; Pask, C.M.; Kulmaczewski, R. Relationship between the Molecular Structure and Switching Temperature in a Library of Spin-Crossover Molecular Materials. *Inorg. Chem.* **2019**, *58*, 9811–9821. [CrossRef] [PubMed]
- Sunatsuki, Y.; Ikuta, Y.; Matsumoto, N.; Ohta, H.; Kojima, M.; Iijima, S.; Hayami, S.; Maeda, Y.; Kaizaki, S.; Dahan, F.; et al. An Unprecedented Homochiral Mixed-Valence Spin-Crossover Compound. *Angew. Chem. Int. Ed.* 2003, 42, 1614–1618. [CrossRef] [PubMed]
- Morgan, G.G.; Murnaghan, K.D.; Müller-Bunz, H.; McKee, V.; Harding, C.J. A Manganese(III) Complex That Exhibits Spin Crossover Triggered by Geometric Tuning. *Angew. Chem. Int. Ed.* 2006, 45, 7192–7195. [CrossRef]
- Pandurangan, K.; Gildea, B.; Murray, C.; Harding, C.J.; Müller-Bunz, H.; Morgan, G.G. Lattice Effects on the Spin-Crossover Profile of a Mononuclear Manganese(III) Cation. *Chem. Eur. J.* 2012, *18*, 2021–2029. [CrossRef]
- Fitzpatrick, A.J.; Trzop, E.; Müller-Bunz, H.; Dîrtu, M.M.; Garcia, Y.; Collet, E.; Morgan, G.G. Electronic vs. structural ordering in a manganese(III) spin crossover complex. *Chem. Commun.* 2015, *51*, 17540–17543. [CrossRef]
- 40. Martinho, P.N.; Gildea, B.; Harris, M.M.; Lemma, T.; Naik, A.D.; Müller-Bunz, H.; Keyes, T.E.; Garcia, Y.; Morgan, G.G. Cooperative Spin Transition in a Mononuclear Manganese(III) Complex. *Angew. Chem.* **2012**, 124, 12765–12769. [CrossRef]
- 41. Gildea, B.; Harris, M.M.; Gavin, L.C.; Murray, C.A.; Ortin, Y.; Müller-Bunz, H.; Harding, C.J.; Lan, Y.; Powell, A.K.; Morgan, G.G. Substituent Effects on Spin State in a Series of Mononuclear Manganese(III) Complexes with Hexadentate Schiff-Base Ligands. *Inorg. Chem.* **2014**, *53*, 6022–6033. [CrossRef] [PubMed]
- 42. Gildea, B.; Gavin, L.C.; Murray, C.A.; Müller-Bunz, H.; Harding, C.J.; Morgan, G.G. Supramolecular modulation of spin crossover profile in manganese(III). *Supramol. Chem.* **2012**, *24*, 641–653. [CrossRef]
- 43. Barker, A.; Kelly, C.T.; Kühne, I.A.; Hill, S.; Krzystek, J.; Wix, P.; Esien, K.; Felton, S.; Müller-Bunz, H.; Morgan, G.G. Spin state solvomorphism in a series of rare S = 1 manganese(III) complexes. *Dalton Trans.* 2019, *48*, 15560–15566. [CrossRef] [PubMed]
- Kühne, I.A.; Barker, A.; Zhang, F.; Stamenov, P.; O'Doherty, O.; Müller-Bunz, H.; Stein, M.; Rodriguez, B.J.; Morgan, G.G. Modulation of Jahn-Teller distortion and electromechanical response in a Mn³⁺ spin crossover complex. J. Phys. Condens. Matter 2020, 32, 404002. [CrossRef]
- Jakobsen, V.B.; Trzop, E.; Gavin, L.C.; Dobbelaar, E.; Chikara, S.; Ding, X.; Esien, K.; Müller-Bunz, H.; Felton, S.; Zapf, V.S.; et al. Stress-Induced Domain Wall Motion in a Ferroelastic Mn³⁺ Spin Crossover Complex. *Angew. Chem. Int. Ed.* 2020, *59*, 13305–13312. [CrossRef]
- Jakobsen, V.B.; O'Brien, L.; Novitchi, G.; Müller-Bunz, H.; Barra, A.-L.; Morgan, G.G. Chiral Resolution of a Mn³⁺ Spin Crossover Complex. *Eur. J. Inorg. Chem.* 2019, 2019, 4405–4411. [CrossRef]
- 47. Spek, A.L. Platon Squeeze: A tool for the calculation of the disordered solvent contribution to the calculated structure factors. *Acta Crystallogr. Sect. C Struct. Chem.* **2015**, *71*, 9–18. [CrossRef]
- 48. Olguín, J. Unusual metal centres/coordination spheres in spin crossover compounds. *Coord. Chem. Rev.* **2020**, 407, 213148. [CrossRef]
- 49. Drew, M.G.B.; Harding, C.J.; McKee, V.; Morgan, G.G.; Nelson, J. Geometric control of manganese redox state. *J. Chem. Soc. Chem. Commun.* **1995**, 1035. [CrossRef]

- 50. Ketkaew, R.; Tantirungrotechai, Y.; Harding, P.; Chastanet, G.; Guionneau, P.; Marchivie, M.; Harding, D.J. OctaDist: A Tool for Calculating Distortion Parameters in Coordination Complexes. Available online: https://octadist.github.io (accessed on 25 August 2019).
- 51. Clark, R.C.; Reid, J.S. The analytical calculation of absorption in multifaceted crystals. *Acta Crystallogr. Sect. A* **1995**, *51*, 887–897. [CrossRef]
- 52. Sheldrick, G.M. Crystal structure refinement with SHELXL. *Acta Crystallogr. Sect. C Struct. Chem.* **2015**, *71*, 3–8. [CrossRef] [PubMed]

Sample Availability: Samples of the compounds are available from the authors.

Publisher's Note: MDPI stays neutral with regard to jurisdictional claims in published maps and institutional affiliations.



© 2020 by the authors. Licensee MDPI, Basel, Switzerland. This article is an open access article distributed under the terms and conditions of the Creative Commons Attribution (CC BY) license (http://creativecommons.org/licenses/by/4.0/).