

The role of epigenetic clocks in explaining educational inequalities in mortality: a multi-cohort study and meta-analysis

Fiorito, G., Pedron, S., Ochoa-Rosales, C., McCrory, C., Polidoro, S., Zhang, Y., Dugué, P.-A., Ratliff, S., Zhao, W. N., McKay, G. J., Costa, G., Solinas, M. G., Mullan Harris, K., Tumino, R., Grioni, S., Ricceri, F., Panico, S., Brenner, H., Schwettmann, L., ... Carmeli, C. (2022). The role of epigenetic clocks in explaining educational inequalities in mortality: a multi-cohort study and meta-analysis. *The journals of gerontology. Series A, Biological sciences and medical sciences*, *77*(9), 1750–1759. https://doi.org/10.1093/gerona/glac041

Published in:

The journals of gerontology. Series A, Biological sciences and medical sciences

Document Version:

Peer reviewed version

Queen's University Belfast - Research Portal:

Link to publication record in Queen's University Belfast Research Portal

Publisher rights

© The Author(s) 2022. Published by Oxford University Press on behalf of The Gerontological Society of America. All rights reserved. This work is made available online in accordance with the publisher's policies. Please refer to any applicable terms of use of the publisher

General rights

Copyright for the publications made accessible via the Queen's University Belfast Research Portal is retained by the author(s) and / or other copyright owners and it is a condition of accessing these publications that users recognise and abide by the legal requirements associated with these rights.

Take down policy

The Research Portal is Queen's institutional repository that provides access to Queen's research output. Every effort has been made to ensure that content in the Research Portal does not infringe any person's rights, or applicable UK laws. If you discover content in the Research Portal that you believe breaches copyright or violates any law, please contact openaccess@qub.ac.uk.

Open Access

This research has been made openly available by Queen's academics and its Open Research team. We would love to hear how access to this research benefits you. – Share your feedback with us: http://go.qub.ac.uk/oa-feedback

The role of epigenetic clocks in explaining educational inequalities in mortality: a multicohort study and meta-analysis

Giovanni Fiorito PhD^{1,28}, Sara Pedron Dr^{3,48}, Carolina Ochoa-Rosales Dr^{5,68}, Cathal McCrory PhD⁷, Silvia Polidoro PhD⁸, Yan Zhang Dr⁹, Pierre-Antoine Dugué PhD^{10,11,12}, Scott Ratliff Dr¹³, Wei N Zhao PhD¹³, Gareth J McKay Dr¹⁴, Giuseppe Costa MD^{15,16}, Maria Giuliana Solinas PhD¹, Kathleen Mullan Harris PhD¹⁷, Rosario Tumino MD¹⁸, Sara Grioni PhD¹⁹, Fulvio Ricceri PhD^{15,16}, Salvatore Panico MD²⁰, Hermann Brenner PhD^{9,21}, Lars Schwettmann Dr^{3,22}, Melanie Waldenberger Dr^{23,24}, Pamela R Matias-Garcia Dr²³, Annette Peters Dr²⁵, Allison Hodge PhD^{10,11}, Graham G Giles PhD^{10,11,12}, Lauren L Schmitz PhD²⁶, Morgan Levine PhD²⁷, Jennifer A Smith PhD^{13,28}, Yongmei Liu PhD²⁹, Frank Kee PhD¹⁴, Ian S Young PhD¹⁴, Bernadette McGuinness PhD¹⁴, Amy Jayne McKnight PhD¹⁴, Joyce van Meurs PhD³⁰, Trudy Voortman PhD⁵, Rose A Kenny PhD⁷, Lifepath consortium, Paolo Vineis MD^{2†}, Cristian Carmeli PhD³¹†*

1. Department of Biomedical Sciences, University of Sassari, Italy

 MRC Centre for Environment and Health, School of Public Health, Imperial College London, UK
Institute of Health Economics and Health Care Management, Helmholtz Zentrum München, German Research Center for Environmental Health (GmbH), Germany

4. Professorship of Public Health and Prevention, Department of Sport and Health Sciences, Technical University of Munich, Germany

- 5. Department of Epidemiology, Erasmus MC, The Netherlands
- 6. Centro de Vida Saludable de la Universidad de Conceptión, Chile
- 7. Department of Medical Gerontology, Trinity College Dublin, Ireland
- 8. Italian Institute for Genomic Medicine (IIGM), Italy

9. Division of Clinical Epidemiology and Aging Research, German Cancer Research Center (DKFZ), Germany

10. Cancer Epidemiology Division, Cancer Council Victoria, Australia

11. Centre for Epidemiology and Biostatistics, School of Population and Global Health, The University of Melbourne, Australia

12. Precision Medicine, School of Clinical Sciences at Monash Health, Monash University, Australia

13. Department of Epidemiology, School of Public Health, University of Michigan, USA

14. Centre for Public Health, Queen's University Belfast, Northern Ireland

15. Epidemiology Unit, Regional Health Service TO3, Grugliasco, Italy

16. Department of Clinical and Biological Sciences, University of Turin, Italy

17. Department of Sociology, University of North Carolina at Chapel Hill, USA

18. Cancer Registry and Histopathology Department, Provincial Health Authority (ASP 7) Ragusa, Italy

19. Epidemiology and Prevention Unit, Fondazione IRCCS Istituto Nazionale dei Tumori di Milano, Italy

20. Dipartimento di Medicina Clinica e Chirurgia, University of Naples Federico II, Italy

21. Network Aging Research, Heidelberg University, Germany

22. Department of Economics, Martin Luther University Halle-Wittenberg, Germany

23. Research Unit Molecular Epidemiology, Institute of Epidemiology, Helmholtz Zentrum München, German Research Center for Environmental Health, Germany

24. German Center for Cardiovascular Research (DZHK), Partner Site Munich Heart Alliance, Germany

25. Institute of Epidemiology, Helmholtz Zentrum München, German Research Center for Environmental Health (GmbH), Germany

26. Robert M. La Follette School of Public Affairs, University of Wisconsin-Madison, USA

27. Department of Pathology, Yale University School of Medicine, USA

28. Survey Research Center, Institute for Social Research, University of Michigan, USA

29. Division of Cardiology, Department of Medicine, School of Medicine, Duke University, USA

30. Department of Internal Medicine, Erasmus MC, The Netherlands

31. Population Health Laboratory, University of Fribourg, Switzerland

[§] Equal contribution as first authors

† Equal contribution as senior authors.

*Correspondence:

Dr. Cristian Carmeli, PhD,

Route des Arsenaux 41, 1700 Fribourg, Switzerland.

E-mail: cristian.carmeli@unifr.ch;

Acepte

Tel: +41 263009475

nusci

Abstract

Educational inequalities in all-cause mortality have been observed for decades. However, the underlying biological mechanisms are not well known. We aimed to assess the role of DNA methylation changes in blood captured by epigenetic clocks in explaining these inequalities.

Data were from eight prospective population-based cohort studies, representing 13,021 participants. First, educational inequalities and their portion explained by Horvath DNAmAge, Hannum DNAmAge, DNAmPhenoAge, and DNAmGrimAge epigenetic clocks were assessed in each cohort via counterfactual-based mediation models, on both absolute (hazard difference) and relative (hazard ratio) scales, and by sex. Second, estimates from each cohort were pooled through a random effect meta-analysis model.

Men with low education had an excess mortality from all causes of 57 deaths per 10,000 person-years (95% confidence interval (CI): 38, 76) compared to their more advantaged counterparts. For women, the excess mortality was 4 deaths per 10,000 person-years (95% CI: -11, 19). On the relative scale, educational inequalities corresponded to hazard ratios of 1.33 (95% CI: 1.12, 1.57) for men and 1.15 (95% CI: 0.96, 1.37) for women. DNAmGrimAge accounted for the largest proportion, approximately 50%, of the educational inequalities for men, while the proportion was negligible for women. Most of this mediation was explained by differential effects of unhealthy lifestyles and morbidities of the WHO risk factors for premature mortality.

These results support DNA methylation-based epigenetic aging as a signature of educational inequalities in life expectancy emphasizing the need for policies to address the unequal social distribution of these WHO risk factors.

Keywords: DNA methylation, biomarkers, longevity, social inequalities.

Introduction

Inequalities in mortality by educational attainment have been observed for decades in developed countries (1), and in some countries these inequalities are widening (2). The putative causal effect of education on mortality has been corroborated by studies of compulsory schooling laws (3,4), experimental, and twin studies (4,5). Notably, the review by Galama et al. (4) points to consistent sex differences, whereby men experience larger inequalities than women. Three broad theoretical frameworks have been suggested to assess potential mechanisms underlying the effect of education on health and ultimately mortality. The Fundamental Cause theory (6) indicates the role of access to material and non-material resources encompassing income, social connections and lifestyle, while the Human Capital theory (7) identifies the skills and abilities yielding an increased economic productivity, while the Signaling perspective (8) emphasizes the social returns of credentials earned by educational attainment. Many of the ensuing mechanisms have been assessed in empirical studies, including unfavorable working conditions and economic hardship, decreased psychosocial resources, unhealthy lifestyle, and chronic health conditions (4,9–13). Ultimately, these intermediate mechanisms affect various biological processes related to health and survival, which have been conceptualized by the framework of biological embedding or social-to-biological transition (14,15). Among the proposed biological mechanisms, heightened systemic inflammation (16–18), dysregulation of physiological systems summarized by allostatic load (19), and elevated epigenetic aging of immune cells (20–22) have been consistently observed in individuals with lower education in multiple populations. Notably, biomarkers of epigenetic aging from blood-derived DNA methylation (DNAm) profiles have been consistently associated with lifestyle-related behaviors, morbidities, such as diabetes, hypertension, and cancer, and are predictors of mortality (23-26).

Although plausible from previous observations, the mediating role of epigenetic aging in explaining educational inequalities in mortality has been rarely investigated. One study, of older women in the United States of America, found that only a small portion of educational inequalities in mortality was explained by epigenetic aging measured through the DNAmPhenoAge biomarker (27). However, other epigenetic biomarkers and the path-specific contribution of epigenetic ageing independent of unhealthy lifestyles and morbidities have not been examined. Thus, the independent mediating role of epigenetic aging in social inequality-associated mortality has not been fully investigated.

In this study, we assessed the mediating role of blood DNAm-based epigenetic aging between education and all-cause mortality in multiple populations and by sex. Data spanning the social, biological, and mortality end-point layers was derived from eight population-based cohort studies across seven countries, totalling 13,021 participants, followed on average for 9 years from an average baseline age of 64. We measured epigenetic age from blood DNAm, known as epigenetic clocks. We considered four epigenetic clock biomarkers, namely Horvath's and Hannum's DNAmAge, Levine's DNAmPhenoAge and Lu's DNAmGrimAge (28), as they have been commonly studied in the literature, are associated with both education and all-cause mortality in several populations, and capture different aspects of the epigenetic aging processes (28). Whether an individual experienced elevated or mitigated epigenetic aging was estimated by computing the residuals from a regression of the epigenetic clock on chronological age. Thereafter, we refer to these values as Horvath DNAmAA, Hannum DNAmAA, DNAmPhenoAA and DNAmGrimAA, respectively. We calculated the portion of educational inequalities in all-cause mortality explained by epigenetic aging biomarkers via a two-step analytic approach: i) a counterfactual-based mediation method in each cohort (29), ii) a random effect meta-analysis to pool estimates across cohorts.

Secondly, in this study we assessed the potential path-specific contribution of epigenetic aging independent of unhealthy lifestyle factors (current smoking, low physical activity, high alcohol intake, and high body mass index (BMI)) and related morbidities (type 2 diabetes and hypertension). We considered these risk factors as additional mediators as they are on the causal path from educational attainment to all-cause mortality (9–12,30) and are targets of policies to reduce premature mortality burden (30).

Methods

Study participants' selection

Of the 18 cohorts included in LIFEPATH, an international consortium aiming to disentangle the biological pathways underlying social inequalities in health (31), only adult cohorts were retained for the present study. Of these, we further selected those with available DNAm and subsequent mortality follow-up. Based on these criteria, we included participants from three cohorts, namely EPIC-IT (N=1,545 in Italy), MCCS (N = 2,816 in Australia), and TILDA (N=490 in Ireland). We also gathered data from five additional adult cohorts, namely ESTHER (N = 1,864 in Germany), KORA (N = 1,727 in Germany), MESA (N = 1,264 in United States of America), NICOLA (N = 1,981 in Northern Ireland, United Kingdom), and the Rotterdam Study (RS) (N = 1,420 in The Netherlands). Participants were all sub-samples of the corresponding cohorts because of available DNAm. A description of these cohorts and of the sampling design is presented as **Supplementary Material**.

Mediation models, exposure, mediators, and outcome

The proposed mediation structures underlying our study are represented in Figure 1. We designed our mediation modelling to evaluate path-specific mechanisms of educational inequalities in all-cause mortality (outcome). We focused on inequalities driven by educational attainment (exposure). The first model, depicted in Figure 1A, dissected the

educational inequalities (total effect of the exposure) into a non-transmitted (direct) effect and a transmitted (indirect) effect by blood DNAm-based markers of epigenetic aging (mediator). In the second model, depicted in Figure 1B, the indirect effect via epigenetic aging biomarkers was disaggregated into a pathway through unhealthy lifestyle and morbidities (LM), and a pathway independent from the latter. This model assumed that epigenetic aging is downstream of LM mediators as supported by a recent Mendelian Randomization study (32). By comparing the mediated effect via LM and the joint mediated effect via LM and epigenetic aging, we assessed whether epigenetic aging provides additional mediation compared to LM only, or whether the effect of education on all-cause mortality propagates through a pathway affecting epigenetic aging independently of unhealthy lifestyle and morbidities.

Educational attainment was measured using the participants' self-reported highest educational attainment. Answers were categorized as 'low education' for any degree lower than upper secondary school and 'high education' for attained upper secondary school or above following previous work from the Lifepath group (31). In **Supplementary Table 1**, for each cohort study we report the questionnaire labels and their corresponding categorization.

Epigenetic aging in blood, unhealthy lifestyle and morbidities were included as mediators and measured at the same time, which we set as the baseline for mortality follow-up. In **Supplementary Table 2** we report the years of measurement of exposure and mediators for each cohort. The proportion of leukocytes was estimated for each participant according to Houseman's method (33) and was used in sensitivity analyses as an additional mediator.

Unhealthy lifestyle comprised self-reported current smoking, high alcohol consumption, low level of leisure-time physical activity, and high BMI categorized into two or three-level variables (see **Supplementary Material**). Morbidities comprised hypertension (either self-reported or measured) and diabetes (either self-reported or measured). As highest education is

generally attained in young adulthood whereas study participants were in their mid or late adulthood at baseline (see **Supplementary Table 2**), the potential for reverse causality was minimized.

Potential confounders were age at baseline (continuous), sex, and childhood socioeconomic conditions (available only in a subset of cohorts and included in a sensitivity analysis). In MESA, race/ethnicity was added as a potential confounder. Additionally, sex was modelled as an effect modifier, as previous studies have shown sex differences in educational inequalities in mortality (4,12,27). The outcome was age-to-death from any cause, with age as the underlying timescale.

Detailed information about education, lifestyle, morbidities, DNAm, and mortality related variables in each cohort are reported in **Supplementary Material**.

Statistical framework and analysis

We implemented a two-step approach, whereby in the first stage parameters of interest were estimated in each cohort study, and in the second stage the ensuing estimates were pooled through a random effect meta-analysis model to consider potential heterogeneities across cohort studies.

In each cohort study, we implemented the counterfactual change of educational attainment from high to low, to estimate the portion of educational inequalities in all-cause mortality explained by the chosen mediator(s). Within this framework, the educational inequalities in all-cause mortality correspond to the total effect of educational attainment, which can be broken down into the direct effect – the portion of the inequalities not explained by a mediator –, and the indirect effect – the portion of the inequalities explained by a mediator (29). To estimate the effects of interest in our study – total and indirect – we used the inverse odds ratio weighting (IORW) method (29,34). Under certain identifying conditions (see **Supplementary Material**), IORW enables estimation of indirect and joint indirect effects (e.g., effect of exposure on outcome mediated by a bloc of mediators). The latter does not depend on a specific causal order among the mediators (35), which is useful in our study because specifying an order among unhealthy lifestyle and/or morbidities would require further modeling assumptions. Additionally, contrary to linear path analysis, the IORW method allows estimation of a more general class of mediation models including those with mediators and/or outcome measured on a non-continuous scale (as in our study) and incorporates the potential interaction between exposure and mediator(s). The latter is useful as education and unhealthy lifestyle may interact in affecting mortality (36). Mathematical details of the implemented IORW are described in **Supplementary Material**.

We assessed effects on both the absolute scale (hazard difference) and the relative scale (hazard ratio). Both measures are useful in understanding and describing inequalities (37).

For analyses related to the model in **Figure 1A**, a chosen epigenetic aging biomarker was the only mediator, while for analyses related to the model in **Figure 1B**, seven mediators were considered. As we were interested in the portion of the total effect explained by epigenetic aging independent of unhealthy lifestyle and morbidities, we broke down the joint indirect effect by the block of seven mediators into two path-specific indirect effects (38). Specifically, we broke down the joint indirect effect by the block of mediators LM – capturing both pathways education \rightarrow LM \rightarrow mortality and education \rightarrow LM \rightarrow epigenetic aging \rightarrow mortality –, and the indirect effect by epigenetic aging but not LM (see **Figure 1B**). We implemented a sequential mediation analysis to estimate the latter indirect effect as the difference between two joint indirect effects, the first being a joint indirect effect by all seven mediators and the second being a joint indirect effect by LM only.

All analyses were conducted on complete data. For the analyses based on the model in **Figure 1A**, we excluded 86 (0.7%) participants with missing information on education or

vital status, while for the analyses based on the model in **Figure 1B**, we excluded 771 (5.9%) participants for missing information on education, vital status, unhealthy lifestyle, and morbidities.

Effects on the hazard difference/ratio scale were estimated by implementing a semiparametric additive/multiplicative hazard model (see **Supplementary Material** for more details about the implementation of the IORW method). In sensitivity analyses we evaluated potential deviations from the assumption of constant hazard differences and ratios.

For each cohort, we generated 5,000 bootstrap draws with replacement to derive standard errors for the total and indirect effects.

Finally, we pooled these effects' estimates via the Hartung-Knapp inverse variance-weighted random effect meta-analysis model. Potential variability across cohorts was assessed through the inter-cohort variance τ^2 and the proportion of inter-cohort variance due to heterogeneity I^2 , which lies between 0 and 100 with higher values corresponding to higher heterogeneity (see **Supplementary Material**).

To assess the sensitivity of our findings to possible violations of the assumptions underlying the identification of the indirect effects, we estimated the indirect effect by epigenetic aging biomarkers (**Figure 1A**) i) when including childhood socioeconomic conditions as a potential confounder of the exposure-outcome, exposure-mediator, and mediator-outcome associations; ii) for various values of a bias function encoding unmeasured, potentially exposure-induced, confounding of the mediator-outcome association (34); and iii) when incorporating a non-differential measurement error of three years in epigenetic aging biomarkers. To assess the sensitivity of our findings to deviations from the assumption of constant hazard differences/ratios on age, we estimated the effects by incrementally right censoring survival age (that is, simulating the end of follow-up) at 70, 75, 80, and 85 years. Finally, to assess the path-specific mediation by epigenetic aging biomarkers and not

leukocyte composition in blood, we ran a sequential mediation analysis based on the model in **Supplementary Figure 6**. In **Supplementary Material** we provide detailed information about sensitivity analyses and childhood socioeconomic conditions.

Results

Characteristics of the populations

In **Table 1**, we describe summary statistics for EPIC Italy (EPIC-IT), ESTHER, KORA, MCCS, MESA, NICOLA, ROTTERDAM STUDY (RS) and TILDA, separately for men and women. There were more men in MCCS (61%) and more women in EPIC-IT (60%), whereas in the other six cohorts there was a similar proportion of men and women. The average age at baseline ranged from 53 years in EPIC-IT to 70 years in MESA. In all cohorts except MESA, TILDA, RS (in men) and NICOLA (in women) there were more individuals with low educational attainment than high. The average follow-up time ranged from 5 years in NICOLA to 15 years in EPIC-IT. Age-standardized mortality rates were higher for men than women, except in EPIC-IT where they were similar. For women, they ranged from 52 deaths per 10,000 person-years in NICOLA to 262 in ESTHER, while for men mortality rates ranged from 100 in NICOLA to 400 in ESTHER. Higher mortality rates were associated to higher prevalence of low educated men and women (Spearman correlation of 0.55 and 0.76, respectively), and not to median year of birth.

Educational inequalities in all-cause mortality explained by epigenetic aging biomarkers

Results for educational inequalities are described using two different scales: 1) an absolute scale via excess deaths per 10,000 person-years from having low education, and 2) a relative scale via hazard ratios for low vs high education.

Men with a low educational attainment had an excess mortality from all causes of 57 deaths per 10,000 person-years (95% confidence interval (CI): 38, 76) compared with having high educational attainment (**Figure 2**). Women with a low educational attainment had an excess mortality from all causes of 4 deaths per 10,000 person-years (95% CI: -11, 19) compared with having high educational attainment. Compared to the mortality rates for women across the populations (see **Table 1**), this inequality was small. On the relative scale, total effects corresponded to hazard ratios of 1.33 (95% CI: 1.12, 1.57) and 1.15 (95% CI: 0.96, 1.37), for men and women respectively (**Figure 3**).

For men, the portion of the inequalities (total effect described above) explained by epigenetic aging (indirect effect) was equivalent to 12 excess deaths per 10,000 person-years (95% CI: 7, 17) for Horvath DNAmAA, 15 (95% CI: 10, 20) for Hannum DNAmAA, 19 (95% CI: 13, 25) for DNAmPhenoAA, and 34 (95% CI: 21, 46) for DNAmGrimAA (**Figure 2**). The latter corresponded to 60% of the educational inequalities in mortality (ratio between indirect and total effect). Hazard ratios for indirect effects were small for all but DNAmGrimAA, which corresponded to 1.13 (95% CI: 1.07, 1.20) (**Figure 3**). This corresponded to 43% of the educational inequalities in mortality scale.

For women, the portion of the inequalities explained by epigenetic aging biomarkers corresponded to small negative hazard differences and small positive hazard ratios (**Figures 2** and **3**).

Heterogeneity across cohort-specific estimates was low across total and indirect effects. Specifically, the τ^2 was 0 and the I^2 statistics was 0% in most cases (forest plots in **Supplementary Material**).

Educational inequalities in all-cause mortality explained by unhealthy lifestyle, morbidities, and epigenetic aging biomarkers

For men, the joint indirect effect explained by unhealthy lifestyle, morbidities and epigenetic aging biomarkers *en bloc* (considered all at the same time) was equivalent to 25 excess deaths per 10,000 person-years (95% CI: 12, 39) for Horvath DNAmAA, 27 (95% CI: 15, 40) for Hannum DNAmAA, 31 (95% CI: 17, 44) for DNAmPhenoAA, and 35 (95% CI: 20, 50) for DNAmGrimAA (**Table 2**). On the relative scale, the correspondent hazard ratios were 1.10 (95% CI: 1.04, 1.16) for Horvath DNAmAA, 1.11 (95% CI: 1.05, 1.17) for Hannum DNAmAA, 1.13 (95% CI: 1.07, 1.19) for DNAmPhenoAA, and 1.15 (95% CI: 1.09, 1.21) for DNAmGrimAA (**Table 2**). Following the model in **Figure 1B** and the sequential mediation approach described in **Methods**, the estimated path-specific indirect effect by epigenetic aging but not unhealthy lifestyle and morbidities was small for all epigenetic aging biomarkers (**Table 2**), with DNAmGrimAA having the largest path-specific effect of 8.8 excess deaths per 10,000 person-years (95% CI: -4.2, 21.7) and a hazard ratio of 1.04 (95% CI: 0.97, 1.12).

For women, the proportion of the inequalities explained by unhealthy lifestyle, morbidities and epigenetic aging biomarkers was small (see **Supplementary Table 3**), with the largest hazard ratio being 1.06 (95% CI: 0.96, 1.17) for DNAmGrimAA. For this reason, we did not run the sequential mediation analysis on the data for women.

Sensitivity analyses

Total and indirect effect estimates were similar when including childhood socioeconomic conditions as a potential confounder of education-mortality, education-epigenetic aging, and epigenetic aging-mortality relationships (**Supplementary Table 4**). When assessing the potential bias due to unmeasured confounding (possibly exposure-induced) of the mediator-outcome association, the indirect effect accounted for by epigenetic aging biomarkers varied

by small amounts around the estimates reported in main analysis (**Supplementary Figure 1** for DNAmGrimAA, other biomarkers not shown). This suggested modest bias from unmeasured confounding on the estimated indirect effect by epigenetic aging biomarkers.

By incorporating a non-differential measurement error of three years in epigenetic aging biomarkers, the estimated indirect effects for all epigenetic aging biomarkers were similar to, and for DNAmGrimAA slightly larger than, those reported from main analyses (**Supplementary Figures 2 and 3**). This suggested no overestimation of indirect effects reported from main analyses due to potential measurement error of epigenetic aging.

When assessing potential age-dependent hazard differences/ratios by repeating the analyses with survival ages right censored at 70, 75, 80, and 85 years, educational inequalities in mortality for men on the absolute scale widened (**Supplementary Figure 4**) while they were reduced on the relative scale (**Supplementary Figure 5**), particularly between ages 70 to 80 years. The indirect effect explained by DNAmGrimAA was substantial at all ages examined. For the other biomarkers, the indirect effect was small up to age 70 years, and slightly increased at later ages. The total and indirect effects for women were small at all ages on the absolute scale, while on the relative scale they were small by 75 years and increased afterwards (especially for the total effect and the indirect effect by DNAmGrimAA). Overall, this was consistent with the findings from the main analyses that educational inequalities in mortality were larger for men than for women on both scales, that DNAmGrimAA explained a substantial portion of those inequalities for men and a small portion on the relative scale for women.

In the sequential mediation analysis to assess the path-specific effect not mediated by leukocyte composition in blood (**Supplementary Figure 6**), for men the indirect effect explained by leukocyte composition in blood was 13 excess deaths per 10,000 person-years (95% CI: 6, 20), increasing to 36 (95% CI: 22, 50) when both leukocyte composition in blood

and DNAmGrimAA were the mediators (**Supplementary Table 5**). The path-specific indirect effect by DNAmGrimAA but not leukocyte composition was quantified as 21 deaths per 10,000 person-years (95% CI: 8, 33). For epigenetic aging based on the other biomarkers, this increment was small. This suggested that the epigenetic aging biomarker DNAmGrimAA captured the differential effect of DNAm levels beyond leukocyte composition in blood.

Discussion

We quantified the contribution of blood DNAm-based epigenetic aging biomarkers in explaining educational inequalities in all-cause mortality using data from eight populationbased cohort studies across seven high-income countries with a total of 13,021 participants. We found educational inequalities in mortality were larger for men than for women. For men, epigenetic aging as measured by the DNAmGrimAA biomarker explained a substantial portion of educational inequalities in mortality on both the hazard difference and ratio scales. The other biomarkers explained a smaller portion and were potentially confounded by leukocyte composition in blood. Additionally, we found that this mediation by epigenetic aging biomarkers was mostly explained by differential effects of unhealthy lifestyle (current smoking, high alcohol intake and BMI, low physical activity) and morbidities (hypertension and diabetes). For women, educational inequalities in mortality were small on the hazard difference scale and mediation by epigenetic aging biomarkers were small on the hazard ratio scale.

This is the first study to examine the mediating role of biomarkers of epigenetic aging in leukocytes underlying educational inequalities in mortality, by integrating social and lifestyle exposures, DNAm profiling from blood, and survival follow-up in men and women from several populations across Europe, USA and Australia. The observed substantive educational inequalities in all-cause mortality for men, whilst smaller for women, were in line with previous reports (4,12). Among the possible explanations for sex differences are that men with less education are more likely to engage in unhealthy lifestyle behaviors than less educated women (39), or that men with less education are more likely to be unemployed than their female counterparts (40). Additionally, in a sensitivity analysis we found that educational inequalities in mortality widened as a function of age, in line with a previous large European study of 371,295 participants (41).

For men, the portion of educational inequalities in all-cause mortality explained by epigenetic aging was substantial, particularly for the biomarker DNAmGrimAA, on both the absolute and relative scales, and across survival ages from 70 years onwards. Additionally, in sensitivity analysis we found that only DNAmGrimAA captured changes in DNAm patterns independently of changes in blood cell composition when mediating the effect of education on mortality. Our finding is consistent with a recent Mendelian Randomization (MR) study reporting evidence of a causal association between higher educational attainment and reduced DNAmGrimAA (32), and with observational studies showing that DNAmGrimAA outperforms other epigenetic aging biomarkers in predicting mortality and age-related clinical phenotypes (23,42). We nevertheless acknowledge that there is limited MR evidence of a causal link between DNAmGrimAA and mortality (32). However, these MR analyses may lack validity as they used weak genetic instruments and were not implemented for men and women separately.

In addition, we found that the potential path-specific contribution of epigenetic aging to the mortality excess rate, independent of unhealthy lifestyle and morbidities, was small. This result supported the likelihood that the examined epigenetic aging biomarkers captured most of the biological embedding of unfavourable exposures (unhealthy lifestyles and/or morbidities) attendant on lower education, eventually leading to an increased mortality rate. As unhealthy lifestyle and morbidities are the target of policies promoted by the WHO to

reduce the burden of premature mortality, our finding strenghtens the case for those policies and suggest they could additionally mitigate social inequalities in epigenetic aging, and eventually mortality (12). The small residual path-specific effect associated with epigenetic aging only, especially for biomarker DNAmGrimAA, might be attributed to unmeasured mediators such as those related to employment conditions or psychosocial resources, or to unmeasured unhealthy lifestyle factors such as poor quality diet. However, as lifestyle behaviours are known to cluster (43), our assessement of the joint mediation by four other unhealthy lifestyle factors potentially encompasses mediation by poor diet. Additionally, as DNAmGrimAA was calibrated on smoking pack years (23) among other phenotypes, the residual path-specific effect could be due to the inability of the smoking intermediate variable examined to capture mediation by smoking intensity. Finally, since the estimate for DNAmGrimAA had limited precision with confidence intervals ranging from -4 to 19 excess deaths per 10,000 person-years and from 0.98 to 1.13 (hazard ratio), future studies with larger sample sizes and more detailed lifestyle-related mediators are required to validate our results. For women, the portion of educational inequalities in all-cause mortality explained by epigenetic aging biomarkers was small and negative on the absolute scale, and small and positive on the relative scale. These apparently contrasting results could be related to statistical constraints with disaggregating a small hazard difference into direct and indirect portions and the available sample size. On the other hand, as educational inequalities on the hazard ratio scale were not small, the negligible mediation on the relative scale may either suggest that educational inequalities in mortality in women get embedded mostly through alternative biological mechanisms - not involving changes in epigenetic aging based on DNAm in blood (44) –, or point to unaccounted potential heterogeneity by age at menopause, which has been shown to affect epigenetic aging biomarkers (45). Alternatively, it may suggest that the epigenetic clock biomarkers should be calibrated separately for men and

women to improve estimation of individual epigenetic age considering sex differences in aging (46).

Our work has limitations. Our findings may not be generalized to non-White populations as most participants were White and may suffer from differential mortality attrition as participants were 64 years old on average. We did not assess the potential moderating effect of country as we had mostly one cohort per country in our meta-analysis. Future studies running a different statistical multi-cohort approach or gathering a larger number of cohorts per country are needed to address this (47). The mediators – DNAm, lifestyle, and morbidities – were measured at a single time point, providing only a snapshot of the aging process. Measuring a mediator only once may bias its contribution (48), so there is a need for future research to assess repeated measurements of DNAm in large samples of population-based cohorts. Finally, although education is usually completed years before the occurrence of chronic diseases and morbidities, the lack of longitudinal data from early life does not allow us to exclude reverse causality.

Unmeasured confounding may have biased our estimates. However, we implemented several sensitivity analyses for potentially unmeasured confounding that supported our main findings. Additionally, we implemented a sensitivity analysis to accommodate potential measurement error affecting epigenetic aging biomarkers, and this analysis supported our main findings.

We modelled educational attainment with two levels, potentially limiting our ability to capture the entire education-related social stratification process, which may underestimate the effects on all-cause mortality. We postulated a hypothetical intervention on educational attainment to assess educational inequalities in mortality. However, we did not define how such an intervention could be implemented for policies. Aiming to raise educational attainment by increasing compulsory schooling or subsidizing secondary school may still have imperfect compliance and unpredictable effects on mortality. Therefore, our results cannot be interpreted as causal effect estimates of specific interventions aiming to reduce mortality by increasing educational attainment. Despite this, the effect estimates in the present study were robust and support DNAm-based biomarkers of epigenetic aging in leukocytes as surrogate endpoints to assess social inequalities in life expectancy. We acknowledge that our results provide suggestive empirical evidence but do not provide definitive evidence, as with observational data we could not ascertain whether the differential changes in DNAm captured by the biomarkers had an active or passive role in driving mortality (18). Future experimental and longitudinal studies in both animals and humans are needed to enable more robust causal claims about the role of DNAm in immune cells (49).

A major strength of this study was the use of a counterfactual mediation framework addressing issues that may ensue from traditional linear methods as the difference or product mediation methods. Specifically, IORW provides unbiased estimates when mediators are categorical, when there is an interaction between exposure and mediator(s), or when parameters are non-collapsible as for the Cox survival model. Additionally, IORW offers a sensitivity analysis to assess the impact of potentially unmeasured confounding of the mediator-outcome relationship (34). Lastly, IORW is parsimonious as it does not require specification of a model for the joint conditional density of mediators when estimating indirect effects by a block of mediators. Notably, we evaluated mediators *en bloc* to assess the potential path-specific contribution of epigenetic aging independent of unhealthy lifestyle and morbidities. This joint mediation is advantageous as 1) there is no need for additional modeling assumptions to specify a causal order among mediators, specifically a directionality between and within unhealthy lifestyle factors and/or morbidities; and 2) is robust to unmeasured potential confounding of mediator-mediator relationships (35).

Additional strengths were the inclusion of multiple populations to make empirical evidence stronger, and the two-step approach for estimating effects in each cohort first, and then pooling these via a random effect meta-analysis. This approach allowed the effect of potential confounders to be different in each cohort, without the need of parametrizing it (47). Additionally, this approach was useful to consider potential inter-cohort differences determined by geography, period, or other. Indeed, various community-level characteristics may have affected mortality rates and education levels, and ultimately the effects of interest (50). However, our results presented a negligible degree of heterogeneity, suggesting country and cohort differences in mortality rate and birth years did not affect our findings. Finally, we estimated effects of education on all-cause mortality on both absolute and relative scales, thereby providing a complete description of inequalities.

In conclusion, we have provided empirical evidence about the substantial role of blood DNAm-based epigenetic aging biomarkers in explaining educational inequalities in all-cause mortality for men. Whether epigenetic aging acts as a biomarker of longevity or as a causal molecular mechanism of healthy aging remains an open question. Importantly, our results further suggested that differential effects of unhealthy lifestyle and morbidities determine this epigenetic embedding of unfavorable educational exposures ultimately leading to increased mortality rates. It would be a step too far to suggest that designing policies only around such downstream pathways would be the most effective way to reduce social inequalities in premature mortality and population health, when in reality whole systems/whole population life-course approaches will be required (30,31).

Funding. This work was supported by the European Commission [grant Horizon 2020 number 633666].

Acknowledgments. The LIFEPATH Consortium (in alphabetical order): Harri Alenius, Mauricio Avendano, Henrique Barros, Murielle Bochud, Cristian Carmeli, Luca Carra, Raphaele Castagne, Marc Chadeau-Hyam, Francoise Clavel-Chapelon, Giuseppe Costa, Emilie Courtin, Michaela Dijmarescu, Cyrille Delpierre, Angelo D'Errico, Pierre-Antoine Dugué, Paul Elliott, Silvia Fraga, Valerie Gares, Graham Giles, Marcel Goldberg, Dario Greco, Allison Hodge, Michelle Kelly-Irving, Piia Karisola, Mika Kivimaki, Vittorio Krogh, Thierry Lang, Richard Layte, Benoit Lepage, Frances Macguire, Johan Mackenbach, Michael Marmot, Cathal McCrory, Roger L. Milne, Peter Muennig, Wilma Nusselder, Salvatore Panico, Dusan Petrovic, Silvia Polidoro, Martin Preisig, Olli Raitakari, Ana Isabel Ribeiro, Fulvio Ricceri, Oliver Robinson, Jose Rubio Valverde, Carlotta Sacerdote, Vincenzo Salerno, Roberto Satolli, Gianluca Severi, Terrence Simmons, Silvia Stringhini, Rosario Tumino, Anne-Clare Vergnaud, Paolo Vineis, Peter Vollenweider, Marie Zins. Extended acknowledgements for each cohort are reported in **Supplementary Material**.

Author Contributions. P.V. conceived the project and with C.C. designed the study. C.M.C, Y.Z., P-A.D., S.R., W.Z., G.J.M., R.T., S.G., F.R., S.Po., H.B., M.W., P.R.M-G., A.P., G.G.G., Y.L., F.K., I.Y., B.M., A.J.M., J.vM., T.V., R.A.K. contributed reagents, materials, or analysis tools. C.C., G.F., S.Pe., and C.O.R. ran the data analysis. C.C. was chiefly responsible for the conduct and accuracy of the work. C.C. and G.F. drafted the manuscript and all authors contributed to critically reviewing and revising the draft. All authors read and approved the final manuscript before submission.

Conflict of Interests. None.

References

- 1. Mackenbach JP, Kulhánová I, Artnik B, et al. Changes in mortality inequalities over two decades: Register based study of European countries. *BMJ*. 2016. doi:10.1136/bmj.i1732.
- 2. Bosworth B. Increasing Disparities in Mortality by Socioeconomic Status. *Annu Rev Public Health.* 2018. doi:10.1146/annurev-publhealth-040617-014615.
- 3. Hamad R, Elser H, Tran DC, Rehkopf DH, Goodman SN. How and why studies disagree about the effects of education on health: A systematic review and metaanalysis of studies of compulsory schooling laws. *Soc Sci Med.* 2018. doi:10.1016/j.socscimed.2018.07.016.
- 4. Galama T, Lleras-Muney A, Kippersluis H van. The Effect of Education on Health and Mortality: A Review of Experimental and Quasi-Experimental Evidence. In: *Oxford Research Encyclopedia of Economics and Finance*. ; 2018. doi:10.1093/acrefore/9780190625979.013.7.
- 5. Halpern-Manners A, Helgertz J, Warren JR, Roberts E. The Effects of Education on Mortality: Evidence From Linked U.S. Census and Administrative Mortality Data. *Demography*. 2020. doi:10.1007/s13524-020-00892-6.
- 6. Link BG, Phelan J. Social conditions as fundamental causes of disease. *J Health Soc Behav.* 1995. doi:10.2307/2626958.
- 7. Mirowsky J, Ross CE. *Education, Social Status, and Health.*; 2017. doi:10.4324/9781351328081.
- 8. Dunham I, Kundaje A, Aldred SF, et al. An integrated encyclopedia of DNA elements in the human genome. *Nature*. 2012. doi:10.1038/nature11247.
- 9. Ross CE, Chia-Ling Wu. The links between education and health. *Am Sociol Rev.* 1995. doi:10.2307/2096319.
- Janke K, Johnston DW, Propper C, Shields MA. The causal effect of education on chronic health conditions in the UK. *J Health Econ*. 2020. doi:10.1016/j.jhealeco.2019.102252.
- 11. Brunello G, Fort M, Schneeweis N, Winter-Ebmer R. The Causal Effect of Education on Health: What is the Role of Health Behaviors? *Heal Econ (United Kingdom)*. 2016. doi:10.1002/hec.3141.
- 12. Laine JE, Baltar VT, Stringhini S, et al. Reducing socio-economic inequalities in allcause mortality: a counterfactual mediation approach. *Int J Epidemiol*. 2020. doi:10.1093/ije/dyz248.
- 13. Snyder-Mackler N, Burger JR, Gaydosh L, et al. Social determinants of health and survival in humans and other animals. *Science (80-)*. 2020. doi:10.1126/science.aax9553.
- 14. Hertzman C. Putting the concept of biological embedding in historical perspective. *Proc Natl Acad Sci U S A*. 2012. doi:10.1073/pnas.1202203109.
- 15. Blane D, Kelly-Irving M, D'Errico A, Bartley M, Montgomery S. Social-biological transitions: how does the social become biological? *Longit Life Course Stud.* 2013;4(2). doi:10.14301/llcs.v4i2.236.
- 16. Castagné R, Garès V, Karimi M, et al. Allostatic load and subsequent all-cause mortality: which biological markers drive the relationship? Findings from a UK birth cohort. *Eur J Epidemiol*. 2018;33(5). doi:10.1007/s10654-018-0364-1.
- 17. Berger E, Castagné R, Chadeau-Hyam M, et al. Multi-cohort study identifies social determinants of systemic inflammation over the life course. *Nat Commun.* 2019. doi:10.1038/s41467-019-08732-x.
- 18. Carmeli C, Kutalik Z, Mishra PP, et al. Gene regulation contributes to explain the

impact of early life socioeconomic disadvantage on adult inflammatory levels in two cohort studies. *Sci Rep.* 2021. doi:10.1038/s41598-021-82714-2.

- 19. Hamdi NR, South SC, Krueger RF. Does education lower allostatic load? A co-twin control study. *Brain Behav Immun.* 2016. doi:10.1016/j.bbi.2016.01.014.
- 20. Fiorito G, McCrory C, Robinson O, et al. Socioeconomic position, lifestyle habits and biomarkers of epigenetic aging: A multi-cohort analysis. *Aging (Albany NY)*. 2019;11(7):2045-2070. doi:10.18632/aging.101900.
- 21. Schmitz LL, Zhao W, Ratliff SM, et al. The Socioeconomic Gradient in Epigenetic Ageing Clocks: Evidence from the Multi-Ethnic Study of Atherosclerosis and the Health and Retirement Study. *Epigenetics*. 2021. doi:10.1080/15592294.2021.1939479.
- 22. Crimmins EM, Thyagarajan B, Levine ME, Weir DR, Faul J. Associations of age, sex, race/ethnicity, and education with 13 epigenetic clocks in a nationally representative U.S. sample: The health and retirement study. *Journals Gerontol Ser A Biol Sci Med Sci*. 2021. doi:10.1093/gerona/glab016.
- 23. Lu AT, Quach A, Wilson JG, et al. DNA methylation GrimAge strongly predicts lifespan and healthspan. *Aging (Albany NY)*. 2019. doi:10.18632/aging.101684.
- 24. Li X, Ploner A, Wang Y, et al. Longitudinal trajectories, correlations and mortality associations of nine biological ages across 20-years follow-up. *Elife*. 2020. doi:10.7554/eLife.51507.
- 25. Hillary RF, Stevenson AJ, McCartney DL, et al. Epigenetic measures of ageing predict the prevalence and incidence of leading causes of death and disease burden. *Clin Epigenetics*. 2020. doi:10.1186/s13148-020-00905-6.
- 26. Dugué PA, Bassett JK, Joo JHE, et al. DNA methylation-based biological aging and cancer risk and survival: Pooled analysis of seven prospective studies. *Int J Cancer*. 2018. doi:10.1002/ijc.31189.
- Liu Z, Chen BH, Assimes TL, Ferrucci L, Horvath S, Levine ME. The role of epigenetic aging in education and racial/ethnic mortality disparities among older U.S. Women. *Psychoneuroendocrinology*. 2019. doi:10.1016/j.psyneuen.2019.01.028.
- 28. Oblak L, van der Zaag J, Higgins-Chen AT, Levine ME, Boks MP. A systematic review of biological, social and environmental factors associated with epigenetic clock acceleration. *Ageing Res Rev.* 2021. doi:10.1016/j.arr.2021.101348.
- 29. Tchetgen Tchetgen EJ. Inverse odds ratio-weighted estimation for causal mediation analysis. *Stat Med.* 2013. doi:10.1002/sim.5864.
- 30. Stringhini S, Carmeli C, Jokela M, et al. Socioeconomic status and the 25 × 25 risk factors as determinants of premature mortality: a multicohort study and meta-analysis of 1.7 million men and women. *Lancet*. 2017. doi:10.1016/S0140-6736(16)32380-7.
- 31. Vineis P, Avendano-Pabon M, Barros H, et al. Special Report: The Biology of Inequalities in Health: The Lifepath Consortium. *Front Public Heal*. 2020. doi:10.3389/fpubh.2020.00118.
- 32. McCartney DL, Min JL, Richmond RC, et al. Genome-wide association studies identify 137 genetic loci for DNA methylation biomarkers of aging. *Genome Biol.* 2021. doi:10.1186/s13059-021-02398-9.
- 33. Houseman EA, Accomando WP, Koestler DC, et al. DNA methylation arrays as surrogate measures of cell mixture distribution. *BMC Bioinformatics*. 2012. doi:10.1186/1471-2105-13-86.
- 34. Nguyen QC, Osypuk TL, Schmidt NM, Glymour MM, Tchetgen EJT. Practical guidance for conducting mediation analysis with multiple mediators using inverse odds ratio weighting. *Am J Epidemiol*. 2015. doi:10.1093/aje/kwu278.
- 35. Van Der weele T, Vansteelandt S. Mediation analysis with multiple mediators.

Epidemiol Method. 2013. doi:10.1515/em-2012-0010.

- 36. Nordahl H, Lange T, Osler M, et al. Education and cause-specific mortality: The mediating role of differential exposure and vulnerability to behavioral risk factors. *Epidemiology*. 2014. doi:10.1097/EDE.00000000000080.
- 37. Asada Y. On the choice of absolute or relative inequality measures. *Milbank Q*. 2010. doi:10.1111/j.1468-0009.2010.00614.x.
- 38. Steen J, Loeys T, Moerkerke B, Vansteelandt S. Flexible Mediation Analysis with Multiple Mediators. *Am J Epidemiol*. 2017. doi:10.1093/aje/kwx051.
- 39. Ross CE, Masters RK, Hummer RA. Education and the Gender Gaps in Health and Mortality. *Demography*. 2012. doi:10.1007/s13524-012-0130-z.
- 40. van Hedel K, van Lenthe FJ, Oude Groeniger J, Mackenbach JP. What's the difference? A gender perspective on understanding educational inequalities in all-cause and cause-specific mortality. *BMC Public Health*. 2018. doi:10.1186/s12889-018-5940-5.
- 41. Gallo V, Mackenbach JP, Ezzati M, et al. Social inequalities and mortality in europe results from a large multi-national cohort. *PLoS One*. 2012. doi:10.1371/journal.pone.0039013.
- 42. McCrory C, Fiorito G, Hernandez B, et al. GrimAge outperforms other epigenetic clocks in the prediction of age-related clinical phenotypes and all-cause mortality. *Journals Gerontol Ser A*. 2020. doi:10.1093/gerona/glaa286.
- 43. Noble N, Paul C, Turon H, Oldmeadow C. Which modifiable health risk behaviours are related? A systematic review of the clustering of Smoking, Nutrition, Alcohol and Physical activity ('SNAP') health risk factors. *Prev Med (Baltim)*. 2015. doi:10.1016/j.ypmed.2015.07.003.
- 44. McCrory C, Fiorito G, McLoughlin S, et al. Epigenetic clocks and allostatic load reveal potential sex-specific drivers of biological aging. *Journals Gerontol Ser A Biol Sci Med Sci.* 2020. doi:10.1093/gerona/glz241.
- 45. Levine ME, Lu AT, Chen BH, et al. Menopause accelerates biological aging. *Proc Natl Acad Sci U S A*. 2016. doi:10.1073/pnas.1604558113.
- 46. Yusipov I, Bacalini MG, Kalyakulina A, et al. Age-related DNA methylation changes are sex-specific: a comprehensive assessment. *Aging (Albany NY)*. 2020. doi:10.18632/aging.202251.
- 47. Basagaña X, Pedersen M, Barrera-Gómez J, et al. Analysis of multicentre epidemiological studies: Contrasting fixed or random effects modelling and meta-analysis. *Int J Epidemiol*. 2018. doi:10.1093/ije/dyy117.
- Oude Groeniger J, Kamphuis CB, Mackenbach JP, van Lenthe FJ. Repeatedly measured material and behavioral factors changed the explanation of socioeconomic inequalities in all-cause mortality. *J Clin Epidemiol*. 2017. doi:10.1016/j.jclinepi.2017.08.006.
- 49. Keenan CR, Allan RS. Epigenomic drivers of immune dysfunction in aging. *Aging Cell*. 2019. doi:10.1111/acel.12878.
- 50. Mackenbach JP, Rubio Valverde J, Bopp M, et al. Progress against inequalities in mortality: register-based study of 15 European countries between 1990 and 2015. *Eur J Epidemiol*. 2019. doi:10.1007/s10654-019-00580-9.

Figure legends

Figure 1. Mediation models. For the sake of simplicity, we do not include confounders (age and sex). Highest educational attainment represents the exposure and all-cause mortality the outcome. A) Adulthood DNA methylation-based epigenetic aging in blood was the investigated mediator. B) Adulthood unhealthy lifestyle (low physical activity, high alcohol intake, current smoking, and high BMI), morbidities (diabetes and hypertension), and epigenetic aging in blood were the mediators. Unhealthy lifestyle and morbidities were considered mediators *en bloc* (considered all at the same time). In the hypothesized mediation model, unhealthy lifestyle and morbidities influence epigenetic ageing (not *vice versa*).

Figure 2. Educational inequalities and mediation by epigenetic aging biomarkers on the absolute scale. Hazard difference per 10,000 person-years and 95% confidence intervals (CI) are for the total effect of low (vs high) educational attainment on all-cause mortality, and for indirect effect by epigenetic aging biomarkers (Horvath DNAmAA, Hannum DNAmAA, DNAmPhenoAA, and DNAmGrimAA). All effects are pooled estimates of single cohort's hazard differences (absolute scale) through an inverse variance-weighted meta-analysis model. The total number of participants/deaths across cohorts was 6,477 / 1,638 for men and 6,544 / 1,002 for women.

Figure 3. Educational inequalities and mediation by epigenetic aging biomarkers on the relative scale. Hazard ratios (HRs) and 95% confidence intervals (CI) are for the total effect of low (vs high) educational attainment on all-cause mortality, and for indirect effects by epigenetic aging biomarkers (Horvath DNAmAA, Hannum DNAmAA, DNAmPhenoAA, and DNAmGrimAA). All effects are pooled estimates of single cohort's hazard ratios (relative scale) through an inverse variance-weighted meta-analysis model. The total number of participants/deaths across cohorts was 6,477 / 1,638 for men and 6,544 / 1,002 for women.

Accepted Manus

Study	Participants	Age at	High/Low education	Death	Follow-up	Mortality
	N (%)	baseline	N (%)	N (%)	years	rate
		Mean			Mean (SD)	
		(SD)				
EPIC-IT						
Men	607 (40)	53.7 (6.7)	231 (38) / 376 (62)	135 (22)	14.9 (4.4)	151.2
Women	917 (60)	52.9 (7.6)	255 (28) / 662 (72)	147 (16)	15.3 (3.5)	160.6
ESTHER						
Men	870 (48)	62.7 (6.6)	129 (15) / 741 (85)	410 (47)	12.4 (4.9)	400.5
Women	944 (52)	62.2 (6.7)	53 (6) / 891 (94)	318 (34)	13.8 (4.1)	263.3
KORA						
Men	840 (49)	61.3 (8.9)	210 (25) / 627 (75)	90 (11)	8.4 (1.7)	119.6
Women	882 (51)	60.7 (8.8)	127 (14) / 755 (86)	56 (6)	8.7 (1.2)	89.3
MCCS						
Men	1719 (61)	69.2 (7.8)	619 (36) / 1100 (64)	756 (44)	12.6 (5.9)	248.9
Women	1093 (39)	67.7 (8.7)	314 (29) / 779 (71)	333 (30)	13.9 (5.6)	171.6
MESA				6		
Men	612 (49)	69.7 (9.3)	528 (86) / 84 (14)	79 (13)	6.4 (1.4)	95.6
Women	648 (51)	69.5 (9.4)	546 (84) / 102 (16)	58 (9)	6.5 (1.2)	65.7
NICOLA						
Men	963 (49)	65.7 (9.4)	460 (48) / 503 (52)	65 (7)	4.9 (0.9)	99.8
Women	1017 (51)	63.5 (9.3)	555 (55) / 462 (45)	31 (3)	5.1 (0.7)	52.0
RS						
Men	622 (44)	63.6 (8.1)	418 (67) / 205 (33)	79 (13)	5.9 (2.2)	231.5
Women	798 (56)	64.0 (8.0)	314 (39) / 484 (61)	48 (6)	5.8 (2.0)	100.9
TILDA						
Men	244 (50)	61.9 (8.1)	148 (61) / 96 (39)	24 (10)	7.2 (2.0)	145.3
Women	245 (50)	62.1 (8.4)	141 (58) / 104 (42)	11 (5)	7.2 (1.9)	68.2

Table 1. Characteristics of participants (N=13,021).

For each cohort study, values for men and women are reported on top and bottom rows, respectively. Mean (standard deviation, SD) age at baseline and length of follow-up are reported in years. Mortality rates per 10,000 person-years are standardized using total person-years by 5-year strata of age at baseline. Characteristics are for participants with complete data on education and mortality. EPIC-IT (European Investigation into Cancer and Nutrition - Italy); ESTHER (Epidemiological investigations of the chances of preventing, recognizing early and optimally treating chronic diseases in an elderly population); KORA (Cooperative Health Research in the Augsburg Region); MCCS (Melbourne Collaborative Cohort Study); MESA (Multi-Ethnic Study of Atherosclerosis); NICOLA (Northern Ireland Cohort for the Longitudinal Study of Ageing); RS (Rotterdam Study); TILDA (The Irish Longitudinal Study on Ageing).

Effects	Hazard difference	Hazard ratio	
	(95% CI)	(95% CI)	
Total effect	55 (35, 74)	1.32 (1.11, 1.56)	
Indirect effect by LM	26 (14, 37)	1.10 (1.05, 1.16)	
Indirect effect by LM + Horvath DNAmAA	25 (12, 39)	1.10 (1.04, 1.16)	
Indirect effect by Horvath DNAmAA but not LM	-0.8 (-13.6, 11.9)	1.00 (0.93, 1.07)	
Indirect effect by LM + Hannum DNAmAA	27 (15, 40)	1.11 (1.05, 1.17)	
Indirect effect by Hannum DNAmAA but not LM	1.5 (-11.2, 14.3)	1.01 (0.94, 1.08)	
Indirect effect by LM + DNAmPhenoAA	31 (17, 44)	1.13 (1.07, 1.19)	
Indirect effect by DNAmPhenoAA but not LM	4.7 (-8.3, 17.6)	1.02 (0.95, 1.10)	
Indirect effect by LM + DNAmGrimAA	35 (20, 50)	1.15 (1.09, 1.21)	
Indirect effect by DNAmGrimAA but not LM	8.8 (-4.2, 21.7)	1.04 (0.97, 1.12)	

Table 2. Mediation by unhealthy lifestyle, morbidities, and epigenetic aging in men.

Absolute (hazard difference per 10,000 person-years) and relative (hazard ratio) size of total effect of low (vs high) educational attainment on all-cause mortality, and of indirect effects by unhealthy lifestyle and morbidities (LM) and epigenetic aging biomarkers (Horvath DNAmAA, Hannum DNAmAA, DNAmPhenoAA, and DNAmGrimAA). All effects are pooled estimates of single cohort's effects through an inverse variance-weighted meta-analysis model. The total number of participants/deaths across cohorts was 6,156 / 1,586.

ZCC









Figure 3

Hazard Ratio

