**QUEEN'S
UNIVERSITY
BELFAST**

Developing an integrated hydrograph separation and lumped modelling approach to quantifying hydrological pathways in Irish river catchments

O'Brien, R., Misstear, B. D., Gill, L. W., Deakin, J. L., & Flynn, R. (2013). Developing an integrated hydrograph separation and lumped modelling approach to quantifying hydrological pathways in Irish river catchments. *Journal of Hydrology*, 486, 259–270. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jhydrol.2013.01.034>

Published in:
Journal of Hydrology

Document Version:
Peer reviewed version

Queen's University Belfast - Research Portal:
[Link to publication record in Queen's University Belfast Research Portal](#)

Publisher rights

This is the author's version of a work that was accepted for publication in Journal of Hydrology. Changes resulting from the publishing process, such as peer review, editing, corrections, structural formatting, and other quality control mechanisms may not be reflected in this document. Changes may have been made to this work since it was submitted for publication. A definitive version was subsequently published in Journal of Hydrology, [VOL 486, (2013)]

General rights

Copyright for the publications made accessible via the Queen's University Belfast Research Portal is retained by the author(s) and / or other copyright owners and it is a condition of accessing these publications that users recognise and abide by the legal requirements associated with these rights.

Take down policy

The Research Portal is Queen's institutional repository that provides access to Queen's research output. Every effort has been made to ensure that content in the Research Portal does not infringe any person's rights, or applicable UK laws. If you discover content in the Research Portal that you believe breaches copyright or violates any law, please contact openaccess@qub.ac.uk.

Accepted Manuscript

Developing an integrated hydrograph separation and lumped modelling approach to quantifying hydrological pathways in Irish river catchments

Ronan J. O'Brien, Bruce D. Misstear, Laurence W. Gill, Jenny L. Deakin, Ray Flynn

PII: S0022-1694(13)00080-2

DOI: <http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/j.jhydrol.2013.01.034>

Reference: HYDROL 18712

To appear in: *Journal of Hydrology*

Received Date: 8 August 2012

Revised Date: 22 January 2013

Accepted Date: 28 January 2013

Please cite this article as: O'Brien, R.J., Misstear, B.D., Gill, L.W., Deakin, J.L., Flynn, R., Developing an integrated hydrograph separation and lumped modelling approach to quantifying hydrological pathways in Irish river catchments, *Journal of Hydrology* (2013), doi: <http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/j.jhydrol.2013.01.034>

This is a PDF file of an unedited manuscript that has been accepted for publication. As a service to our customers we are providing this early version of the manuscript. The manuscript will undergo copyediting, typesetting, and review of the resulting proof before it is published in its final form. Please note that during the production process errors may be discovered which could affect the content, and all legal disclaimers that apply to the journal pertain.

Developing an integrated hydrograph separation and lumped modelling approach to quantifying hydrological pathways in Irish river catchments

Ronan J. O'Brien,^{1*} Bruce D. Misstear,¹ Laurence W. Gill,¹ Jenny L. Deakin,¹ and Ray Flynn²

¹Department of Civil, Structural and Environmental Engineering, Trinity College Dublin, Dublin, Ireland

²School of Planning, Architecture and Civil Engineering, Queen's University Belfast, Northern Ireland

* Tel.: +353 1 8962045; fax +353 1 6773072. Email address: obrienrj@tcd.ie

Non-Standard Abbreviations.¹

Abstract

An appreciation of the quantity of streamflow derived from the main hydrological pathways involved in transporting diffuse contaminants is critical when addressing a wide range of water resource management issues. In order to assess hydrological pathway contributions to streams, it is necessary to provide feasible upper and lower bounds for flows in each pathway. An important first step in this process is to provide reliable estimates of the slower responding groundwater pathways and subsequently the quicker overland and interflow pathways. This paper investigates the effectiveness of a multi-faceted approach applying different hydrograph separation techniques, supplemented by lumped hydrological modelling, for calculating the Baseflow Index (BFI), for the development of an integrated approach to hydrograph separation. A semi-distributed, lumped and deterministic rainfall runoff model known as NAM has been applied to ten catchments (ranging from 5 to 699 km²). While this modelling approach is useful as a validation method, NAM itself is also an important tool for investigation. These separation techniques provide a large variation in BFI, a difference of 0.741 predicted for BFI in a catchment with the less reliable fixed and sliding interval methods and local minima turning point methods included. This variation is reduced to 0.167 with these methods omitted. The Boughton and Eckhardt algorithms, while quite subjective in their use, provide quick and easily implemented approaches for obtaining physically realistic hydrograph separations. It is observed that while the different separation techniques give varying BFI values for each of the catchments, a recharge coefficient approach developed in

¹ NAM - Nedbør-Afstrømnings-Model", Danish software literally meaning rainfall runoff model.

29 Ireland, when applied in conjunction with the Master recession Curve Tabulation method,
30 predict estimates in agreement with those obtained using the NAM model, and these estimates
31 are also consistent with the study catchments' geology. These two separation methods, in
32 conjunction with the NAM model, were selected to form an integrated approach to assessing
33 BFI in catchments.

35 **Keywords:** river hydrograph separation; catchment modelling; recharge coefficients

37 1 Introduction

38 Understanding of the relative contributions of surface water and groundwater pathways
39 underlies the objective of most catchment studies, whether the aims of the study are flood
40 prediction, power generation, ecosystem preservation and remediation, water resource
41 management or contaminant transport. This has been the subject of many studies from over 70
42 years ago (Boussinesq, 1877; Horton, 1933) through the second half of the 20th century
43 (Pinder and Jones, 1969; Sklash and Farvolden, 1979; Nathan and McMahon, 1990; Chapman
44 and Maxwell, 1996) up to recent times (Sivapalan et al., 2003; Brodie and Hostetler, 2005;
45 Eckhardt, 2008; Santhi et al., 2008). Research has focused on simple separation approaches
46 that relied heavily on the analyst's experience, such as graphical separation techniques,
47 (Linsley, 1958; Linsley Jr et al., 1975; Frohlich et al., 1994; Szilagyi and Parlange, 1998) and
48 on less subjective means of separation such as filtering algorithms like the local minima
49 turning point separation method (Institute of Hydrology, 1980), the fixed and sliding interval
50 methods (Pettyjohn and Henning, 1979), the Lyne and Hollick one-parameter algorithm (Lyne
51 and Hollick, 1979), the Boughton-(Boughton, 1993) and the Eckhardt- (Eckhardt, 2005) two-
52 parameter algorithms and the three parameter IHACRES filter (Jakeman and Hornberger,
53 1993). Analysis of the hydrographs recession following a rainfall event has also attracted
54 much investigation to interpret the discharge processes dominating. Many approaches have
55 been taken to elucidate the linear (Barnes, 1939; Tallaksen, 1995) and non-linear effects
56 present (Coutagne, 1948; Van de Griend et al., 2002) based on the analysis by Boussinesq
57 (1877) , that was applied to river discharge data (Maillet, 1905; Horton, 1933). The
58 relationship between recharge of effective rainfall (rainfall less evapotranspiration) can further

59 provide an indication of the groundwater, and conversely the quick responding pathways, will
60 contribute to the river hydrograph. This has been investigated internationally (Rorabaugh,
61 1964; Rutledge and Survey, 1998; Scanlon et al., 2002) and in the Irish setting (Misstear and
62 Fitzsimons, 2007; Misstear et al., 2009). These studies all sought to further understand the
63 origin of the water and the processes that sustain a river's flow, which still drives much of the
64 research and legislation internationally today (Dunn et al., 2010; Gomi et al., 2010; Dahlke et
65 al., 2011; Ockenden and Chappell, 2011). The Water Framework Directive (WFD, 2000) is
66 considered one of the most comprehensive pieces of European Union (EU) water legislation
67 written to date. In contrast to previous EU directives, the WFD takes an integrated view of the
68 water cycle and its components. It is now recognised that an understanding of the hydrological
69 processes involved in a catchment is vital to predicting environmental and ecological impacts
70 resulting from changes in land use and management practices. This requires the identification
71 of the important pathways transporting both diffuse and point source contaminants to rivers
72 and aquatic ecosystems.

73

74 Ireland's hydrogeological setting is an important driver of these hydrological processes and is
75 dominated by fracture flow within the bedrock aquifers. These aquifers range from poorly
76 productive aquifers, capable of transmitting only small amounts to water through the
77 fractured-bedrock pathways, to regional important aquifers that have the capacity to transmit
78 larger volumes of water. The classification is based on criteria such as aquifer areal extent,
79 transmissivity, potential well yields, etc as explain by Geological Survey of Ireland (2006).
80 The different classifications of aquifers are outlined in Table 1.

81

82 ***Table 1***

83

84 The permeability, depth and slope of the overlying subsoils and soils will affect the quicker
85 responding surface pathways. Conceptually, the main flow pathways contributing to rivers in
86 an Irish setting are: overland flow, interflow, shallow groundwater flow and deep groundwater
87 flow, as shown on Figure 1. Overland flow is rainfall runoff over the land's surface and into
88 the first few millimetres of soil. It is conceptualised as occurring when the soil becomes

89 saturated, i.e. saturation excess overland flow, typical of many catchments in temperate
 90 climates (Bonell, 1993). Interflow is conceptualised as lateral subsurface flow in soils and
 91 subsoils and can occur under both saturated and unsaturated conditions. Shallow groundwater
 92 is the groundwater component that occurs in the more transmissive upper part of the fractured-
 93 bedrock aquifer, where there is generally greater weathering of the rock and often greater
 94 numbers of open fractures than at depth. Finally, deep groundwater is defined as the
 95 groundwater in the main body of the less transmissive aquifer below this upper weathered
 96 layer. All four pathways are conceptualised as potentially contributing to streamflow.

97
 98 ***Figure 1***

99
 100 The aims of the research project (the *Pathways* project) are to achieve a better understanding
 101 of these hydrological pathways, the fate and transport of waterborne contaminants, and the
 102 subsequent impact of these contaminants on aquatic ecosystems in Irish catchments. The
 103 contaminants being investigated include phosphorus, nitrogen, sediments, pesticides and
 104 pathogens. The project is to develop a Catchment Management Tool (CMT) to assist the Irish
 105 Environmental Protection Agency and River Basin District managers in achieving the
 106 objectives of the WFD. As an important element of this research is to quantify the proportion
 107 of the river hydrograph that is derived from each of the main pathways, a reliable approach is
 108 required to identify the overland and subsurface pathways.

109
 110 The first step of this process is to calculate the contribution of the groundwater pathways
 111 contributing to the hydrograph, regarded as the baseflow or contribution of both shallow and
 112 deep groundwater. When separating baseflow from the observed discharge, certain qualitative
 113 rules have been applied to aid in assessing separations. These rules of thumb allowed the
 114 investigator to ascertain if the results of techniques applied are realistic or act as guidance in
 115 graphical separations carried out by hand on available hydrographs. The Australian Rainfall
 116 and Runoff report on Baseflow for Catchment Simulation (Merz et al., 2009) summarises five
 117 such rules concisely as:

1. Low flow conditions prior to the commencement of a flood event consist entirely of baseflow.
 2. The rapid increase in river level relative to the surrounding groundwater level results in an increase in bank storage. The delayed return of this storage to the river causes the baseflow recession to continue after the peak of the total hydrograph.
 3. Baseflow will peak after the hydrograph due to the storage-routing effect of the sub-surface stores.
 4. The baseflow recession will most likely follow an exponential decay function.
 5. The baseflow hydrograph will rejoin the total hydrograph as quickflow ceases.
- These five assumptions of baseflow separation were employed when assessing the techniques employed in the catchments.

2 Study Catchments

In Ireland, the major land use is grassland, which covers approximately two-thirds of the total land area - and over 90% of all agricultural land (Brogan et al., 2002). Brown earths and Brown Podzolic type soils are common in the midlands and south, while gleyed soils are more common in the north and west. Subsoils consist of glacial deposits, mainly tills, together with peat, lacustrine deposits and alluvium (Archbold et al., 2009). The geological conditions of Ireland are highly heterogeneous across the country, with variations in subsoil and bedrock properties occurring over short distances. Examining the aquifer mapping available, approximately 73.5% of aquifers are poorly productive (Pl, Pu or L1), with the more productive karst aquifers generally occurring in the west of the country. Most of the eastern half of the country receives between 750 and 1000 mm of rainfall in the year. Rainfall in the west generally averages between 1000 and 1400 mm. In many mountainous districts rainfall exceeds 2000 mm per year. Hail and snow contribute relatively little to the precipitation measured. The average annual potential evapotranspiration (PE) for the period 1971-2000 is between 440 and 552 mm for inland and maritime stations, respectively (Collins et al., 2004). Daily streamflow data are available from hydrometric stations maintained by the Office of Public Works (OPW) and the Environmental Protection Agency (EPA), with higher temporal resolution data available from a selection of these upon request. Three catchments were

148 chosen from these sources, Deel, Blackwater (Kells) and Blackwater Fyanstown catchments,
 149 covering a range of different hydrological conditions. Supplementing these were three
 150 catchments in the Slieve Aughty mountains located on the Galway, Clare border. Three
 151 catchments were then used from the Pathways Project, Mattock (Louth, Meath), Nuenna
 152 (Kilkenny) and Glen Burn (Down). In these three catchments, data was obtained from four
 153 gauging stations that were specifically set up for this project. These supplementary catchments
 154 all had discharge data at one hour intervals or less. The catchment locations are shown in
 155 Figure 2, while Table 2 outlines the characteristics of these catchments.

157 ***Figure 2***

159 ***Table 2***

161 **3 Methods**

162 In order to quantify the contribution of the pathways, different techniques can be applied to
 163 calculate the BFI. These techniques range from studying the characteristics of recessions,
 164 using signal analysis methods, assessing geology, soil and subsoil cover, to implementing
 165 numerical models. Recession analysis, recursive digital filtering techniques, automated fixed
 166 and sliding interval approach, local minima turning point technique, recharge coefficient
 167 approach and lumped numerical modelling were used to constrain the quick responding flow
 168 from the baseflow and, where possible, the four pathways of the conceptual model, as
 169 described in the following sections.

171 **3.1 Recession Analysis**

172 A recession period is the time following a rainfall event during which stream discharge
 173 recedes until subsequent rainfall increases discharge once more. It has been observed in many
 174 studies that the recession of the hydrograph can be approximated with a linear reservoir
 175 (Horton, 1933; Nathan and McMahon, 1990; Chapman, 1999; Brodie and Hostetler, 2005).
 176 Discharge from a linear reservoir, with no recharge occurring over the period, can be
 177 expressed as:

$$Q_t = Q_0 e^{-t/\tau} = Q_0 k^t \quad (1)$$

where Q_t and Q_0 are the discharge at times t and start of the recession, time 0, and τ is the response or turnover time of the reservoir. The term $e^{-(t/\tau)}$ is usually termed the recession constant k and used to inform automated signal filtering techniques. This equation is obtained from the solution to the water continuity equation:

$$Q = -\frac{dS}{dt} \quad (2)$$

where S is the storage of the reservoir [L^3], using the linear relationship of discharge to storage:

$$Q_t = \frac{S}{\tau} \quad (3)$$

The general suitability of the assumption of the groundwater storage being a linear reservoir has been questioned as many recessions do not always form a straight line on a semi-logarithm plot (Barnes, 1939; Chapman, 1999; Fenicia et al., 2006). However, it has been demonstrated that although simplistic in its approach to groundwater discharges, the linear reservoir assumption, subject to incorporating recharge into the analysis, can suitably model the groundwater behaviour in many catchments (Chapman, 1999). Where the groundwater behaviour cannot be adequately modelled with a linear reservoir assumption, a non-linear model should be used. Eq. (1) is shown to be the special case solution of the generalised non-linear reservoir (Coutagne, 1948):

$$Q_t = Q_0 [1 + (n-1)t/\tau_0]^{-n/(n-1)} \quad (4)$$

where $\tau_0 = S_0/Q_0$ is the turnover time at time zero and n is the measure of the non-linearity of the reservoir.

199

Another approach to modelling this situation with a linear reservoir is to split the non-linear reservoir into a number of smaller reservoirs in parallel that could each be modelled as being linear (Tallaksen, 1995). This is the approach taken in this paper for calculating the τ related to

each of the reservoirs that represent the subsurface pathways. In this case the hydrograph recession is modelled by the superposition of four individual reservoirs, one for each pathway:

$$Q_t = Q_{on} e^{-t/\tau_o} + Q_{in} e^{-t/\tau_i} + Q_{sh} e^{-t/\tau_s} + Q_{dp} e^{-t/\tau_d} \quad (5)$$

where τ_o , τ_i , τ_s , and τ_d refer to combined, overland, interflow, shallow and deep groundwater storages respectively.

In order to identify these τ values for each of the pathways present, Master Recession Curves (MRC) are constructed. This is achieved by plotting many recessions side by side, as per the tabulation method (Johnson et al., 1956). Analysis of the MRC allows the characteristic response of a catchment at different discharge levels to be inferred from the rate of recession of the discharges.

3.2 Recursive digital filters

This technique is based upon a recursive digital filter commonly applied in signal analysis and processing. The basis of this method is that filtering out high-frequency signals is analogous to the separation of 'low-frequency' slow response flow from high-frequency quick response flow. The main drawback of this method is that the selection of parameters can be subjective (though not always) and physically unrealistic.

Three types of recursive digital filters are compared to each other. These are the 'one-parameter', and two different 'two-parameter' algorithms.

3.2.1 One Parameter

The first 'one-parameter' algorithm (Lyne and Hollick, 1979) was shown to maintain baseflow at a constant value once overland flow had ceased and hence updated (Chapman and Maxwell, 1996) to a form that has the groundwater flow being a simple weighted average of the quick response flow and the slow response flow at the previous time interval:

$$Q_{st} = \frac{k}{2-k} Q_{st-1} + \frac{1-k}{2-k} Q_t \quad (6)$$

subject to the condition that

$$Q_{st} \leq Q_t \quad (6a)$$

where Q_s is slow response flow (L^3/T), Q is streamflow (L^3/T), k is the recession constant and t is the time step.

3.2.2 Two Parameter

The most widely used ‘two-parameter’ algorithm, the Boughton-two-parameter algorithm (Boughton, 1993) was developed from the ‘one-parameter’ algorithm. It replaces $(1-k)$ with C to add another degree of flexibility to the algorithm.

Equation 6 becomes:

$$Q_{st} = \frac{k}{1+C} Q_{st-1} + \frac{C}{1+C} Q_t \quad (8)$$

again subject to Equation (6a).

The addition of parameter C , although allowing the algorithm to be more flexible, reduces its objectivity as C must be chosen by the user of the algorithm. If an optimisation programme is implemented to select a value for C , this parameter C will be increased until the entire streamflow that is observed, derives from groundwater flow. Therefore C should be selected with the objective of achieving the correct point for quick response flow to end on the hydrograph.

Eckhardt (2005) developed a two-parameter filter in an attempt to remove the subjectivity of C parameter from Boughton’s algorithm. This algorithm assumes there is an initial knowledge of the catchment, or at least a surrogate catchment, which would provide an estimate of the maximum baseflow index (BFI_{max}), the ratio of baseflow (slow response pathways) to total streamflow.

$$Q_{st-1} = \frac{1-BFI_{max}}{1-kBFI_{max}} k Q_{st-1} + \frac{1-k}{1-kBFI_{max}} BFI_{max} Q_t \quad (9)$$

256 This is again subject to Equation (6a).
257 This algorithm also involves a subjective parameter in that BFI_{max} cannot be
258 measured *a priori*. Therefore, there will be an element of calibration involved in
259 applying the filter that will require the updating of the BFI_{max} value until a
260 satisfactory separation is computed.

261
262 The Boughton-two-parameter algorithm has been shown to be more effective than
263 the 'one-parameter' algorithm (Chapman, 1999) and due to its widespread use and
264 ease of implementation, it was applied in this study. Eckhardt's algorithm was also
265 used for comparison with Boughton's algorithm.

266

267 **3.3 Fixed and sliding interval, and local minima turning point** 268 **separation methods**

269 Three methods, two of which are available in the HYSEP model (Sloto and Crouse, 1996),
270 while the third is a modified version of a third method available in HYSEP, were used for
271 calculating BFI from discharge data. These methods are the fixed interval method, the sliding
272 interval method and the local minima turning point method. These methods provide a
273 consistent and automated technique that can separate the hydrograph into quick and slow
274 response flow.

275

276 The fixed and sliding interval methods are contained within the HYSEP, a hydrograph
277 separation model from the United States Geological Survey (USGS) that estimates the base
278 flow component of streamflow. These two methods were both developed by Pettyjohn and
279 Henning (1979). The fixed interval method involves identifying the minimum discharge
280 within an interval and setting it as the baseflow for that interval. The sliding interval method is
281 analogous to the fixed interval method, but the interval moves forward in the discharge series
282 by one time step each time, with the minimum value of the interval being set as the value of
283 baseflow at the median of the interval.

284 The local minimum turning point technique (Institute of Hydrology, 1980) involves the use of
285 the fixed interval method to identify local minima in each non-intersecting interval. The
286 minimum of each interval is then compared to two neighbouring minima to establish if it is
287 less than 90% of these values. If it is, these minima are termed turning points, which are then
288 connected to define the baseflow series.
289 The interval in each of these methods is calculated from the approximation for the time from
290 the peak of an event to the end of quickflow (Linsley et al., 1949):

$$291 \quad N = 0.834 A^{0.2} \quad (10)$$

292 where A is the catchment area in km^2 . The interval is calculated as being twice this time. $N =$
293 2.5 days is also a commonly chosen value (Institute of Hydrology, 1980). The output of the
294 local minima turning point method is compared, calculating N with both methods. The choice
295 of the time base N has a large effect on the BFI calculated, as the minimum value chosen for
296 separations is sensitive to this N value (Misstear and Fitzsimons, 2007).

298 3.4 Recharge coefficients

299 Recharge to aquifers can be estimated by calculating effective rainfall, using a soil moisture
300 budget technique, and then multiplying by recharge coefficients to indicate the proportion of
301 effective rainfall contributing to groundwater recharge (Misstear et al., 2009). Table 3
302 describes the hydrological setting relating to each recharge coefficient and the range over
303 which these coefficients tend to vary. These recharge coefficients are identified from soil and
304 subsoil GIS data for the catchment in conjunction with a recharge coefficient table (Hunter
305 Williams et al., 2012 (In Press)).

307 *Table 3*

309 Effective rainfall is calculated as total rainfall less actual evapotranspiration. Actual
310 evapotranspiration is estimated from recorded values of potential evapotranspiration and a soil
311 moisture budgeting approach such as the FAO Penman-Monteith method (Allen et al., 1998).
312 As previously mentioned, aquifers in Ireland have been rated from regionally important, to

313 locally important, to poor. Due to the low storativity characteristics of many aquifer types,
314 there is a limit to the amount of recharge that can be accepted by the aquifer. A cap on the
315 amount of recharge is defined for the locally important and poorly productive and aquifers:
316 200 mm/yr for locally important aquifers and 100 mm/yr in poor aquifers (Working Group on
317 Groundwater, 2005). GIS shapefiles for subsoil, soil and aquifer mapping from the Geological
318 Survey of Ireland, and rainfall and evapotranspiration data, collected from the study site, were
319 utilised to calculate the recharge coefficients. The soil and subsoil shapefiles indicate the
320 permeability of the overburden above the aquifer, while the aquifer shapefile defines the
321 productivity class of the aquifer and thus if it is limited in the recharge it may receive. The
322 vulnerability shapefiles, derived from mapping carried out to rate the risk of contaminants
323 entering the aquifers, are also informative as the approach used to develop these is analogous
324 to the method required for calculating the recharge coefficients. The recharge coefficient
325 approach therefore provides a basis for separating the quicker response pathways
326 (conceptually overland flow and interflow) from the slower response pathways (shallow and
327 deep groundwater).

329 3.5 Hydrological Modelling

330 Hydrological models can help to inform the decisions of catchment and river basin managers,
331 though they are not solely decision making tools, but are part of the investigation process.
332 Hydrological modelling in this research was carried out with the NAM model, as described
333 below.

334 3.5.1 NAM

335 The Danish "Nedbør-Afstrømnings-Model", literally meaning rainfall runoff model, was
336 developed in 1973 by the Department of Hydrodynamics and Water Resources at the
337 Technical University of Denmark (Nielsen and Hansen, 1973). It is a deterministic, lumped,
338 conceptual rainfall-runoff model for simulating the hydrological cycle.

339
340 NAM was applied in Ireland in many catchments as part of a previous study concerned with
341 groundwater-surface water interactions (RPS, 2008). The conceptual model followed was a
342 simpler three-pathway (overland, intermediate and groundwater) model compared with the

four-pathway conceptual model of this paper. Also, the previous study did not involve detailed catchment studies to help validate the model results. Building upon this work, NAM is considered to be a very useful tool in catchment modelling in the Irish setting. It has the capacity to simulate the four pathways of the conceptual model, while the model's lumped approach does not require complex detailed input data (which is generally not available for most catchments). This lumped approach also has the flexibility to be adapted to the variable geological settings encountered in Ireland.

The NAM model represents the various hydrograph components using a moisture budgeting approach for different storages. The storages behave much like the linear reservoirs described by Equation 1. The form of model structure which was applied in this research involved four storages: snow storage was omitted and the lower storage was split into two storages, one for shallow and one for deep groundwater. Overland flow and interflow were modelled as discharges from the uppermost storage; interflow was modelled as discharge from the bottom of this storage; while overland flow was overtopping discharge from this storage analogous to saturation excess flow. A middle storage monitored soil moisture deficit in the catchment and acted as a control for overland flow, interflow and recharge occurrence. The NAM structure is shown in Figure 3.

Figure 3

4 Results

4.1 Master Recession Curve Analysis

Employing the recession analysis methods, Master Recession Curves were constructed for the study catchments. It was assumed that the two faster responding equations (those with the two steepest recessions) fitted to the data were the overland flow and interflow pathways, with the two slowest responding equations the shallow and deep groundwater pathways. The recession constants were then identified from each of the equations for these recession segments as

previously outlined in Section 3.1. These were then applied to calculate cumulative storage of water in each of the pathway reservoirs. These cumulative storages were utilised to provide initial indications of the proportion of the hydrograph derived from each pathway. An example of one such MRC is shown in Figure 4, with the black arrows identifying the equations that relate to the fitted recession slopes, while results of all the catchments are shown in Table 4.

Figure 4

Table 4

4.2 Recursive digital filters

Following on from the identification of the recession constants identified in the recession analysis, the Boughton two-parameter and Eckhardt digital filter methods were applied. These were calibrated until the five criteria outlined previously had been satisfied adequately. This was achieved manually by adjusting the C parameter for the Boughton algorithm and the BFI_{max} parameter for the Eckhardt algorithm, while visually inspecting the hydrograph separations, while assessing the BFI obtained. An example of a separation obtained for quick and slow response pathways in the Blackwater Fyanstown catchment is presented in Figure 5. Table 5 contains the BFI values computed for the catchment using the ‘best’ calibrations for the Boughton and Eckhardt algorithms. This was based on BFI calculated from the MRC analysis, the recharge coefficient approach and NAM modelling, as well as a qualitative assessment of geological conditions.

Figure 5

Table 5

4.3 Recharge coefficients

401 The recharge coefficients were calculated for the catchments by examining the GIS layers for
402 soil, subsoil and aquifer type. An example of the GIS data applied to calculate these
403 coefficients for the Mattock catchment are presented in Figure 6. The area of each soil and
404 subsoil type, with reference to Table 3, allowed the recharge coefficient to be calculated for
405 each soil and subsoil combination with the overall catchment recharge coefficient computed
406 from the average of these, weighted by area. These coefficients were then assessed in
407 conjunction with hydrologically effective precipitation (rainfall – actual evapotranspiration) to
408 calculate the annual BFI for the study catchments. Table 7 displays the BFI values calculated
409 applying this approach, with the mean values for the recharge coefficients taken from the
410 recharge coefficient table (Table 3).

411

412 ***Figure 6***

413

414 **4.4 Fixed and sliding interval, and local minima turning point**

415 **separation methods**

416 The two HYSEP filters and the local minima turning point method were also applied to the
417 study catchments. The standard interval ($2N$) for the local minima turning point method is 5
418 days, which was adopted, but the interval was also calculated from Equation 10. Table 7
419 includes the BFI values obtained using three filter methods for the study catchments, with two
420 values for BFI calculated for the local minima turning point method employing a 5 day
421 interval and calculated interval. Figure 7 illustrates separations using this approach in the
422 Blackwater Fyans town catchment.

423

424 ***Figure 7***

425

426 **4.5 Hydrological Modelling**

427 Finally, NAM was applied to the catchments, with model parameters initially selected based
428 on guidance from the user manual, MRC recession constants for estimates of time constants
429 within the model and from previous studies implementing the model ((Shamsudin and
430 Hashim, 2007; RPS, 2008). Following this, observed discharge assisted with the calibration of

these model parameters. All models have an element of subjectivity, as depending on what objective functions are applied to assess the performance of the model, different calibrations are obtained. The Nash – Sutcliffe R^2 value (Nash and Sutcliffe, 1970) was utilised to assess the goodness of fit for the simulated against the observed discharge with the R^2 values shown in Table 6. Simulations were carried out using the smallest time step of rainfall data available. This allowed for improved simulation of peaks in quickly responding catchments, particularly those with small BFI values. An example of the simulated groundwater pathways in the Blackwater catchment are shown in Figure 8. The results of NAM modelling are also presented in Table 6 and Table 7.

Figure 8

Table 6

Table 7

5 Discussion

Table 7 shows that there are large variations in estimates of BFIs obtained by applying the different separation techniques. Even within some of the techniques there is much subjectivity depending on what parameters are chosen and how the final separations are selected as being the most appropriate. Overall it is observed that those catchments with higher BFI values correspond to the catchments with more productive aquifers underlying the soils and subsoils of which they are predominately derived. This is evident in the case of the Nuenna (Monument), which is underlain by a regionally important aquifer with diffuse karst preset. The Nuenna (Monument) has a NAM BFI value greater than 0.87, which when compared with the Glen Burn (Outlet) catchment, underlain by a poorly productive aquifer with a NAM BFI of less than 0.13, emphasises the importance of the aquifer classification within a catchment.

The MRC analysis carried out for each catchment provides an initial estimate of the relative proportions of flow along each pathway within a catchment. These proportions are based upon

461 the assumption of each behaving like a linear reservoir, which is deemed less appropriate for
 462 the quicker responding overland flow and interflow pathways. Of importance also, is the
 463 calculation of the recession parameter τ for the slower pathways. The τ is computed from the
 464 equations fitted to the recessions; these equations are fitted manually. This τ value is used to
 465 calculate the value of k for the Boughton and Eckhardt algorithms, but also provides an
 466 estimate of the time constant in NAM for the groundwater pathways. Figure 4 provides an
 467 example of the MRC tabulation method for the Blackwater Fyansdown catchment. This
 468 demonstrates that the slope of each segment corresponds to a different pathway; the slowest
 469 responding pathway corresponds with the smallest τ value, while the next smallest τ
 470 corresponds to a superposition of the two slowest responding pathways.
 471
 472 The fixed interval, sliding interval and local minima turning point techniques appear to be the
 473 least subjective, although there is some doubt as to whether it is better to calculate the interval
 474 ($2N$), using Equation 10, or implement a predefined value of 5 days. As catchment size
 475 decreases to the point where the N calculation provides an interval of less than 5 days; this
 476 results in the choice of the lower N value giving a higher BFI value. While Equation 10
 477 provides an objective means of calculating which N to use, experience is required to select the
 478 N that will provide a BFI value that is compatible with the recharge coefficients approach. An
 479 alternative to using Equation 10, is to assess the response of the groundwater levels within a
 480 borehole located close to the river being studied (Misstear and Fitzsimons, 2007). The N value
 481 is selected to match the rising and falling response of the water level measured within the
 482 borehole. This provides a more realistic shape for the separation but may not fully address the
 483 overestimation of the BFI, as this method still requires the turning points to be on the
 484 hydrograph to define the location of baseflow. This results in the selection of turning points
 485 during rainfall events that are much higher than would be plausible. This occurs during the
 486 peaks in 1992, 1993 and 1994 in Figure 7, resulting in baseflow contributions in excess of
 487 what would be considered feasible. Also if few turning points are identified, the baseflow may
 488 be defined as a straight line over a long period, set to the observed discharge in locations
 489 where the baseflow is defined as being greater than observed discharge by this straight line.
 490 This occurs in 1995 in Figure 7 when the baseflow contribution is low compared with the

other years. In this case no turning point was identified during the series of peaks at the beginning of 1995. As a result the baseflow is defined by a turning point during the start of 1994 and in late 1995. If a smaller interval than the 5 days was applied in the analysis, a turning point may have been identified during this period, redefining the baseflow contribution. This lack of turning points influences only the local minima turning point technique, but the overestimation caused by choosing baseflow values from the observed discharge affects all three of these methods.

Upon inspecting Figure 7, it is clear that the separations from the fixed interval, sliding interval and local minima turning point techniques appear unrealistic when set against the five requirements of baseflow outlined in the introduction to this paper. It is also observed in Figure 7, that both the sliding and fixed interval techniques follow the shape of the hydrograph with no recession observed after an event occurs. While the local minima turning point method provides lower estimates of baseflow, the separated baseflow fails to continue to recede after the event begins. Additionally, the peak of the baseflow always occurs as it rejoins the hydrograph, rather than peaking after the event peak, then rejoining the hydrograph following an exponential recession thereafter.

The Boughton and Eckhardt algorithms, however, do satisfy these requirements. In Figure 5, it is observed that recessions occur for a short period after the event has begun, with (though not always) the peak of the baseflow occurring after the peak of the hydrograph, followed by an exponential recession until the baseflow rejoins with the hydrograph. However, the application of these methods relies on the operator having a previous estimate of BFI. Although the k value can be informed from MRC analysis, having the effect of reducing the independence of this separation method, the remaining C parameter in the Boughton algorithm and the BFI_{max} parameter in the Eckhardt algorithm are free variables which are very sensitive in relation to the BFI value calculated. While the C parameter is based originally on having a value of $1-k$, this additional C parameter is employed as a ‘free variable’ that can be adjusted as necessary to obtain the baseflow separation required. This C parameter is therefore disconnected from its $1-k$ origins and as such is picked from subjective experience, making it

difficult to replicate the separation obtained. The BFI_{max} parameter, however, has an almost complete control over the value of BFI as can be seen from Table 8, where two catchments where chosen, Nuenna (Monument) with a very high BFI and Glen Burn catchment with a low BFI for Irish conditions. It is evident here that the subjective choice of BFI_{max} almost completely defines BFI, whereas the k value has almost no influence on overall volume but will affect the baseflow shape. This results in the user of the algorithm needing to know the BFI of the catchment in advance, and also to have an idea of the baseflow hydrograph shape. Nevertheless, these algorithms are useful for obtaining separations of time series data that have exponential recessions with BFI values based on prior knowledge. Thus, they are of more value for understanding baseflow distribution in the hydrograph, rather than inferring BFI values.

Table 8

An examination of the BFI values calculated using the different approaches, presented in Table 7, allows the variation in BFI between methods to be evaluated. The recharge coefficients approach provides a physically-based framework within which to make initial estimates of BFI based on the depth to bedrock and the permeability of the overburden. This is therefore viewed as a guiding BFI value for the amount of water feeding into the groundwater pathways. This groundwater, conceptually, is thus observed as maintaining baseflow. By choosing the mean value for recharge coefficients from Table 3, the subjectivity of the computed separations is minimised. Adopting this as starting point in the appraisal of the different methods it would appear that the HYSEP methods and the local minima turning point method, consistently overestimate the BFI value. The Master Recession Curve tabulation method tends to provide a reasonable initial estimate for BFI calculation, analogous to the recharge coefficient approach. Unlike the recharge coefficient approach, the MRC uses streamflow data to identify general characteristics of a catchment by observing trends in recessions following rainfall events. Due to this analysis of streamflow, rather than just geological unit analysis, the MRC approach estimates the flows in catchments with significant karst-derived groundwater inputs (i.e. the Deel and the Nuenna) with more success, as typical

karst features such as swallow holes and conduits have significant impacts on hydrology across a wider spectrum of the observed streamflows. The subjectivity of the formation of the MRC and identifying the breaks in slope of the MRC are of concern, but when applied in conjunction with the recharge coefficient approach and NAM, it provides a useful way of informing the recession parameter of the Boughton and Eckhardt algorithms. NAM is employed both as a validation method, but also as a means of investigation in itself as optimisation methods may suggest that the conceptual model of a catchment is incorrect if very different BFI values are obtained. In this manner the iterative nature of calculating the BFI value for the different catchments should be appreciated.

560

561 **6 Conclusions**

The calculation of the Baseflow Index of a catchment is both a difficult and subjective task due to the inability of current technology to measure baseflow contributions accurately on a catchment scale. After implementing many different hydrograph separation techniques and applying the NAM modelling as a means of investigating the contribution of pathways to the river hydrograph, the Master Recession Curve analysis, the recharge coefficient approach and the NAM modelling are identified as providing an integrated approach for calculating the Baseflow Index (BFI). This integrated approach put forward in this paper provides the framework for calculating a reliable BFI, generally within a small range, which is consistent with discharge data and the geological setting of the catchment in question. The Master Recession Curve approach of identifying all the responses present and not just a quick and slow response allows the baseflow to be identified with more confidence. The recharge coefficients method indicates the contribution of effective rainfall to quick response and groundwater pathways taking account of the geological setting of the catchment, though may struggle with recharge that may occur in karst settings due to features such as swallow holes recharging the aquifer with surface runoff. The hydrological pathway modelling using NAM then allows the checking of the viability of the conceptual separations. This modelling also provides a means of investigation of what type of separation is possible with the rainfall and evapotranspiration data available.

580

581 This integrated approach therefore brings together the rainfall input to the catchment, the
 582 geological setting of the catchment and the catchment outputs of discharge measured in the
 583 river and evapotranspiration, thereby providing a more reliable BFI value than one based on a
 584 single approach. The Boughton and Eckhardt methods do not necessarily provide a reliable
 585 BFI value estimate due to their subjectivity, but are a useful means of obtaining a baseflow
 586 time series that satisfies the five objectives of baseflow separation outlined in Section 1. The
 587 HYSEP and local minima turning point techniques, while providing feasible BFI values if a
 588 suitable interval is chosen, do not provide reliable baseflow hydrographs when applied on
 589 their own.

590

591 **Acknowledgements**

592 The work described in this paper is based on a project which was carried out for the Environmental
 593 Protection Agency under the STRIVE Programme 2007-2013. The project title was “2007-W-CD-1-S1:
 594 Pathways Project”. The authors would like to thank other members of the project team, including Marie
 595 Archbold, together with the members of the project steering group: A. Wemaere (Environmental
 596 Protection Agency), L. Sheils (Environmental Protection Agency), D. Daly (Environmental Protection
 597 Agency), N. Hunter-Williams (Geological Survey of Ireland), I. Cluckie (Swansea University), S.
 598 Fletcher (ret-Environment Agency), V. Fitzsimons (Scottish Environmental Protection Agency), P.
 599 Jordan (University of Ulster) and S. Rekolainen (Finnish Environment Institute). The author would also
 600 like to thank Met Eireann, Environmental Protection Agency and the Office of Public Works for
 601 providing the hydrometric data for this paper.

602

603 **References**

- 604 Allen, R., Pereira, L., Raes, D., Smith, M., 1998. Crop evapotranspiration-
 605 Guidelines for computing crop water requirements-FAO Irrigation and
 606 drainage paper 56. FAO, Rome, 300.
- 607 Archbold, M. et al., 2009. Contaminant Movement and Attenuation along
 608 Pathways from the Land Surface to Aquatic Receptors - A Review. In:
 609 Agency, E.P. (Ed.). EPA STRIVE 2007-W-CD-1-S1.

- 610 Barnes, B., 1939. The structure of discharge recession curves. Trans. Am.
611 Geophys. Union, 20(4): 721-725.
- 612 Bonell, M., 1993. Progress in the understanding of runoff generation dynamics
613 in forests. Journal of Hydrology, 150(2-4): 217-275.
- 614 Boughton, W., 1993. A hydrograph-based model for estimating the water yield
615 of ungauged catchments. INSTITUTION OF ENGINEERS,
616 AUSTRALIA, pp. 317-317.
- 617 Boussinesq, J., 1877. Théorie de l'écoulement tourbillant. Mem. Présentés par
618 Divers Savants Acad. Sci. Inst. Fr, 23(46-50): 6.5.
- 619 Brodie, R., Hostetler, J., 2005. A review of techniques for analysing baseflow
620 from stream hydrographs.
- 621 Brogan, J., Carty, G., Crowe, M., Agency, I.E.P., 2002. Towards Setting
622 Environmental Quality Objectives for Soil: Developing a Soil
623 Protection Strategy for Ireland; a Discussion Document.
624 Environmental Protection Agency.
- 625 Chapman, T., 1999. A comparison of algorithms for stream flow recession and
626 baseflow separation. Hydrological Processes, 13(5): 701-714.
- 627 Chapman, T., Maxwell, A., 1996. Baseflow separation-comparison of
628 numerical methods with tracer experiments. Institution of Engineers,
629 Australia, pp. 539.
- 630 Collins, J., Larney, F., Morgan, M., 2004. Climate and soil management.
631 Climate, Weather and Irish Agriculture'(eds. T. Keane and JF Collins)
632 2nd Edition. AGMET, Dublin.
- 633 Coutagne, A., 1948. Etude générale des variations de débits en fonction des
634 facteurs qui les conditionnent, 2ème partie: Les variations de débit en

- 635 période non influencée par les précipitations. La Houille Blanche: 416-
636 436.
- 637 Dahlke, H.E. et al., 2011. Dissecting the variable source area concept–
638 Subsurface flow pathways and water mixing processes in a hillslope.
639 Journal of Hydrology.
- 640 DELG/EPA/GSI, 1999. Groundwater Protection Schemes, Department of the
641 Environment and Local
642 Government, Environmental Protection Agency and Geological Survey of
643 Ireland.
- 644 Dunn, S., Birkel, C., Tetzlaff, D., Soulsby, C., 2010. Transit time distributions
645 of a conceptual model: their characteristics and sensitivities.
646 Hydrological Processes, 24(12): 1719-1729.
- 647 Eckhardt, K., 2005. How to construct recursive digital filters for baseflow
648 separation. Hydrological Processes, 19(2): 507-515.
- 649 Eckhardt, K., 2008. A comparison of baseflow indices, which were calculated
650 with seven different baseflow separation methods. Journal of
651 Hydrology, 352(1): 168-173.
- 652 Fenicia, F., Savenije, H.H.G., Matgen, P., Pfister, L., 2006. Is the groundwater
653 reservoir linear? Learning from data in hydrological modelling.
654 Hydrology and Earth System Sciences, 10(1): 139-150.
- 655 Frohlich, K., Frohlich, W., Wittenberg, H., 1994. Determination of
656 groundwater recharge by baseflow separation: regional analysis in
657 northeast China. IAHS Publications-Series of Proceedings and
658 Reports-Intern Assoc Hydrological Sciences, 221: 69-76.

- 659 Geological Survey of Ireland, 2006. Aquifer Categories, Dublin Ireland, pp.
 660 [http://www.gsi.ie/NR/ronlyres/01C4199F-A257-48A0-A963-](http://www.gsi.ie/NR/ronlyres/01C4199F-A257-48A0-A963-5CB65A779F6E/0/aquifer_classification_Oct06.pdf)
 661 [5CB65A779F6E/0/aquifer_classification_Oct06.pdf](http://www.gsi.ie/NR/ronlyres/01C4199F-A257-48A0-A963-5CB65A779F6E/0/aquifer_classification_Oct06.pdf).
- 662 Gomi, T. et al., 2010. Evaluation of storm runoff pathways in steep nested
 663 catchments draining a Japanese cypress forest in central Japan: a
 664 geochemical approach. *Hydrological Processes*, 24(5): 550-566.
- 665 Horton, R., 1933. The role of infiltration in the hydrologic cycle. *Trans. Am.*
 666 *Geophys. Union*, 14: 446-460.
- 667 Hunter Williams, N.H., Misstear, B.D.R., Daly, D., 2012 (In Press).
 668 Development of a national groundwater recharge map for the Republic
 669 of Ireland. *Quarterly Journal of Engineering geology and*
 670 *Hydrogeology*.
- 671 Institute of Hydrology, 1980. Low Flow Studies Report, Resources Report 1,
 672 Oxon, Wallingford, U.K.
- 673 Jakeman, A., Hornberger, G., 1993. How much complexity is warranted in a
 674 rainfall-runoff model? *Water Resources Research*, 29(8): 2637-2650.
- 675 Johnson, E.A., Dils, R.E., Station, S.F.E., 1956. Outline for Compiling
 676 Precipitation, Runoff and Ground Water Data from Small Watersheds.
 677 Southeastern Forest Experiment Station, US Dept. of Agriculture,
 678 Forest Service.
- 679 Linsley Jr, R.K., Kohler, M.A., Paulhus, J.L.H., 1975. Hydrology for
 680 engineers.
- 681 Linsley, K., 1958. Paulhus, 'Hydrology for Engineers'. McGraw-Hill.
- 682 Linsley, R.K., Maidment, D.R., Mays, L.W., 1949. Applied hydrology. Tata
 683 McGraw-Hill Education.

- 684 Lyne, V., Hollick, M., 1979. Stochastic time-varying rainfall-runoff
685 modelling, pp. 89–92.
- 686 Maillet, E.T., 1905. Essais d'hydraulique souterraine & fluviale. A. Hermann.
- 687 Merz, S.K. et al., 2009. AUSTRALIAN RAINFALL AND RUNOFF
688 REVISION PROJECT 7: BASEFLOW FOR CATCHMENT
689 SIMULATION.
- 690 Misstear, B., Brown, L., Daly, D., 2009. A methodology for making initial
691 estimates of groundwater recharge from groundwater vulnerability
692 mapping. *Hydrogeology Journal*, 17(2): 275-285.
- 693 Misstear, B., Fitzsimons, V., 2007. Estimating groundwater recharge in
694 fractured bedrock aquifers in Ireland. Taylor & Francis Group, pp. 243.
- 695 Nash, J., Sutcliffe, J., 1970. River flow forecasting through conceptual models
696 part I--A discussion of principles. *Journal of Hydrology*, 10(3): 282-
697 290.
- 698 Nathan, R., McMahon, T., 1990. Evaluation of Automated Techniques for
699 Baseflow and Recession Analysis. *Water Resources Research*, 26(7):
700 1465-1473.
- 701 Nielsen, S.A., Hansen, E., 1973. Numerical simulation of the rainfall-runoff
702 process on a daily basis. *Nordic Hydrology*, 4(3): 171–190.
- 703 Ockenden, M., Chappell, N., 2011. Identification of the dominant runoff
704 pathways from data-based mechanistic modelling of nested catchments
705 in temperate UK. *Journal of Hydrology*.
- 706 Pettyjohn, W.A., Henning, R.J., 1979. Preliminary estimate of regional
707 effective groundwater recharge rates in Ohio.

- 708 Pinder, G., Jones, J., 1969. Determination of the ground-water component of
709 peak discharge from the chemistry of total runoff.
- 710 Rorabaugh, M., 1964. Estimating changes in bank storage and groundwater
711 contribution to streamflow. *International Association of Scientific*
712 *Hydrology*, 63: 432-441.
- 713 RPS, 2008. Further Characterisation Study: An Integrated Approach to
714 Quantifying Groundwater and Surface Water Contributions of Stream
715 Flow.
- 716 Rutledge, A., Survey, G., 1998. Computer programs for describing the
717 recession of ground-water discharge and for estimating mean ground-
718 water recharge and discharge from streamflow records: Update. US
719 Department of the Interior, US Geological Survey.
- 720 Santhi, C., Allen, P., Muttiah, R., Arnold, J., Tuppad, P., 2008. Regional
721 estimation of base flow for the conterminous United States by
722 hydrologic landscape regions. *Journal of Hydrology*, 351(1): 139-153.
- 723 Scanlon, B.R., Healy, R.W., Cook, P.G., 2002. Choosing appropriate
724 techniques for quantifying groundwater recharge. *Hydrogeology*
725 *Journal*, 10(1): 18-39.
- 726 Shamsudin, S., Hashim, N., 2007. Rainfall runoff simulation using MIKE11
727 NAM. *Jurnal Kejuruteraan Awam*, 14(2): 26-38.
- 728 Sivapalan, M. et al., 2003. IAHS Decade on Predictions in Ungauged Basins
729 (PUB), 2003–2012: Shaping an exciting future for the hydrological
730 sciences. *Hydrological Sciences Journal*, 48(6): 857-880.

- 731 Sklash, M., Farvolden, R., 1979. The role of groundwater in storm runoff.
732 Contemporary hydrogeology: the George Burke Maxey memorial
733 volume: 45.
- 734 Sloto, R.A., Crouse, M.Y., 1996. HYSEP: A computer program for
735 streamflow hydrograph separation and analysis. USGS Branch of
736 Information Services, Box 25286, Denver Federal Center, Denver, CO
737 80225(USA).[nd].
- 738 Szilagyi, J., Parlange, M.B., 1998. Baseflow separation based on analytical
739 solutions of the Boussinesq equation. *Journal of Hydrology*, 204(1):
740 251-260.
- 741 Tallaksen, L.M., 1995. A review of baseflow recession analysis. *Journal of*
742 *Hydrology*, 165(1-4): 349-370.
- 743 Van de Griend, A.A., De Vries, J.J., Seyhan, E., 2002. Groundwater discharge
744 from areas with a variable specific drainage resistance. *Journal of*
745 *Hydrology*, 259(1): 203-220.
- 746 WFD, W.F.D., 2000. Directive 2000/60. EC of the European Parliament and
747 of the Council of, 23.
- 748 Working Group on Groundwater, 2005. Water Framework Directive (WFD)
749 Pressures and impacts assessment methodology: guidance on the
750 assessment of the impact of groundwater abstractions. In: Geological
751 Survey of Ireland, E.P.A., and River Basin Districts Coordinating
752 Authorities, (Ed.), Dublin.

753

754 **Figure 1.** Pathways present in poorly productive and productive aquifers on the left and right

755 respectively (J Deakin 2012: after N. Hunter-Williams and D. Daly)

756 **Figure 2.** Study catchment locations.

757 **Figure 3.** NAM structure schematic

758 **Figure 4.** Master Recession Curve, Tabulation Method for Blackwater (Kells) Fyanstown .

759 **Figure 5.** Boughton and Eckhardt baseflow separations for Blackwater Fyanstown.

760 **Figure 6.** Mattock soils and subsoils GIS data.

761 **Figure 7.** Fixed and Sliding Interval, and Smoothed Minima Turning Point methods for

762 Blackwater Fyanstown.

763 **Figure 8.** NAM modelled groundwater pathways for Blackwater.

764

765 **Table 1.** Irish aquifer classifications (DELG/EPA/GSI, 1999).

Rf	Regionally Important Aquifer - Fissured bedrock
Rk	Regionally Important Aquifer - Karstified
Rkd	Regionally Important Aquifer - Karstified (diffuse)
Rkc	Regionally Important Aquifer - Karstified (conduit)
Lm	Locally Important Aquifer - Moderately productive
Lk	Locally Important Aquifer - Karstified
Ll	Locally Important Aquifer - Moderately productive only in local zones
Pl	Poor Aquifer - Unproductive except in local zones
Pu	Poor Aquifer - Generally unproductive

766

767 **Table 2.** Study catchment characteristics.

768

Catchment	Area	Catchment Descriptors				
		<u>Land Use</u>	<u>Aquifer Classification</u>	<u>Annual Rainfall</u>	<u>Annual Evapotranspiration</u>	<u>Runoff</u>
	km ²	Type (%)	Type (%)	mm	Mm	mm
Deel	283.1	Pasture (78.6)	L1 (88.1)	973	481	492
Blackwater (Kells)	699	Pasture (80.1)	Pl (74.1)	1026	491	535
Fyanstown	187.6	Pasture (86.5)	L1 (34.7), Pl (59.7)	1020	476	545
Owenshree	34.5	Pasture (41.1) Peat (27.9)	Pl (75.7)	1501	530	971
Ballycahalan	47.7	Forest (37.5) Peat (31.6)	Pl (85)	1501	530	971
Mattock	11.6	Pasture (84.6)	Pl (92.3)	885	460	425
Nuenna (Rocky)	21.6	Pasture (83)	Rkd (84.2)	1026	485	541
Nuenna (Monument)	34.99	Pasture (87)	Rkd (81.4)	985	485	500
Glen Burn	5	Pasture (100)	Pl (100)	843	460	383

769

Table 3. Recharge coefficients for different hydrogeological settings adapted from Hunter Williams et al., (2012 (In Press)).

Vulnerability category	Hydrogeological setting		Recharge coefficient (RC)		
			Min (%)	Inner Range	Max (%)
Extreme	1.i	Areas where rock is at ground surface	30	80-90	100
	1.ii	Sand/gravel overlain by 'well drained' soil	50	80-90	100
	1.iii	Sand/gravel overlain by 'poorly drained' (gley) soil	15	35-50	70
	1.iv	Till overlain by 'well drained' soil	45	50-70	80
	1.v	Till overlain by 'poorly drained' (gley) soil	5	15-30	50
	1.vi	Sand/ gravel aquifer where the water table is ≤ 3 m below surface	50	80-90	100
	1.vii	Peat	1	15-30	50
High	2.i	Sand/gravel aquifer, overlain by 'well drained' soil	50	80-90	100
	2.ii	High permeability subsoil (sand/gravel) overlain by 'well drained' soil	50	80-90	100
	2.iii	High permeability subsoil (sand/gravel) overlain by 'poorly drained' soil	15	35-50	70
	2.iv	Sand/gravel aquifer, overlain by 'poorly drained' soil	15	35-50	70
	2.v	Moderate permeability subsoil overlain by 'well drained' soil	35	50-70	80
	2.vi	Moderate permeability subsoil overlain by 'poorly drained' (gley) soil	10	15-30	50
	2.vii	Low permeability subsoil	1	20-30	40
	2.viii	Peat	1	5-15	20
Moderate	3.i	Moderate permeability subsoil and overlain by 'well drained' soil	35	50-70	80
	3.ii	Moderate permeability subsoil and overlain by 'poorly drained' (gley) soil	10	15-30	50
	3.iii	Low permeability subsoil	1	10-20	30
	3.iv	Peat	1	3-5	10
Low	4.i	Low permeability subsoil	1	5-10	20
	4.ii	Basin peat	1	3-5	10
High to Low	5.i	High predicted permeability subsoils (Sand/gravels)	30	80-90	100
	5.ii	Moderate permeability subsoil overlain by well drained soils	35	50-70	80
	5.iii	Moderate permeability subsoils overlain by poorly drained soils	10	15-30	50
	5.iv	Low permeability subsoil	1	5-10	20
	5.v	Peat	1	5	20

Table 4. Master Recession Curve analysis with flow apportioned to each pathway.

Catchment	Area km ²	Master Recession Curve, Tabulation Method			
		<u>Groundwater Shallow</u>	<u>Groundwater Deep</u>	<u>Interflow</u>	<u>Overlandflow</u>
Deel	283.1	0.296	0.114	0.148	0.442
Blackwater (Kells)	699	0.117	0.148	0.477	0.258
Fyanstown	187.6	0.192	0.037	0.1	0.671
Owenshree	34.5		0.196	0.148	0.142
Ballycahalan	47.7		0.212	0.333	0.455
Glen Burn	5	0.117	0.104	0.437	0.341
Mattock	11.6	0.147	0.073	0.254	0.526
Nuenna (Rocky)	21.6	0.563	0.319	0.1	0.018
Nuenna (Monument)	34.99	0.441	0.357	0.141	0.06

777 **Table 5.** Boughton and Eckhardt BFI and parameter values.

778

Catchment	Area km ²	Calculated BFI				
		$\frac{K}{\text{(parameter)}}$	$\frac{C}{\text{(parameter)}}$	$\frac{\text{Boughton}}{\text{(Calculated BFI)}}$	$\frac{\text{BFI}_{\text{max}}}{\text{(parameter)}}$	$\frac{\text{Eckhardt}}{\text{(calculated BFI)}}$
Deel	283.1	0.983	0.022	0.559	0.56	0.561
Blackwater (Kells)	699	0.964	0.012	0.25	0.25	0.251
Fyanstown	187.6	0.979	0.006	0.222	0.22	0.22
Owenshree	34.5	0.997	0.004	0.141	0.14	0.14
Ballycahalan	47.7	0.995	0.001	0.166	0.166	0.165
Glen Burn	5	0.98	0.0032	0.14	0.14	0.142
Mattock	11.6	0.991	0.0025	0.218	0.22	0.221
Nuenna (Rocky)	21.6	0.999	0.006	0.859	0.86	0.86
Nuenna (Monument)	34.99	0.999	0.005	0.835	0.835	0.837

779

780 **Table 6.** NAM pathway separations.

781

Catchment	Area km ²	NAM				<u>R²</u>
		<u>Groundwater Shallow</u>	<u>Groundwater Deep</u>	<u>Interflow</u>	<u>Overlandflow</u>	
Deel	283.1	0.383	0.199	0.104	0.315	0.921
Blackwater (Kells)	699	0.124	0.046	0.227	0.604	0.921
Fyanstown	187.6	0.244	0.056	0.18	0.52	0.803
Owenshree	34.5		0.136	0.427	0.437	0.846
Ballycahalan	47.7		0.071	0.246	0.683	0.904
Glen Burn	5	0.049	0.078	0.436	0.437	0.895
Mattock	11.6	0.148	0.106	0.496	0.25	0.848
Nuenna (Rocky)	21.6	0.473	0.37	0.003	0.154	0.958
Nuenna (Monument)	34.99	0.472	0.405	0.006	0.116	0.959

782

783 **Table 7.** Summary of BFI values using different approaches.

ACCEPTED MANUSCRIPT

784

Catchment	Area	Calculated BFI								
		<u>Fixed</u> <u>Interval</u>	<u>Sliding</u> <u>Interval</u>	<u>Local</u> <u>Minima</u> (N computed)	<u>Local</u> <u>Minima</u> (N= 2.5 days)	<u>MRC</u> <u>Tab</u>	<u>Recharge</u> <u>Coeffs</u>	<u>Boughton</u>	<u>Eckhardt</u>	<u>NAM</u>
	km ²									
Deel	283.1	0.871	0.871	0.668	0.668	0.559	0.415	0.575	0.57	0.582
Blackwater (Kells)	699	0.775	0.807	0.517	0.542	0.25	0.204	0.25	0.251	0.17
Fyanstown	187.6	0.667	0.697	0.527	0.542	0.222	0.253	0.222	0.22	0.253
Owenshree	34.5	0.558	0.561	0.334	0.244	0.141	0.145	0.141	0.141	0.136
Ballycahalan	47.7	0.812	0.795	0.764	0.757	0.166	0.167	0.166	0.165	0.071
Glen Burn	5	0.556	0.55	0.344	0.284	0.221	0.189	0.14	0.142	0.127
Mattock	11.6	0.582	0.582	0.522	0.249	0.218	0.351	0.218	0.23	0.254
Nuenna (Rocky)	21.6	0.923	0.924	0.595	0.78	0.859	0.543	0.803	0.802	0.843
Nuenna (Monument)	34.99	0.892	0.893	0.389	0.384	0.835	0.439	0.779	0.835	0.877

Table 8. Response of calculated BFI using varying parameters in Eckhardt algorithm.

Eckhardt BFI					
<u>Nuenna (Monument)</u>			<u>Glen Burn</u>		
<u>K</u>	<u>BFI_{max}</u>	<u>BFI</u>	<u>k</u>	<u>BFI_{max}</u>	<u>BFI</u>
0.9990	0.9000	0.901149	0.9990	0.9000	0.904
0.9250	0.1000	0.100237	0.9250	0.1000	0.101
0.9250	0.9000	0.900017	0.9250	0.9000	0.9
0.6000	0.1000	0.100045	0.6000	0.1000	0.1
0.6000	0.9000	0.900004	0.6000	0.9000	0.9
0.1000	0.9000	0.900002	0.1000	0.9000	0.9
0.9250	0.0020	0.002274	0.9250	0.0020	.0026

Figure 2 Study Catchment Locations

ACCEPTED MANUSCRIPT

Figure 5 Boughton and Eckhardt Separations

ACCEPTED MANUSCRIPT

Figure 7 Fixed, Sliding and Turning Point Separations

ACCEPTED MANUSCRIPT

Figure 8 NAM Separations

ACCEPTED MANUSCRIPT

789 Highlights

- 790 • Outline of novel and objective approach to calculating Base Flow
- 791 Index (BFI).
- 792 • Reliable and repeatable method that can be applied to various
- 793 geological settings.
- 794 • Novel application of Master Recession Curve analysis with NAM
- 795 lumped model.
- 796 • Use of recharge coefficient method, developed in Ireland, to constrain
- 797 BFI values.

798