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Abstract

The effects of continuous heating on the crystallisation kinetics and the phase transformation behaviour of electroless nickel–phosphorus deposits plated on mild steel substrates, with high phosphorus contents of 12 and 16 wt.%, were studied. Both the deposits exhibited an amorphous X-ray profile in the as-deposited condition. The crystallisation temperatures of the deposits increased with decreasing phosphorus content, and increasing heating rate. The activation energies during the crystallisation processes were calculated from the differential scanning calorimetry (DSC) curves of the deposits at heating rates ranging from 5 to 50 \(^\circ\)C/min. It was found that the activation energy is slightly higher in the deposit with lower phosphorus content. X-ray diffraction (XRD) analyses were also conducted on the deposits after heating processes in the DSC apparatus to 300–800 \(^\circ\)C at 20 \(^\circ\)C/min. The sequence of phase transformations was found to be: amorphous phase \(\rightarrow\) intermediate metastable phases + stable Ni\(_5\)P phase (+ f.c.c. nickel) \(\rightarrow\) stable Ni\(_3\)P phase (+ f.c.c. nickel). Electron microprobe analysis was carried out on the 16 wt.% P deposit and showed that the iron content in the deposit was affected by heating processes. Film growth in the 16 wt.% P deposit has been shown by scanning electron microscopy (SEM) and electron microprobe analysis on the cross-sections of the deposit after heating to 400 and 800\(^\circ\)C (at 20 \(^\circ\)C/min). © 2002 Elsevier Science B.V. All rights reserved.
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1. Introduction

Electroless nickel–phosphorus (EN) deposits can be prepared by the autocatalytic electrochemical reduction of nickel ion in the plating baths. Since the first discovery in 1944 by Brenner and Riddell, various studies have been carried out on these deposits to investigate their microstructural properties and crystallisation behaviour under the influence of deposit compositions and heat treatments. However, many investigators have reported different results as regards both these aspects [1–14]. These differences are believed to be caused by many other related factors such as plating, instrument, measurement, and material factors.

In general, EN deposits are classified into three categories: low (1–5 wt.%), medium (5–8 wt.%) and high (9 wt.% and above) phosphorus deposits [15]. Studies have shown that as-coated low-phosphorus deposits are either crystalline [1,2] or consist of microcrystalline nickel [3]. As-coated medium-phosphorus deposits are reported to be either fully amorphous [2] or mixtures of microcrystalline nickel and amorphous phases [1,4]. Studies on high-phosphorus as-coated deposits have demonstrated that the deposits could be fully amorphous [1,5,6], mixtures of microcrystalline nickel and amorphous, or consist of these mixtures plus various other phases such as Ni\(_5\)P\(_2\), Ni\(_{12}\)P\(_5\), and Ni\(_3\)P\(_2\) [7]. Studies have also indicated that the crystallisation behaviour of EN deposits depends on the level of phosphorus content in the deposits. Study using differential scanning calorimetry (DSC) and transmission electron microscopy (TEM) has revealed that the deposits with less than 7 wt.% P transform directly into final stable Ni\(_3\)P phase in a matrix of crystalline nickel [8]. Deposits with higher phosphorus content, however, transform from amorphous to some metastable phases such as Ni\(_5\)(P,Ni)\(_2\) and Ni\(_3\)(P,Ni) before stable Ni\(_3\)P formation at the end [8]. X-ray diffraction (XRD) analysis has shown that a high-phosphorus deposit (12.1 wt.% P) crystallised to metastable Ni\(_{12}\)P\(_5\) phase before transforming to nickel and stable Ni\(_3\)P phase [5]. Different heating conditions also have shown significant influences on both the micro-
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structural properties and crystallisation behaviours of the EN deposits. Study by Randin et al. has indicated that the heated EN deposits consisted of nickel and Ni$_3$P phases only [9]. However, other investigators have suggested that some intermediate phases, like Ni$_3$P$_3$ and Ni$_3$P$_2$, formed before the transformation to the final stable Ni$_3$P phase [10]. Results from DSC and differential thermal analysis (DTA) work on deposits containing 7.4–10 wt.% P have shown that the increase in heating rate would delay the crystallisation onset temperature regardless of the phosphorus content [11].

The present study was undertaken to determine the effect of continuous heating process and phosphorus content on the crystallisation kinetics and phase transformation behaviour of EN deposits with high phosphorus content. Samples were heated in the differential scanning calorimeter under different heating rates up to different temperatures, and were further analysed using X-ray diffractometer and scanning electron microscope in order to identify the phases formed.

2. Experimental procedures

2.1. Electroless nickel–phosphorus plating

The 2.9-μm thick EN deposit with 16 wt.% P (sample A) used in this work was prepared by the authors. The other deposit (sample B), which was 75 μm thick and had a compositional range of 10–14 wt.% P, was provided by Lea Manufacturing in the UK. The sample with 16 wt.% P was plated on a nominal 20-μm thick mild steel sheet substrate (30×30 mm size) using the formulation of plating solution as listed in Table 1 [16].

Surface preparation of the substrate was not carried out, as the mild steel sheet was smooth and clean. During the plating process the mild steel substrate was totally immersed in the plating solution, which was continuously stirred for better agitation. Evaporation from the plating solution was condensed and directed back to the solution. After 1 h, the sample was lifted out from the plating solution and rinsed using clean water before it was weighed. The plating process was repeated up to six times until no significant change in the weight of the sample was observed. The thickness and phosphorus content of the deposit were estimated using SEM and electron microprobe analysis. The EN sample obtained from Lea Manufacturing was prepared by the company using a different plating solution formulation and deposition parameters [17]. However, no repetition was carried out in the plating process. The same kind of substrate but of different thickness was used.

2.2. Analysis of electroless nickel–phosphorus deposits

The EN deposits together with their substrates were cut into small square pieces (3.5×3.5 mm). Continuous heating processes under different constant heating rates of 5, 10, 15, 20, 30, 40 and 50°C/min were performed in a Netzsch series DSC-404 differential scanning calorimeter in a He flow (30 ml/min) after evacuating the working chamber. The samples were placed in a ceramic crucible and an empty crucible of the same type was used as a reference. For further analysis of the phase transformation behaviour of the deposits, samples were heated in the DSC apparatus up to temperatures ranging from 300 to 800°C (300, 350, 400, 500 and 800°C) under a constant heating rate of 20°C/min. X-ray diffraction patterns of the samples after heating processes were then recorded at room temperature, using a Siemens diffractometer applying Cu Kα$_{1+2}$ radiation. Scanning was performed through 3–110° (2θ), with a step size of 0.04° and counting time of 1 s/step. To determine the integrated intensities of the X-ray reflections in the XRD profiles, a computer program (ProFit) developed by Hideyo Toraya based on the least squares profile separation technique was used [18]. No reflections were found in the 2θ angles of 3–20°. The microstructural details and chemical compositions of sample A (16 wt.% P) in as-deposited condition, and after heating process to temperatures of 400 and 800°C at 20°C/min, were analysed using scanning electron microscope and electron microprobe at room temperature.

3. Results and discussion

3.1. Effects of phosphorus content

In the present study, the deposits of both samples A and B (16 and 10–14 wt.% P) were amorphous in the as-deposited condition (Figs. 1 and 2, bottom), which agreed with the studies on high phosphorus deposits from other investigators [1,5,6]. The amorphous profiles with the wide angular range of 35–55° (2θ) were around a 20 position corresponding to the nickel {111} plane. The iron {111}, {200} and {211} diffraction peaks in the XRD profiles of sample A were from the mild steel substrate underneath. They were observed because the coated-deposit was too thin (2.9 μm) to totally absorb the penetration of the X-ray beam. The two samples however showed different microstructural characteristics after being heated to different temperatures of 300–800°C, at a constant heating rate of
20°C/min (Table 2). In sample A, Ni$_2$P and Ni$_3$P$_2$ metastable phases were formed in the deposit after the heating processes to 350 and 400°C (Fig. 1). The Ni$_3$P stable phase was found after the heating processes to between 350 and 800°C. In sample B, Ni$_2$P and Ni$_3$P$_2$ metastable phases were also formed in the deposit after the heating processes to 350 and 400°C (Fig. 2). In addition to the Ni$_3$P phase, nickel phase was also found in the deposit associated probably with the major exothermic peak in those DSC curves [11]. In sample A, there might also be processes corresponding to first stage transformation, but they could not be observed due to the peak overlapping in the DSC curves [11]. The second stage transformation was the long-range atomic movements and decomposition to the stable phases, associated probably with the major exothermic peak in the DSC curves [11].

Hence, the effect of phosphorus content on the micro-structural characteristics of the EN deposits was significant.

The phosphorus content of the EN deposits could also affect the phase transformation temperature of the deposits during heating process. The DSC curves in Fig. 3 show that the exothermic temperatures of sample B were higher than those of sample A. In addition, a shoulder was found right before the major exothermic peak of the DSC curves in sample B (Fig. 3b). This shoulder was however not contributed to by the thermally activated reactions from the substrates underneath the coatings, indicated by the flat DSC curves from the substrates alone in the corresponding temperature region (Fig. 3c). The formation of shoulder in the DSC curves might be due to the first stage transformation — the short-range atomic movements and incipient crystallisation of metastable crystalline structures [5,11]. The second stage transformation was the long-range atomic movements and decomposition to the stable phases, associated probably with the major exothermic peak in those DSC curves [11]. In sample A, there might also be processes corresponding to first stage transformation, but they could not be observed due to the peak overlapping in the DSC curves (Fig. 3a). The DSC curves of the mild steel substrates alone showed a broad exothermic region that may be connected to steel recrystallisation. This broad exothermic region was coincident to the second exothermic peak of the DSC curve in sample A. Nevertheless, it can be concluded that the phase transformation temperatures increased with decreasing phosphorus content.

Crystallization activation energies of the EN deposits were derived from the Kissinger plots of ln($T_m^2/\beta$) versus $1000/RT_m$, using the peak temperatures ($T_m$) of crys-
Table 2
Phase compositions of samples A and B after heating to different end temperatures at a constant heating rate of 20°C/min

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Temperature (°C)</th>
<th>Sample A (16 wt.% P)</th>
<th>Sample B (10–14 wt.% P)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>As-deposited</td>
<td>Am.</td>
<td>Am.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>300</td>
<td>Am.</td>
<td>Am.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>400</td>
<td>Am. + Ni₂P + Ni₃P₂ + Ni₅P</td>
<td>Am. + Ni₂P + Ni₃P₂ + Ni₅P</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>500</td>
<td>Am. + Ni₅P</td>
<td>Am. + Ni + Ni₅P</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>800</td>
<td>Ni₅P</td>
<td>NiO + Ni + Ni₅P</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Am., amorphous phase.

The crystallization process represented by the major exothermic peak of the DSC curves, at different heating rates (φ) [19]. The peak temperatures of samples A and B ranged from 325 to 354°C and 346 to 375°C, respectively (Fig. 3). The calculated activation energies could be compared with the self-diffusion activation energy of nickel, 289 kJ/mol [20].

![Fig. 3. DSC curves of (a) sample A, (b) sample B and (c) mild steel substrates at the heating rate of 5–50°C/min. For the sake of clarity the calorimetric curves were shifted arbitrarily on the vertical scale.](image-url)
The activation energy of sample B (225±20 kJ/mol) was slightly higher than that of sample A (210±17 kJ/mol). Hence, it was suggested that the activation energy of the EN deposits increased with lower phosphorus content.

### 3.2. Effects of heating process

The results showed that both the phase composition and phase transformation behaviour of the EN deposits depended on the heating temperatures. It was found that the effect of heating rate on the phase composition and transformation behaviour of sample B deposit was also considerable. In addition, the cooling rate was found to have no significant effect on the resultant phase composition of sample A deposit, from a heating temperature that was far higher than the crystallisation temperature of the deposit.

The XRD profiles of sample B heated to 300–800°C at 20°C/min showed different phase compositions (Fig. 2). After heating to 300°C, changes in sample B were not observed. Only a single broad amorphous profile was found. This was also confirmed by the DSC curve of the sample (Fig. 3b), which shows no major crystallisation reaction up to that temperature. At 350 and 400°C, the diffraction peaks corresponding to the metastable Ni₅P and Ni₁₃P₃, f.c.c. nickel and stable Ni₅P phases in the XRD profiles of sample B suggest the ongoing phase transformation processes. This was in agreement with the major exothermic peak of crystallisation process in the DSC curves of sample B in the same temperature range. The XRD profiles of the sample also show the remains of the amorphous phase in the deposits. Hence, the microstructure of sample B was suggested to be a mixture of amorphous and crystalline phases. At 400 and 500°C, the diffraction peaks corresponding to the f.c.c. nickel and Ni₅P phases were intensified with decreasing amount of amorphous phase. The Ni₅P and Ni₁₃P₃ metastable phases were decomposed completely after the heating process to 500°C. Consequently, the crystallisation process in sample B was believed to be near completion. At 500 and 800°C, numerous minor diffraction peaks developed in the deposit with increased intensities and further refinement of the existing diffraction peaks. The f.c.c. nickel and Ni₅P phases were the only two dominant resultant phases at this stage. Consequently, sample B was believed to have completed the crystallisation process and developed a fully crystalline microstructure. In sample A, the heating temperatures affected the microstructural properties and phase transformation behaviour of the deposit in a similar way (Figs. 1 and 3a), except that there was no nickel phase in the deposit.

The effect of the heating rate on the EN deposits during heating process was studied through XRD and DSC analyses on sample B. The XRD profiles of sample B specimens after heating to 400°C, at different heating rates of 5 and 20°C/min, are compared in Fig. 4a. There were Ni₅P and Ni₁₃P₃ metastable phases in the specimen that was heated at the higher heating rate. It should be mentioned that, however, the sample B specimens used in the present study for XRD analyses were heated to a temperature (400°C) not much higher than the peak crystallisation temperature (346 and 366°C, respectively, at 5 and 20°C/min). So the effects of the heating rate on the microstructural property of the deposits as regards temperatures far before and after the major crystallisation reaction deserve further study. In addition to this, the DSC curves of sample B in Fig. 3b show that the major crystallisation reaction, indicated by the major exothermic
peak, was delayed by the increase in heating rates. Similar result was observed in the DSC curves of sample A (Fig. 3a). The increase in heating rates also correspondingly increased the area of exothermic peaks in the DSC curves. This phenomenon is caused by the higher rate of exothermic heat flow and shorter crystallisation time at high heating rate.

The effects of the cooling rate on the resultant microstructure of the sample A specimens were not significant. The specimens were heated to 800°C at a constant rate of 20°C/min. Then they were cooled down at different cooling rates of 20 and 50°C/min. The XRD profiles of the specimens cooled at different cooling rates were similar (Fig. 4b). However, the results indicated only the effect of cooling rate on the microstructural property of the deposit that had been heated to a temperature (800°C) much higher than the crystallisation temperatures of the deposit. Hence, the effects of cooling rate on the microstructural property of the EN deposits during major crystallisation reaction deserve further study.

3.3. Degree of phase transformation

The integrated intensities of amorphous, metastable, nickel and Ni₃P phases were calculated from the corresponding reflections in the XRD profiles of samples A and B using the computer program Pro-Fit. The ratio of the integrated intensity of amorphous phase ($I_{\text{Amorp}}$) to the total integrated intensity ($I_{\text{Total}}$) shows the relative proportion of the amorphous phase remaining in the deposit. Consequently, the degree of transformation in the EN systems can be represented by the variation of this integrated intensity ratio with the heating temperature. In Fig. 5, the integrated intensity ratios of the amorphous phase ($I_{\text{Amorp}} / I_{\text{Total}}$) remain constant to 300°C, indicating that no significant crystallization reaction had occurred in either sample. From 300 to 500°C, the $I_{\text{Amorp}} / I_{\text{Total}}$ decreased sharply to a very low level in both samples. The major crystallization reactions in the deposits took place at this temperature range. From 500 to 800°C, the $I_{\text{Amorp}} / I_{\text{Total}}$ of both samples further decreased to zero, indicating that complete crystallization was achieved before 800°C.

3.4. SEM and electron microprobe analysis

Fig. 6a shows cross-section of sample A in as-deposit condition. The thicknesses of the coated deposit on both sides of the substrate were remarkably uniform (2.9 μm on each side). The appearance of the deposits was homogeneous although they had been plated through repeated plating process. There were numerous voids (see arrow) found of different shapes and sizes in the mild steel substrate. However, Fig. 6b and 6c clearly shows that thermally activated diffusion process took place between the coated deposits and the mild steel substrate underneath. The deposit heated to 400°C showed an increase in iron content, with a relative decrease in nickel content (Table 3). It was believed that the Ni had diffused into the substrate during diffusion process, indicated by the increase of Ni level in the diffusion layer (see arrow).
However, there was neither nickel nor phosphorus in the substrate at the point far from the deposit–substrate interface, suggesting that the diffusion process did not involve the entire mild steel substrate. After heating to 800°C, the Fe content in the deposit was reduced to a level about half that of the as-coated deposit. It is possible that
the Fe had migrated from the deposit to the deposit–substrate interface during diffusion process. Again, the diffusion process was believed not to involve the entire mild steel substrate, as nickel and phosphorus were still absent in the substrate at the point far from the deposit–substrate interface. Film growth after the heating processes (Fig. 6b and 6c) has increased the films’ thicknesses to 4.8 and 5 μm, respectively. The increase might be related to phase transformation in the deposits, as indicated by the XRD profiles of sample A (Fig. 1). The same kind of diffusion process would be found in the deposit–substrate interfaces of sample B.

4. Summary and conclusions

Differential scanning calorimetry, X-ray diffraction, scanning electron microscopy, and electron microprobe analyses on the EN deposits have led to the following conclusions:

Both the deposits with 16 and 10–14 wt.% P were amorphous in as-deposited condition.

Sequence of phase transformation for the 16 wt.% P deposit was: amorphous phase → co-existence of stable Ni₃P, metastable Ni₁₂₃P₅ and unidentifiable phases → stable Ni₃P phase.

Sequence of phase transformation for the 10–14 wt.% P deposit was: amorphous phase → co-existence of stable Ni₁P, f.c.c. nickel, and metastable Ni₃P, Ni₁₂₃P₅ and unidentified phases → stable Ni₃P and f.c.c. nickel phases.

Phase transformation temperatures of the samples increased with increasing heating rate, and decreasing phosphorus content.

The deposit with lower phosphorus content had higher crystallisation activation energy.

Effect of heating rate on the microstructural property of 10–14 wt.% P deposit was significant for the heating temperature that is close to the crystallisation process. Effect of cooling rate on the phase constitution of the 16 wt.% P deposit was not significant for heating to the temperature far above the crystallisation process.

SEM analysis indicated the film growth of the 16 wt.% P coated deposit after heating processes. The diffusion process between the coated deposit and its mild steel substrate did not occur through the entire depth of mild steel substrate.
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