

Improved recovery of SARS-CoV-2 from wastewater through application of RNA and DNA stabilising agents

Bell, S. H., Allen, D. M., Reyne, M. I., Lock, J. F. W., Fitzgerald, A., Levickas, A., Lee, A. J., Bamford, C. G. G., Gilpin, D. F., & McGrath, J. W. (2023). Improved recovery of SARS-CoV-2 from wastewater through application of RNA and DNA stabilising agents. *Letters in Applied Microbiology*. Advance online publication. https://doi.org/10.1093/lambio/ovad047

Published in:

Letters in Applied Microbiology

Document Version: Peer reviewed version

Queen's University Belfast - Research Portal:

Link to publication record in Queen's University Belfast Research Portal

Publisher rights

Copyright 2023, the Authors. This work is made available online in accordance with the publisher's policies. Please refer to any applicable terms of use of the publisher.

General rights

Copyright for the publications made accessible via the Queen's University Belfast Research Portal is retained by the author(s) and / or other copyright owners and it is a condition of accessing these publications that users recognise and abide by the legal requirements associated with these rights.

Take down policy

The Research Portal is Queen's institutional repository that provides access to Queen's research output. Every effort has been made to ensure that content in the Research Portal does not infringe any person's rights, or applicable UK laws. If you discover content in the Research Portal that you believe breaches copyright or violates any law, please contact openaccess@qub.ac.uk.

Open Access

This research has been made openly available by Queen's academics and its Open Research team. We would love to hear how access to this research benefits you. – Share your feedback with us: http://go.qub.ac.uk/oa-feedback

Improved recovery of SARS-CoV-2 from wastewater through application of RNA and DNA stabilising agents

Stephen H Bell¹, Danielle M Allen¹, Marina I Reyne¹, Jonathan F W Lock¹, Arthur Fitzgerald¹, Ashley Levickas¹, Andrew J Lee¹, Connor G G Bamford², Deirdre F Gilpin³ and John W McGrath¹

¹ School of Biological Sciences, Queen's University Belfast, 97 Lisburn Road, Belfast, BT9

7BL, Northern Ireland (UK)

² Wellcome-Wolfson Institute for Experimental Medicine, Queen's University Belfast, 97

Lisburn Road, Belfast, BT9 7BL, Northern Ireland (UK)

³ School of Pharmacy, Queen's University Belfast, 97 Lisburn Road, Belfast, BT9 7BL Northern Ireland (UK)

corresponding author: stephen.bell@qub.ac.uk

Running head: Improved recovery of SARS-CoV-2 in wastewater

Significance and Impact of the Study

Wastewater based epidemiology has been an important component of the response to Covid-19. As wastewater is a complex matrix containing numerous inhibitors that adversely impact molecular diagnostic techniques such as qPCR, virus levels within the community can be underestimated. The results of this study provide an understanding of how commercially available nucleic acid stabilising agents can be used to improve qPCR detection of SARS-CoV-2 from wastewater, without impacting Next Generation Sequencing.

<u>Abstract</u>

Wastewater Based Epidemiology (WBE) has become an integral part of the public health effort to track the levels of SARS-CoV-2 within communities. Detection of SARS-CoV-2 in wastewater can be challenging due to relatively low levels of virus within the sample. The wastewater matrix is also comprised of commercial and domestically derived contaminants, as well as RNases, all of which can adversely affect RT-qPCR analysis. To improve SARS-CoV-2 detection within wastewater samples we investigated both the effect of template dilution (as a means to reduce RT-qPCR inhibition) and sample stabilisation via addition of DNA/RNA Shield[™] and / or RNA Later[™] (to prevent RNA degradation via RNases) as a means to improve viral fragment detection. Using both methodologies a significant improvement in SARS-CoV-2 detection from wastewater samples was observed. No adverse effects of stabilising agent addition on downstream Next Generation Sequencing workflows were detected.

Keywords: SARS-CoV-2, COVID-19, wastewater virus surveillance, improved detection

Abbreviations

CP Select- Concentrating Pipette Select DNA- Deoxyribose nucleic acid gc- gene copies LLC- Limited Liability Company NGS- Next generation sequencing NI- Northern Ireland p.e.- population equivalents PEG- Polyethylene Glycol Precipitation PHA- Public Health Agency WBE- Wastewater based epidemiology

Introduction

Wastewater-based epidemiology (WBE) has long been established as an effective tool for pathogen detection (Corpuz et al., 2020). WBE has thus been effectively utilised by numerous countries to track SARS-CoV-2 levels and provide early outbreak indications at a community level during the COVID-19 pandemic (Ai et al., 2021; Izquierdo-Lara et al., 2021; Wade et al., 2022). Globally the establishment of SARS-CoV-2 surveillance programmes has allowed for policy creation and the management of clinical responses (Ahmed et al., 2020; Farkas et al., 2020; Hemalatha et al., 2021; Kirby et al., 2021; Wade et al., 2022).

Concentrating or precipitating virus present in wastewater samples is critically important to ensure maximum virus recovery, as SARS-CoV-2 levels within wastewater can be low, negatively impacting target detection (Gibson et al., 2012; Ahmed et al., 2020). Many of the published WBE studies have focused on optimising viral recovery, with numerous different concentration and precipitation methodologies described (Ahmed et al., 2020; Farkas et al., 2021; Jafferali et al., 2021). It is however important to address the issues caused by the presence of inhibitors (Schrader et al., 2012; Gibson et al., 2012; Ahmed et al., 2020; Monteiro et al., 2022) and / or RNases (Khan et al., 2021; Kumblathan et al., 2021) present within the wastewater matrix that can have an adverse impact on viral fragment detection (Keenum et al., 2021). Compounds such as surfactants - found in domestic and commercial cleaning products - form a significant proportion of wastewater and have been demonstrated to negatively impact PCR analysis, whilst the presence of RNases can lead to RNA degradation (Corpuz et al., 2020; Alhama et al., 2022; Robinson et al., 2022). Ultimately the presence of such inhibitors within the wastewater matrix contributes to an underestimation of virus levels and false negatives (Keenum et al., 2021), leading to underestimation of SARS-CoV-2 concentrations across communities, which in turn has potential public health consequences.

Whilst there are a number of established methods for reducing the effect of inhibitors on qPCR (reviewed by Schrader et al., (2012) one of the most simple and cost effective strategies is to dilute nucleic acid samples prior to molecular analysis. Indeed, using smaller volumes of nucleic acid in PCR reactions has been shown to improve pathogen detection through inhibitor dilution (Schrader et al., 2012; Wang et al., 2017; Chavarria-Miró et al., 2021; Monteiro et al., 2022). In addition to inhibitor removal commercially available products for the storage of biological samples such as DNA/RNA ShieldTM and RNA LaterTM

are also available for stabilising nucleic acid within samples (Pedersen et al., 2019; Magzal et al., 2022). These products ensure nucleic acid integrity by protecting against RNase degradation (Pedersen et al., 2019; Magzal et al., 2022).

With the number of national COVID-19 wastewater surveillance programmes expanding globally there is a need to develop better methodologies for SARS-CoV-2 wastewater monitoring. As yet however no studies have investigated the effect of the addition of compounds to prevent RNA degradation on SARS-CoV-2 detection within wastewater. To this end, we describe the impact of template dilution, addition of RNA LaterTM (Sigma-Aldrich, Denmark) and DNA/RNATM Shield (Zymo Research, USA) on SARS-CoV-2 detection when using qPCR diagnostics, and the improvements so observed. We further investigate whether the addition of such stabilising agents impacts downstream sequence analysis. These results will thus be of interest to any laboratories involved in the monitoring of SARS-CoV-2 prevalence within wastewater. Indeed, as cases of Covid-19 subside the ability to detect SARS-CoV-2 at lower levels within wastewater will become more important, to act as a sentinel system for new infection waves and variants.

One strategy to counter the effects of wastewater inhibitors on qPCR efficiency would be to dilute nucleic acid samples prior to molecular analysis. However, there is a lack of investigation into commercially available products and their ability to alleviate the impact of qPCR inhibitors. Commercially available products for the storage of biological samples such as DNA/RNA Shield[™] (Zymo Research, USA) and RNA Later[™] (Sigma-Aldrich, Denmark) are also available for stabilising DNA/RNA within samples (Pedersen et al., 2019; Hamilton et al., 2021). These products ensure both nucleic acid integrity whilst also inhibiting the activity of RNases present within samples (Pedersen et al., 2019; Hamilton et al., 2021). We thus hypothesised that the addition of stabilising agents to wastewater samples could improve SARS-CoV-2 viral detection and therefore more accurately reflect community level infection levels. Indeed, as cases of Covid-19 subside the ability to detect SARS-CoV-2 at lower levels within wastewater will become ever more important, to act as a sentinel system for new infection waves and variants. Protocols which increase our ability to detect SARS-CoV-2 and circumvent inhibitor catalysed signal loss are thus required.

Materials and Methods

Sample collection and concentration

Wastewater was sampled from a range of 15 rural and urban wastewater treatment works across Northern Ireland (NI) (Table 1). At each site a composite 1000 mL sample of screened primary, untreated influent was collected using an Isco Glacier autosampler (Isco; Lincoln,

USA) over a 24 h period. Samples were collected by NI Water Ltd., delivered to the laboratory by the NI Environment Agency and stored at 4°C overnight before analysis. For each of the 15 wastewater sites, 50 mL of sample with 0.005% v/v Tween[®] 20 (Merk KgaA, Germany) were centrifuged at 4,000 rpm for 10 mins at 4°C before subsequent concentration of the supernatant using a CP selectTM (InnovaPrep LLC, Drexel, Mo, USA) with hollow fibre-based ultrafiltration tips (Pore size not specified; InnovaPrep LLC, Drexel, Mo, USA). Ultrafiltration tips were purged twice using an elution buffer containing 0.075% Tween 20 in 25 mM Tris buffer.

Comparison of stabilisation agents

Concentrated samples were treated prior to extraction and PCR amplification. Samples were treated as follows, 1) control sample (concentrated and extracted sample with no amendments); 2) concentrated sample with DNA/RNA ShieldTM (Zymo Research, USA) added at four concentrations (0.5x, 1x, 1.5x, and 2.5x (v/v)); 3) concentrated sample with RNA LaterTM (Sigma-Aldrich, Denmark) added at four concentrations (0.5x, 1x, 1.5x, and 2.5x (v/v)); 4) concentrated sample with nuclease free water added at four concentrations (0.5x, 1x, 1.5x, and 2.5x (v/v)); 4).

Nucleic Acid Extraction and qPCR analysis

Nucleic acid extraction and qPCR analysis was carried out using the FLOW Classic workflow (Roche Diagnostics Limited). Processing cartridges containing eluate from CP SelectTM (InnovaPrep LLC.) were prepared using a Hamilton, Flow Primary Sample Handling Unit (Roche Diagnostics Limited). Nucleic acid was extracted from each sample using a MagNA Pure 96 DNA and Viral NA Small Volume Kit (Roche Diagnostic Limited). AgPath-IDTM One-Step RT-PCR Reagents (ThermoFisher Scientific) and SARS-CoV-2 N1 + N2 Assay Kits (Qiagen) were used to amplify RNA. A LightCycler 480 (Roche Diagnostics Limited) was used for RT-qPCR analysis, using the following thermal cycling conditions, one cycle of 50°C for 10 mins, followed by 45 cycles of 95°C for 10 mins and 60°C for 30 s. For each sample qPCR assays were run in duplicate. Each 25 μ L mastermix included, 12.5 μ L 2x RT-PCR Buffer, 1 μ L 25x RT-qPCR enzyme (AgPath-IDTM One-Step RT-PCR Reagents (ThermoFisher Scientific), 0.25 μ L added when 3 μ L of template is used), 1 μ L primer/probe (SARS-CoV-2 N1=N2 Assay Kit), 0.25 μ L Bovine Serum Albumin and either 3 μ L or 10 μ L of template. qPCR plates containing mastermix and nucleic acid were prepared using a Hamilton, FLOW PCR Setup Instrument (Roche Diagnostics Limited).

Results are the means of duplicates at 3 μ L and 10 μ L respectively, for all method optimisation and comparison studies at the fifteen wastewater sites.

Sequencing

For each site, three different treatments were taken forward for sequencing: 1) control sample, 2) concentrated sample with DNA/RNA shield at four concentrations and 3) concentrated sample with RNA later at four concentrations. SARS-CoV-2 amplicon wholegenome sequencing and following data analysis were performed using the Mini-XT SARS-CoV-2 protocol (Fuchs et al., 2022). In brief, viral nucleic acid extracts and no-template controls were reverse transcribed with LunaScript RT SuperMix Kit (New England Biolabs). The cDNA samples were amplified by tiled PCR using two separate primer pools from the ARTIC SARS-CoV-2 v4 panel (IDT). The amplicons were purified using KAPPA magnetic beads (Roche) and quantified using an Invitrogen Quant-iT dsDNA broad-range assay kit with a PHERAstar FS multimode microplate reader (BMG Labtech). The quantified RT-PCR product was normalised to a concentration of 0.2 ng/µL using the Echo 525 Liquid Handler (Beckman Coulter). 384 libraries were multiplexed using Nextera XT DNA Library Preparation Kit (Illumina) and sequenced paired-end 250 nucleotides on an Illumina MiSeq instrument. The "connor-lab/ncov2019-artic-nf" nextfow-based open-source pipeline (Connor-Lab) was then used to analyse the data.

Statistical Analysis

Kruskal–Wallis χ^2 tests followed by Dunn's test for multiple pairwise comparisons were used to compare the difference in the means of RT-qPCR Cp values and genome coverage (percentage covered bases) between treatments. Statistical analysis and data visualisation were performed in R (R Core Team, 2020).

Results and Discussion

1. Effect of template volume SARS-CoV-2 detection

To determine if the dilution of template volume (and thus potential inhibitor concentration) led to an increase in the detection of SARS-CoV-2 two different volumes of template (3 μ L and 10 μ L respectively) were processed. Across all fifteen wastewater sites, we found that significantly more virus was recovered when using 3 μ L nucleic acid template compared to 10 μ L in RT-qPCR reactions (chi-squared = 125.36, d.f. = 1, p < 0.001). Our results are thus in agreement with Wang et al., (2017) who, whilst working on soils, observed that smaller template volumes increased PCR detection efficiency due to the dilution of inhibitors present within the soil matrix. Although template dilution significantly improved SARS-CoV-2

detection across all sites, reduction of template volume could ultimately dilute samples to below the limit of detection (LOD), especially when levels of SARS-CoV-2 in wastewater are low (Gibson et al., 2012; Ahmed et al., 2020). For our surveillance workflow the LOD for SARS-CoV-2 RNA detection in wastewater was 6.25 copies per qPCR reaction.

2. Addition of stabilising agents to wastewater

After the addition of DNA/RNA ShieldTM (Zymo Research, USA) or RNA Later (Sigma-Aldrich, Denmark) at four concentrations (0.5x, 1x, 1.5x and 2.5x v/v), significantly more virus was detected across all concentrations using 10 µL of template than when using 3 µL of template (when used in the absence of DNA/RNA ShieldTM or RNA LaterTM) ($\chi 2 = 8.7225$, df = 1, p <0.001 & $\chi 2 = 30.592$, df = 1, p <0.001 respectively). This was a reversal of the trend seen previously when no stabilising agent was added.

The concentration at which both stabilising agents were added to concentrated wastewater had an effect on virus detection. When DNA/RNA ShieldTM was added at the concentrations, 0.5x, 1x and 1.5x v/v, virus detection significantly improved (p > 0.001, p > 0.001 and p = 0.007 respectively) compared to a control with no DNA/RNA ShieldTM, using 10 µL of template (Figure 1; Table S1). The addition of RNA LaterTM also improved virus detection at the concentrations, 0.5x and 1x v/v (p = 0.008 and p = 0.016, respectively) when compared to a control with no RNA LaterTM, using 10 µL of template. RNA Later at the concentration, 2.5x also improved virus detection when 3 µL of template was tested (p = 0.002). DNA/RNA ShieldTM did not improve detection at any concentration when 3 µL of template was tested.

To ascertain if viral detection was improved by DNA/RNA Shield[™] and RNA Later[™] addition, and not solely as a result of sample dilution, distilled H₂O was also added at the same concentrations (0.5x, 1x, 1.5x, 2x and 2.5x v/v). The addition of H₂O did not significantly improve virus detection when compared with samples containing the equivalent concentration of DNA/RNA Shield[™] or RNA Later[™] (Figure 1; Table S1). This highlighted that sample dilution was not the reason for improved SARS-CoV-2 detection in wastewater when DNA/RNA shield and RNA Later were used. Stabilising agents such as DNA/RNA Shield[™] are typically used for long term preservation of nucleic acid integrity when a sample will not be extracted immediately or will not be frozen to -80°C upon return to the laboratory (Mutter et al., 2004; Najafi, 2014; Pavlovska et al., 2021).

We further assessed the impact of DNA/RNA ShieldTM and RNA LaterTM at a concentration of 0.5x on 15 wastewater samples as the optimal concentration of both DNA/RNA Shield and RNA LaterTM required to significantly improve viral detection. This further analysis

reinforced that both stabilising agents were able to significantly improve viral detection at this concentration and can both therefore be used during qPCR wastewater analysis.

<u>Sequencing</u>

Next generation sequencing (NGS) is an important WBE tool that has been used in SARS-CoV-2 variant analysis (Martin et al., 2020; Izquierdo-Lara et al., 2021; Pechlivanis et al., 2022; Wilhelm et al., 2022). As both DNA/RNA ShieldTM and RNA LaterTM improved SARS-CoV-2 detection using qPCR analysis we sought to determine if the addition of these stabilising agents had any impact on NGS workflows. DNA/ RNA ShieldTM had no adverse effect at any concentration used on the percentage base coverage when compared to controls and other concentration of DNA/RNA ShieldTM (0.5x, 1x, 1.5x and 2.5x v/v; Figure 2A; Table S2). RNA LaterTM when added at the concentrations, 0.5x and 1x had no adverse effect on NGS workflows (Figure 2B; Table S2). However, RNA LaterTM concentrations of 1.5x and 2.5x did lead to a significant increase in sequencing failure (Figure 2B; Table S2). Although 0.5 x DNA/RNA ShieldTM does not significantly reduce the percentage of covered bases during NGS, it is lower than the control and other concentrations. To this end, we suggest 0.5 x RNA LaterTM as an effective stabilising agent since it did not adversely affect NGS workflows and can therefore be adapted and used for any wastewater virus detection protocol.

Conclusion

The optimisation of viral detection using reduced template volume and DNA/RNA stabilising agents outlined in this study supplements current protocols that exist for viral concentration and precipitation. We have shown that both template dilution and the addition of the stabilising agents, DNA/RNA ShieldTM and RNA LaterTM can significantly improve SARS-CoV-2 detection in wastewater using RT-qPCR, without negatively impacting subsequent NGS sequencing. As such the inclusion of either strategy into a SARS-CoV-2 wastewater detection workflow could significantly improve viral detection rates for SARS-CoV-2 wastewater surveillance laboratories. Given that a number of other strategies exist for inhibitor removal from environmental samples prior to PCR, including increased extractions, Bovine Serum Albumin addition and magnetic beads (Schrader et al., 2012), further studies specifically focussing on inhibitor removal to improve SARS-CoV-2 detection in wastewater are wartanted.

Acknowledgements

This work was funded by the Department of Health for Northern Ireland, as part of the Northern Ireland SARS-CoV-2 Wastewater Surveillance Programme.

Conflict of Interests

No conflict of interest declared.

Data availability

The data in this article will be shared on reasonable request to the corresponding author.

Authors' Contribution

RUMAN

Stephen H Bell: Conceptualisation, Data curation, Formal analysis, Investigation, Methodology, Writing- original draft, Writing- review & editing. Danielle M. Allen: Conceptualisation, Data curation, Formal analysis, Investigation, Methodology, Writingreview and editing. Marina I Reyne: Data curation, Investigation, Writing- review & editing. Jonathan F W Lock: Investigation. Arthur Fitzgerald: Investigation. Ashley Levickas: Investigation. Andrew J Lee: Investigation, Methodology, Writing- review & editing. Connor G G Bamford: Investigation, Methodology, Writing- review & editing. John W McGrath: Conceptualisation, Funding acquisition, Supervision, Writing- review & supervision, Writing- review & editing.

References

- Ahmed, W., Angel, N., Edson, J., Bibby, K., Bivins, A., O'Brien, J. W., Choi, P. M., Kitajima, M., Simpson, S. L., Li, J., Tscharke, B., Verhagen, R., Smith, W. J. M., Zaugg, J., Dierens, L., Hugenholtz, P., Thomas, K. V., & Mueller, J. F. (2020). First confirmed detection of SARS-CoV-2 in untreated wastewater in Australia: A proof of concept for the wastewater surveillance of COVID-19 in the community. *Science of the Total Environment*, 728. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.scitotenv.2020.138764
- Ai, Y., Davis, A., Jones, D., Lemeshow, S., Tu, H., He, F., Ru, P., Pan, X., Bohrerova, Z., & Lee, J. (2021). Wastewater SARS-CoV-2 monitoring as a community-level COVID-19 trend tracker and variants in Ohio, United States. *Science of the Total Environment*, *801*. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.scitotenv.2021.149757
- Alhama, J., Maestre, J. P., Martín, M. Á., & Michán, C. (2022). Monitoring COVID-19 through SARS-CoV-2 quantification in wastewater: progress, challenges and prospects. In *Microbial Biotechnology* (Vol. 15, Issue 6, pp. 1719–1728). John Wiley and Sons Ltd. https://doi.org/10.1111/1751-7915.13989
- Chavarria-Miró, G., Anfruns-Estrada, E., Martínez-Velázquez, A., Vázquez-Portero, M., Guix, S., Paraira, M., Galofré, B., Sánchez, G., Pintó, R. M., & Bosch, A. (2021). Time Evolution of Severe Acute Respiratory Syndrome Coronavirus 2 (SARS-CoV-2) in Wastewater during the First Pandemic Wave of COVID-19 in the Metropolitan Area of Barcelona, Spain. *Applied and Environmental Microbiology*, *87*(7). https://doi.org/10.1128/AEM.02750-20
- Corpuz, M. V. A., Buonerba, A., Vigliotta, G., Zarra, T., Ballesteros, F., Campiglia, P., Belgiorno, V., Korshin, G., & Naddeo, V. (2020). Viruses in wastewater: occurrence, abundance and detection methods. *Science of the Total Environment*, 745. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.scitotenv.2020.140910
- Farkas, K., Hillary, L. S., Malham, S. K., McDonald, J. E., & Jones, D. L. (2020). Wastewater and public health: the potential of wastewater surveillance for monitoring COVID-19. In *Current Opinion in Environmental Science and Health* (Vol. 17, pp. 14–20). Elsevier B.V. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.coesh.2020.06.001
- Farkas, K., Hillary, L. S., Thorpe, J., Walker, D. I., Lowther, J. A., McDonald, J. E., Malham, S. K., & Jones, D. L. (2021). Concentration and quantification of sars-cov-2 rna in wastewater using polyethylene glycol-based concentration and qrt-pcr. *Methods and Protocols*, 4(1), 1–9. https://doi.org/10.3390/MPS4010017
- Fuchs, M., Radulescu, C., Tang, M., Mahesh, A., Lavin, D., Umbreen, S., McKenna, J., Smyth, M., McColgan, E., Molnar, Z., Baxter, C., Skvortsov, T., Singh, A., Rogan, F., Miskelly, J., Bridgett, S., Fairley, D., & Simpson, D. A. (2022). Mini-XT, a miniaturized tagmentationbased protocol for efficient sequencing of SARS-CoV-2. *Journal of Translational Medicine*, 20(1). https://doi.org/10.1186/s12967-022-03307-9
- Gibson, K. E., Schwab, K. J., Spencer, S. K., & Borchardt, M. A. (2012). Measuring and mitigating inhibition during quantitative real time PCR analysis of viral nucleic acid extracts from large-volume environmental water samples. *Water Research*, 46(13), 4281–4291. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.watres.2012.04.030
- Hamilton, J. R., Stahl, E. C., Tsuchida, C. A., Lin- Shiao, E., Tsui, C. K., Pestal, K., Gildea, H. K., Witkowsky, L. B., Moehle, E. A., McDevitt, S. L., McElroy, M., Keller, A., Sylvain, I., Hirsh, A., Ciling, A., Ehrenberg, A. J., Ringeisen, B. R., Huberty, G., Urnov, F. D., ... Doudna, J. A. (2021). Robotic RNA extraction for SARS-CoV-2 surveillance using saliva samples. *PLoS ONE*, *16*(8 August). https://doi.org/10.1371/journal.pone.0255690

- Hemalatha, M., Kiran, U., Kuncha, S. K., Kopperi, H., Gokulan, C. G., Mohan, S. V., & Mishra, R. K. (2021). Surveillance of SARS-CoV-2 spread using wastewater-based epidemiology: Comprehensive study. *Science of the Total Environment*, *768*. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.scitotenv.2020.144704
- Izquierdo-Lara, R., Elsinga, G., Heijnen, L., Oude Munnink, B. B., Schapendonk, C. M. E., Nieuwenhuijse, D., Kon, M., Lu, L., Aarestrup, F. M., Lycett, S., Medema, G., Koopmans, M. P. G., & de Graaf, M. (2021). Monitoring SARS-CoV-2 circulation and diversity through community wastewater sequencing, the netherlands and belgium. *Emerging Infectious Diseases*, 27(5), 1405–1415. https://doi.org/10.3201/eid2705.204410
- Jafferali, M. H., Khatami, K., Atasoy, M., Birgersson, M., Williams, C., & Cetecioglu, Z. (2021). Benchmarking virus concentration methods for quantification of SARS-CoV-2 in raw wastewater. Science of the Total Environment, 755. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.scitotenv.2020.142939
- Jahn, K., Dreifuss, D., Topolsky, I., Kull, A., Ganesanandamoorthy, P., Fernandez-Cassi, X., Bänziger, C., Stachler, E., Fuhrmann, L., Philipp Jablonski, K., Chen, C., Aquino, C., Stadler, T., Ort, C., Kohn, T., Julian, T. R., & Beerenwinkel, N. (n.d.). Detection of SARS-CoV-2 variants in Switzerland by genomic analysis of wastewater samples. https://doi.org/10.1101/2021.01.08.21249379
- Keenum, I., Liguori, K., Calarco, J., Davis, B. C., Milligan, E., Harwood, V. J., & Pruden, A. (2021). A framework for standardized qPCR-targets and protocols for quantifying antibiotic resistance in surface water, recycled water and wastewater. In *Critical Reviews in Environmental Science and Technology*. Taylor and Francis Ltd. https://doi.org/10.1080/10643389.2021.2024739
- Khan, K., Tighe, S. W., & Badireddy, A. R. (2021). Factors influencing recovery of SARS-CoV-2 RNA in raw sewage and wastewater sludge using polyethylene glycol-based concentration method. https://doi.org/10.7171/jbt.21
- Kirby, A. E., Walters, M. S., Jennings, W. C., Fugitt, R., LaCross, N., Mattioli, M., Marsh, Z. A., Roberts, V. A., Mercante, J. W., Yoder, J., & Hill, V. R. (2021). Using Wastewater Surveillance Data to Support the COVID-19 Response — United States, 2020–2021. *MMWR. Morbidity and Mortality Weekly Report*, 70(36), 1242–1244. https://doi.org/10.15585/mmwr.mm7036a2
- Kumblathan, T., Liu, Y., Uppal, G. K., Hrudey, S. E., & Li, X. F. (2021). Wastewater-Based Epidemiology for Community Monitoring of SARS-CoV-2: Progress and Challenges. In ACS Environmental Au (Vol. 1, Issue 1, pp. 18–31). American Chemical Society. https://doi.org/10.1021/acsenvironau.1c00015
- Magzal, F., Shochat, T., Haimov, I., Tamir, S., Asraf, K., Tuchner-Arieli, M., Even, C., & Agmon, M. (2022). Increased physical activity improves gut microbiota composition and reduces short-chain fatty acid concentrations in older adults with insomnia. *Scientific Reports*, *12*(1). https://doi.org/10.1038/s41598-022-05099-w
- Martin, J., Klapsa, D., Wilton, T., Zambon, M., Bentley, E., Bujaki, E., Fritzsche, M., Mate, R., & Majumdar, M. (2020). Tracking SARS-CoV-2 in sewage: Evidence of changes in virus variant predominance during COVID-19 pandemic. *Viruses*, *12*(10). https://doi.org/10.3390/v12101144

Mohammad Najafi, Z. S. (2014). RNA Preservation and Stabilization. *Biochemistry & Physiology: Open Access, 03*(01). https://doi.org/10.4172/2168-9652.1000126
 Monteiro, S., Rente, D., Cunha, M. V., Marques, T. A., Cardoso, E., Vilaça, J., Coelho, N., Brôco, N., Carvalho, M., & Santos, R. (2022). Discrimination and surveillance of

infectious severe acute respiratory syndrome Coronavirus 2 in wastewater using cell culture and RT-qPCR. *Science of the Total Environment*, *815*. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.scitotenv.2022.152914

- Mutter, G. L., Zahrieh, D., Liu, C., Neuberg, D., Finkelstein, D., Baker, H. E., & Warrington, J.
 A. (2004). Comparison of frozen and RNALater solid tissue storage methods for use in RNA expression microarrays. *BMC Genomics*, *5*. https://doi.org/10.1186/1471-2164-5-88
- Pavlovska, M., Prekrasna, I., Parnikoza, I., & Dykyi, E. (2021). Soil sample preservation strategy affects the microbial community structure. *Microbes and Environments*, 36(1), 1–7. https://doi.org/10.1264/jsme2.ME20134
- Pechlivanis, N., Tsagiopoulou, M., Maniou, M. C., Togkousidis, A., Mouchtaropoulou, E., Chassalevris, T., Chaintoutis, S. C., Petala, M., Kostoglou, M., Karapantsios, T., Laidou, S., Vlachonikola, E., Chatzidimitriou, A., Papadopoulos, A., Papaioannou, N., Dovas, C. I., Argiriou, A., & Psomopoulos, F. (2022). Detecting SARS-CoV-2 lineages and mutational load in municipal wastewater and a use-case in the metropolitan area of Thessaloniki, Greece. Scientific Reports, 12(1). https://doi.org/10.1038/s41598-022-06625-6
- Pedersen, K. B., Williams, A., Watt, J., & Ronis, M. J. (2019). Improved method for isolating high-quality RNA from mouse bone with RNAlater at room temperature. *Bone Reports*, *11*. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.bonr.2019.100211
- R Core Team. (2020). R: A language and environment for statistical computing. R Foundation for Statistical Computing, Vienna, Austria.
- Robinson, C. A., Hsieh, H. Y., Hsu, S. Y., Wang, Y., Salcedo, B. T., Belenchia, A., Klutts, J., Zemmer, S., Reynolds, M., Semkiw, E., Foley, T., Wan, X. F., Wieberg, C. G., Wenzel, J., Lin, C. H., & Johnson, M. C. (2022). Defining biological and biophysical properties of SARS-CoV-2 genetic material in wastewater. *Science of the Total Environment*, *807*. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.scitotenv.2021.150786
- Schrader, C., Schielke, A., Ellerbroek, L., & Johne, R. (2012). PCR inhibitors occurrence, properties and removal. In *Journal of Applied Microbiology* (Vol. 113, Issue 5, pp. 1014– 1026). https://doi.org/10.1111/j.1365-2672.2012.05384.x
- Wade, M. J., Io Jacomo, A., Armenise, E., Brown, M. R., Bunce, J. T., Cameron, G. J., Fang, Z., Farkas, K., Gilpin, D. F., Graham, D. W., Grimsley, J. M. S., Hart, A., Hoffmann, T., Jackson, K. J., Jones, D. L., Lilley, C. J., McGrath, J. W., McKinley, J. M., McSparron, C., ... Kasprzyk-Hordern, B. (2022). Understanding and managing uncertainty and variability for wastewater monitoring beyond the pandemic: Lessons learned from the United Kingdom national COVID-19 surveillance programmes. *Journal of Hazardous Materials*, 424. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jhazmat.2021.127456
- Wang, H., Qi, J., Xiao, D., Wang, Z., & Tian, K. (2017). A re-evaluation of dilution for eliminating PCR inhibition in soil DNA samples. *Soil Biology and Biochemistry*, *106*, 109– 118. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.soilbio.2016.12.011
- Wilhelm, A., Schoth, J., Meinert-Berning, C., Agrawal, S., Bastian, D., Orschler, L., Ciesek, S., Teichgräber, B., Wintgens, T., Lackner, S., Weber, F. A., & Widera, M. (2022).
 Wastewater surveillance allows early detection of SARS-CoV-2 omicron in North Rhine-Westphalia, Germany. *Science of the Total Environment*, *846*. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.scitotenv.2022.157375

Table and table legend

Table 1. Location of	Wastewater	Treatment	Works	and	population	equivalents	that
they service.							

Population equivalent			
41735			
14933			
18803			
228939			
9312			
12797			
78899			
94655			
18079			
29302			
34042			
73384			
13251			
12766			
68952			



Figure 1. SARS-CoV-2 assay Cp values after the addition of stabilising agents at different concentrations across fifteen wastewater sites. A) DNA/RNA shieldTM; B) H₂O and C) RNA LaterTM. Boxplots represent the median (horizontal lines within each box), 25% and 75% quartiles (box), 10% and 90% percentiles (whiskers). Neat denotes 10 μ L of template and dilution denotes 3 μ L of template being analysed in qPCR analysis.







RICH