



**QUEEN'S  
UNIVERSITY  
BELFAST**

## Current status of Cancer Nanotheranostics: Emerging strategies for cancer management

Chavda, V. P., Khadela, A., Shah, Y., Postwala, H., Balar, P., & Vora, L. (2023). Current status of Cancer Nanotheranostics: Emerging strategies for cancer management. *Nanotheranostics*, 7(4), 368-379. <https://doi.org/10.7150/ntno.82263>

**Published in:**  
Nanotheranostics

**Document Version:**  
Publisher's PDF, also known as Version of record

**Queen's University Belfast - Research Portal:**  
[Link to publication record in Queen's University Belfast Research Portal](#)

### **Publisher rights**

© 2023 The Authors.

This work is made available online in accordance with the publisher's policies. Please refer to any applicable terms of use of the publisher.

### **General rights**

Copyright for the publications made accessible via the Queen's University Belfast Research Portal is retained by the author(s) and / or other copyright owners and it is a condition of accessing these publications that users recognise and abide by the legal requirements associated with these rights.

### **Take down policy**

The Research Portal is Queen's institutional repository that provides access to Queen's research output. Every effort has been made to ensure that content in the Research Portal does not infringe any person's rights, or applicable UK laws. If you discover content in the Research Portal that you believe breaches copyright or violates any law, please contact [openaccess@qub.ac.uk](mailto:openaccess@qub.ac.uk).

### **Open Access**

This research has been made openly available by Queen's academics and its Open Research team. We would love to hear how access to this research benefits you. – Share your feedback with us: <http://go.qub.ac.uk/oa-feedback>

# Current status of Cancer Nanotheranostics: Emerging strategies for cancer management

Vivek P Chavda<sup>1</sup>✉, Avinash Khadela<sup>2</sup>, Yasha Shah<sup>3</sup>, Humzah Postwala<sup>3</sup>, Pankti Balar<sup>4</sup>, Lalit Vora<sup>5</sup>

1. Department of Pharmaceutics and Pharmaceutical Technology, L.M. College of Pharmacy, Ahmedabad, Gujarat 380009, India.
2. Department of Pharmacology, L. M. College of Pharmacy, Niangua, Ahmedabad, Gujarat 380009, India.
3. PharmD Section, L.M. College of Pharmacy, Ahmedabad, Gujarat 380009, India.
4. Pharmacy Section, L.M. College of Pharmacy, Ahmedabad, Gujarat 380009, India.
5. School of Pharmacy, Queen's University Belfast, 97 Lilburn Road, BT9 7BL, U.K

✉ Corresponding author: **Vivek P. Chavda**, Department of Pharmaceutics and Pharmaceutical Technology, L.M. College of Pharmacy, Niangua, Ahmedabad (Gujarat)-380009. +91 7030919407; Vivek7chavda@gmail.com; ORCID ID: <https://orcid.org/0000-0002-7701-8597>

© The author(s). This is an open access article distributed under the terms of the Creative Commons Attribution License (<https://creativecommons.org/licenses/by/4.0/>). See <http://ivyspring.com/terms> for full terms and conditions.

Received: 2022.12.30; Accepted: 2023.03.20; Published: 2023.05.01

## Abstract

Cancer diagnosis and management have been a slow-evolving area in medical science. Conventional therapies have by far proved to have various limitations. Also, the concept of immunotherapy which was thought to revolutionize the management of cancer has presented its range of drawbacks. To overcome these limitations nanoparticle-derived diagnostic and therapeutic strategies are emerging. These nanomaterials are to be explored as they serve as a prospect for cancer theranostics. Nanoparticles have a significant yet unclear role in screening as well as therapy of cancer. However, nanogels and Photodynamic therapy is one such approach to be developed in cancer theranostics. Photoactive cancer theranostics is a vivid area that might prove to help manage cancer. Also, the utilization of the quantum dots as a diagnostic tool and to selectively kill cancer cells, especially in CNS tumors. Additionally, the redox-sensitive micelles targeting the tumor microenvironment of the cancer are also an important theranostic tool. This review focuses on exploring various agents that are currently being studied or can further be studied as cancer theranostics.

Keywords: nanoparticles, cancer, theranostics, photosensitive agents, photodynamic therapy, photothermal therapy

## Introduction

According to recent data, there are over 1.9 million new cancer patients diagnosed in the year 2022. Nonetheless, 609360 deaths are attributed to cancer just in the united states and the number of cases is estimated to be increased by 12.5% by the end of the year 2025 [1]. Cancer is one of the most common causes of mortality and to be specific lung cancer and breast cancer is most common in men and women respectively [2]. With advancements in the field of medicine, the management of cancer has evolved and progressed, but still, there are lots of problems associated with chemotherapy (CT) and radiation therapy (RT) regarding its effectiveness and improving the prognosis of the patient [3]. In such a situation nanomedicine makes a good case that can be utilized to solve the issues with conventional CT

related to drug delivery, distribution, and compatibility. And with advancements in nanomedicine approaches like theranostics can be utilized to diagnose and therapeutically treat the tumor with high accuracy [4, 5]. Thus, the use of nanoparticles in the diagnosis and treatment of cancer is clubbed under the term "Nanotheranostics".

The word theranostics comprises image-guided therapy which also consists of therapeutic potential and nanotheranostics includes the use of nanocarriers as a platform to deliver both diagnostic imaging modalities and therapeutic drugs [6]. Nanotheranostics stretches more than nanomedicines which just include enhanced permeability and retention (EPR) effect [7]. Whereas future medicine should not just focus on EPR effects on the tumor but should consider

the tumor heterogeneity to achieve a better prognosis [8]. And nanotheranostics can play a pivotal role in the stratification of the patient on basis of the therapeutic efficacy of the nanomedicine [9]. With the help of nanotheranostics, the tumor microenvironment can be modulated artificially which results in optimum drug targeting [10]. In addition to the EPR effect, it is also reducing opsonization which enhances the distribution and prevents the degradation of the medicine by the host's body. As a result, it reduces systemic toxicity and improves patient tolerance [11].

Early and precise diagnosis plays a pivotal role in determining the outcome of the treatment and for these various biomarkers and bio-imaging modalities are utilized [8, 12, 13]. Certain organic, inorganic and hybrid based nanoparticulate materials are developed that can aid in diagnostic as well as therapeutic outcomes. These include gold-based nanoparticles, iron oxide nanoparticles, porphyrins, and many more theranostic agents that are mentioned below. It also provides opportunities to cross as many biological barriers and to interact with tumors more closely [14]. This review summarizes the diagnostic as well as therapeutic applications of various theranostic agents along with its clinical applications.

## Diagnostic applications of nanotheranostics

The rationale of combining therapeutic and diagnostic purposes into a single agent using nanoparticles is emerging in current medicine. The capability of nanoparticles to penetrate deep into the tumor to deliver the therapeutic agent or the diagnostic agent raises the expectations from nanoparticulate agents to serve as a near-to-ideal theranostic agent [15]. For diagnostics, non-invasive techniques such as computed tomography (CT), magnetic resonance (MRI), optical, ultrasound (US), and positron imaging tomography (PET) imaging can be utilized. Whereas for therapeutic purposes nanoparticulate agents can be used for gene silencing, delivery of antitumor agents, tumor targeting, and phototherapy.

### X-ray CT imaging

CT imaging is a 3-dimensional extension of conventional X-ray that gives a clearer view by staking the cross-sectional images. The tissues are not naturally in contrast to each other thus a contrast agent is to be used. It is quite useful as it has deeper tissue penetration and high-resolution imaging [16]. Usually, agents such as iodine, barium, or tungsten are used as contrast agents for CT imaging. Nanoparticulate contrast agents used include nano-scale metal-organic frameworks (NMOFs), gold nanoparticles (AuNPs), and gold nanorods (GNRs).

These have an upper hand over conventional contrast agents because the doses to be used can be significantly reduced by using nano-contrast agents. Also, renal toxicity significantly reduces compared to conventional contrast agents. AuNPs can ideally be used as X-ray contrast media owing to their higher molecular weight and lower risk of toxicities [17]. Also, AuNPs are stable and easy to synthesize. Apart from its convenient use, various studies suggest the benefits of using AuNPs as nano-contrast agents. In a particular study carried out to check the sensitivity of AuNPs on hepatocellular carcinoma (HCC), it was found that ultras-small AuNPs are much more sensitive for the diagnosis of HCC [18, 19]. Also, a study was conducted where these nanoparticles were utilized for simultaneous tumor targeting of chemotherapeutic agents in prostate cancer [20]. Thus, apart from being a useful contrast agent, these AuNPs can simultaneously be useful in targeting the chemotherapeutic agents at tumor sites. Au nanoparticles are hyperthermic and can destroy the tumor by the production of heat [21]. Do refer Figure 1 for the application of AuNP in therapeutics as well as diagnosis of cancer. They also improve the sensitivity RT and thus can be used as radiosensitizers. GNR is another example that can be used by coating it with different substances such as silica, cetyltrimethylammonium bromide, and so on [22].

### Magnetic resonance imaging


MRI utilizes radio waves and magnetic fields in order to serve as a non-invasive imaging technique. It gives cross sectional images and is widely useful for imaging of soft tissues. But due to its low sensitivity and longer time to obtain the images, contrast media are to be used to enhance its signals. These contrast agents can either be ferromagnetic or paramagnetic. Superparamagnetic iron oxide nanoparticles (SPIONs) as well as gadolinium are majorly used as a contrast agent in MRI [24, 25]. Gadolinium is a T1 type of contrast agent that enhances the fatty tissue-based signals whereas SPIONs are T2 type of contrast agent that enhances water-based signals. Gadolinium when incorporated into PEG-coated liposomes were studied and found to have high applicability in imaging for neutral cell adhesion molecule [26] positive Kaposi's sarcoma [27]. Other than these, genetically modified viral particles can also be utilized as a delivery system for nano theranostic agents including these contrast agents. A group of researchers utilized tobacco mosaic viral (TMV) nanoparticles to deliver gadolinium which led to increased relativity [28]. SPIONs is a contrast agent of great importance owing to their biological inertness. Another major property of being highly tumor tissue specific. This is because it

generates heat under magnetic field thus, maintaining least collateral damage to the healthy tissues [29]. A significant reduction in tumor growth was observed along with almost nil liver or kidney toxicity when an alternating magnetic current was applied and heated the tumor up to 6°C within 20 minutes. For its theranostic application various chemotherapeutic agents can be loaded on to SPIONs. Doxorubicin along with SPIONs was developed for MRI imaging as well as CT. This loading was carried out by thermal crosslinking. Larger amount of doxorubicin was seen due to selective accumulation of nanoparticles [30]. Apart from the basic chemotherapeutic agents, SPIONs are also useful along with gene-based cancer therapy. Gene therapy was combined with MRI in a study where a SPION-based shRNA system was developed [31]. Polymeric nanoparticles combined with MRI contrast agents have shown great benefits in tumor targeted imaging. Various copolymers such as PLA-TPGS can be utilized for the same by combining it with MRI as well as fluorescent contrast agents making it a multimodal imaging approach. A contrast dye Cy5.5 when conjugated with iron nanoparticles, made possible 48-hour MRI monitoring [32]. Apart from these, dendrimers are another delivery agent that are usually highly branched spherical polymers.

A study showed a dendrimer designed for theranostic delivery that carried an MRI contrast diagnostic Cy5.5 along with paclitaxel. High cellular uptake along with reduced adverse effect on the non-target organs was seen on account of the dendrimer [33]. But these dendrimers due to their positive surface charges have an inherited toxicity. Also, they are a little less stable as they tend to release the molecules rapidly sometimes before reaching the target sites.

### Optical imaging

Optical imaging is another non-invasive method of diagnosis that involves visualization of tissues via near infrared (IR), fluorescent as well as bioluminescent techniques. The dyes for the same are to be introduced into the patient before imaging [34]. The delivery of these dyes, chemical methods such as conjugation with lipids or polymers or physical methods such as nano-encapsulation are important strategies for nanotheranostics. Conjugation of pyrene polymer with the dye for optical imaging into a nano-particulate formulation was proved to provide a high degree of tissue penetration and higher fluorescence intensity [35]. Combining administration of various chemotherapeutic agents can be achieved along with the dyes after which optical imaging



**Figure 1:** Gold nanoparticle is conjugated with various elements such as drug, antibody, siRNA and many more to form a stable conjugate. It enhances the photothermal therapy action, stimulates the drug release, enhance gene slicing and photodynamic therapy efficacy. (Adopted under CC BY 4 from) [23]

would be able to achieve not only tissue imaging but also drug distribution monitoring. Tumor targeted CT delivery can also be achieved by utilizing conjugation of nanoparticles with optical dyes. A fluorescent dye, Cy5.5 when conjugated with nanoparticulate glycol-chitosan and administered along with paclitaxel or doxorubicin showed much higher tumor specific actions thus, preventing its toxic effects on normal cells [36]. Fibrin targeting is useful for brain tumors. In a murine model of glioma, a dye named Cy7 was administered for visualization of the tumor along with nanoparticulated conjugates showed significantly higher accumulation in the tumor tissues [37]. Photosensitizing agents if used as a fluorescent dye pose dual functions of imaging as well as their role in photodynamic therapy (PDT). Various *in vivo* studies have proved the dual role of a photosensitive dye Ce6 in murine models. Apart from this, nanoparticulate based optical imaging dye delivery can also help in visualization of the drug as well as measuring of therapeutic efficacy of a chemotherapeutic drug [38]. Thus, a nanoparticulate approach can bring out a lot of theranostic applications of these dyes via optical imaging. The bioavailability of fluorescent dyes was significantly improved on combining it with polymeric or magnetic nanoparticles such as PLA-TPGS or iron oxides. Fluorescent analysis in an *ex vivo* model showed a significant improvement in fluorescent intensity at various tumor sites on using the above-mentioned approach. The combination was also capable of crossing the blood brain barrier [39]. Liposomes are bilayer amphiphilic agents that are important for delivery of theranostic agents [40]. Fluorescent agents are usually loaded in the central aqueous compartment of the liposomes [41]. A study was carried out where quantum dots were loaded into the liposomes and apomorphine as a therapeutic agent. Due to the small diameter of the liposomes, brain uptake of the quantum dots was significantly improved by 2.4-fold. Also, free quantum dots were rapidly eliminated from the brain tissues but liposome associated quantum dots gave a bright fluorescence up to 1 hour [42]. Micelle formulation is another amphipathic formulation used for delivery of theranostic agents. TPGS micelles were prepared by a group of researchers containing supramagnetic iron oxides for diagnosis and therapy that showed improved magnetic properties with a high *in vitro* cellular uptake in breast cancer cell lines [43].

### PET imaging

PET imaging provides noninvasive, highly sensitive 3D imaging of body tissues. It is usually combined with CT or MRI as PET alone lacks anatomical selectivity. PET contrast agents when

delivered as nanoparticulate delivery can be very useful for tissue specific imaging, delivery of drugs as well as tumor targeting [44]. A pH-dependent tumor targeting was carried out in an *in vivo* study. Here doxorubicin was conjugated with nanoparticles via hydrazone bonds and stayed intact at a normal physiological pH thus preventing the exposure and subsequent toxicity of the drug in normal tissues. It was released only in an acidic pH thus achieving tumor specific drug release. SPIONs used for PET imaging when coated with various nanoparticulated ligands and Ga (68) or Cu (64) were found to be useful for imaging as well as other therapeutic uses mentioned above [45]. A concept of polymer-drug complex has been utilized for PET imaging by combining imaging contrast agents with an appropriate polymeric compound. For instance, N-(2-hydroxypropyl) methacrylamide (HPMA) copolymerized with gadolinium or Cu (64). When injected into tumor bearing mice, the radioactivity was found to be much higher in mice administered with the drug-polymer conjugate [46].

### Ultrasound imaging

As US imaging does not use any ionizing radiation or radio labelling, it is comparatively safer, cheaper and most widely used imaging technique. Various contrast agents that can be utilized for US imaging include microbubble and non-microbubble type agents. Conventional US imaging contrast agents have lower intrinsic specificity for malignant tissues [47]. Microbubble contrast agents have high specificity and sensitivity for tumor cells. Thus, microbubbles are most used contrast agents to be used in combination with them. Microbubbles are made up of a gas filled core surrounded by a polymeric shell. The issue with this is the submicron sized bubbles are not capable of generating sufficient echogenic signals. Thus, *in situ* gas generating systems are developed. Anticancer drugs can be delivered using the same [48]. A study was conducted that delivered doxorubicin by loading it with calcium carbonate nanoparticles with an organic polymeric backbone. This, when administered releases *in situ* CO<sub>2</sub> in acidic solution. It was proved that there was a significant increase in the release rate of doxorubicin at acidic pH (tumor site) compared to neutral pH (healthy tissues) [49]. Also, when combined it with PEG-poly(D-lactide) nanoparticles, the microbubble-based contrast agent was able to overcome the issue of lower aqueous solubility of paclitaxel [50]. Various non microbubble modalities include echogenic liposomes, perfluorocarbon nanodroplets, and solid nanoparticles.

## Therapeutic applications of nanotheranostics


The applications of nanoparticulate derived agents in imaging and diagnostics have been described in the previous topic. Some of these agents can also be utilized for therapeutic purposes. An ideal theranostic agent should possess the intended pharmacological activity, stay into the body for a longer time. The therapeutic application that can be explored for cancer therapy using nanotheranostic agents can be majorly categorized into PDT, photothermal therapy (PTT) and CT. A working mechanism of these therapeutic modalities has been provided in figure 1. The agents used for the same can be organic, inorganic or hybrid in nature [51].

### Photodynamic therapy

PDT works on the principle that when certain tumor targeted agents are administered, they accumulate into the tumor tissue and release reactive oxygen species upon receiving external photo stimulation of a particular wavelength. The selection of photoactive agents to be utilized has to be very specific as they should possess specific tumor targeting and release of ROS to permanently damage tumor cells [52]. Nanoparticles are introduced into this technology to overcome the issue of photobleaching [21]. Most used agents for PDT include agents belonging to the tetrapyrrole family such as phthalocyanines and porphyrins as well as other dyes such as phenothiazines, phenalenones, squaraines, and indocyanine green [53]. Various porphyrin based photosensitive agents that are used as nanotheranostics for PDT includes; protoporphyrin, 5,10,15,20-tetra (4-pyridyl) porphyrin, and hematoporphyrin. Other inorganic nanotheranostics that can be used for PDT include graphene quantum dots [54]. Also, several hybrid agents such as Gd<sup>3+</sup>-Ce6-conjugated PEG-grafted poly-(maleic anhydride-alt-1-octadecene), Gd<sup>3+</sup>-Ce6, GdOF: Ln@SiO<sub>2</sub>, etc. The major advantage of using hybrid nanotheranostic agents is that the integration of PDT and PTT can be carried out to bring a synergistic effect of both modalities [55]. As mentioned above dendrimers are a delivery system of various theranostic agents. A study was carried out where a novel dendrimer-based delivery platform was developed. A phthalocyanine derivative was prepared and entrapped into the dendrimer based nanocarrier system for delivering multimodal imaging agents and improving the efficacy of PDT. An increased cytotoxicity with a PDT effect up to 24 hours along with efficient internalization of imaging agents into tumor tissues was achieved [56].

### Photothermal therapy

The technology behind PTT is using such contrast or nanotheranostic agents that can convert photo energy to thermal energy and thus, bring about tumor cellular necrosis by inducing hyperthermia [57]. Usually, near IR range is used to initiate the release of heat by activating these photosensitive agents [58]. On exposure of these photosensitive agents to external irradiation, the electrons of the photosensitive agents absorb a photon and get excited moving to an unstable state. When returning to ground state, the release of energy takes place via heat [59]. PTT targets tumor tissues by using agents that specifically accumulate in the tumor cells thus sparing the healthy cells. The irradiation to the photosensitive agents leads to a rise in temperature up to 40 to 60°C leading to coagulation necrosis [60]. Like PDT, various organic, inorganic and hybrid agents can be utilized for PTT. Majorly inorganic agents are used for PTT including metallic, carbon nanostructures, and quantum dots based on their optical and thermal properties. Inorganic agents such as gold, silver, iron oxide, graphene, carbon nanotubes are being used. But these inorganic agents pose an issue of bioavailability and toxicity. Thus, use of hybrid nanoparticulate agents is more widely preferred [61]. Organic agents are also used as theranostics for PTT. These include porphyrin-based agents such as pyropheophorbide, and purpurin whereas cyanine-based agents include CySCOOH, cypate, and DiR. Other organic agents such as polyaniline, gallic acid, and Prussian blue are also used as light triggered nanotheranostics agents for PTT [54]. Hybrid nanotheranostics are preferred as they help in overcoming the shortcoming of other agents by having a good distribution and elimination profile and being relatively less toxic. Apart from this, the hybrid agents also help in achieving synergy of PDT and PTT as mentioned above. This synergism not only leads to increased tumor cell death but also helps in improving the sensitivity of the tumor to other treatment modalities such as CT [62]. Magnetic nanoparticles such as iron oxide or certain carbohydrates when coated on the surface of biopolymers lead to induction in the hyperthermia effect of PTT. Dendrimers made of polypropylenimine were known to destroy ovarian tumor cells using a synergistic effect of PDT and PTT [63]. Liposome or lipid-based nanoparticles such as PEG-PLGA nanoparticles were used to encapsulate ICG dye and a TLR7 agonist that produced anti-tumor antigens during PTT. It was successful in preventing metastasis in an orthotropic model. Another study used a nanohybrid agent CuS/Cu<sub>2</sub>S/Au in order to improve the PTT targeting and efficacy. It occurred



**Figure 2:** A basic mechanism through which the anti-tumor effects of photodynamic and photothermal therapies are mediated.

because the improved efficacy of photothermal conversion provided larger cavities and a mesoporous shell [64] (Figure 2).

### Chemotherapy

Conventionally used chemotherapeutic agents suffer from the major disadvantage of being toxic to healthy tissues thus leading to severe adverse effects. This issue can be conveniently bypassed when the chemotherapeutic drugs are given in a tumor-targeted manner. For such tumor targeting, nanoparticles offer an important ability to make sure that no off targeted drug delivery takes place and transports the therapeutic agents to particular tumor sites only [65]. Various nanocarriers can be conjugated with chemotherapeutic agents such as paclitaxel, doxorubicin, gemcitabine, carboplatin, etc. to be administered directly targeting the tumor tissues. Organic nanotheranostics agents such as benzoporphyrin derivatives, hematoporphyrin, indocyanine green, and Prussian blue are combined with chemotherapeutic drugs and administered. A hybrid nanoparticulate agent namely rGO@Au-NR NV i.e., reduced graphene oxide coated with gold nanoparticles is used to deliver certain chemotherapeutic drugs such as doxorubicin [66]. Gold nanoparticles when administered with paclitaxel, can be utilized for tumor-targeted therapy as well as imaging to track the tumor size [67]. Au nanotheranostics have proved to be of great importance in targeting ovarian and breast tumors when combined with gemcitabine or doxorubicin [68, 69]. Previous studies have conclu-

sively proven that nanoparticulate drug has more efficacy than conventional molecule. One such study compared polymeric doxorubicin from a nanoparticle generator to doxorubicin alone in metastatic breast cancer where the former had significantly improved efficacy compared to the later [70]. Also, doxorubicin along with silica nanoparticles and graphene dots can be used for tumor-targeted therapy as well as fluorescent imaging [71]. Apart from this, technologies such as dendrimers, liposomes, polymeric nanoparticle micelles, and solid lipid nanoparticles can be utilized for the delivery of chemotherapeutic drugs [72]. Other inorganic-based nanotheranostics include MoS<sub>2</sub> nanosheets, single walled carbon nanotubes, and tungsten sulfide [54]. Another study utilized poly(allylamine)-citric anhydride/doxorubicin (PAH-Cit/DOX) nanoparticles to deliver doxorubicin nanoparticles to the tumor site. The nanoparticles dissociate from the carrier system at a lower pH in presence in endosomes or lysosomes. Subcellular analysis was carried out in order to track the doxorubicin release in different compartments using fluorescence lifetime imaging microscopy (FLIM) technique. It showed significant difference between release rates of doxorubicin in different compartments [73]. An ester poly( $\beta$ -amino) core and hyaluronic acid shell were utilized as carriers for doxorubicin. It was concluded that hyaluronic acid was useful in improving the transmission of doxorubicin thus allowing to overcome its resistance in breast cancer [74]. Another shell material that was evaluated was PLGA-alginate core-shell particles. But

it actually made the drug release slower and acted as a barrier in drug diffusion [75]. The drug-polymer complex is also an interesting theranostic application where various polymers can be combined with drug molecules to obtain better targeting. N-(2-hydroxypropyl) methacrylamide (HPMA)-doxorubicin is one such drug-polymer complex that is under phase 1 clinical evaluation [76]. Apart from that, polymeric nanoparticles such as Poly(D, L-lactide-co-glycolide) (PLGA), PLA-TPGS and poly(D,L-lactide-co-glycolide) -polyethylene glycol (PLGA-PEG) and many more can be used for co-delivering therapeutic as well as diagnostic agents. These have high prospective value as they have a good storage stability along with being least toxic inside the body [77]. A preclinical study had shown a 5.7-to-8-fold improvement in drug delivery of docetaxel that was co-formulated with PLGA nanoparticles. These polymeric nanoparticles are highly targeting because they enter the cells via endocytosis and then internalized into endosomes where they can be degraded [78]. An invitro study co-formulated docetaxel with PLA-TPGS based polymer which showed much specific folate cell targeting in breast cancer cell lines [79]. Solid lipid nanoparticles are basically biodegradable lipid nanoparticles such as triglycerides. These serve as a good platform for delivering of therapeutic agents as owing to their small size, they can penetrate the blood brain barrier. They can be delivered directly into the lymphatic system for improving bioavailability [80]. A study was carried out that combined the drug paclitaxel and Bcl2 targeting siRNA along with quantum dots loaded solid lipid nanoparticles. It was designed in a way where paclitaxel and quantum dots were loaded into the inner lipid shell whereas the anionic siRNA into the outer shell of the solid lipid nanoparticles. A stronger synergistic anticancer effect was seen on the lung carcinoma cells [81]. Small bilayer vesicles with an internal aqueous layer and an outer lipid coat known as liposomes have been widely utilized as carriers for theranostic agents. Mostly the chemotherapeutic drugs are formulated into the outer coat of the liposomes [82]. TPGS-coated liposomes were loaded with docetaxel and exposed to in vitro brain tumor cell lines where they showed significantly higher efficacy [83]. In another such study, a higher cellular uptake was noted when folic acid was used to target folate receptor overexpressing breast cancer cell lines. Loading of liposomes with quantum dots for fluorescent imaging and camptothecin, irinotecan for antitumor effects showed bright fluorescence lasting for up to 24 hours and higher accumulation of the drugs in solid tumors [84]. Miscellas are another type of colloidal delivery system with a hydrophobic core

for drug or diagnostic loading and a hydrophilic coat for targeted agent [85]. Genexol-PM is one such example of a micellar formulation loaded with paclitaxel which is currently being evaluated in phase 1 clinical trials [86]. Miscellas of hyaluronic acid-doxorubicin were assembled which proved to be successful as theranostic platforms or delivery systems [87].

## Clinical use of nanotheranostics

In addition to the diagnostic and therapeutic functions of nanotheranostics platforms, there are a range of other applications. This application ranges from patient risk identification and stratification of the sub-population, monitoring the intra-tumor drug distribution, a response to CT, and imaging-guided therapies [88]. These applications play an important role, especially in the case of tumor heterogeneity where personalized therapy is given.

### Patient risk identification/stratification of sub-population

Generally, the nanomedicines are administered to the patient in different dimensions to understand the optimal drug uptake and utilization by the body. But it is atypical for a scientist to administer the same nanomedicine to two subjects having the same tumor characteristics to subgroup based on the drug uptake by the tumor [89]. This brings a halt to the belief that xenogeneic model of tumors share similar characteristics and generate a similar response to the drug administered. An experiment was done to provide evidence to this hypothesis, in which the xenogeneic model of breast tumor were prepared and radiolabelled iodine liposome was administered and subjects were grouped into 2 groups good prognosis and bad prognosis based on their iodine uptake. Furthermore, both groups were treated with CT and showed better results in the good prognosis group compared to the subjects in another group. Thus, it can be understood that even in the xenogeneic model the tumor variation has a defining impact in determining the outcome of the therapy. These variations in the tumor characteristics are found to be more significant in larger animals [90]. Recently, ferumoxytol-based MRI was used to identify the effectiveness of paclitaxel-based nanomedicine. Ferumoxytol was initially used to treat iron deficiency in patients with chronic kidney disease. There is a need to develop radio-labelled liposomes (loaded with drugs) to serve as nanotheranostics formulations [91]. As of now, there is no nanotheranostics formulation available for approval much research is to be done to translate the idea into clinical practice [90].



## Monitoring intra-tumor drug distribution

The distribution of the drug intratumorally is an important criterion in defining the therapeutic effectiveness of the drug. The drug distribution inside the tumor is determined by blood flow to the tumor, interstitial fluid pressure, and thickness of the tumor. For any drug, it is difficult to reach the central hypoxic portion of the tumor because of the poor blood supply. The central mass of the tumor is responsible for tumor heterogeneity and cancer stem cells are ought to be present in the center part [92]. In preclinical laboratory settings, intravital microscopy is used to study the drug distribution of nanomedicines intratumorally for example it was used to study the drug distribution of temperature-sensitive liposomes of doxorubicin [93]. The use of intravital microscopy is not possible in clinical settings hence MRI based techniques are used to study the interaction between intratumorally behaviours and nanomedicine [94].

Some nanotheranostics formulations are designed in such a way that once administered in the body they are activated by exogenous stimuli rather than endogenous stimuli such as X-rays, heat, and ultrasound. If we take an example of fibrosarcoma tumors in rate when temperature-sensitive liposome of doxorubicin is administered, better intratumor drug distribution was observed when administered while hyperthermia rather than afterward [95].

## Image-guided focus therapies

The image-guided focus therapies mainly comprise nanomedicines enabled via PDT and PTT; it had lesser chances of toxicity. In this method, efficacy is determined via PDT and PTT rather than intratumorally drug distribution is seen in chemotherapeutic agents [96, 97]. The major limitation to image-guided focus therapies is it is used in tumors that are proximal to the skin as PDT and PTT lack penetration. The PTT agents which dissipate heat make them a good candidate for photo-acoustic imaging (PAI) [98]. Thus, we can track the PTT agent with the help of PAI and save the resources for an extra imaging modality. A study done in a mouse model used PAI imaging, in which gold nanospheres were administered, and then the mouse was given photothermal ablation to improve the tumor selectivity [99].

## Response monitoring

Monitoring the response after administering the drug is a good idea to obtain the immediate prognosis of the patient post-therapy. It helps us in planning the further treatment plan of the patient. In laboratory settings, it is done by measuring the tumor dimensions using scales. However, these are not practically


possible after every dosing schedule [100]. So many researchers suggest the use of nanotheranostics to solve the issues. The tumor microenvironment (TME) changes with the response to the therapy as TME has reduced pH, hypoxia, and increased activity of matrix metalloproteinase activity during tumor progression. When therapy is showing a response, these abnormalities are tended to decrease and normal physiology is achieved which can be traced via the use of various techniques [101]. The optical nano-probes having a sharp pH response are used but because of limited tissue penetration of light, it is not yet been clinically used. As of now, it seems more practical to use separate imaging techniques to track the progress of nanomedicine [102].

## Targeted and improvised delivery

Nanomedicine has evolved itself in various fields to improve the targeted delivery, enhance the therapeutic action, filling the voids of current practice and many more. Cancer immunotherapy is an emerging field which incorporate the mRNA vaccine as an promising method [103]. Although, holding a great potential, is drawn back by its limitation such as innate immunogenicity, ineffective in in-vivo delivery and instability. Various formulation tactics such as addition of lipid nanoparticle (LNPs), peptides and many more. USFDA approved LNP-loaded mRNA for management of COVID-19 by boosting the immunity. [104, 105] Another widely accepted application of nanoparticles was observed in delivery as metalloporphyrin. These molecules are encapsulated within the porphyrin nanoparticle without any specific need of chelator. These entities have wide range of application including therapeutics, imaging, analytical, and diagnosis. This chelated moiety has greater tendency to bioconjugate with other molecules as well to co-deliver them to a targeted site of action. [106] A diagrammatic representation of nanotheranostics, being employed for multiple use in management of cancer is described in Figure 3.

## Future challenges and problems

The major problem associated with nanomedicine is tumor heterogeneity and an overly simplified pharmacokinetic model of the tumor. And based on this it is believed that the concentration of the drug inside the tumor depends on just the drug available in the bloodstream for a longer period of time [107]. This model didn't consider various factors such as vascular density, distribution of the blood vessel in the tumor, the thickness of stromal cells, and the presence of macrophages. Each of these factors plays a distinctive role in intertumoral drug distribution and each tumor should be treated as a separate organ [108, 109]. In



**Figure 3:** Nanotheranostics is employed broadly into three sectors; cancer imaging, cancer management and biomarker detection.

general, all tumors are considered to be highly vascular organs but there is a lot of variation in different types of tumors regarding vascularity [110]. For example, squamous cell carcinoma has comparatively lesser vessels than colorectal carcinoma. This tumor vascular density can be assessed by various imaging techniques including MRI, CT, and so on. Other than tumor vasculature the leakiness of the tumor vessels also plays an important role. It is determined in the laboratory setting by the use of Evan's blue dye. In clinical settings, MRI can be used to determine leakiness. Recently a drug gadofosveset, an albumin binding drug was approved to determine the leakiness in tumors using contrast MRI [111]. Thus, thorough identification of the tumor vasculature and leakiness aids in achieving better prognosis.

## Conclusion

From diagnosis to therapy, nanotheranostics can be of great aid in patient care. They can be utilized as tools for diagnosis and tumor monitoring, delivering chemotherapeutic agents, being useful as anti-tumor agents, and providing a synergistic effect with other anticancer modalities. Till now these agents have only been tried in different formulations by different technologies in *in-vitro* and preclinical *in vivo* studies. Even though a lot of research has been done in the field of nanotheranostics, there are not many clinical studies done explaining the effectiveness of

nanotheranostics in patient care. For that, we need to first understand the role and characteristics of nanomedicine as a diagnostic and therapeutic tool. If that is achieved, it could revolutionize the work of tumor diagnosis and therapeutics.

## Acknowledgements

V.P.C. wants to dedicate this work to the L. M. College of Pharmacy as a part of the 75th anniversary of the college.

## Authors Contributions

Vivek P Chavda created the plot of the work and wrote the first draft with all authors. Vivek P Chavda critically revised the manuscript. All authors have read and approved the final version of the manuscript. Figures were created with Biorender.com.

## Competing Interests

The authors have declared that no competing interest exists.

## References

1. Sathishkumar K, Chaturvedi M, Das P, Stephen S, Mathur P. Cancer incidence estimates for 2022 & projection for 2025: Result from National Cancer Registry Programme, India. *The Indian journal of medical research.* 2022.
2. Thandra KC, Barsouk A, Saginala K, Aluru JS, Barsouk AJCOWO. Epidemiology of lung cancer. *contemporary oncology.* 2021; 25: 45-52.

3. Shahbazi-Gahrouei D, Khaniabadi PM, Khaniabadi BM, Shahbazi-Gahrouei. Medical imaging modalities using nanoprobes for cancer diagnosis: A literature review on recent findings. *Journal of research in medical sciences*. 2019; 24.
4. Ravichandran G, Rengan AK. Aptamer-Mediated Nanותרanostics for Cancer Treatment: A Review. *ACS Applied Nano Materials*. 2020; 3: 9542-59.
5. Chavda VP, Chaudhari AZ, Teli D, Balar P, Vora L. Propolis and Their Active Constituents for Chronic Diseases. *Biomedicines*; 2023.
6. Sifaka PI, Okur NÜ, Karantas ID, Okur ME, Gündođdu EA. Current update on nanoplatforms as therapeutic and diagnostic tools: A review for the materials used as nanותרanostics and imaging modalities. *Asian Journal of Pharmaceutical Sciences*. 2021; 16: 24-46.
7. Chavda VP, Patel AB, Mistry KJ, Suthar SF, Wu Z-X, Chen Z-S, et al. Nano-Drug Delivery Systems Entrapping Natural Bioactive Compounds for Cancer: Recent Progress and Future Challenges. *Frontiers in oncology*. 2022; 12.
8. Chavda VP, Balar PC, Patel SB. Nanותרanostics-based Management of Head and Neck Cancer. *Nanותרanostics*. 2023; 7: 202-9.
9. Wu J. The Enhanced Permeability and Retention (EPR) Effect: The Significance of the Concept and Methods to Enhance Its Application. *J Pers Med*. 2021; 11: 771.
10. Izci M, Maksoudian C, Manshian BB, Soenen SJ. The Use of Alternative Strategies for Enhanced Nanoparticle Delivery to Solid Tumors. *Chemical Reviews*. 2021; 121: 1746-803.
11. Chen H, Zhang W, Zhu G, Xie J, Chen X. Rethinking cancer nanותרanostics. *Nature reviews Materials*. 2017; 2: 17024.
12. Kundu P, Singh D, Singh A, Sahoo SK. Cancer nanותרanostics: a nanomedicinal approach for cancer therapy and diagnosis. *Anti-Cancer Agents in Medicinal Chemistry*. 2020; 20: 1288-99.
13. Khadela A, Chavda VP, Postwala H, Ephraim R, Apostolopoulos V, Shah Y. Configuring Therapeutic Aspects of Immune Checkpoints in Lung Cancer. *Cancers*; 2023.
14. Siddique S, Chow JCL. Application of Nanomaterials in Biomedical Imaging and Cancer Therapy. *Nanomaterials (Basel)*. 2020; 10: 1700.
15. Shahbazi-Gahrouei D, Moradi Khaniabadi P, Shahbazi-Gahrouei S, Khorasani A, Mahmoudi F. A literature review on multimodality molecular imaging nanoprobes for cancer detection. *Polish Journal of Medical Physics and Engineering*. 2019; 25: 57-68.
16. Carlsson CA. Imaging modalities in x-ray computerized tomography and in selected volume tomography. *Physics in medicine and biology*. 1999; 44: R23-56.
17. Fazel-Ghaziyani M, Shahbazi-Gahrouei D, Pourhassan-Moghaddam M, Baradaran B, Ghavami MJN. Targeted detection of the cancer cells using the anti-CD24 bio modified PEGylated gold nanoparticles: the application of CD24 as a vital cancer biomarker. 2018; 5: 172-9.
18. Rand D, Ortiz V, Liu Y, Derdak Z, Wands JR, Tatićek M, et al. Nanomaterials for X-ray imaging: gold nanoparticle enhancement of X-ray scatter imaging of hepatocellular carcinoma. *Nano letters*. 2011; 11: 2678-83.
19. Chavda VP, Balar PC, Patel SB. Interventional nanותרanostics in hepatocellular carcinoma. *Nanותרanostics*. 2023; 7: 128-41.
20. Kim D, Jeong YY, Jon S. A drug-loaded aptamer-gold nanoparticle bioconjugate for combined CT imaging and therapy of prostate cancer. *ACS nano*. 2010; 4: 3689-96.
21. Jaque D, Martínez Maestro L, del Rosal B, Haro-Gonzalez P, Benayas A, Plaza JL, et al. Nanoparticles for photothermal therapies. *Nanoscale*. 2014; 6: 9494-530.
22. Zhang X, Xing JZ, Chen J, Ko L, Amanie J, Gulavita S, et al. Enhanced radiation sensitivity in prostate cancer by gold-nanoparticles. *Clinical and investigative medicine Medecine clinique et experimentale*. 2008; 31: E160-7.
23. Kumar A, Das N, Rayavarapu RG. Role of Tunable Gold Nanostructures in Cancer Nanותרanostics: Implications on Synthesis, Toxicity, Clinical Applications and Their Associated Opportunities and Challenges. *Journal of Nanותרanostics*; 2023. p. 1-34.
24. Frantellizzi V, Conte M, Pontico M, Pani A, Pani R, De Vincentis G. New Frontiers in Molecular Imaging with Superparamagnetic Iron Oxide Nanoparticles (SPIONs): Efficacy, Toxicity, and Future Applications. *Nuclear medicine and molecular imaging*. 2020; 54: 65-80.
25. Kobayashi T. Cancer hyperthermia using magnetic nanoparticles. *Biotechnology journal*. 2011; 6: 1342-7.
26. Vancamelbeke M, Vermeire SJ. *Erog*, hepatology. The intestinal barrier: a fundamental role in health and disease. 2017; 11: 821-34.
27. Grange C, Geninatti-Crich S, Esposito G, Alberti D, Tei L, Bussolati B, et al. Combined delivery and magnetic resonance imaging of neural cell adhesion molecule-targeted doxorubicin-containing liposomes in experimentally induced Kaposi's sarcoma. *Cancer Res*. 2010; 70: 2180-90.
28. Bruckman MA, Hern S, Jiang K, Flask CA, Yu X, Steinmetz NF. Tobacco mosaic virus rods and spheres as supramolecular high-relaxivity MRI contrast agents. *Journal of materials chemistry B*. 2013; 1: 1482-90.
29. Hayashi K, Nakamura M, Sakamoto W, Yogo T, Miki H, Ozaki S, et al. Superparamagnetic nanoparticle clusters for cancer theranostics combining magnetic resonance imaging and hyperthermia treatment. *Theranostics*. 2013; 3: 366-76.
30. Yu MK, Jeong YY, Park J, Park S, Kim JW, Min JJ, et al. Drug-loaded superparamagnetic iron oxide nanoparticles for combined cancer imaging and therapy in vivo. *Angewandte Chemie (International ed in English)*. 2008; 47: 5362-5.
31. Yang H, Li Y, Li T, Xu M, Chen Y, Wu C, et al. Multifunctional core/shell nanoparticles cross-linked polyetherimide-folic acid as efficient Notch-1 siRNA carrier for targeted killing of breast cancer. *Scientific reports*. 2014; 4: 7072.
32. Medarova Z, Pham W, Farrar C, Petkova V, Moore A. In vivo imaging of siRNA delivery and silencing in tumors. *Nat Med*. 2007; 13: 372-7.
33. Saad M, Garbuzenko OB, Ber E, Chandna P, Khandare JJ, Pozharov VP, et al. Receptor targeted polymers, dendrimers, liposomes: which nanocarrier is the most efficient for tumor-specific treatment and imaging? *Journal of controlled release: official journal of the Controlled Release Society*. 2008; 130: 107-14.
34. Ding H, Wu F. Image guided biodistribution and pharmacokinetic studies of theranostics. *Theranostics*. 2012; 2: 1040-53.
35. Moraes J, Ohno K, Maschmeyer T, Perrier S. Monodisperse, Charge-Stabilized, Core-Shell Particles via Silica-Supported Reversible Addition-Fragmentation Chain Transfer Polymerization for Cell Imaging. *Chemistry of Materials*. 2013; 25: 3522-7.
36. Na JH, Koo H, Lee S, Min KH, Park K, Yoo H, et al. Real-time and non-invasive optical imaging of tumor-targeting glycol chitosan nanoparticles in various tumor models. *Biomaterials*. 2011; 32: 5252-61.
37. Chung EJ, Cheng Y, Morshed R, Nord K, Han Y, Wegscheid ML, et al. Fibrin-binding, peptide amphiphile micelles for targeting glioblastoma. *Biomaterials*. 2014; 35: 1249-56.
38. Yoon HY, Koo H, Choi KY, Lee SJ, Kim K, Kwon IC, et al. Tumor-targeting hyaluronic acid nanoparticles for photodynamic imaging and therapy. *Biomaterials*. 2012; 33: 3980-9.
39. Tan YF, Chandrasekharan P, Maity D, Yong CX, Chuang KH, Zhao Y, et al. Multimodal tumor imaging by iron oxides and quantum dots formulated in poly (lactic acid)-D-alpha-tocopheryl polyethylene glycol 1000 succinate nanoparticles. *Biomaterials*. 2011; 32: 2969-78.
40. Chavda VP, Vihol D, Mehta B, Shah D, Patel M, Vora LK, et al. Phytochemical-loaded liposomes for anticancer therapy: an updated review. *Nanomedicine*. 2022; 17: 547-68.
41. Leung SJ, Romanowski M. Light-activated content release from liposomes. *Theranostics*. 2012; 2: 1020-36.
42. Wen CJ, Zhang LW, Al-Suwayeh SA, Yen TC, Fang JY. Theranostic liposomes loaded with quantum dots and apomorphine for brain targeting and bioimaging. *International journal of nanomedicine*. 2012; 7: 1599-611.
43. Kumar R, Kulkarni A, Nagesha DK, Sridhar S. In vitro evaluation of theranostic polymeric micelles for imaging and drug delivery in cancer. *Theranostics*. 2012; 2: 714-22.
44. Xiao Y, Hong H, Javadi A, Engle JW, Xu W, Yang Y, et al. Multifunctional unimolecular micelles for cancer-targeted drug delivery and positron emission tomography imaging. *Biomaterials*. 2012; 33: 3071-82.
45. Bartlett DW, Su H, Hildebrandt JJ, Weber WA, Davis ME. Impact of tumor-specific targeting on the biodistribution and efficacy of siRNA nanoparticles measured by multimodality in vivo imaging. *Proceedings of the National Academy of Sciences of the United States of America*. 2007; 104: 15549-54.
46. Borgman MP, Coleman T, Kolhatkar RB, Geyser-Stoops S, Line BR, Ghandehari H. Tumor-targeted HPMA copolymer-(RGDFK)-(CHX-A''-DTPA) conjugates show increased kidney accumulation. *Journal of controlled release: official journal of the Controlled Release Society*. 2008; 132: 193-9.
47. Scheel JR, Lee JM, Sprague BL, Lee CI, Lehman CD. Screening ultrasound as an adjunct to mammography in women with mammographically dense breasts. *American journal of obstetrics and gynecology*. 2015; 212: 9-17.
48. Jo SD, Ku SH, Won YY, Kim SH, Kwon IC. Targeted Nanותרanostics for Future Personalized Medicine: Recent Progress in Cancer Therapy. *Theranostics*. 2016; 6: 1362-77.
49. Min KH, Min HS, Lee HJ, Park DJ, Yhee JY, Kim K, et al. pH-controlled gas-generating mineralized nanoparticles: a theranostic agent for ultrasound imaging and therapy of cancers. *ACS nano*. 2015; 9: 134-45.

50. Rapoport N, Payne A, Dillon C, Shea J, Scaife C, Gupta R. Focused ultrasound-mediated drug delivery to pancreatic cancer in a mouse model. *Journal of therapeutic ultrasound*. 2013; 1: 11.
51. Sneider A, VanDyke D, Paliwal S, Rai P. Remotely Triggered Nano-Theranostics For Cancer Applications. *Nanotheranostics*. 2017; 1: 1-22.
52. Jain NK, Chathoth BM, Bhaskar VS, Meena H, Prasad R, Srivastava R. Nanoengineered photoactive theranostic agents for cancer. 2021; 10: 2973-97.
53. Abrahamse H, Hamblin MR. New photosensitizers for photodynamic therapy. *The Biochemical journal*. 2016; 473: 347-64.
54. Zhang P, Hu C, Ran W, Meng J, Yin Q, Li Y. Recent Progress in Light-Triggered Nanotheranostics for Cancer Treatment. *Theranostics*. 2016; 6: 948-68.
55. Hildebrandt B, Wust P, Ahlers O, Dieing A, Sreenivasa G, Kerner T, et al. The cellular and molecular basis of hyperthermia. *Critical reviews in oncology/hematology*. 2002; 43: 33-56.
56. Taratula O, Schumann C, Naleway MA, Pang AJ, Chon KJ, Taratula O. A multifunctional theranostic platform based on phthalocyanine-loaded dendrimer for image-guided drug delivery and photodynamic therapy. *Molecular pharmaceutics*. 2013; 10: 3946-58.
57. Chen F, Cai W. *Nanomedicine for targeted photothermal cancer therapy: where are we now?* Nanomedicine (London, England). 2015; 10: 1-3.
58. Omid Y, Barar J. Targeting tumor microenvironment: crossing tumor interstitial fluid by multifunctional nanomedicines. *BioImpacts: BI*. 2014; 4: 55-67.
59. Nomura S, Morimoto Y, Tsujimoto H, Arake M, Harada M, Saitoh D, et al. Highly reliable, targeted photothermal cancer therapy combined with thermal dosimetry using a near-infrared absorbent. *Scientific reports*. 2020; 10: 9765.
60. West CL, Doughty ACV, Liu K, Chen WR. Monitoring tissue temperature during photothermal therapy for cancer. *Journal of bio-X research*. 2019; 2: 159-68.
61. Chitgupi U, Qin Y, Lovell JF. Targeted Nanomaterials for Phototherapy. *Nanotheranostics*. 2017; 1: 38-58.
62. Gong H, Dong Z, Liu Y, Yin S, Cheng L, Xi W, et al. Engineering of multifunctional nano-micelles for combined photothermal and photodynamic therapy under the guidance of multimodal imaging. 2014; 24: 6492-502.
63. Taratula O, Patel M, Schumann C, Naleway MA, Pang AJ, He H, et al. Phthalocyanine-loaded graphene nanoplatfor for imaging-guided combinatorial phototherapy. *International journal of nanomedicine*. 2015; 10: 2347-62.
64. Chen Q, Xu L, Liang C, Wang C, Peng R, Liu Z. Photothermal therapy with immune-adjutant nanoparticles together with checkpoint blockade for effective cancer immunotherapy. *Nat Commun*. 2016; 7: 13193.
65. Aminolroayaei F, Shahbazi-Gahrouei D, Shahbazi-Gahrouei S, Rasouli N. Recent nanotheranostics applications for cancer therapy and diagnosis: A review. *IET nanobiotechnology*. 2021; 15: 247-56.
66. Song J, Yang X, Jacobson O, Lin L, Huang P, Niu G, et al. Sequential Drug Release and Enhanced Photothermal and Photoacoustic Effect of Hybrid Reduced Graphene Oxide-Loaded Ultrasmall Gold Nanorod Vesicles for Cancer Therapy. *ACS nano*. 2015; 9: 9199-209.
67. Zhong J, Yang S, Wen L, Xing D. Imaging-guided photoacoustic drug release and synergistic chemo-photoacoustic therapy with paclitaxel-containing nanoparticles. *Journal of controlled release: official journal of the Controlled Release Society*. 2016; 226: 77-87.
68. Croissant JG, Zhang D, Alsaiani S, Lu J, Deng L, Tamanoi F, et al. Protein-gold clusters-capped mesoporous silica nanoparticles for high drug loading, autonomous gemcitabine/doxorubicin co-delivery, and in-vivo tumor imaging. *Journal of controlled release: official journal of the Controlled Release Society*. 2016; 229: 183-91.
69. Chavda VP, Nalla LV, Balar P, Bezbaruah R, Apostolopoulos V, Singla RK, et al. Advanced Phytochemical-Based Nanocarrier Systems for the Treatment of Breast Cancer. *Cancers*; 2023.
70. Chatterjee K, Sarkar S, Jagajjani Rao K, Paria S. Core/shell nanoparticles in biomedical applications. *Advances in colloid and interface science*. 2014; 209: 8-39.
71. Kang MS, Singh RK, Kim TH, Kim JH, Patel KD, Kim HW. Optical imaging and anticancer chemotherapy through carbon dot created hollow mesoporous silica nanoparticles. *Acta biomaterialia*. 2017; 55: 466-80.
72. Chavda VP, Balar PC, Teli D, Davidson M, Bojarska J, Apostolopoulos V. Antibody-Biopolymer Conjugates in Oncology: A Review. *Molecules (Basel, Switzerland)*; 2023.
73. Zhou T, Luo T, Song J, Qu J. Phasor-Fluorescence Lifetime Imaging Microscopy Analysis to Monitor Intercellular Drug Release from a pH-Sensitive Polymeric Nanocarrier. *Anal Chem*. 2018; 90: 2170-7.
74. An T, Zhang C, Han X, Wan G, Wang D, Yang Z, et al. Hyaluronic acid-coated poly ( $\beta$ -amino) ester nanoparticles as carrier of doxorubicin for overcoming drug resistance in breast cancer cells. 2016; 6: 38624-36.
75. Wu J, Kong T, Yeung KW, Shum HC, Cheung KM, Wang L, et al. Fabrication and characterization of monodisperse PLGA-alginate core-shell microspheres with monodisperse size and homogeneous shells for controlled drug release. *Acta biomaterialia*. 2013; 9: 7410-9.
76. Vasey PA, Kaye SB, Morrison R, Twelves C, Wilson P, Duncan R, et al. Phase I clinical and pharmacokinetic study of PK1 [N-(2-hydroxypropyl)methacrylamide copolymer doxorubicin]: first member of a new class of chemotherapeutic agents-drug-polymer conjugates. *Cancer Research Campaign Phase I/II Committee. Clinical cancer research: an official journal of the American Association for Cancer Research*. 1999; 5: 83-94.
77. Vijayakumar MR, Muthu MS, Singh S. Copolymers of poly(lactic acid) and D- $\alpha$ -tocopheryl polyethylene glycol 1000 succinate-based nanomedicines: versatile multifunctional platforms for cancer diagnosis and therapy. *Expert opinion on drug delivery*. 2013; 10: 529-43.
78. Zhang X, Dong Y, Zeng X, Liang X, Li X, Tao W, et al. The effect of autophagy inhibitors on drug delivery using biodegradable polymer nanoparticles in cancer treatment. *Biomaterials*. 2014; 35: 1932-43.
79. Pan J, Liu Y, Feng SS. Multifunctional nanoparticles of biodegradable copolymer blend for cancer diagnosis and treatment. *Nanomedicine (London, England)*. 2010; 5: 347-60.
80. Muthu MS, Singh S. Targeted nanomedicines: effective treatment modalities for cancer, AIDS and brain disorders. *Nanomedicine (London, England)*. 2009; 4: 105-18.
81. Bae KH, Lee JY, Lee SH, Park TG, Nam YS. Optically traceable solid lipid nanoparticles loaded with siRNA and paclitaxel for synergistic chemotherapy with in situ imaging. *Advanced healthcare materials*. 2013; 2: 576-84.
82. Torchilin VP. Recent advances with liposomes as pharmaceutical carriers. *Nature reviews Drug discovery*. 2005; 4: 145-60.
83. Muthu MS, Kulkarni SA, Raju A, Feng SS. Theranostic liposomes of TPGS coating for targeted co-delivery of docetaxel and quantum dots. *Biomaterials*. 2012; 33: 3494-501.
84. Wen CJ, Sung CT, Aljuffali IA, Huang YJ, Fang JY. Nanocomposite liposomes containing quantum dots and anticancer drugs for bioimaging and therapeutic delivery: a comparison of cationic, PEGylated and deformable liposomes. *Nanotechnology*. 2013; 24: 325101.
85. Mahmud A, Xiong XB, Aliabadi HM, Lavasanifar A. Polymeric micelles for drug targeting. *Journal of drug targeting*. 2007; 15: 553-84.
86. Lim WT, Tan EH, Toh CK, Hee SW, Leong SS, Ang PCS, et al. Phase I pharmacokinetic study of a weekly liposomal paclitaxel formulation (Genexol-PM) in patients with solid tumors. *Annals of oncology: official journal of the European Society for Medical Oncology*. 2010; 21: 382-8.
87. Kim KS, Park S-J, Lee M-Y, Lim K-G, Hahn SK. Gold half-shell coated hyaluronic acid-doxorubicin conjugate micelles for theranostic applications. *Macromolecular Research*. 2012; 20: 277-82.
88. Saluja V, Mishra Y, Mishra V, Giri N, Nayak P. Dendrimers based cancer nanotheranostics: An overview. *International Journal of Pharmaceutics*. 2021; 600: 120485.
89. Patra JK, Das G, Fraceto LF, Campos EVR, Rodriguez-Torres MDP, Acosta-Torres LS, et al. Nano based drug delivery systems: recent developments and future prospects. *Journal of nanobiotechnology*. 2018; 16: 71.
90. Karathanasis E, Suryanarayanan S, Balusu SR, McNeely K, Sechopoulos I, Karellas A, et al. Imaging nanoprobe for prediction of outcome of nanoparticle chemotherapy by using mammography. *Radiology*. 2009; 250: 398-406.
91. Miller MA, Gadde S, Pfirschke C, Engblom C, Sprachman MM, Kohler RH, et al. Predicting therapeutic nanomedicine efficacy using a companion magnetic resonance imaging nanoparticle. *Science translational medicine*. 2015; 7: 314ra183.
92. Di Paolo A, Bocci G. Drug distribution in tumors: mechanisms, role in drug resistance, and methods for modification. *Current oncology reports*. 2007; 9: 109-14.
93. Miller MA, Weissleder R. Imaging the pharmacology of nanomaterials by intravital microscopy: Toward understanding their biological behavior. *Advanced drug delivery reviews*. 2017; 113: 61-86.
94. Kirui DK, Ferrari M. Intravital Microscopy Imaging Approaches for Image-Guided Drug Delivery Systems. *Current drug targets*. 2015; 16: 528-41.
95. Majumder J, Minko T. Multifunctional and stimuli-responsive nanocarriers for targeted therapeutic delivery. *Expert opinion on drug delivery*. 2021; 18: 205-27.
96. Alsaab HO, Alghamdi MS, Alotaibi AS, Alzhrani R, Alwathaynani F, Althobaiti YS, et al. Progress in Clinical Trials of Photodynamic Therapy for Solid Tumors and the Role of Nanomedicine. *Cancers*. 2020; 12: 2793.

97. Nicolson F, Kircher MF. Chapter 36 - Theranostics: Agents for Diagnosis and Therapy. In: Ross BD, Gambhir SS, editors. *Molecular Imaging (Second Edition)*: Academic Press; 2021. p. 655-77.
98. Liu Y, Bhattarai P, Dai Z, Chen X. Photothermal therapy and photoacoustic imaging via nanotheranostics in fighting cancer. *Chem Soc Rev*. 2019; 48: 2053-108.
99. Lin J, Wang S, Huang P, Wang Z, Chen S, Niu G, et al. Photosensitizer-Loaded Gold Vesicles with Strong Plasmonic Coupling Effect for Imaging-Guided Photothermal/Photodynamic Therapy. *ACS Nano*. 2013; 7: 5320-9.
100. Shuhendler AJ, Ye D, Brewer KD, Bazalova-Carter M, Lee K-H, Kempen P, et al. Molecular Magnetic Resonance Imaging of Tumor Response to Therapy. *Scientific Reports*. 2015; 5: 14759.
101. Roma-Rodrigues C, Pombo I, Raposo L, Pedrosa P, Fernandes AR, Baptista PV. Nanotheranostics Targeting the Tumor Microenvironment. *Front Bioeng Biotechnol*. 2019; 7: 197-.
102. Pelaz B, Alexiou C, Alvarez-Puebla RA, Alves F, Andrews AM, Ashraf S, et al. Diverse Applications of Nanomedicine. *ACS nano*. 2017; 11: 2313-81.
103. Chavda VP, Soni S, Vora LK, Soni S, Khadela A, Ajabiya J. mRNA-Based Vaccines and Therapeutics for COVID-19 and Future Pandemics. *Vaccines (Basel)*; 2022.
104. Miao L, Zhang Y, Huang L. mRNA vaccine for cancer immunotherapy. *Molecular Cancer*. 2021; 20: 41.
105. Chavda VP, Ping F-F, Chen Z-S. An Impact of COVID-19 on Cancer Care: An Update. *Vaccines (Basel)*; 2022.
106. Shao S, Rajendiran V, Lovell JF. Metalloporphyrin nanoparticles: Coordinating diverse theranostic functions. *Coordination Chemistry Reviews*. 2019; 379: 99-120.
107. Dai H, Wang X, Shao J, Wang W, Mou X, Dong XJS. NIR-II Organic Nanotheranostics for Precision Oncotherapy. 2021; 17: 2102646.
108. Ojha AK, Rajasekaran R, Pandey AK, Dutta A, Seesala VS, Das SK, et al. Nanotheranostics: Nanoparticles Applications, Perspectives, and Challenges. 2022: 345-76.
109. Alizadeh AA, Aranda V, Bardelli A, Blanpain C, Bock C, Borowski C, et al. Toward understanding and exploiting tumor heterogeneity. *Nature medicine*. 2015; 21: 846-53.
110. Junttila MR, de Sauvage FJ. Influence of tumour micro-environment heterogeneity on therapeutic response. *Nature*. 2013; 501: 346-54.
111. Jain R. Measurements of tumor vascular leakiness using DCE in brain tumors: clinical applications. *NMR in biomedicine*. 2013; 26: 1042-9.