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Abstract

Background: Reports about the relationships between insulin concentrations and CHD risk are controversial. The objective of this survey was to study the association between insulin levels and CHD risk in middle-aged male participants of the PRIME Study after 5 years of follow-up.

Methods: Our study adopted a nested case-control design including 294 cases of CHD and 536 controls randomly selected among healthy participants from the PRIME cohort. Data were obtained by questionnaires (medical history, lifestyle), standardised clinical measurements (blood pressure, anthropometric measurements), and a blood sample was obtained for biological measurements. Odds-Ratios for associations of four ordered classes of insulin concentration with CHD risk after adjustment for confounding factors were estimated using conditional logistic regression.

Results: In Belfast, a significant trend \((p<0.03)\) was observed between insulin classes and CHD risk in bivariate analyses, but this association lost its significance after multiple adjustments. In the French centres, a high risk of CHD (\(OR=3.24 \ [1.80–5.85], p<0.0001\)) was observed only for the second class of insulin concentration (6.5 to 9.9 mIU/l), compared with the reference class (<6.5 mIU/l). After multiple adjustments, this association remained highly significant (\(OR=2.92 \ [1.44–5.92], p<0.005\)).

Conclusions: In Belfast (high-risk population), a significant trend was observed between insulin classes and CHD risk in bivariate analyses, but this association lost its significance after multiple adjustments. In the French centres (lower risk population), slightly increased insulin concentrations were associated with a high risk of CHD, independently of cardiovascular risk factors and other features of the metabolic syndrome, but very high insulin concentrations were not.

© 2005 Elsevier Ireland Ltd. All rights reserved.
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1. Introduction

It is commonly acknowledged that non-insulin dependent diabetes mellitus is a major CHD risk factor [1]. However, the metabolic syndrome, a clustering of cardiovascular risk factors, particularly increased blood pressure and triglycerides [TG] and decreased high density-lip-
oprotein cholesterol [HDL-C] along with increasing insulin concentrations, is typically observed in insulin-resistant subjects before the onset of diabetes mellitus [2]. Increased levels of prothrombotic factors, such as Plasminogen-Activator-Inhibitor-1 [PAI-1] [3–6], and inflammatory markers, such as C-Reactive Protein [CRP] [6,7], have also been found to be associated with hyperinsulinaemia or more frequent in subjects with insulin resistance. Hyperinsulinaemia in the context of the metabolic syndrome is supposed to occur as a compensation for insulin resistance. However, the existence of an independent association between plasma insulin levels and coronary heart disease [CHD] risk remains controversial. Plasma insulin concentrations have been shown to be independently related to CHD risk in men in several prospective studies [8–12], but some have excluded an independent association between insulin levels and CHD risk [13–15]. A meta-analysis of data from 12 articles reporting 17 prospective studies found a weak positive association between insulin concentrations and CHD risk, with a relative risk of CHD of 1.18 (95% CI: 1.08–1.29) for each 50 pmol/l (8 mIU/l) increase in fasting insulin concentration [16]. In this meta-analysis, studies based on most specific insulin assays gave higher relative risks than studies based on less specific assays which also measured insulin precursors, supporting the hypothesis of an independent association of insulin with CHD risk. Conversely, recent findings from cross-sectional [17] and prospective [18–20] studies have shown that proinsulin might be a better predictor of CHD than insulin itself. The relationships between insulin concentrations and CHD risk remain unclear, and further prospective data are needed. The objective of our work was to study the association of total insulin concentrations with CHD risk in the Prospective Epidemiological Study of Myocardial Infarction [PRIME] cohort (France and Northern Ireland) after 5 years of follow-up.

2. Population and methods

Our study was designed as a case-control nested study within the prospective PRIME Study conducted in France and Northern Ireland in order to evaluate markers of coronary risk.

2.1. The PRIME cohort

The detailed protocol of the PRIME Study has already been published elsewhere [21,22]. Briefly, the PRIME cohort comprises 10,592 men, aged 50–59 years, free of coronary heart disease at baseline, and recruited in the general population in France (Lille, Strasbourg and Toulouse) and in Northern Ireland (Belfast). Around 2500 subjects were recruited in each centre between April 1991 and January 1994. At baseline, after signing an informed consent, subjects had to fill in questionnaires (collecting data about socio-economic status, medical history and lifestyle), and had a medical examination including standardised measurements of height, weight, waist and hip circumferences and blood pressure. A plasma sample was also taken into tubes containing EDTA for each subject after a 12-h fast. Plasma samples were aliquoted within 15 min for immediate analysis (Total-cholesterol, HDL-C, TG, . . . ) or long-term storage for ulterior planned assays (insulin). Plasma for lipids analysis was sent weekly at 4 °C to the coordinating laboratory in Lille where plasma lipids were assayed by usual methods, others aliquots were stored locally at −80 °C and regularly sent to Lille. Data about incident cases of CHD were obtained by an annual follow-up performed by questionnaire. For each subject reporting a possible coronary event, details were obtained from hospitals and general practitioners and each case of CHD was validated by an independent Medical Committee. Death certificates were also used to establish details on deaths and their causes.

2.2. The nested case-control study

After 5 years of follow-up of the PRIME cohort, the nested case-control study was constructed as follows: for each incident CHD event which occurred during the follow-up, two controls were randomly selected from the participants in the PRIME cohort who remained free of CHD over the 5-year follow-up. Controls were matched for age (±3 years), recruitment centre and examination date (±3 days). Subjects with incomplete data were excluded. If a case was excluded, his two matched controls were also excluded. If the two matched controls for a case were excluded, the corresponding case was also excluded. If one of the matched controls was excluded, both the other control and the corresponding case remained in the sample. Insulin was assayed by a competitive radioimmunoassay (Sanofi-Diagnostic Pasteur, France) in cases and their matched controls using plasma samples collected at baseline and stored in liquid nitrogen. This assay cross-reacted with precursor forms of mature insulin, including proinsulin.

2.3. Variables

Body mass index [BMI] was computed as weight (kg) divided by squared height (m), and waist-to-hip ratio [WHR] as the ratio of waist and hip circumferences. Arterial hypertension and diabetes mellitus were used dichotomised (Yes/No). Arterial hypertension was defined as blood pressure ≥ 160/95 mm Hg or when the subject reported that he had had treatment for arterial hypertension. Diabetes mellitus was defined as fasting plasma glucose ≥ 7 mmol/l or when the subject reported the existence of a specific treatment for diabetes mellitus prescribed by a physician. Physical activity was studied according to three ordered classes: no physical activity or light physical activity, moderate physical activity (at least 20 min once or twice a
week), and intense physical activity (at least 20 min more than twice a week). Self-reported alcohol consumption (number of glasses, detailed for each beverage and each day within a standard week) was used to compute the quantity of pure alcohol ingested a day [g/day]. Alcohol consumption was categorised into three classes (teetotallers, mean consumption within a standard week under 40 g/day and more than 40 g/day). Smoking habits were studied according to four classes (non-smokers, 1 to 10 cigarettes per day [cig/day], 11 to 20 cig/day and more than 20 cig/day).

BMI, WHR, and biochemical variables were used in their continuous form in the analyses, except for TG and CRP which were log-transformed because of skewed distributions. Insulin’s distribution was also skewed and we found no adequate transformation. Insulin concentrations were so studied according to four ordered classes. The first class included subjects for whom insulin concentration was lower than the kit’s first calibration point (<6.5 mIU/l). The three subsequent classes were defined according to tertiles of the control group in subjects with detectable insulin concentrations (6.5 to 9.9 mIU/l, 10 to 14.9 mIU/l, and ≥15 mIU/l). No measurement of insulin sensitivity was available in our study. The definition of the metabolic syndrome recommended by the third report of the National Cholesterol

Table 1
Baseline characteristics of the subjects and their association with CHD risk

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>French centres</th>
<th>Belfast</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Tobacco consumption (%)</td>
<td>OR [95% CI]</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>(n=167)</td>
<td>(n=295)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Non-smokers</td>
<td>61.7</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1–10 cig/day</td>
<td>15.6</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>11–20 cig/day</td>
<td>15.6</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>≥20 cig/day</td>
<td>7.2</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

| Alcohol consumption (%) | OR [95% CI] | p | OR [95% CI] | p |
| Teetotallers | 13.8 | 7.8 | – | 0.0370* | 0.6217* |
| 1–39 g/day | 59.9 | 60.3 | 0.51 [0.27–0.96] | 0.0373 | 40.2 | 38.2 | 0.99 [0.60–1.63] | 0.9573 |
| ≥40 g/day | 26.4 | 31.9 | 0.42 [0.21–0.86] | 0.0167 | 17.3 | 19.5 | 0.84 [0.44–1.58] | 0.5820 |

| Physical activity (%) | OR [95% CI] | p | OR [95% CI] | p |
| None or light | 64.7 | 68.1 | – | 0.3966* | 0.4285* |
| Intense once or twice a week | 26.3 | 24.1 | 1.24 [0.78–1.97] | 0.3665 | 7.1 | 7.9 | 0.87 [0.38–1.98] | 0.8650 |
| Intense more than twice a week | 9.0 | 7.8 | 1.20 [0.60–2.39] | 0.6088 | 4.7 | 2.1 | 2.13 [0.64–7.04] | 0.2162 |

| Body mass index (kg/m²) | 27.6 [3.7] | 26.7 [3.5] | 1.07 [1.01–1.13] | 0.0884 | 26.9 [3.3] | 26.4 [3.4] | 1.05 [0.99–1.13] | 0.1130 |
| Waist-to-hip ratio | 0.98 [0.06] | 0.97 [0.06] | 1.50 [1.04–2.15] | 0.0288 | 0.96 [0.06] | 0.99 [0.06] | 1.70 [1.15–2.54] | 0.0087 |

| Arterial hypertension (%) | No | 73.5 | 85.0 | 0.87 [0.38–1.98] | 0.8650 |
| Yes | 26.5 | 15.0 | 2.42 [1.40–4.20] | 0.0016 | 26.8 | 19.5 | 1.47 [0.90–2.40] | 0.1266 |

| Diabetes mellitus (%) | No | 88.9 | 95.2 | – | 93.7 | 97.5 | – |
| Yes | 10.2 | 4.8 | 2.35 [1.05–5.23] | 0.0371 | 6.3 | 2.5 | 2.55 [0.88–7.36] | 0.0846 |

| Fasting plasma glucose (mmol/l) | 1.70 [0.26] | 1.65 [0.19] | 2.77 [1.09–7.04] | 0.0327 | 1.67 [0.20] | 1.64 [0.15] | 2.55 [0.75–8.68] | 0.1332 |
| Triglycerides (mmol/l) | 5.19 | 5.14 | – | 0.0384 | 0.63 [0.52] | 0.55 [0.55] | 1.37 [0.90–2.08] | 0.1451 |

| Total cholesterol (mmol/l) | 5.97 [0.90] | 5.62 [0.98] | 1.44 [1.17–1.77] | 0.0005 | 6.13 [1.11] | 5.94 [1.02] | 1.18 [0.96–1.46] | 0.1158 |
| HDL-Cholesterol (mmol/l) | 1.18 [0.37] | 1.28 [0.34] | 0.92 [0.86–0.97] | 0.0037 | 1.08 [0.26] | 1.15 [0.28] | 0.90 [0.82–0.98] | 0.0143 |
| C-Reactive protein (ng/ml) | 0.52 [1.15] | 0.12 [1.03] | 1.44 [1.19–1.74] | 0.0002 | 0.91 [1.17] | 0.53 [1.02] | 1.41 [1.15–1.74] | 0.0012 |

| Metabolic syndrome (%) | No | 70.6 | 77.3 | – | 69.3 | 77.6 | – |
| Yes | 29.9 | 22.7 | 1.42 [0.91–2.22] | 0.1193 | 30.7 | 22.4 | 1.57 [0.96–2.57] | 0.0752 |

| Insulin (mIU/l) (%) | <6.5 | 26.3 | 37.3 | – | 0.4895* | 0.0293* |
| ≥6.5–9.9 | 34.1 | 18.3 | 3.24 [1.80–5.85] | <0.0001 | 21.3 | 22.8 | 1.36 [0.69–2.69] | 0.3711 |
| 10.0–14.9 | 17.4 | 23.0 | 1.23 [0.69–2.20] | 0.4886 | 25.2 | 22.8 | 1.63 [0.86–3.11] | 0.1364 |
| ≥15 | 22.2 | 21.4 | 1.69 [0.93–3.06] | 0.0826 | 35.4 | 27.8 | 2.00 [1.05–3.83] | 0.0356 |

Odds-Ratio for continuous variables are given for an increase of one unit, except for WHR and HDL-C:0.1 unit.

* Mean [Standard Error].

b Log-transformed (median of the original variable precised below).

c According to NCEP’s definition.

* p for trend.
Education Program-Adult Treatment Panel III [NCEP-ATPIII][23] was used as an index of the presence or absence of a metabolic syndrome. Thus, a metabolic syndrome was considered as present if three of the five following conditions were met: Waist girth >102 mm, HDL-C <1.03 mmol/l, TG ≥1.69 mmol/l, fasting blood glucose ≥6.1 mmol/l and blood pressure ≥130/85 mm Hg.

2.4. Statistical analysis

Statistical analyses were performed using SAS v8.2 (SAS Institute, Cary, NC, USA). Associations of classes of insulin concentrations with the characteristics of the subjects were tested using the $\chi^2$ test for categorical data and Student’s $t$-tests for continuous data. For multivariate analyses, a conditional logistic regression model was used to assess the risk of CHD associated with concentrations at baseline of insulin or with covariates. This conditional logistic regression model enabled us to estimate Odds-Ratios and their 95% confidence intervals taking the matching into account. For bivariate analyses, crude ORs and their 95% CI were obtained by including only the variable concerned in the model.

3. Results

The 5-year follow-up was completed for 98.6% of the French participants and for 99.2% of the Northern-Ireland participants. During these 5 years, 335 cases of CHD (175 fatal or non-fatal myocardial infarction and 160 angina pectoris) occurred, leading to a sample composed of 1005 subjects (335 cases and 670 matched controls) for our nested case-control study. After exclusion of 175 subjects because of incomplete data, the final analyses were performed on a sample composed of 830 subjects: 294 cases and 536 controls, corresponding to 242 groups of two matched subjects (1 case and 1 control), three matched subjects (1 case and 2 controls), and 52 cases and 536 controls, corresponding to 242 groups of three matched subjects (1 case and 2 controls), and 52 groups of two matched subjects (1 case and 1 control).

Forty two percent of the cases occurred in Belfast, 22.6% in Toulouse, 18.8% in Strasbourg and 16.6% in Lille. Baseline characteristics of the subjects and their associations with CHD risk are summarised in Table 1. In bivariate analyses, CHD risk increased with tobacco consumption, WHR and CRP, and decreased with HDL-C in both the French centres and the Belfast centre. CHD risk was also significantly associated with arterial hypertension, diabetes mellitus, TG and total cholesterol only in the French centres. The same tendency was observed in subjects from the Belfast centre, but the differences were not statistically significant. The proportion of subjects with a metabolic syndrome as defined by the NCEP-ATPIII tended to be higher in cases than in controls, but the difference was not significant neither in Belfast nor in French centres.

When the whole sample was considered, the relationship between insulin levels and CHD risk was not linear and was different according to the recruitment centre (Fig. 1). As expected, CHD risk increased directly with total insulin concentrations in subjects recruited in Belfast ($p$ for trend: <0.03). In this centre, the CHD risk associated with the highest class of insulin concentration was significantly increased, compared with the lowest class (OR [95%CI]= 2.00 [1.05–3.83], $p<0.04$). By contrast, in the French centres, an increased CHD risk was observed only for the second tier of insulin concentration: 6.5 to 9.9 mIU/l, compared with the reference class (<6.5 mIU/l). This relationship was observed in each of the three French centres, and when data from these three centres were pooled, ORs [95% CIs] were 3.24 [1.80–5.85] ($p<0.0001$), 1.23 [0.69–2.20] ($p=0.49$) and 1.69 [0.93–3.06] ($p=0.09$) respectively for the second, the third and the fourth tiers compared with the reference tier (<6.5 mIU/l).

Table 2 summarises the association of tiers of insulin concentration with obesity indices, plasma lipids, fasting plasma glucose, and CRP in the French and in the Belfast centres. The distributions of these parameters among tiers of insulin levels did not differ by centre. BMI, WHR, TG, fasting plasma glucose and CRP increased, and HDL-C decreased, regularly with insulin concentration. The frequencies of the metabolic syndrome, arterial hypertension and diabetes mellitus also increased directly with insulin levels both in Belfast and in the French centres. The proportions of subjects with a metabolic syndrome in the whole sample were respectively 8.3%, 10.9%, 24.5% and 58.5% across the insulin tiers <6.5, 6.5 to 9.9, 10.0 to 14.9 and ≥15 mIU/l ($\chi^2=181.7$, $p<0.0001$), and this much

![Fig. 1. Insulin and CHD risk according to recruitment centre—Crude Odds-Ratios and 95% confidence intervals.](image-url)
higher proportion of subjects with a metabolic syndrome in the highest tier of insulin concentration was observed in both the Belfast and the French centres. In the same way, the proportions of diabetic subjects in the whole sample were respectively 1.2%, 2.1%, 4.9% and 13.7% across the insulin tiers ($\chi^2=40.9$, $p<0.0001$). Thus, in the French centres, subjects in the second tier of insulin concentration did not appear to have a particularly unfavourable profile, in relation to all these factors.

In order to study the relationship between insulin concentrations and CHD risk after adjustment for confounding factors, two different models were computed: one each for the French and the Belfast centres (Table 3). For the French centres, in this conditional logistic regression model taking into account the matching for age and date of recruitment, the second class of insulin concentration (6.5 to 9.9 mIU/l) remained significant and independently predictive of CHD risk after 5 years of follow-up after adjustment for confounding factors and others features of the metabolic syndrome. Conversely, the CHD risk associated with the highest tier of insulin concentration ($\geq$15 mIU/l), which was 1.69 [0.93–3.06] in bivariate analyses, was profoundly attenuated by multiple adjustments. Replacing WHR by BMI in the model did not change the results and computing this model after exclusion of subjects who were diabetics at baseline did not change things. Likewise, adjusting for the presence or absence of a NCEP defined metabolic syndrome instead of its components (WHR, HDL-C, TG, diabetes mellitus and arterial hypertension) did not change the results. First degree interactions were tested but none was significant. For the Belfast centre, after adjustment on the same set of covariates, insulin concentrations lost their association with CHD risk ($p$ for trend: 0.24).

### Table 2
Plasma lipids, fasting plasma glucose and indexes of obesity and inflammation according to classes of insulin concentration at baseline in the whole sample

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>French centres</th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th>Perth</th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>&lt;6.5</td>
<td>6.5–9.9</td>
<td>10.0–14.9</td>
<td>$\geq$15</td>
<td>$p$</td>
<td>&lt;6.5</td>
<td>6.5–9.9</td>
<td>10.0–14.9</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>BMI (kg/m²)</td>
<td>25.5 [0.26]</td>
<td>26.3 [0.31]</td>
<td>27.3 [0.33]</td>
<td>29.9 [0.32]</td>
<td>$&lt;0.0001$</td>
<td>24.8 [0.32]</td>
<td>25.5 [0.33]</td>
<td>26.3 [0.32]</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>WHR</td>
<td>0.94 [0.01]</td>
<td>0.97 [0.01]</td>
<td>0.98 [0.01]</td>
<td>1.01 [0.01]</td>
<td>$&lt;0.0001$</td>
<td>0.93 [0.01]</td>
<td>0.93 [0.01]</td>
<td>0.94 [0.01]</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Total cholesterol (mmol/l)</td>
<td>5.67 [0.08]</td>
<td>5.79 [0.09]</td>
<td>5.79 [0.10]</td>
<td>5.78 [0.10]</td>
<td>0.6593</td>
<td>5.92 [0.11]</td>
<td>5.72 [0.11]</td>
<td>6.19 [0.11]</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>HDL-C (mmol/l)</td>
<td>1.35 [0.03]</td>
<td>1.29 [0.03]</td>
<td>1.21 [0.03]</td>
<td>1.05 [0.03]</td>
<td>$&lt;0.0001$</td>
<td>1.28 [0.03]</td>
<td>1.12 [0.03]</td>
<td>1.11 [0.03]</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Triglycerides</td>
<td>0.16 [0.04]</td>
<td>0.28 [0.04]</td>
<td>0.44 [0.04]</td>
<td>0.74 [0.04]</td>
<td>$&lt;0.0001$</td>
<td>0.25 [0.05]</td>
<td>0.37 [0.05]</td>
<td>0.68 [0.05]</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>FPG (mmol/l)</td>
<td>5.07 [0.02]</td>
<td>4.96 [0.02]</td>
<td>5.15 [0.02]</td>
<td>5.66 [0.02]</td>
<td>$&lt;0.0001$</td>
<td>1.61 [0.02]</td>
<td>1.60 [0.02]</td>
<td>1.64 [0.02]</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>CRP (ng/ml)</td>
<td>0.13 [0.09]</td>
<td>0.17 [0.10]</td>
<td>0.32 [0.11]</td>
<td>0.51 [0.11]</td>
<td>0.0348</td>
<td>0.49 [0.11]</td>
<td>0.44 [0.12]</td>
<td>0.65 [0.11]</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<p>| | | | | | | | | | |</p>
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>a Matched for age and date of recruitment, and adjusted for smoking, arterial hypertension, diabetes mellitus, WHR, total cholesterol, HDL-C, TG and CRP. ** $p$ for trend.</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
Typical case-control studies have some limitations, and in the case of possibly fatal diseases like CHD, there is particularly a risk of a survival bias because fatal cases are inevitably absent of the sample. Prospective studies are less susceptible to these bias but require to follow and obtain measurements in a very large sample to observe enough cases. The design we adopted, a case-control study nested within the PRIME cohort including more than 10,000 subjects, is a reasonable compromise.

We found a differential relationship between insulin concentrations and CHD risk in Belfast and in French centres. Differences in multicentric international studies may be attributed to differences in the way to obtain measurements. However, the questionnaires used to collect data, the methods and materials used to obtain clinical measurements or the way to ascertain cases of CHD were strictly identical in all centres participating in the PRIME study. Furthermore, all dosages were centralised in the coordinating laboratory in Lille and all frozen samples were stored in the same conditions.

In this study, insulin was measured using plasma samples which had been stored in liquid nitrogen for several years. However, a comparison of this measurement with the value of insulin concentrations obtained at baseline in a subgroup of subjects showed that long-term storage did not affect the results of insulin concentrations measurements (data not shown).

The biological assay that we used enabled the measurement of total insulin, including both mature insulin and immunologically related precursors like proinsulin. Recent findings [18–20] have shown a stronger association of CHD incidence with proinsulin than with insulin and, in experimental studies, proinsulin was found to stimulate PAI-1 expression by endothelial cells [24,25]. Conversely, Festa et al. [26] have shown, in a large population, that both insulin and proinsulin were associated with PAI-1, and these data did not provide evidence that the association of PAI-1 with proinsulin was stronger than its association with bioactive insulin. Furthermore, in a meta-analysis of prospective studies on insulin and CHD risk [16], Ruige et al. have shown that higher relative risks were found in studies based on more specific insulin assays than in studies based on less specific ones (cross-reacting with proinsulin like molecules). So, although the findings reported about proinsulin and CHD risk are convincing, those previous studies do support the hypothesis of the existence of an association of CHD risk with insulin itself.

Negative results have been reported from some prospective studies, stressing that no independent association exists between insulin levels and CHD risk. In a nested case-control study of participants in the MRFIT study [14], conducted in a large high-risk population, insulin concentrations were not associated with CHD risk. Welin et al. in Sweden [13] and Ferrara et al. in the United States [15] also found no independent association of insulin levels with CHD incidence, but these studies were conducted in older populations than ours. Haffner [27] suggested that this absence of association in elderly men could be attributable to the fact that subjects with hyperinsulinaemia might be more likely to have fatal CHD in middle ages and so might be absent in elderly populations.

The linear association of insulin concentrations with CHD risk, suggesting a high risk associated with hyperinsulinaemia, that we found in the Belfast centre in bivariate analyses is consistent with the previous findings from prospective studies in middle-aged men [8–11]. However, in PRIME, this relationship was no longer significant after adjustment for features of the metabolic syndrome. Features of the metabolic syndrome, such as increased frequency of arterial hypertension, increased WHR and TG, and decreased HDL-C, were actually more frequent in subjects with hyperinsulinaemia in our study, and this might explain the lack of association between hyperinsulinaemia and CHD risk after adjustment for these factors in subjects from Belfast. However, the estimation of the OR for this tier of insulin was not severely reduced by multiple adjustments and this negative result might also be explained by a lack of power.

Conversely, in the French centres, the estimation of the OR for this same highest insulin class was barely weakened when features of the metabolic syndrome were taken into account, suggesting that the higher CHD risk of subjects with hyperinsulinaemia may be largely explained by a higher frequency of the components of the metabolic syndrome (increased blood pressure, TG, WHR, decreased HDL-C).

The high risk of CHD we observed in subjects of the second class of insulin concentration (6.5 to 9.9 mmol/l) in the French centres has never been described in the literature. However, a comparable relationship was observed between fasting insulin concentration and stroke incidence in the Helsinki Policemen’s Study [28]. In that study, a significant trend for an association of fasting insulin concentrations with age-adjusted stroke incidence was described, but stroke incidence appeared to be slightly higher in the second quintile (almost 8%) than in the first (less than 4%) or the third (less than 6%) ones. We still have no clear explanation regarding the cardiovascular risk associated with this particular insulin tier, but different explanations are possible. Insulin secretion is known to increase in insulin-resistant subjects to control glycaemia, but it is also recognised that this hyperinsulinaemia can later fail to compensate for insulin resistance [29,30]. The onset of hyperglycaemia is associated with a decreasing insulin secretion by β cells [31]. Glucotoxicity [32,33], aggravated by lipotoxicity, related to free fatty acids as a component of the insulin resistance syndrome [33,34], on genetically predisposed β cells are possible explanations for a decrease in insulin secretion. This hypothesis of an evolving insulin resistance, no longer compensated by an adaptive increase in insulin secretion, might be one explanation for a higher CHD risk in subjects with slightly increased insulin
concentrations. However, this hypothesis of a decrease of insulin secretion in subjects with insulin resistance for a long time is not supported by the facts that, in our study, the frequencies of the components of the insulin resistance syndrome such as arterial hypertension, diabetes mellitus, obesity, increased TG or decreased HDLC, increased directly across the tiers of insulin concentration and that subjects of the second tier of insulin concentrations did not have a particularly adverse profile regarding these factors.

Another possible and more likely explanation for the higher risk of subjects with only slightly increased fasting insulin concentrations may be that the adaptive increased insulin secretion was not sufficient for these subjects, in the context of a beginning “sub-clinical” insulin resistance; and this surprising result might be partly attributable to the prospective design of our study: all data from questionnaires, clinical or biological measurements were only obtained at baseline and we have no data about the evolution of these parameters during the follow-up. Thus, contrary to subjects with hyperinsulinaemia at the beginning of the study, for whom insulin secretion might have been too elevated at baseline, subjects with an insulin concentration in the second tier at baseline might have been exposed during the follow-up to a relative lack of insulin in the context of a beginning “sub-clinical” insulin resistance. Unable to set up an accurate compensatory hyperinsulinaemia, these subjects might have developed an adverse risk profile earlier during the follow-up than insulin-resistant subjects for whom the compensatory hyperinsulinaemia was accurate at baseline.

In conclusion, we found an association between insulin concentrations and CHD incidence but this association differed between the French and the Belfast centres. In the high-risk population of Belfast, CHD incidence increased directly with insulin levels, but this association was no longer significant in multivariate analyses. In the French centres, subjects with insulin concentrations ranging between 6.5 and 9.9 mIU/l were at high risk of CHD, independently of confounding factors or features of the metabolic syndrome. This result may reflect that, in a low-risk population, this high CHD risk insulin concentration tier might include subjects with only slightly increased fasting insulin levels and for whom insulin secretion might be insufficient to compensate for insulin resistance.
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