

## The contribution of urban green and blue spaces to the United Nation's Sustainable Development Goals: an evidence gap map

Tate, C., Wang, R., Akaraci, S., Burns, C., Garcia, L., Clarke, M., & Hunter, R. (2024). The contribution of urban green and blue spaces to the United Nation's Sustainable Development Goals: an evidence gap map. Cities, 145, Article 104706. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.cities.2023.104706

### Published in:

Cities

**Document Version:** Publisher's PDF, also known as Version of record

### Queen's University Belfast - Research Portal:

Link to publication record in Queen's University Belfast Research Portal

Publisher rights Copyright 2023 The Authors.

This is an open access article published under a Creative Commons Attribution License (https://creativecommons.org/licenses/by/4.0/), which permits unrestricted use, distribution and reproduction in any medium, provided the author and source are cited.

### General rights

Copyright for the publications made accessible via the Queen's University Belfast Research Portal is retained by the author(s) and / or other copyright owners and it is a condition of accessing these publications that users recognise and abide by the legal requirements associated with these rights.

### Take down policy

The Research Portal is Queen's institutional repository that provides access to Queen's research output. Every effort has been made to ensure that content in the Research Portal does not infringe any person's rights, or applicable UK laws. If you discover content in the Research Portal that you believe breaches copyright or violates any law, please contact openaccess@qub.ac.uk.

### **Open Access**

This research has been made openly available by Queen's academics and its Open Research team. We would love to hear how access to this research benefits you. - Share your feedback with us: http://go.qub.ac.uk/oa-feedback

ELSEVIER



### Cities



journal homepage: www.elsevier.com/locate/cities

### The contribution of urban green and blue spaces to the United Nation's Sustainable Development Goals: An evidence gap map



Christopher Tate<sup>\*</sup>, Ruoyu Wang, Selin Akaraci, Catherine Burns, Leandro Garcia, Mike Clarke, Ruth Hunter<sup>\*</sup>

Centre for Public Health, Institute of Clinical Sciences Block B, Queen's University Belfast, Royal Victoria Hospital, Grosvenor Road, Belfast BT12 6BA, United Kingdom

#### ARTICLE INFO

Keywords: Urban green and blue space Sustainable Development Goals Evidence gap map

### ABSTRACT

Urban green and blue spaces (UGBS) have the potential to make a significant contribution to the United Nation's Sustainable Development Goals (SDGs). Research shows the need for UGBS to mitigate the adverse environmental impacts of urbanisation and provide equitable access to resources that reduce social and health inequalities. However, no previous review has attempted to consolidate this evidence within the context of the SDGs. The aim of this study was to review the evidence pertaining to the role of UGBS in achieving the SDGs and identify important knowledge gaps. Using systematic review methods, we developed an evidence gap map of the literature that explores the role of UGBS in the achievement of the SDGs. Five databases (Scopus, MEDLINE, PubMed, EMBASE, and GreenFILE) were searched for studies published since 2015 that investigated at least one outcome that corresponded to the SDGs. Following screening, study characteristics were extracted, and the data were imported into EPPI-Mapper to create the interactive evidence gap map. In total n = 1872 studies were identified. Following screening, n = 181 eligible studies were included in the evidence synthesis. The majority of studies focused on the impact of UGBS on health and wellbeing (SDG3; n = 115), pollution, and urban heat island effects (SDG11 and SDG13; n = 73 and n = 46, respectively). SDGs that were not addressed by the studies included SDG5 (gender equality), SDG9 (industry, innovation and infrastructure), SDG12 (responsible consumptions and production), SDG14 (life below water) and SDG17 (partnership for the goals). In addition, there was a relative lack of studies conducted in low- and middle-income countries. Theoretically, UGBS could contribute to 15 of the 17 SDGs. More research is needed to address the evidence gaps towards SDGs 5,9, and 12. Related research in low- and middle-income countries must also be accelerated and more research is needed that assesses the multifunctional benefits of UGBS, drawing explicit links between UGBS and the SDGs.

### 1. Introduction

As a growing proportion of the global population now lives in cities, and urban land cover is projected to triple between 2000 and 2030 (Seto et al., 2012), it is crucial that the urban environment is improved to support a higher quality of life. Urban green and blue spaces (UGBS; e.g., parks, greenway paths, forests, lakes) are components of urban ecosystems with the potential to improve population health and wellbeing (Gascon et al., 2016; Nieuwenhuijsen et al., 2017; van den Bosch & Ode Sang, 2017), while reducing preventable mortality burden in towns and cities (Barboza et al., 2021; Hartig et al., 2014) and providing a range of social, environmental and economic co-benefits (Haq, 2011; Heidt & Neef, 2008; Hunter et al., 2019). UGBS can also offset the detrimental impacts of rapid urbanisation such as increased noise, air pollution and urban heat island (UHI) effects (Markevych et al., 2017; Nieuwenhuijsen et al., 2017).

The United Nations (UN) launched the Sustainable Development Goals (SDGs) in 2015, transitioning from the previous Millennium Development Goals (United Nations General Assembly, 2015). The SDGs are 17 defined goals, sub-divided into 169 targets, which are further sub-

https://doi.org/10.1016/j.cities.2023.104706

Received 18 October 2022; Received in revised form 21 November 2023; Accepted 24 November 2023 Available online 6 December 2023

0264-2751/© 2023 The Author(s). Published by Elsevier Ltd. This is an open access article under the CC BY license (http://creativecommons.org/licenses/by/4.0/).

Abbreviations: EGM, evidence gap map; GAPPA, Global Action Plan on Physical Activity; LMIC, low- and middle-income country; NCD, non-communicable disease; PA, physical activity; SDG, sustainable development goal; UGBS, urban green and blue spaces; UHI, urban heat island; UN, United Nations; WHO, World Health Organisation.

<sup>\*</sup> Corresponding authors at: Centre for Public Health, School of Medicine, Dentistry and Biomedical Sciences, Institute of Clinical Science B, Royal Victoria Hospital, Grosvenor Road, Belfast BT12 6BA, United Kingdom.

E-mail addresses: ctate06@qub.ac.uk (C. Tate), ruth.hunter@qub.ac.uk (R. Hunter).

divided into 231 indicators. As part of the 2030 Agenda for Sustainable Development, the UN General Assembly acknowledged the significance of sustainable urban development and the need to limit the environmental impact of cities. In addition, the UN set out its goal to minimise the negative impacts of urban activities on human health. The World Health Organisation (WHO) recognised the capacity for UGBS to reduce the environmental health risks of living in urban settings and provide diverse, long-term public health benefits (World Health Organization, 2017).

Given the magnitude and scale of urbanisation since the early 2000s, urban ecosystems need to be improved to ensure that, above all, they are sustainable, resilient and can support a higher quality of life for their growing populations (Capon, 2017). Investing in high-quality, equitable UGBS has the potential to make a significant contribution to the SDGs, and represents an invaluable resource for delivering sustainable urban development. This is underscored by SDG 11.7, which states: "...provide universal access to safe, inclusive and accessible, green and public spaces". However, the evidence linking UGBS to the SDGs is scarce.

The potential health and wellbeing benefits conferred by UGBS are well documented. For example, green spaces offer outdoor settings that can be used for exercise (Bedimo-Rung et al., 2005; Brown et al., 2014; Coombes et al., 2010; James et al., 2015; Schipperijn et al., 2013), reducing cardiovascular morbidity and mortality (Gascon et al., 2016; Mitchell & Popham, 2008), respiratory disease (Villeneuve et al., 2012), obesity (Lachowycz & Jones, 2011) and risk for type 2 diabetes (Bodicoat et al., 2014; De la Fuente et al., 2020), thus contributing directly to SDG 3.4: "...reduce by one third premature mortality from noncommunicable diseases through prevention and treatment and promote mental health and well-being". In addition, health inequalities can be reduced by providing equitable access to UGBS (Mitchell & Popham, 2008) which, in turn, can be protective against a range of risk factors for ill health that disproportionately affect disadvantaged populations, such as low levels of exercise (Murakami et al., 2011; Murray et al., 2012), cardiovascular disease (Sommer et al., 2015), stress (Algren et al., 2018; Lazzarino et al., 2013), and air pollution (Forastiere et al., 2007).

Air pollution poses a significant risk to the health of urban populations (Cohen et al., 2017; Khomenko et al., 2021). Multiple studies have demonstrated how UGBSs can mitigate against the risk of exposure to high concentrations of ambient particulate matter and other forms of air pollution (Jaafari et al., 2020; Jim & Chen, 2008; Nowak et al., 2006; Y. Sun et al., 2019; Zhao et al., 2021; Zhu & Zeng, 2018). For example, UGBS act as 'carbon sinks', capable of capturing atmospheric CO<sub>2</sub> and other greenhouse gases (Nero et al., 2017; Shadman et al., 2022); tree canopies can disrupt the flow and movement of particulate matter (Salmond et al., 2013); while plants and shrubbery are able to capture fine particles (Shackleton et al., 2010). These effects have important relevance for SDG 11.6: "...reduce the adverse per capita environmental impact of cities, including by paying special attention to air quality and municipal and other waste management".

The environmental hazards, and concomitant health risks brought about by urbanisation are being compounded by an increase in ambient temperatures or UHI effects in cities (Tong et al., 2021; Xu et al., 2014). UHI refers to the increase in air and surface temperature that stems from the spatial and physical characteristics of cities such as a higher proportion of impervious surfaces, low levels of vegetation and water cover, and the presence of heat-trapping street canyons (Grimm et al., 2008). Combined, these factors can increase heat stress (J. Tan et al., 2010) and exposure to extreme temperatures which contributes to heat-related morbidity and mortality (Patz et al., 2005). Higher temperatures also increase energy consumption in cities, as more people rely on air conditioning (Santamouris et al., 2015) to negate the warmth. To offset this, UGBS provides vegetation cover that promotes evapotranspiration (Oiu et al., 2017), which creates a cooling effect by intercepting solar radiation (Oke, 1989), and shading that further reduces temperatures (Bowler et al., 2010). This also helps to reduce energy consumption (supporting SDG 7.3 to "double the global rate of improvement in

energy efficiency"), particularly during warmer periods (Akbari et al., 2001), and stabilise fluctuations in ambient air temperature (C. Yu & Hien, 2006). Additional environmental benefits of UGBS include: flood risk reduction (Bai et al., 2018); storm water management (Hunter et al., 2019); improved biodiversity (Ahern, 2013; Aida et al., 2016); and habitat provision for wildlife (Felappi et al., 2020; Jim, 2004). Consequently, UGBS can not only ameliorate the short-term impacts of climate change, but they also help to "strengthen resilience and adaptive capacity to climate-related hazards and natural disasters..." (SDG 13.1) and "ensure the conservation, restoration and sustainable use of terrestrial and inland freshwater ecosystems..." (SDG 15.1).

In addition to the environmental benefits, there is both social and economic value in UGBS. For example, UGBS can promote greater social cohesion within communities (Jennings & Bamkole, 2019; Wan et al., 2021), as well as social support mechanisms (Fan et al., 2011), which improve residents' sense of belonging and neighbourhood satisfaction, leading to better mental health (Callaghan et al., 2021; K. Chen et al., 2021). Furthermore, studies have reported reduced incidences of criminal and antisocial behaviour linked to improved access to UGBS (Bogar & Beyer, 2016) which directly contributes to SDG 16.1.4: "Proportion of population that feel safe walking alone around the area they live". Thus, UGBS help to engender more positive overall perceptions of the social environment among urban residents which, in addition to healthpromoting effects, can foster "collective efficacy" (Sampson et al., 1997), improve quality of place (Hunter et al., 2020; Mason, 2010), and increase both residential property values (Daams et al., 2019; J. Wu et al., 2015).

Studies have also investigated the role of UGBS in promoting tourism (Hunter et al., 2020; Nesbitt et al., 2017), and creating more enriching experiences for tourists that complement other popular attractions in cities (Deng et al., 2010). Accordingly, UGBS are an important resource to advance SDG 8.9: "...devise and implement policies to promote sustainable tourism that creates jobs and promotes local culture and products". The economic value of UGBS extends to its educational benefits and therefore SDG 4.6: "...ensure that all youth and a substantial proportion of adults, both men and women, achieve literacy and numeracy". Studies have documented a positive relationship between access to UGBS and educational achievement (C.-D. Wu et al., 2014) and cognitive functioning (Dadvand et al., 2015; Schutte et al., 2017). Additionally, the presence of green spaces in urban settings has been shown to reduce the risk of developing psychiatric disorders between childhood and adulthood (Engemann et al., 2019), thereby contributing to SDG 4.2.1: "Proportion of children aged 24-59 months who are developmentally on track in health, learning and psychosocial wellbeing.".

It is worth noting that these facets of UGBS are relatively underexplored in the literature when compared to other benefits that encompass the environmental and health considerations of urban settings. As such, there is lack of research that makes a strong argument for UGBS as an instrument to improve the social and economic outcomes of urban populations and act as a countermeasure against widening inequalities. This gap in the literature is even more conspicuous in LMICs, where the number of studies examining the economic and social benefits of UGBS is very low. Equally, there are few studies that target the intersection of urban nature and cultural adaptation, which holds particular relevance for marginalised population subgroups such as female migrants (Ono et al., 2023).

To our knowledge, this is the first review that consolidates the evidence pertaining to the role of UGBS in achieving the SDGs in low-, middle-, and high-income countries. The objectives of this review were to: i) to create an evidence gap map (EGM) illustrating contribution of UGBS towards the SDGs identified from both primary studies and systematic reviews; ii) identify gaps in the existing body of evidence; and iii) consider how the current distribution of evidence reflects research priorities in this area and creates opportunities for future research to explore.

### 2. Methods

Evidence Gap Maps (EGMs) are a method of evidence synthesis, similar to evidence mapping. We followed the guidelines by Snilstveit et al. (Snilstveit et al., 2016) and White et al. (White et al., 2020) to conduct this EGM. Our approach was divided into six steps shown in Table S1 in the Appendix (Supplementary File 1). The EGM was supported by methods of evidence synthesis underpinned by a systematic methodology to improve reliability and reproducibility.

We operationalised urban green spaces to include publicly accessible designated areas in urban settings with a high percentage of vegetative ground cover that represents a site of increased natural representation and/or recreational value (Schipperijn et al., 2013). Examples of green spaces include parks, gardens, community farms, greenway paths, greened vacant lots, and urban forests (Kabisch & Haase, 2013). For the purposes of this study we excluded sports and leisure facilities (e.g., tennis courts, athletics tracks, football stadiums) due to the presence of a high volume of impervious surfaces. Green infrastructure including roadside greenery, green roofs and vertical greenery systems were included under our definition of UGS, in line with the WHO (World Health Organization, 2017). Urban blue spaces were operationalised to include all natural and manmade surface water in urban environments (Smith et al., 2021). Examples of blue spaces include coasts, rivers, lakes, canals, ponds, and fountains (Smith et al., 2021). Given the potential overlap between urban green spaces and urban blue spaces, we adopted an overarching definition of "urban green and blue spaces" that included publicly accessible areas that incorporate both green (e.g., vegetative cover) and blue (e.g., lakes) elements.

### 2.1. Developing the outcome framework and linking study outcomes to the SDGs

The outcome framework was based on the UN SDGs and is included in Table S2 in the Appendix (Supplementary File 1). Each SDG is comprised of three parts: the goal, its targets, and various indicators. During data extraction, we recorded each study's main objectives as well as the outcomes it assessed. Study outcomes were then linked to each SDG by manually searching the outcome framework to identify the corresponding indicator. For example, if a study stated that its objective was to investigate the role of an urban park in reducing ambient particulate matter concentrations, the SDG framework was searched to identify: first, the relevant SDG (i.e., SDG11: Make cities and human settlements inclusive, safe, resilient and sustainable); second, the relevant SDG target that references air quality (i.e., SDG11.6: By 2030, reduce the adverse per capita environmental impact of cities, including by paying special attention to air quality and municipal and other waste management); and third, where applicable, the specific SDG indicator that directly alludes to the outcome in question (i.e., SDG11.6.2: Annual mean levels of fine particulate matter (e.g. PM2.5 and PM10) in cities). In instances where a study outcome did not correspond to an SDG indicator, it was linked to the most relevant SDG target.

### 2.2. Study inclusion criteria

The inclusion and exclusion criteria (shown in Table 1) were developed in consultation with academic researchers from public health, environmental science, complexity science, and planetary health to accurately define the scope of the study, ensure research prioritisation, and to refine the research protocol in order to minimise any potential limitations.

Definitions of each intervention type are included in Table 2.

### 2.3. Study search

Five databases (Scopus, Medline, PubMed, Embase, and GreenFILE) were searched in March 2022 to identify studies that examined the role

### Table 1

Inclusion and exclusion criteria.

Inclusion criteria	Exclusion criteria
Studies must investigate the role of UGBS in achieving outcomes that corresponded to at least one of the 17 SDGs.	Studies assessing populations with pre- existing clinical diagnoses.
Studies must be published from 2015 onwards (when the SDGs were introduced).	Dissertations, theses, letters, comments and narrative/qualitative reviews.
Studies must address the contribution to the SDGs of existing UGBS or interventions that include <sup>1</sup> :	Studies that do not explicitly invoke outcomes that correspond to any of the SDGs within the context of their
i) the introduction of a new UGBS.	investigation.
ii) the modification of existing UGBS.	
<li>iii) establishment of programmes catered towards increasing the utilisation of UGBS.</li>	
Eligible study designs include:	
i) Longitudinal and cross-sectional studies.	
ii) Observational and experimental study designs (e.g., natural experiments).	
iii) Systematic reviews and meta- analyses.	
Studies must be published in English.	

#### Table 2

Operationalisation of UGBS intervention types.

Existing UGBS	Pre-existing urban green or blue spaces that were established at the time of the study.
Introduction of new UGBS	The intervention primarily involves the introduction of a new urban green or blue space to an area. Examples include the creation of a new park facility or new greenway path.
Modification of existing UGBS	The intervention primarily involves the modification of a pre- existing urban green or blue space to an area. Examples include the addition of a community garden or skate park to a local greenspace.
Establishment of programmes that primarily involve increased utilisation of UGBS	The intervention primarily involves the establishment of new programmes within an urban green or blue space. Examples include the introduction of a community sports programme or a new play group for children within a local green space. UGBS can be promoted in various ways:
	<ul> <li>Websites, improved and more accessible signage, and brochures;</li> <li>Facilitated activities and public events including family-based events, sports days, festivals, and markets;</li> <li>Small group activities such as guided walks or outdoor exercise classes;</li> <li>Engagement with local celebrities and other public figures to promote UGBS and engage the local organisations or societies to help with maintenance and promotion of the UGBS.</li> </ul>

of UGBS in the achievement of at least one of the SDGs. Multiple combinations of the search terms urban green space, urban blue space, and SDG-specific terms (see Tables S3 and S4 in the Appendix [Supplementary File 1]), including other associated terms, were used across all the databases. Exploded terms were used to elicit potential entries using narrower subject terms associated with the subject heading. Reference management software (Mendeley) was used to systematically remove duplicated literature.

### 2.4. Screening and selecting studies

Title and abstract screening were performed by one researcher to identify papers that met the aforementioned eligibility criteria. Full text articles that were deemed eligible during title and abstract screening were subsequently reviewed by two researchers independently to ascertain their eligibility for inclusion in the final analysis.

### 2.5. Data extraction

The data were extracted by two researchers independently and included relevant SDG(s), author(s), year of publication, study design, country, country income level (based on World Bank classifications (The World Bank, 2023)), population subgroup(s), UGBS type (e.g., UGS, UBS, or combination), UGBS intervention (e.g., introduction of new UGBS, modification of existing UGBS), study aims, and main findings. We developed and refined data extraction coding tools using an online systematic review application (EPPI-Reviewer V.4) and used this to manage the literature database and extract data.

### 2.6. Rendering the evidence gap map

Coded data were exported via a "coding report" in JSON format from EPPI-Reviewer V.4. Next, the exported data were imported into EPPI-Mapper to create an interactive matrix where each cell contains information on studies (see sample map in Fig. 1). By clicking on any cell, a pop-up window opens displaying the list of studies (and bibliographic data) included in that cell. Studies in the EGM can be filtered according to various characteristics that include study type, country, country income level, UGBS type, and population subgroups(s). The interactive EGM also allows users to change between a bubble-map, heat-map, mosaic, and donut-map. By default, the EGM will launch as a bubblemap with collapsed headers. A study may appear in more than one cell if it includes multiple UGBS intervention types or investigates outcomes associated with multiple SDGs.

### 3. Results

Fig. 2 shows the flow of articles through the screening process.

The initial search of the literature yielded 1872 articles. After removing duplicates (n = 215), 1657 papers were screened by title and abstract. In total, 275 articles were retrieved for full-text screening. As detailed in Fig. 2, the main reasons for exclusion during full-text screening included: the outcomes were not linked to SDGS; the study was a narrative review; and the full-text was not available. The final EGM was made up of 181 studies.

### 3.1. Study characteristics

Study characteristics are shown in Table 3, and a more detailed overview is provided in Supplementary File 2. The majority of articles were primary studies (n = 159), while the remaining were either systematic or scoping reviews (n = 22). Overall, 73 countries were targeted by primary studies or by studies that were included in reviews, the largest proportion of which were in China (19 %) and the United States (6 %). Fig. 3 shows the geographical distribution of studies that were included in the EGM.

Most primary studies and reviews targeted high-income (n = 178) and upper-middle income (n = 89) countries. Green spaces were the most frequently investigated UGBS type (n = 159), while only a small fraction of studies examined urban blue spaces (n = 6). Existing UGBSs were investigated in 160 studies, while very few studies investigated interventions pertaining to the development of new UGBS (n = 21), the modification of existing UGBS (n = 9), and programmes to promote the usage of UGBS (n = 4). There was a dearth of studies in lower-middle and low-income countries (n = 9 and n = 1 respectively). The majority of studies were published between 2019 and 2021.

### 3.2. Evidence gap map and SDGs

The two-dimensional interactive EGM matrix is included in

		UN Sustainable Development Goals																
		SDG1	SDG2	SDG3	SDG4	SDG5	SDG6	SDG7	SDG8	SDG9	SDG10	SDG11	SDG12	SDG13	SDG14	SDG15	SDG16	SDG17
ventions	Existing UGBS																	
	Introduction of new UGBS																	
UGBS Inte	Modification of existing UGBS																	
	Programmes to promote the utilisation of UGBS																	

Fig. 1. Sample evidence gap map.



Fig. 2. Flow diagram.

Supplementary File 3. Included studies are rendered visually using a bubble plot by default. Green bubbles represent primary studies, and blue bubbles represent reviews. The size of the bubble corresponds to the number of studies. As such, a larger bubble would indicate a greater number of studies in the respective SDG category and UGBS intervention type. Each SDG column header can be expanded to show the various SDG targets within. Information regarding the SDG global indicators assigned to each study is included in Supplementary File 2 as these data could not be included in the interactive EGM matrix.

As the EGM shows, outcomes that related to SDG3 (good health and wellbeing), SDG11 (sustainable cities and communities) and SDG13 (climate action) were investigated with relative consistency across the 181 studies. SDGs that were not addressed by the studies included SDG5 (gender equality), SDG9 (industry, innovation and infrastructure), SDG12 (responsible consumptions and production), SDG14 (life below water) and SDG17 (partnership for the goals). Few studies assessed the various co-benefits of UGBS, possibly as a result of narrow research objectives or resource limitations. The majority of studies focussed on a small number of outcomes, and this is reflected by the manner in which studies are distributed across the EGM.

Table S5 in the Appendix (Supplementary File 1) provides a

condensed summary of the SDGs that were addressed by studies included in the EGM. It is intended that the remaining section should be read in conjunction with the interactive EGM in order to more easily identify the papers being discussed under each subheading.

## 3.2.1. SDG1: end poverty in all its forms everywhere (total number of studies: 1)

One primary study included in the EGM examined the association between exposure to greenness in early childhood and future earnings. While UGBS has been linked to the developmental trajectories of children and adolescents (Kahn & Kellert, 2002), it has not been comprehensively studied as a mechanism to improve the socioeconomic outcomes of this age group. This may also be linked to the lack of studies in LMICs and a wider lack of robust research infrastructure in countries where poverty is most pervasive.

## 3.2.2. SDG2: end hunger, achieve food security and improved nutrition and promote sustainable agriculture (total number of studies: 7)

Two primary studies assessed the role of UGBS to reduce the risk of overweight/obesity among children, while one study focused on adults and another focused on older adults. Overweight and obesity were the

### Table 3

Study characteristics.

	n	%
Study type		
Primary study	159	88
Review	22	12
Country		
China	52	19
United States	17	6
United Kingdom	11	4
Australia	10	4
Netherlands	9	3
Spain	9	3
Germany	8	3
Canada	7	3
Turkey	7	3
Other	147	53
Country income level		
High	178	64 %
Upper-middle	89	32 %
Lower-middle	9	3 %
Low	1	<1 %
UGBS type		
Green space	159	88
Blue space	6	3
Both	16	9
Intervention type		
Existing UGBS	160	83
New UGBS	21	10
Modification of existing UGBS	9	5
Programmes	4	2
Publication year		
2015	6	3
2016	18	10
2017	20	11
2018	16	9
2019	32	18
2020	30	17
2021	53	29
2022	6	3

only outcomes relating to this SDG that were investigated in included studies. No studies investigated the role of UGBS in reducing hunger or using UGBS as a countermeasure against food scarcity in urban settings.

## 3.2.3. SDG3: ensure healthy lives and promote well-being for all at all ages (total number of studies: 115)

The most frequently investigated outcomes that corresponded to SDG3 were physical activity (PA), self-reported general health, cardiovascular and respiratory disease, and psychological wellbeing. The 115 included studies related to SDG3 generally showed improved health and wellbeing outcomes associated with access to and use of UGBS. A small number of studies (n = 6) investigated the co-benefits of UGBS to mitigate exposure to ambient air pollution and provide a mechanism through which it can improve health. There were gaps in the literature with regard to maternal mortality, communicable diseases, substance abuse, and road traffic injuries and deaths.

## 3.2.4. SDG4: ensure inclusive and equitable quality education and promote lifelong learning opportunities for all (total number of studies: 8)

There was a small sample of studies (n = 7) that considered the impact of UGBS on educational and developmental outcomes among children and adolescents, and the other study in this category investigated the vocational training opportunities provided by UGBS or UGBS interventions for youth and young adult. Educational considerations around sustainable development were not addressed by any of the studies, nor was the role of UGBS as an "educational facility" (Ko & Son, 2018) to be used to promote greater awareness of sustainable development and silviculture. As a setting that holds educational value, it is surprising that few interventions were catered towards harnessing natural ecosystems to improve academic outcomes, as demonstrated by "forest schools" (O'Brien & Murray, 2007; Waite et al., 2016). While there was some evidence to support the role of UGBS in promoting improved developmental outcomes, the evidence supporting academic performance was mixed.

### Geographical distribution of studies included in the Evidence Gap Map



Created with Datawrapper

**Fig. 3.** Geographical distribution of studies included in the Evidence Gap Map. Source: https://datawrapper.dwcdn.net/gae31/2/.

3.2.5. SDG5: achieve gender equality and empower all women and girls (total number of studies: 0)

No studies investigated the role of UGBS in reducing gender disparities or promoting equal opportunities for women were identified. While crime and violence were assessed in a small number of studies, it was not examined within the context of violence against women.

## 3.2.6. SDG6: ensure availability and sustainable management of water and sanitation for all (total number of studies: 2)

One primary study assessed the co-benefits of green-blue-grey infrastructure to reduce flood risk and found that among the many cobenefits, green-blue infrastructure can reduce water consumption. Another primary study investigated the ecological benefits of urban wetland parks such as habitat creation. Considering the low number of studies that examined urban blue spaces exclusively, it was not surprising to see that this SDG was largely overlooked in the EGM. More specifically, although it has been estimated that 60 % of residential water use is attributed to cities (Grimm et al., 2008), studies have not explored the potential for UGBS to improve water use efficiency.

## 3.2.7. SDG7: ensure access to affordable, reliable, sustainable and modern energy for all (total number of studies: 1)

As previously mentioned, one primary study assessed the co-benefits of green-blue-grey infrastructure to reduce flood risk and found that among the many co-benefits, green-blue infrastructure can reduce energy consumption by improving the cooling efficiency of buildings. It has been shown in studies published before 2015 (and hence not eligible for this review) that UGBS can reduce air conditioning demand which in turn reduces  $CO_2$  emissions (B. Zhang et al., 2014). However, no studies included in the EGM directly examined the role of UGBS as a source of renewable energy or energy-saving resource. While a large number of included studies assessed the cooling potential of UGBS, none attempted to empirically measure how this improves energy efficiency through reduced dependence/expenditure on air conditioning.

# 3.2.8. SDG8: promote sustained, inclusive and sustainable economic growth, full and productive employment and decent work for all (total number of studies: 5)

Four primary studies included in the EGM evaluated the economic value of UGBS, primarily through the use of hedonic pricing models to estimate the effect of UGBS on house prices. Beyond the hedonic pricing models, there were few studies that examined the direct economic impacts of UGBS, and how GDP is affected by the introduction of new UGBS. Only one study evaluated a broader range of socioeconomic implications including the provision of jobs and vocational training opportunities from the introduction of a new urban greenway.

Two primary studies and one review examined the impact of UGBS on tourism and how natural resources such as urban wetland parks can attract tourists. With regard to studies published since 2015, this area has been relatively under-researched. It is also noteworthy that in larger cities, which often serve as tourist destinations for many countries, few studies have investigated the potential of utilising UGBS as a resource for attracting visitors and boosting tourism.

## 3.2.9. SDG9: build resilient infrastructure, promote inclusive and sustainable industrialization and foster innovation (total number of studies: 0)

No studies were identified that attempted to capture the influence of UGBS on sustainable industrialization and innovation. Additionally, there were no studies that assessed the role of UGBS in establishing more sustainable and resilient infrastructure to support health and wellbeing. While UGBS does constitute a sustainable, resilient, and inclusive component of urban infrastructure, these characteristics were not directly measured as an outcome in any of the studies. 3.2.10. SDG10: reduce inequality within and among countries (total number of studies: 1)

One primary study examined how UGBS can promote better income growth and more equitable economic outcomes for a cohort of children living in socioeconomically disadvantaged areas in the United States.

## 3.2.11. SDG11: make cities and human settlements inclusive, safe, resilient and sustainable (total number of studies: 73)

There was significant overlap across studies that investigated outcomes relating to SDG11 and SDG13. This was due in large part to the task of assigning an SDG to studies that evaluated the role of UGBS in attenuating UHI effects in cities. Specifically, we found studies that examined the role of UGBS to reduce surface and air temperatures in cities fulfilled outcomes corresponding to SDG11.6 ("By 2030, reduce the adverse per capita environmental impact of cities, including by paying special attention to air quality and municipal and other waste management"), SDG13.1 ("Strengthen resilience and adaptive capacity to climate-related hazards and natural disasters in all countries"), and SDG13.2 ("Integrate climate change measures into national policies, strategies and planning"). As a result, there are a large number of studies (n = 73 for SDG11; n = 46 for SDG13) included under these SDGs in the EGM. The most common outcomes investigated in these studies were particulate matter concentration, UHI effects (operationalised as air or land surface temperatures), and presence of greenhouse gases. Generally, these studies illustrated a positive environmental effect of UGBS in urban contexts.

## *3.2.12.* SDG12: ensure sustainable consumption and production patterns (total number of studies: 0)

No studies of the impact of UGBS on sustainable consumption and production patterns were identified.

## 3.2.13. SDG13: take urgent action to combat climate change and its impacts (total number of studies: 46)

As previously mentioned, there was significant overlap between SDG11 and SDG13. This was due to the outcomes (predominantly air pollution and urban heat island) aligning with multiple SDG targets. As noted under SDG4, there were no UGBS interventions included in studies that used the spaces to promote better awareness and education of climate change (SDG13.3). SDG13.b was not addressed due to the lack of studies in LMICs.

## 3.2.14. SDG14: conserve and sustainably use the oceans, seas and marine resources for sustainable development (total number of studies: 0)

No studies that included outcomes linked to SDG14 were identified.

3.2.15. SDG15: protect, restore and promote sustainable use of terrestrial ecosystems, sustainably manage forests, combat desertification, and halt and reverse land degradation and halt biodiversity loss (total number of studies: 14)

Studies that addressed SDG15 predominantly examined the potential for UGBS to reduce flood risk and improve stormwater management in urban environments. However, very few studies were focused exclusively on these outcomes, and instead integrated flood risk and stormwater management into a collection of other environmental outcomes (e.g., biodiversity, air quality). There was a small number of studies that examined the role of UGBS in preserving freshwater ecosystems. These studies centred primarily around forest parks, wetland parks, and one study used perceived naturalness and "parkification" of natural areas to explore how these ecosystems could be preserved.

## 3.2.16. SDG16: promote peaceful and inclusive societies for sustainable development, provide access to justice for all and build effective, accountable and inclusive institutions at all levels (total number of studies: 11)

Similar to outcomes corresponding with SDG15, relatively few studies exclusively investigated outcomes linked to SDG16. Instead, they

were mainly investigated with relative frequency in studies that employed socioecological frameworks that were broad in scope.

3.2.17. SDG17: strengthen the means of implementation and revitalize the Global Partnership for Sustainable Development (total number of studies: 0) No studies that included outcomes linked to SDG17 were identified.

### 4. Discussion

This review provides the first interactive EGM showing the contribution of UGBS towards the achievement of the UN SDGs. The results indicate that the majority of studies that have examined the relationship between UGBS and outcomes corresponding to the SDGs have been concentrated around health and wellbeing (SDG3), and anthropogenic outcomes such as UHI and air pollution (SDG11 and SDG13). Further, the results highlighted a lack of studies that addressed outcomes related to SDG5, SDG9, SDG12, SDG14 and SDG17. There was also a gap in the literature with regard to LMICs, and a paucity of studies that investigated multiple co-benefits of UGBS.

### 4.1. Health and wellbeing

An important causative mechanism underpinning the relationship between UGBS and better health is PA (Maas et al., 2008; Richardson et al., 2013). UGBS support residents in urban settings to engage in physical activities such as walking, jogging, and cycling, thus reducing the risks associated with sedentary behaviour. A number of studies included in the EGM linked specific features of UGBS (e.g., spatial design, vegetation/tree coverage, sports facilities) with PA (Akpinar, 2016; Akpınar, 2019; Du, Zhou, Cai, Li, & Xu, 2021; Knobel et al., 2021; Miralles-Guasch et al., 2019; Reyes-Riveros et al., 2021; C. L. Y. Tan, Chang, et al., 2021; H. Wang et al., 2019; M. Wang, Qiu, et al., 2021). The WHO Global Action Plan on Physical Activity (GAPPA) (World Health Organisation, 2018) proposed that policy actions to improve PA will directly contribute to SDG3, and indirectly contribute to 12 other SDGs. For instance, PA can contribute indirectly to SDG4.1 by improving cognitive function among school children which can subsequently lead to better, more equitable, academic outcomes.

A recent study by Salvo et al. (Salvo et al., 2021) reaffirmed the importance of policies to promote PA and the contributions this can make to multiple SDGs. However, as noted earlier, few studies in the EGM attempted to capture a complex, overlapping system of SDG-specific benefits (as done by Salvo et al.) associated with UGBS and the indirect pathways through which PA can contribute to them. Thus, if PA is the one of the principle mechanisms through which UGBS can improve health outcomes, intervention programmes designed to increase usage of UGBS should have a prominent PA component. There are several studies in the EGM that demonstrated the effectiveness of UGBS interventions to increase PA (Benton et al., 2018; Cranney et al., 2016; Gubbels et al., 2016; He et al., 2021; Hunter et al., 2021; Jarden et al., 2016; Jin et al., 2021; Slater et al., 2016; Xie et al., 2021).

Another focus of studies that examined the contribution of UGBS to better health outcomes for urban populations was the reduction of exposure to ambient air pollution (SDG3.9.1: "Mortality rate attributed to household and ambient air pollution"). Specifically, studies examined the protective role of UGBS to reduce exposure to air pollution and the consequent risk of respiratory disease (e Almeida et al., 2020; Jaafari et al., 2020; S. Sun et al., 2020), cardiovascular disease (Heo & Bell, 2019; H.-B. Hu et al., 2022), and premature birth (Asta et al., 2019). These studies show that UGBS can act as a buffer against air pollution, safeguarding urban populations from one of the most common environmental hazards associated with urbanisation and reducing the risk for a number of non-communicable diseases (NCDs). A slightly larger number of included studies examined the effect of UGBS on the risk for cardiovascular disease which corresponds directly with SDG3.4.1 ("Mortality rate attributed to cardiovascular disease, cancer, diabetes or chronic respiratory disease") (Astell-Burt & Feng, 2020; Geneshka et al., 2021; Kondo et al., 2018; Lanki et al., 2017; Ngom et al., 2016; Rahnama & Shaddel, 2019; Rigolon et al., 2021; Seo et al., 2019; Vienneau et al., 2017; L. Yang et al., 2021; Yeh et al., 2020).

SDG3 encompasses a broad range of goals that aim to promote better health and wellbeing. It also shares a common goal with SDG2.2 which aims to "end all forms of malnutrition" including malnourishment and obesity. Interestingly, only a small proportion of studies examined the role of UGBS in reducing risk for overweight and obesity (Akpinar, 2017; Bozkurt, 2021; Dempsey et al., 2018; Geneshka et al., 2021; Islam et al., 2020; Knobel et al., 2021; Rigolon et al., 2021). As such, future research in this area should explore the ways in which UGBS can provide sustainable solutions that accommodate the dietary needs of urban populations, as demonstrated in case studies in the United Kingdom (Nicholls et al., 2020; Walsh et al., 2022) and United States (Corrigan, 2011; Grewal & Grewal, 2012).

A review by Lai et al. (Lai et al., 2019) identified a small number of studies (n = 4) published after 2015 that described negative associations between UGBS and health, including a higher risk of asthma among children aged 4–6 years (Andrusaityte et al., 2016), and a negative association between recreational green space and commuting physical activity (Mäki-Opas et al., 2016). A later study (L. Wu & Kim, 2021) found that vegetation cover had a negative impact on self-rated health, which the authors hypothesised was due to the lack of accessibility associated with non-park green spaces and lack of visibility.

### 4.2. Urban heat island, pollution and climate change

In addition to the salutogenic effects of UGBS, a large number of studies included in the EGM considered the impact of UGBS on anthropogenic changes associated with urbanisation, namely, UHI effects, increased particulate matter concentrations, and greenhouse gas emissions. This emphasis on air quality in studies speaks to the important part played by UGBS in offsetting the environmental degradation caused by urbanisation. Some of the studies that included outcomes relating to SDG11.6 assessed particulate matter concentrations in isolation (Cai et al., 2020; M. Chen et al., 2019b, 2019a; Zhao et al., 2021; Zhu & Zeng, 2018), while a smaller number examined the impact of UGBS on particulate matter in conjunction with other outcomes such as carbon emissions (Van Ryswyk et al., 2019), UHI (Ghazalli et al., 2018; Van Ryswyk et al., 2019; Ye & Qiu, 2021) and ozone (S. Sun et al., 2020).

There is growing evidence of a shift in the distribution of concentrations of ambient fine particulate matter ( $PM_{2.5}$ ) across the socioeconomic gradient, with many urban settings in LMICs experiencing increases in  $PM_{2.5}$  concentrations compared to cities in high-income countries (Apte et al., 2021). Despite efforts to curb the increase in air pollution (Jonidi Jafari et al., 2021), global  $PM_{2.5}$  concentrations remain high (in 2019, it was estimated that 86 % of urban inhabitants lived in areas that exceeded WHO's 2005 guideline) and contribute significantly to premature mortality and morbidity from NCDs (Southerland et al., 2022).

Features of urban morphology - impervious surfaces, street canyons, anthropogenic heat sources, densely populated residential areas - have contributed to global temperature increases (Yan et al., 2014; Z. Yang et al., 2020). Within UGBS, vegetation structures such as trees with dense canopies can reduce ambient air temperatures through evapotranspiration and by absorbing solar radiation (Millward et al., 2014), and by providing shading from sunlight (J. K. N. Tan, Belcher, et al., 2021). The salience of UHI effects in the literature illustrates its importance in the global context. In addition to heat stress (Scherer et al., 2014; Wolf et al., 2009), the increased heat loads ascribed to climate change can have a detrimental effect on UGBS, threatening vegetation and further compounding rising ambient temperatures (Allen et al., 2021). There are many factors that determine the cooling capacity of UGBS including presence/density of vegetation (Lehmann et al., 2014).

2014), tree species (Rahman et al., 2020; Shashua-Bar et al., 2010) and tree diversity (X. Wang, Dallimer, et al., 2021), soil composition (Rahman et al., 2011), and spatial characteristics such as size and shape (Ren et al., 2013). Consequently, there is significant variability in the capacity of different types of UGBS to reduce air temperature and mitigate UHI effects. A small number of studies in the EGM investigated the direct impact of UGBS on UHI effects (R. Chen & You, 2020; Cui et al., 2021; Di Leo et al., 2016; Herrera-Gomez et al., 2017; Knaus & Haase, 2020; Sugawara et al., 2016; Z. Yu et al., 2018; Žuvela-Aloise et al., 2016), while a larger sample investigated how the specific properties of UGBS attenuate UHI effects (Aram et al., 2019; Du et al., 2017; Du, Zhou, Cai, Cai, & Xu, 2021; Ekwe et al., 2021; Grilo et al., 2020; Y. Hu et al., 2021; Jaganmohan et al., 2016; Ke et al., 2021; Klemm et al., 2015; P. Li & Wang, 2021; Y. Li et al., 2021; Napoli et al., 2016; Nastran et al., 2019; Park et al., 2017; S. Sun et al., 2017; S. Wu et al., 2021; Z. Yu et al., 2020; Yuan et al., 2021; X. Zhang et al., 2017).

By increasing water infiltration and storing excess rainwater, UGBS can beneficially alter the hydrological processes in heavily urbanised areas (Scott et al., 2016). SDG15.3 was largely addressed by studies that considered the efficacy of UGBS to reduce flood risk (Alves et al., 2020; Bai et al., 2018; Kim et al., 2017). Two studies included cost-benefit analyses to assess the financial viability of different green infrastructure measures to minimise stormwater risks (Alves et al., 2019; Liu et al., 2016). There is scope, however, for more studies to be conducted in LMICs which would help to identify the role of UGBS as a potential mechanism to promote better climate-related planning and management in these settings.

Being responsible for a large proportion of global greenhouse gas emissions (Frumkin & Haines, 2019), cities have contributed significantly to climate change (United Nations, n.d.). Therefore, investment in urban design to mitigate against further damage to the climate must be underpinned by evidence-based policy frameworks that support practical and cost-effective solutions that support sustainable urbanisation. However, climate change is a reality that urban populations face. The frequency and intensity of extreme weather events are increasing at an alarming rate (Mishra et al., 2015), placing significant pressure on the critical infrastructures that support cities (Lomba-Fernández et al., 2019). Thus, measures to reduce the impact of urban centres on the climate must be balanced with the need to make cities themselves more climate-resilient. UGBS is one such mechanism that can add to the capacity of cities to respond to the challenges of climate change (Mabon et al., 2019).

### 4.3. Gaps and implications for future research

In order to address the environmental, social and economic challenges of urbanisation, complementary solutions that leverage existing natural resources are needed. Policies should target the preservation of natural ecosystems that can improve the lives of urban populations through the provision of safe and equitable access to green and blue spaces, while avoiding the disbenefits associated with gentrification and the adverse impacts this can have on urban communities. The achievement of this goal necessitates a shift in the trajectory of research towards a transdisciplinary orientation that looks at the synergies and trade-offs of UGBS through a multifunctional lens (Hunter et al., 2019), and across settings with diverse social and economic characteristics.

### 4.3.1. Environmental challenges

A major obstacle to improving the sustainability of cities is the worsening burden of greenhouse gas emissions (SDG13.2.2), one of the main drivers of which is the use of road vehicles (Karagulian et al., 2015). However, there is limited research pointing to the mechanisms through which UGBS can reduce car dependency and facilitate the uptake of active transportation modes, thereby reducing the harmful emissions generated by traffic. For example, urban greenways serve as active travel corridors, supporting pedestrian travel, improving the

connectivity of cities, and subsequently reducing reliance on vehicles (Horte & Eisenman, 2020). Results from a greenway intervention study in Vancouver showed promising reductions in greenhouse gas emissions as residents opted to reduce vehicle use in favour of non-motorised travel modes (Ngo et al., 2018). It remains to be seen how these measures translate across to rapidly industrialising economies with relatively limited resources and more difficult ecological characteristics to contend with.

Evidence suggests LMICs are disproportionately affected by extreme weather events that are a result of climate change (United Nations Office for Disaster Risk Reduction, 2018). Therefore, more research is needed to evaluate the contribution of UGBS to the adaptive capacity and climate resilience of cities in LMICs (Moser & Satterthwaite, 2008) and specifically how UGBS can "build the resilience of the poor and those in vulnerable situations and reduce their exposure and vulnerability to climate-related extreme events" (SDG1.5). A cost-benefit analysis of the co-benefits of UGBS found that in addition to flood risk management, green-blue infrastructure grants other benefits such as reduced energy costs and reduced water consumption (Alves et al., 2019). However, the maintenance of UGBS can place significant strain on cities where water resources are already scarce. This is a problem most keenly felt in arid regions (Nouri et al., 2019), but nevertheless calls attention to the kind of resources (both economic and natural) that are required to support the construction and ongoing maintenance of these spaces. This has the potential to negatively impact SDG6 ("Ensure availability and sustainable management of water and sanitation for all") if new UGBS come at the cost of drinking water services. Blue spaces could theoretically provide better access to drinking water and sanitation; however, this is not a link that was explored in the included studies. Our search of the literature identified only six studies that examined urban blue spaces (Crouse et al., 2018; Garrett et al., 2019; Tuofu et al., 2021; S. Wu et al., 2021; Ye & Qiu, 2021; Zhu & Zeng, 2018). Of these, three addressed the environmental impact of blue spaces on urban heat island (S. Wu et al., 2021), particulate matter concentration (Zhu & Zeng, 2018), habitat provision and stormwater management (Ye & Qiu, 2021). However, more evidence is needed that supports the role of blue spaces in achieving the SDGs.

A recent study in subtropical Asian cities highlighted the complexities of navigating diverse governance structures in these regions and how climate change adaptation strategies (such as the introduction of UGBS) must be sensitive to the context within which they are implemented (Mabon & Shih, 2021). This feeds into SDG17 ("Strengthen the means of implementation and revitalize the Global Partnership for Sustainable Development") which was not directly addressed by any of the studies included in the EGM, but nonetheless signals the need for multilateral participation by countries to address climate change.

### 4.3.2. Social and economic challenges

Few primary studies in the EGM investigated the social and economic implications of UGBS. Considering that the largest increase in urban population in the next decades is projected to be in LMICs (United Nations, 2018), this is an important consideration. There is scope for further research in this area, particularly to provide a more robust case for the economic value of equal access to vocational training and employment opportunities created through UGBS, thus serving SDG4.3 ("...ensure equal access for all women and men to affordable and quality technical, vocational and tertiary education ... ") which was not addressed in any included studies. LMICs may stand to benefit the most from this type of research given higher levels of unemployment (King & Shackleton, 2020). While property values were included as a metric to gauge the economic value of UGBS in two studies (A Samad et al., 2020; Tuofu et al., 2021), only two attempted to estimate a wider array of socioeconomic benefits together with house prices (Hunter et al., 2020; Roebeling et al., 2017). Social capital was similarly underexplored, with one study illustrating the social support functions served by community gardens (Kingsley et al., 2020).

The creation of new UGBS risks exacerbating environmental inequalities in cities (Checker, 2011; Eckerd, 2011), precipitating an increase in property values that inadvertently leads to the displacement of lower income households (Atkinson, 2000). Thus, 'green gentrification' can reinforce disparities in access to natural amenities, and worsen existing social and health inequalities (Anguelovski et al., 2022). To date, there are few studies that have attempted to address these adverse impacts. There is evidence that suggests health benefits associated with UGBS are unevenly distributed across sociodemographic groups, with those who have higher levels of education and income deriving the greatest benefit from green space in gentrifying neighbourhoods (Cole et al., 2019).

A study by Giannico et al. (Giannico et al., 2021), found that the effect of urban greenness on perceived quality of life was moderated by per capita income, suggesting that UGBS had a greater impact on quality of life in lower-income cities. This was supported in a review that reported the protective effects of UGBS were stronger for low socioeconomic status groups (Rigolon et al., 2021). Given that the burden of rapid urbanisation is projected to affect LMICs more acutely over the next few decades, a better understanding of the socioeconomic benefits is required. Only then, and with a stronger evidence base supporting the multifunctional benefits of UGBS, can sufficient resources be dedicated to the development of nature-based solutions in these settings.

### 4.3.3. UGBS interventions and the UN SDGs

Relative to the number of studies that investigated existing UGBS, there were very few studies that assessed UGBS interventions that had been implemented since 2015. Moreover, there was also a lack of studies that explicitly invoked the SDGs in their analysis or attempted to situate their findings within that framework. Nature-based solutions, via UGBS, are a platform upon which other technological and social solutions can be built to alleviate the burden of climate change (Lin et al., 2021). For instance, UGBS can support active transport infrastructure (Hogendorf et al., 2020) which can be augmented by social initiatives to promote

their use (Hunter et al., 2015). However, without a clear consensus on how UGBS serves the SDGs, these potential synergies may never be fully realised.

Fig. 4 summarises the key findings from the literature with regards to the identified gaps and potential ways in which future research can address them.

### 4.4. Strengths and limitations

This study provides a unique perspective on the contribution of UGBS to the SDGs. The EGM is a novel interactive visualisation tool that maps the linkages between study outcomes and the SDGs. In addition to its accessibility, the EGM offers users the ability to filter studies according to various characteristics and identify where gaps are evident in the literature. There are, however, some limitations that must be acknowledged. Firstly, our EGM does not show the direction or significance of association between the SDG outcomes used in primary studies and UGBS. Secondly, we did not conduct a quality appraisal of the studies included in the EGM. Thirdly, due to the expansive scope of the SDGs, and our limiting of eligible studies to those published in English from 2015, it is possible that we did not capture all studies that correspond to outcomes relating to the SDGs in our search. In order to mitigate against this, we used a broad range of search terms that related to each SDG, and systematically applied the inclusion criteria during screening.

### 5. Conclusions

In sum, the studies included in the review have illustrated the multifaceted role of UGBS in achieving the SDGs. We observed a relative abundance of research examining the beneficial impacts of UGBS on health and wellbeing (SDG3), pollution and urban heat island effects (SDG11 and SDG13). There was, however, a relative lack of studies that assessed the social and economic benefits of UGBS and the contributions



Fig. 4. Summary of key gaps and potential directions for future research.

### these can make to the SDGs.

The outcomes reported in a large number of the studies were seldom investigated in conjunction with other co-benefits. We also found that few studies concurrently investigated the synergistic environmental, health, social and economic co-benefits associated with UGBS. Given the extent to which the SDGs are inherently interlinked with one another (X. Wu et al., 2022), future research in this area will benefit from a transdisciplinary approach that attempts to capture and explore the many co-benefits of UGBS across the different SDG dimensions.

We contend that future research on the benefits of UGBS should be more transdisciplinary in scope and better aligned with the outcomes presented in the SDGs. By examining the nexus of challenges associated with urbanisation through a multifunctional lens, more effective policies and interventions can be catered towards improving the wellbeing of urban populations. These research goals are consonant with our view that by harnessing knowledge from multiple disciplinary traditions, the evidence base around UGBS can profit from a range of novel methodologies and insights. A multifunctional framework that maps the contribution of UGBS to the SDGs will provide a platform for additional research in this area and reaffirm the importance of UGBS to mitigate the health, social, economic, and environmental degradation caused by rapid urbanisation which threatens the ecological stability and population health of cities.

Supplementary data to this article can be found online at https://doi.org/10.1016/j.cities.2023.104706.

### Contributors

CT and RH proposed and initiated the current piece of research. CT led on writing the manuscript with input from all co-authors. Database searches were carried out by CT with input from RH. Title and abstract screening were carried out by CT to retrieve articles for full-text screening. Full text screening was carried by CT and SA. Data extraction was carried out by CT and SA. The protocol for mapping the literature using the Evidence Gap Map methodology was developed by KB. MC informed the systematic elements of the literature searches. All coauthors provided input into interpretation of the results. All authors reviewed and approved the final manuscript.

### Funding

This work was supported by the UK Prevention Research Partnership (MR/V049704/1).

### CRediT authorship contribution statement

Christopher Tate: Conceptualization, Data curation, Formal analysis, Investigation, Methodology, Visualization, Writing – original draft, Writing – review & editing. Ruoyu Wang: Writing – review & editing. Selin Akaraci: Formal analysis, Writing – review & editing. Catherine Burns: Methodology, Writing – review & editing. Leandro Garcia: Writing – review & editing. Mike Clarke: Methodology, Writing – review & editing. Ruth Hunter: Conceptualization, Funding acquisition, Supervision, Writing – review & editing.

### Declaration of competing interest

The authors declare that they have no competing interests.

### Data availability

No data was used for the research described in the article.

### Acknowledgements

GroundsWell is an interdisciplinary consortium involving

researchers, policy, implementers and communities. It is led by Queen's University Belfast, University of Edinburgh and University of Liverpool in partnership with Cranfield University, University of Exeter, University of Glasgow, University of Lancaster and Liverpool John Moores University. We would like to acknowledge our partners including: Belfast, Edinburgh and Liverpool City Councils, Public Health Agencies of Scotland and Northern Ireland, Greenspace Scotland, Scottish Forestry, Edinburgh and Lothians Health Foundation, Department for Infrastructure Northern Ireland, Belfast Healthy Cities, Climate Northern Ireland, Health Data Research UK, Administrative Data Research Centre, NatureScot, Mersey Care NHS Foundation Trust, Liverpool City Region Combined Authority, Liverpool Health Partners, NHS Liverpool Clinical Commissioning Group, the Scottish Government, Edinburgh Health and Social Care Partnership, HSC Research and Development Office Northern Ireland, EastSide Partnership, Ashton Centre, Regenerus, Sustrans, Cycling UK, CHANGES, The Mersey Forest, Translink, Anaeko, AECOM Ltd., The Paul Hogarth Company and Moai Digital Ltd.

### References

- A Samad, N. S., Abdul-Rahim, A. S., Mohd Yusof, M. J., & Tanaka, K. (2020). Assessing the economic value of urban green spaces in Kuala Lumpur. *Environmental Science* and Pollution Research International, 27(10), 10367–10390. https://doi.org/10.1007/ s11356-019-07593-7
- Ahern, J. (2013). Urban landscape sustainability and resilience: The promise and challenges of integrating ecology with urban planning and design. *Landscape Ecology*, 28(6), 1203–1212. https://doi.org/10.1007/s10980-012-9799-z
- Aida, N., Sasidhran, S., Kamarudin, N., Aziz, N., Puan, C. L., & Azhar, B. (2016). Woody trees, green space and park size improve avian biodiversity in urban landscapes of Peninsular Malaysia. *Ecological Indicators*, 69, 176–183. https://doi.org/10.1016/j. ecolind.2016.04.025
- Akbari, H., Pomerantz, M., & Taha, H. (2001). Cool surfaces and shade trees to reduce energy use and improve air quality in urban areas. *Solar Energy*, 70(3), 295–310. https://doi.org/10.1016/S0038-092X(00)00089-X
- Akpinar, A. (2016). How is quality of urban green spaces associated with physical activity and health? Urban Forestry & Urban Greening, 16, 76–83.
- Akpinar, A. (2017). Urban green spaces for children: A cross-sectional study of associations with distance, physical activity, screen time, general health, and overweight. Urban Forestry & Urban Greening, 25, 66–73.
- Akpinar, A. (2019). Green exercise: How are characteristics of urban green spaces associated with adolescents' physical activity and health? *International Journal of Environmental Research and Public Health*, 16(21), 4281. https://doi.org/10.3390/ ijerph16214281
- Algren, M. H., Ekholm, O., Nielsen, L., Ersbøll, A. K., Bak, C. K., & Andersen, P. T. (2018). Associations between perceived stress, socioeconomic status, and health-risk behaviour in deprived neighbourhoods in Denmark: A cross-sectional study. BMC Public Health, 18(1), 250. https://doi.org/10.1186/s12889-018-5170-x
- Allen, M. A., Roberts, D. A., & McFadden, J. P. (2021). Reduced urban green cover and daytime cooling capacity during the 2012–2016 California drought. Urban Climate, 36, Article 100768. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.uclim.2020.100768
- Alves, A., Gersonius, B., Kapelan, Z., Vojinovic, Z., & Sanchez, A. (2019). Assessing the co-benefits of green-blue-grey infrastructure for sustainable urban flood risk management. *Journal of Environmental Management*, 239, 244–254.
- Alves, A., Vojinovic, Z., Kapelan, Z., Sanchez, A., & Gersonius, B. (2020). Exploring tradeoffs among the multiple benefits of green-blue-grey infrastructure for urban flood mitigation. *Science of the Total Environment, 703*, Article 134980.
- Andrusaityte, S., Grazuleviciene, R., Kudzyte, J., Bernotiene, A., Dedele, A., & Nieuwenhuijsen, M. J. (2016). Associations between neighbourhood greenness and asthma in preschool children in Kaunas, Lithuania: A case–control study. *BMJ Open*, 6(4), Article e010341. https://doi.org/10.1136/bmjopen-2015-010341
- Anguelovski, I., Connolly, J. J. T., Cole, H., Garcia-Lamarca, M., Triguero-Mas, M., Baró, F., ... Minaya, J. M. (2022). Green gentrification in European and North American cities. *Nature Communications*, 13(1), 3816. https://doi.org/10.1038/ s41467-022-31572-1
- Apte, J., Seraj, S., Chambliss, S., Hammer, M., Southerland, V., Anenberg, S., et al. (2021). Air inequality: Global divergence in urban fine particulate matter trends (ChemRxiv (preprint)).
- Aram, F., Higueras García, E., Solgi, E., & Mansournia, S. (2019). Urban green space cooling effect in cities. *Heliyon*, 5(4), Article e01339. https://doi.org/10.1016/j. heliyon.2019.e01339
- Asta, F., Michelozzi, P., Cesaroni, G., De Sario, M., Badaloni, C., Davoli, M., & Schifano, P. (2019). The modifying role of socioeconomic position and greenness on the short-term effect of heat and air pollution on preterm births in Rome, 2001-2013. *International Journal of Environmental Research and Public Health*, 16(14), 2497. https://doi.org/10.3390/ijerph16142497
- Astell-Burt, T., & Feng, X. (2020). Urban green space, tree canopy and prevention of cardiometabolic diseases: A multilevel longitudinal study of 46 786 Australians. *International Journal of Epidemiology*, 49(3), 926–933. https://doi.org/10.1093/ije/ dyz239

Atkinson, R. (2000). Measuring gentrification and displacement in Greater London. Urban Studies, 37(1), 149–165. https://doi.org/10.1080/0042098002339

- Bai, T., Mayer, A., Shuster, W., & Tian, G. (2018). The hydrologic role of urban green space in mitigating flooding (Luohe, China). Sustainability, 10(10), 3584. https://doi. org/10.3390/su10103584
- Barboza, E. P., Cirach, M., Khomenko, S., Iungman, T., Mueller, N., Barrera-Gómez, J., ... Nieuwenhuijsen, M. (2021). Green space and mortality in European cities: A health impact assessment study. *The Lancet Planetary Health*, 5(10), e718–e730. https://doi. org/10.1016/S2542-5196(21)00229-1
- Bedimo-Rung, A. L., Mowen, A. J., & Cohen, D. A. (2005). The significance of parks to physical activity and public health. *American Journal of Preventive Medicine*, 28(2), 159–168. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.amepre.2004.10.024
- Benton, J. S., Anderson, J., Cotterill, S., Dennis, M., Lindley, S. J., & French, D. P. (2018). Evaluating the impact of improvements in urban green space on older adults' physical activity and wellbeing: Protocol for a natural experimental study. BMC Public Health, 18, 923. https://doi.org/10.1186/s12889-018-5812-z
- Bodicoat, D. H., O'Donovan, G., Dalton, A. M., Gray, L. J., Yates, T., Edwardson, C., ... Jones, A. P. (2014). The association between neighbourhood greenspace and type 2 diabetes in a large cross-sectional study. *BMJ Open*, 4(12), Article e006076. https:// doi.org/10.1136/bmjopen-2014-006076
- Bogar, S., & Beyer, K. M. (2016). Green space, violence, and crime: A systematic review. *Trauma, Violence & Abuse, 17*(2), 160–171. https://doi.org/10.1177/ 1524838015576412
- Bowler, D. E., Buyung-Ali, L., Knight, T. M., & Pullin, A. S. (2010). Urban greening to cool towns and cities: A systematic review of the empirical evidence. *Landscape and Urban Planning*, 97(3), 147–155. https://doi.org/10.1016/j. landurbplan.2010.05.006
- Bozkurt, M. (2021). Metropolitan children's physical fitness: The relationship between overweight and obesity prevalence, socioeconomic status, urban green space access, and physical activity. Urban Forestry & Urban Greening, 64, Article 127272.
- Brown, G., Schebella, M. F., & Weber, D. (2014). Using participatory GIS to measure physical activity and urban park benefits. *Landscape and Urban Planning*, 121, 34–44. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.landurbplan.2013.09.006
- Cai, L., Zhuang, M., & Ren, Y. (2020). A landscape scale study in Southeast China investigating the effects of varied green space types on atmospheric PM2.5 in midwinter. Urban Forestry & Urban Greening, 49, Article 126607.
- Callaghan, A., McCombe, G., Harrold, A., McMeel, C., Mills, G., Moore-Cherry, N., & Cullen, W. (2021). The impact of green spaces on mental health in urban settings: A scoping review. *Journal of Mental Health (Abingdon, England)*, 30(2), 179–193. https://doi.org/10.1080/09638237.2020.1755027
- Capon, A. (2017). Harnessing urbanisation for human wellbeing and planetary health. The Lancet Planetary Health, 1(1), e6–e7. https://doi.org/10.1016/S2542-5196(17) 30005-0
- Checker, M. (2011). Wiped out by the "Greenwave": Environmental gentrification and the paradoxical politics of urban sustainability. *City & Society*, 23(2), 210–229. https://doi.org/10.1111/j.1548-744X.2011.01063.x
- Chen, K., Zhang, T., Liu, F., Zhang, Y., & Song, Y. (2021). How does urban green space impact residents' mental health: A literature review of mediators. *International Journal of Environmental Research and Public Health*, 18(22), 11746. https://doi.org/ 10.3390/ijerph182211746
- Chen, M., Dai, F., Yang, B., & Zhu, S. (2019a). Effects of neighborhood green space on PM2.5 mitigation: Evidence from five megacities in China. *Building and Environment*, 156, 33–45.
- Chen, M., Dai, F., Yang, B., & Zhu, S. (2019b). Effects of urban green space morphological pattern on variation of PM2.5 concentration in the neighborhoods of five Chinese megacities. *Building and Environment*, 158, 1–15.
- Chen, R., & You, X. (2020). Reduction of urban heat island and associated greenhouse gas emissions. Mitigation and Adaptation Strategies for Global Change, 25(4), 689–711.
- Cohen, A. J., Brauer, M., Burnett, R., Anderson, H. R., Frostad, J., Estep, K., ... Forouzanfar, M. H. (2017). Estimates and 25-year trends of the global burden of disease attributable to ambient air pollution: An analysis of data from the Global Burden of Diseases Study 2015. *The Lancet*, 389(10082), 1907–1918. https://doi. org/10.1016/S0140-6736(17)30505-6
- Cole, H. V. S., Triguero-Mas, M., Connolly, J. J. T., & Anguelovski, I. (2019). Determining the health benefits of green space: Does gentrification matter? *Health & Place, 57*, 1–11. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.healthplace.2019.02.001
- Coombes, E., Jones, A. P., & Hillsdon, M. (2010). The relationship of physical activity and overweight to objectively measured green space accessibility and use. *Social Science & Medicine*, 70(6), 816–822. https://doi.org/10.1016/j. socscimed.2009.11.020
- Corrigan, M. P. (2011). Growing what you eat: Developing community gardens in Baltimore, Maryland. Applied Geography, 31(4), 1232–1241. https://doi.org/ 10.1016/j.apgeog.2011.01.017
- Cranney, L., Phongsavan, P., Kariuki, M., Stride, V., Scott, A., Hua, M., & Bauman, A. (2016). Impact of an outdoor gym on park users' physical activity: A natural experiment. *Health & Place*, *37*, 26–34. https://doi.org/10.1016/j. healthplace.2015.11.002
- Crouse, D. L., Balram, A., Hystad, P., Pinault, L., den Bosch, M.v., Chen, H., ... Villeneuve, P. J. (2018). Associations between living near water and risk of mortality among urban Canadians. *Environmental Health Perspectives*, 126(7), 77008–77009.
- Cui, F., Hamdi, R., Yuan, X., He, H., Yang, T., Kuang, W., Termonia, P., & De Maeyer, P. (2021). Quantifying the response of surface urban heat island to urban greening in global north megacities. *The Science of the Total Environment*, 801, Article 149553. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.scitotenv.2021.149553
- Daams, M. N., Sijtsma, F. J., & Veneri, P. (2019). Mixed monetary and non-monetary valuation of attractive urban green space: A case study using Amsterdam house

prices. Ecological Economics, 166, Article 106430. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.ecolecon.2019.106430

- Dadvand, P., Nieuwenhuijsen, M. J., Esnaola, M., Forns, J., Basagaña, X., Alvarez-Pedrerol, M., ... Sunyer, J. (2015). Green spaces and cognitive development in primary schoolchildren. *Proceedings of the National Academy of Sciences*, 112(26), 7937–7942. https://doi.org/10.1073/pnas.1503402112
- De la Fuente, F., Saldías, M. A., Cubillos, C., Mery, G., Carvajal, D., Bowen, M., & Bertoglia, M. P. (2020). Green space exposure association with type 2 diabetes mellitus, physical activity, and obesity: A systematic review. *International Journal of Environmental Research and Public Health*, 18(1), 97. https://doi.org/10.3390/ ijerph18010097
- Dempsey, S., Lyons, S., & Nolan, A. (2018). Urban green space and obesity in older adults: Evidence from Ireland. SSM - Population Health, 4, 206–215. https://doi.org/ 10.1016/j.ssmph.2018.01.002
- Deng, J., Arano, K. G., Pierskalla, C., & McNeel, J. (2010). Linking urban forests and urban tourism: A case of Savannah, Georgia. *Tourism Analysis*, 15(2), 167–181. https://doi.org/10.3727/108354210X12724863327641
- Di Leo, N., Escobedo, F., & Dubbeling, M. (2016). The role of urban green infrastructure in mitigating land surface temperature in Bobo-Dioulasso, Burkina Faso. *Environment, Development and Sustainability*, 18(2), 373–392.
- Du, H., Cai, W., Xu, Y., Wang, Z., Wang, Y., & Cai, Y. (2017). Quantifying the cool island effects of urban green spaces using remote sensing data. Urban Forestry & Urban Greening, 27, 24–31.
- Du, H., Zhou, F., Cai, W., Cai, Y., & Xu, Y. (2021). Thermal and humidity effect of urban green spaces with different shapes: A case study of Shanghai, China. International Journal of Environmental Research and Public Health, 18(11), 5941. https://doi.org/ 10.3390/ijerph18115941
- Du, H., Zhou, F., Cai, Y., Li, C., & Xu, Y. (2021). Research on public health and well-being associated to the vegetation configuration of urban green space, a case study of Shanghai, China. Urban Forestry & Urban Greening, 59, Article 126990.
- e Almeida, L.d. O., Favaro, A., Raimundo-Costa, W., Anhê, A. C. B. M., Ferreira, D. C., Blanes-Vidal, V., & dos Santos Senhuk, A. P. M. (2020). Influence of urban forest on traffic air pollution and children respiratory health. *Environmental Monitoring and Assessment*, 192(3), 175.
- Eckerd, A. (2011). Cleaning up without clearing out? A spatial assessment of environmental gentrification. Urban Affairs Review, 47(1), 31–59. https://doi.org/ 10.1177/1078087410379720
- Ekwe, M. C., Adamu, F., Gana, J., Nwafor, G. C., Usman, R., Nom, J., ... Aderoju, O. M. (2021). The effect of green spaces on the urban thermal environment during a hotdry season: A case study of Port Harcourt, Nigeria. *Environment, Development and Sustainability, 23*(7), 10056–10079.
- Engemann, K., Pedersen, C. B., Arge, L., Tsirogiannis, C., Mortensen, P. B., & Svenning, J.-C. (2019). Residential green space in childhood is associated with lower risk of psychiatric disorders from adolescence into adulthood. *Proceedings of the National Academy of Sciences*, 116(11), 5188–5193. https://doi.org/10.1073/ pnas.1807504116
- Fan, Y., Das, K. V., & Chen, Q. (2011). Neighborhood green, social support, physical activity, and stress: Assessing the cumulative impact. *Health & Place*, 17(6), 1202–1211. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.healthplace.2011.08.008
- Felappi, J. F., Sommer, J. H., Falkenberg, T., Terlau, W., & Kötter, T. (2020). Green infrastructure through the lens of "One Health": A systematic review and integrative framework uncovering synergies and trade-offs between mental health and wildlife support in cities. *Science of the Total Environment, 748*, Article 141589. https://doi. org/10.1016/j.scitotenv.2020.141589
- Forastiere, F., Stafoggia, M., Tasco, C., Picciotto, S., Agabiti, N., Cesaroni, G., & Perucci, C. A. (2007). Socioeconomic status, particulate air pollution, and daily mortality: Differential exposure or differential susceptibility. *American Journal of Industrial Medicine*, 50(3), 208–216. https://doi.org/10.1002/ajim.20368
- Frumkin, H., & Haines, A. (2019). Global environmental change and noncommunicable disease risks. *Annual Review of Public Health*, 40(1), 261–282. https://doi.org/ 10.1146/annurev-publhealth-040218-043706
- Garrett, J. K., White, M. P., Huang, J., Ng, S., Hui, Z., Leung, C., ... Wong, M. C. S. (2019). Urban blue space and health and wellbeing in Hong Kong: Results from a survey of older adults. *Health & Place*, 55, 100–110. https://doi.org/10.1016/j. healthplace.2018.11.003
- Gascon, M., Triguero-Mas, M., Martínez, D., Dadvand, P., Rojas-Rueda, D., Plasència, A., & Nieuwenhuijsen, M. J. (2016). Residential green spaces and mortality: A systematic review. *Environment International*, *86*, 60–67. https://doi.org/10.1016/j. envint.2015.10.013
- Geneshka, M., Coventry, P., Cruz, J., & Gilbody, S. (2021). Relationship between green and blue spaces with mental and physical health: A systematic review of longitudinal observational studies. *International Journal of Environmental Research and Public Health*, 18(17), 9010. https://doi.org/10.3390/ijerph18179010
- Ghazalli, A. J., Brack, C., Bai, X., & Said, I. (2018). Alterations in use of space, air quality, temperature and humidity by the presence of vertical greenery system in a building corridor. Urban Forestry & Urban Greening, 32, 177–184.
- Giannico, V., Spano, G., Elia, M., D'Este, M., Sanesi, G., & Lafortezza, R. (2021). Green spaces, quality of life, and citizen perception in European cities. *Environmental Research*, 196, Article 110922.
- Grewal, S. S., & Grewal, P. S. (2012). Can cities become self-reliant in food? *Cities*, 29(1), 1–11. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.cities.2011.06.003
- Grilo, F., Pinho, P., Aleixo, C., Catita, C., Silva, P., Lopes, N., Freitas, C., Santos-Reis, M., McPhearson, T., & Branquinho, C. (2020). Using green to cool the grey: Modelling the cooling effect of green spaces with a high spatial resolution. *Science of the Total Environment, 724*, Article 138182.

- Grimm, N. B., Faeth, S. H., Golubiewski, N. E., Redman, C. L., Wu, J., Bai, X., & Briggs, J. M. (2008). Global change and the ecology of cities. *Science*, 319(5864), 756–760. https://doi.org/10.1126/science.1150195
- Gubbels, J. S., Kremers, S. P. J., Droomers, M., Hoefnagels, C., Stronks, K., Hosman, C., & de Vries, S. (2016). The impact of greenery on physical activity and mental health of adolescent and adult residents of deprived neighborhoods: A longitudinal study. *Health & Place*, 40, 153–160. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.healthplace.2016.06.002
- Haq, S. M. A. (2011). Urban green spaces and an integrative approach to sustainable environment. Journal of Environmental Protection, 02(05), 601–608. https://doi.org/ 10.4236/jep.2011.25069
- Hartig, T., Mitchell, R., de Vries, S., & Frumkin, H. (2014). Nature and health. Annual Review of Public Health, 35(1), 207–228. https://doi.org/10.1146/annurevpublhealth-032013-182443
- He, D., Lu, Y., Xie, B., & Helbich, M. (2021). Large-scale greenway intervention promotes walking behaviors: A natural experiment in China. *Transportation Research Part D: Transport and Environment, 101*, Article 103095. https://doi.org/10.1016/j. trd.2021.103095
- Heidt, V., & Neef, M. (2008). Benefits of urban green space for improving urban climate. In Ecology, planning, and management of urban forests (pp. 84–96). New York: Springer. https://doi.org/10.1007/978-0-387-71425-7\_6.
- Heo, S., & Bell, M. L. (2019). The influence of green space on the short-term effects of particulate matter on hospitalization in the U.S. for 2000–2013. *Environmental Research*, 174, 61–68.
- Herrera-Gomez, S. S., Quevedo-Nolasco, A., & Pérez-Urrestarazu, L. (2017). The role of green roofs in climate change mitigation. A case study in Seville (Spain). *Building and Environment*, 123, 575–584.
- Hogendorf, M., Oude Groeniger, J., Noordzij, J. M., Beenackers, M. A., & van Lenthe, F. J. (2020). Longitudinal effects of urban green space on walking and cycling: A fixed effects analysis. *Health & Place*, 61, Article 102264. https://doi.org/10.1016/j. healthplace.2019.102264
- Horte, O., & Eisenman, T. (2020). Urban greenways: A systematic review and typology. Land, 9(2), 40. https://doi.org/10.3390/land9020040
- Hu, H.-B., Hou, Z.-H., Huang, C.-H., LaMonte, M. J., Wang, M., & Lu, B. (2022). Associations of exposure to residential green space and neighborhood walkability with coronary atherosclerosis in Chinese adults. *Environmental Pollution*, 292, Article 118347
- Hu, Y., Dai, Z., & Guldmann, J.-M. (2021). Greenspace configuration impact on the urban heat island in the Olympic Area of Beijing. *Environmental Science and Pollution Research International*, 28, 33096–33107. https://doi.org/10.1007/s11356-020-12086-z
- Hunter, R., Adlakha, D., Cardwell, C., Cupples, M., Donnelly, M., Ellis, G., ... Tully, M. (2021). Investigating the physical activity, health, wellbeing, social and environmental effects of a new urban greenway: A natural experiment (the PARC study). *International Journal of Behavioral Nurtition and Physical Activity*, 18, 142. https://doi.org/10.1186/s12966-021-01213-9
- Hunter, R., Christian, H., Veitch, J., Astell-Burt, T., Hipp, J., & Schipperijn, J. (2015). The impact of interventions to promote physical activity in urban green space: A systematic review and recommendations for future research. *Social Science & Medicine*, 124, 246–256. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.socscimed.2014.11.051
- Hunter, R., Cleland, C., Cleary, A., Droomers, M., Wheeler, B. W., Sinnette, D., ... Braubach, M. (2019). Environmental, health, wellbeing, social and equity effects of urban green space interventions: A meta-narrative evidence synthesis. *Environment International*, 130. Article 104923. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.envint.2019.104923
- Hunter, R., Dallat, M. A. T., Tully, M. A., Heron, L., O'Neill, C., & Kee, F. (2020). Social return on investment analysis of an urban greenway. *Cities & Health*, 6(4), 693–710. https://doi.org/10.1080/23748834.2020.1766783
- Islam, M. Z., Johnston, J., & Sly, P. D. (2020). Green space and early childhood development: A systematic review. *Reviews on Environmental Health*, 35(2), 189–200. https://doi.org/10.1515/reveh-2019-0046
- Jaafari, S., Shabani, A. A., Moeinaddini, M., Danehkar, A., & Sakieh, Y. (2020). Applying landscape metrics and structural equation modeling to predict the effect of urban green space on air pollution and respiratory mortality in Tehran. *Environmental Monitoring and Assessment, 192*(7), 412. https://doi.org/10.1007/s10661-020-08377-0
- Jaganmohan, M., Knapp, S., Buchmann, C. M., & Schwarz, N. (2016). The bigger, the better? The influence of urban green space design on cooling effects for residential areas. *Journal of Environmental Quality*, 45(1), 134–145.
- James, P., Banay, R. F., Hart, J. E., & Laden, F. (2015). A review of the health benefits of greenness. *Current Epidemiology Reports*, 2(2), 131–142. https://doi.org/10.1007/ s40471-015-0043-7
- Jarden, K. M., Jefferson, A. J., & Grieser, J. M. (2016). Assessing the effects of catchmentscale urban green infrastructure retrofits on hydrograph characteristics. *Hydrological Processes*, 30(10), 1536–1550. https://doi.org/10.1002/hyp.10736
- Jennings, V., & Bamkole, O. (2019). The relationship between social cohesion and urban green space: An avenue for health promotion. *International Journal of Environmental Research and Public Health*, 16(3), 452. https://doi.org/10.3390/ijerph16030452
- Jim, C. (2004). Green-space preservation and allocation for sustainable greening of compact cities. Cities, 21(4), 311–320. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.cities.2004.04.004
- Jim, C. Y., & Chen, W. Y. (2008). Assessing the ecosystem service of air pollutant removal by urban trees in Guangzhou (China). *Journal of Environmental Management*, 88(4), 665–676. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jenvman.2007.03.035
- Jin, H.-Y., Kwon, Y., Yoo, S., Yim, D.-H., & Han, S. (2021). Can urban greening using abandoned places promote citizens' wellbeing? Case in Daegu City, South Korea. *Urban Forestry & Urban Greening*, *57*, Article 126956.

- Jonidi Jafari, A., Charkhloo, E., & Pasalari, H. (2021). Urban air pollution control policies and strategies: A systematic review. Journal of Environmental Health Science and Engineering, 19(2), 1911–1940. https://doi.org/10.1007/s40201-021-00744-4
- Kabisch, N., & Haase, D. (2013). Green spaces of European cities revisited for 1990–2006. Landscape and Urban Planning, 110, 113–122. https://doi.org/10.1016/ j.landurbplan.2012.10.017
- Kahn, P. H., & Kellert, S. R. (2002). Children and nature: Psychological, sociocultureal, and evolutionary investigations. MIT Press.
- Karagulian, F., Belis, C. A., Dora, C. F. C., Prüss-Ustün, A. M., Bonjour, S., Adair-Rohani, H., & Amann, M. (2015). Contributions to cities' ambient particulate matter (PM): A systematic review of local source contributions at global level. Atmospheric Environment, 120, 475–483. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.atmosenv.2015.08.087
- Ke, X., Men, H., Zhou, T., Li, Z., & Zhu, F. (2021). Variance of the impact of urban green space on the urban heat island effect among different urban functional zones: A case study in Wuhan. Urban Forestry & Urban Greening, 62, Article 127159.
- Khomenko, S., Cirach, M., Pereira-Barboza, E., Mueller, N., Barrera-Gómez, J., Rojas-Rueda, D., ... Nieuwenhuijsen, M. (2021). Premature mortality due to air pollution in European cities: A health impact assessment. *The Lancet Planetary Health*, 5(3), e121–e134. https://doi.org/10.1016/S2542-5196(20)30272-2
- Kim, H. W., Kim, J.-H., Li, W., Yang, P., & Cao, Y. (2017). Exploring the impact of green space health on runoff reduction using NDVI. Urban Forestry & Urban Greening, 28, 81–87.
- King, A., & Shackleton, C. M. (2020). Maintenance of public and private urban green infrastructure provides significant employment in Eastern Cape towns, South Africa. Urban Forestry & Urban Greening, 54, Article 126740. https://doi.org/10.1016/j. ufug.2020.126740
- Kingsley, J., Foenander, E., & Bailey, A. (2020). "It's about community": Exploring social capital in community gardens across Melbourne, Australia. Urban Forestry & Urban Greening, 49, Article 126640.
- Klemm, W., Heusinkveld, B. G., Lenzholzer, S., Jacobs, M. H., & Van Hove, B. (2015). Psychological and physical impact of urban green spaces on outdoor thermal comfort during summertime in The Netherlands. *Building and Environment*, 83, 120–128.
- Knaus, M., & Haase, D. (2020). Green roof effects on daytime heat in a prefabricated residential neighbourhood in Berlin, Germany. Urban Forestry & Urban Greening, 53, Article 126738.
- Knobel, P., Maneja, R., Bartoll, X., Alonso, L., Bauwelinck, M., Valentin, A., ... Dadvand, P. (2021). Quality of urban green spaces influences residents' use of these spaces, physical activity, and overweight/obesity. *Environmental Pollution*, 271, Article 116393.
- Ko, H., & Son, Y. (2018). Perceptions of cultural ecosystem services in urban green spaces: A case study in Gwacheon, Republic of Korea. *Ecological Indicators*, 91, 299–306. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.ecolind.2018.04.006
- Kondo, M. C., Fluehr, J. M., McKeon, T. P., & Branas, C. (2018). Urban green space and its impact on human health. *International Journal of Environmental Research and Public Health*, 15(3), 445. https://doi.org/10.3390/ijerph15030445
- Lachowycz, K., & Jones, A. P. (2011). Greenspace and obesity: A systematic review of the evidence. Obesity Reviews, 12(5), e183–e189. https://doi.org/10.1111/j.1467-789X.2010.00827.x
- Lai, H., Flies, E. J., Weinstein, P., & Woodward, A. (2019). The impact of green space and biodiversity on health. Frontiers in Ecology and the Environment, 17(7), 383–390.
- Lanki, T., Siponen, T., Ojala, A., Korpela, K., Pennanen, A., Tiittanen, P., Tsunetsugu, Y., Kagawa, T., & Tyrväinen, L. (2017). Acute effects of visits to urban green environments on cardiovascular physiology in women: A field experiment. *Environmental Research*, 159, 176–185.
- Lazzarino, A. I., Hamer, M., Stamatakis, E., & Steptoe, A. (2013). Low socioeconomic status and psychological distress as synergistic predictors of mortality from stroke and coronary heart disease. *Psychosomatic Medicine*, 75(3), 311–316. https://doi. org/10.1097/PSY.0b013e3182898e6d
- Lehmann, I., Mathey, J., Rößler, S., Bräuer, A., & Goldberg, V. (2014). Urban vegetation structure types as a methodological approach for identifying ecosystem services – Application to the analysis of micro-climatic effects. *Ecological Indicators*, 42, 58–72. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.ecolind.2014.02.036
- Li, P., & Wang, Z.-H. (2021). Environmental co-benefits of urban greening for mitigating heat and carbon emissions. *Journal of Environmental Management, 293*, Article 112963.
- Li, Y., Fan, S., Li, K., Zhang, Y., Kong, L., Xie, Y., & Dong, L. (2021). Large urban parks summertime cool and wet island intensity and its influencing factors in Beijing, China. Urban Forestry & Urban Greening, 65, Article 127375.
- Lin, B. B., Ossola, A., Alberti, M., Andersson, E., Bai, X., Dobbs, C., ... Tan, P. Y. (2021). Integrating solutions to adapt cities for climate change. *The Lancet Planetary Health*, 5 (7), e479–e486. https://doi.org/10.1016/S2542-5196(21)00135-2
- Liu, W., Chen, W., Feng, Q., Peng, C., & Kang, P. (2016). Cost-benefit analysis of green infrastructures on community stormwater reduction and utilization: A case of Beijing, China. *Environmental Management*, 58(6), 1015–1026.
- Lomba-Fernández, Hernantes, & Labaka. (2019). Guide for climate-resilient cities: An urban critical infrastructures approach. Sustainability, 11(17), 4727. https://doi.org/ 10.3390/su11174727
- Maas, J., Verheij, R. A., Spreeuwenberg, P., & Groenewegen, P. P. (2008). Physical activity as a possible mechanism behind the relationship between green space and health: A multilevel analysis. *BMC Public Health*, 8(1), 206. https://doi.org/10.1186/ 1471-2458-8-206
- Mabon, L., Kondo, K., Kanekiyo, H., Hayabuchi, Y., & Yamaguchi, A. (2019). Fukuoka: Adapting to climate change through urban green space and the built environment? *Cities (London, England)*, 93, 273–285. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.cities.2019.05.007
- Mabon, L., & Shih, W.-Y. (2021). Urban greenspace as a climate change adaptation strategy for subtropical Asian cities: A comparative study across cities in three

### C. Tate et al.

countries. Global Environmental Change, 68, Article 102248. https://doi.org/ 10.1016/j.gloenvcha.2021.102248

- Mäki-Opas, T. E., Borodulin, K., Valkeinen, H., Stenholm, S., Kunst, A. E., Abel, T., ... Koskinen, S. (2016). The contribution of travel-related urban zones, cycling and pedestrian networks and green space to commuting physical activity among adults – A cross-sectional population-based study using geographical information systems. *BMC Public Health*, 16(1), 760. https://doi.org/10.1186/s12889-016-3264-x
- Markevych, I., Schoierer, J., Hartig, T., Chudnovsky, A., Hystad, P., Dzhambov, A. M., ... Fuertes, E. (2017). Exploring pathways linking greenspace to health: Theoretical and methodological guidance. *Environmental Research*, 158, 301–317. https://doi.org/ 10.1016/j.envres.2017.06.028
- Mason, S. G. (2010). Can community design build trust? A comparative study of design factors in Boise, Idaho neighborhoods. *Cities*, 27(6), 456–465. https://doi.org/ 10.1016/j.cities.2010.07.003
- Millward, A. A., Torchia, M., Laursen, A. E., & Rothman, L. D. (2014). Vegetation placement for summer built surface temperature moderation in an urban microclimate. *Environmental Management*, 53(6), 1043–1057. https://doi.org/ 10.1007/s00267-014-0260-8
- Miralles-Guasch, C., Dopico, J., Delclòs-Alió, X., Knobel, P., Marquet, O., Maneja-Zaragoza, R., ... Vich, G. (2019). Natural landscape, infrastructure, and health: The physical activity implications of urban green space composition among the elderly. *International Journal of Environmental Research and Public Health*, 16(20), 3986. https://doi.org/10.3390/ijerph16203986
- Mishra, V., Ganguly, A. R., Nijssen, B., & Lettenmaier, D. P. (2015). Changes in observed climate extremes in global urban areas. *Environmental Research Letters*, 10(2), Article 024005. https://doi.org/10.1088/1748-9326/10/2/024005
- Mitchell, R., & Popham, F. (2008). Effect of exposure to natural environment on health inequalities: An observational population study. *The Lancet*, 372(9650), 1655–1660. https://doi.org/10.1016/S0140-6736(08)61689-X
- Moser, C., & Satterthwaite, D. (2008). Towards pro-poor adaptation to climate change in the urban centres of low- and middle-income countries. IIED: In Human Settlements Discussion Paper Series.
- Murakami, K., Hashimoto, H., Lee, J. S., Kawakubo, K., Mori, K., & Akabayashi, A. (2011). Distinct impact of education and income on habitual exercise: A crosssectional analysis in a rural city in Japan. *Social Science & Medicine*, 73(12), 1683–1688. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.socscimed.2011.09.024
- Murray, T. C., Rodgers, W. M., & Fraser, S. N. (2012). Exploring the relationship between socioeconomic status, control beliefs and exercise behavior: A multiple mediator model. *Journal of Behavioral Medicine*, 35(1), 63–73. https://doi.org/10.1007/ s10865-011-9327-7
- Napoli, M., Massetti, L., Brandani, G., Petralli, M., & Orlandini, S. (2016). Modeling tree shade effect on urban ground surface temperature. *Journal of Environmental Quality*, 45(1), 146–156.
- Nastran, M., Kobal, M., & Eler, K. (2019). Urban heat islands in relation to green land use in European cities. Urban Forestry & Urban Greening, 37, 33–41.
- Nero, B. F., Callo-Concha, D., Anning, A., & Denich, M. (2017). Urban green spaces enhance climate change mitigation in cities of the global south: The case of Kumasi, Ghana. Procedia Engineering, 198, 69–83. https://doi.org/10.1016/j. proeng.2017.07.074
- Nesbitt, L., Hotte, N., Barron, S., Cowan, J., & Sheppard, S. R. J. (2017). The social and economic value of cultural ecosystem services provided by urban forests in North America: A review and suggestions for future research. *Urban Forestry & Urban Greening*, 25, 103–111.
- Ngo, V. D., Frank, L. D., & Bigazzi, A. Y. (2018). Effects of new urban greenways on transportation energy use and greenhouse gas emissions: A longitudinal study from Vancouver, Canada. *Transportation Research Part D: Transport and Environment*, 62, 715–725. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.trd.2018.04.013
- 715–725. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.trd.2018.04.013
  Ngom, R., Gosselin, P., Blais, C., & Rochette, L. (2016). Type and proximity of green spaces are important for preventing cardiovascular morbidity and diabetes—A crosssectional study for Quebec, Canada. *International Journal of Environmental Research* and Public Health. 13(4), 423. https://doi.org/10.3390/ijerph13040423
- and Public Health, 13(4), 423. https://doi.org/10.3390/ijerph13040423 Nicholls, E., Ely, A., Birkin, L., Basu, P., & Goulson, D. (2020). The contribution of smallscale food production in urban areas to the sustainable development goals: A review and case study. *Sustainability Science*, 15(6), 1585–1599. https://doi.org/10.1007/ s11625-020-00792-z
- Nieuwenhuijsen, M. J., Khreis, H., Triguero-Mas, M., Gascon, M., & Dadvand, P. (2017). Fifty shades of green: Pathway to healthy urban living. *Epidemiology*, 28(1), 63–71. https://doi.org/10.1097/EDE.00000000000549
- Nouri, H., Chavoshi Borujeni, S., & Hoekstra, A. Y. (2019). The blue water footprint of urban green spaces: An example for Adelaide, Australia. Landscape and Urban Planning, 190, Article 103613. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.landurbplan.2019.103613
- Nowak, D. J., Crane, D. E., & Stevens, J. C. (2006). Air pollution removal by urban trees and shrubs in the United States. *Urban Forestry & Urban Greening*, 4(3–4), 115–123. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.ufug.2006.01.007
- O'Brien, L., & Murray, R. (2007). Forest School and its impacts on young children: Case studies in Britain. Urban Forestry & Urban Greening, 6(4), 249–265. https://doi.org/ 10.1016/j.ufug.2007.03.006
- Oke, T. R. (1989). The micrometeorology of the urban forest. Philosophical Transactions of the Royal Society of London. B, Biological Sciences, 324(1223), 335–349. https://doi. org/10.1098/rstb.1989.0051
- Ono, A. J., Boyd, D. R., & Chan, K. M. A. (2023). Acculturation as an ecosystem service? Urban natural space supports evolving relational values and identity in new female migrants. *People and Nature*, 5(2), 313–325. https://doi.org/10.1002/pan3.10188
- Park, J., Kim, J.-H., Lee, D. K., Park, C. Y., & Jeong, S. G. (2017). The influence of small green space type and structure at the street level on urban heat island mitigation. *Urban Forestry & Urban Greening*, 21, 203–212.

- Patz, J. A., Campbell-Lendrum, D., Holloway, T., & Foley, J. A. (2005). Impact of regional climate change on human health. *Nature*, 438(7066), 310–317. https://doi.org/ 10.1038/nature04188
- Qiu, G. Y., Zou, Z., Li, X., Li, H., Guo, Q., Yan, C., & Tan, S. (2017). Experimental studies on the effects of green space and evapotranspiration on urban heat island in a subtropical megacity in China. *Habitat International*, 68, 30–42. https://doi.org/ 10.1016/j.habitatint.2017.07.009
- Rahman, M. A., Smith, J. G., Stringer, P., & Ennos, A. R. (2011). Effect of rooting conditions on the growth and cooling ability of Pyrus calleryana. Urban Forestry & Urban Greening, 10(3), 185–192. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.ufug.2011.05.003
- Rahman, M. A., Stratopoulos, L. M. F., Moser-Reischl, A., Zölch, T., Häberle, K.-H., Rötzer, T., ... Pauleit, S. (2020). Traits of trees for cooling urban heat islands: A meta-analysis. *Building and Environment, 170*, Article 106606. https://doi.org/ 10.1016/j.buildenv.2019.106606
- Rahnama, M. R., & Shaddel, L. (2019). Urban green space is spatially associated with cardiovascular disease occurrence in women of Mashhad: A spatial analysis of influential factors on their presence in urban green spaces. *Journal of Urban Health: Bulletin of the New York Academy of Medicine*, 96(5), 653–668. https://doi.org/ 10.1007/s11524-019-00373-1
- Ren, Z., He, X., Zheng, H., Zhang, D., Yu, X., Shen, G., & Guo, R. (2013). Estimation of the relationship between urban park characteristics and park cool island intensity by remote sensing data and field measurement. *Forests*, 4(4), 868–886. https://doi.org/ 10.3390/f4040868
- Reyes-Riveros, R., Altamirano, A., De La Barrera, F., Rozas-Vásquez, D., Vieli, L., & Meli, P. (2021). Linking public urban green spaces and human well-being: A systematic review. Urban Forestry & Urban Greening, 61, Article 127105.
- Richardson, E. A., Pearce, J., Mitchell, R., & Kingham, S. (2013). Role of physical activity in the relationship between urban green space and health. *Public Health*, 127(4), 318–324. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.puhe.2013.01.004
- Rigolon, A., Browning, M. H. E. M., McAnirlin, O., & Yoon, H.(. V.). (2021). Green space and health equity: A systematic review on the potential of green space to reduce health disparities. *International Journal of Environmental Research and Public Health*, 18(5), 2563. https://doi.org/10.3390/ijerph18052563
- Roebeling, P., Saraiva, M., Palla, A., Gnecco, İ., Teotónio, C., Fidelis, T., ... Rocha, J. (2017). Assessing the socio-economic impacts of green/blue space, urban residential and road infrastructure projects in the Confluence (Lyon): A hedonic pricing simulation approach. *Journal of Environmental Planning and Management*, 60(3), 482–499.
- Salmond, J. A., Williams, D. E., Laing, G., Kingham, S., Dirks, K., Longley, I., & Henshaw, G. S. (2013). The influence of vegetation on the horizontal and vertical distribution of pollutants in a street canyon. *Science of the Total Environment*, 443, 287–298. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.scitotenv.2012.10.101
- Salvo, D., Garcia, L., Reis, R. S., Stankov, I., Goel, R., Schipperijn, J., ... Pratt, M. (2021). Physical activity promotion and the United Nations sustainable development goals: Building synergies to maximize impact. *Journal of Physical Activity and Health, 18* (10), 1163–1180. https://doi.org/10.1123/jpah.2021-0413
- Sampson, R. J., Raudenbush, S. W., & Earls, F. (1997). Neighborhoods and violent crime: A multilevel study of collective efficacy. *Science*, 277(5328), 918–924. https://doi. org/10.1126/science.277.5328.918
- Santamouris, M., Cartalis, C., Synnefa, A., & Kolokotsa, D. (2015). On the impact of urban heat island and global warming on the power demand and electricity consumption of buildings—A review. *Energy and Buildings*, 98, 119–124. https://doi. org/10.1016/j.enbuild.2014.09.052
- Scherer, D., Fehrenbach, U., Lakes, T., Lauf, S., Meier, F., & Schuster, C. (2014). Quantification of heat-stress related mortality hazard, vulnerability and risk in Berlin, Germany. DIE ERDE – Journal of the Geographical Society of Berlin, 144(3–4), 238–259. https://doi.org/10.12854/erde-144-17
- Schipperijn, J., Bentsen, P., Troelsen, J., Toftager, M., & Stigsdotter, U. K. (2013). Associations between physical activity and characteristics of urban green space. *Urban Forestry & Urban Greening*, 12(1), 109–116. https://doi.org/10.1016/j. ufue.2012.12.002
- Schutte, A. R., Torquati, J. C., & Beattie, H. L. (2017). Impact of urban nature on executive functioning in early and middle childhood. *Environment and Behavior*, 49 (1), 3–30. https://doi.org/10.1177/0013916515603095
- Scott, M., Lennon, M., Haase, D., Kazmierczak, A., Clabby, G., & Beatley, T. (2016). Nature-based solutions for the contemporary city/re-naturing the city/reflections on urban landscapes, ecosystems services and nature-based solutions in cities/ multifunctional green infrastructure and climate change adaptation: Brownfield greening as an a. *Planning Theory & Practice*, 17(2), 267–300. https://doi.org/ 10.1080/14649357.2016.1158907
- Seo, S., Choi, S., Kim, K., Kim, S. M., & Park, S. M. (2019). Association between urban green space and the risk of cardiovascular disease: A longitudinal study in seven Korean metropolitan areas. *Environment International*, 125, 51–57.
- Seto, K. C., Güneralp, B., & Hutyra, L. R. (2012). Global forecasts of urban expansion to 2030 and direct impacts on biodiversity and carbon pools. *Proceedings of the National Academy of Sciences*, 109(40), 16083–16088. https://doi.org/10.1073/ pnas.1211658109
- Shackleton, K., Bell, N., Smith, H., & Davies, L. (2010). The role of shrubs and perennials in the capture and mitigation of particulate air pollution in London. Centre for Environmental Policy.
- Shadman, S., Ahanaf Khalid, P., Hanafiah, M. M., Koyande, A. K., Islam, M. A., Bhuiyan, S. A., ... Show, P.-L. (2022). The carbon sequestration potential of urban public parks of densely populated cities to improve environmental sustainability. *Sustainable Energy Technologies and Assessments*, 52, Article 102064. https://doi.org/ 10.1016/j.seta.2022.102064

### C. Tate et al.

Shashua-Bar, L., Potchter, O., Bitan, A., Boltansky, D., & Yaakov, Y. (2010). Microclimate modelling of street tree species effects within the varied urban morphology in the Mediterranean city of Tel Aviv, Israel. *International Journal of Climatology*, 30(1), 44–57. https://doi.org/10.1002/joc.1869

- Slater, S., Pugach, O., Lin, W., & Bontu, A. (2016). If you build it will they come? Does involving community groups in playground renovations affect park utilization and physical activity? *Environment and Behavior*, 48(1), 246–265. https://doi.org/ 10.1177/0013916515614368
- Smith, N., Georgiou, M., King, A. C., Tieges, Z., Webb, S., & Chastin, S. (2021). Urban blue spaces and human health: A systematic review and meta-analysis of quantitative studies. *Cities*, 119, Article 103413. https://doi.org/10.1016/j. cities.2021.103413
- Snilstveit, B., Vojtkova, M., Bhavsar, A., Stevenson, J., & Gaarder, M. (2016). Evidence & Gap Maps: A tool for promoting evidence informed policy and strategic research agendas. *Journal of Clinical Epidemiology*, 79, 120–129. https://doi.org/10.1016/j. jclinepi.2016.05.015
- Sommer, I., Griebler, U., Mahlknecht, P., Thaler, K., Bouskill, K., Gartlehner, G., & Mendis, S. (2015). Socioeconomic inequalities in non-communicable diseases and their risk factors: An overview of systematic reviews. *BMC Public Health*, 15(1), 914. https://doi.org/10.1186/s12889-015-2227-y
- Southerland, V. A., Brauer, M., Mohegh, A., Hammer, M. S., van Donkelaar, A., Martin, R. V., ... Anenberg, S. C. (2022). Global urban temporal trends in fine particulate matter (PM2-5) and attributable health burdens: Estimates from global datasets. *The Lancet Planetary Health*, 6(2), e139–e146. https://doi.org/10.1016/ S2542-5196(21)00350-8
- Sugawara, H., Shimizu, S., Takahashi, H., Hagiwara, S., Narita, K., Mikami, T., & Hirano, T. (2016). Thermal influence of a large green space on a hot urban environment. *Journal of Environmental Quality*, 45(1), 125–133.
- Sun, S., Sarkar, C., Kumari, S., James, P., Cao, W., Lee, R. S., ... Webster, C. (2020). Air pollution associated respiratory mortality risk alleviated by residential greenness in the Chinese Elderly Health Service Cohort. *Environmental Research*, 183, Article 109139.
- Sun, S., Xu, X., Lao, Z., Liu, W., Li, Z., Higueras García, E., He, L., & Zhu, J. (2017). Evaluating the impact of urban green space and landscape design parameters on thermal comfort in hot summer by numerical simulation. *Building and Environment*, 123, 277–288.
- Sun, Y., Xie, S., & Zhao, S. (2019). Valuing urban green spaces in mitigating climate change: A city-wide estimate of aboveground carbon stored in urban green spaces of China's capital. *Global Change Biology*, 25(5), 1717–1732. https://doi.org/10.1111/ gcb.14566
- Tan, C. L. Y., Chang, C.-C., Nghiem, L. T. P., Zhang, Y., Oh, R. R. Y., Shanahan, D. F., ... Carrasco, L. R. (2021). The right mix: Residential urban green-blue space combinations are correlated with physical exercise in a tropical city-state. Urban Forestry & Urban Greening, 57, Article 126947.
- Tan, J., Zheng, Y., Tang, X., Guo, C., Li, L., Song, G., ... Chen, H. (2010). The urban heat island and its impact on heat waves and human health in Shanghai. *International Journal of Biometeorology*, 54(1), 75–84. https://doi.org/10.1007/s00484-009-0256-
- Tan, J. K. N., Belcher, R. N., Tan, H. T. W., Menz, S., & Schroepfer, T. (2021). The urban heat island mitigation potential of vegetation depends on local surface type and shade. Urban Forestry & Urban Greening, 62, Article 127128. https://doi.org/ 10.1016/j.ufug.2021.127128
- The World Bank. (2023). How does the World Bank classify countries?. https://datahe lpdesk.worldbank.org/knowledgebase/articles/378834-how-does-the-world-bankclassify-countries.
- Tong, S., Prior, J., McGregor, G., Shi, X., & Kinney, P. (2021). Urban heat: An increasing threat to global health. *BMJ*, 375, Article n2467. https://doi.org/10.1136/bmj. n2467
- Tuofu, H., Qingyun, H., Dongxiao, Y., & Xiao, O. (2021). Evaluating the impact of urban blue space accessibility on housing price: A spatial quantile regression approach applied in Changsha, China. Frontiers in Environmental Science, 9, 164. https://doi. org/10.3389/fenvs.2021.696626

United Nations. (2018). World urbanization prospects: The 2018 revision.

- United Nations. (n.d.). Generating power: Cities and pollution. https://www.un. org/en/climatechange/climate-solutions/cities-pollution#:~:text=Cit ies\_are\_major\_contributors\_to,cent\_of\_the\_Earth's\_surface.
- United Nations General Assembly. (2015). Transforming our world: The 2030 agenda for sustainable development. https://www.unfpa.org/resources/transforming-our-world-2030-agenda-sustainable-development.
- United Nations Office for Disaster Risk Reduction. (2018). Economic losses, poverty & disasters: 1998–2017. https://www.undrr.org/news/un-20-year-review-earthquakes -and-tsunamis-kill-more-people-while-climate-change-driving.
- van den Bosch, M., & Ode Sang, Å. (2017). Urban natural environments as nature-based solutions for improved public health – A systematic review of reviews. *Environmental Research*, 158, 373–384. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.envres.2017.05.040
- Van Ryswyk, K., Prince, N., Ahmed, M., Brisson, E., Miller, J. D., & Villeneuve, P. J. (2019). Does urban vegetation reduce temperature and air pollution concentrations? Findings from an environmental monitoring study of the Central Experimental Farm in Ottawa, Canada. Atmospheric Environment, 218, Article 116886.
- Vienneau, D., de Hoogh, K., Faeh, D., Kaufmann, M., Wunderli, J. M., & Röösli, M. (2017). More than clean air and tranquillity: Residential green is independently associated with decreasing mortality. *Environment International*, 108, 176–184.
- Villeneuve, P. J., Jerrett, M., Su, G. J., Burnett, R. T., Chen, H., Wheeler, A. J., & Goldberg, M. S. (2012). A cohort study relating urban green space with mortality in Ontario, Canada. *Environmental Research*, 115, 51–58. https://doi.org/10.1016/j. envres.2012.03.003

- Waite, S., Bølling, M., & Bentsen, P. (2016). Comparing apples and pears?: A conceptual framework for understanding forms of outdoor learning through comparison of English Forest Schools and Danish udeskole. Environmental Education Research, 22(6), 868–892. https://doi.org/10.1080/13504622.2015.1075193
- Walsh, L. E., Mead, B. R., Hardman, C. A., Evans, D., Liu, L., Falagán, N., ... Davies, J. (2022). Potential of urban green spaces for supporting horticultural production: A national scale analysis. *Environmental Research Letters*, 17(1), Article 014052. https://doi.org/10.1088/1748-9326/ac4730
- Wan, C., Shen, G. Q., & Choi, S. (2021). Underlying relationships between public urban green spaces and social cohesion: A systematic literature review. *City, Culture and Society*, 24, Article 100383. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.ccs.2021.100383
- Wang, H., Dai, X., Wu, J., Wu, X., & Nie, X. (2019). Influence of urban green open space on residents' physical activity in China. BMC Public Health, 19(1), 1093. https://doi. org/10.1186/s12889-019-7416-7
- Wang, M., Qiu, M., Chen, M., Zhang, Y., Zhang, S., & Wang, L. (2021). How does urban green space feature influence physical activity diversity in high-density built environment? An on-site observational study. Urban Forestry & Urban Greening, 62, Article 127129.
- Wang, X., Dallimer, M., Scott, C. E., Shi, W., & Gao, J. (2021). Tree species richness and diversity predicts the magnitude of urban heat island mitigation effects of greenspaces. *Science of the Total Environment*, 770, Article 145211. https://doi.org/ 10.1016/j.scitotenv.2021.145211
- White, H., Albers, B., Gaarder, M., Kornør, H., Littell, J., Marshall, Z., Mathew, C., Pigott, T., Snilstveit, B., Waddington, H., & Welch, V. (2020). Guidance for producing a Campbell evidence and gap map. *Campbell Systematic Reviews*, 16(4). https://doi.org/10.1002/cl2.1125
- Wolf, T., McGregor, G., & Analitis, A. (2009). Assessing vulnerability to heat stress in urban areas. The example of Greater London. *Epidemiology*, 20, S24. https://doi.org/ 10.1097/01.ede.0000362249.11577.19
- World Health Organisation. (2018). Global action plan on physical activity 2018–2030: More active people for a healthier world.
- World Health Organization. (2017). Urban green spaces: A brief for action. In Regional Office For Europe.
- Wu, C.-D., McNeely, E., Cedeño-Laurent, J. G., Pan, W.-C., Adamkiewicz, G., Dominici, F., ... Spengler, J. D. (2014). Linking student performance in Massachusetts elementary schools with the "greenness" of school surroundings using remote sensing. *PLoS One*, 9(10), Article e108548. https://doi.org/10.1371/journal. pone.0108548
- Wu, J., Wang, M., Li, W., Peng, J., & Huang, L. (2015). Impact of urban green space on residential housing prices: Case study in Shenzhen. *Journal of Urban Planning and Development*, 141(4). https://doi.org/10.1061/(ASCE)UP.1943-5444.0000241
- Wu, L., & Kim, S. K. (2021). Health outcomes of urban green space in China: Evidence from Beijing. Sustainable Cities and Society, 65, Article 102604. https://doi.org/ 10.1016/j.scs.2020.102604
- Wu, S., Yang, H., Luo, P., Luo, C., Li, H., Liu, M., Ruan, Y., Zhang, S., Xiang, P., Jia, H., & Cheng, Y. (2021). The effects of the cooling efficiency of urban wetlands in an inland megacity: A case study of Chengdu, Southwest China. *Building and Environment, 204*, Article 108128.
- Wu, X., Fu, B., Wang, S., Song, S., Li, Y., Xu, Z., Wei, Y., & Liu, J. (2022). Decoupling of SDGs followed by re-coupling as sustainable development progresses. *Nature Sustainability*, 5(5), 452–459. https://doi.org/10.1038/s41893-022-00868-x
- Xie, B., Lu, Y., Wu, L., & An, Z. (2021). Dose-response effect of a large-scale greenway intervention on physical activities: The first natural experimental study in China. *Health & Place*, 67, Article 102502. https://doi.org/10.1016/j. healthplace.2020.102502
- Xu, L.-Y., Yin, H., & Xie, X.-D. (2014). Health risk assessment of inhalable particulate matter in Beijing based on the thermal environment. *International Journal of Environmental Research and Public Health*, 11(12), 12368–12388. https://doi.org/ 10.3390/jierph111212368
- Yan, H., Fan, S., Guo, C., Wu, F., Zhang, N., & Dong, L. (2014). Assessing the effects of landscape design parameters on intra-urban air temperature variability: The case of Beijing, China. *Building and Environment*, 76, 44–53. https://doi.org/10.1016/j. buildenv.2014.03.007
- Yang, L., Chan, K. L., Yuen, J. W. M., Wong, F. K. Y., Han, L., Ho, H. C., ... Wong, M. S. (2021). Effects of urban green space on cardiovascular and respiratory biomarkers in Chinese adults: Panel study using digital tracking devices. *JMIR Cardio*, 5(2), Article e31316. https://doi.org/10.2196/31316
- Yang, Z., Chen, Y., Zheng, Z., Huang, Q., & Wu, Z. (2020). Application of building geometry indexes to assess the correlation between buildings and air temperature. *Building and Environment*, 167, Article 106477. https://doi.org/10.1016/j. buildenv.2019.106477
- Ye, Y., & Qiu, H. (2021). Environmental and social benefits, and their coupling coordination in urban wetland parks. Urban Forestry & Urban Greening, 60, Article 127043.
- Yeh, C.-T., Cheng, Y.-Y., & Liu, T.-Y. (2020). Spatial characteristics of urban green spaces and human health: An exploratory analysis of canonical correlation. *International Journal of Environmental Research and Public Health*, 17(9), 3227. https://doi.org/ 10.3390/ijerph17093227
- Yu, C., & Hien, W. N. (2006). Thermal benefits of city parks. Energy and Buildings, 38(2), 105–120. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.enbuild.2005.04.003
- Yu, Z., Guo, X., Zeng, Y., Koga, M., & Vejre, H. (2018). Variations in land surface temperature and cooling efficiency of green space in rapid urbanization: The case of Fuzhou city, China. Urban Forestry & Urban Greening, 29, 113–121.
- Yu, Z., Yang, G., Zuo, S., Jørgensen, G., Koga, M., & Vejre, H. (2020). Critical review on the cooling effect of urban blue-green space: A threshold-size perspective. Urban Forestry & Urban Greening, 49, Article 126630.

### C. Tate et al.

- Yuan, B., Zhou, L., Dang, X., Sun, D., Hu, F., & Mu, H. (2021). Separate and combined effects of 3D building features and urban green space on land surface temperature. *Journal of Environmental Management, 295*, Article 113116.
- Zhang, B., Xie, G., Gao, J., & Yang, Y. (2014). The cooling effect of urban green spaces as a contribution to energy-saving and emission-reduction: A case study in Beijing, China. *Building and Environment*, 76, 37–43. https://doi.org/10.1016/j. buildenv.2014.03.003
- Zhang, X., Wang, D., Hao, H., Zhang, F., & Hu, Y. (2017). Effects of land use/cover changes and urban forest configuration on urban heat islands in a loess hilly region: Case study based on Yan'an City, China. *International Journal of Environmental Research and Public Health*, 14(8). https://doi.org/10.3390/ijerph14080840
- Zhao, L., Li, T., Przybysz, A., Guan, Y., Ji, P., Ren, B., & Zhu, C. (2021). Effect of urban lake wetlands and neighboring urban greenery on air PM10 and PM2.5 mitigation. *Building and Environment, 206*, Article 108291. https://doi.org/10.1016/j. buildenv.2021.108291
- Zhu, C., & Zeng, Y. (2018). Effects of urban lake wetlands on the spatial and temporal distribution of air PM10 and PM2.5 in the spring in Wuhan. Urban Forestry & Urban Greening, 31, 142–156. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.ufug.2018.02.008
- Žuvela-Aloise, M., Koch, R., Buchholz, S., & Früh, B. (2016). Modelling the potential of green and blue infrastructure to reduce urban heat load in the city of Vienna. *Climatic Change*, 135(3/4), 425–438.