



**QUEEN'S
UNIVERSITY
BELFAST**

Dixon's asymptotic without the classification of finite simple groups

Eberhard, S. (2023). Dixon's asymptotic without the classification of finite simple groups. *Random Structures and Algorithms*. Advance online publication. <https://doi.org/10.1002/rsa.21205>

Published in:

Random Structures and Algorithms

Document Version:

Publisher's PDF, also known as Version of record

Queen's University Belfast - Research Portal:

[Link to publication record in Queen's University Belfast Research Portal](#)

Publisher rights

Copyright 2023 the authors.

This is an open access article published under a Creative Commons Attribution License (<https://creativecommons.org/licenses/by/4.0/>), which permits unrestricted use, distribution and reproduction in any medium, provided the author and source are cited.

General rights

Copyright for the publications made accessible via the Queen's University Belfast Research Portal is retained by the author(s) and / or other copyright owners and it is a condition of accessing these publications that users recognise and abide by the legal requirements associated with these rights.

Take down policy

The Research Portal is Queen's institutional repository that provides access to Queen's research output. Every effort has been made to ensure that content in the Research Portal does not infringe any person's rights, or applicable UK laws. If you discover content in the Research Portal that you believe breaches copyright or violates any law, please contact openaccess@qub.ac.uk.

Open Access

This research has been made openly available by Queen's academics and its Open Research team. We would love to hear how access to this research benefits you. – Share your feedback with us: <http://go.qub.ac.uk/oa-feedback>

RESEARCH ARTICLE

WILEY

Dixon's asymptotic without the classification of finite simple groups

Sean Eberhard 

Mathematical Sciences Research Centre,
Queen's University Belfast, Belfast, UK

Correspondence

Sean Eberhard, Mathematical Sciences Research
Centre, Queen's University Belfast, Belfast,
BT7 1NN, UK.

Email: s.eberhard@qub.ac.uk

Funding information

Royal Society

Abstract

Without using the classification of finite simple groups (CFSG), we show that the probability that two random elements of S_n generate a primitive group smaller than A_n is at most $\exp(-c(n \log n)^{1/2})$. As a corollary we get Dixon's asymptotic expansion

$$1 - 1/n - 1/n^2 - 4/n^3 - 23/n^4 - \dots$$

for the probability that two random elements of S_n (or A_n) generate a subgroup containing A_n .

KEYWORDS

alternating group, CFSG, permutation groups, primitive groups, random generation, symmetric group

1 | INTRODUCTION

We give a CFSG-free proof of the following result.

Theorem 1. *Let G be the subgroup of S_n generated by two random elements. The probability that G is contained in a primitive subgroup of S_n smaller than A_n is bounded by $\exp(-c(n \log n)^{1/2})$ for some $c > 0$.*

This improves [8, Theorems 1.3 and 1.6]. By combining with the results of [5] we have the following corollary. (See also [10, A113869].)

Corollary 2. *The probability that two random elements of A_n generate the group is*

$$1 - 1/n - 1/n^2 - 4/n^3 - 23/n^4 - 171/n^5 - \dots$$

The same asymptotic expansion is valid for the probability that two random elements of S_n generate at least A_n .

This is an open access article under the terms of the [Creative Commons Attribution](https://creativecommons.org/licenses/by/4.0/) License, which permits use, distribution and reproduction in any medium, provided the original work is properly cited.

© 2023 The Authors. *Random Structures & Algorithms* published by Wiley Periodicals LLC.

2 | SATISFACTION PROBABILITY FOR UNIMODAL WORDS

Let $F_2 = F\{x, y\}$ be the free group on two letters x, y . We write $\{x, y\}^*$ for the set of positive words, that is, the submonoid generated by $\{x, y\}$. Let $G = S_n = \text{Sym}(\Omega)$ for $\Omega = \{1, \dots, n\}$.

Proposition 3. *Let $u, v \in \{x, y\}^*$ be distinct and let $w = uv^{-1} \in F_2$. Let $\ell = \ell(w) = \ell(u) + \ell(v)$ be the length of w . For a random evaluation $\bar{w} = w(\bar{x}, \bar{y})$ with $\bar{x}, \bar{y} \in S_n$ uniformly random and independent, we have*

$$\text{Prob}(\bar{w} = 1) \leq (2\ell/n)^{\lfloor n/2\ell \rfloor}.$$

Proof. Write $w = w_1 \cdots w_\ell$ with $\ell > 0$ and $w_i \in \{x^{\pm 1}, y^{\pm 1}\}$ for each i . We may assume this expression is cyclically reduced.

We use the query model for random permutations (see [4] or [7, Section A.1]). We gradually expose a random permutation $\pi \in \text{Sym}(\Omega)$ by querying values of our choice. At every stage \bar{x} and \bar{y} are partially defined permutations. We may query the value of any $\pi \in \{\bar{x}^{\pm 1}, \bar{y}^{\pm 1}\}$ at any point $\omega \in \Omega$. If ω is already in the known domain of π , the known value is returned; this is a *forced choice*. Otherwise, a random value is chosen uniformly from the remaining possibilities (the complement of the known domain of π^{-1}); this is a *free choice*. If the result of a free choice is a point in the known domain of any of $\bar{x}^{\pm 1}, \bar{y}^{\pm 1}$ we say there was a *coincidence*. It is standard and easy to see that this process results in uniformly random permutations \bar{x} and \bar{y} once all values are revealed.

Begin by choosing any $\omega_1 \in \Omega$ and exposing the trajectory

$$\omega_1^{\bar{w}_1}, \omega_1^{\bar{w}_1 \bar{w}_2}, \dots, \omega_1^{\bar{w}_1 \cdots \bar{w}_\ell}.$$

Let E_1 be the event that $\omega_1^{\bar{w}_1 \cdots \bar{w}_\ell} = \omega_1$. For this event to occur we claim it is necessary there was some coincidence among our queries of the form $\omega^{\bar{w}_i} = \omega_1$ (this is the crucial part of the argument). If $\ell(u) = 0$ or $\ell(v) = 0$ the argument is easy, so assume u and v have positive length. We may assume $w_1 = x$ and $w_\ell = y^{-1}$ since w is cyclically reduced. If there is no coincidence of the given form, the trajectory of ω_1 under \bar{u} does not return to ω_1 , so ω_1 cannot be added to the known domain of \bar{y} . Subsequently, during the negative part of the trajectory, unless there is a coincidence of the given form, ω_1 can be added to the known domains of \bar{x}^{-1} and \bar{y}^{-1} only. Therefore at the final step ω_1 is not in the known domain of \bar{y} , so if the final step is forced then the result is not ω_1 , and if the final step is free then the result is not ω_1 by hypothesis. This proves the claim.

Since the probability that any given free choice results in ω_1 is at most $1/(n - \ell)$, it follows by a union bound that

$$\text{Prob}\left(\omega_1^{\bar{w}} = \omega_1\right) \leq \ell/(n - \ell).$$

Conditional on the event E_1 choose a new point ω_2 outside the trajectory of ω_1 , examine the trajectory of ω_2 , and so on. In general, at iteration i , conditional on the event $\bigcap_{j < i} E_j$ where $E_j = \{\omega_j^{\bar{w}} = \omega_j\}$, choose a point $\omega_i \in \Omega$ outside the union of the trajectories of $\omega_1, \dots, \omega_{i-1}$ and query the trajectory of ω_i . In order for the event $E_i = \{\omega_i^{\bar{w}} = \omega_i\}$ to occur it is necessary that there be a coincidence of the form $\omega^{\bar{w}_i} = \omega_i$. Therefore

$$\text{Prob}\left(\omega_i^{\bar{w}} = \omega_i \mid E_1, \dots, E_{i-1}\right) \leq \ell/(n - i\ell).$$

Let $k = \lfloor n/2\ell \rfloor$. Since the event $\{\bar{w} = 1\}$ is contained in $E_1 \cap \dots \cap E_k$, it follows that

$$\text{Prob}(\bar{w} = 1) \leq \prod_{i=1}^k \frac{\ell}{n - i\ell} \leq \left(\frac{2\ell}{n}\right)^{\lfloor n/2\ell \rfloor}.$$

■

Remark 4. The proof above is essentially that of [9, Section 3]. An error in that argument was identified in [6], but the problem does not arise for words of the special form $w = uv^{-1}$, as explained in the third paragraph of the proof.

3 | THE ORDER OF THE GROUP

Now let $\bar{x}, \bar{y} \in S_n$ be uniformly random and let $G = \langle \bar{x}, \bar{y} \rangle$.

Proposition 5. *There is a constant $c > 0$ such that*

$$\text{Prob}(|G| < \exp(c(n \log n)^{1/2})) \leq \exp(-c(n \log n)^{1/2}).$$

Proof. Consider the elements of G of the form \bar{u} with $u \in \{x, y\}^*$ and $\ell(u) < r$ (for some r). The number of such u is $1 + 2 + \dots + 2^{r-1} = 2^r - 1$. Applying the previous proposition, the probability that any two such \bar{u} and \bar{u}' are equal is bounded by

$$4^r(4r/n)^{\lfloor n/4r \rfloor} \leq \exp(c_1 r - c_2(n/r) \log(n/r))$$

for some constants $c_1, c_2 > 0$. Choosing $r = c_3(n \log n)^{1/2}$ for a small enough constant $c_3 > 0$, we obtain a bound of the required form. Failing this event, $|G| \geq 2^r - 1$, so the result is proved. ■

A beautiful recent result of Sun and Wilmes [12, 13] (building on seminal work of Babai [1]) classifies primitive coherent configurations with more than $\exp(Cn^{1/3}(\log n)^{7/3})$ automorphisms. A corollary is a CFSG-free determination of the uniprimitive subgroups of S_n of order greater than the same bound. Much stronger bounds for the order of 2-transitive groups have been known for a long time [2, 11]. Thus we know there are at most two conjugacy classes of primitive maximal subgroups $M < S_n$ apart from A_n such that $|M| > \exp(Cn^{1/3}(\log n)^{7/3})$, and each satisfies $|M| = \exp(O(n^{1/2} \log n))$. Since the number of pairs of permutations lying in a common conjugate of a maximal subgroup M is at most $1/[S_n : M]$, Theorem 1 follows.

Remark 6. This proof was essentially anticipated in [3, Remark 1].

FUNDING INFORMATION

SE is supported by the Royal Society.

DATA AVAILABILITY STATEMENT

Data sharing not applicable to this article as no datasets were generated or analyzed during the current study.

ORCID

Sean Eberhard  <https://orcid.org/0000-0003-3347-0976>

REFERENCES

1. L. Babai, *On the order of uniprimitive permutation groups*, Ann. Math. **113** (1981), no. 3, 553–568. MR621016.
2. L. Babai, *On the order of doubly transitive permutation groups*, Invent. Math. **65** (1981), no. 3, 473–484. MR643565.
3. L. Babai, *The probability of generating the symmetric group*, J. Comb. Theory Ser. A **52** (1989), no. 1, 148–153. MR1008166.
4. A. Broder and E. Shamir, “*On the second eigenvalue of random regular graphs*,” 28th Annu. Symp. Found. Comput. Sci. (sfcs 1987), IEEE, Piscataway, NJ, 1987, pp. 286–294.
5. J. D. Dixon, *Asymptotics of generating the symmetric and alternating groups*, Electron. J. Comb. **12** (2005), R56. MR2180793.
6. S. Eberhard. The trivial lower bound for the girth of S_n . arXiv preprint arXiv:1706.09972, June 2017.
7. S. Eberhard and U. Jezernik, *Babai’s conjecture for high-rank classical groups with random generators*, Invent. Math. **227** (2022), no. 1, 149–210. MR4359476.
8. S. Eberhard and S.-C. Virchow, *The probability of generating the symmetric group*, Combinatorica **39** (2019), no. 2, 273–288. MR3962902.
9. A. Gamburd, S. Hoory, M. Shahshahani, A. Shalev, and B. Virág, *On the girth of random Cayley graphs*, Random Struct. Algoritm. **35** (2009), no. 1, 100–117. MR2532876.
10. OEIS Foundation Inc. *The on-line Encyclopedia of integer sequences*. 2023 <http://oeis.org>.
11. L. Pyber, *On the orders of doubly transitive permutation groups, elementary estimates*, J. Comb. Theory Ser. A **62** (1993), no. 2, 361–366. MR1207742.
12. X. Sun and J. Wilmes, “*Faster canonical forms for primitive coherent configurations: Extended abstract*,” Proc. 47th Annu. ACM Symp. Theory Comput., ACM, New York, 2015, pp. 693–702.
13. X. Sun and J. Wilmes. Structure and automorphisms of primitive coherent configurations. arXiv preprint arXiv:1510.02195, October 2015.

How to cite this article: S. Eberhard, *Dixon’s asymptotic without the classification of finite simple groups*, Random Struct. Alg. (2023), 1–4. <https://doi.org/10.1002/rsa.21205>