Subcutaneous inflammation mimicking metastatic malignancy induced by injection of mistletoe extract
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Subcutaneous inflammation mimicking metastatic malignancy induced by injection of mistletoe extract

A I Finall, S A McIntosh, W D Thompson

We describe the histological features of subcutaneous inflammation induced by mistletoe, a popular Christmas decoration, when used as an anticancer complementary therapy. We also outline the use of extract of mistletoe in this context.

Case report

A 61 year old woman attending a follow-up appointment two months after excision of tubular carcinoma of the breast complained of an abdominal wall mass. The lesion was subcutaneous, mildly tender, and had a nodular consistency. The patient was worried that the soft tissue mass might be a recurrence of follicular lymphoma, which had been diagnosed in April 2001, although her disease had been stable after five cycles of chemotherapy. The possibility of metastatic breast carcinoma was low considering the five cycles of chemotherapy. The possibility of metastatic breast carcinoma was low considering the five cycles of chemotherapy.

The lesion was excised and the 4×2×2 cm mass of subcutaneous tissue seemed to consist solely of fibroadipose tissue. Microscopically, we identified a widespread infiltrate of plasma cells, lymphocytes, and eosinophils within the subcutaneous adipose tissue, in a septal and lobular distribution, indicating inflammation or panniculitis.

A large proportion of the inflammatory cells were eosinophils. The lymphocytes formed follicular aggregates, particularly adjacent to blood vessels (fig 1). The architecture of these aggregates was benign. The lymphocytes were normal and immunohistochemistry confirmed a normal distribution of T cells and B cells. We found no evidence of light chain restriction, and Bcl-2 immunoreactivity was negative within the follicle centres, confirming the morphological impression of a reactive lymphoid infiltrate with no evidence of follicular lymphoma.

In addition to the perivascular lymphoid aggregates and panniculitis, lymphocytes and eosinophils were seen within small blood vessel walls, indicating vasculitis (fig 2). In summary, we found no evidence of malignancy after the tissue was examined microscopically on multiple levels.
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viscum fraxini.” This was a self-administered subcutaneous injection (20 mg three times a week), which she started 12 months before presentation. She had heard about the use of mistletoe extract in palliative oncology from a friend, and she was referred to a complementary therapist through her general practitioner. She is still in remission two and a half years later with no further side effects. Her injection site corresponded with the site of excision so, in view of her negative investigations, signs, and symptoms of lupus erythematosus, we considered the microscopic features to be a direct inflammatory response to mistletoe extract and conducted a review of the literature to compare our findings.

Discussion

Mistletoe (Viscum album) is a semiparasitic woody perennial that grows on several species of tree, including elm, apple, pine, and oak. It is used as a Christmas decoration in the United Kingdom with the tradition of kissing anyone who fingers under it.

Mistletoe has been used to treat hypertension, headaches, menstrual symptoms, and arthritis. The first reference to its medical use is in the Bible as a cure for epilepsy. The young King David is said to have seen a woman collapse in a fit. An angel appeared to him as he prayed for a remedy, announcing “Whoever wears the oak mistletoe in a finger ring on the right hand, so that the mistletoe touches the hand, will never again be bothered by the falling sickness.”

Today, despite the lack of robust data supporting the use of mistletoe as an anticancer drug, it is widely used in middle Europe; around £25m (£16m; $30m) is spent on the preparation each year, and up to two thirds of oncology patients use it. Sixteen randomised controlled trials have been published to date, many of which lack formal statistical power calculations and enlist small numbers of patients. Several of these studies analysed quality of life variables as their major outcomes and failed to blind patients to their treatment, thereby severely biasing their results. The evidence of benefit from human studies is weak, but animal studies and in vitro laboratory evidence suggest that mistletoe may be beneficial to cancer patients. Rigorous randomised controlled trials are needed to provide conclusive data in humans.

Local reactions have been documented previously, usually manifesting as erythema or pain. Two reports of histologically assessed inflammation induced by mistletoe exist in the medical literature. A 61 year old man with a T3NMXM0 pancreatic adenocarcinoma who was treated with once weekly intratumor and peritumor injections of mistletoe for five weeks underwent diagnostic needle core biopsy on day 28 after starting treatment. The biopsy showed adenocarcinoma admixed with neutrophils and eosinophils. A further study documents the histology of seven patients with subcutaneous inflammation induced by whole plant mistletoe extract. The microscopic pattern was of a dense perivascular lymphoid infiltrate and increased monocytes. An infiltrate of plasma cells or eosinophils was not seen. Both accounts support the notion that the microscopic features of panniculitis in our case are caused by subcutaneous mistletoe administration. Ours is the first documented account of a combined pattern of a heavy infiltrate of eosinophils, perivascular lymphoid aggregates, and mild vasculitis.

This case taught us the importance of good communication. We may never have known the underlying cause of the inflammation without an honest working relationship between the pathologists and surgeons, and between the surgeon and his patient. This story also shows that patients sometimes withhold information from us. In this case, the patient may have assumed that alternative therapies have no relevance in conventional medical consultations.

Many thanks to GT Williams, University Hospital of Wales, Cardiff, for help with the photographs. AIF wrote the manuscript and helped manage the case as a trainee pathologist supervised by WDT. SAmC helped in the clinical care of the patient and contributed her clinical history. WDT is guarantor.
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