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Short version of title: Nutritional properties of RTE salads
ABSTRACT: Nutritional properties of ready-to-eat meals can vary greatly during storage due to the complexity of their food matrix. The nutritional properties of two types of ready-to-eat pasta salads differing in the processing of one of their ingredients (bell pepper) were evaluated during 12 days of storage at 4 and 12 °C. Salads with cooked (C) or uncooked (UC) red bell pepper were analyzed for proximate composition, dietary fiber content, lipid profile, ascorbic acid degradation kinetics and in vitro digestion of starch; rapid digestible starch and predicted glycemic index were also calculated. Results showed that vegetable processing significantly affected the ascorbic acid degradation, starch hydrolysis, as well as the fat and energy content of the salads. Nutritional properties of commercially available ready-to-eat pasta salads may significantly differ between manufacturers depending on the processing applied, storage temperature and time after production, and thus this should be seriously considered when evaluating their health implications.
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PRACTICAL APPLICATIONS: Despite the convenience and ease of preparation of ready-to-eat meals, consumers should be aware that health benefits claimed by some ingredients included in these products might be lessened by the storage conditions (time and temperature), type of processing and interaction with other constituents of the product. This work provides food manufacturers with an in depth insight on how these factors can affect the nutritional properties of their products and lead to foods that can retain the full potential of their nutritional qualities. More research regarding the health implications of the consumption of ready-to-eat foods is encouraged.
INTRODUCTION

Over recent decades, ready-to-eat (RTE) foods have undergone a huge expansion in the food industry and household consumption. These types of food products have several attributes that make them very popular, such as convenience, time saving and suitability when eating alone. Their varied composition of ingredients hinders their nutritional assessment as a homogeneous group, which has resulted in a lack of studies about nutritional composition and impact of these foods on the daily recommended nutrient intake (Fajardo-Martín, 2013, Ahlgren et al., 2004). Pasta products (such as pasta) are consumed worldwide, are rich in complex carbohydrates (i.e. starch) and have good sensory attributes. They are traditionally manufactured with durum wheat flour and can be used in several preparations to make important nutritional principles (Antognelli, 1980, Rodríguez De Marco et al., 2014). The inclusion of fresh or slightly processed vegetables in ready-to-eat meals, such as in chilled pasta salads, is the market response for the consumers’ demands of fresh, healthy and convenient foods. However, the shelf life and nutritional properties of these meals would vary greatly due to the presence of several ingredients in the formulation and the processing taking prior to their use, something that is often over sighted when evaluating their health properties. In order to increase the shelf life of these RTE salads, the use of novel technologies (aqueous ozone, cold plasma, among others) and natural preservatives are being studied (Boffa et al., 2016, Lui et al., 2016, Stratakos & Koidis, 2015).

Because of the complex nature of RTE foods, several operational challenges are involved. During production and storage, labile compounds, present as major and minor constituents of the food, can degrade and lose their biological activity. To prevent or reduce this degradation a better understanding of the factors affecting the stability during processing and storage is needed. It is important to evaluate the degradation of ascorbic acid (AA) during processing and storage because...
it is more sensitive than other micronutrients. In fact, it is the most labile vitamin (including fat
soluble and water soluble vitamins) especially at higher moisture content and temperature (Labuza,
1984). Therefore, it can be assumed that if AA retention is reportedly high, other micronutrients
will have similar or higher retention rates after processing and storage. The degradation rate of AA
depends on several factors, such as: temperature, light, oxygen, pH, water activity, metal catalysts
(especially copper and iron) and enzymes (Özkan et al., 2004). For quality assurance and bioactive
compounds retention, several parameters should be controlled during the manufacturing and
storage of RTE vegetable-containing foods. Degradation kinetics of AA dependent on temperature
and storage time have been extensively studied in a wide range of fruits and vegetables (Cruz et al.,
storage time on the AA content in sweet pepper (*Capsicum annuum* L.) has been evaluated under
different conditions (Barbagallo et al., 2012, Tonelli et al., 1981), and AA degradation kinetics for
this product were focused only on the dehydration process with no storage involved (Rufián-
Henares et al., 2013). To date, the complete picture on the minimization of losses of AA in chilled
stored meals coupled with a detailed kinetic study that would provide a better understanding of the
underlying mechanisms has not been yet performed.

Starch availability is affected by several factors, although primarily by the composition of the food
matrix; kinetics of starch digestion are influenced by the presence of proteins, lipids (and lipid
profile), dietary fiber, antinutrients, enzyme inhibitors and several interactions that could occur
amongst them. Food processing, storage time and temperature play a role in how these
interactions occur, modifying enzymatic rates, starch physical forms, protein networks formation
and starch-lipid complexation (Marze, 2013, Wursch, 1989, Björck et al., 1994). The glycemic
response can be measured by the Glycemic Index (GI), defined as the postpandrial blood glucose
response after a test meal compared with equi-carbohydrate portion of reference food (generally glucose or white bread) (Jenkins et al., 1981). Several authors have observed a good correlation between the in vivo and in vitro determinations, being the latter much less costly and time consuming (Singh et al., 2010). In vitro determination of GI have been thoroughly studied in several single foods (flour, potatoes, pasta, bread, etc) (Bustos et al., 2011, Zhou et al., 2013, Nayak et al., 2014, Goñi et al., 1997) however only a few studies have been performed with more complex foods and/or during storage (Sayago-Ayerdi et al., 2005, Tovar et al., 2003, Rosin et al., 2002). Recent studies have associated the consumption of low GI foods with weight loss, improvement of the blood lipid profile and prevention of metabolic diseases (Rizkalla, 2014, Thomas et al., 2007). The utility of GI in relation with health prevention and management is discussed in the highest level amongst experts. They acknowledge the importance of GI as a valid and reproducible method for classifying carbohydrate rich foods with regards to postpandrial glycemia in health, and they affirmed that diets low in GI were relevant to the prevention and management of diabetes, coronary heart disease and probably obesity (Augustin et al., 2015). Given the high prevalence of all these diseases and the high consumption of RTE foods, more studies and scientific evidence should be provided about GI in this type of meals.

In this study, the aim was to investigate the effect of processing, storage time and temperature in a range of nutritional properties of a RTE food product which is both complex and widely consumed. The nutritional composition (including fatty acid profile and dietary fiber), the ascorbic acid content, and the predicted GI were evaluated with regards to their behavior during cold and abuse temperature storage in an attempt to build a better picture of the nutritive status of such complex foods in domestic or industrial storage conditions.
MATERIALS AND METHODS

Materials and reagents

Ingredients for the preparation of the ready-to-eat (RTE) pasta salads included: wheat pasta (fusilli), RTE chicken breast, grated mozzarella, gouda cheese, red bell pepper, extra virgin olive oil, salt, lemon, and black pepper. They were all purchased from a local wholesaler. HPLC grade orthophosphoric acid, chloroform, metaphosphoric acid (MPA), ethylenediaminetetraacetate disodium salt (EDTA), ACS grade ascorbic acid (AA) (>99% pure), sodium phosphate buffer (PBS), 3,5-dinitrosalicylic acid, hydrochloric acid (HCl), and sodium hydroxide (NaOH) were purchased from Sigma Aldrich (Seelze, Germany). AA extraction buffer was 5% MPA and 1 mM EDTA in mili Q water. The following enzymes were also purchased from the same company: Amyloglucosidase from Aspergillus niger ≥ 300 U/ml, pepsine from porcine gastric mucosa ≥250 U/mg and α-amylase from porcine pancreas Type IV-B ≥ 10 U/mg.

Design and preparation of ready to eat pasta salads

Two different formulations of the RTE salads were prepared with the only difference being the treatment of the red bell pepper: cooked (C) or uncooked (UC). The formulation is shown in Table 1 and is based on a commercial recipe already in the market. The preparation of the ingredients and the salads were as follow: fusilli (pasta) was cooked following the instructions recommended by the producer; red bell pepper was cut into small pieces and then either washed under running water for 10 min (uncooked) or cooked in boiling water for 3 min and cooled in ice cold water for 5 min (cooked); lemon juice was prepared the same day with fresh lemons; gouda cheese was grated with a kitchen grinder; RTE meat and mozzarella cheese were used directly from the package. For each batch, all the ingredients were mixed separately and then the exact weight (Table 1) was added to
the cooked and cooled pasta in the following order: bell pepper, chicken, Gouda cheese, mozzarella cheese, lemon juice, pepper, salt and olive oil. The salads were carefully mixed before packaging.

The salads were portioned in pouches (200.0 g each, 6 pouches per batch) and were packed under modified atmosphere packaging (MAP) with a gas mixture of 60 % N₂ and 40 % CO₂. Gas composition was checked with a headspace gas analyzer (Gaspace advance GS3/P, Systech Instruments, IL, USA). The salads were stored at 4 °C and 12 °C for 12 days, and their nutritional content was assessed right after preparation (day 0), and the ascorbic acid content and in vitro digestion of starch was performed also at day 4, 8 and 12 of the storage period. This length was selected according to the shelf life of this specific RTE product as per manufacturer guideline. The experiment was performed twice with three different batches of raw materials per type of salad.

Salads were homogenized before any determination and 5 g were taken for each analysis unless stated otherwise.

**Nutritional composition and fatty acid profile**

Moisture, protein and dietary fiber content of the salads were obtained following the standard AOAC methods in triplicate. Fat content was evaluated in triplicate according to the method proposed by Folch et al. (1957) and reviewed by Christie and Han (2012). The fatty acid composition of the pasta salads was determined (duplicates per type of salad) twice: immediately after preparation and after 12 days of storage. The extracted fat was derivatized to Fatty Acid Methyl Esters (FAME) following a base-catalyzed transesterification methodology (Christie and Han, 2012). The FAMES were analyzed using gas chromatography–mass spectrometry (GC–MS) detection (GC-MS Agilent 7890A/5975C), resolved on a CP-Sil 88 column (100 m x 0.5 mm 0.2 µm) under the following conditions: split injection; injection port temperature 250 °C; helium flow 1 ml/min; initial oven temperature 100 °C for 0 min, then 4 °C/min rise to 220 °C for 5 min, then 4 °C/min to 240 °C for 8
Data were collected by using Agilent MSD Chemstation software (CA, USA). The relative peak areas (analyte/total FAME area, %) were used for relative quantification of FAME. Fatty acids were identified by comparison with a known standard FAME mixture (Supelco, Iltech Associated, Deerfield, IL, USA). Based on the FAME results, the atherogenic index (AI) and thrombogenic index (TI) were computed according to Ulbricht and Southgate (1991):

\[
AI = \frac{C_{12:0} + 4 \times C_{14:0} + C_{16:0}}{\sum M\text{MUFA} + \sum P\text{PUFA}}
\]

\[
TI = \frac{C_{14:0} + C_{16:0} + C_{18:0}}{0.5 \times \sum M\text{MUFA} + 0.5 \times \sum P\text{PUFA(n6)} + 3 \times \sum P\text{PUFA(n3)} + \frac{P\text{PUFA(n3)}}{P\text{PUFA(n6)}}}
\]

**Ascorbic acid determination**

Ascorbic acid content of salads and red bell pepper was extracted and analyzed based on the methodology proposed by Tarrago-Trani et al. (2012) with some modifications. RTE salads (200 g) were homogenized in a kitchen blender for 2 min. From this homogenate, 2.5 g of representative sample was mixed and vortexed with 20 ml of chloroform and capped under nitrogen flow. After centrifugation the supernatant was discarded and the remaining excess of solvent was evaporated under a stream of nitrogen. This defatting step was used to facilitate the filtration in the following extraction. The defatted salad sample, were homogenized with 12 ml of extraction buffer using an homogenizer (Ultraturrax T25 Basic, IKA, Staufen, Germany) for 3 min and were capped under nitrogen. They were sonicated for 5 min and centrifuged at 4500 rpm for 15 min at 10 °C; supernatant was collected. A second extraction was performed following the same process above, supernatants were combined and vacuum filtered. The filtrate was adjusted to 25 ml (with
extraction buffer) in a volumetric flask, capped under nitrogen and stored at -20 °C. Extracted samples were analyzed, in duplicate, within 2 weeks. AA analysis was carried out in a Reversed Phase HPLC system (Waters 2695, Massachusetts, USA) with photodiode array detector (model 2996). The HPLC column used was a Luna 5 μm C18(2) reversed phase column (Phenomenex, UK). The mobile phase was aqueous ortophosphoric acid (0.02%) at pH=2.35. An aliquot was filtered through 0.45 µm membrane filter into a 2 ml amber HPLC vial and capped under nitrogen ready to be analyzed in the HPLC. Exactly 20 µl were injected and eluted under isocratic conditions at 0.6 ml/min flow rate. The AA peak was detected after around 7 min at a wavelength of 244 nm. AA standards (diluted in extraction buffer) were run several times during the analysis for quality control purposes. A calibration curve was made with the injection of increasing concentrations of AA standards (from 0.2-30 mg/ml). The linearity range was assessed by means of the correlation coefficient ($R^2$) of the linear regression analysis of the calibration curve. The analysis was performed in triplicate.

**Total Starch and analysis of reducing sugar content**

The total starch content of the RTE salads was assessed using the method of Goñi et al. (1997) and aliquots were taken to analyze their reducing sugar content. The analysis of reducing sugar content was performed using a colorimetric method (Gonçalves et al., 2010). A standard curve, using maltose, was prepared. The maltose was converted into starch by multiplying by 0.9.

**In vitro digestion of starch and predicted glycemic index**

The in vitro digestion of starch was performed according to the multi enzymatic methodology proposed by Bustos et al. (2011). Briefly, triplicate samples (4 g) were incubated first with pepsine and then with alpha amylase. Every 30 min for 3 h, aliquots of 1 ml from each tube were withdrawn
for analysis of reducing sugar content using aforementioned colorimetric method. The rate of starch digestion was expressed as the percentage of total starch hydrolyzed at every 30 min. The curves have been reported (Goñi et al., 1997) to follow a first order equation:

\[ C = C_\infty (1 - e^{-kt}) \]

Where: \( C \) is the concentration of starch hydrolyzed at time \( t \), \( C_\infty \) is the equilibrium concentration, \( k \) is the kinetic constant and \( t \) is the chosen time. A curve of the total starch hydrolysis vs. time was plotted for each sample (salad type and storage temperature) and the areas under the curve (AUC) were calculated. The Hydrolysis Index was obtained by dividing the AUC by the corresponding area of a reference food (white bread), expressed as percentage (Granfeldt et al., 1992). The predicted Glycemic Index (pGI) was calculated from hydrolysis values at 90 min using the empirical formula proposed by Goñi et al. (1997) \( pGI = 39.21 + 0.803 \times H_{90} \).

**Statistical Analysis**

Data were analyzed using IBM SPSS Statistics version 20 (IBM Corp., Somers, NY, USA), t-student test was performed to assess differences in composition among the different type of RTE salads. For the evaluation of the effect of storage and temperature a two-way ANOVA was performed. The least squares differences (LSD) post hoc test was used to identify significant differences between the temperature and storage time. For estimating the AA degradation kinetics and starch digestion kinetics parameters, curve fit was utilized. The level of significance was set at \( p<0.05 \) unless stated otherwise.

**RESULTS AND DISCUSSION**

Nutritional composition and fatty acid profile
The processing of red bell pepper was the only difference in the RTE salads formulation, and this ingredient accounts for 15% of the total ingredient composition (Table 1). No significant differences were found in the moisture, protein and dietary fiber of both type of salads, with values around 65 g/100 g, 11 g/100 g and 1 g/100 g, respectively. However, the fat content of the UC salads was significantly higher than of the C salads, 10.75±0.51 vs. 9.28±0.81 g/100 g. Based on the formulation, around 30% of this fat comes from the cheeses, 10% from the meat and 60% from the olive oil. This small difference in fat content could be attributed to the insufficient product uniformity between different batches. The UC salads had higher energy content (193.28 kcal/100 g) than the C salads (186.24 kcal/100 g). As expected, there was no statistical difference between fatty acid composition of C and UC salads; the mean of both salads was used instead. Two thirds of the fatty acid composition in both type of salads (C and UC) were monounsaturated fatty acids (MUFA), where oleic acid (C18:1 n9) was the main one (Table 2, day 0). Two different indexes of fat quality were also assessed: atherogenic index (AI) thrombogenic index (TI). Both indexes were similar to those reported by Kamei et al. (2002) in take-out lunches and fast foods. Unsaturated fatty acids are the ones that can suffer different chemical oxidative reactions resulting in fatty acid degradation, the higher the number of double bonds the higher the rate of the oxidation reaction (Morelló et al., 2004). Despite the absence of oxygen in the package (MAP), the salads stored at 12 °C during 12 days showed changes in their fatty acid composition when compared with the salads right after preparation (Table 2). These changes were probably due to the highly unsaturated lipid profile (mostly MUFA), the increase of residual oxygen and the interaction among the different enzymes and antioxidants during the storage at abuse temperature. There was a significant decrease of oleic and linoleic fatty acid and an increase of the saturated fatty acids caproic (C6:0) and caprylic (C8:0). Hence, the overall MUFA content significantly decreased after the storage time but no statistically significant increase was observed in the SFA content. As stated before, olive oil
was the main fat-contributing ingredient of the RTE salads; the storage time, and container type affect the degradation of fatty acids in this type of oil during long storage (Méndez and Falqué, 2007). Despite the decrease in unsaturated fatty acids and the increase in SFA content, the increase in the AI and TI was not significant.

**Effect of processing on the ascorbic acid content**

According to different nutritional databases, from all the ingredients of the RTE salads (Table 1), the red bell pepper is the main contributor to the AA content of the RTE pasta salad with a percentage between 99.2-99.5% (USDA, Food Standard Agency). Hence, the processing of this vegetable was studied before being used in the final formulation. Results showed that processing significantly affected the AA content of the vegetable in all treatments. The initial AA content of cut red bell pepper was 97.39±3.92 mg/100 g. This value is in agreement with those reported in nutritional databases for different varieties, between 76 mg and 243 mg/100 g (USDA, Food Standard Agency).

After 10 min of washing under running water, 21.50±8.12 % of the initial AA content was lost (p<0.05). When the red bell pepper was boiled for 3 min and cooled down under running water, the AA content was significantly lower. Only 45.70% of the initial AA was retained after the cooking and cooling process. These results were similar to those found by Castro et al. (2008) in sweet red bell pepper, where around 55% AA retention was observed after 2.5 min of blanching at 98⁰C. Higher AA losses were found in Jalapeño cultivars (75% loss) and after processing a tropical leafy vegetable, 60-90% after 15 min cooking and 50% after the washing process (Oteng-Gyang and Mbachu, 1987, Howard and Hernandez-Brenes, 1998).

There is a significant difference (p<0.05) between the AA content in the salad with cooked bell pepper (7.05±0.41 g/100 g) and the one with uncooked bell pepper (12.56±1.52 g/100 g). It is evident that processing of the red bell pepper had a substantial impact in the final AA
concentration of the salad, resulting in 43% decrease of total AA content. According to the initial formulation and the AA content of the red bell pepper after processing, the vegetable accounts for 81-103% of the AA total content in the salad, confirming what the nutritional databases had already shown. The UC salads could theoretically be labeled as a source of Vitamin C as they contain more than 15% of the nutritional reference value (NRV) for this compound (NRV=80 mg vit C/100g).

Effect of storage and temperature on the ascorbic acid content. Degradation kinetics. AA retention yields were calculated for the RTE pasta salads stored at 4°C and 12°C under MAP conditions. The effect of temperature during storage in the AA retention was evaluated by means of a two-way ANOVA for each type of salad. Storage time had significant effect in the AA retention of the salads (Table 3). Except for the salads stored under MAP at 4°C, a decrease in the AA content during storage was observed in the RTE pasta salads. Temperature effect was significant for both types of salads (C and UC); the higher the temperature, the lower the AA retention (Table 3). An increase in the storage temperature was found to decrease the AA retention in different fruits and vegetables, with acidic fruits being the most stable against temperature changes (Lee and Kader, 2000).

From the experimental data of AA retention as function of storage temperature and time, we estimated a model in order to explain the substantial loss in the nutritional quality of the RTE pasta salads previously observed. C salads stored at 4°C did not show AA degradation and were removed from the analysis. Table 4 shows the rate constants (k) and the coefficient of determination (R²) for both types of salads at each studied temperature (4°C and 12°C). For C salads, the zero reaction modeling fitted better than the first order according to the coefficient of determination and the plot trend. However, for the UC salads, the first order reaction model provided the best fit for AA
retention during storage time. The coefficients of determination were higher for the C salads compared with the UC salads. The rate constants indicated that the AA was more stable in the salads with uncooked bell pepper than in the salads where the pepper had been boiled, in accordance with the results so far. Zero order reactions were also found for AA degradation kinetics in various fruit juices stored at 20 °C, 30 °C and 40 °C during 12 days (Özkan et al., 2004). In zero-order reactions the rate appears to be independent of the concentration due to the large excess of reactant during the observation period (Van Boekel, 2008). However, the first order kinetic best explains the AA degradation at different temperature ranges and/or during longer storage periods as seen in fruit juices and dried guava cases (Uddin et al., 2002, Polydera et al., 2003, Zheng and Lu, 2011). Differences in the type of kinetic can be addressed to the food matrix, the storage time and the range of temperatures studied.

According to Table 4, the AA retention during storage was temperature dependent for the UC salads. The Arrhenius equation below can be used to assess this temperature dependence:

\[ k = Ae^\left(-\frac{E_a}{RT}\right) \]

where \( k \) is the reaction rate, \( A \) is the pre-exponential factor, \( E_a \) is the activation energy (kJ mol\(^{-1}\)), \( R \) is the universal gas constant (8.314 kJ mol\(^{-1}\) K\(^{-1}\)) and \( T \) the absolute temperature (K). By assessing the reaction rate constant at two or more different temperatures, a non-linear regression (exponential) can be used to predict the rate of the reaction (\( k \)) at a lower temperature (Van Boekel, 2008). For UC salads, using \( k \) of a first order degradation kinetic, the \( E_a \) obtained was 63.01 kJ mol\(^{-1}\). This value is in line with others reported in different food systems for AA degradation (Villota and Hawkes, 2006, Timoumi et al., 2007). AA degradation modelling can be used as additional nutritional information to consider when evaluating the shelf life of this RTE product during different storage conditions.
Total starch and in vitro digestion of starch. Predicted glycemic index

Total starch values for both types of salads were lower than those for the reference samples, white bread and the cooked pasta alone (Table 6). The changes in the processing of the red bell pepper did not significantly alter the total starch content of the salads. In the literature there is no total starch content reported exclusively for pasta salads, so there are no means to compare the values found. However, the values observed for the reference samples are similar to the ones reported by other authors (Bustos et al., 2011, Rodríguez De Marco et al., 2014). It is established that the cooking process of pasta produces the gelatinization of the starch, amylopectine crystals disintegrate and the starch granules get swollen and ruptured. After cooling down, starch retrogradation occurs where amylose and amylopectine aggregation and crystallization are influenced by temperature and storage time, retrograded amylose from wheat was found to be highly resistant to enzymatic degradation processes (Singh et al., 2010). Rapidly digestible starch (RDS) was calculated after 30 min of digestion for both types of salads at the different storage temperatures as indicated by Rosin et al. (2002). During storage, the RDS content changed for both type of salads partly due to the retrogradation process during cold storage (Table 5). The RTE salads with cooked red bell pepper (C salads) followed first an increase (day 4) and a slight decrease (day 12) of their RDS content when stored at 4 °C. However, when abuse temperature was used (12 °C), the RDS content was maintained during the first days of storage and a slight increase was observed at the 12th day (the lower the temperature the more changes in the RDS content). In the case of the UC salads a decline of RDS content during storage is observed. As opposing to the C salads, the lowest quantity of RDS was found when the salads were stored at 12 °C. These differences can be partially explained by changes and interactions during processing and storage with the other food components of the salads affecting the enzymatic digestibility of starch. Changes in RDS content
and a decrease of available starch content during cold storage have been reported in different
foods (Sayago-Ayerdi et al., 2005, Rosin et al., 2002, Mishra et al., 2008). 

Hydrolysis Index (HI) experimental values for white bread, cooked pasta and both type of salads
right after preparation were adjusted and the parameters of the first order reaction were
calculated (Table 6). The hydrolysis at 90 min is important because at this time the curves change
and tend more slowly to a maximal plateau level. Experimental percentages of starch hydrolysis at
this point are very similar to the ones obtained from the first order kinetic equation (Table 6), and
the coefficient of determination is high ($R^2 >0.98$), both indicative of a very good fit. The reference
food (white bread), showed a starch hydrolysis percentage of ~65% between the values observed
by other authors (50-78%) (Goñi et al., 1997, Rodríguez De Marco et al., 2014, Rosin et al., 2002).

Both types of salads and the pasta alone presented lower percentage of hydrolysis when compared
with the white bread reference, meaning that the starch digestion was slower for these samples.

Regarding the two type of salads, we found a higher starch digestion percentage at 90 min in the
salad with uncooked red bell pepper, even higher than the cooked pasta alone. The addition of
uncooked red bell pepper is exerting an increase in the digestibility of the starch. The composition
of the food matrix also affects the starch digestion significantly (Singh et al., 2010). Lipids are
known for affecting the hydrolysis by starch-lipid complexation depending on the nature of the
lipids and starches, nonetheless, competitive trend between amylose-lipid complexation and
amylose retrogradation has been found (Marze, 2013). With regards to the salads, fatty acid levels
were similar for both types and only the total fat content was significantly higher in the UC salads. It
has been reported that an increase in the starch digestion is expected with lower lipid content after
cooking and cooling down (Tufvesson et al., 2001, Eerlingen et al., 1994). Although not analyzed
directly, the high content of reducing agents (such as vitamin C) in the fresh bell peppers may
facilitate the access to the starch granule by preventing the formation of enzyme complexes (Choi
et al., 2008). In general, the food matrix complexity and the interaction among the components after homogenization will most probably modify the integrity of the starch-protein network, known to control the starch digestibility (Rodríguez De Marco et al., 2014, Marze, 2013).

The effect of storage time and temperature in the hydrolysis was different depending on the type of salad (Fig 1). In the C salads, day 0 exerts the lowest starch hydrolysis curve while in the UC salads the lowest was the curve of the last day of storage. The temperature did not influence the digestion of the C salads (very similar curves can be observed). In the UC salads, however, the starch hydrolysis curves were significantly higher at 4 °C than at 12 °C. This means that the storage at abuse temperature negatively impacted the digestion rate in the UC salad. These differences are hardly explainable by the structural state of the starch, nor by the macronutrient composition of the salads. The complexity of the food matrix and the differences during cold storage, point to a more complex interaction among micronutrients and starch structural changes (Rosin et al., 2002, Mishra et al., 2008). In two typical Mexican foods, tacos and tortillas with beans, the HI was found to decrease with storage time, due to the increase of resistant starch and certain molecular associations in the food matrix (Tovar et al., 2003, Sayago-Ayerdi et al., 2005).

pGI was calculated for both types of salads every four days of storage at two different temperatures: 4 °C and 12 °C (Table 7). pGI in C salads followed a similar trend at both storage temperatures; it increased after 4 days of storage and decrease at the end of the storage time. However, pGI values for UC salads followed a different pattern during storage. They remained stable for 4 or 8 days (at 12 °C and 4 °C, respectively) and then dropped at day 12. Foods are classified as low, medium or high glycemic using the following GI ranges (based on the glucose reference): low \( \leq 55 \); medium = 56–69; and high \( \geq 70 \) (Brand-Miller and Foster-Powell, 1999). The RTE pasta salads are considered high GI for most of the storage period, and only the UC salads can
be rated as medium GI at the end of storage. This is an important fact to consider regarding the nutritional properties of this RTE foods that can have a glucose response even higher than the starchy ingredient by itself. These findings must be interpreted with caution, however, since the digestion procedure was performed in vitro and it is unclear if same effects will be observed in vivo. A high and positive correlation was found between the HI and the RDS (r=0.841) and between pGI and RDS (r=0.902) for all the samples evaluated. Therefore, the RDS content can be a shorter alternative for the measure of the in vitro starch digestion and pGI. According to our experimental results the estimation of the pGI with the RDS would be: pGI = 37.88 + 0.490 × RDS. An also positive (but higher) correlation between HI and RDS was found by Rosin et al. (2002) in different starchy foods during storage.

CONCLUSION

This study proves that nutritional properties of commercially available ready-to-eat pasta salads may significantly differ during storage time, thus affecting the health implications of their consumption. AA degradation can be used as additional nutritional information to consider when evaluating the shelf life of this RTE product during different storage conditions. In addition, future studies evaluating the glycemic index of carbohydrate-based complete meals are encouraged, as GI has been established as an important parameter in the prevention and management of metabolic diseases.
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**TABLE 1. FORMULATION OF RTE PASTA SALADS**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Ingredient</th>
<th>g per batch</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Pasta&lt;sup&gt;a&lt;/sup&gt;</td>
<td>475</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Cooked Chicken</td>
<td>287.5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Grated Mozzarella</td>
<td>125</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Grated Gouda Cheese</td>
<td>37.5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Red Bell Pepper&lt;sup&gt;b&lt;/sup&gt;</td>
<td>187.5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Salt</td>
<td>6.25</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Pepper</td>
<td>0.625</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Olive Oil</td>
<td>125</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Lemon juice</td>
<td>3.75</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<sup>a</sup>Weight after cooking

<sup>b</sup>Weight after processing (either ‘cutting + washing’ or ‘cutting + boiling’)

---
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<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Fatty acid</th>
<th>Day 0</th>
<th>Day 12</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>C6:0</td>
<td>0.17±0.16</td>
<td>0.36±0.11</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>C8:0**</td>
<td>0.00±0.00</td>
<td>0.28±0.06</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>C10:0**</td>
<td>0.43±0.03</td>
<td>0.72±0.10</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>C12:0</td>
<td>0.84±0.22</td>
<td>0.93±0.25</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>C14:0</td>
<td>3.13±0.22</td>
<td>3.71±0.59</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>C16:0</td>
<td>18.11±0.52</td>
<td>18.81±0.79</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>C16:1</td>
<td>0.71±0.24</td>
<td>0.85±0.12</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>C18:0</td>
<td>4.74±0.32</td>
<td>4.94±0.58</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>C18:1 n9*</td>
<td>65.72±1.41</td>
<td>63.02±1.35</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>C18:2 n6*</td>
<td>5.53±0.22</td>
<td>4.71±0.43</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>C20:0</td>
<td>0.07±0.12</td>
<td>0.13±0.15</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>C18:2 n3</td>
<td>0.32±0.28</td>
<td>0.36±0.25</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Other</td>
<td>0.92±0.34</td>
<td>1.06±0.39</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Σ SFA</td>
<td>22.77±0.24</td>
<td>24.93±1.68</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Σ MUFA*</td>
<td>66.64±1.01</td>
<td>64.07±1.45</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Σ PUFA</td>
<td>5.85±0.46</td>
<td>5.06±0.59</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>AI</td>
<td>0.43±0.00</td>
<td>0.50±0.06</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>TI</td>
<td>0.70±0.01</td>
<td>0.77±0.05</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

*Means ± SD. *significant differences (p<0.05), ** significant differences (p<0.01).
**TABLE 3. EFFECT OF TEMPERATURE ON ASCORBIC ACID RETENTION (%) DURING STORAGE OF READY TO EAT SALADS PACKED UNDER MAP CONDITIONS**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Storage (days)</th>
<th>C (^b)</th>
<th>UC (^c)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>4 °C</td>
<td>12 °C</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>0</td>
<td>100.00±5.77aA</td>
<td>100.00±5.77dA</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4</td>
<td>101.18±9.84aB</td>
<td>81.01±10.41cA</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>8</td>
<td>100.10±5.36aB</td>
<td>71.07±6.17bA</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>12</td>
<td>97.22±6.05aB</td>
<td>59.65±7.54aA</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

\(^a\)Mean ± standard deviation. For each type of salad, different uppercase letters within the same storage time denote statistically significant differences (p<0.05). Different lowercase letters in the same column indicate significant differences (p<0.05)

\(^b\)C: salads with boiled red bell pepper, \(^c\)UC: salads with uncooked red bell pepper
### TABLE 4. REACTION RATE CONSTANTS AND COEFFICIENT OF DETERMINATION FOR ASCORBIC ACID RETENTION OF RTE SALADS DURING STORAGE AT 4 °C AND 12 °C

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>SALAD</th>
<th>Temperature (°C)</th>
<th>Zero order</th>
<th>First order</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>k (%AA&lt;sub&gt;R&lt;/sub&gt;&lt;sup&gt;−1&lt;/sup&gt; day&lt;sup&gt;−1&lt;/sup&gt;)</td>
<td>R&lt;sup&gt;2&lt;/sup&gt;</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>C°</td>
<td>12</td>
<td>3.330</td>
<td>0.796</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>UC&lt;sup&gt;b&lt;/sup&gt;</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>1.206</td>
<td>0.252</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>12</td>
<td>2.373</td>
<td>0.484</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<sup>a</sup>C: salads with boiled red bell pepper, <sup>b</sup>UC: salads with uncooked red bell pepper
### TABLE 5. TOTAL STARCH (TS), RAPIDLY DIGESTIBLE STARCH (RDS) OF SALADS AND REFERENCE FOODS

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Sample</th>
<th>TS (%DM)</th>
<th>Storage (days)</th>
<th>RDS (%DM) 4°C</th>
<th>RDS (%DM) 12°C</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>C</td>
<td>36.02±3.28a</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>12.18±0.12bA</td>
<td>12.18±0.12abA</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>4</td>
<td>17.39±1.16dB</td>
<td>12.81±0.90bA</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>8</td>
<td>16.10±0.65cB</td>
<td>11.67±0.76aA</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>12</td>
<td>10.94±1.26aA</td>
<td>15.62±0.54cB</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>UC</td>
<td>35.72±3.15a</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>16.86±1.04cA</td>
<td>16.86±1.04cA</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>4</td>
<td>14.74±1.15bA</td>
<td>16.10±1.34cB</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>8</td>
<td>15.73±0.49bcB</td>
<td>9.29±0.52bA</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>12</td>
<td>10.04±0.65aB</td>
<td>7.86±1.15aA</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Bread</td>
<td>75.63±1.16c</td>
<td>n/a</td>
<td>n/a</td>
<td>n/a</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Pasta</td>
<td>65.01±1.82b</td>
<td>n/a</td>
<td>n/a</td>
<td>n/a</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

*aMeans±SD. For each type of salad, different uppercase letters within the same storage time denote statistically significant differences (p<0.05), and different lowercase letters in the same column indicate significant differences (p<0.05)*
**TABLE 6.** PERCENTAGE OF TOTAL STARCH HYDROLYZED AT 90 MIN (H90), EQUILIBRIUM CONCENTRATION ($C_\infty$), KINETIC CONSTANT (K), HYDROLYSIS INDEX (HI) FOR WHITE BREAD, PASTA AND BOTH RTE SALADS 

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>H90 exp</th>
<th>H90 th</th>
<th>$C_\infty$</th>
<th>k</th>
<th>HI</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>White Bread</td>
<td>61.92±2.12c</td>
<td>62.43</td>
<td>65.82</td>
<td>0.033</td>
<td>100</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Pasta(Fusilli)</td>
<td>44.53±1.10a</td>
<td>46.56</td>
<td>47.07</td>
<td>0.050</td>
<td>75.86±3.01a</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>C Salad</td>
<td>43.58±1.18a</td>
<td>45.51</td>
<td>46.98</td>
<td>0.038</td>
<td>73.30±0.49a</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>UC Salad</td>
<td>53.32±2.47b</td>
<td>57.03</td>
<td>57.68</td>
<td>0.050</td>
<td>92.90±2.18b</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

*Means±SD. In the same column different letter denotes statistically significant difference (p<0.05)*
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Storage (days)</th>
<th>4°C</th>
<th>12°C</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>C salad</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>0</td>
<td>74.20±0.95aA</td>
<td>74.20±0.95aA</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4</td>
<td>80.26±4.54bA</td>
<td>84.22±1.62bB</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>8</td>
<td>81.15±2.76bA</td>
<td>85.58±1.05bB</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>12</td>
<td>75.21±1.00aA</td>
<td>73.80±1.56aA</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>UC salad</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>0</td>
<td>82.02±1.98bA</td>
<td>82.02±1.98cA</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4</td>
<td>83.48±0.69bcA</td>
<td>83.51±1.35cA</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>8</td>
<td>85.27±2.23cB</td>
<td>78.66±1.25bA</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>12</td>
<td>68.76±2.10aB</td>
<td>60.43±1.04aA</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Means±SD. For each type of salad, different uppercase letters within the same storage time denote statistically significant differences (p<0.05), and different lowercase letters in the same column indicate significant differences (p<0.05).
FIG 1.

IN VITRO DIGESTION OF RTE PASTA SALADS. FIG. A AND B CORRESPONDS TO C SALADS STORED AT 4 °C AND 12 °C, RESPECTIVELY. FIGURES C AND D CORRESPONDS TO UC SALADS STORED AT 4 °C AND 12 °C, RESPECTIVELY. ➡️: WHITE BREAD, ➡️: DAY 0, ➡️: DAY 4, ➡️: DAY 8, AND ➡️: DAY 12.