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Current Perspective
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Abstract
Symptomatic skeletal events (SSEs) commonly occur in patients with bone metastases, often leading to hospitalisations and decreased quality-of-life. In the ALSYMPCA trial, radium-223 significantly improved overall survival (hazard ratio 0.70, 95% confidence interval [CI] 0.58–0.83, \( P < 0.001 \)) and prolonged time to first SSE (hazard ratio 0.66, 95% CI 0.52–0.83, \( P = 0.00037 \)) and subsequent SSE (hazard ratio 0.65, 95% CI 0.51–0.83, \( P = 0.00039 \)) versus placebo in patients with castration-resistant prostate cancer with symptomatic bone metastases and no known visceral metastases. Health care resource use (HCRU), including hospitalisation events and days, were prospectively collected in ALSYMPCA. We assessed health care resource use for the first 12 months post-randomisation. Significantly fewer radium-223 (218/589; 37.0%) versus placebo patients (133/292; 45.5%) had at least one hospitalisation event (\( P = 0.016 \)). However, mean number of hospitalisation events per patient was similar (radium-223 0.69 versus placebo 0.79, \( P = 0.226 \)), likely due to the significantly longer follow-up time for radium-223 (7.82 months versus 6.92 months for placebo;
1. Introduction

Skeletal-related events (SREs) commonly occur in patients with bone metastases and prostate cancer [1–5] and are associated with increased morbidity (including significant pain) and mortality [1,3,5,6] and decreased quality-of-life (QoL) [3,6]. In addition, SREs are associated with increased health care resource use (HCRU) [1,3,6] and costs [1,7,8]. Patients with prostate cancer and bone metastases and ≥1 SRE have a 2-fold increase in emergency department visits and a 4-fold increase in hospitalisations versus those without SREs [1], and costs are substantially higher, especially when SRE treatment requires concurrent surgery [8]. Considering the increased burden of metastatic castration-resistant prostate cancer (mCRPC), there is a need for effective therapies targeted at bone metastases for the prevention and management of SREs and improving patient outcomes.

The ALSYMPCA trial results demonstrated that radium-223 versus placebo improves overall survival and prolongs time to first and subsequent symptomatic skeletal events (SSEs) in patients with bone metastases from CRPC [9,10]. In this post-hoc analysis from ALSYMPCA, we evaluated the effect of radium-223 on HCRU, including hospitalisation days before first SSE and after SSE, over the first 12 months following treatment randomisation. The first 12 months following treatment initiation with radium-223 is considered the most clinically meaningful and reflective of treatment effect on HCRU during which most patients would be evaluable and most HCRU would be used [11]. Hospitalisation event rate within a treatment group was calculated as the number of patients with at least one hospitalisation event divided by the total number of patients; a Fisher’s exact test was used for treatment group comparison. The following HCRU outcomes were prospectively collected at each study visit from randomisation through week 52 and totalled by category for each patient: hospitalisation events (in-patient admissions), hospitalisation days, physician visits, nursing home stays, home health care hours, and adult day care visits. Means and standard deviations were reported for each HCRU category, and non-annualised resource use between treatments was compared via t-tests. The analysis population included only patients from the intention-to-treat population (i.e. all randomised patients) who had HCRU data available. All analyses were conducted using SAS 9.4 software (SAS Institute Inc).

The further analysis of hospitalisation days compared treatment differences before first SSE and after SSE. An SSE was defined as use of external-beam radiation therapy to relieve bone pain, new symptomatic pathologic vertebral or non-vertebral bone fractures, spinal cord compression, or tumour-related orthopaedic surgical intervention. All events had to be clinically apparent. The pre-SSE population included all patients with observations before first SSE, and post-SSE population but were included in the post-SSE population.

2. Methods

The ALSYMPCA study design (phase 3, randomised, double-blind, placebo-controlled) and patient enrolment criteria have been published [9]. Briefly, patients with CRPC with symptomatic bone metastases and no known visceral metastases were randomly allocated in a 2:1 ratio to receive radium-223 plus best standard of care or placebo plus best standard of care. Radium-223/matching placebo treatments were administered at 4-week intervals for a total of 6 injections. The study protocol was approved by the institutional review boards at each participating study center, and all patients provided written informed consent.

In the current analysis, we evaluated the effect of radium-223 on HCRU over the first 12 months following treatment randomisation. The first 12 months following treatment initiation with radium-223 is considered the most clinically meaningful and reflective of treatment effect on HCRU during which most patients would be evaluable and most HCRU would be used [11]. Hospitalisation event rate within a treatment group was calculated as the number of patients with at least one hospitalisation event divided by the total number of patients; a Fisher’s exact test was used for treatment group comparison. The following HCRU outcomes were prospectively collected at each study visit from randomisation through week 52 and totalled by category for each patient: hospitalisation events (in-patient admissions), hospitalisation days, physician visits, nursing home stays, home health care hours, and adult day care visits. Means and standard deviations were reported for each HCRU category, and non-annualised resource use between treatments was compared via t-tests. The analysis population included only patients from the intention-to-treat population (i.e. all randomised patients) who had HCRU data available. All analyses were conducted using SAS 9.4 software (SAS Institute Inc).

The further analysis of hospitalisation days compared treatment differences before first SSE and after SSE. An SSE was defined as use of external-beam radiation therapy to relieve bone pain, new symptomatic pathologic vertebral or non-vertebral bone fractures, spinal cord compression, or tumour-related orthopaedic surgical intervention. All events had to be clinically apparent. The pre-SSE population included all patients with observations before first SSE, and post-SSE population but were included in the post-SSE population.

3. Results

A total of 921 patients were randomised in ALSYMPCA, 614 to radium-223 and 307 to placebo and 20 (15 radium-223, 5 placebo) patients withdrew without receiving study drug. Of the remaining 901 patients, 599 radium-223 patients and 302
placebo patients received treatment. Baseline demographic and clinical characteristics, including bisphosphonate use, were well balanced between the radium-223 and placebo groups [9]. A total of 41% of the patients in the radium-223 group and 40% of the patients in the placebo group reported bisphosphonate use at baseline [9]. For each HCRU category, data were available for 578–589 radium-223 patients and 287–292 placebo patients (details in Fig. 1).

Significantly fewer radium-223 patients (218/589; 37.0%) than placebo patients (133/292; 45.5%) had at least one hospitalisation event (two-sided \(P\)-value = 0.016). The primary reasons for hospitalisation are listed in Table 1. Among patients with data on hospitalisation, the mean follow-up time was 7.82 months for radium-223 and 6.92 months for placebo (\(P < 0.001\)). The mean number of hospitalisation events per patient was similar for radium-223 and placebo (0.69 versus 0.79, respectively; 95% confidence interval for difference in means \([-0.27, 0.06]\); \(P = 0.226\); Table 2). In contrast, treatment with radium-223 significantly reduced mean hospitalisation days per patient versus placebo (4.44 versus 6.68, respectively; 95% confidence interval for difference in means \([-3.77, 0.72]\); \(P = 0.004\); Fig. 2A).

As shown in Table 2, there were no statistical differences between treatments for the other HCRU categories analysed.

### 3.1. Hospitalisation days according to SSE occurrence

Of the 874 (586 radium-223, 288 placebo) patients with data on hospitalisation days (Fig. 1), 27 (16 radium-223 and 11 placebo) patients had known SSE before study entry/before first study treatment and therefore were excluded from the pre-SSE analysis population. These 27 patients, however, were among the 271 patients in the post-SSE population. The mean follow-up times were 6.7 months for radium-223 and 5.4 months for placebo before first SSE, and 4.6 and 4.5 months, respectively, after SSE. Hospitalisation days per patient increased in both treatment arms following SSE from 2.35 to 7.74 days for radium-223 and from 3.36 to 9.19 days for

---

**Table 1**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Reason for hospitalisation</th>
<th>Radium-223</th>
<th>Placebo</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>N</td>
<td>589</td>
<td>292</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>At least 1 hospitalisation, n (%)</td>
<td>218 (37.0%)</td>
<td>133 (45.5%)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>P-value for difference between treatments</td>
<td>(P = 0.016)</td>
<td>(P = 0.016)</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Reason for hospitalisation</th>
<th>Radium-223</th>
<th>Placebo</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Total number (no.) of hospitalisations</td>
<td>423</td>
<td>237</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>No. of hospitalisations with a listed reason(^a)</td>
<td>420</td>
<td>230</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Bone pain, no. of hospitalisations (%)</td>
<td>42 (10.0%)</td>
<td>31 (13.5%)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Pain, no. of hospitalisations (%)</td>
<td>39 (9.3%)</td>
<td>12 (5.2%)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Anaemia, no. of hospitalisations (%)</td>
<td>36 (8.6%)</td>
<td>21 (9.6%)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Infection, no. of hospitalisations (%)</td>
<td>32 (7.6%)</td>
<td>13 (5.7%)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Blood transfusion, no. of hospitalisations (%)</td>
<td>15 (3.3%)</td>
<td>5 (2.2%)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Spinal cord compression, no. of hospitalisations (%)</td>
<td>9 (2.1%)</td>
<td>10 (4.3%)</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

\(^a\) Five most common reasons in each group; the 6th reason in each group is listed for purposes of table balance (i.e. spinal cord compression in the radium-223 group and blood transfusion in the placebo group).

\(^b\) For 3 hospitalisations in the radium-223 group and for 7 in the placebo group, the reason for hospitalisation was not listed.

---

![Fig. 1. Patients evaluable for the health care resource use analysis.](image-url)
placebo. Compared with placebo, treatment with radium-223 was associated with fewer hospitalisation days per patient before first SSE and after SSE (Fig. 2B).

4. Discussion

The present analysis evaluated HCRU over the first 12 months following treatment randomisation to either radium-223 or placebo. Significantly fewer patients treated with radium-223 versus placebo had at least one hospitalisation event (37.0% versus 45.5%, P = 0.016). Overall, radium-223 patients experienced a 12.7% reduction in hospitalisation events per patient (P = 0.226) and a 33% reduction in hospitalisation days per patient (P = 0.004). These results may possibly be explained by the delayed time to first SSE and the overall reduced number of SSEs with radium-223 treatment [10].

Skeletal-related events result in increased HCRU, and hospitalisation due to SREs occurs in up to 82% of patients with prostate cancer and bone metastases, with frequency dependent on the type of SRE [3,4,7,8]. A reduction in skeletal morbidity has important cost consequences. Average HCRU costs are about $30,000 higher in patients with SREs versus without SREs, with 64% being inpatient costs [8]. Considering the benefits of radium-223 on SSEs and the influence of skeletal complications on HCRU, we further explored the impact of radium-223 on hospitalisation days before first SSE and after SSE to determine whether the reduction in hospitalisation duration remains the same.

Spinal cord compression, an SSE commonly requiring hospitalisation, has been associated with the longest duration of stay [3]. In ALSYMPCA, the risk for spinal cord compression was significantly reduced with radium-223 (P = 0.03) [10]. Considering SSEs

Table 2
Health care resource use for first 12 months following treatment randomisation (ITT population).

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Health care resource use</th>
<th>Radium-223</th>
<th>Placebo</th>
<th>Treatment difference (SD)</th>
<th>P-value</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Hospitalisation events (SD)</td>
<td>0.69 (1.20)</td>
<td>0.79 (1.15)</td>
<td>-0.10 (1.19)</td>
<td>0.226</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Hospitalisation days (SD)</td>
<td>4.44 (10.05)</td>
<td>6.68 (12.12)</td>
<td>-2.24 (10.78)</td>
<td>0.004</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Physician visits (SD)</td>
<td>4.25 (6.97)</td>
<td>4.24 (6.63)</td>
<td>0.01 (6.86)</td>
<td>0.980</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Nursing home weeks (SD)</td>
<td>0.12 (0.98)</td>
<td>0.22 (1.84)</td>
<td>-0.10 (1.33)</td>
<td>0.292</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Adult day care days (SD)</td>
<td>0.42 (3.17)</td>
<td>0.38 (3.49)</td>
<td>0.04 (3.28)</td>
<td>0.876</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Home health care hours (SD)</td>
<td>7.59 (57.64)</td>
<td>4.12 (19.52)</td>
<td>3.48 (48.45)</td>
<td>0.321</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Data are means (standard deviation, SD); p-values are from a t-test comparing treatment differences and may represent biased comparisons due to differences in observation times.

Data on hospitalisation events, hospitalisation days, physician visits, nursing home weeks, adult day care days, and home health care hours were available for 587, 586, 584, 589, 584, 578 patients, respectively, in the radium-223 arm and for 292, 288, 290, 292, 290, 287 patients, respectively, in the placebo arm.

ITT = intention-to-treat.
overall, radium-223 patients experienced fewer events than placebo patients (33% versus 38%, respectively) and median time to first SSE (15.6 months versus 9.8 months) and subsequent SSE (~16.5 months versus 10.1 months) were significantly ($P < 0.0004$) longer for radium-223 [10]. The present analysis confirms the influence of SSEs and radium-223 on HCRU. Radium-223 versus placebo was associated with fewer hospitalisation days pre-SSE and post-SSE versus placebo (Fig. 2B). The decrease in hospitalisation days pre-SSE indicates an effect beyond delaying SSE, which could be related to the reduced need for pain management. Time to external beam radiation therapy and time to initial opioid use were significantly longer with radium-223 ($P = 0.001$ and $P = 0.002$, respectively) [12]. The decrease in hospitalisation days post-SSE may possibly be explained by a delay or avoidance of subsequent SSE [10].

Length of hospital stay has been reported to increase as prostate cancer progresses to include bone metastases and SREs [6]. Likewise, we found that hospitalisation days (duration) increased after SSE in both treatment arms (Fig. 2B). Moreover, previous analyses have shown that radium-223 provides more meaningful improvements in QoL versus placebo, and that the QoL treatment benefits are greater before the occurrence of SSE [9,13,14]. These findings suggest the importance of radium-223 treatment before an SSE arises.

In our analysis, differences in hospital-related HCRU favoured radium-223 and were statistically significant versus placebo for mean number of hospitalisation days per patient and percentage of patients with at least one hospitalisation event. However, while treatment with radium-223 resulted in fewer mean number of hospitalisation events per patient, the difference compared to placebo was not statistically significant. This is likely due to the significantly longer follow-up time for radium-223 patients (7.82 months versus 6.92 months for placebo patients, $P < 0.001$), which was not accounted for in our analyses and could have minimised the observed treatment differences, leading to potential bias against radium-223. Both the radium-223 and placebo groups had similar rates of bisphosphonate use at baseline, and we did not examine HCRU based on this parameter. However, considering that overall survival was not influenced by bisphosphonate use in the primary analysis data set [9], we would not expect bisphosphonate use to have an influence on HCRU outcomes.

5. Conclusion

Radium-223 improves overall survival and reduces the risk for SSEs in men with CRPC and bone metastases. The benefits of radium-223 are further extended by a reduction in hospitalisation days, as observed before first SSE and after SSE. Together these data suggest that use of radium-223 may contribute to reduced HCRU, including reduced hospitalisation days possibly through delayed time to first and subsequent SSE, and improvements in health-related QoL.
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