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Chiral thiouronium salts: synthesis, characterisation and application in NMR enantio-discrimination of chiral oxoanions†‡

Magdalena B. Foreiter, H. Q. Nimal Gunaratne,* Peter Nockemann, Kenneth R. Seddon, Paul J. Stevenson and David F. Wassell

Chiral thioureas and functionalised chiral thiouronium salts were synthesised starting from the relatively cheap and easily available chiral amines: (S)-methylbenzylamine and resin-derived (+)-dehydroabietylamine. The introduction of a delocalised positive charge to the thiourea functionality, by an alkylation reaction at the sulfur atom, enables dynamic rotameric processes: hindered rotations about the delocalised CN and CS bonds. Hence, four different rotamers/isomers may be recognised: syn-syn, syn-anti, anti-syn and anti-anti. Extensive 1H and 13C NMR studies have shown that in hydrogen-bond acceptor solvents, such as perdeuteriated dimethyl sulfoxide, the syn-syn conformation is preferable. On the other hand, when using non-polar solvents, such as CDCl3, the mixture of syn-syn and syn-anti isomers is detectable, with an excess of the latter. Apart from this, in the case of S-butyl-N,N'-bis(dehydroabietyl)-thiouronium ethanoate in CDCl3, the 1H NMR spectrum revealed that strong bifurcated hydrogen bonding between the anion and the cation causes global rigidity without signs of hindered rotamerism observable on the NMR time scale. This suggested that these new salts might be used as NMR discriminating agents for chiral oxoanions, and are indeed more effective than their archetypal guanidinium analogues or the neutral thioureas. The best results in recognition of a model substrate, mandelate, were obtained with S-butyl-N,N'-bis(dehydroabietyl)thiouronium bistriflimide. It was confirmed that the chiral recognition occurred not only for carboxylates but also for sulfonates and phosphonates. Further 1H NMR studies confirmed a 1:1 recognition mode between the chiral agent (host) and the substrate (guest); binding constants were determined by 1H NMR titrations in solutions of DMSO-d6 in CDCl3. It was also found that the anion of the thiouronium salt had a significant influence on the recognition process: anions with poor hydrogen-bond acceptor abilities led to the best discrimination. The presence of host–guest hydrogen bonding was confirmed in the X-ray crystal structure of S-butyl-N,N'-bis(dehydroabietyl)-thiouronium bromide and by computational studies (density functional theory).

Introduction

The chemistry of abiotic receptor compounds for a particular species usually mimics natural systems. In the case of natural anion receptors, the archetypal example of an anion binding host is the guanidinium ion, which naturally occurs as a part of arginine residues. Since then, many synthetic guanidinium-based anion hosts have been designed and synthesised, including chiral guanidinium derivatives, which feature strong interactions with chiral oxoanions (Fig. 1a). The essential feature of the guanidinium cation for attracting the anionic species is the two N–H groups, carrying a delocalised positive charge, which are not only a source of a strong hydrogen bonding, but are also directed in space to form a familiar...
hydrogen-bond donor motif. The same features may be also recognised in the thiouronium system, which has not been widely explored for anion binding.6

Thiouronium (formerly known as ‘thiuronium’, ‘pseudo-thiouronium’ or ‘isothiouronium’) salts are materials used in a range of applications, many of which have been patented.7 They are utilised as stabilisers for vulcanised rubber,7a as chelating agents for noble metals,7b as fire-resistant agents,7c and for protein extraction.7d However, thiouronium salts are easily hydrolysed by bases,8 and thus these conditions must be avoided. Moreover, thiouronium salts have an important role as reaction intermediates in the synthesis of thiols8 and guanidinium salts,8 and they are also used as peptide coupling agents.10 Recently, Abai et al. described a series of S-alkylthiouronium ionic liquids, which were investigated for the desulphurisation of diesel.11 However, in all of these reports, only the achiral thiouronium salts were studied.

While not much interest has been shown in chiral thiouronium salts, their precursors – chiral thioureas – are well known, especially as effective chiral catalysts used in organic transformations such as asymmetric Michael addition.12 Their roles as fluorescent anion sensors13 and amino acid receptors14 have also been reported. Theoretically, it is possible to alter known properties of thioureas through the alkylation of the sulfur atom. The resultant steric hindrance, as well as the Coulombic repulsive forces between the thiouronium cations, could drastically reduce the self-association of the thiourea unit via hydrogen bond interactions.4 Moreover, the hydrogen-bonding relationship of the thiouronium receptor centre with appropriate substrates could then be enhanced when compared to thioureas.15 The easiest way to examine the binding properties of chiral anion receptors, such as novel chiral thiouronium salts, is via NMR analysis of the chiral discrimination. At present, only one report describes structurally simple chiral thioureas as chiral discriminating agents for α-hydroxy and α-amino carboxylates, Fig. 1b.4

There is current interest in searching for new chiral tools that will provide a quick, cheap and facile way of determining enantiomeric purity, and offer an advantage over chiral HPLC.16 Chiral thiouronium salts may be a useful alternative to existing chiral anion binding systems. Additionally, when designed in the appropriate manner, these compounds could be an interesting and novel contribution to the family of chiral ionic liquids that have been applied as chiral agents for the

NMR discrimination of chiral analytes (Fig. 1c).5,17 The unique properties of ionic liquids, and their wide ‘tuning’ opportunities combined with a designed functionality, have often delivered a competitive advantage compared to conventional, non-ionic systems.18

Here, we present an effective approach to enantio-recognition of chiral anions using new chiral S-alkylthiouronium salts (Fig. 2), which incorporate a combination of (a) a positively-charged thiourea function as a source of Coulombic attraction to the anionic substrate; (b) a receptor group for chiral carboxylates and analogues as a hydrogen-bonding donor in the form of a thiouronium cation; and (c) the use of a relatively low-cost and easily available source of chirality, e.g. the cation is based on resin-derived (+)-dehydrosabietylamine, denoted Ab.19 Attention here is mainly focussed on the enhancement in binding interactions resulting from introduction of a positive charge on the chiral thioureas.

**Results and discussion**

**Synthesis and characterisation**

The synthesis of chiral thiouronium salts essentially relies on a three-step reaction path (Scheme 1). In step one, a chiral primary amine was treated with carbon disulfide in the presence of a DCC to form isothiocyanate. This was then treated...
with a second chiral amine, R*\text{NH}_2, to form the dissubstituted chiral thiourea; the second amine may or may not be identical to that used in the first step. Treatment of these thioureas with haloalkanes resulted in the formation of novel salts. For uniformity, the alkylation was confined to a butyl group, as the length of simple hydrocarbons does not play a significant role in the binding process and chiral recognition.22 In order to tune the physical properties of the products, a fourth step of anion exchange was conducted in some cases, principally for bis[(trifluoromethyl)sulfonyl]amide (bistriflamide), \([\text{NTf}_2]\).

Collected physical property data (Table 1) for the new compounds show interesting trends. The highest melting points belong to the chiral thioureas \(1a\) and \(1c\). Alkylation of these compounds to form halide salts causes a drop in the melting point; metathesis to bulkier anions, such as \([\text{NTf}_2]^–\), lowers the melting point even further. These salts may be classified as belonging to the family of chiral ionic liquids.18m,23 Indeed, while \(2a\)Br melts at 137.5 °C, \(2a\)\([\text{NTf}_2]\) is a liquid at room temperature. Also, in the case of the S-butyldis-N,N′-(dehydroabietyl)thiouonium cation, \(2c^+\), the difference between the melting point of \(2c\)Br and the other salts, \(2c\)Y, where \(Y = [\text{NTf}_2]^–, \text{OTf}^–, [\text{CH}_3\text{CO}_2]^–, \text{or NO}_3^–\), is at least 70 °C. As is typical for ionic liquids, the thermal stability of the thiourea bistriflimides is much greater than for the halides, as the decomposition mechanism involves nucleophilic attack of the anion on the cation.18c Indeed, \(2a\)\([\text{NTf}_2]\), \(2b\)\([\text{NTf}_2]\) and \(2c\)\([\text{NTf}_2]\) have decomposition temperatures over 200 °C, and they are the most thermally stable salts described here. Measured optical rotations of the products showed significant differences, not only between chiral thioureas and the corresponding thiouronium salts, but also between salts with the same cation but different anions. In this case, molar rotation should be more relevant than specific rotation, as then the molar concentration of the optically active species is taken into account. As Table 1 shows, there is a significant difference in molar rotation between \(2a\)Br and \(2a\)\([\text{NTf}_2]\), \(2b\)Br and \(2b\)\([\text{NTf}_2]\), and \(2c\)Br and \(2c\)\([\text{NTf}_2]\) (69.2, 57.6 and 89.8 units respectively).

![Scheme 2](image-url)  
**Scheme 2** The four possible isomeric/rotameric forms of the thiouronium cation, generated due to hindered rotation about the C=N bond and the C=S bond.

### Table 1 Physical properties of synthesised compounds

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Compound</th>
<th>(T_{m}/^\circ\text{C})</th>
<th>(T_{dec}/^\circ\text{C})</th>
<th>([\alpha]^{20}/(e 1.0 \text{ (CHCl}_3\text{)}))</th>
<th>([M]^{20}/\text{D})</th>
<th>Form</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>(1a)Br</td>
<td>199.6–200.9</td>
<td>—</td>
<td>+104.4</td>
<td>+296.9</td>
<td>White solid†</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>(2a)([\text{NTf}_2])</td>
<td>137.5</td>
<td>151.4</td>
<td>+123.2</td>
<td>+327.6</td>
<td>Creamy solid</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>(1b)</td>
<td>−38.1</td>
<td>219.3</td>
<td>−96.0</td>
<td>+596.8</td>
<td>Colourless liquid†</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>(2b)Br</td>
<td>101.6–102.4</td>
<td>183.9</td>
<td>+14.2</td>
<td>+72.8</td>
<td>White solid</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>(2b)([\text{NTf}_2])</td>
<td>13.9</td>
<td>231.9</td>
<td>+18.6</td>
<td>+146.2</td>
<td>Colourless glass</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>(1c)</td>
<td>139.0–141.0</td>
<td>200.5</td>
<td>+14.3</td>
<td>+87.6</td>
<td>White solid</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>(2c)Cl</td>
<td>58.4</td>
<td>160.1</td>
<td>−11.0</td>
<td>−77.6</td>
<td>Yellowish solid</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>(2c)Br</td>
<td>128.2</td>
<td>210.0</td>
<td>−19.2</td>
<td>−143.9</td>
<td>White solid</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>(2c)I</td>
<td>75.4</td>
<td>151.9</td>
<td>−16.4</td>
<td>−130.7</td>
<td>White solid</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>(2c)([\text{NTf}_2])</td>
<td>44.3</td>
<td>227.5</td>
<td>−5.7</td>
<td>−54.1</td>
<td>White solid</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>(2c)([\text{OTf}])</td>
<td>49.1</td>
<td>169.0</td>
<td>−19.7</td>
<td>−144.2</td>
<td>White solid</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>(2c)([\text{CH}_3\text{CO}_2])</td>
<td>54.7</td>
<td>189.9</td>
<td>+8.3</td>
<td>+68.0</td>
<td>White solid</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>(2c)([\text{NO}_3])</td>
<td>49.0</td>
<td>138.9</td>
<td>+16.2</td>
<td>+118.1</td>
<td>White solid/glass</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

* a Decomposition temperature determined using thermal gravimetric analysis (TGA). b Molar rotation calculated from \([\alpha]^{20} \times 100\), where \(M\) is the molar mass. c Product known from the literature (\(T_{m} 199–200 \^\circ\text{C}, [\alpha]^{20} + 114.2 \text{ (CHCl}_3\text{)})\)). d \(d_2^{20} 1.3232 \text{ g cm}^{-3}\), \(\eta^{20} 4.704 \text{ Pa s}\) with a water content of 186 ppm. e Glass transition temperature (\(T_g\)) determined using differential scanning calorimetry (DSC).
Since each of these forms will produce two sets of amino signals, there is potential for the NMR spectra to be very complex indeed. In reality, the $^1$H and $^{13}$C NMR spectra of compound [2a]Br were remarkably simple in DMSO-d$_6$ as a solvent, giving the impression that only one rotamer was present (Fig. 3). This could mean either that only one of the four possible rotamers was present in solution, or that there was a mixture of rotamers, undergoing rapid interconversion on the NMR time scale, resulting in a time-averaged spectrum. This latter supposition is incorrect, as two sets of signals for the chiral methine $^*$CH and the methyl CH$_3$ groups were observed, ruling out at least some fast bond rotations. It is known that rotation about a partial sulfur–carbon double bond is faster than rotation around a partial nitrogen–carbon double bond, as the NC bond is about 25 kJ mol$^{-1}$ stronger, reflecting the poor overlap between a 3p orbital on the sulfur and a 2p orbital on the carbon. It is therefore probable that when the rotation of the C–N bond is slow on the NMR timescale, rotation around the C–S bond will be in the intermediate range.

Furthermore, there was fluxional behaviour observed, demonstrating slow rotation on the NMR timescale around the C–S bond leading to chemical exchange between the CHN signals in the proton and carbon NMR spectra. There were four indicators of this. Firstly, the signals, particularly $^*$CH, were very broad, indicating a slow chemical exchange process on the NMR timescale (Fig. 3). Secondly, in the HSQC spectrum, each individual methine hydrogen showed correlation to the carbon atom to which it was attached, in both the different environments (Fig. 3). This can only be explained by rotation around the C–S bond occurring during the evolution time of the HSQC experiment.

The third indicator was derived from a NOESY experiment (Fig. 4). In general NOESY spectra show cross peaks for nuclei which share a common relaxation pathway. Normally, this involves through-space dipole–dipole relaxation between the nuclei, which is distance dependent and leads to the well known nuclear Overhauser effect. However, chemical exchange is also a relaxation mechanism and can lead to cross peaks in NOESY spectra. These two distinct contributions to NOESY spectra can be distinguished from each other, in small molecules, as dipole–dipole coupling leads to an increase in signal intensity (the nuclear Overhauser effect) whilst chemical exchange leads to a decrease in signal intensity (saturation transfer). The NOESY spectrum of compound [2a]Br does indeed show a strong cross peak between the two methine protons in the two different environments (Fig. 4a), proving that these two nuclei are linked by a relaxation pathway. Selective saturation of the methine signal at $\delta$ 5.54 ppm led to a decrease in intensity of the other methine signal (at $\delta$ 5.16 ppm) to zero, proving that saturation transfer by chemical exchange was the dominant process, confirming slow rotation about the C–S bond.

Finally, it was recognised that the hydrogen atoms in the methylene group adjacent to sulfur are diastereotopic, and give rise to complicated signals at $\delta$ 3.25 and 3.18 ppm (Fig. 3). However these signals are sharp, as is the carbon signal with which they are correlated; in other words, neither is displaying any fluxional activity. This can only happen in the syn–syn and anti–anti isomers (Scheme 2), as rotation around the C–S bond generates the same molecule and hence is not fluxional with respect to the S–CH$_2$ signal. Intuitively, one would expect a large difference in chemical shift positions for the two $^*$CH
peaks in the syn-syn isomer, due to the position of the butyl group. In fact, a large difference (of 0.5 ppm) was observed (Fig. 3). If the compound was the anti-anti isomer, then a large chemical shift difference in the NH peaks would be expected for the same reason, which was not observed.

In further justification of this conclusion, it should be noted that DMSO can readily participate in hydrogen-bonding. It is more than likely that a bifurcated hydrogen-bond interaction between the thiouronium cation and the solvent (Scheme 3) is stabilising the syn-syn rotamer over all other possible forms of this compound (Scheme 2). In addition, the $^1H$-NMR spectrum of $[2a]Br$ in CDCl$_3$ (not illustrated) was found to be very complex, due to the absence of strong hydrogen bonds, and hence no stabilisation of one specific rotamer.

The same detailed analysis of the $^1H$ NMR spectra was performed for the rest of the chiral thiouronium salts. Table 2 shows how the number of isomers can be influenced by both the nature of the N-substituents and by the solvent. In the case of $[2a]Y$ and $[2b]Y$, the change in the counterion from bromide to bistriflamide does not influence the number of isomers detected, nor the ratio of the isomers (for $[2b]Y$). These results are in agreement with the findings of Kim and coworkers, who demonstrated that the counterion in anthracene derivatives of thiouronium salts had no influence on the ratio of the isomers. For compound $[2b]Br$, in which the two amino groups are different, rotation about the C=S bond leads to a doubling of the peaks. The two resulting isomers are present in the ratio 3:2, as shown in Scheme 4.

Interestingly, in the case of $[2c]Y$ salts, the number of isomers depends on solvent as well as on counteranion type (Table 2). Even for different halides of $[2c]$, changes in ratios between isomers are observable. In general, when using DMSO-$d_6$ as an NMR solvent, the syn-syn isomer is detectable (Schemes 2 and 3). In non-polar solvents, on the other hand, the N-H signal region is more complex, with broader peaks, suggesting the presence of more than one isomer.

**Table 2** The number of detected isomers of chiral thiouronium salts, using $^1H$ NMR spectroscopy (400 MHz) at 20 °C

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Compound</th>
<th>Solvent</th>
<th>No. of isomers</th>
<th>Ratio$^a$</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>$[2a]Br$</td>
<td>DMSO-$d_6$</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>—</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$[2a][\text{NTf}_2]$</td>
<td>DMSO-$d_6$</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>—</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$[2b]Br$</td>
<td>DMSO-$d_6$</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>3:2$^b$</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$[2b][\text{NTf}_2]$</td>
<td>DMSO-$d_6$</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>3:2$^b$</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$[2c]Cl$</td>
<td>CDCl$_3$</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>1.8:2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$[2c][\text{NTf}_2]$</td>
<td>CDCl$_3$</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>0.9:2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$[2c]Br$</td>
<td>C$_6$D$_6$</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>—</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$[2c][\text{NTf}_2]$</td>
<td>CDCl$_3$CDCl$_2$</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>3:1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$[2c]NO_3$</td>
<td>CDCl$_3$</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>1:2.6</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$[2c][\text{MeCO}_2]$</td>
<td>DMSO-$d_6$</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>—</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$[2c][\text{NTf}_2]$</td>
<td>DMSO-$d_6$</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>—</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$[2c][\text{OTf}]$</td>
<td>DMSO-$d_6$</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>—</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$[2c][\text{NO}_3]$</td>
<td>DMSO-$d_6$</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>—</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$[2c][\text{MeCO}_2]$</td>
<td>CDCl$_3$</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>—</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

$^a$ Determined by integration of multiple NMR peaks; ratio between syn-syn and syn-anti isomers. $^b$ $^1H$ NMR spectrum recorded at 300 MHz. $^c$ Ratio between syn-syn and syn-anti isomer with respect to the dehydroabietyl group. $^d$ Salt insoluble in DMSO-$d_6$.

**Scheme 3** An illustration of hydrogen-bond interaction between the thiouronium cation and dimethylsulfoxide (dms) stabilising the syn-syn rotamer; the hydrogen bonding has been encoded using Etter’s topographical analysis.$^{20,21}$

**Scheme 4** An illustration of the isomers (syn-syn : syn-anti 3 : 2) present in a solution of $[2b]Br$ in DMSO-$d_6$ (pink) which are distinguishable by $^1H$ NMR spectroscopy. Blue and green indicate different environments for the N-H groups. The stereochemistry of the isomers was assigned with respect to the dehydroabietyl group.
Focussing on the bromide salt [2c]Br in CDCl₃ as an example, one of the isomers has the N–H groups in two different environments, corresponding to the two equally intense signals at δ 9.06 and 8.62 ppm (Fig. 4b). This feature is found only with the syn–anti isomer (Scheme 2). The second isomer of [2c]Br has only one signal for the N–H groups, at δ 10.35 ppm, weaker in intensity than the other two signals, and represents either a syn–syn or an anti–anti isomer. The NOESY spectrum of [2c]Br shows a strong cross peak between all N–H protons (Fig. 4b). This proves that these nuclei are linked by a relaxation pathway, as was discussed in the case of the methine proton in [2a]Br. Selective saturation of any one of the N–H signals leads to a decrease in intensity of the others to zero, as anticipated. Hence, again, there is fluxional behaviour, demonstrating slow rotation about the CS bond as well as the CN bond, with the CN bond dominating the isomeric distribution.

Examining the single crystal structure of [2c]Br, further evidence for the restricted rotation about the CN bond is found (Fig. 5), where only the anti–syn conformer is observed. Formation of crystals with anti–syn isomerism suggests the high stability of that conformation in the solid state. This contrasts with the situation in solution, where the syn–syn isomer is also observed. Indeed, computational analysis of the differences in energies between the syn–syn, anti–syn and anti–anti conformations of the thiouronium cation in the gas phase showed that the anti–syn conformer possessed the lowest energy (Fig. 6).

Noteworthy, when this cation is dissolved in a strong hydrogen-bond acceptor solvent (e.g. DMSO), the formation of a stable hydrogen-bonded motif (R⁴(6), Scheme 3) inverts the relative stability of these conformers with the syn–syn now becoming the most stable.

To summarise, integration of the N–H signals indicates an excess of syn–anti isomer over syn–syn isomer of [2c]Y in non-polar solvents, such as trichloromethane. Returning to the molar optical rotation results shown in Table 1, the mixture of stable isomers in different ratios (Table 2) for each [2c]Y (Y = Cl, Br or I) observed in trichloromethane at 20 °C is the reason for the unusual order of these values, and probably is connected with the differing hydrogen-bond acceptor abilities of the three halide ions.

Further confirmation of the importance of the anion in the relative stability of the conformers can be found in the case of [2c][MeCO₂] in CDCl₃, where only one set of sharp signals was observable in the ¹H NMR spectrum, and no signal was visible in the region of N–H protons. The most probable explanation here is that the ethanoate ion forms a very strong hydrogen-bonding interaction (H 1 – Br, 2.54 Å; Br – H₂, 2.58 Å), as shown in Fig. 7a. Additionally, some weaker C–H–Br hydrogen bonding interactions were found (Fig. 5), ranging from 2.78 to 2.97 Å (Table 3).

The packing of the crystal structure of [2c]Br is dominated by neighbouring cationic and anionic channels along the b axis, Fig. 7b and c. The powder diffraction pattern of [2c]Br is in agreement with the single crystal structure. The NOESY spectrum of [2c]Br shows a strong cross peak between all N–H protons (Fig. 4b). This proves that these nuclei are linked by a relaxation pathway, as was discussed in the case of the methine proton in [2a]Br. Selective saturation of any one of the N–H signals leads to a decrease in intensity of the others to zero, as anticipated.

Crystalllography
Crystallographic data (see Experimental section) for [2c]Br reveals many other interesting features than the confirmation of the structure and contributes to the discussion above about isomerism of the thiouronium cation. Strong hydrogen bonding between the anion and the cation is observed (Fig. 7a). The bromide ion is hydrogen bonded to two different cations via a N–H···Br···H–N pattern (H 1···Br, 2.54 Å; Br···H₂, 2.58 Å), as shown in Fig. 7a. Additionally, some weaker C–H···Br hydrogen bonding interactions were found (Fig. 5), ranging from 2.78 to 2.97 Å (Table 3).
good agreement with the simulated powder diffraction pattern of the single crystal structure determination (Fig. 8), demonstrating that the crystal examined was typical for the bulk synthetic material. Furthermore, examination of the powder diffraction patterns of [2c][Cl] and [2c][Br] (Fig. 8) shows that these salts are isostructural.

**Chiral NMR discrimination of oxoanions**

The rotamerism/isomerism of the chiral thiouronium salts revealed an interesting feature connected with the ethanoate anion. In the case of [2c][CH₃CO₂] the isomerism was not detected at room temperature on the NMR time scale (Table 2). Moreover the ¹H NMR spectrum of this compound is sharp and clear, in contrast to the spectra with other anions, which are broad and confusing. This suggested that, probably, the binding of the ethanoate by the thiouronium moiety caused the rigid and stable conformation of [2c]⁺. As discussed in the Introduction, Fig. 2 is the best representation of the interaction of the thiouronium cation with the oxoanion. Then, as this system is chiral, it may ‘recognise’ enantiomers of the oxoanions differently, and show non-equivalent signals in the ¹H NMR spectrum. The first choice of possible substrates was the chiral carboxylates. It is known that when a hydrogen-bond acceptor group is negatively charged, the hydrogen-bond strengths are enhanced.¹⁵,a,30 Also, in the case of the thiouronium system, it may be expected that a strong interaction with the carboxylates, of the type illustrated in Fig. 2, featuring two parallel hydrogen bonds forming a R₂(8) network, would lead to a thermodynamically stable host–guest interaction, locking the structure of the cation into a single isomeric form. Hence, a simple chiral carboxylate was selected as a model substrate, a racemic tetrabutylammonium mandelate, [N⁺₄₄₄₄][3a]:

![Fig. 8 Comparison of the powder diffraction patterns of [2c][Cl] and [2c][Br] (exact, red line, and simulated, black line, from single crystal data).](image)

The tetrabutylammonium counterion to the chiral carboxylate was not chosen at random. The first ¹H NMR experiments were conducted with a tetramethylammonium salt of mandelate,

### Table 3 Distances H···Br₁ and angles D–H···Br₁ showing hydrogen bonding interactions

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Connection</th>
<th>Distance/H···Br₁</th>
<th>Distance⁴/Å D–H···Br₁</th>
<th>Distance⁴/Å D–H···Br₁</th>
<th>Angle⁶/°</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>N₁–H₁–Br₁</td>
<td>0.86</td>
<td>2.54</td>
<td>3.285(2)</td>
<td>146</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>N₂–H₂–Br₁</td>
<td>0.86</td>
<td>2.58</td>
<td>3.317(3)</td>
<td>145</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>C₁₁–H₁₁B–Br₁</td>
<td>0.96</td>
<td>2.97</td>
<td>3.773(3)</td>
<td>141</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>C₂₂–H₂₂B–Br₁</td>
<td>0.97</td>
<td>2.84</td>
<td>3.800(3)</td>
<td>172</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>C₄₂–H₄₂B–Br₁</td>
<td>0.97</td>
<td>2.78</td>
<td>3.703(3)</td>
<td>158</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

³ Br₁ at (−x, 1/2 + y, −z).

**Fig. 7 The crystal structure of [2c][Br]:** (a) hydrogen-bonding interactions of the bromide anion with two adjacent [2c]⁺ cations (part of one of the [2c]⁺ cations was omitted for clarity); (b) a perspective view of the anion channel; (c) a perspective view of the cation channel. The prime (’') character in atom labels indicates that these atoms are at equivalent positions (−x, −1/2 + y, −z).
[N\textsubscript{1111}][3a], as a substrate, [2a]Br as a chiral agent, and CDCl\textsubscript{3} as a solvent. In this case, [N\textsubscript{1111}]Br precipitated from the solution. In order to prevent this precipitation, a bulkier (more hydrophobic) cation was selected, [N\textsubscript{4444}]\textsuperscript{2+}. When this was used, no precipitation occurred.

The optimum design of the experiment is as follows: the model substrate (0.03 mmol) was dissolved in CDCl\textsubscript{3} (0.7 cm\textsuperscript{3}) in the presence of 1a–c or [2a–c]Y (0.03 mmol) and the \textsuperscript{1}H NMR spectrum recorded. The methine proton from the chiral centre of mandelate is visible in the \textsuperscript{1}H NMR spectrum as a singlet at around 4.8 ppm. The \textsuperscript{1}H NMR traces embedded in Table 4 show an evolution of the discriminating properties changing with the structural diversity of the chiral agent. Upon the addition of a chiral agent, the singlet of the methine proton in the mandelate appears now as two resonances, one associated with (R)-mandelate, and the other associated with (S)-mandelate. As each occurs at a different chemical shift, they must each be bound strongly within a stable structural entity. Alkylation of the sulfur atom (to form the novel salts [2a]Br or [2a][NTf\textsubscript{2}]\textsuperscript{-}) causes an increase in the \(\Delta\delta\) value (difference of shift values between the peaks of the non-equivalent enantiomers) compared with thiourea 1a (as described in the literature\(^4\)). Moreover, the chemical shift difference between the two enantiomers is found to be a function of both the cation and the anion of the chiral agent. Thus, if the anion is kept constant and the cation changed i.e. [2a]Br, [2b]Br and [2c]Br, the difference in chemical shift ranges from 0.017 to 0.035 to 0.097 ppm, respectively. Similarly, if the cation is kept the same i.e. [2c]Br and [2c][NTf\textsubscript{2}], a smaller but still significant change in the chemical shift is observed from 0.097 to 0.110 ppm. A noticeable feature is that a methine proton from the (S)-methylbenzyl group, which is a part of salts [2a]Y and [2b]Y, moved upfield compared to thioureas 1a and 1b respectively.

Hence, in some cases, the methine quartet is visible very close to, or even superposing on, the substrate chiral proton peak. This was not the case for [2c]Y salts. Furthermore, the \(\Delta\delta\) value increases about ten times when comparing 1b and 1c. The effect intensifies after the anion exchange from bromide to [NTf\textsubscript{2}]\textsuperscript{-}, as the latter is more weakly coordinating with the thionuronium cation than the bromide anion.\(^{11}\) In conclusion, the best enantio-discriminating results, even on relatively low frequency \textsuperscript{1}H NMR spectrometers, were obtained with [2c][NTf\textsubscript{2}]\textsuperscript{-} and the assignment of the individual enantiomers was confirmed by using optically pure (R)-mandelate and (S)-mandelate as substrates, which resulted in single resonances being observed (Table 4). Therefore, further research was focussed on this compound as a model chiral agent.

The NMR studies were continued with other oxoanions as substrates and [2c][NTf\textsubscript{2}]\textsuperscript{-} as the chiral agent. As Table 5 shows, a group of fourteen racemic mixtures of chiral carboxylic acids, as well as sulfonic and phosphonic acids, were analysed. All analytes were in the form of tetrabutylammonium salts, [N\textsubscript{4444}][3a–n], and in each case the complexation process between [2c][NTf\textsubscript{2}]\textsuperscript{-} and the substrate [N\textsubscript{4444}][3] could be observed by the proton peak non-equivalence of the methine proton connected with the chiral centre of the substrate (with the exception of [N\textsubscript{4444}][3c] and [N\textsubscript{4444}][3l]). In some examples, a full resolution of the chiral peaks was observed with no interference, while in other cases partial overlap occurred. The problem of overlapping was particularly significant when the methine group occurred as e.g. overlapping quartets after complexation of [N\textsubscript{4444}][3b]. However, the situation could be resolved by a partial NMR decoupling experiment, which collapses the quartets into singlets, followed by integration of these singlets to yield the enantiomeric purity of the sample. Nevertheless, the selection of substrates for NMR discrimination relies on avoiding a superposition of important substrate signals with receptor signals in the spectrum. In the case of [2c][NTf\textsubscript{2}]\textsuperscript{-}, it provides a useful \textsuperscript{1}H NMR spectral window for chiral discrimination research in the range from 3.5 ppm to

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Agent</th>
<th>CH peak</th>
<th>(\Delta\delta)/ppm</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>None</td>
<td></td>
<td>0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1a</td>
<td></td>
<td>0.013</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>[2a]Br(^{2+})</td>
<td></td>
<td>0.017</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>[2a][NTf\textsubscript{2}]\textsuperscript{-}</td>
<td></td>
<td>0.017</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1b</td>
<td></td>
<td>0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>[2b]Br(^{2+})</td>
<td></td>
<td>0.035</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>[2b][NTf\textsubscript{2}]\textsuperscript{-}</td>
<td></td>
<td>0.043</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1c</td>
<td></td>
<td>0.009</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>[2c]Br</td>
<td></td>
<td>0.097</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>[2c][NTf\textsubscript{2}]</td>
<td></td>
<td>0.110</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>(R)-Enantiomer</td>
<td>(R)-Enantiomer</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>(S)-Enantiomer</td>
<td>(S)-Enantiomer</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

\(^{a}\) All samples were prepared by mixing the substrate (0.03 mmol) with a chiral agent (0.03 mmol) in CDCl\textsubscript{3} (0.7 cm\textsuperscript{3}). \(^{b}\) Difference of CH shift values between peaks of opposite enantiomers of mandelate. \(^{c}\) A methine proton signal from the methylbenzyl group present in the chiral agent is visible.
Table 5 Determination of peak non-equivalences of emboldened protons (in drawn structures) in tetrabutylammonium salts of chosen racemic acids in the presence of [2c][NTf₂] using ¹H NMR spectroscopy (300 MHz) in CDCl₃ at 20 °C.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Code of salt</th>
<th>Racemic acid</th>
<th>Δδ/ppm</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>[N₄][3a]</td>
<td></td>
<td>0.110</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>[N₄][3b]</td>
<td></td>
<td>0.070</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>[N₄][3c]</td>
<td></td>
<td>0.091</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>[N₄][3d]</td>
<td></td>
<td>0.045</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>[N₄][3e]</td>
<td></td>
<td>0.030</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>[N₄][3f]</td>
<td></td>
<td>0.058</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>[N₄][3g]</td>
<td></td>
<td>0.107</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>[N₄][3h]</td>
<td></td>
<td>0.022</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>[N₄][3i]</td>
<td></td>
<td>0.030</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>[N₄][3j]</td>
<td></td>
<td>0.018</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>[N₄][3k]</td>
<td></td>
<td>0.006</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>[N₄][3l]</td>
<td></td>
<td>0.013</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

a All samples were prepared by mixing carboxylate salt (0.03 mmol) with [2c][NTf₂] (0.03 mmol) in CDCl₃ (0.7 cm³).

b Difference of shift values between peaks of opposite enantiomers.

c Proton peaks from the methoxy group are superimposed on proton peaks from [2c][NTf₂] but still visible.

d Results of ¹F NMR (282 MHz) experiment in CDCl₃ at 20 °C.

e Results of ¹H NMR (400 MHz) experiment in CDCl₃ at 20 °C.

Results of ¹H NMR (376 MHz) experiment in CDCl₃ at 20 °C.

Results of ¹H NMR (400 MHz) experiment in CDCl₃ at 20 °C.

Results of ¹H NMR (376 MHz) experiment in CDCl₃ at 20 °C.

6.5 ppm, and then above 7.5 ppm, which for 2c-substituted carboxylates is usually sufficient.

The possibility of determining enantiomeric purities was proved by a 400 MHz ¹H NMR experiment using the model substrate [N₄][3a] and agent [2c][NTf₂] (Fig. 9). Indeed, a linear correlation (R² = 0.993) was confirmed between the theoretical and observed enantiomeric excess (% ee), when using just one equivalent of agent with respect to the substrate in a CDCl₃ solution.

**Molecular recognition mode**

**NMR study**

The molecular recognition behaviour of the new chiral agents was further investigated. There is no doubt that the thiouronium moiety, containing N–H groups as sources of hydrogen bonds, is the receptor centre for the oxoanions, in an analogous way to that already established for guanidinium salts. For the thiouronium complexes with oxoanions, a binding pattern featuring two parallel hydrogen bonds may be described by the H(8) descriptor (Fig. 2).20,21 The same descriptor is found in the guanidinium system.

The fact is that the initial NMR experiments on enantio-discrimination have shown (vide supra) that the complexation results in a locked conformation of the single isomeric form of the cation. This can be see clearly in Fig. 10, by comparing the well resolved and simple spectrum of a 1:1 complex of [2c][NTf₂] and [N₄][3a] with the conformational freedom exhibited by the uncomplexed [2c][NTf₂]. As the thiouronium moiety recognises the oxoanions, it may be suspected that the 1:1 complexation ratio is an optimum for this system. Indeed, ¹H NMR experiments carried out with increasing molar equivalents of [2c][NTf₂] added to the model substrate [N₄][3a] confirmed that the optimum stoichiometry of the adduct is indeed 1:1 (Fig. 11). These new reagents are truly competitive with those known from the literature, especially the extant chiral ionic liquids, where an excess of 3 to even 24 equivalents is required for satisfying results in the chiral NMR discrimination.17 Moreover, they may be considered as simple synthetic analogues to the naturally occurring receptor centres in enzymes, since each receptor centre recognises and saturates with a single substrate. They are hence ‘abiotic’ or ‘biomimetic’ receptor systems, analogous to Lehn’s archetypal guanidinium systems.
Another promising feature is that, for some substrates, less than one equivalent would be sufficient for an effective determination of the ee of the chiral analyte, hence giving increased sensitivity.

Thermodynamic binding study

Determination of possible self-association interactions between the chiral agent (host) and the chiral substrate (guest) is important for a full description of the binding process. Hence, the self-association equilibrium for the model agent, here [2a][NTf₂], and its molecular analogue, thiourea 1a, was determined (Fig. 12a). Examining Fig. 12a, there is an impression of a general trend of chemical shift increasing with increasing concentration. However, closer inspection reveals that the difference in chemical shift between the lowest and highest concentrations is less than three standard deviations (3σ), and hence there is no significant difference between these values. In other words, within the errors of the NMR experiments, there is no evidence for self-association of either the cation [2a]+ or the neutral thiourea 1a in the range of concentration from 1.7 to 50 mM. Further, when the guest [N₄₄₄₄][3a] was compared with its precursor – mandelic acid (Fig. 12b), the results revealed that, as in the case of host, the self-interactions of [3a]⁻ are also undetectable in these experiments. However, free mandelic acid shows the expected self-association, which is normally found for carboxylic acids. In conclusion, for the determination of host–guest interactions by ¹H NMR spectroscopy, it is correct to ignore both host–host and guest–guest interactions as these are too weak to be detected.

Next, the stoichiometry of the host–guest interaction was examined. The Job’s plot, Fig. 13a, confirmed the 1 : 1 stoichiometry of the interaction between [2c][NTf₂] and [N₄₄₄₄][3a], as the maximum appears at ca. 0.5 mol fraction. The 500 MHz ¹H NMR titration experiments in CDCl₃, Fig. 13b, showed that the determination of the binding constants for each enantiomer of the guest [N₄₄₄₄][3a] and optically pure [2c][NTf₂] is impossible in this solvent. Sharp NMR isotherms which produce two straight lines that intersect at the [host]/[guest] ratio corresponding to the stoichiometry of the complex (Fig. 13b) indicate that the binding constants are too large to be properly determined by the NMR method (K > 10⁵ M⁻¹). Thus, there was a need to use an additive, which will decrease the degree of binding between [3a]⁻ and [2c]+, and then allow for generation of classic 1 : 1 NMR binding isotherms, from which a reduced binding constant can be estimated. A series of trials with different additives (MeOD and DMSO-d₆) in different concentrations (1–10% v/v) in CDCl₃ showed that the optimum NMR titration data were obtained in a solution of 10% v/v DMSO-d₆ in CDCl₃, and in this system the reduced binding constant K could be determined for the interaction between [2c][NTf₂] and [N₄₄₄₄][3a] (Fig. 13c and Table 6). The methodology for determination of equilibrium constants is explained in detail in the Experimental section. Generally, the method is based on a classic equation for the binding isotherm, adapted to NMR

![Fig. 10](image-url) Comparison between the ¹H NMR spectrum of uncomplexed [2c][NTf₂] (red trace) and complexed with [N₄₄₄₄][3a] (black trace) using ¹H NMR spectroscopy (300 MHz) in CDCl₃ at 20 °C.

![Fig. 11](image-url) Determination of the optimum ratio of chiral agent [2c][NTf₂] to model substrate [N₄₄₄₄][3a] required for NMR discrimination of using ¹H NMR spectroscopy (400 MHz) in CDCl₃ at 20 °C.
chemical shift of the methine proton peaks in mandelate;

also carried out with hosts \(2a\) and \(2b\) in \(\text{DMSO}-d_6\) and \(\text{DMSO}-d_6\) using \([\text{N}_{4444}]\text{[NTf}_2]\) as a guest (Table 6). Results showed that, for hosts functionalised with an \((S)\)-methylbenzyl group, the binding with a model substrate in \(\text{CDCl}_3\) is also too strong to have \(K\) accurately determined (vide supra).\(^{34}\) Thus the only determination of the preference of binding \((R)\)-3a over \((S)\)-3a\(^{35}\) by \([2c]\text{[NTf}_2]\) is provided by NMR titration experiments in a solvent mixture of 10\% v/v \text{DMSO}-d_6 in \(\text{CDCl}_3\). Indeed, there is a threefold difference in binding of the \((R)\)-enantiomer of mandelate over the \((S)\)-enantiomer by \([2c]\text{[NTf}_2]\), even for reduced values of binding constants with \(\text{DMSO}-d_6\). A chiral recognition energy \(\Delta G\) calculated from \(-RT\ln(K)\) is equal to 2.51 kJ mol\(^{-1}\) for \([2c]\text{[NTf}_2]\) as a host for mandelate as a substrate.

The reason for such a strong interaction may lay not only in the combination of the hydrogen bonding and Coulombic forces. The structure of the thiouronium salts may also lead to additional interactions such as stacking interactions, especially in the case of dehydroabietyl as the guest, but at the same time a

\[\text{host} \quad \text{guest} \quad \frac{K}{M^{-1}} \quad \text{NMR solvent} \quad \Delta G^\circ/[kJ \text{ mol}^{-1}]\]

\begin{tabular}{|c|c|c|c|}
\hline
Host & Guest & \(K\)/M\(^{-1}\) & NMR solvent & \(\Delta G^\circ/[kJ \text{ mol}^{-1}]\) \\
\hline
\([2a]\text{[NTf}_2]\) & \([S]\)-[N\text{4444}]\text{[NTf}_2]\) & \(K > 10^5\) & \(\text{CDCl}_3\) & \(-28.72\) \\
\([2a]\text{[NTf}_2]\) & \([R]\)-[N\text{4444}]\text{[NTf}_2]\) & \(K > 10^5\) & \(\text{CDCl}_3\) & \(-28.72\) \\
\([2b]\text{[NTf}_2]\) & \([S]\)-[N\text{4444}]\text{[NTf}_2]\) & \(K > 10^5\) & \(\text{CDCl}_3\) & \(-28.72\) \\
\([2b]\text{[NTf}_2]\) & \([R]\)-[N\text{4444}]\text{[NTf}_2]\) & \(K > 10^5\) & \(\text{CDCl}_3\) & \(-28.72\) \\
\([2c]\text{[NTf}_2]\) & \([S]\)-[N\text{4444}]\text{[NTf}_2]\) & \(K > 10^5\) & \(\text{CDCl}_3\) & \(-28.72\) \\
\([2c]\text{[NTf}_2]\) & \([R]\)-[N\text{4444}]\text{[NTf}_2]\) & \(7413 \pm 1235\) & 10\% v/v \text{DMSO}-d_6 in \(\text{CDCl}_3\) & \(-22.23\) \\
\([2c]\text{[NTf}_2]\) & \([S]\)-[N\text{4444}]\text{[NTf}_2]\) & \(20283 \pm 5231\) & 10\% v/v \text{DMSO}-d_6 in \(\text{CDCl}_3\) & \(-24.74\) \\
\hline
\end{tabular}

\(^*\) Binding constants were calculated from the equation defined in the experimental part.\(^6\) Gibbs free energy calculated from \(-RT\ln(K)\).
substrate has better access to the receptor centre of the host. A more complex situation exists for [2b][NTf2] (Fig. 14b): on one side of this host centre, there is an α-methylbenzyl group, and on the other side there is a dehydroabietyl group. This case presents a unique combination of more open access for the guest, deriving from the (S)-methylbenzyl substituent, with π–π interactions between the guest and the dehydroabietyl aromatic ring. Finally, from the (S)-9-bromo[2e][NTf2] has an ideal structure to support the binding of a phenyl-functionalised guest via stacking interactions (Fig. 14c), but at the same time structurally developed substituents, like dehydroabietyl groups, can limit access to the receptor centre.

Anion influence

When involving a cation that acts as a host for anions, obviously it is in competition with the cation from the guest. A similar competition should be recognised between the anions of the guest and the host. Indeed, when comparing the bromide and the bis(trifluoromethyl)sulfonylimide accompanying the same cation (Table 4), there is a noticeable effect on methine peak non-equivalences in [N4444][3a], influenced by the anion of the chiral host. Thus, the 1H NMR spectra were recorded for the S,S-butyln,N,N'-bis(dehydroabietyl)thiouium cation with different anions (Table 7). The main factor taken into consideration is again the Δδ of the methine peak from the model substrate (guest): Table 7 clearly shows a strong influence from the nature of the host’s anion. An additional interesting observation during this investigation was a significant shift of the N–H peaks in the 1H NMR spectrum of the complexes with the model substrate and [2e]Y salts.

Table 7 Determination of peak non-equivalences with [N4444][3a] as a model substrate in the presence of the [2e]Y as chiral host, using 1H NMR spectroscopy (300 MHz) in CDCl3 at 20 °C

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Y−</th>
<th>Δδ/ppm</th>
<th>δN–H/ppm</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>[CH3CO2]−</td>
<td>0.013</td>
<td>8.935</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Cl−</td>
<td>0.072</td>
<td>11.707</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>[NO3]−</td>
<td>0.083</td>
<td>11.908</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Br−</td>
<td>0.097</td>
<td>11.833</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>I−</td>
<td>0.101</td>
<td>11.861</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>[OTf]−</td>
<td>0.107</td>
<td>11.976</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>[NTf2]−</td>
<td>0.110</td>
<td>12.006</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

All samples were prepared by mixing the guest (0.03 mmol) with [2e]Y (0.03 mmol) in CDCl3. a Difference of CH shift values between peaks of opposite enantiomers of mandelate. b Chemical shift of the N–H protons from [2e]Y observed as a broadened peak in the 1H NMR spectrum.

Organisation of the results in order of increasing Δδ reveals the character of competition between the anions for the thiouonium receptor centre:

\[ \text{[NTf}_2\text{]}^- > \text{[OTf]}^- > \text{Br}^- > \text{[NO}_3\text{]}^- > \text{Cl}^- > \text{[CH}_3\text{CO}_2\text{]}^- \]

Thus, increasing values of Δδ were observed from ethanoate, through halides, to bis(trifluoromethyl)sulfonylimide. This order appears to reflect the hydrogen-bonding acceptor abilities of the anions, but the precise basicity of anions in ionic liquids is not known. The order as suggested by the Kamlet–Taft solvatochromic β parameter is \(^37,38\)

\[ \text{Cl}^- > \text{Br}^- > \text{I}^- > \text{[CH}_3\text{CO}_2\text{]}^- > \text{[NO}_3\text{]}^- > \text{[OTf]}^- > \text{[NTf}_2\text{]^-} \]

When comparing this series with the results for anion competition observed here (note that bistriﬂamides are extremely hydrophobic), they follow a similar trend.

Results showing that the N–H peak from the host is moving downfield with the higher value of Δδ (Table 7) prove that the stronger hydrogen bonding interactions are occurring between the receptor centre and the guest anion, ruling out the host counterion. \(^39\) In other words, mandelate is more strongly bonded than the host’s anion because of a combination of its hydrophobicity, hydrogen-bond pattern recognition, and steric size.

To conclude, the competition between the host and guest anions for binding with [2e]Y has a significant implication for chiral recognition, viz. the greater the competition, the smaller the discrimination. Thus, in order to examine the substrates with lower hydrogen-bonding acceptor abilities, it is necessary to use non-competing anions in the chiral agent, such as [NTf2].

Computational study

Computational optimisation analyses for the two enantiomers of [3a]Y with [2e]Y were performed to investigate the origin of the
enantio-discrimination connected with the chiral thiouronium salts. The results of density functional theory (DFT) calculations (RB3LYP/STO-3G)\textsuperscript{28} on the optimised structures of the complexes between [2c]+ and [(S)-3a]−, as well as [(R)-3a]−, are illustrated in Fig. 15. Although it is realised that this is a rather low level calculation, and caution should be exercised when examining the energy predictions, it does well illustrate some of the key features of the system. As the anion approaches the recognition site within the host cation, the cation responds by changing its conformation to accommodate the anion. In other words, the more tightly bound the anion, the more rigid the new conformation of the cation becomes. In addition, as [3a]− possesses an –OH group at the chiral centre, which is spatially close to the carboxylate group, a stabilising intramolecular five-membered ring is formed. This intramolecular hydrogen bond reduces the electron density on the carboxylate oxygen and hence weakens the intermolecular hydrogen bond formed by the same oxygen atom to the thiouronium moiety, Fig. 16a. This is confirmed by analysing the features of the computed structure [2c][3d], Fig. 16b. Here, there is no intramolecular hydrogen bond, as the –OH group was replaced by the –CH$_3$ group, and in addition methyl is electron-releasing, whereas hydroxyl is an electron-attracting group. The net effect is that the oxygen atoms of the carboxylate group are more negatively charged in [2c][3d] than they are in [2c][3a], and therefore the

![Fig. 15 Optimised structures for (a) [2c][(S)-3a] and (b) [2c][(R)-3a]. Each host–guest pair is illustrated by two different views, the left one emphasising the importance of hydrogen bonding, the right one emphasising the resulting distances between the butyl side chain from the host, [2c]+, and the phenyl group from the guest, [3a]−. The geometries were optimised at the RB3LYP/STO-3G level using Gaussian\textsuperscript{98} (Gaussian Inc.).\textsuperscript{28}

![Fig. 16 Hydrogen bond distances and differences in energies between complexes of [2c]+ and (a) enantiomers of [3a]−; (b) enantiomers of [3d]− obtained by optimisation at the RB3LYP/STO-3G level using Gaussian\textsuperscript{98} (Gaussian Inc.).\textsuperscript{28} Parts of the [2c]+ cations were omitted for clarity.](image-url)
hydrogen bonds from the former are on average 0.03 Å shorter than in the latter.

However, the differences in energy for both complexes of the opposite enantiomers of [2c][3a] (0.44 kJ mol⁻¹) and [2c][3d] (0.30 kJ mol⁻¹) are too small to be significant, given the level of the calculation.

Conclusions

A series of chiral thiouromion salts, [2Y], was developed and used for chiral discrimination of oxoanions, e.g. mandelate, using NMR spectroscopy. The performance of these salts was superior to that of the uncharged molecular precursors, the chiral thioureas.

It was confirmed that the alkylation reaction of the sulfur atom induced delocalisation of the positive charge through the π-orbitals of the \( \{CN_S\} \) core. Because of this delocalisation, rotation about the CN bonds exists, but with restricted character. Hence, the thiouromion cations should exhibit discrete rotamers, as confirmed by the broadened and complex NMR signals. Indeed, extensive NMR studies confirmed that the interconversion of the rotamers was dependent on temperature, the nature of the N-substituents, the NMR solvent, and the counterion, as well as influencing the optical rotation.

However, in the case of [2c][CH₃CO₂], the \(^1\)H NMR spectrum revealed a rigid conformation of the cation–anion system, due to strong hydrogen bonding interactions, which produced a sharp set of \(^1\)H NMR signals. This observation triggered an extensive study of the chiral discrimination between oxoanions, especially carbamates, by these new chiral salts.

The best results were obtained with [2c][NTf₂] as a chiral agent, and it was found to be comparable, or better, in performance than existing systems in the literature. An additional advantage here is the relatively easy synthesis of this agent with a low cost chiral auxiliary. Apart from other chiral carboxylate substrates, chiral sulfonates and phosphonates could also be recognised and discriminated.

By changing the molar concentration of the chiral agent over the chiral substrate, it was confirmed that a 1:1 ratio is the optimum for the formation of the complex between [2c][NTf₂] and the model substrate, and this is enough for an effective discrimination process.

As the binding constants are large in CDCl₃ \((K > 10^5 \text{ M}^{-1})\), it was necessary to determine reduced binding constants by the addition of DMF-d₄. Even under these conditions, a three-fold difference in binding of \((R)\)-mandelate over \((S)\)-mandelate was observed, which is competitive with other systems used for chiral discrimination.

Using this kind of receptor may also be useful for the physical separation of racemic mixtures.

Experimental section

General information

All reagents and solvents were obtained from commercial suppliers and were used without further purification unless otherwise stated. (+)-Dehydroabietylamine (60% grade) required simple purification, as described in the literature. Dichloromethane was stored closed over molecular sieves, and diethyl ether was stored over sodium. All flash chromatography was performed using Alrich Silica gel, Merck grade 10180 (70–230 mesh). \(^1\)H NMR spectra were recorded at 300, 400 or 500 MHz. \(^{13}\)C NMR spectra were recorded at 101 MHz. \(^1\)F NMR spectra were recorded at 376 MHz. Two-dimensional correlation NMR spectra (COSY), heteronuclear single quantum correlation NMR spectra (HSQC) and nuclear Overhauser effect spectra (NOESY) were recorded at 400 MHz \(^1\)H NMR traces) and 101 MHz \(^{13}\)C NMR traces). Melting points \(T_m\) (mp) were determined in capillaries (uncorrected) or by DSC analysis. Glass transition temperatures \(T_g\) were obtained using DSC analysis (N₂ atmosphere, 5–10 °C min⁻¹ scan rate). Decomposition temperatures \(T_{dec}\) were determined with TGA analysis (N₂ atmosphere, 10 °C min⁻¹ scan rate). Optical rotations \([\alpha]_D\) were determined on a digital polarimeter at 20 °C in CHCl₃ as a solvent. The exact mass was obtained using high-resolution mass spectrometry (Waters – LCT PREMIER instrument) with electrospray ionisation (EI). Combustion elemental analysis was performed for all new products. Karl-Fisher titration for water content \(W\), density \(d\) and viscosity \(\eta\) determination was performed for room temperature chiral ionic liquid product \([2a][NTf₂]\).

Crystal data

Crystal data for [2c]Br were collected using a Bruker Nonius Kappa CCD diffractometer with a FR591 rotating anode and a molybdenum target at ca. 120 K in a dinitrogen stream. Lorentz and polarisation corrections were applied. The structure was solved by direct methods. Hydrogen-atom positions were located from difference Fourier maps and a riding model, with fixed thermal parameters \(U_{	ext{eq}} = 1.2U_{	ext{eq}}\) for the atom to which they are bonded, 1.5 for methyl), was used for subsequent refinements. The function minimised was \(\sum(|F_o|^2 - |F_c|^2)\) with reflection weights \(w = \frac{[\sigma^2|F_o|^2 + (g[P] + |g[F]|)]}{P}\) where \(P = \max(|F_o|^2 + 2|F_c|^2)\). The SHELXTL package and OLEX2 were used for structure solution and refinement. Formula: \(C_{45}H_{69}N_2SBr\); MW: 749.99 g mol⁻¹; crystal size: 0.15 × 0.15 × 0.1 mm; crystal system: monoclinic; space group: \(P2_1; a: 11.543(2) \text{ Å}; b: 11.5238(17) \text{ Å}; c: 15.689(3) \text{ Å}; \alpha: 90.00°; \beta: 100.072(4)°; \gamma: 90.00°; V: 2054.8(6) \text{ Å}^3; Z: 2\); \(\rho_{\text{calc}}: 1.212 \text{ g cm}^{-3};\) crystal shape: block; crystal colour: colourless; \(\mu: 1.083 \text{ mm}^{-1}; F(000): 808;\) measured reflections: 14,473; unique reflections: 12,111 \((R_{	ext{int}} = 0.031);\) parameters refined: 451; Goof on \(F^2: 0.870;\) final R indices \([I > 2\sigma(I)]; R_I = 0.0533, wR_2 = 0.0630;\) final R indices [all data] \(R_I = 0.0829, wR_2 = 0.0759;\) Flack parameter 0.018(6), CCDC 882873.

X-ray powder diffraction data

XRD data were collected using a PANalytical’s X’Pert Pro MPD powder diffractometer equipped with a Cu-K X-ray (\(\lambda = 1.542 \text{ Å}\)) source. The 2θ range was 4°–90°, with a step size of 0.016°.
General procedure for the synthesis of chiral thioureas

Chiral thioureas were prepared by analogy to reported procedures. At 0 °C under N2, to a solution of 1 eq. of N,N′-dicyclohexylcarbodiimide and 1 eq. of chiral amine in dry Et2O ether 3 eq. of CS2 was added dropwise. The reaction was allowed to warm slowly to 20 °C, and then stirred overnight. TLC was used to confirm when the reaction was complete. Then, the precipitated by-product (N,N′-dicyclohexylthiourea) was removed by filtration, and the solvent with an excess of CS2 was evaporated under reduced pressure. The residue was purified by column chromatography (SiO2, hexane).

(S)-α-Methylbenzyl thiourea. Colourless liquid (2.43 g, 81%); Rf = 0.43 (SiO2, hexane); [α]D300 = 16.6 (c = 1.02, CHCl3);

1H NMR (300 MHz, CDCl3) δ 7.43–7.25 (m, 5H, Ar CΗ); 7.79 (d, J = 6.5 Hz, 1H, Ar CΗ); 7.14 (d, J = 8.2 Hz, 1H, Ar CΗ); 6.95 (dd, J = 8.1, 1.8 Hz, 1H, Ar CΗ); 6.83 (d, J = 1.5 Hz, 1H, Ar CΗ); 5.42 (br s, 1H, CH2); 3.51 (br s, 1H, NCH3); 3.31 (br s, 1H, NCH3); 2.78 (sep, J = 7.0 Hz, 1H, CH2), 2.79–2.62 (m, 2H, CH2); 2.25 (br d, J = 12.3 Hz, 1H, CH2); 1.91–1.63 (m, 2H, CH2); 1.37 (d, J = 6.9 Hz, 3H, CH3), 1.43–1.25 (m, 5H, CH3, CH2), 1.25–1.04 (m, 1H, CH2), 1.17 (d, J = 7.0 Hz, 6H, 2 × CH3), 1.12 (s, 3H, CH3), 0.85 (s, 3H, CH3); 13C NMR (100 MHz, DMSO-d6) δ 182.47 (S = C), 147.03 (C), 144.85 (C), 143.35 (C), 134.47 (C), 128.12 (CH), 126.50 (CH), 126.38 (CH), 125.90 (CH), 124.01 (CH), 123.49 (CH), 53.81 (CH2), 52.07 (CH2), 44.21 (CH2), 37.96 (C), 37.40 (CH2), 36.94 (C), 35.74 (CH2), 32.88 (CH2), 29.52 (CH2), 25.07 (CH2), 24.01 (CH2), 23.86 (CH2), 22.25 (CH2), 18.80 (CH2), 18.45 (CH2), 18.20 (CH2); caleld for C21H27NS [M + H]+ 327.4990, found 327.4985.

N-S-(α-Methylbenzyl)-N′-dehydroabietylthiourea (1b). White solid (0.95 g, 89%); Rf = 0.63 (SiO2, hexane: CH2CO2Et 2:1); mp 139–141 °C; [α]T300 = 14.3 (c = 1.00, CHCl3); 1H NMR (400 MHz, CDCl3) δ 7.15 (d, J = 8.2 Hz, 2H, 2 × Ar CH); 6.98 (dd, J = 8.1, 1.7 Hz, 2H, 2 × Ar CH); 6.88 (s, 2H, 2 × Ar CH); 5.75 (br s, 2H, 2 × NH); 3.36 (br m, 4H, 2 × NCS), 2.98–2.74 (m, 4H, 2 × CH2), 2.83 (sep, J = 6.9 Hz, 2H, 2 × CH2), 2.27 (br d, J = 12.7 Hz, 2H, 2 × CH2), 1.92–1.55 (m, 8H, 2 × CH2, 2 × CH2, 2 × CH2), 1.51–1.16 (m, 8H, 2 × CH2, 2 × CH2, 2 × CH2), 1.22 (d, J = 6.9 Hz, 12H, 4 × CH3), 1.20 (s, 6H, 2 × CH3), 0.96 (s, 6H, 2 × CH3); 13C NMR (101 MHz, CDCl3) δ 182.92 (C = S), 146.85 (C), 145.68 (C), 134.49 (C), 126.84 (CH), 124.03 (CH), 123.84 (CH), 55.28 (CH2), 45.71 (CH), 38.23 (CH2), 37.37 (C), 37.42 (C), 36.74 (CH2), 33.40 (CH2), 29.97 (CH2), 25.17 (CH3), 23.95 (2 × CH3), 19.08 (CH3), 18.65 (CH3), 18.46 (CH3); caleld for C44H48N4S: C 80.33, H 9.87, N 4.57, S 5.23%; found: C 80.30, H 9.69, N 4.52, S 5.38%. HRMS-EI (m/z) caleld for C44H48N4S [M + H]+ 613.4555, found 613.4569.

General procedure for the synthesis of chiral thiourea salts

Alkylation reactions were prepared by analogy to reported procedures.11 A solution of thiourea (1 eq.) and 1-halobutane required 65 °C, 1-bromobutane required 80 °C, both reactions in a standard reflux apparatus, and 1-chlorobutane required 90 °C in a sealed glass tube. The consumption of thiourea was monitored by TLC and the reaction time was extended if necessary. When TLC indicated the end of the reaction, the solvent and the excess of haloalkane were evaporated under reduced pressure. Products were dried in vacuo.
Note: Since NMR spectra of thiouronium salts are influenced by hindered rotamerism about partial double bonds C–N and C–S, in some cases a mixture of rotamers/isomers is recognised and $^1$H NMR is then appropriately described by partial integration of protons or additional notations, e.g. for the same CH$_3$ group available in two isomers: $\delta$ 0.90–0.75 (m, 0.5 × 3H, C$^\text{H}_3$)$_3$, 0.49 (s, 0.5 × 3H, C$^\text{H}_3$)$_3$.

**S-Butyl-N,N'-bis(S)-methylbenzyl-N'-dehydroabietylthiouronium bromide ([2a]Br).** Creamy solid (0.78 g, 99.5%); mp 137.46 °C; $\delta^{19}\text{C} = 125.2$ (c = 1.0, CDCl$_3$); $\text{H}$ NMR (300 MHz, DMSO-d$_6$) $\delta$ 9.45 (d, $J = 7.3$ Hz, 2H, 2 × NH), 7.65–6.83 (m, 10H, Ar CH$_2$), 5.54 (br s, 1H, CH), 5.16 (br s, 1H, CH'), 3.20 (ddt, $J = 27.6$, 13.6, 7.1 Hz, 2H, S–CH$_2$), 1.59 (d, $J = 6.2$ Hz, 6H, 2 × CH$_3$), 1.33–0.95 (m, 4H, 2 × CH$_2$), 0.67 (t, $J = 7.1$ Hz, 3H, CH$_3$); $^{13}$C NMR (75 MHz, DMSO-d$_6$) $\delta$ 165.62 (C–S), 141.89 (C), 140.87 (C), 125.56 (CH), 127.84 (CH), 127.47 (CH), 126.27 (CH), 128.95 (CH), 55.71 (CH), 53.12 (CH), 32.63 (CH$_3$), 30.73 (CH$_3$), 22.29 (CH$_3$), 21.22 (CH$_3$), 20.80 (CH$_3$), 13.22 (CH$_3$); calcd for C$_{21}$H$_{28}$N$_2$SBr: C 59.85, H 6.65, N 6.65, S 7.69%; HRMSESI (m/z) calcd for C$_{21}$H$_{29}$N$_2$SBr–Br$^-$; found 583.2722, found 583.2732, calcd for [M – Br]$^-$ 505.3516, found 505.3516.

**General procedure for metathesis reaction**

Metathesis reactions were performed by mixing a CH$_2$Cl$_2$ solution of thiouronium bromide (1 eq.) with an aqueous solution (or suspension) of a salt containing the desired anion (1 eq.).
The sources of anions were Li[NTf₂]₂, Ag[NO₃], Ag[OTf] or Ag(CH₂)₂CO₃. Then the by-products were collected by filtration, the organic phase was separated, dried with MgSO₄, and the solvent removed by evaporation. The product was then dried in vacuo.

**S-Butyl-N,N'-bis((S)-2-methylbenzyl)thiouronium bis[(trifluoromethyl)sulfonyl]amide ([2a][NTf₂]).** Colourless liquid (0.13 g, 96%); Tg -38.10 °C; [α]D0° = 96.0 (c = 1.0, CHCl₃); d19 1.3232 g ml⁻¹; 1H NMR (400 MHz, DMSO-d6) δ 9.47 (d, J = 7.0 Hz, 2H, 2 × CH₂), 7.65-6.81 (m, 10H, 10 × Ar CH), 5.39 (br s, 1H, C'H₅), 5.17 (br s, 1H, C'H), 3.19 (ddt, J = 20.7, 13.4, 6.9 Hz, 2H, C-S-CH₂), 1.59 (br s, 6H, CH₂), 1.22 (q, J = 7.2 Hz, 2H, CH₂), 1.14 (quin, J = 7.2 Hz, 2H), 0.70 (t, J = 7.2 Hz, 3H, CH₃); 13C NMR (101 MHz, DMSO-d6) δ 165.67 (C=), 141.77 (C), 140.72 (C), 128.60 (CH), 127.93 (CH), 126.18 (CH), 125.81 (CH), 124.30 (CH), 119.5 (q, J = 323.2 Hz, CF₃), 55.93 (CH), 53.01 (CH), 32.47 (CH₃), 30.68 (CH₃), 22.15 (CH₃), 21.07 (CH₃), 20.82 (CH₂), 13.17 (CH₃); calcd for C₂₃H₂₉N₂S·[M - NTf₂]⁻ 341.2051, found 341.2044, calculated for C₂₃H₂₉N₂S·[NTf₂]⁻ 279.9173, found 279.9166.

**S-Butyl-N,N'-bis((S)-2-dehydrobenzyl)thiouronium triflate ([2c][OTf]).** White solid (0.11 g, 92%); mp 54.72 °C; [α]D0° = 8.3 (c = 1.0, CHCl₃); 1H NMR (400 MHz, DMSO-d6) δ 8.89 (br s, 2H, 2 × NH), 7.11 (br s, 2H, 2 × Ar CH), 6.93 (d, J = 8.2 Hz, 2H, 2 × Ar CH), 6.85 (br d, J = 13.6 Hz, 2H, 2 × Ar CH), 3.67-3.15 (m, 4H, 2 × CH₂), 1.71-1.49 (m, 8H, 2 × CH₂), 1.53 (quin, J = 7.2 Hz, 2H, CH₂), 1.48-1.25 (m, 6H, 2 × CH₂, 2 × CH₃), 1.24-1.05 (m, 7H, 2 × CH₂, 2 × CH₃); 13C NMR (101 MHz, DMSO-d6) δ 168.52 (C=), 147.62 (C), 145.02 (C), 134.02 (C), 126.28 (CH), 123.89 (CH), 123.54 (CH), 121.61 (q, J = 132.3 Hz, CF₃), 55.01 (CH), 53.57 (CH), 45.05 (CH), 44.18 (CH), 39.38 (C), 37.74 (CH₂), 36.95 (C), 36.10 (CH), 32.88 (CH), 32.14 (CH), 30.85 (CH₂), 29.14 (CH₂), 25.01 (CH), 23.88 (CH), 21.12 (CH), 18.57 (CH), 17.91 (CH), 17.67 (CH), 13.10 (CH); calcd for C₃₄H₂₉F₆N₇O₆S·C₆H₄·CF₃·H₂O·H₂O : C 67.44, H 8.49, N 3.42, S 7.83%; found: C 67.91, H 8.96, N 3.29, S 7.12%; HRMS-ESI (m/z) calculated for C₃₄H₂₉F₆N₇O₆S·[M - OTf]⁻ 669.5181, found 669.5180, calculated for C₃₄H₂₉F₆N₇O₆S·[NTf₂]⁻ 279.9173, found 279.9162.

**S-Butyl-N,N'-bis((S)-2-dehydrobenzyl)thiouronium trinitrate ([2c][NO₃]).** White solid (0.12 g, 83%); mp 49.41 °C; [α]D0° = -19.7 (c = 1.0, CHCl₃); 1H NMR (400 MHz, DMSO-d6) δ 8.91 (br s, 2H, 2 × NH), 7.11 (br s, 2H, 2 × Ar CH), 6.93 (d, J = 8.1 Hz, 2H, 2 × Ar CH), 6.85 (br d, J = 12.9 Hz, 2H, 2 × Ar CH), 3.67-3.15 (m, 4H, 2 × N-CH₃), 3.28-3.09 (m, 2H, 2 × CH₂), 2.76 (sep, J = 6.8 Hz, 2H, 2 × CH₂), 2.65-2.12 (m, 2H, 2 × C'H₄); 13C NMR (101 MHz, DMSO-d6) δ 168.54 (C=), 146.64 (C), 145.00 (C), 134.04 (C), 126.26 (CH), 123.87 (CH), 123.52 (CH), 119.45 (q, J = 323.3 Hz, CF₃), 55.01 (CH), 53.61 (CH), 45.04 (CH), 44.18 (CH), 38.56 (C), 37.72 (C), 36.93 (C), 36.07 (CH₂), 32.87 (CH₂), 32.13 (CH), 30.83 (CH₂), 29.30 (CH₃), 24.98 (CH₃), 23.86 (CH₃), 21.10 (CH₃), 18.55 (CH₂), 18.12 (CH₃), 17.88 (CH₃), 17.68 (CH₃), 17.03 (CH₃); calcd for C₃₄H₂₉F₆N₇O₆S·C₅H₉·NO₃ : C 73.82, H 9.50, N 5.74, S 4.38%; found: C 73.87, H 9.26, N 5.58, S 4.41%; HRMS-ESI (m/z) calculated for C₃₄H₂₉F₆N₇O₆S·[M - NO₃]⁻ 669.5181, found 669.5225.
Preparation of chiral guests

N-Acetylation reactions on phenylalanine, tryptophan, and 3,4-dihydroxyphenylalanine were performed according to a literature method. Racemisation of (S)-naproxen was performed according to the literature. Preparation of 1-phenylethylsulfonic acid, and 1-phenylethylphosphonic acid, were conducted according to the literature. 13C NMR (101 MHz, CDCl3) δ 178.34 (CHCO2), 163.03 (C=S), 147.37 (C), 145.37 (C), 134.73 (C), 126.75 (CH), 124.16 (CH), 123.69 (CH), 57.57 (CH2), 45.73 (CH), 38.42 (CH2), 38.18 (C), 37.46 (C), 36.43 (CH2), 33.43 (CH), 32.61 (CH2), 32.30 (CH2), 30.19 (CH2), 25.32 (CH2), 23.98 (CH2), 23.28 (CH2CO2), 21.91 (CH2), 19.03 (CH3), 18.68 (CH2), 18.66 (CH3), 13.49 (CH3); calcd for C45H69N2S02: C 77.42, H 9.95, N 3.84, S 1.78. HRMS-ESI (m/z) calcd for C45H69N2S [M–CH2CO2]– 669.5181, found 669.5212.

Titration experiments and binding constant determination

The solution of the guest ([guest]0 ≈ 2 mM) was used for preparation of the host solution ([guest]0 ≈ 11.7–46.6 mM) to maintain a constant concentration of the guest. In an NMR tube, the guest solution ([guest]0) was added to a series of host solutions ([host]0 = 11.7–46.6 mM) to maintain a constant concentration of the host. After 1–2 h, the solution was evaporated and the residue was dried in vacuo.

Using NMR spectroscopy, the latter may be mathematically described by eqn (1) and (2):

\[
\Delta \delta_{\text{obs}} = \Delta \delta_{\text{i}} K_{\text{host}} [\text{host}] \\
[\text{host}] = [\text{host}]_{0} - [\text{guest}]_{0} \left( \frac{\Delta \delta_{\text{obs}}}{\Delta \delta_{\text{i}}} \right)
\]

The binding constants, \( K \), were determined from eqn (1), where \([\text{host}]\) is the molar concentration of the host, \( \Delta \delta_{\text{obs}} \) is the observed chemical shift change for a given [host], \( \Delta \delta_{\text{i}} \) is the chemical shift change between a pure sample of the complex and the free component at saturation, \([\text{host}]_{0} \) is the concentration of free host at equilibrium, \([\text{host}]_{0} \) is the total concentration of added host, \([\text{guest}]_{0} \) is the total concentration of guest. When \( \Delta \delta_{\text{obs}} \) was plotted against \([\text{host}]\), a curve fitting was performed according to eqn (1) using SigmaPlot software, which resulted in the determination of \( K \). The associated errors refer to 2σ about the mean.

Computational studies

The starting structures for geometry optimisations were generated using HyperChem Release 8.0.79 with the MM+ level followed by the semi-empirical PM3 level. Then the final calculation was done using Gaussian® 98 (Gaussian Inc.) with the RHF/3-21G* level for optimisation of thiouronium isomers and the RB3LYP/STO-3G level for optimisations of host–guest complexes.
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