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Abstract

The enhanced mechanical performance of thin-ply laminates results from their ability to delay the onset of damage typically observed in composite materials. However, in notched structures, subcritical damage growth causes beneficial stress redistributions in the vicinity of the notch, blunting the stress concentration. Precluding these damage mechanisms, as in thin-ply laminates, may potentially lead to inferior notched responses. To obviate this limitation of thin-ply laminates, a strategy based on the combination of standard grade 0\degree plies and thin transverse and off-axis plies is analysed in this paper. A detailed study of the effect of 0\degree ply blocking is carried out, with particular emphasis on the blunting mechanisms and notched response. Tests on scaled notched panels loaded in tension, with notch sizes between 6 mm and 30 mm, show that the combination of standard grade 0\degree ply blocks with thin transverse and off-axis plies promotes localised fibre-matrix splitting, which acts as an important notch blunting mechanism, while preventing matrix cracking and delamination. This results in an improved notched response and superior large damage capability. It is also shown that thicker 0\degree ply blocks provide higher stability in com-
posite bolted joints, while the thin transverse and off-axis plies contribute for matrix-dominated damage suppression, resulting in an improved bolt-bearing response. The improvements of the large damage capability and bolt-bearing performance are obtained without compromising the superior unnotched tensile and compressive strengths intrinsic to thin-ply laminates.
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1. Introduction

Thin-ply laminates\(^1\) are known for their enhanced mechanical performance, attributed to the ability to delay the onset of the matrix-dominated damage mechanisms typically observed in conventional composite materials. This damage suppression capability results in an enhanced unnotched strength [1–4], improved compressive response [2, 3], and higher fatigue resistance [1, 2, 4, 5]. However, the delay of damage onset may lead to an inferior notched response [4] due to the suppression of the notch blunting mechanisms that cause local stress redistribution and reduce the stress concentration in the vicinity of the notch. Nevertheless, studies focused on minimising, or even eliminating this disadvantage without compromising the enhanced mechanical performance of thin plies are unfortunately scarce.

Recently, Furtado et al. [6] presented an experimental study about the effect of ply-level hybridisation on the tensile unnotched and notched response of composite laminates. They showed that combining thin off-axis and transverse plies with thicker 0\(^0\) plies results in an enhanced notched behaviour without compromising the unnotched and fatigue responses. The standard grade 0\(^0\) plies promote localised longitudinal split cracking in the regions of high stress concentration in the vicinity of the notch, resulting in a stress redistribution along

\(^1\)Thin-ply laminates are defined as those composed by plies with thickness lower than 100 \(\mu\)m.
the loading direction that delays unstable fracture of the notched laminates, increasing their notched strength when compared with laminates with thin 0° plies.

Based on these observations, a detailed study of the effect of 0° ply blocking on the blunting mechanisms and notched response of thin-ply laminates is presented. To prevent the occurrence of matrix cracking and delamination, spread-tow thin plies were chosen to design a structural laminate based on a baseline of the aerospace industry. Focus is then placed on the understanding of how 0° ply blocking can be efficiently used to improve the structural integrity of thin-ply laminates without compromising their intrinsically high unnotched strengths. With this objective, a detailed experimental programme is presented. Tests were carried out on two stacking sequences, with dispersed and blocked 0° plies. The unnotched response of both laminates was evaluated in tension and in compression. Then, two important design drivers for aerospace applications — the tensile residual strength (large damage capability) and the bearing strength — are studied in detail to understand the effects of laminate design on the complex behaviour of structural details. Finally, a detailed discussion on the relevant features that govern the structural response of thin-ply laminates and the effect of 0° ply thickness is presented.

2. Material selection and manufacturing

T700GC/M21 C-Ply™ bi-angle non-crimp fabrics (NCFs) from Chomarat, with an areal weight of 75 g/m² per layer, were used in the present experimental campaign. Each layer is made of 12k tow-spread T700GC carbon fibres, pre-plied and mechanically sewn with fine stitching yarns. Two configurations were used in the present work, (0/45) and (0/−45) bi-angle layers. Both configurations were pre-impregnated with HexPly® M21 toughened epoxy resin from Hexcel.

A stacking sequence of bi-angle NCFs was defined based on a damage tolerance optimised baseline laminate for aerospace applications, with dispersed 0°
plies. An alternative stacking sequence was also defined, but with blocked $0^\circ$ plies. Table 1 shows the stacking sequences definition, where $n$ is the number of repetitions, and the $0^\circ$ fibre orientation is coincident with the loading direction. Both stacking sequences are oriented, $0^\circ$-dominated hard laminates, and, following standard design rules, they are both balanced and symmetric. Due to the latter restriction — laminate symmetry — both C-Ply™ NCF configurations had to be used to reproduce the stacking sequences presented in Table 1. Table 2 shows the C-Ply™ NCF lay-up sequences.

In total, four laminates were investigated, with $n = 1$ for the tensile tests and $n = 2$ for the compressive tests. The former had a nominal laminate thickness of 1.8 mm and the latter a nominal laminate thickness of 3.6 mm.

Thanks to the pre-plied off-axis plies, these laminates were manufactured by two-axis hand lay-up (in the $0^\circ$ and $90^\circ$ directions), reducing substantially the time spent with the lay-up process. They were then prepared for curing in a vacuum bag and cured in an autoclave. The autoclave cure cycle was defined by setting a heat-up rate of 2°C/min from room temperature to 180°C, holding at 180°C for 120 min and cooling down at a rate of 2°C/min. A gauge autoclave pressure of 4 bar was applied throughout the cure cycle. After curing, each specimen was cut to the nominal dimensions using a diamond-coated disk.

3. Experimental programme

3.1. Unnotched tension and compression tests

To assess the effect of $0^\circ$ ply blocking on the plain strengths and failure modes of thin-ply laminates loaded in tension and in compression, unnotched specimens were tested to failure. Following the ASTM D3039/D3039M – 14 test standard [7], unnotched specimens with a nominal width ($W$) of 25 mm and a nominal length ($L_s$) of 300 mm were tested in tension. Hydraulic grips were
used to clamp the specimens to the test frame, and the gauge length ($L$) set to 150 mm. In selected specimens, 2 mm thick, 75 mm long aluminium tabs were used to assess the effect of clamping on the test results.

In compression, unnotched specimens with $W = 25$ mm and $L_a = L = 305$ mm were tested. A special test rig designed to prevent buckling was used in the compression tests, based on the ASTM D6484/D6484M – 14 test standard [8]. The alignment of the clamping system with the axis of the testing machine was performed using two guiding pins with a diameter of 6 mm close to the ends of the specimens.

3.2. Centre-notched tension tests and notch size effect study

In the design of structural composite parts, the understanding of the mechanical performance and structural integrity of composite materials in the presence of stress concentrations is of great importance. In general, due to a size effect on the notched strength, the same laminate can exhibit distinct material behaviours depending on coupon size, from a small-notch, “strength-dominated” response, to a large-notch, “toughness-dominated” response [9]. Such strength dependence on size must be well understood if strength data from small coupons are intended to be used in the design of large load-bearing structures [10–14].

In the present work, Centre-Notched Tension (CNT) tests were performed on scaled specimens to study the evolution of damage mechanisms in thin-ply laminates with dispersed and blocked $0^\circ$ plies. Table 3 shows the CNT size effect test matrix. The width-to-notch length ratio was kept constant and equal to 6 in all specimens.

[Table 3 about here.]

Hydraulic grips were used to hold and apply the tensile load to the test specimens. However, because the 82 mm-wide hydraulic grips were narrower than the largest CNT specimen configurations (see Table 3), aluminium tabs, 2 mm thick and 100 mm long, were used to increase the rigidity of the clamped regions.
3.3. Mechanically fastened joints

The behaviour of composites in bolted joints differs considerably from that of metals. The quasi-brittle nature of composite materials requires more detailed analysis to address the different failure modes occurring in the vicinity of the fasteners. In composites, the stress concentrations dictate the components static strength to a larger extent than in metals due to the absence of yielding, resulting in less efficient joints. In addition, the bearing strength of a composite laminate depends significantly on the lateral support provided in the bearing region. Following the ASTM D5961/D5961M – 13 test standard [15], double-shear bearing tests were performed on the dispersed and blocked laminates to assess the effect of 0° ply blocking on the structural performance of mechanically fastened joints.

Bolt-bearing and pin-bearing tests [15] were performed using specimens with a nominal hole diameter $d = 6$ mm, end distance-to-hole diameter ratio $e/d = 6$, width-to-hole diameter ratio $W/d = 6$, and nominal length $L_s = 215$ mm. In the bolt-bearing tests, a bolt M6 was used with a washer subjected to a “finger-tight” clamping pressure, corresponding to a fastener torque $T = 2.2$ Nm [15]. In the pin-bearing tests, a 6 mm diameter pin was used to load the bearing hole (no clamping pressure).

Even though bearing failure is, in practice, the most common failure mode in composite mechanically fastened joints, when the hole diameter is large compared with the width of the specimen, or when the bolt spacing in a multi-hole bolted joint is comparatively small, net-tension failure is likely to occur, which can drastically change the strength of the joint [16]. Therefore, net-tension tests were also performed in the present work to study the effect of 0° ply blocking on the net-tension failure mode of composite bolted joints. This is an important structural test, specified by several design guidelines in aeronautics, because, as a geometry-dependent feature often used directly in the analysis methods, it is required in the derivation of in-plane laminate allowables [9]. Specimens with a nominal hole diameter $d = 6$ mm, end distance-to-hole diameter ratio $e/d = 6$, nominal length $L = 215$ mm, and nominal widths ($W$) of 9 mm and 12 mm were
tested. A bolt M6, subjected to a torque $T = 2.2 \text{ Nm}$ (“finger-tight” clamping pressure [15]), with a washer was used to load the bearing hole.

The end of the specimen far from the bearing hole was fixed using a bolted clamping rig. Grinding paper was inserted between the specimens and the grip to improve the load transfer capability and prevent sliding. The alignment of the longitudinal axis of the gripped specimen with the test direction was performed using a guiding pin with a diameter of 4 mm.

3.4. Specimen preparation and test conditions

The guiding holes in the compression and bearing tests were obtained using a conventional drilling machine. The notches of the CNT tests were milled using a 1 mm drill bit, ensuring a distance of 1 mm between the notch faces. In all cases, carbon-epoxy sacrificial plates were used at the top and bottom faces of the specimens to avoid damage during the machining process.

The unnotched and notched tension tests were performed in an Instron 4208 electro-mechanical universal testing machine with a load capacity of 300 kN equipped with a 300 kN load cell, and the unnotched compression tests in a servo-hydraulic MTS 810 testing machine with a load capacity of 250 kN using a 250 kN load cell. The bearing and net-tension tests were performed in a servo-hydraulic MTS 810 testing machine with a load capacity of 100 kN and a 100 kN load cell. All tests were performed under displacement control, at a controlled speed of 1.0 mm/min.

3.5. Instrumentation

The use of full-field optical techniques to monitor damage and fracture phenomena can be extremely useful in identifying and understanding the complex failure behaviour of composite materials [3, 17–20]. The digital image correlation (DIC) technique was used in this experimental programme to measure the in-plane displacement and strain fields. These data can then be used to identify strain/stress concentrations, transverse cracking onset and propagation in the
surface plies, and to observe the differences in damage formation and propagation in the numerous coupon configurations studied in the present work. All measurements were performed by means of a single camera, using the ARAMIS DIC-2D v6.0.2 system developed by GOM [21]. The adopted configuration is summarised in Table 4.

Table 4 about here.

4. Experimental results

4.1. Tensile and compressive plain strengths

When subjected to tensile loads, both laminates exhibited a linear response up to ultimate failure. Monitoring the data from DIC, it was observed that, prior to ultimate failure, transverse matrix cracking had not extended across the width of the specimens, remaining confined to the free edges (Fig. 1). When compared with conventional laminates with the same epoxy resin [18], transverse cracking was strongly delayed in this case, attributed to an in situ effect [22]. This clearly shows the potential of thin transverse plies to suppress subcritical failure mechanisms.

Figure 1 about here.

All tensile specimens exhibited a fibre-dominated pull-out failure mode, with the failure section perpendicular to the loading direction (Fig. 2). However, the extent of delamination and pulled-out fibre bundles was slightly higher in the blocked laminate, suggesting a higher susceptibility to grow subcritical damage mechanisms. In fact, 0° ply blocking originates higher interlaminar stresses at the adjacent interfaces, which become more susceptible to delamination and split cracking. Also, in the blocked laminate, some of the adjacent NCF bi-angle layers were stacked with relative ply orientations of 90° (Table 1), conducting to higher interlaminar stresses between these plies, which contributed for the onset of delamination at these interfaces.
Table 5 shows the average results for the tensile unnotched strengths, $X_L^T$, of the dispersed and blocked laminates calculated dividing the peak loads measured by the load cell, by the cross-section area of the specimens. Table 5 also shows the coefficients of variation of the unnotched tests. As can be observed, the tensile strengths of the tested laminates differ by just 2.3%, a difference within the variation of the tests. It can be concluded, therefore, that the tensile strength of both laminates is virtually the same.

In compression, both laminates exhibited a nonlinear behaviour (Fig. 3). This suggests that permanent damage or generalised plastic deformation developed before ultimate failure (see also Ref. [3]).

Interestingly, Fig. 3 shows that damage onset occurred earlier in the dispersed laminate. However, after damage initiation, the dispersed laminate exhibited a progressive failure response. A series of load drops, which increased as the specimen approached ultimate failure, can be observed. It is also noted that these load drops occurred at an approximately constant peak remote stress, suggesting that a gradual propagation of the compressive failure mechanisms occurred in this laminate.

The blocked laminate, on the other hand, was characterised by fast damage propagation. Soon after damage initiation, all specimens exhibited a catastrophic failure response (Fig. 3), indicating that the compressive failure mechanisms that led to ultimate failure of the blocked laminate are characterised by unstable propagation.

All compression specimens exhibited a net-section failure mode. Failure of both laminates was characterised by a complex combination of damage mechanisms, including fibre kinking, brittle shear-driven fibre fracture, wedge transverse matrix cracking, crushing and delamination (Fig. 4), whose sequence was
not easy to identify. In some specimens, multiple fracture planes, inclined with
respect to the laminate mid-plane, were observed, revealing the catastrophic
type of failure of this test configuration.

The dispersed laminate had apparently a brittler failure mode (Fig. 4a), as
the occurrence of fibre kinking was reduced, and a high degree of abrasion of
the inclined crack surfaces, typical of shear-driven brittle compressive failure
[23], was observed. Nevertheless, despite its brittler nature, damage initiated
earlier and propagated progressively (see Fig. 3). This can be attributed to
gradual matrix-dominated crushing, and to through-thickness confinement of
shear-driven fibre fracture of the thin 0◦ plies promoted locally by the sur-
rounding transverse and off-axis plies and globally by the ASTM test rig used.

The blocked laminate exhibited a more irregular failure surface (Fig. 4b),
dominated by fibre kinking. This indicates that thicker 0◦ plies have higher
susceptibility to grow kink bands before ultimate compressive failure. After
formation, the kink bands propagated quickly through the thickness, resulting
in the unstable response shown in Fig. 3.

Nonetheless, it is interesting to note that, despite the different failure mor-
phologies of the dispersed and blocked laminates, the compressive unnotched
strengths, \(X_L\), are remarkably similar (see Table 5). This suggests that final
longitudinal compressive failure was not governed by the sequence of damage
mechanisms that led to failure of the 0◦ plies.

4.2. notch size effects and large damage capability

Small load drops were observed in all CNT tests, becoming more important
as the size of the specimens increased. These load drops were caused by the
development of a fracture process zone ahead of the notch tips, including fibre
breakage. Both laminates exhibited a fibre-dominated pull-out failure mode
(Fig. 5). Surface split cracking of the ±45◦ plies, severe internal split cracking
of the 0◦ plies, fibre pull-out, and localised delaminations were observed at the
vicinity of the notch tips. As the size of the specimens increased, the damage process zone ahead of the notch tips became larger and more diffuse. Longitudinal split cracks propagated quickly after slow damage growth from the notch tips along the off-axis directions. After extensive diffuse damage propagation, catastrophic intralaminar fracture occurred either perpendicular to or at an angle of $-45^\circ$ with the loading direction, conducting to final failure. This type of diffuse damage growth before brittle, unstable propagation of an intralaminar crack was substantially different from the brittle failure modes observed on quasi-isotropic specimens with similar geometries and similar size range [3, 20, 24].

The surface strain fields obtained with DIC showed that, in general, the onset of intralaminar damage growth from the notch tips started earlier in the dispersed laminate (Figs. 6–9). Surface damage restraining in the blocked laminate can be attributed to stress relaxation caused by internal damage growth, which delayed the onset of through-thickness intralaminar damage.

X-ray radiographs from selected tests interrupted at approximately 95% of the peak load (Figs. 10 and 11) show that split cracking started in the off-axis and 0\(^\circ\) plies where the fibre direction is tangent to the semi-circular boundary of the notch tips, as reported for open-hole specimens loaded in tension [25, 26]. Delaminations with a triangular shape connecting the off-axis split cracks can also be identified. On the other hand, transverse matrix cracking in the 90\(^\circ\) plies is precluded in both laminates, which can be attributed to an \textit{in situ} effect in the transverse tensile strength [22].
A detailed analysis of the radiographs taken from the different scaled geometries showed that the off-axis and $0^\circ$ split cracks tended to grow at a similar pace in the *blocked* laminate (Fig. 11). However, in the *dispersed* laminate (Fig. 10), the off-axis splits generally started earlier and propagated slightly faster than the $0^\circ$ splits.

Table 6 shows the mean ultimate remote stresses of the CNT tests and corresponding coefficients of variation. These results are summarised in Fig. 12. As expected, in general, as the size of the specimens increases, the tensile notched strength decreases. It is also observed that the tensile notched strengths of the *blocked* laminate are higher than the notched strengths of the *dispersed* laminate.

### 4.3. Performance of mechanically fastened joints

The bolt-bearing tests exhibited a linear response up to approximately 50% of the maximum bearing stress, followed by a small kink before becoming non-linear (Fig. 13). A similar response was already reported in Ref. [3].

All bolt-bearing specimens exhibited a bearing failure mode, resulting from local compressive damage in the bearing hole region. Besides local compressive failure and crushing of the load-bearing surface, which are the typical damage mechanisms [3, 27], transverse and split cracking of the outer $90^\circ$ plies was also observed after permanent deformation of the hole. No relevant difference was observed between the specimens of the *dispersed* and *blocked* laminates after testing.
Table 7 shows the average test results and respective coefficients of variation for the bearing strengths of the dispersed and blocked laminates according to the most common definitions used in the literature: average bearing stress at the onset of nonlinearity, average bearing stress for an offset bearing strain of 2%, average bearing stress at the first load drop, and average maximum bearing stress. The bearing stress ($\sigma_{br}$) and the offset bearing strain ($\varepsilon_{br, \text{offset}}$) were determined following the ASTM D5961/D5961M – 13 test standard [15].

Interestingly, the blocked laminate exhibits higher bearing strengths than the dispersed laminate, independently of the bearing strength definition employed (see also Fig. 13). The average bearing stress of the blocked laminate at the onset of nonlinearity ($\sigma_{br, \text{nonlin}}$) and at the first load drop ($\sigma_{br, \text{drop}}$) are respectively 13.5% and 7.1% higher than in the dispersed laminate. Adopting a hard laminate configuration with blocked 0° plies resulted, therefore, in an improved bearing response with respect to the onset and propagation of local compressive damage.

Optical through-thickness micrographs of the bearing planes of interrupted bolt-bearing tests (Figs. 14a and 15a) showed that the onset of nonlinearity is associated with the initiation of wedge matrix cracking (dispersed and blocked laminates) and fibre kinking (blocked laminate). The dispersed laminate (Fig. 14a) had apparently the ability to delay the initiation of fibre kinking, as the first nonlinearity was mostly due to matrix cracking in the transverse and off-axis plies. This suggests that thinner 0° plies have the ability to delay the onset of compressive longitudinal fracture.

At the first load drop (Figs. 14b and 15b), wedge matrix cracking and fibre kinking had already propagated considerably in both laminates. The interaction of these damage mechanisms led to the formation of through-thickness...
shear cracks, whose propagation conducted to further load drops and permanent
hole deformation. However, in the dispersed laminate, the propagation of severe
damage apparently occurred earlier than in the blocked laminate. A possible ex-
planation for the delay of through-thickness damage propagation in the blocked
laminate is the additional stability imposed by the thicker 0° plies in the region
confined by the lateral support provided by the bolt/washer assembly, which
increases locally the laminate compressive strength.

To assess the effect of the confining pressure, pin-bearing tests were also
performed. As expected, all specimens exhibited a bearing failure mode. Trans-
verse and split cracking in the outer 90° plies was also observed. Both laminates
exhibited a linear response up to approximately 97% of the maximum bearing
stress (Fig. 16). At failure, a large load drop occurred, reducing the applied
bearing stress to approximately 50% of its maximum value. In the absence of
the confining pressure, once microscopic damage mechanisms, such as matrix
cracking and fibre kinking, start to form, they quickly propagate into macro-
cracks and crushing due to the lack of lateral support, reducing considerably
the joint load-carrying capacity.

Table 7 shows the average test results and respective coefficients of variation
for the pin-bearing tests. Unlike the results for the bolted joints, there is vir-
tually no difference between the results of the dispersed and blocked laminates
when subjected to pin-bearing loads.

Figure 16 and Table 7 also show that the lateral support provided by the
bolt/washer assembly in the bolted joints effectively delays the onset of nonlin-
earity to values above the maximum bearing stress observed in the tests with
pinned joints. In addition, it is clear that the delay in damage initiation pro-
vided by the bolt/washer assembly is more effective in the blocked laminate,
confirming the observation that the additional stability of the thicker 0° plies in
the region subjected to the through-thickness confining pressure can delay the
onset and propagation of severe through-thickness damage.
To study the effect of joint geometry, net-tension tests were also performed. All net-tension specimens exhibited a fibre-dominated pull-out net-tension failure mode (Fig. 17). Delaminations with a triangular shape extended from the hole edge across the ligament section, connected to matrix cracks in the 45° plies starting where the fibre direction is tangent to the hole free edge. Whereas the 9 mm wide specimens of both laminates exhibited a linear response up to the maximum bearing stress (Fig. 18a), followed by catastrophic net-tension failure, the 12 mm wide specimens exhibited a small nonlinearity close to the maximum bearing stress, in some cases including small load drops (Fig. 18b). This nonlinear response was caused by the onset of bearing damage at the hole boundary tangent to the bearing plane. As can be observed in Table 8, the maximum bearing stresses of the 12 mm wide specimens of both laminates are slightly higher than the corresponding bearing stresses at the onset of nonlinearity determined in the bolt-bearing tests (Table 7). Nevertheless, it can be assumed that the low extent of local compressive damage in the hole boundary did not affect the final net-tension strength of the tested specimens.

Table 8 also shows that the maximum bearing stresses of the 9 mm wide dispersed and blocked laminates do not differ substantially. The maximum bearing stress of the larger 12 mm wide blocked laminate is 4.3% higher than the maximum bearing stress of the dispersed laminate. Due to the small ligament width of the 9 mm wide specimens, propagation of damage mechanisms such as matrix cracking in the off-axis plies and delamination along the short ligament section occurred rapidly in both laminates, making any differences in the blunting effects unnoticeable. A larger ligament width, as in the 12 mm wide specimens, resulted in a superior blunting effect in the blocked laminate due to the clustered 0° plies, delaying unstable net-section failure and conducting to a slightly higher
net-tension bearing strength, in line with the results obtained with open-hole tension [6] and centre-notched tension (Sect. 4.2).

5. Observations and discussion

Laminates with thicker plies, or ply blocking, have been associated with low plain strengths [1, 4, 18, 28–31], mostly attributed to the development of matrix cracking in the transverse and off-axis plies, and to extensive delamination growth before failure of the 0° plies. These damage mechanisms are a consequence of lower in situ strengths of the thick transverse and off-axis plies and higher interlaminar stresses between adjacent plies. The matrix cracks and delamination fronts act as stress concentration points on the load carrying 0° plies, leading to early laminate failure.

The present work shows that restricting ply blocking to the 0° plies has a negligible effect on the ultimate unnotched strengths of the laminates. In tension, transverse cracking and delamination are effectively delayed, potentiating the load carrying capacity of the 0° plies, independently of their thickness and position in the laminate. In compression, despite the different failure morphology and extent of damage in the 0° plies, the absence of matrix-dominated damage mechanisms, especially delamination, led to similar compressive unnotched strengths in the dispersed and blocked laminates.

But the same damage mechanisms that contribute for early laminate failure in smooth coupons, act as notch blunting mechanisms in notched structures, in particular when loaded in tension [3, 6, 18, 25, 26, 32]. Because these damage mechanisms are precluded in laminates with thin plies, these laminates usually show higher notch sensitivity than conventional laminates. On the other hand, in laminates with sufficiently thick plies, delamination becomes the predominant failure mechanism [25, 26]. Delaminations start from the matrix cracks in the transverse and off-axis plies tangent to the notch boundary, due to the low in situ strengths, and from the free edges, caused by the high interlaminar stresses. They initially propagate along the interfaces between the transverse and off-axis
plies, and then step through the adjacent interfaces via the transverse and off-axis matrix cracks, reaching the interface with the $0^\circ$ plies [25, 26]. Delamination then propagates extensively, leading to the loss of structural integrity before failure of the remaining $0^\circ$ ligaments. Despite an apparent notch insensitivity, the failure morphology and the low unnotched strengths make such laminates unsuitable for structural design.

Furtado et al. [6] showed that, by combining thin transverse and off-axis plies with thick $0^\circ$ plies, the development of the more detrimental transverse matrix cracks and delaminations could be precluded, while longitudinal fibre-matrix splitting would still be induced in the thick $0^\circ$ plies at the vicinity of the open hole [14, 28, 33, 34], which act as an important notch blunting mechanism [18, 35]. As a consequence of the induced split cracks, the local stress field changes due to stress relaxation in the vicinity of the notch, reducing the local stress concentration, and delaying unstable intralaminar fracture. This leads to the higher ultimate tensile notched strengths observed.

In the present work, it is shown that the introduction of thick $0^\circ$ plies in a structural thin-ply laminate can also enhance the tensile notched response of the laminate in the presence of sharp through-thickness cracks. Moreover, such enhanced notched response is valid for a wide range of coupon geometries, from small-notch specimens to large damage capability test panels. With this strategy, the residual strength in the event of service through-penetration damage can be improved over conventional solutions, without compromising the intrinsically high tensile unnotched strength of thin-ply laminates.

In addition to the residual strength of notched laminates, another relevant design driver in many industries, particularly in aerospace, is the resistance to localised damage in overloaded mechanically fastened joints. Thin-ply laminates are reportedly characterised by an outstanding bearing performance when compared with standard-ply laminates [3, 4]. This is mostly attributed to the suppression of subcritical damage in the vicinity of the loaded area promoted by more effective ply constraining, higher in situ strengths, and lower interlaminar stresses.
The present work shows that combining thick 0° plies with thin transverse and off-axis plies leads to an over-enhancement of the bearing performance of bolted joints. The thicker 0° plies improve the stability of the laminate in the region confined by the lateral support provided by the bolt/washer assembly, while the thin transverse and off-axis plies delay the onset and propagation of matrix-dominated damage mechanisms. This results in a local increase of the laminate compressive strength.

In the case of pinned joints, without mechanical confinement in the load bearing region, the introduction of the thick 0° plies has a negligible effect on the bearing response of the thin-ply laminate. This shows that the added stability provided by the thick 0° plies in bolted joints is a direct effect of the lateral support provided by the bolt/washer assembly. When the laminate failure mode changes from bearing failure to net-tension failure across the hole section, the same trends observed in open-hole tension are obtained [6]: the thicker 0° plies lead to a superior blunting effect in the hole vicinity, delaying unstable net-section failure, resulting in a slightly higher net-tension bearing strength. These results are particularly important in understanding how the lateral support and joint geometry affect the bearing performance of structural laminates, and how laminates can be designed for improved joint efficiency with thin plies and selective ply-level hybridisation.

It is finally noted that in the presence of high stress gradients, either from remotely loaded laminate panels with through-thickness notches or from locally loaded structural details as in mechanically fastened joints, the use of thin plies along the transverse and off-axis orientations ensures that matrix cracking and delamination preceding ultimate failure can be effectively delayed or even suppressed, contributing for improved unnotched, compressive and fatigue behaviours [1–5]. Combining them with thicker 0° plies to promote notch blunting in tension through fibre-matrix splitting, and to promote longitudinal stability in compression provides a laminate design approach for improved structural response, with great potential to increase the design allowables for many structural applications, in particular in the aerospace industry.
6. Conclusions

With the aim to improve the structural response of composite laminates, spread-tow thin-ply NCFs were chosen to design a structural laminate based on a baseline of the aerospace industry. To improve local blunting near geometrical stress concentrations, a detailed study of the effect of $0^\circ$ ply blocking on the structural behaviour of an aerospace-grade thin-ply laminate was carried out in the present work.

In spite of small differences in the damage mechanisms that preceded ultimate failure, the tensile and the compressive unnotched strengths of thin-ply laminates with dispersed and blocked $0^\circ$ plies were virtually insensitive to the $0^\circ$ ply thickness. However, enhanced centre-notched strengths over a wide range of coupon sizes were observed in the laminate with blocked $0^\circ$ plies. In this laminate, the onset of intralaminar damage growth and ultimate failure were delayed due to higher stress relaxation in the vicinity of the notch tips caused by larger longitudinal split cracks in the $0^\circ$ plies, blunting the notch and reducing the local stress concentration, in agreement with previous observations in the literature [14]. Finally, an improved overall bearing performance was observed in the laminate with blocked $0^\circ$ plies in bolted joints, attributed to the additional stability of the thicker $0^\circ$ plies in the region confined by the lateral support provided by the bolt/washer assembly, which effectively delayed the onset and propagation of localised compressive damage. However, in the case of pinned joints (no bolt fastened), no relevant difference was observed between the laminates with dispersed and blocked $0^\circ$ plies, confirming the effect of the clamping pressure on the additional stability of the thicker $0^\circ$ plies. When subjected to a net-tension failure mode, a superior blunting effect was observed in sufficiently wide specimens of the laminate with blocked $0^\circ$ plies, which delayed unstable net-section failure, leading to a slightly higher net-tension strength, in line with the results obtained for open-hole tension in Ref. [6] and centre-notched tension in the present work.

These results show that a wise combination of thin transverse and off-axis
plies with thicker 0° plies leads to improvements of the structural response of composite laminates, without affecting the in-plane elastic response or the laminate plain strengths, in agreement with the conclusions from Ref. [6]. In addition, the use of thin plies ensures that matrix cracking and delamination preceding ultimate failure can be effectively delayed or even suppressed, which is known to have a positive effect on the unnotched, compressive and fatigue response of composite laminates [1–5].
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Table 1: Stacking sequence definitions.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Laminate ID</th>
<th>Stacking sequence</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>dispersed</td>
<td>[(90/−45)/(0/45)/(90/−45)/(0/45)/(0/−45)]₈S</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>blocked</td>
<td>[(90/−45)/(45/0)/(0/45)/(90/−45)/(45/0)/(0/−45)]₈S</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Table 2: C-Ply™ NCF lay-up sequences.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Laminate ID</th>
<th>NCF lay-up sequence†‡</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>dispersed</td>
<td>(A(90)/A/A(90)/A/B)ₙ//(-A/-B/-B(90)/-B/B(90))ₙ</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>blocked</td>
<td>(A(90)/-B/A/A(90)/-B/B)ₙ//(-A/BA(90)/-B/B(90))ₙ</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

† A: (0/45) NCF
† A(90): (0/45) NCF rotated 90°: (90/−45)
† -A: inverted (0/45) NCF: (−45/0)
† -A(90): inverted (0/45) NCF rotated 90°: (45/90)
‡ B: (0/−45) NCF
‡ B(90): (0/−45) NCF rotated 90°: (90/45)
‡ -B: inverted (0/−45) NCF: (45/0)
‡ -B(90): inverted (0/−45) NCF rotated 90°: (−45/90)

Table 3: CNT size effect test matrix.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Notch length (2a)</th>
<th>Width (W)</th>
<th>Specimen length (Lₛ)</th>
<th>Gauge length (L)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>6 mm</td>
<td>36 mm</td>
<td>300 mm</td>
<td>150 mm</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>12 mm</td>
<td>72 mm</td>
<td>300 mm</td>
<td>150 mm</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>18 mm</td>
<td>108 mm</td>
<td>400 mm</td>
<td>200 mm</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>24 mm</td>
<td>144 mm</td>
<td>400 mm</td>
<td>200 mm</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>30 mm</td>
<td>180 mm</td>
<td>400 mm</td>
<td>200 mm</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Table 4: Configuration of the DIC system.

**Camera-lens optical system**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Component</th>
<th>Details</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>CCD camera</td>
<td>Baumer 138 Optronic FWX20</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>8-bit</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Resolution: 1624 × 1236 pixels²</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Sensor format: 1/1.8&quot;</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Lens</td>
<td>Nikon AF Micro-Nikkor 200 mm f/4D IF-ED</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Lens aperture: f/11</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**DIC measuring parameters**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Parameter</th>
<th>Details</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Subset size</td>
<td>15 × 15 pixels²</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Subset step</td>
<td>13 × 13 pixels²</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Strain base length</td>
<td>5 × 5 subsets²</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Strain validity code</td>
<td>55.0%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Strain computation method</td>
<td>Total</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**DIC resolution**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Resolution</th>
<th>Details</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Spatial resolution</td>
<td>2 × 10⁻² pixels [36, 37]</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Strain resolution</td>
<td>0.01-0.04% [36, 37]</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
Table 5: Laminate unnotched strengths ($X_L^T$ and $X_L^C$) and coefficients of variation (C.V.).

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Results</th>
<th>Dispersed laminate</th>
<th>Blocked laminate</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Tension</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>No. specimens</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$X_L^T$ (MPa)</td>
<td>1064</td>
<td>1088</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>C.V. (%)</td>
<td>1.8</td>
<td>2.2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Compression</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>No. specimens</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$X_L^C$ (MPa)</td>
<td>569</td>
<td>566</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>C.V. (%)</td>
<td>3.7</td>
<td>2.2</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Table 6: Tensile notched strengths ($\bar{\sigma}^\infty$) and coefficients of variation (C.V.).

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Results</th>
<th>Notch length (mm)</th>
<th>6</th>
<th>12</th>
<th>18</th>
<th>24</th>
<th>30</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Dispersed laminate</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>No. specimens</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>3</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$\bar{\sigma}^\infty$ (MPa)</td>
<td>540</td>
<td>486</td>
<td>469</td>
<td>414</td>
<td>407</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>C.V. (%)</td>
<td>0.6</td>
<td>2.3</td>
<td>2.3</td>
<td>4.0</td>
<td>1.9</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Blocked laminate</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>No. specimens</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>3</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$\bar{\sigma}^\infty$ (MPa)</td>
<td>605</td>
<td>534</td>
<td>487</td>
<td>500</td>
<td>425</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>C.V. (%)</td>
<td>0.9</td>
<td>1.5</td>
<td>1.7</td>
<td>2.5</td>
<td>3.5</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Table 7: Average bolt- and pin-bearing test results and coefficients of variation (C.V.).

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Results</th>
<th>Dispersed laminate</th>
<th>Blocked laminate</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>No. specimens</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Average bolt-bearing stress at the onset of nonlinearity</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$\sigma_{br,nonlin}$ (MPa)</td>
<td>601</td>
<td>682</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>C.V. (%)</td>
<td>7.8</td>
<td>2.5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Average bolt-bearing stress for an offset bearing strain of 2%</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$\sigma_{br,2%offset}$ (MPa)</td>
<td>812</td>
<td>938</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>C.V. (%)</td>
<td>4.9</td>
<td>1.5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Average bolt-bearing stress at the first load drop</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$\sigma_{br,drop}$ (MPa)</td>
<td>956</td>
<td>1024</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>C.V. (%)</td>
<td>2.1</td>
<td>2.5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Average maximum bolt-bearing stress</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$\sigma_{br,max}$ (MPa)</td>
<td>1121</td>
<td>1223</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>C.V. (%)</td>
<td>0.9</td>
<td>1.9</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>No. specimens</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Average pin-bearing stress at the onset of nonlinearity</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$\sigma_{br,nonlin}$ (MPa)</td>
<td>468</td>
<td>462</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>C.V. (%)</td>
<td>7.0</td>
<td>3.8</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Average maximum pin-bearing stress</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$\sigma_{br,max}$ (MPa)</td>
<td>483</td>
<td>473</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>C.V. (%)</td>
<td>7.3</td>
<td>3.6</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
Table 8: Average maximum net-tension bearing stresses ($\bar{\sigma}^{br}$) and coefficients of variation (C.V.).

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Results</th>
<th>Dispersed laminate</th>
<th>Blocked laminate</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td><strong>Specimen width: 9 mm</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>No. specimens</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$\bar{\sigma}^{br}$ (MPa)</td>
<td>382</td>
<td>392</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>C.V. (%)</td>
<td>3.5</td>
<td>5.7</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Specimen width: 12 mm</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>No. specimens</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$\bar{\sigma}^{br}$ (MPa)</td>
<td>726</td>
<td>757</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>C.V. (%)</td>
<td>2.0</td>
<td>3.9</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>