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ABSTRACT

The use of composite materials for retrofitting of masonry structures has received great attention during the last two decades. For masonry buildings there are several advantages in using composite materials. Traditional techniques that were largely used and investigated in the past, may be inadequate in seismic areas where the added mass could increase seismic actions. Moreover, for historical and architectural heritage structures, the compatibility, sustainability and reversibility of the intervention is a key factor for the selection of the most appropriate strengthening system. Many investigations have shown that fibre reinforced polymers (FRP) can be effectively used to induce a passive confinement action on masonry columns and improve the axial capacity and ductility of the structural members. This paper presents the results of an experimental study on the compressive behaviour of clay brick masonry cylinders reinforced with basalt fibre reinforced polymer (BFRP) grids. The main aim of this study is to assess the effectiveness of the BFRP wraps on the strength and ductility of masonry columns. Twelve clay brick masonry cylinders, cored from masonry walls and columns, were reinforced using either one or two layers of BFRP grids. Two different arrangements were used for producing the cylinders in order to investigate the effect of vertical joints on the response of masonry cylinders. The basalt grid had a cell size of 6x6 mm. After a preliminary experimental study aimed at characterizing the mechanical properties of bricks, mortar and basalt grid, the cylinders were tested under uniaxial compression loading. The test results showed a strength increase between 30% and 38% for cylinders wrapped with one layer and between 69% and 71% for those wrapped with two layers of BFRP grids.
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INTRODUCTION

Many studies have shown that the use of composite materials can improve the axial capacity and ductility of masonry columns through a confinement action. Although research on this topic is still limited compared to the work carried out for FRP-confined reinforced concrete columns (Campione and Miraglia 2003; Bisby et al. 2011; Ferrotto et al. 2017), the effective contribution of FRP wrap and the evaluation of the ultimate strength of columns have been investigated in several experimental studies. Kreviakas and Triantafillou (2005) investigated the mechanical properties of masonry rectangular columns strengthened with FRP and developed an analytical model in which the hardening factors were calibrated by using experimental results on 42 small-scale specimens. Corradi et al. (2007) carried out compressive tests on FRP confined clay brick columns and proposed a model for calculating the confinement pressure and strength enhancement. Aiello et al. (2007) tested circular masonry columns built with calcareous blocks and reinforced with external FRP wrapping or internal FRP bars. The computation of the ultimate load was conducted using the Italian CNR-DT200 Guidelines (CNR-DT200 2013), an analytical model was used for predicting the expected experimental values.

Alecci et al. (2009) investigated the reliability of available confinement models for small scale masonry cylinders (Richart et al. 1929; Toutanji and Deng (2002); Italian CNR Guidelines) by comparing their predictions with uniaxial and triaxial test results. Di Ludovico et al. (2010) presented the results of an experimental investigation on the compressive behaviour of tuff or clay-brick masonry columns confined with Carbon or Glass FRP and proposed a new model for evaluating the strength enhancement in these members.
More recently, Micelli et al. (2014) studied the mechanical behaviour of circular masonry columns confined with glass and basalt FRP systems. The researchers adopted the model of the Italian CNR Guidelines for predicting the compressive strength of the columns.

This study is part of a larger project which aims at evaluating the confinement provided by both basalt reinforced cementitious mortar composites (BFRCM) and basalt reinforced polymer composites (BFRP) on masonry rectangular columns. As the first step, cylinders were adopted in order to avoid any effects due to corners. Axial compression tests were carried out on twelve small masonry cylinders manufactured using pressed bricks. The cylindrical specimens were cored from preassembled masonry. The effect of the number of vertical joints of the masonry specimens as well as the number of layers of BFRP grid were considered. Only the results from the BFRP grid wrapped cylinders are reported in this paper.

EXPERIMENTAL PROGRAMME

Specimen preparation

Two schemes of brick layup were used for preparing the specimens: wall (Scheme I) and column (Scheme II) (Figure 1). These were assembled using three rows of 50x100x210 mm pressed bricks and 8 mm thick mortar joints. Cylindrical specimens with a diameter of 94 mm and height of about 190 mm were cored from these assemblies using a laboratory-coring machine after curing for 30 days. All specimens had three layers of bricks, but cylinders cored from the masonry walls had only one vertical joint in the middle third while those from the columns had three staggered vertical joints, one at each level.

Twelve clay brick cylinders were tested under axial compressive loading: six cored from Scheme I and six from Scheme II. For each scheme: two specimens were tested with one layer of BFRP grid, two with two layers and two unconfined control specimens, as listed in Table 1.

Table 1: Test specimens

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Specimen designation</th>
<th>Number of specimens</th>
<th>Brick layup scheme</th>
<th>Number of vertical joints</th>
<th>Number of BFRP grid layers</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>WUn</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>Scheme I</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>/</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>W1L</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>Scheme I</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>W2L</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>Scheme I</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>CUn</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>Scheme II</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>/</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>C1L</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>Scheme II</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>C2L</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>Scheme II</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>2</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

FRP grids were installed in the following steps (Figure 2). First a two-component primer was well mixed to obtain a homogeneous fluid resin. An even coat of primer was applied on the clean and dry surface of the masonry specimen with a brush (Figure 2a). A two-component epoxy resin was then mixed and a 2-3 mm thick coat was applied with a notched trowel over the still fresh primer (Figure 2b). The epoxy was smoothed using a flat trowel to remove any surface defects. The BFRP grid was then placed over the resin, ensuring there were no creases. A second coat of resin was then applied over the grid so that it was completely covered. A ribbed roller was passed over the epoxy resin to eliminate any air bubbles trapped in the system. The BFRP grid was overlapped by 1/3 of the circumference to ensure that there was sufficient bond (Figure 2c). The specimens were
left to cure for one month. The cylinders were then capped at both ends to ensure levelled top and bottom faces for uniform application of the axial load.

Figure 2: Installation of BFRP grids: a) application of primer; b) application of the first coat of resin; c) installation of basalt grid and second coat of resin.

Material properties

The mechanical properties of the masonry components are shown in Table 2. Six 50mm cubes were cut from bricks and tested under uniaxial compression according to EN 772-1. The average compressive strength was 42.53 MPa.

A cement/sand weight ratio of 1/5 was used for the mortar. Water was added until the minimum workability was achieved. Three-point bending tests were carried out for six standard 40x40x160 mm mortar prisms and uniaxial compressive tests for twelve standard 40 mm cubes according to EN 1015-11. The average tensile and compressive strength are listed in Table 2.

A bidirectional primed alkali-resistant basalt fibre grid with a cell size of 6x6 mm was used. The mechanical properties of the basalt grid and the two parts epoxy resin are reported on Table 3.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Material</th>
<th>Unit weight</th>
<th>Mesh size</th>
<th>Density</th>
<th>Tensile strength</th>
<th>Elastic modulus</th>
<th>Equivalent thickness</th>
<th>Elongation at failure</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>BFRP grid</td>
<td>250 g/m³</td>
<td>6 x 6 mm</td>
<td>2.75 g/cm³</td>
<td>60 kN/m</td>
<td>89 GPa</td>
<td>0.039 mm</td>
<td>1.8%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Resin</td>
<td>30 MPa</td>
<td></td>
<td>4 GPa</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Test Setup

Basalt grid strips

In order to characterize the mechanical behaviour of the BFRP grid, monotonic tensile tests were carried out in accordance with ISO 13934-1. Five 260 mm (length) x 13.5 mm (width) specimens were cut from the BFRP grid and tested using a Zwick universal machine at a loading rate of 1 mm/min. The strips were gripped using
aluminium tabs at the ends. The specimens were lighted up with a retrolight and displacements were recorded using a videoextensometer able to track linear targets attached to the strip over a gauge length of 89 mm.

**FRP confined masonry cylinders**

The monotonic compressive tests on the cylinders were carried out using a 600 kN Dartec test machine. Three loading/unloading cycles were applied under load control up to 40-50 kN for each specimen, followed by test to failure under displacement control at a loading rate of 0.005 mm/sec.

Four linear voltage differential transducers (LVDTs) were installed to monitor the displacement of the upper loading platen and two were installed on the lower platen (Figure 3). Two extensometers connected to a steel ring were also used to measure the local deformation in the middle half of the specimens. Additionally, the strain field on the surface of the specimens was obtained from digital image correlation (DIC) measurements. Results related to the last two measurement devices are not reported in this paper.

![Figure 3: Photo of compressive test setup](image)

**TEST RESULTS AND DISCUSSION**

**Basalt grid strips**

Figures 4a and b show the test setup and experimental stress–strain curves for five basalt grid strip specimens. The strips were tested along the warp direction, the circumferential direction when wrapped on the cylinders. As the textile has a discrete distribution of fibre (grid with cell size of 6 mm), the stress value in the graph were obtained considering the width corresponding to the number of yarns in the strip (2 cells and 3 yarns, therefore d=3x6=18 mm) and the equivalent fibre thickness (0.039 mm).

The tensile curves exhibited an almost linear elastic brittle behaviour. The average peak stress and strain averaged from five samples were 2240 MPa and 2.7% respectively, both higher than the values provided by the manufacturer. The elastic modulus was 82.8 GPa, slightly lower than the manufacturer value (Table 3).
Failure modes of masonry cylinder specimens

Figures 5a-d show the failure modes of unwrapped and wrapped cylinders from Schemes I and II. All specimens failed due the formation of large and almost vertical cracks through at least two thirds of the specimen height. For unconfined specimens (Figures 5a and b), two or more of these cracks turned almost horizontal leading to spalling of bricks. For specimens with BFRP grid warps, their ultimate condition was reached due to the rupture of the basalt texture at the cracks (Figures 5c and d).

FRP confined masonry cylinders

Figures 6a and b show the stress-strain curves for Scheme I and II specimens respectively. The axial stress was calculated by dividing the axial load by the cross sectional area. The axial strain was obtained using the readings from the LVDTs placed at top and bottom platens using a gauge length equal to the cylinder height. Note that the stiffness of the specimens obtained in this way is likely to be significantly lower than the actual stiffness so it may be used as reference only, as it depends significantly on the evenness and parallelity of the top and bottom faces (and platens).

The average peak stress and the corresponding strain are presented in Table 4. The average peak stress for the control specimens was 25.0 MPa and 19.8 MPa respectively for Scheme I and II specimens, showing a significant detrimental effect of the vertical joints. Compared with the unconfined specimens, one layer BFRP grid confinement enhanced the strength by 30% and 38% respectively for Scheme I and II, and two layers by about 70% for both schemes. The enhancement of the strain corresponding to the peak stress is less pronounced: 7% and 10% respectively for Scheme I and II specimens wrapped by one layer of grid, and 19% and 16% for specimens wrapped with two layers.
The confined specimens show a more ductile behaviour as evidenced by a clear softening branch while it is hardly seen for the unconfined reference specimens (Figure 6). Moreover, it can be seen that Scheme II specimens are more ductile with a less steep softening branch than Scheme I specimens.

The stress-strain graphs of Scheme I cylinders show also a certain degree of variability both in relations to strength (specimens confined with two layers), and strain values corresponding to peak load (control specimens and one layer specimens). In particular, as the slope of C1_WUn cylinder is about half of the average of the other specimens, the strain peak value of this cylinder was excluded from the average in Table 4.

The unconfined masonry specimens exhibited, as expected, a brittle behaviour (Figure 6) with near vertical cracks going through the entire height of the specimens, sometimes at the interface between the bricks and mortar in the vertical joints, and crushing of masonry with the spalling of material from the middle to the base of the specimens (Figures 5a and 6).

The stress-strain graphs of Scheme I cylinders show also a certain degree of variability both in relations to strength (specimens confined with two layers), and strain values corresponding to peak load (control specimens and one layer specimens). In particular, as the slope of C1_WUn cylinder is about half of the average of the other specimens, the strain peak value of this cylinder was excluded from the average in Table 4.

The unconfined masonry specimens exhibited, as expected, a brittle behaviour (Figure 6) with near vertical cracks going through the entire height of the specimens, sometimes at the interface between the bricks and mortar in the vertical joints, and crushing of masonry with the spalling of material from the middle to the base of the specimens (Figures 5a and 6).

Table 4: Test results of confined and unconfined masonry cylinders

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Specimen designation</th>
<th>Brick layup scheme</th>
<th>Average peak stress [MPa]</th>
<th>Average axial strain at peak stress, [%]</th>
<th>Increase of peak stress, [%]</th>
<th>Increase of strain at peak stress, [%]</th>
<th>Ultimate strain, [%]</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>WUn I</td>
<td>25.0</td>
<td>0.52*</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>W1L I</td>
<td>32.6</td>
<td>0.69</td>
<td>30</td>
<td>7</td>
<td>0.83</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>W2L I</td>
<td>42.4</td>
<td>0.76</td>
<td>69</td>
<td>18</td>
<td>0.89</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>CUn II</td>
<td>19.8</td>
<td>0.53</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>C1L II</td>
<td>27.3</td>
<td>0.58</td>
<td>38</td>
<td>9</td>
<td>0.81</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>C2L II</td>
<td>34.0</td>
<td>0.62</td>
<td>71</td>
<td>16</td>
<td>0.98</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

*C2_WUn specimen only, see Figure 5.

![Figure 6: Axial stress-strain curves of unconfined and confined cylinders: a) wall (Scheme I) specimens; b) column (Scheme II) specimens](image-url)

CONCLUSIONS

This paper has presented the results on an experimental study on the axial compressive behaviour of small masonry cylinders cored from two different brick layup schemes and wrapped with either one or two layers of BFRP grid. The following conclusions can be drawn from the results:

- Unconfined cylinders with three vertical joints (Scheme II) showed an average of 20% strength reduction compared with those with one vertical joint only (Scheme I);
- One layer of FRP grid wrap increased the strength by 30% and 38% respectively for Scheme I and II specimens, and two layers increased the strength by about 70% for both schemes;
- The FRP grid wrap also enhanced the ductility of the specimens showing a softening branch in the stress-strain curve.
- FRP rupture occurred for all FRP confined specimens.
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