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Conformationally Programmable Chiral Foldamers with Compact and Extended Domains Controlled by Monomer Structure

Zachariah Lockhart and Peter C. Knipe*

Abstract: Foldamers are an important class of abiotic macromolecules, with potential therapeutic applications in the disruption of protein–protein interactions. The majority adopt a single conformational motif such as a helix. A class of foldamer is now introduced where the choice of heterocycle within each monomer, coupled with a strong conformation-determining dipole repulsion effect, allows both helical and extended conformations to be selected. Combining these monomers into hetero-oligomers enables highly controlled exploration of conformational space and projection of side-chains along multiple vectors. The foldamers were rapidly constructed via an iterative deprotection-cross-coupling sequence, and their solid- and solution-phase conformations were analysed by X-ray crystallography and NMR and CD spectroscopy. These molecules may find applications in protein surface recognition where the interface does not involve canonical peptide secondary structures.

Nature’s oligomers carry out many of the biological functions necessary to sustain life and carry genetic information. The majority of proteins adopt a structure determined entirely by the primary sequence of amino acids, [1] containing α-helical, β-strand/sheet, and loop domains combined in a tertiary fold. A key determinant of protein conformation is the secondary structural propensity (SSP) of the constituent amino acids; that is, the structure of each monomer creates a thermodynamic driving force for it to occupy a particular secondary structural environment (Figure 1A). [2]

For decades chemists have sought to mimic the structural and functional diversity of biopolymers using synthetic oligomers, a field now known as foldamer chemistry. [3] As well as catalytic [4] and signalling applications, [5] foldamers have enjoyed success when applied to problems in chemical biology [6] such as modulating protein–protein interactions (PPIs). [7] One approach to controlling global conformation is to exploit non-covalent interactions between adjacent monomers. Gong [7] and Huc [8] have used hydrogen bonding to control curvature in aryl amide foldamers, while Aggarwal [9] employed the syn-pentane interaction as a controlling force in simple hydrocarbon foldamers. [10] Lehn pioneered the use of dipolar repulsion as a controlling element in foldamer design, and exploited aromatic heterocycles as shape codons [11] for helix formation owing to their well-defined bond angles and strong dipoles. [12] Dipole repulsion between carbonyl groups has also been explored; [13] Clayden used this effect as a stereochemical relay to achieve remote (1,2,3)-asymmetric induction in an oligoxanthene foldamer. [14] As a result of these and other approaches, chemists are now able to synthesize foldamers to reliably adopt conformations analogous to protein secondary structures. [15]

Efforts have been made to go beyond forming simple secondary structural motifs. Super-secondary helical foldamer bundles and β-helices have been reported, [16] and recently Horne has used β-, N-methyl, and other modified amino acids to stabilize a natural zinc finger domain. [17] Similarly, Kirshenbaum has used the presence of peptoids, in combination with cation–π interactions, to form stable β-loop-PPH helix tertiary peptidomimetics. [18] The task of combining entirely unnatural secondary domains in a single foldamer capable of mimicking tertiary structure (called tyligomers by Moore [19]) remains a central challenge, although Huc recently disclosed a remarkable helix–sheet–helix tertiary foldamer. [20]
Inspired by Thompson and Hamilton’s use of dipole repulsion to stabilize extended foldamer β-strand mimetics,[15d,e] herein we report the rational design of a range of monomers with different innate folding preferences, analogous to amino acid SSPs.[20] These allow the selective formation of helical or extended domains within a single foldamer, formed by an iterative cross-coupling strategy.

We theorized that use of three isomeric aromatic linkers (pyrazine, pyridazine, and pyrimidine) would lead to different conformational preferences in aryl-linked imidazolidine-2-one oligomers, as determined by dipolar repulsion between the urea carbonyl groups and adjacent arene nitrogen lone pairs (Figure 1B). The effect would be that the pyrazine and pyridazine linkers would stabilize extended conformations, while the pyrimidine linker would form curved or helical structures.[11,12]

Our iterative approach to the foldamers required the synthesis of pyrimidine (3), pyridazine (4), and pyrazine (5) monomers, which was achieved from common intermediates 1 and 2 (Scheme 1).[21] Buchwald–Hartwig coupling of 2 with

**Scheme 1.** Synthesis of monomers 3–5 via Buchwald–Hartwig cross-coupling. Single-crystal X-ray structures[22] show that all compounds adopt the conformation in which dipoles are opposed. Coupling conditions: aryl dihalide (5 equiv), Pd(dba)₃, (5 mol%), Xantphos (15 mol%), Cs₂CO₃, PhMe, reflux, 30 min – 4 h. Br = benzyl, dba = dibenzylidenecacetone, Ns = 2-nitrobenzenesulfonyl, Xantphos = 4,5-bis-(diphenylphosphino)-9,9-dimethylxanthene.

4,6-dichloropyrimidine, and of 1 with 3,6-dibromopyridazine and 2,5-dibromopyrazine, afforded monomers 3, 4, and 5 in 73%, 63%, and 53% yields, respectively. The conformations of monomers 3–5 were examined by single-crystal X-ray diffraction. In all cases the urea C=O bonds are oriented anti to the ortho-nitrogen lone pair of the adjacent heteroaromatic ring. For pyrimidine 10 this has the effect that the molecule is highly curved; the monomer induces an 86° turn in the backbone, such that a helix composed of this motif would contain about four residues per turn. For 11 and 12, the presence of para-substituted pyridazine and pyrazine linkers led to greater linearity, with monomer-induced curvatures of 154° and 139° respectively. It is likely that longer pyrazine-containing foldamers would form overall linear conformers due to the alternating disposition of the ureas (Figure 2, cartoon). The control exacted by dipole repulsion also leads to predictable positioning of the side-chains (Figure 2, right). While the distances

![Scheme 2 Synthesis of dimers 10 (top), 11 (middle), and 12 (bottom) from terminal monomers 7 and 9. Imidazolidin-2-one side-chains are highlighted in circles. *After 22 h an additional charge of Pd(dba)₃ (5 mol%) and Xantphos (15 mol%) was added. The reaction proceeded to completion after a further 3 h.](image1)

*Figure 2. X-ray crystal structures of dimer foldamers 10 (top), 11 (middle) and 12 (bottom). Left: the top elevation gives the deviation (in degrees) each monomer induces in the foldamer, where an angle of 180° would represent perfect linearity. Side-chains are highlighted in green and red. Cartoon representations of the extrapolated conformation of larger homo-oligomers are given below each structure. Right: the edge elevation shows the disposition of side-chain residues relative to the plane of the foldamer, and the distances between the C₆ positions in Å.*
between the Cα positions are largely unchanged across the three homo-dimers (8.1–8.7 Å), the facial projection of substituents from the plane of the foldamer is dependent on the heterocyclic linker. Thus, for the pyrimidine and pyrazine foldamers, side-chains are projected from the same face of the molecule, while the pyrazine linker leads to adjacent side-chains occupying opposite faces.

The solution-phase conformational behaviour of the dimers was examined through nuclear Overhauser effect (nOe) correlations. For pyrimidine 10 these indicate a strong preference towards the dipole-opposed, curved conformation (Figure 3).

![Figure 3](image)

**Figure 3.** nOe correlations from the ROESY spectrum of 10 (CDCl₃, 600 MHz, tₑ = 200 ms). Solid black arrows are strong cross-peaks; dashed red arrows are weak cross-peaks. Selected atomic numbering given in blue. Numbers (in boxes) given to 1 d.p. indicate measured inter-proton distances from the X-ray crystal structure (in Å). *H₆ and H₁₅ are isochronous in the 1H spectrum so distances for both diastereotopic hydrogens are given.

The rotating frame nuclear Overhauser effect (ROESY) cross-peaks of both H∗ and H₁₃ with both Hᵢ and Hᵢ⁺ were of similar intensity, in agreement with the distances observed in the crystal structure. Similarly, the observed H⁺→Hᵢ nOe indicates that the solid- and solution-phase conformations are in agreement, since these hydrogen atoms would be too distant for an observable nOe in the alternative, dipoles-syn conformation. In line with previous analyses,[15e,21] the N–Ph group was used as an internal standard to assess conformation; it is assumed that a freely rotating N–C₈ bond would lead to an observed nOe intensity ratio between H₁₃→H₁₅ and Hᵢ→Hᵢ⁺ of 1:2. The observed ratio was 1:2.5 in CDCl₃,[24] indicating a strong biasing effect in solution. In 100% [D₈]DMSO at 298 K the H₁₃→H₁₅/Hᵢ→Hᵢ⁺ nOe ratio was 1:41, and an additional Hᵢ→H⁺ signal was observed, suggesting the molecule retains its strong preference for the dipole-opposed conformation in highly polar solvents. When warmed to 355 K the H₁₃→H₁₅/Hᵢ→Hᵢ⁺ nOe ratio in [D₈]DMSO fell to 1:11, suggesting a reduction in (but not loss of) conformational rigidity at elevated temperature. Analogous results (excluding [D₈]DMSO and variable-temperature experiments) were obtained for pyridazine and pyrazine dimers 11 and 12 (see the Supporting Information).

Homo-trimers 13, 14, and 15, and tetramer 16 (Figure 4) were formed by the same iterative deprotection–coupling sequence outlined in Scheme 2. Their ROESY spectra were consistent with these foldamers adopting the conformations predicted by the dipole repulsion hypothesis, with indicative couplings analogous to those in Figure 3 (see also the Supporting Information).

![Figure 4](image)

**Figure 4.** Top: library of homo-oligomers 13–16 synthesized through iterative cross-coupling. Bottom: arrows indicate observed long-range nOes of pyrimidine tetramer 16 (left) and its unconstrained lowest energy conformation (middle).[25] Atom numbers are indicated in blue. The distances given (right) are from the energy-minimized structure. Where distances are measured to H*, the values given are an average across the three hydrogen atom positions.

Tetramer 16 gave several long-range nOe correlations (Figure 4), indicating that its ends sit in close proximity, as expected on the basis of the 86° turn per monomer outlined in Figure 2. When compared with the computed low-energy structure,[25] these nOes correspond to close contacts in the global minimum, suggesting the (P)-helical conformation is significantly populated in solution. The circular dichroism spectrum of 16 displayed positive and negative Cotton effects at 300 nm and 285 nm respectively that were absent from the spectra of homologous pyrimidine dimer 10 and trimer 13, and may be diagnostic of (P)-helix formation.[20]

Lastly we examined whether the conformational preferences established above were borne out in hetero-oligomers. These are expected to form structures containing distinct conformational domains, as determined by the constituent monomers. Trimers 21 and 22 were synthesized by the iterative route detailed in Scheme 2, while pentamer 20 was formed in 50% yield via a convergent strand-coupling approach from trimeric fragment 17 and dimer 19 (Figure 5; for complete synthetic details refer to the Supporting Information).

The solution-phase conformations of these foldamers were probed by examining their ROESY spectra. On the basis of the results obtained for the homo-oligomers, hetero-trimer 22 (Figure 5, right) was expected to favour a conformation curved at the pyrimidine and linear at the pyrazidine linkers along its backbone. This was found to be the case, with the observation of several key nOe correlations, namely H₈→H₁₁, H₁→H₁₃, H₁₅→H₁₇, and H₁₁→H₁₅, indicating a strong preference for the predicted conformations about both N–C₈ links (as shown). Similarly, the absence of H₁₈→H₁₅ and H₁₅→H₁₂ correlations is consistent with the illustrated conformation around both N–C₈ links. Foldamers 20 and 21 displayed analogous spectral features, indicating that they adopt the dipole-opposed conformations depicted in Figure 5 (see also the Supporting Information). This confirms that the design
strategy described herein allows the construction of bespoke oligomers with predictable, non-repetitive conformations.

In conclusion, we have developed a chiral amino alcohol-derived foldamer backbone incorporating pyrimidine, pyridazine, and pyrazine linkers, synthesized primarily through an iterative deprotection-cross-coupling sequence. These foldamers reliably adopt conformations which can be predicted using a simple dipolar repulsion argument, even in highly polar solvents. As well as giving control of the overall backbone shape, this permits the projection of side-chains from multiple faces of the foldamer by choice of linker, enabling rapid and programmable exploration of macromolecular conformational space.
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[22] CCDC 1824641 (3), 1824637 (4), 1824639 (5), 1824642 (10), 1824638 (11), and 1825640 (12) contain the supplementary crystallographic data for this paper. These data can be obtained free of charge from The Cambridge Crystallographic Data Centre.

[23] We use the terms monomer, dimer, trimer, and so on to indicate the number of imidazolidin-2-one rings present within a given molecule.

[24] The sum of $H^1$–$H^8$ and $H^9$–$H^6$, relative to the sum of $H^12$–$H^{13}$ and $H^{14}$–$H^{15}$ cross-peak integrals.


[26] CD spectra of all foldamers in this study are supplied and discussed in the Supporting Information.
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