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ABSTRACT

Plasma-catalytic biogas reforming over Ni-X/Al₂O₃ catalyst (X = K, Mg and Ce) has been carried out in a coaxial dielectric barrier discharge (DBD) plasma reactor at 160 °C. Three different process modes: plasma-alone, catalysis-alone and plasma-catalysis have been investigated to get new insights into the synergistic effect resulted from the interaction of the plasma with the promoted Ni catalysts. Compared to the biogas reforming using either plasma-alone or catalysis-alone mode at the same temperature (160 °C), the combination of the plasma with the Ni-based catalysts exhibited a low temperature synergistic effect, as evidenced from the much higher reforming performance of the plasma-catalytic process compared to that of the sum of the individual processes (plasma-alone and catalysis-alone). The addition of promoters (K, Mg and Ce) into the Ni/Al₂O₃ catalyst enhanced the conversion of CH₄, the yield of H₂ and the energy efficiency of the plasma process. In this study, the behaviour of K, Mg and Ce promoters in the low temperature plasma-catalytic biogas reforming was clearly different from that in high temperature thermal catalytic process in terms of the conversion of CH₄ and carbon deposition, which could be ascribed to the temperature-dependent character of the promoters. In the plasma-catalytic biogas reforming, the Ni-K/Al₂O₃ catalyst showed the best performance, enhancing the conversion of both CO₂ and CH₄, the yield of H₂, CO and C₂–C₄ alkanes and the energy efficiency of the plasma process. The highest conversion of CO₂ (22.8%) and CH₄ (31.6%) was achieved by placing the K-promoted catalyst in the plasma reforming process. The Mg-promoted catalyst remarkably increased the H₂/CO molar ratio in the gas products (up to 2.2) due to the decreased CO₂ conversion. In addition, compared to the un-promoted Ni/Al₂O₃ catalyst, although the use of the promoted catalysts increased the carbon deposition on the surface of the spent catalysts by 22%–26%, the total amount of deposited carbon was still less than that reported in high temperature catalytic dry reforming processes. More than 80% of the increased carbonaceous species was in the form of reactive carbon species, which can be easily oxidized by CO₂ and O atoms and maintain the stability of the catalysts during the reforming reaction.

1. Introduction

Biogas is a renewable energy produced through anaerobic digestion of organic matter such as food scraps, manure, wastewater sludge, and crop residue. Biogas mainly consists of methane (50%–75%) and carbon dioxide (25%–50%). It also contains traces of hydrogen sulphide, water vapour, siloxanes, ammonia and halogenated compounds [1]. Biogas can be used for the generation of heat and electricity through combustion. However, the presence of CO₂ with a low heating value in the biogas lowers the overall efficiency for biogas utilization. An upgrading process is usually required to remove CO₂ in biogas to get biomethane. However, the separation of CO₂ is an energy intensive process. The reforming of biogas without prior CO₂ separation provides an attractive alternative for the more effective utilization of biogas. The produced syngas (a mixture of H₂ and CO) is an important chemical feedstock for the synthesis of a range of platform chemicals and synthetic fuels [2,3].

However, both CO₂ and CH₄ are highly stable, therefore high temperatures (>700 °C) are usually required to achieve reasonable conversions of biogas and syngas yield due to the thermodynamic barrier of this reaction, resulted in high energy consumption. The rapid deactivation of reforming catalysts at high temperatures due to sintering and coke deposition remains a major challenge for the use of this process in...
a commercial scale. Significant efforts have been devoted to the design and development of catalysts which can be used at a relatively low temperature with a high activity and coke resistance [4–7]. Recently, Debek et al. achieved a CH4 conversion of 45% and a CO2 conversion of 40% in thermal catalytic dry reforming of methane using a Ni-Mg-Al hydroxalcite-derived catalyst at a lower temperature (550 °C) [8]. Debek and his co-workers also found that the use of promoted hydroxalcite-derived catalysts could prevent the sintering of Ni species, but decreased the conversion of CH4 and CO2 compared to the catalysts without a promoter [9]. Further investigations are still required to improve the energy efficiency of the process through the decrease of reaction temperature and the development of novel highly active and stable reforming catalysts.

Non-thermal plasma (NTP) provides a promising alternative to thermal catalytic approach for the conversion of biogas into value-added chemicals and fuels (e.g., syngas) at low temperatures. NTP produces a range of highly reactive species and energetic electrons, subsequently activating and dissociating gas molecules even at ambient conditions, creating alternative reaction pathways, kinetically initiating and propagating thermodynamically unfavourable reactions at low temperatures. Non-thermal plasma chemical processes have the flexibility to be combined with renewable energy sources such as wind, tidal, hydraulic or solar power to reduce energy cost for localized or distributed energy storage. In the plasma conversion of greenhouse gas (e.g. CO2 decomposition and dry reforming), a trade-off between the conversion of reactants and the energy efficiency has been often observed, remaining a challenge for the development of highly efficient plasma chemical processes [10]. The combination of the plasma with a catalyst has great potential to overcome this barrier and to generate a synergistic effect resulted from the interactions between the plasma and catalyst, which can enhance the reaction performance in terms of the conversion, the selectivity and yield of target products and the energy efficiency of the plasma process [11–16]. For instance, Tu et al. found that packing a commercial Ni/Al2O3 catalyst into the whole discharge region of a DBD reactor decreased the conversion of CH4 and CO2 compared to the plasma dry reforming reaction without packing a catalyst, which means that the coupling of the plasma with the catalyst did not generate a plasma-catalytic synergy. They suggested that the synergistic effect of the combination of plasma with a catalyst for hydrocarbon reforming depends on the balance between the change in discharge behaviour induced by the catalyst and the activity of the catalyst assisted by the plasma [17]. In their recent works, Tu and Whitehead reported a low temperature (~250 °C) plasma-catalytic synergy when placing a Ni/Al2O3 catalyst in the plasma dry reforming of methane using a partial packing method, which showed both the conversion of CH4 and H2 yield were almost doubled compared to the same reaction using plasma alone [15]. This significant synergistic effect of plasma-catalysis can be attributed to both strong plasma-catalyst interactions due to partial packing and resulted high activity of the catalyst at low temperatures [15]. More recently, we reported a significant enhancement of CH4 yield in the plasma-catalytic hydrogenation of CO2 over a Ni catalyst due to the formation of the plasma-catalytic synergy [18].

Compared with conventional thermal catalytic reactions, the hybrid plasma-catalytic process can be operated at low temperatures even room temperature without extra heating, with a very unique advantage of instant start-up and shut-down, which can significantly reduce the energy cost of the plasma process. In the past few years, increasing efforts have been devoted to the plasma-assisted reforming of CH4 and CO2 in the form of different sources (e.g., biogas or landfill gas) for the production of syngas at low temperatures, with or without a catalyst [10,13,19–24]. However, comparing against thermal catalytic biogas reforming, very few catalysts (mainly Ni based catalysts) have been developed and evaluated in the plasma-catalytic biogas reforming process [25–27]. The knowledge of selecting appropriate catalysts for highly efficient plasma dry reforming process is still very limited. The synergistic effect between the NTP and the catalyst is not yet fully understood.

Interestingly, Ni/Al2O3 catalyst has been extensively used for the conventional thermal catalytic biogas reforming process, while metal species such as K, Na, Ca, Mg, Y, La and Ce have been used as a modifier to enhance catalytic performance [5,9,28–37]. K, Mg and Ce promoters, for example, have been reported to significantly reduce coke deposition on the catalyst surfaces, resulted in an enhanced stability of the catalytic performance in catalytic reforming processes [28,29,31,35,37,38]. However, to the best of our knowledge, the properties and reaction performance of the promoted catalysts in the plasma-catalytic reforming process are largely unknown; while the effect of adding promoters to the catalysts on the low temperature plasma-catalytic biogas reforming has not been investigated. Moreover, the potential plasma-catalytic synergy is yet to be confirmed and investigated. Therefore, significant fundamental works are still essential to evaluate the catalysts promoted by different elements for the low temperature plasma biogas reforming process, and the catalytic performance is to be examined with and without using NTP.

In this work, a temperature controlled reactor has been developed for the reforming of biogas into syngas at low temperatures under different process modes: plasma-alone, catalysis-alone and plasma-catalysis, to get new insights into the synergistic effect resulted from the interaction between the plasma and the catalysts. The influence of K-, Mg- and Ce-promoted Ni/Al2O3 catalysts on the plasma-catalytic biogas reforming has been evaluated in terms of the conversion of the reactants, the yield of gas products, the H2/CO molar ratio and the energy efficiency of the process. Different characterization techniques including X-ray diffraction (XRD), N2 adsorption-desorption (BET), Temperature programmed reduction (H2-TPR), Temperature programmed desorption (CO2-TPD and NH3-TPD) and Thermal gravimetric analysis (TGA) have been used to understand the physiochemical properties of the catalysts and their effects on the reforming process at low temperatures. The carbon deposition on the spent catalysts after the plasma-catalytic reforming process was also examined.

2. Experimental

2.1. Experimental setup

Fig. 1 shows a schematic diagram of the plasma-reforming system. The experiments were carried out in a coaxial packed-bed DBD reactor. A quartz tube with an outer diameter of 21 mm and a wall thickness of 2.5 mm was used as a dielectric layer. A stainless-steel mesh wrapped around the quartz tube served as a ground electrode, while a stainless-steel rod was placed in the axis of the quartz tube and acted as a high voltage electrode. The discharge length was 100 mm with a discharge gap of 1.5 mm. The DBD reactor was connected to a high voltage AC power supply with a maximum peak voltage of 10 kV. The voltage on an external capacitor (Cext = 0.47 μF) connecting the ground electrode and ground was measured to determine the total charge (Qext) transferred in the plasma reaction, while the applied voltage was measured by a high voltage probe (Testec, HVP-15HFF). Both signals were recorded by a digital oscilloscope (Tektronix MD03014). The discharge power was determined by calculating the area of the Q-U Lissajous figure. A homemade power measurement system was used to monitor and control the discharge power in real time. In this work, the discharge power was fixed at 16 W.

A simulated biogas with a CH4/CO2 molar ratio of 1.5 (60 vol.% CH4 and 40 vol.% CO2, no dilution) was used as reactants and the total flow rate was fixed at 50 ml min⁻¹. The 8 wt.% Ni/Al2O3 and 8 wt.% Ni-2 wt.% X/Al2O3 (X = K, Mg and Ce) catalysts were prepared by the wetness co-impregnation using nitrate salts (Alfa Aesar, ACS reagents) as the metal precursor. Catalyst support (granular Al2O3) was added to the solution of nitrate salt, and the resulting slurry mixture was added to 1 h and impregnated for 3 h, then dried at 90 °C.
overnight, followed by calcination at 400 °C for 4 h. A total amount of 0.4 g Ni catalyst was packed into the reactor and sandwiched by quartz wool. Prior to the reforming reaction, the catalyst was reduced in an argon-hydrogen discharge at a discharge power of 16 W (50 ml min⁻¹, 20 vol.% H₂) for 30 min in the same DBD reactor. The catalysts, Ni/Al₂O₃, Ni-K/Al₂O₃, Ni-Mg/Al₂O₃ and Ni-Ce/Al₂O₃ are denoted as NiAl, NiKAl, NiMgAl and NiCeAl in the following sections, respectively.

The DBD reactor was placed in a tube furnace, which enabled the biogas reforming to be investigated at the same temperature under three different process modes: plasma-alone, catalysis-alone and plasma-catalysis. Under the plasma-alone mode, no catalyst was placed in the DBD reactor and the reaction was only driven by the plasma without extra heating. In thermal catalytic reaction (catalysis-alone mode), the catalyst was packed into the middle of the reactor and heated in the tube furnace without using the plasma. In the plasma-catalytic process, the catalyst was directly placed in the DBD reactor with interactions between the plasma and the catalyst. The temperature inside the reactor was 160 ± 5 °C for all three operating modes, measured by a fibre optical thermometer (Omega FOB102). However, only in the thermal-catalytic reaction, the reactor was heated by the tube furnace, while no extra heating was provided to the plasma process with or without a catalyst.

The gaseous products were analyzed by a two-channel gas chromatograph (Shimadzu GC-2014) equipped with a flame ionization detector (FID) and a thermal conductivity detector (TCD). Each measurement was repeated for three times after running the reaction for about 1 h when a steady state has been reached.

2.2. Catalyst characterization

N₂ adsorption–desorption analysis was performed at -196 °C to measure the pore size and specific surface area of the catalysts (Micrometrics ASAP 2020 instrument, USA). Prior to the measurement, the samples were outgassed at 350 °C for 3 h under vacuum to remove moisture and other adsorbed gases. The specific surface area of the samples was calculated using the Brunauer–Emmett–Teller (BET) method. XRD patterns of the catalyst samples were measured by an X-ray diffractometer (Rigaku D–Max 2400, Cu-Kα radiation, Japan) in the 2θ scanning range between 10° and 80°. The reducibility of the catalysts was determined by temperature–programmed reduction with hydrogen (H₂–TPR) using an automated chemisorption system (Quantachrome ChemBET 3000, USA). Before each measurement, the sample was firstly treated at 400 °C for 1 h in a He flow at 20 ml min⁻¹. After cooled to 150 °C, the sample was saturated with H₂ for 30 min and then purged with a He flow at 150 °C for 1 h. The carbon deposition on the spent catalysts was determined by thermal gravimetric analysis (TGA) in an air atmosphere using a TA Instruments SDT-Q600 system. The spent catalyst was heated from 30 °C to 800 °C at a heating rate of 10 °C min⁻¹ in an air flow of 30 ml min⁻¹. The NiH–TPD and CO₂–TPD of the catalysts were measured using a Chemisorption analyzer (PCA-1200, Beijing Aode). Before each measurement, 50 mg catalyst sample was pre-treated at 200 °C for 1 h in a N₂ flow at 30 ml min⁻¹. The adsorption of NH₃ was carried out at 100 °C for 1 h in a NH₃ flow at 50 ml min⁻¹, then purged with a N₂ flow at 100 °C for 30 min. The adsorption of CO₂ was operated at 50 °C for 30 min in a CO₂ flow at 30 ml min⁻¹, followed by a N₂ purge for 30 min. The desorption of NH₃ or CO₂ was performed in a TGA equipment (SDTQ600). The catalyst sample was heated from 30 °C to 800 °C at a heating rate of 10 °C min⁻¹.

2.3. Definition of calculations

For the biogas reforming, the conversion (X) of CH₄ and CO₂ is defined as:

\[
X_{\text{CH}_4}(\%) = \frac{\text{CH}_4 \text{ converted (mol)}}{\text{CH}_4 \text{ input (mol)}} \times 100
\]

\[
X_{\text{CO}_2}(\%) = \frac{\text{CO}_2 \text{ converted (mol)}}{\text{CO}_2 \text{ input (mol)}} \times 100
\]

The selectivity (S) and yield (Y) of the main products are calculated as:

\[
S_{\text{CO}}(\%) = \frac{\text{CO produced (mol)}}{\text{CO} \text{ converted (mol)} + \text{CH}_4 \text{ converted (mol)}} \times 100
\]

\[
S_{\text{H}_2}(\%) = \frac{\text{H}_2 \text{ produced (mol)}}{2 \times \text{CH}_4 \text{ converted (mol)}} \times 100
\]

\[
S_{\text{CH}_2} \text{H}_2(\%) = \frac{\text{CH}_2 \text{H}_2 \text{ produced (mol)}}{\text{CO}_2 \text{ converted (mol)} + \text{CH}_4 \text{ converted (mol)}} \times 100
\]

\[
Y_{\text{CO}}(\%) = \frac{\text{CO produced (mol)}}{\text{CO} \text{ input (mol)} + \text{CH}_4 \text{ input (mol)}} \times 100
\]

\[
Y_{\text{H}_2}(\%) = \frac{\text{H}_2 \text{ produced (mol)}}{2 \times \text{CH}_4 \text{ input (mol)}} \times 100
\]

\[
Y_{\text{CH}_2} \text{H}_2(\%) = \frac{\text{CH}_2 \text{H}_2 \text{ produced (mol)}}{\text{CO}_2 \text{ input (mol)} + \text{CH}_4 \text{ input (mol)}} \times 100
\]

The H₂/CO molar ratio in the product is determined as:

\[
\text{H}_2 = \frac{\text{H}_2 \text{ produced (mol)}}{\text{CO produced (mol)}}
\]

The total energy efficiency for the conversion of CH₄ and CO₂ (E) [13], fuel production efficiency (FPE) of biogas reforming [26], and synergy capacity (SC) of the plasma-catalysis [39] are calculated as follows:

\[
E(\text{mmol kJ}^{-1}) = \frac{\text{CH}_4 \text{ converted (mol s}^{-1}) + \text{CO}_2 \text{ converted (mol s}^{-1})}{\text{Discharge power (W)}}
\]
FPE (%)  
\[ \text{FPE} = \frac{\text{LHV}_{\text{CO}} \ (\text{J mol}^{-1}) \times \text{CO produced (mol s}^{-1}) + \text{LHV}_{\text{H}_2} \ (\text{J mol}^{-1}) \times \text{H}_2 \text{ produced (mol s}^{-1})}{\text{LHV}_{\text{CH}_4} \ (\text{J mol}^{-1}) \times \text{CH}_4 \text{ input (mol s}^{-1}) + \text{discharge power (W)}} \times 100 \]  
(11)

where LHV is the lower heating value of the corresponding combustible gas. Only H2 and CO are considered in Eq.(11) in order to compare with other reported works. ζ can be conversion, selectivity, yield, or fuel production efficiency. The subscript p+c, p, and c represent the results obtained from plasma-catalysis, plasma-alone, and catalysis-alone, respectively.

3. Results and discussion

3.1. Catalyst characteristics

Fig. 2 shows the XRD patterns of the catalysts. Three diffraction peaks at 2θ = 37.6°, 45.9° and 67.0° corresponded to the formation of a cubic structure of alumina crystallite (JCPDS 10–425), while the diffraction peaks of NiO (JCPDS 1–75–197) were also identified for all the catalysts. However, only weak reflection of CeOx (JCPDS 3–65–5923) crystallite was detected. Some of the MgO peaks were overlapped with those of NiO [40,41], thus the diffraction peaks of MgO (JCPDS 1–1235) crystallite were also weak. Note that the diffraction peaks of cubic K2O (JCPDS 23–493) were not observed [42], which suggests that K species could be well dispersed on the catalyst surface or existed in amorphous form [43]. The crystallite size of the catalysts was estimated using Scherrer equation, as summarized in Table 1 [44]. The average size of NiO crystallite on the NiAl catalyst was 6 nm, and increased to 10 nm with the addition of Mg to the NiAl catalyst. Similar phenomenon of increased crystalline size on promoted catalysts has also been reported in thermal catalytic reforming [28,35]. Notably, a few promoters (e.g. adding La into Co/ZrO2) have been reported to enhance the dispersion of active metal species on the surface of the catalyst. However, K, Mg and Ce promoters have been observed to agglomerate active metal species and resulted in larger particle sizes [31], which generally increases coke deposition on the catalyst surfaces [45–47]. However, in thermal catalytic processes, the K, Mg and Ce promoters preferentially bind to “cooking sites” and suppress the formation of graphite, without significantly affecting the reforming performance [48].

Table 1 shows the physicochemical properties of the catalysts. The BET specific surface area of the NiAl catalyst was 165 m2 g−1, while the addition of the promoters to the NiAl catalyst slightly decreased the BET specific surface area of the catalysts (152–160 m2 g−1). The BET area of the catalysts followed the order of NiAl > NiMgAl > NiCeAl > NiKAI.

The reducibility of supported metal catalysts is a critical factor affecting the catalytic activity. Fig. 3 shows the H2–TPR result of the fresh catalysts. On the TPR profile of the NiAl catalyst, the first peak at 290 °C corresponded to the reduction of bulk NiO, while the overlapping peak at 410 °C can be assigned to the reduction of less active NiO. The less active NiO had a higher reduction temperature due to its stronger interaction with the support of the catalyst [49,50]. The addition of K, Mg and Ce promoters showed different effects on the reducibility of the Ni-based catalysts. The TPR profile of the NiKAl catalyst was similar to that of the NiAl catalyst except that the reduction temperature of bulk NiO decreased to 260 °C. For the NiMgAl catalyst, the reduction temperature of bulk NiO increased to 340 °C. The intensity of the peak associated to the reduction of NiO was diminished, which might be attributed to the intensified interaction between NiO and Al2O3 promoted by the presence of Mg species [51,52]. The reduction of bulk NiO on the NiCeAl catalyst occurred at 230 °C, which was clearly the lowest reduction temperature among the catalysts. However, such reduction proceeded over a wide temperature range (230 °C–450 °C), while the portion of the bulk NiO on the catalyst was lower than that of the NiAl and NiKAl catalysts, which suggests that the NiCeAl catalyst might have a weak catalytic activity compared to the NiAl and NiKAl catalysts.

The adsorption of CO2 on the surface of the catalysts plays a critical role in the catalytic reforming reaction [53,54]. The CO2–TPD profile of the NiAl catalyst showed a strong peak located at 500 °C (Fig. 4), which can be associated to the desorption of CO2 from strong basic sites on the catalyst surface. By contrast, negligible peaks were found below 400 °C, which could be related to CO2 desorption from weak or medium basic sites on the catalyst surface. The addition of Mg promoter significantly decreased the CO2 desorption over 400 °C, indicating the quantity of strong basic sites on the catalyst has been decreased by the Mg addition. Compared to the NiAl catalyst, adding Ce promoter decreased the desorption temperature from 500 °C to 480 °C, which suggests that the desorption of CO2 from strong basic sites was slightly weakened.

Table 1. Physicochemical characteristics of fresh catalysts.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Catalyst</th>
<th>Specific surface area (m2 g−1)</th>
<th>Total pore volume (cm3 g−1)</th>
<th>Average crystallite size (nm)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>NiAl</td>
<td>165</td>
<td>0.29</td>
<td>6</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>NiMgAl</td>
<td>160</td>
<td>0.25</td>
<td>10</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>NiCeAl</td>
<td>158</td>
<td>0.29</td>
<td>7</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>NiKAl</td>
<td>152</td>
<td>0.29</td>
<td>8</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Fig. 2. XRD patterns of fresh catalysts. (● NiO, ♠ MgO, ♦ CeOx, and dash line γ–Al2O3).

Fig. 3. H2–TPR profiles of the fresh catalysts.
promoter significantly increased NH3 desorption from weak and medium acid sites. Interestingly, the addition of K increased the NH3 desorption from medium acid sites as the desorption happened below 400 °C. For comparison, the addition of Mg promoter contrast, the conversion of CH4 and CO2 in the plasma biogas reforming the thermodynamic limitation of this reaction at low temperatures. By temperature of NH3 on medium acid sites decreased from 300 °C to 280 °C. The introduction of Ce species enhanced the NH3 desorption from weak and medium acid sites. Interestingly, the addition of K promoter significantly increased NH3 desorption from strong acid sites. All the results suggest that the presence of promoters improved the acidity of the catalysts, in particular for the NiKAl catalyst.

3.2. Biogas reforming under different operating modes

Fig. 5 shows the conversion of CH4 and CO2 in the biogas reforming process at the same temperature of 160 °C under three different operating modes: plasma-alone, catalysis-alone and plasma-catalysis. A plasma-catalytic synergy can be clearly identified when placing the NiKAl catalyst in the DBD reactor. At such a low temperature, the reforming reaction can only be initiated in the presence of a plasma, regardless of the use of a catalyst. In the catalysis-alone mode without plasma, the conversion of CH4 and CO2 was negligible at 160 °C due to the thermodynamic limitation of this reaction at low temperatures. By contrast, the conversion of CH4 and CO2 in the plasma biogas reforming at the same temperature of 160 °C was 25.1% and 18.2%, respectively. These findings suggest that non-thermal plasmas can enable thermodynamically unfavourable biogas reforming reaction to occur at low temperatures.

Fig. 6(a) shows the conversion of CH4 and CO2 from weak and medium acid sites, while the desorption peaks over 400 °C could be associated to NH3 desorption from strong acid sites [55–58]. It is noted that most of NH3 desorption happened below 400 °C. For comparison, the addition of Mg promoter increased the NH3 desorption from medium acid sites as the desorption temperature of NH3 on medium acid sites decreased from 300 °C to 280 °C. The introduction of Ce species enhanced the NH3 desorption from weak and medium acid sites. Interestingly, the addition of K promoter significantly increased NH3 desorption from strong acid sites. All the results suggest that the presence of promoters improved the acidity of the catalysts, in particular for the NiKAl catalyst.

conversion of CH4, which was consistent with the enhanced acidity of the promoted catalysts, suggesting the acidic sites on the surface of these catalysts contributed to the activation of CH4. However, only the K- and Ce-promoted Ni catalysts showed enhanced conversion of CO2, while placing the Mg-promoted Ni catalyst in the plasma decreased the CO2 conversion, which could be ascribed to the decreased basic sites and weak CO2 affinity on the surface of the NiMgAl catalyst, as shown in Fig. 4(a). In the plasma-catalytic biogas reforming, considering the overall conversion of both gases, the best conversion was achieved by using the NiKAl catalyst, closely followed by the NiCeAl catalyst.

Interestingly, previous works on high temperature catalytic dry reforming of methane demonstrated that the use of K- and Ce-promoted Ni/Al2O3 catalysts decreased the conversion of CH4 and CO2 compared to Ni/Al2O3 catalyst under the same conditions [28,29]. By contrast, Mg-promoted catalyst showed higher conversion of CO2 compared to un-promoted catalysts [33,35,37]. For instance, Nandini et al. carried out thermal catalytic biogas reforming over Ni-based catalysts at 800 °C with a feed gas ratio CH4:CO2:N2 of 1:1:0.9. Compared to the Ni/Al2O3 catalyst, the addition of 0.5 wt.% K into the Ni/Al2O3 catalyst decreased the conversion of CH4 from 84.7% to 70.2%, and the CO2 conversion from 81.4% to 72.1%, respectively [28]. Alipour et al. evaluated the performance of thermal catalytic dry reforming at 650 °C using 5 wt.% Ni/Al2O3 catalysts with and without a promoter. They reported that the conversion of CO2 was enhanced from 66% to 77% with the addition of 3 wt.% Mg to the Ni catalyst [35]. By contrast, Wang et al. investigated thermal catalytic DRM over a NiO-CeO2-Al2O3 (Ni-Ce-Al) catalyst prepared by an improved one-pot evaporation-induced self-assembly (EISA) method [34,59]. They found that increasing the Ce/Al molar ratio of the Ni-Ce-Al catalyst from 0 to 1:50 significantly increased the conversion of CH4 and CO2 from 60% to 80% and from 59% to 73%, respectively [59]. These results show that the effects of K, Mg and Ce promoters on biogas reforming are different for low temperature plasma reactions and high temperature catalytic reactions. Such difference is more likely related to the temperature-dependent character of the promoters in reforming reactions [35]. For instance, Alipour et al. reported that compared with a NiAl catalyst, the addition of Ca promoter increased the CO2 conversion at 550 °C in thermal catalytic biogas reforming, but the conversion of CO2 was decreased at 700 °C [35]. In addition, the TPD analysis in this study showed that the promoted catalysts had different adsorption and desorption behavior under low and high temperatures (Fig. 4), leading to different catalytic effects in low temperature plasma reactions and high temperature catalytic processes.

Fig. 6(b) shows the selectivity of H2 and CO in the plasma biogas reforming with or without a catalyst. Compared to the plasma-alone mode, the presence of the catalysts in the DBD reactor clearly decreased the selectivity of CO, while only the NiKAl catalyst effectively improved the H2 selectivity with the highest value of 43.3% in the plasma-catalytic biogas reforming. The combination of the DBD with the NiMgAl
catalyst exhibited the lowest CO selectivity (29.4%) as CO production is closely related to the conversion of CO$_2$. Compared to the plasma biogas reforming without a catalyst, placing the catalysts in the DBD reactor enhanced the yield of H$_2$ (Fig. 6(c)), which was consistent with the effect of these catalysts on the conversion of CH$_4$ (Fig. 6(a)). However, the CO yield was only improved over the NiKAl and NiCeAl catalysts as the coupling of the plasma with the NiMgAl catalyst suppressed the conversion of CO$_2$.

Fig. 6(d) shows that the presence of the catalysts in the plasma enhanced the H$_2$/CO molar ratio, which can be attributed to the different effects of the catalysts on the conversion of CH$_4$ and CO$_2$. The highest H$_2$/CO ratio of 2.2 was achieved when using the NiMgAl catalyst in the plasma reforming as this catalyst had the lowest CO$_2$ conversion even compared to the plasma reaction without a catalyst. Interestingly, the H$_2$/CO molar ratio obtained over different catalysts followed the order: NiCeAl < NiKAl < NiAl < NiMgAl, exactly the reversed order as the reducibility of these catalysts. This is plausible since the production of CO is strongly dependent on the conversion of CO$_2$, while the CO$_2$ conversion is affected by the reducibility and basicity of the catalysts [36].

Saturated hydrocarbons including ethane, propane and butane, together with unsaturated ethylene and acetylene were detected in the plasma biogas reforming, as shown in Fig. 7. The amounts of alkanes in the gaseous products were two orders of magnitude higher than that of unsaturated hydrocarbons. Therefore, only the selectivity and yield of alkanes are presented in this paper. The highest selectivity towards ethane and propane was achieved in the plasma biogas reforming over the NiMgAl catalyst, while the NiKAl catalyst showed the lowest selectivity to ethane and propane. All the catalysts had a similar selectivity of butane. Note that butane was generated only in the plasma-catalytic reforming reaction. In addition, the use of these catalysts improved the yield of C$_2$–C$_4$ alkanes. Compared to the plasma-alone mode, the combination of the DBD with the NiKAl catalyst enhanced the yield of ethane and propane by 16.5% and 25.5%, respectively, which can be ascribed to the significant enhancement of CH$_4$ conversion in the plasma-catalytic coupling mode.

Fig. 8 shows the influence of the catalysts on the energy efficiency of the plasma biogas reforming process. Clearly, compared to the plasma-alone reaction, the plasma-catalytic coupling enhanced the total energy efficiency and fuel production efficiency due to the generation of the plasma-catalytic synergy at low temperatures. In the plasma-catalytic biogas reforming, the use of K-, Mg- and Ce-promoted catalysts showed higher energy efficiency compared to the plasma reaction over the un-promoted NiAl catalyst. The highest energy efficiency (0.67 mmol kJ$^{-1}$) and fuel production efficiency (14.4%) were achieved when placing the NiKAl catalyst in the plasma reforming process. Table 2 presents a summary of the energy efficiency of plasma biogas reforming using different DBD systems under similar conditions.

In this work, synergistic capacity is introduced to quantify the reaction performance of the plasma-catalytic biogas reforming,

---

**Fig. 6.** Effect of different catalysts on (a) Conversion of CH$_4$ and CO$_2$, (b) Selectivity and (c) Yields of H$_2$ and CO, and (d) H$_2$/CO molar ratio.

---

**Table 2.** Summary of the energy efficiency of plasma biogas reforming using different DBD systems under similar conditions.
comparing against the individual processes that using plasma-alone or catalysis-alone at the same temperature, as shown in Table 3. The significant synergy between the plasma and the Ni-based catalysts is highlighted by the conversion of CH$_4$, the yield of H$_2$ and propane, and the total energy efficiency of the reforming process. For instance, the yield of H$_2$ had a synergistic capacity of 9% in the absence of a promoter and reached a maximum of 31% using the NiKAl catalyst. The synergistic capacity of the plasma-catalytic process was significantly enhanced over the NiKAl catalyst compared to that using the NiAl catalyst. By contrast, the coupling of the plasma with the NiMgAl catalyst showed a negative synergistic capacity in CO$_2$ conversion and CO yield due to the obtained low CO$_2$ conversion in the plasma-catalytic reforming process.

As shown in Figs. 5–8, the addition of K, Mg and Ce promoters showed a more pronounced effect on the reaction performance (conversion, selectivity, yield, and energy efficiency). The interaction between the DBD plasma and promoted Ni catalysts in the plasma reaction could be the main driving force contributed to the generation of the significant synergistic capacity in the plasma-catalytic biogas reforming [62–64].

### 3.3. Effect of promoters on carbon deposition

Three different forms of carbon deposition could be found on the catalyst surface according to the oxidation temperature of carbonaceous species in a TGA profile: active carbonaceous species (C$_a$), less active carbonaceous species (C$_b$) and inactive carbonaceous species (C$_c$) [65,66]. In Fig. 9, the peak located at about 310 °C represented the active C$_a$ species which usually exist as amorphous carbon and can be easily oxidized. The peak at about 520 °C showed the production of less active C$_b$ species in the mixed form of amorphous and filamentous carbon [28,29,35]. A negligible amount of C$_c$ species (probably

### Table 2

DBD plasma assisted dry reforming of methane.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Catalyst</th>
<th>Feed flow (ml min$^{-1}$)</th>
<th>CH$_4$/CO$_2$</th>
<th>P (W)</th>
<th>Conversion (%)</th>
<th>Selectivity (%)</th>
<th>E (mmol kJ$^{-1}$)</th>
<th>FPE (%)</th>
<th>Ref</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>None</td>
<td>20</td>
<td>1:1</td>
<td>107</td>
<td>72.8</td>
<td>44.4</td>
<td>82.0</td>
<td>0.14</td>
<td>4.0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Ni/Al$_2$O$_3$</td>
<td>30</td>
<td>1:1</td>
<td>130</td>
<td>55.7</td>
<td>33.5</td>
<td>60.9</td>
<td>0.10</td>
<td>3.0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Ni/Al$_2$O$_3$</td>
<td>50</td>
<td>1:1</td>
<td>30</td>
<td>26.1</td>
<td>16.3</td>
<td>48.3</td>
<td>0.11</td>
<td>10.4</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Ni/Al$_2$O$_3$</td>
<td>75</td>
<td>1:1</td>
<td>1.1</td>
<td>19.6</td>
<td>9.3</td>
<td>38.0</td>
<td>0.72</td>
<td>11.6</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Ni/Al$_2$O$_3$</td>
<td>50</td>
<td>1:1</td>
<td>5.6</td>
<td>30.2</td>
<td></td>
<td>52.4</td>
<td>0.52</td>
<td>13.1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Ni/Al$_2$O$_3$</td>
<td>50</td>
<td>3:2</td>
<td>16</td>
<td>27.2</td>
<td>19.6</td>
<td>29.9</td>
<td>0.56</td>
<td>12.3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Ni-K/Al$_2$O$_3$</td>
<td>50</td>
<td>3:2</td>
<td>31.6</td>
<td>22.8</td>
<td></td>
<td>31.3</td>
<td>0.67</td>
<td>14.4</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

### Table 3

Effect of promoters on the synergistic capacity (SC) of the plasma-catalytic biogas reforming.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Catalyst</th>
<th>SC$_C$ (%)</th>
<th>SC$_T$ (%)</th>
<th>SC$_E$ (%)</th>
<th>SC$_FPE$ (%)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>CH$_4$</td>
<td>CO$_2$</td>
<td>H$_2$</td>
<td>CO</td>
<td>C$_2$H$_6$</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>NiAl</td>
<td>8</td>
<td>7</td>
<td>9</td>
<td>-3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>NiMgAl</td>
<td>24</td>
<td>-16</td>
<td>24</td>
<td>-1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>NiCeAl</td>
<td>27</td>
<td>19</td>
<td>27</td>
<td>13</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>NiKAl</td>
<td>26</td>
<td>25</td>
<td>31</td>
<td>21</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
graphite) was observed on the spent catalysts.

Compared with the plasma reforming of biogas without a catalyst, the combination of plasma and the catalysts significantly enhanced the conversion of CH₄, while placing the K- and Ce-promoted catalysts in the plasma process also increased the conversion of CO₂. Compared with the NiAl catalyst, adding K, Ce and Mg promoters increased the carbon deposition on the catalyst surface, which can be clearly seen from the weight loss of these catalysts, as shown in Fig. 9 and Table 4. The amount of total carbon deposition followed the order of NiCeAl ≈ NiKAl ≈ NiMgAl > NiAl, in consistence with the CH₄ conversion (Fig. 6). This is plausible because the direct and indirect decomposition of CH₄ is an important source of carbon in plasma driven surface reactions. In addition, the lowest amount of coke formation on the surface of the reacted NiAl catalyst could be related to the reduced acid sites on the catalyst (Fig. 4b) compared to the promoted catalysts as acid sites are responsible for the coke formation during reforming reactions [58].

In the plasma-catalytic biogas reforming, both the plasma gas phase reactions and plasma driven surface reactions could contribute to the formation of carbon deposition. In the gas phase reactions, the decomposition of CH₄ is initiated by electron impact dissociation of CH₄ to produce CH₃ (x = 1–3) radicals (R1)-(R3) [13,15,16], followed by the recombination of radicals to form higher hydrocarbons or further decomposition via electron impact dissociation of CH₉ (x = 1–3) radicals.

\[ \text{CH}_4 + e^- \rightarrow \text{CH}_3 + \text{H} + e^- \]  
(R1)

\[ \text{CH}_4 + e^- \rightarrow \text{CH}_2 + \text{H}_2 + e^- \]  
(R2)

\[ \text{CH}_4 + e^- \rightarrow \text{CH} + \text{H}_2 + \text{H} + e^- \]  
(R3)

In the presence of electrons with a high electron energy (> 14 eV), direct decomposition of methane or CH₃ (x = 1–3) radicals by electrons could form solid carbon (R4)-(R7) in the gas phase or deposited onto the catalyst surfaces [15].

\[ \text{CH}_4 + e^- \rightarrow \text{C} + 2\text{H}_2 + e^- \]  
(R4)

\[ \text{CH}_3 + e^- \rightarrow \text{C} + \text{H}_2 + \text{H} + e^- \]  
(R5)

\[ \text{CH}_2 + e^- \rightarrow \text{C} + \text{H}_2 + e^- \]  
(R6)

\[ \text{CH} + e^- \rightarrow \text{C} + \text{H} + e^- \]  
(R7)

On the surface of the catalyst, the adsorption and dissociation of CH₄ are important reaction pathways for the formation of solid carbon (R8)-(R11) [67].

\[ \text{CH}_4_{\text{ads}} \rightarrow \text{CH}_3_{\text{ads}} + \text{H}_{\text{ads}} \]  
(R8)

\[ \text{CH}_3_{\text{ads}} \rightarrow \text{CH}_2_{\text{ads}} + \text{H}_{\text{ads}} \]  
(R9)

\[ \text{CH}_2_{\text{ads}} \rightarrow \text{CH}_{\text{ads}} + \text{H}_{\text{ads}} \]  
(R10)

\[ \text{CH}_{\text{ads}} \rightarrow \text{C}_{\text{ads}} + \text{H}_{\text{ads}} \]  
(R11)

Where the subscript ads denotes adsorbed species.

However, the low temperature process inhibited the direct dissociation of CH₄ (x = 1–4) to form carbon on the catalyst surface. The effect of the catalysts on the amount of carbon deposition also agree well with the NH₃-TPD results of the catalysts, as shown in Table 4 and Fig. 4. Compared to the NiAl catalyst, the addition of promoters increased the acidity of the catalysts, consequently enhancing the conversion of CH₄ and carbon deposition on the surface of the spent catalysts. However, previous studies reported that the addition of K, Mg and Ce promoters reduced carbon deposition on the catalyst surfaces in thermal catalytic biogas reforming compared to un-promoted catalysts, although the conversion of CH₄ was also dropped [28,29,31,35,37,38]. For instance, Sengupta et al. found that adding 5 wt.% MgO into a 15 wt.% Ni/Al₂O₃ catalyst significantly decreased carbon deposition on the spent catalyst from 24.5 wt.% to 14.4 wt.% after dry reforming of methane at 600 °C for 3 h [37]. Ozkara-Aydinoglu et al. reported that the carbon deposition on the spent Co/ZrO₂ catalyst was slightly decreased from 4% to 2.6% when using a Mg promoter [31]. In this work, although the use of the promoted catalysts increased the carbon deposition by 22%–26% compared to the NiAl catalyst, the increased carbon deposition was mainly in the form of reactive carbonaceous species (Cᵣ), which contributed more than 80% of carbon deposited on the catalysts. Cᵣ is reactive and can be easily oxidized by CO₂ or O species to form CO, consequently “regenerating” the catalysts. Interestingly, Debek et al. reported a similar phenomenon in thermal catalytic reforming of methane. They found that the addition of Ce promoter increased the amount of carbon deposition on the catalyst surface, but simultaneously decreased the oxidation temperature of the carbonaceous species [68]. In addition, even though the total amount of carbon deposition was increased by the addition of K promoter, only a negligible amount of Cᵣ species was found on the spent NiKAl catalyst.

![Fig. 9. (a) TGA profile of the spent NiKAl catalyst; (b) TGA profiles of the Ni catalysts after the plasma biogas reforming.](image-url)

**Table 4**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Catalyst</th>
<th>Carbon deposition (wt.%</th>
<th>Reactive</th>
<th>Less active</th>
<th>Total</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>NiAl</td>
<td>4.52</td>
<td>0.90</td>
<td>5.42</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>NiMgAl</td>
<td>5.59</td>
<td>0.95</td>
<td>6.54</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>NiCeAl</td>
<td>5.63</td>
<td>1.21</td>
<td>6.84</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>NiKAl</td>
<td>5.43</td>
<td>1.16</td>
<td>6.59</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
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4. Conclusions

Plasma-catalytic reforming of biogas over promoted Ni/Al2O3 catalysts has been carried out in a coaxial DBD reactor at 160 °C. Three different process modes: plasma-alone, catalysis-alone and plasma-catalysis were evaluated to get a better understanding of the plasma-catalytic coupling regime. Compared to the reforming reaction using either plasma-alone or catalysis-alone mode at the same temperature, the combination of the plasma with the Ni-based catalysts exhibited a low temperature synergistic effect at 160 °C, with enhanced CH4 conversion, H2 yield and energy efficiency of the hybrid plasma process. Compared to the NiAl catalyst, the use of the promoted catalysts in the plasma reforming process enhanced the conversion of CH4, which can be associated with the enhanced acidity of the promoted catalysts. This finding also suggests that the acid sites on the surface of the catalysts contribute to the activation of CH4 in the plasma-catalytic biogas reforming process. Note that in thermal catalytic biogas reforming, the use of these promoters reduced the conversion of CH4. Interestingly, the effect of the promoters (K, Ce and Mg) on the reforming of biogas showed a different behaviour in the low temperature plasma process from the high temperature catalytic process in terms of the conversion of CH4 and carbon deposition, which might be related to the temperature-dependent behaviour of the promoters. In the plasma-catalytic biogas reforming, the Ni-K/Al2O3 catalyst showed the best performance, enhancing the conversion of both CO2 and CH4, the yield of H2, CO and C2–C4 alkanes and the energy efficiency of the plasma process; while the use of the Mg-promoted catalyst remarkably increased the H2/CO molar ratio in the product due to the decreased CO2 conversion, which could be associated to the weak CO2 affinity on the catalyst surface. In addition, compared to the un-promoted NiAl catalyst, the use of the promoted catalysts increased the carbon deposition on the surface of the spent catalysts. However, the total amount of deposited carbon was still less than that reported in high temperature catalytic reforming processes. The TGA analysis of the spent catalysts showed that the increased carbonaceous species were mainly in the form of reactive carbon species, which can be easily oxidized by CO2 and O2 and maintain the stability of the catalysts during the reforming reaction. These results also suggest those catalysts that have shown poor catalytic activity (e.g. conversion) in thermal catalytic reactions might work well in low temperature plasma-catalytic processes, and vice versa. The knowledge of selecting efficient and appropriate catalysts for plasma-catalytic chemical reactions to generate a synergistic effect is still very limited and more works are expected to break down traditional discipline boundaries and bridge the gaps between thermal catalysis and plasma catalysis.

Acknowledgement

The support of this work by the UK EPSRC SUPERGEN Hydrogen & Fuel Cell (H2FC) Programme (EP/J016454/1) ECR Project (Ref. EACPR_PS5768) and the Royal Society – Newton Advanced Fellowship (Ref. NA140303) is gratefully acknowledged. Y. X. Zeng acknowledges the PhD fellowship co-funded by the Doctoral Training Programme (DTF) at the University of Liverpool and Chinese Scholarship Council (CSC).

References

[23] N. Ruanangti, A. Karakozovo, S. Chavadej, Production of hydrogen-rich syngas from...


