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Higher effort–reward imbalance and lower job control predict exit from the labour market at the age of 61 years or younger: evidence from the English Longitudinal Study of Ageing

T Hintsa,1 A Kouvonen,2,3,4 M McCann,4,5 M Jokela,1 M Elovainio,1,6 P Demakakos7

ABSTRACT
Background We examined whether higher effort–reward imbalance (ERI) and lower job control are associated with exit from the labour market.

Methods There were 1263 participants aged 50–74 years from the English Longitudinal Study on Ageing with data on working status and work-related psychosocial factors at baseline (wave 2; 2004–2005), and working status at follow-up (wave 5; 2010–2011). Psychosocial factors at work were assessed using a short validated version of ERI and job control. An allostatic load index was formed using 13 biological parameters. Depressive symptoms were measured using the Center for Epidemiologic Studies Depression Scale. Exit from the labour market was defined as not working in the labour market when 61 years old or younger in 2010–2011.

Results Higher ERI OR=1.62 (95% CI 1.01 to 2.61, p=0.048) predicted exit from the labour market independent of age, sex, education, occupational class, allostatic load and depression. Job control OR=0.60 (95% CI 0.42 to 0.85, p=0.004) was associated with exit from the labour market independent of age, sex, education, occupation and depression. The association of higher effort OR=1.32 (95% CI 1.01 to 1.73, p=0.045) with exit from the labour market was independent of age, sex and depression but attenuated to non-significance when additionally controlling for socioeconomic measures. Reward was not related to exit from the labour market.

Conclusions Stressful work conditions can be a risk for exiting the labour market before the age of 61 years. Neither socioeconomic position nor allostatic load and depressive symptoms seem to explain this association.

INTRODUCTION
The proportion of older employees is rapidly increasing, and the estimations suggest that this trend will continue.1 To keep the working population balanced, one of the most important current strategic aims is to extend work careers and prevent early exit from the labour market; it is an important societal requirement. In the UK, in 2011, the average age of withdrawal from the labour market was approximately 62.8 years for women and 64.5 years for men.2 The present study focuses on exit from the labour market at the age of 61 years or younger at wave 5 (2010–2011).

In previous studies, a stressful psychosocial work environment has been linked to intentions to retire early.3–6 A stressful psychosocial work environment may have far-reaching negative effects on individuals’ work ability from midlife to old age7 and it may also decrease job satisfaction. A previous study in the Whitehall II cohort showed that if employees are dissatisfied with their job, the probability of retiring early is twofold compared with that of satisfied employees.8 Although some evidence on psychosocial predictors of early retirement or early work exit exists,3 8 9 evidence on how stressful psychosocial work is related to exit from the labour market is scarce.

Exposure to a stressful psychosocial work environment is typically measured by two established theoretical models: the demand–control9 10 11 and effort–reward imbalance (ERI) models.12 13 In the demand–control model, job control denotes the employees’ authority to make decisions concerning their own activities and using their skills at work, while job demands refer to time pressures and workload.11 A combination of high job demands with low job control is assumed to be stressful.11 The ERI model focuses on the work contract and emphasises social reciprocity.12 Rewards received in return for efforts spent at work include money, esteem and career opportunities such as promotion prospects and job security.12 The lack of reciprocity (high effort in combination with low rewards) is assumed to generate negative emotions and psychobiological stress responses with adverse long-term effects on health.13 Both models have been extensively studied in relation to health and they have been repeatedly linked to adverse health outcomes, especially heart disease.14–16

Further, there are consistent findings indicating that a perceived stressful psychosocial work environment is related to depression.15 Depression may have an impact on occupational function,18 and it can lead to early exit from work due to disability pension.19 Higher job demands, lower job control and higher job strain were associated with a 12-month prevalence of depressive disorder among 3366 participants from a representative sample of the Finnish working population.20 A systematic review of the epidemiological evidence about psychosocial factors at work and risk of depression concluded that a stressful psychosocial work environment increases the risk for depression.17 In a study including 17 European countries, it was found that depressive symptoms are higher among older employees experiencing high psychosocial stress compared with those with low or no stress.21 Similarly, in another study, work-related psychosocial factors were associated with an elevated risk

The aim of the present study was to examine whether ERI and job control are associated with exit from the labour market at the age of 61 years or younger. A further aim was to examine whether the potential association of ERI and job control with exit from the labour market is influenced by age, sex, education, occupational class, depressive symptoms and allostatic load.

METHODS
Participants
The data were derived from the English Longitudinal Study of Ageing (ELSA), which is a prospective observational study of people aged 50 and older living in England, designed to be nationally representative. Details of the study design are given elsewhere. There were 6682 core member participants who were aged between 50 and 74 years at wave 2 (2004–2005). There were 2274 participants who were working and reporting the psychosocial characteristics of their work. Of those 2274 participants who had reported the psychosocial characteristics of their work, 2039 had reported their working status at waves 2 and 5 (2010–2011). The criteria for being classified into the category of ‘exit from the labour market’ were (1) working at wave 2 and (2) an age of 61 years or younger and not working at wave 5. The formation of the analytic sample is described in more detail in figure 1. In the final sample, there were 1263 participants aged 56.5 years on average (SD 3.7, range 52–74 years) who reported active working status and work characteristics at wave 2, and their working status at wave 5.

Attrition analysis comparing the included participants with the excluded showed that the included participants were younger than the excluded individuals (mean age at baseline 56.3 vs 60.4 years, p<0.001). There was no difference in the proportion of women and men between the included and excluded groups. Among the participants there was a smaller proportion of those who had no educational qualification compared with the excluded (37.6% vs 64%, p<0.001). There was a smaller proportion of participants in the routine/manual labour category compared with the excluded group (participants 40.8% vs excluded 59.2%, p<0.001).

Measures
Exit from the labour market
The participants were classified into two categories based on their age and working status at wave 5. As described above, the participants who were aged 61 years or younger and were not working at wave 5 were included in the ‘exit from the labour market’ category. The reasons for not working at wave 5 were early retirement (approximately 73%), unemployment (approximately 8%), sick leave (approximately 6%) or other/undefined (approximately 13%). In the exit from the labour market group, there was a larger proportion of women than men (61.9 vs 38.1, p<0.001).

Stressful psychosocial work environment
Stressful psychosocial work environment characteristics were assessed at wave 2 by a short battery of items derived from the Job Content Questionnaire measuring job control and the ERI questionnaire, which have been shown to be valid measures of stressful working conditions. Work characteristics were effort (eg, “I am under constant time pressure due to a heavy workload”); reward (eg, “I receive the recognition I deserve for my work”) and job control (eg, “I have very little freedom to decide how I do my work”); reversed. Psychosocial work characteristics were calculated as means and ERI was calculated as a ratio of effort/reward.

Socioeconomic measures
Education at wave 2 was categorised as A-level or higher degree (including university degree, other higher or postsecondary education and “A-level” education or equivalent), secondary (Certificate of Secondary Education or equivalent) and no qualification (including all people with lower than secondary education or no educational qualifications), as done previously in ELSA data. Occupational class at wave 2 was categorised according to the primary social classification in the UK, that is, National Statistics Socio-Economic Classification as three categories of occupations: (1) routine/manual, (2) intermediate occupations and (3) professional occupations.

Depressive symptoms
Depressive symptoms were measured at wave 2 using an eight-item version of the Center for Epidemiologic Studies Depression Scale (CES-D). This tool has been validated against the full CES-D and used in community settings to predict health. A cut off point of ≥4, which has been previously reported to indicate clinically relevant depressive symptoms, was used when depressive symptoms were classified as no depressive symptoms=0 and depressive symptoms=1.

Allostatic load
A variable indicating allostatic load at wave 2 was formed from 13 biomarkers. The cut off points for obtaining a value of 1 (=higher risk) in allostatic load were defined as suggested in previous literature. The cut off points used in our analyses to identify people at higher risk are the following: systolic blood pressure (>140 mm Hg) and diastolic blood pressure (>90 mm Hg), fibrinogen (>3 g/L), total cholesterol (>6.0 mmol/L), high-density lipoprotein and low-density lipoprotein cholesterol (<1.0 and >4.0 mmol/L, respectively), triglycerides (>2 mmol/L), C reactive protein (>3 mg/L), glycosylated haemoglobin (>6%), peak flow (>410 L/min), body mass index (>30 kg/m²), sex-adjusted waist:hip ratio (0.9 for men and 0.8 for women) and sex-adjusted waist circumference (90 cm for women and 100 cm for men).

Statistical analyses
The associations between ERI and exit from the labour market were studied using logistic regression analysis. Multiple imputation models were used to account for missing information on
cohort members using Stata’s ‘mi’ suite of commands. Variables were assumed to be missing at random. All variables included in the analytical models were included in the imputation model estimation. There were 30 imputed data sets for analysis. Visual inspection showed the distribution of imputed values varied randomly without any ‘trending’, suggesting the imputation process was stable. The associations between effort, reward, job control and exit from the labour market were studied using logistic regression analysis only in the complete cases data set.

RESULTS

The descriptive statistics of the sample are shown in table 1. There were 194 (15%) participants who had exited from the labour market at follow-up. At baseline, the mean age of the still working at follow-up group (n=1069, 483 women/45.2%) was 56.5 years (range 52–74 years) and 54.2 years (range 52–56) in the exit from labour market at follow-up group. In the still working at follow-up group, 503 participants (47.1%) and 104 participants (53.6%) in the exit from the labour market at follow-up group had A-level degree.

The relationships of age, sex, education, occupational class, depression and allostatic load with effort, reward, job control and ERI are shown in table 2. In the still working at follow-up group, younger age, lower occupational class and higher depression were related to higher ERI (p<0.05). In the exit from labour market group at follow-up, higher depression was related to ERI (p<0.001).

The results of the binary logistic regression analyses showed an age-adjusted and sex-adjusted association between higher ERI OR=1.68 (95% CI 1.07 to 2.66, p=0.025) and exit from the labour market (table 3). When controlling for education, occupation, depressive symptoms and allostatic load, in addition to age and sex, we found an attenuation of 8.8% in the association between higher ERI OR=1.62 (95% CI 1.01 to 2.61, p=0.048) and exit from the labour market (table 3). The results were not quite similar in the complete cases data set. Higher ERI was associated with exit from the labour market independent of age, sex, education and occupational class OR=1.65 (95% CI 1.03 to 2.62, p=0.036, n=1108). When controlling for depressive symptoms and allostatic load, in
addition to age and sex, the association was not significant (n=885, OR=1.61, p>0.05).

In addition, we conducted binary logistic regression analyses in the complete cases data set (max n) on effort, reward and job control. The results showed that job control was related to exit from the labour market OR=0.58 (95% CI 0.39 to 0.85, p=0.006). Controlling for all covariates reduced the effect of lower job control on exit from the labour market independent of age, sex, education, occupational class and depression (table 4). In a similar way, after adjustment for age, sex, education, occupation and allostatic load, results showed that job control was associated with exit from the labour market OR=0.60 (95% CI 0.42 to 0.85, p=0.004) independent of age, sex, education, occupational class and depression defined as ERI was related to exit from the labour market OR=0.58 (95% CI 0.39 to 0.83, p=0.006). Controlling for all covariates reduced the effect of lower job control on exit from the labour market by 6.3% (age, sex, education, occupational class and depression) and by 7.9% (age, sex, education, occupational class and allostatic load) compared with age-adjusted and sex-adjusted models (table 4). Effort was not related to exit from the labour market, except for in the analysis controlling for age, sex and depression OR=1.32 (95% CI from 1.01 to 1.73, p value 0.045). Reward was not associated with exit from the labour market (p>0.0.05, results not shown).

**DISCUSSION**

We examined whether stressful psychosocial work environment defined as ERI was related to exit from the labour market 6 years later. We found that higher ERI was associated with exit from the labour market independent of age, sex, education, occupational class, depression and allostatic load. In addition, we examined whether effort, reward and job control were related to exit from the labour market. Job control was associated with decreased likelihood of exit from the labour market.

### Table 2 The association between background factors and stressful work characteristics

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Predictor</th>
<th>Still working at follow-up</th>
<th>Exit from the labour market at follow-up</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>ERI</td>
<td>Effort</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Age</td>
<td>β</td>
<td>p Value</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Sex M_W</td>
<td>-0.08</td>
<td>0.01</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Education</td>
<td>-0.05</td>
<td>0.126</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>L3_L1</td>
<td>0.06</td>
<td>0.080</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>L3_L2</td>
<td>-0.05</td>
<td>0.167</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Occupation</td>
<td>0.14</td>
<td>&lt;0.001</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>L3_L1</td>
<td>0.03</td>
<td>0.474</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Depression</td>
<td>0.19</td>
<td>&lt;0.001</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Allostatic load</td>
<td>0.01</td>
<td>0.702</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Education and occupation: for example, L3_L1=level 1 (lowest) compared with level 3 (highest). Depression L0_L1: depression=L1 compared with no depression=L0; control=job control; Sex: M_W=women compared to men. ERI, effort-reward imbalance.
Higher effort was related to exit from the labour market independent of age, sex and depression, but this association attenuated to non-significant when education and occupational class were taken into account. Reward was not related to exit from the labour market.

We found that people exposed to higher ERI had an increased risk of having exited the labour market 6 years later. This finding is in line with previous results. Among London-based civil servants aged younger than 60 years, it was reported that if an employee is dissatisfied with the job, the probability of retiring early is twofold compared with satisfied employees. Dissatisfaction with work may increase the likelihood of perceiving characteristics at work as stressful, which, in turn, have been shown to increase intentions to retire early. Stressful psychosocial work environment may contribute to intentions to exit from the labour market more among the older than among the younger employees. Furthermore, older employees may perceive their workload as more stressful and threatening than younger employees do.

Further, we found that job control decreased the likelihood of exit from the labour market. This is in accordance with previous findings reporting that lower job control is associated with increased early-retirement intentions. The association between lower job control and intentions to retire early were independent of age, sex, education and self-rated health, and the association was stronger among people aged 45 years or older compared with the whole sample. The effect size of job control on intentions to retire early was similar compared with the effect of lower job control on exit from the labour market in the present study. In a previous study, 24% of women and 26% of men with low job control were reported to have strong intentions to retire early. In a study among working men and women of early old age comprising 11 European countries, it has been shown that low job control exerts significant effects on general disability. Low job control may also increase feelings of exhaustion and thus endanger ability to work.

Effort was related to exit from the labour market independent of age, sex and depression. It has been reported that employees in psychosocially demanding jobs tend to retire early more often compared with their colleagues in less psychosocially demanding jobs. Higher effort may increase job dissatisfaction that has been related to an increased risk of early retirement. However, taking education and occupational class into account attenuated the association between effort and work exit to non-significant. This finding is not in line with a study across six European countries reporting that higher job demands are related to early exit from work independent of education and employment opportunities. The type of work may be reflected more in demands and effort than the other characteristics of work such as job control. Heavy physical workloads have been shown to predict early retirement. Working in a repetitive job has been shown to be linked with early exit from the labour market.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Table 3</th>
<th>The association between effort–reward imbalance (ERI) and early exit from the labour market in imputed data and complete cases data set</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td><strong>ERI</strong></td>
<td><strong>p Value</strong></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>OR</strong></td>
<td><strong>95% Cl</strong></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Model 1</td>
<td>1.00</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Model 2</td>
<td>1.00</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Model 3</td>
<td>1.00</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Model 4</td>
<td>1.00</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Model 5</td>
<td>1.00</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Left side imputed data set n=1263, right side complete cases data set (maximum n).
*Model 1: age and sex, models 2–4 in addition to age and sex.
Model 2: depression.
Model 3: allostatic load.
Model 4: education and occupation.
Model 5: all.*

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Table 4</th>
<th>The associations between work characteristics and early exit from the labour market in the complete cases data set</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td><strong>Effort</strong></td>
<td><strong>Job control</strong></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>N</strong></td>
<td><strong>OR</strong></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Model 1a</td>
<td>932</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Model 2a</td>
<td>174</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Model 3a</td>
<td>1.00</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Model 4a</td>
<td>1.00</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Model 1b</td>
<td>742</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Model 2b</td>
<td>144</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Model 3b</td>
<td>1.00</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Model 4b</td>
<td>1.00</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Complete cases in listed variables. Model 1a: age and sex, 2a: age, sex, depression, 3a: age, sex, oc, 4a: all.
Complete cases in listed variables. Model 1b: age and sex, 2b: age, sex, allostatic load, 3a: age, sex, oc, 4a: all.
*Models 1a–4a: n=932 (effort) and n=933 (job control) working as a reference group, n=174=work exit.
Models 1b–4b: n=742 (effort) and n=743 (job control) working as a reference group, n=144=work exit.
oc, occupational class.*
The results of the present study should be interpreted considering some limitations. First, we assessed stressful work characteristics with abbreviated scales, which may increase the risk of underestimating their effects on work exit. However, abbreviated measures of stressful work characteristics have been validated in European cohort studies. Second, there are sex differences in the average age of withdrawal from the labour market. In 2011, this was approximately 62.8 years for women and 64.5 years for men. Indeed, in our data there were more women among those who had exited the labour market. Yet, we found that there was an attrition according to occupational class and education (i.e., a smaller proportion of participants with no educational qualification and in routine/manual occupations compared with the excluded), which may slightly influence the results. Another limitation is using self-reported labour market situation.

Further, in the present study the main reason for having exited from the labour market was early retirement, but there were some other reasons such as unemployment and sick leave. Including these is an unlikely reason for major bias in the present study because unemployed participants (8%) or people on sick leave (6%) did not comprise of very large proportions. However, we cannot be sure of whether they have definitely exited from the labour market. It has been shown that once becoming unemployed, older employees remain unemployed for longer, which may decrease intentions and also hinder opportunities to return to work. Among older employees, being absent from the labour market for longer periods can decrease probability of re-employment and increase the risk of total exclusion from the labour market. It is suggested that unemployment rate would be good to consider in future studies. Finally, we did not consider type of work, that is, physically active or sedentary work, in the present study. It has been previously shown in the ELSA cohort that activity level of work is not related to early retirement. In addition, our results showed that work stress was related to work exit independent of occupational class. However, activity level of work could still be taken into account in future studies on exit from the labour market.

The strengths of our study were the use of a representative sample of older community-dwelling English adults and the ability to control for a number of covariates such as age, sex, education, occupational class, allostatic load and depression. Importantly, our study shows that depression and allostatic load have relatively little influence on labour market exit among older employees. Exit from the labour market does not occur only among those whose physical and mental health is affected by stress, stressful work environment appears to affect all employees. We yielded new information on the potential influence of stressful work conditions on work exit that can be utilised in efforts of extending work career and prevention of untimely exit from the labour market.

In conclusion, we showed that a stressful psychosocial work environment, indicated by ERI and lower job control, is associated with early exit from the labour market among older employees. The laws that regulate occupational healthcare (e.g., The Health and Safety at Work, etc, Act 1974) oblige employers to make sure that the health risks in the work environment are minimised or prevented whenever possible. A stressful psychosocial work environment has been shown to be a health risk and in the present study we showed that it is also predictive of exit from the labour market at the age of 61 years or younger. Thus, policies that invest in creating less stressful work environments would be a relevant starting point for maintaining work ability of older employees and extending working lives.
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