Experimental determination of irreversible entropy production in out-of-equilibrium mesoscopic quantum systems
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By making use of a recently proposed framework for the inference of thermodynamic irreversibility in bosonic quantum systems, we experimentally measure and characterize the entropy production rates in the non-equilibrium steady state of two different physical systems – a micro-mechanical resonator and a Bose-Einstein condensate – each coupled to a high finesse cavity and hence also subject to optical loss. Key features of our setups, such as cooling of the mechanical resonator and signatures of a structural quantum phase transition in the condensate, are reflected in the entropy production rates. Our work demonstrates the possibility to explore irreversibility in driven mesoscopic quantum systems and paves the way to a systematic experimental assessment of entropy production beyond the microscopic limit.

Entropy is a crucial quantity for the characterisation of dynamical processes: it quantifies and links seemingly distant notions such as disorder, information, and irreversibility across different disciplinary boundaries [1, 2]. Every finite-time transformation results in some production of entropy, which signals the occurrence of irreversibility. Quantifying the amount of irreversible entropy produced by a given process is a goal of paramount importance: entropy production is a key quantity for the characterisation of non-equilibrium processes, and its minimisation improves the efficiency of thermal machines. The second law of thermodynamics can be formulated in terms of a universal constraint on the entropy production, which can never be negative [3, 4]. In turn, this leads to the following rate equation for the variation of the entropy $S$ [5]

$$\frac{dS}{dt} = \Pi(t) - \Phi(t),$$

where $\Pi(t)$ and $\Phi(t)$ are the irreversible entropy production rate and the entropy flux from the system to the environment, respectively. When the system reaches a non-equilibrium steady-state (NESS) these quantities take values $\Pi_\text{r}$ and $\Phi_\text{r}$, respectively, such that $\Pi_\text{r} = \Phi_\text{r} > 0$ [see Fig. 1 (a)]. Under these conditions, entropy is produced and exchanged with the local baths at the same rate. Only when both terms vanish ($\Pi_\text{r} = \Phi_\text{r} = 0$) one recovers thermal equilibrium. The entropy production rate directly accounts for the irreversibility of a process and uncovers the non-equilibrium features of a system.

The link between the entropy production rate $\Pi_\text{r}$ and irreversibility becomes particularly relevant in small systems subjected to fluctuations, for which a microscopic definition of entropy production based on stochastic trajectories of the system has been given [6]. Experimentally, this notion has been used to test fluctuation theorems in a variety of classically operating systems such as a single-electron box [7], a two-level system driven by a time-dependent potential [8], and a levitated nanoparticle undergoing relaxation [9]. However, in order to harness the working principles of thermodynamic machines working at the quantum level, and pinpoint the differences between their performances and those of their classical counterparts, it is important to analyse the entropy generated through genuine quantum dynamics [10]. Moreover, while so far nanoscale systems have been used for the experimental study of classical out-of-equilibrium thermodynamics, irreversible entropy production arising from quantum dynamics in mesoscopic quantum systems has not been experimentally investigated yet.

Recently, progress towards the theoretical characterisation of entropy production in bosonic systems brought out of equilibrium has been made [11–13]. In this paper, we make use of such theoretical framework to quantify experimentally the amount of irreversibility in the NESS of two different driven-dissipative quantum systems, realized by coupling bosonic systems to high-finesse cavities. The light field mode of a cavity allows to infer the entropy production in terms of relevant controllable parameters of the coupled system. In particular, in this study, we investigate the influence of different dynamical regimes and sources of environmental noise on the quantum fluctuations of a quantum system, and thus the corresponding entropy production rate. In order to address such influences, we assess two distinct experimental setups: a cavity-optomechanical (cavity-OM) device and a Bose-Einstein condensate (BEC) with cavity-mediated long-range interactions [14–16]. The required measurements are based on the spectra of the light fields leaking out of the respective cavities. Remarkably, the entropy production reflects
the specific features of the two experimental platforms, which are very different in nature despite the common description provided here. As such, our results show how a key indicator of irreversibility is fully within the grasp of dynamically controlled quantum dynamics.

In cavity-OM systems, the cavity photon number is coupled to the position of the mechanical oscillator [cf. Fig. 1(b) and (e)]. Our specific implementation uses a Fabry-Perot cavity. One of its mirrors is a doubly clamped, highly reflective, mechanical cantilever. Radiation pressure couples the intracavity photon number to the position of the cantilever. The mechanical support of the cantilever provides a local heat bath at room temperature. The optical cavity is driven by a laser that is red-detuned by the mechanical frequency from the optical cavity resonance. For a driving laser without classical noise, the cavity mode is coupled to a zero-excitation heat bath. We observe sideband cooling of the mechanical motion [17–20] and, for large drive powers, strong optomechanical coupling [21–23]. To analyse the entropy production rate of the cavity-OM system, we measure the light reflected off the cavity via homodyne detection.

Also in the second implementation, the two coupled harmonic oscillators correspond to a light field mode coupled to a mechanical degree of freedom [cf. Fig 1(b) and (d)]. We load a BEC into a high-finesse optical cavity and illuminate the atoms with a standing-wave transverse laser field. Far-off resonant scattering of photons from the laser field into a near-detuned, initially empty cavity field mode, couples the zero-momentum mode of the BEC to an excited momentum mode. The scattering process mediates effective atom-atom interactions, which are of long-range, since the photons are delocalized in the cavity mode [16]. This interaction is tunable in strength via the power of the transverse laser beam. The long-range interaction can be brought to competition with the kinetic energy of the atoms, resulting in a structural phase transition [24]. In the spatially homogeneous phase, for increasing interaction, the energy of the excited momentum mode softens, until at a critical interaction strength the system breaks a discrete symmetry and the atoms arrange in a spatially modulated density distribution. The equivalence of this system to a Dicke model has been demonstrated in Ref. [15].

We measure the cavity light field leaking through the mirrors with a heterodyne detection setup. The spectral analysis of this signal is used to infer the diverging amount of atomic density fluctuations accompanying the structural phase transition [24].

In both cases, the effective interaction between the oscillators is obtained by a harmonic expansion of the field operators around their mean values, resulting in two linearly coupled quantum oscillators [cf. Fig. 1(b)]. We denote with $\hat{q}_{a,b}$ and $\hat{p}_{a,b}$ the position and momentum fluctuation operators around the mean-field values of the two oscillators. In what follows, $a$ and $b$ refer to the optical and mechanical/atomic os-

TABLE I. Physical parameters for the two experimental setups. The damping rate $\gamma_\text{b}$ is constant in the cavity-OM experiment, while in the cavity-BEC setup it depends on the actual working point (cf. Ref. [25] for details). Here, $m$ is the effective mass of the mechanical oscillator, and $N$ is the number of $^{23}$Rb atoms in the BEC.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Parameters</th>
<th>cavity-OM</th>
<th>cavity-BEC</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>$\omega_\pi/2\pi$</td>
<td>435.849</td>
<td>1250</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$\kappa_a/2\pi$</td>
<td>1.3</td>
<td>8.3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$\kappa_b/2\pi$</td>
<td>264.1</td>
<td>$[25]$</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$\gamma_a/2\pi$</td>
<td>292</td>
<td>$38 \times 10^{-5}$</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$T_b$</td>
<td>$m = 176$ng</td>
<td>$N = 10^7$</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

FIG. 2. Experimental density noise spectra. Panel (a): Density noise spectrum (DNS) of the phase quadrature of the output cavity field, attenuated before detection, for the cavity-OM setup. The jagged blue curve refers to a value of the rescaled coupling $g_{ab}/\kappa_a = 0.49$, while the jagged light-blue curve to $g_{ab}/\kappa_a = 2.29$. The fits of the DNS are shown as smooth lines. Notice that the power spectrum is originally dimensionless, and has been here converted to SI units for uniformity of notation. Panel (b): DNS of the extra-cavity field for the cavity-BEC system at a coupling $(g_{ab}/g_c)^2 = 0.93$. A fit of the DNS is shown as a smooth line.
cillators, respectively. In a frame rotating at the frequency \( \omega_p \) of the respective pump fields, the oscillators have frequencies \( \omega_a = \omega_p - \omega_p \) and \( \omega_b \) (here \( \omega_p \) is the frequency of the cavity field). Their interaction is described by the Hamiltonian

\[
\hat{H} = \frac{\hbar}{2}(\hat{\delta}_a^2 + \hat{\delta}_b^2) + \frac{\hbar}{2}(\delta_a^2 + \delta_b^2) + h_{ab}\delta_a\delta_b,
\]

where \( h_{ab} \) is the coupling strength between the modes. In the superradiant phase of the Dicke model, an additional squeezing term of the atomic mode must be included in the Hamiltonian [16]. For the derivation of the models and the values of the parameters in the two setups, we refer to Refs. [25] and to Table I. The systems are inherently open: each harmonic oscillator is independently coupled to a local bath. This provides both a dissipation channel and extra quantum fluctuations in addition to those present in the closed systems. The optical cavity mode is coupled to the surrounding electromagnetic vacuum with a decay rate \( \kappa_c \). On the other hand, the nature of the mechanical/atomic bath is specific to the setup being considered. In the cavity-OM system, the coupling of the vibrating mirror to the background of phonon modes is described in terms of quantum Brownian motion. In the cavity-BEC system, dissipation is due to the collection of excited Bogoliubov modes, which provides a bath for the condensate. In both cases, we assume oscillator \( b \) to be in contact with a Markovian bath at temperature \( T_b \) and rate \( \gamma_b \). The average number of excitations in the equilibrium state of oscillator \( b \) is thus \( n_{Pb} = (e^{\hbar\omega_b/2kT_b} - 1)^{-1} \) (cf. Ref [34]). The driven-dissipative nature of the systems is such that a NESS is eventually reached [14, 26].

The linear dynamics undergone by the coupled oscillators allows us to exploit a framework developed for linear stochastic processes [11–13]. In particular, the situation that we face is perfectly suited to the use of the framework for the quantification of entropy production proposed in Ref. [12], where the entropy \( S \) of an arbitrary bosonic quantum system prepared in a Gaussian state is written in terms of the Shannon entropy of the Wigner function

\[
S(t) = -\int \mathcal{W}(u, t) \log \mathcal{W}(u, t) du,
\]

where \( \mathcal{W}(u, t) \) is the Wigner function at time \( t \) corresponding to the state of the two oscillators, and \( u \) is the corresponding vector of complex phase-space variables. The quadratic nature of Eq. (2) and the initial thermal state of the oscillators in both setups ensures the positivity of \( \mathcal{W}(u, t) \) and allows us to write it in terms of the variances of the fluctuation operators of the oscillators, which enormously simplifies the explicit calculation of \( \Pi(t) \). In the NESS, all entropy produced in the system flows to the environments so that \( \Pi = \Phi \). Following the lines sketched in Ref. [25], the entropy production rate in the NESS due to the quantum fluctuations takes the form

\[
\Pi_s = \Phi_s = 2\gamma_b \left( \frac{n_b + 1/2}{n_{Ts} + 1/2} - 1 \right) + 4\kappa_a n_a = \mu_b + \mu_s,
\]

where \( n_s = \langle (\delta a^2 + \delta P_a^2 - 1)_s \rangle / 2 \) and \( n_b = \langle (\delta P_b^2 - 1)_s \rangle / 2 \) are the average number of excitations in the NESS of the two oscillators in excess of the zero-point motion of the respective harmonic oscillator. In the cavity-OM expression for \( \mu_b \), instead of the full phonon number \( n_b \), only the momentum variance \( \langle \delta P_b^2 \rangle \) enters, as we assume Brownian motion damping.

Eq. (4) represents our main theoretical result: it quantifies the entropic contribution, ascribable to the quantum fluctuations that the system has to pay to remain in its NESS. It thus directly quantifies the irreversibility of the driven-dissipative dynamics of two linearly coupled quantum oscillators, well beyond the linear-response limit. For vanishing coupling the systems reach thermal equilibrium (i.e. \( n_a = 0 \) and \( n_b = n_{Ts} \)), and \( \Pi_s \) vanishes. Moreover, there is no dependence on the correlations between the oscillators, since in a NESS the entropy production rate \( \Pi_s \) equals the flux rate \( \Phi_s \). Thus, the entropy flux from the system to the overall environment determines the amount of irreversibility produced within the driven-dissipative model, and is directly linked to the breaking down of the microscopic detailed balance [10]. The previous considerations also allow us to identify two contributions to \( \Pi_s \), linked to the mechanical/atomic and optical oscillator, referred to as \( \mu_a \) and \( \mu_b \), respectively. They are the individual entropy flows to each environment and show how the entropy produced in the NESS is split into two distinct fluxes. We note that the explicit form of Eq. (4) in terms of the sum of such independent terms strongly relies on the local nature of the environments that we have considered, and we expect it not to hold in more general situations. The dissipative evolution arising from the contact with the environments is manifested explicitly in Eq. (4) by the presence of the rates \( \gamma_b \) and \( \kappa_a \). In both settings, the mechanical/atomic damping rate \( \gamma_b \) is much smaller than the cavity decay rate \( \kappa_c \), as can be appreciated from Table I.

A general formulation of entropy production demands the knowledge of the global state of the system [27–31]. However, \( \Pi_s \), evaluated for the linearised dynamics in Eq. (2) only involves the mean excitations of the oscillators [11, 13]. For the experimental regime of interest, the dynamics of the cavity field adiabatically follows the mechanical/atomic mode. By measuring the light field leaking out of the cavity we thus can infer about both \( \mu_a \) and \( \mu_b \). For both experimental setups, the coupling \( g_{ab} \) is varied by increasing the power of the pump. The density noise spectrum (DNS) of the cavity field quadratures is recorded [24, 32]. Typical examples of the experimental DNS, together with the fitting curves used for their analysis, are shown in Fig. 2. In the cavity-OM experiment, the datasets are taken for \( \omega_a = \omega_p \), which is the working point where the cooling of the mechanical resonator is most effective in the resolved-sideband regime. In the cavity-BEC experiment, on the other hand, the parameters are \( \omega_a \gg \omega_p \), resulting in only a tiny admixture of the optical subsystem. A further difference between the two platforms is in the way the two oscillators are populated: in the optomechanical case, we have \( n_b \gg n_a \) for the lowest coupling values, while they become comparable in size for the maximum cooling achieved.
In the cavity-BEC setup, the cavity field is considerably less populated than the atomic mode. Finally, the mechanical bath is at room temperature, while the temperature of the atomic reservoir is below the condensation point and in the nK range (cf. Table I). This highlights and reinforces the diversity of the experimental platforms that we have addressed within a unique framework for the quantification of irreversible entropy.

Following the technical approach illustrated in Refs. [11–13] and sketched in [25], we have separately reconstructed the two terms $\mu_a$ and $\mu_b$ that determine quantitatively $\Pi$. Fig. 3 displays the experimental data together with the theoretical model, demonstrating a very good quantitative agreement. Besides the influences of the environments, the entropy production rates depend on the interplay between the mutual dynamics of the oscillators. For the cavity-OM system, the contribution to $\Pi$ we observe from the mechanical oscillator is much smaller than the one coming from the optical field. On the contrary, $\mu_a = \mu_b$ in the atomic setup. For each of the two experiments $\Pi$, we report from the fit and the determination of the parameter. In panel (b), the vertical and horizontal error bars report statistical errors extracted from the fit, while the horizontal ones show experimental error on the values of the parameter. In panel (b), the vertical and horizontal error bars report the statistical errors from the fit and the determination of the critical point, respectively [24].

In Fig. 3, experimental assessment of the irreversible entropy production rate $\Pi$, at the NESS for (a) the cavity-OM system and (b) the cavity BEC system. In the cavity-OM system, $g_{ab}$ is twice the standard optomechanical coupling rate [14, 25]. For the cavity-BEC setup, the control parameter $g_{ab}$ is renormalised with respect to the critical parameter $g_{ab}^c = \sqrt{(\kappa_e^2 + \omega_e^2)\kappa_b/4\omega_e}$. The insets show the behaviour of $\mu_b$ in each of the settings considered. In both panels, the solid black lines show the theoretical predictions based on the values given in Table I. The blue and red dots show the experimental data for the cavity-OM and cavity-BEC experiment, respectively. In panel (a), the vertical error bars report statistical errors extracted from the fit, while the horizontal ones show experimental error on the values of the parameter. In panel (b), the vertical and horizontal error bars report the statistical errors from the fit and the determination of the critical point, respectively [24].

We have experimentally determined the entropy production rate, a key indicator of irreversibility, in driven-dissipative quantum systems operating at the steady-state. The two experimental setups, being instances of mesoscopic systems undergoing quantum dynamics, allowed us to link the phenomenology of the entropy production rate to the salient features of their physics. We have thus assessed architectures that could embody the building blocks of a generation of future thermodynamic machines working out of equilibrium, and thus subjected to irreversible processes. For such devices, the quantification of irreversibility will be very relevant for the characterisation of their efficiency, as it will provide useful information to design protocols able to quench it, thus optimising their working principles.
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[25] The observed atomic damping, for the cavity-BEC setup, takes place on time scales longer than the time scale of the appearance of polariton modes. Thus the Bose distribution function, for the thermal number of excitation, is evaluated at the softening frequency of the atomic polariton mode (see SI).
[35] The observed atomic damping, for the cavity-BEC setup, takes place on time scales longer than the time scale of the appearance of polariton modes. Thus the Bose distribution function, for the thermal number of excitation, is evaluated at the softening frequency of the atomic polariton mode (see SI).