



**QUEEN'S  
UNIVERSITY  
BELFAST**

## **Mycotoxins in poultry feed and feed ingredients in Nigeria**

Akinmusire, O. O., Musa, J. A., Oyedele, O. A., Sulyok, M., Somorin, Y. M., & Ezekiel, C. N. (2018). Mycotoxins in poultry feed and feed ingredients in Nigeria. *Mycotoxin Research*. Advance online publication. <https://doi.org/10.1007/s12550-018-0337-y>

**Published in:**  
Mycotoxin Research

**Document Version:**  
Publisher's PDF, also known as Version of record

**Queen's University Belfast - Research Portal:**  
[Link to publication record in Queen's University Belfast Research Portal](#)

### **Publisher rights**

© 2018 The Authors.

This article is distributed under the terms of the Creative Commons Attribution 4.0 International License (<http://creativecommons.org/licenses/by/4.0/>), which permits unrestricted use, distribution, and reproduction in any medium, provided you give appropriate credit to the original author(s) and the source, provide a link to the Creative Commons license, and indicate if changes were made

### **General rights**

Copyright for the publications made accessible via the Queen's University Belfast Research Portal is retained by the author(s) and / or other copyright owners and it is a condition of accessing these publications that users recognise and abide by the legal requirements associated with these rights.

### **Take down policy**


The Research Portal is Queen's institutional repository that provides access to Queen's research output. Every effort has been made to ensure that content in the Research Portal does not infringe any person's rights, or applicable UK laws. If you discover content in the Research Portal that you believe breaches copyright or violates any law, please contact [openaccess@qub.ac.uk](mailto:openaccess@qub.ac.uk).

### **Open Access**

This research has been made openly available by Queen's academics and its Open Research team. We would love to hear how access to this research benefits you. – Share your feedback with us: <http://go.qub.ac.uk/oa-feedback>



# Mycotoxins in poultry feed and feed ingredients in Nigeria

Oyekemi O. Akinmusire<sup>1</sup> · Abdul-Dahiru El-Yuguda<sup>2</sup> · Jasini A. Musa<sup>2</sup> · Oluwawapelumi A. Oyedele<sup>3</sup> · Michael Sulyok<sup>4</sup> · Yinka M. Somorin<sup>5</sup> · Chibundu N. Ezekiel<sup>3,4</sup>  · Rudolf Krska<sup>4,6</sup>

Received: 22 April 2018 / Revised: 5 November 2018 / Accepted: 13 November 2018  
© The Author(s) 2018

## Abstract

Mycotoxins are toxic secondary fungal metabolites that can negatively affect animal productivity when ingested through feed. In order to assess mycotoxin contamination of poultry feed and feed ingredients vis-a-vis source tracking of feed contamination in Nigeria, 102 samples of feed ( $n = 30$ ) and feed ingredients ( $n = 72$ ) were collected from in-house mills of poultry farms across 12 states of Nigeria and analyzed for multiple mycotoxins using LC/MS-MS. One hundred and forty microbial metabolites were detected in the feed and feed ingredients. The most frequent mycotoxin in the feed was fumonisin B<sub>1</sub>, occurring in 97% of the samples at mean concentration of 1014  $\mu\text{g kg}^{-1}$ . AFB<sub>1</sub> occurred in 83% of the feed samples at mean concentration of 74  $\mu\text{g kg}^{-1}$  and in all feed ingredients except fish meal and other cereals (millet and rice). Feed samples analyzed in this study were contaminated with at least four mycotoxins: aflatoxins and fumonisin co-occurring in 80% of the samples. Peanut cake and maize contributed the most to the levels of aflatoxin and fumonisin, respectively, in the feed. Consequently, there is a need to explore other cereal- and protein-based ingredients for compounding feeds in order to reduce the risk associated with high mycotoxin (e.g. aflatoxin) intake in poultry.

**Keywords** Aflatoxin · Fumonisin · Peanut · Maize · Mycotoxins · Poultry

**Electronic supplementary material** The online version of this article (<https://doi.org/10.1007/s12550-018-0337-y>) contains supplementary material, which is available to authorized users.

✉ Chibundu N. Ezekiel  
chaugez@gmail.com

- <sup>1</sup> Department of Microbiology, University of Maiduguri, Maiduguri, Borno, Nigeria
- <sup>2</sup> Department of Veterinary Microbiology, University of Maiduguri, Maiduguri, Borno, Nigeria
- <sup>3</sup> Department of Microbiology, Babcock University, Ilishan Remo, Ogun, Nigeria
- <sup>4</sup> Center for Analytical Chemistry, Department of Agrobiotechnology (IFA-Tulln), University of Natural Resources and Life Sciences Vienna (BOKU), Konrad-Lorenz-Str. 20, 3430 Tulln, Austria
- <sup>5</sup> Microbiology, School of Natural Sciences, National University of Ireland, Galway, Ireland
- <sup>6</sup> Institute for Global Food Security, School of Biological Sciences, Queen's University Belfast, University Road, Belfast BT7 1NN, UK

## Introduction

The poultry industry in Nigeria is an essential subsector of agriculture that provides food, employment, and other economic resources for the country (Ezekiel et al. 2012a). Livestock production can be threatened when feeds are contaminated by fungi and their toxic metabolites. Several mycotoxins, including aflatoxins (AFs), cyclopiazonic acid (CPA), fumonisins (FUMs), nivalenol (NIV) and zearalenone (ZEN) have been reported to contaminate poultry feed and their ingredients (Labuda et al. 2005; De Boevre et al. 2012; Ezekiel et al. 2012a; Njobeh et al. 2012; Rodrigues and Naehrer 2012; Abia et al. 2013a; Kana et al. 2013; Streit et al. 2013a, 2013b). The occurrence of mycotoxins in feed ingredients depends on several factors that include climatic conditions, diversity of fungi contaminating the crops, harvesting methods of the individual crops, storage practices, and seasonal variations, while the types and levels of mycotoxins in the feed largely depend on the mycotoxins in the individual feed ingredients, the mix/proportion of feed ingredients, feed processing techniques, and storage practices (Warth et al. 2012; Ezekiel et al. 2014).

Mycotoxins pose a huge threat to the safety and security of livestock first and then to human beings that consume them due to their different toxic effects and their probable synergistic properties (Shephard 2008; Hossain et al. 2011; Njobeh et al. 2012). When animals ingest feed contaminated with high mycotoxin concentrations, mycotoxicoses, often marked by reduced animal productivity (reduced body weight gain, reduced litter sizes, deformed offspring, reduced egg production) and immune suppression (Shareef 2010; Hossain et al. 2011; Streit et al. 2013a), could result to severe economic losses.

Poultry feed ingredients are derived from a variety of raw materials that originate from plants and animals. It is usually a mixture of cereals (mostly maize) that serves as energy source, animal protein sources (fish meal, meat, and bone meal), and plant protein sources (soybean meal and peanut). Maize, the predominant grain used in poultry feeds, can be contaminated by mycotoxins from *Aspergillus*, *Fusarium* and *Penicillium* during processing and storage (Zinedine and Manes 2009; Adetunji et al. 2014). Peanut and its processed products, peanut cake, have been found to be highly susceptible to aflatoxin contamination (Ezekiel et al. 2012b, 2013; Kayode et al. 2013; Oyedele et al. 2017; Ginting et al. 2018).

Globally, mycotoxins in finished poultry feed have been reported (Labuda et al. 2005; De Boevre et al. 2012; Ezekiel et al. 2012a; Njobeh et al. 2012; Rodrigues and Naehrer 2012; Abia et al. 2013a; Kana et al. 2013; Streit et al. 2013b), but there is sparse information on the source tracking of mycotoxin contamination of the feed by individual ingredients, especially in Nigeria. Hence, this study aimed at investigating mycotoxins in poultry feed and the ingredients used in locally formulating the feed in Nigeria with a view to associate contamination of major ingredients to overall contamination in finished feed. This mini-survey provides snapshot data for the consideration of other cereal-based ingredients and protein sources that are less prone to AFs and FUMs contamination in feed formulation.

## Materials and methods

### Sampling plan and collection of feed samples

Poultry feed and feed ingredients were collected from feed mills in 12 states of Nigeria. The states were primarily selected based on accessibility for sampling and they include Adamawa, Benue, Borno, Delta, Kaduna, Katsina, Kebbi, Lagos, Niger, Oyo, Rivers, and Taraba states. Only in-house feed mills (i.e., feed mills owned by and situated at poultry farms) that had at least 40 bags each of feed and feed ingredients at the time of sampling were considered in the study. The poultry farms were thus the largest farms with in-house mills in the study states. Consequently, only one feed mill was

selected per state and at least two feed samples were collected per feed mill. The poultry feed samples included growers' mash, finisher feed, and layers' mash, and the collection depended on poultry farm specialization. For every two feed samples collected, one set of ingredients (comprising of individual ingredients depending on use per mill) was obtained. Both feed ( $n = 30$ ) and feed ingredients ( $n = 72$ ) were sampled from bulk (50 kg) bags, and the feed ingredients collected were those used in the formulation of the feeds that were sampled. Feed ingredients were from local produce, and they include maize ( $n = 17$ ), peanut cake ( $n = 11$ ), wheat offal ( $n = 10$ ), other cereals ( $n = 6$ ), soybean ( $n = 11$ ), bone ( $n = 9$ ), fish meal ( $n = 5$ ), and palm kernel ( $n = 3$ ). Samples ( $n = 102$ ) were collected for this study during June 2013.

Each sample (4 kg) consisted of four 1 kg representative subsamples: each pooled from a bulk bag of feed/ingredient that was randomly selected out of at least ten feed/ingredient bags. The four randomly selected bags for sampling of feed/ingredient were from the same batch of feed/ingredient in order to reduce variability and ensure the batch was well represented. Each 1 kg subsample consisted of three portions of respective feed/ingredient that weighed 300–350 g. The subsamples were collected by manually probing three points (top, middle, and bottom) of the 50 kg feed/ingredient bags. The samples were thoroughly mixed and quartered successively to give representative samples applied to multi-microbial metabolite analysis.

### Quantification of microbial metabolites in feed and feed ingredients

#### Chemicals

Methanol (LC gradient grade) and glacial acetic acid (p.a.) were purchased from Merck (Darmstadt, Germany), acetonitrile (LC gradient grade) from VWR (Leuven, Belgium), and ammonium acetate (MS grade) from Sigma-Aldrich (Vienna, Austria). Standards for fungal and bacterial metabolites were obtained from various research groups and/or commercial sources. Water was purified successively by reverse osmosis with an Elga Purelab ultra analytic system from Veolia Water (Bucks, UK).

#### Extraction and estimation of matrix effects

Five grams of each representative sample were weighed into a 50-ml polypropylene tube (Sarstedt, Nümbrecht, Germany) and 20 ml of the extraction solvent (acetonitrile/water/acetic acid 79:20:1, v/v/v) added. For spiking experiments, 0.25 g samples were used for extraction. Samples were extracted for 90 min on a GFL 3017 rotary shaker (GFL, Burgwedel, Germany) and diluted with the same volume of dilution solvent (acetonitrile/water/acetic acid 20:79:1, v/v/v), and the

diluted extracts were injected into the LC instrument (Sulyok et al. 2006). Centrifugation was not necessary due to sufficient sedimentation by gravity. Apparent recoveries of the analytes were cross-checked by spiking three different samples that were not contaminated with mycotoxins with a multi-analyte standard on one concentration level, since previously generated data are available (Ezekiel et al. 2012a; Warth et al. 2012; Abia et al. 2013b).

### LC-MS/MS parameters

LC-MS/MS screening of target microbial metabolites was performed with a QTrap 5500 LC-MS/MS System (Applied Biosystems, Foster City, CA, USA) equipped with TurboIonSpray electrospray ionization (ESI) source and a 1290 Series HPLC System (Agilent, Waldbronn, Germany). Chromatographic separation was performed at 25 °C on a Gemini® C18-column, 150 × 4.6 mm ID, 5 µm particle size, equipped with a C18 4 × 3 mm ID security guard cartridge (Phenomenex, Torrance, CA, USA). The chromatographic method, chromatographic, and mass spectrometric parameters are as described by Malachová et al. (2014). ESI-MS/MS was performed in the time-scheduled multiple reaction monitoring (MRM) mode both in positive and negative polarities in two separate chromatographic runs per sample by scanning two fragmentation reactions per analyte. The MRM detection window of each analyte was set to its expected retention time ± 27 and ± 48 s in the positive and the negative modes, respectively. Confirmation of positive analyte identification was obtained by the acquisition of two MRMs per analyte (with the exception of moniliformin (MON), which exhibited only one fragment ion). This yielded 4.0 identification points according to European Commission decision 2002/657 (EU 2002). In addition, the LC retention time and the intensity ratio of the two MRM transitions agreed with the related values of an authentic standard within 0.1 min and 30%, respectively. The accuracy of the method is monitored on a routine basis by regular participation in proficiency testing organized by BIPEA (Gennevilliers, France). Eight hundred and twenty-four out of 875 results submitted overall, and 121 out of 129 results submitted for animal feed were in the satisfactory range of  $-2 < z < 2$  (results until March 2018 included).

## Results and discussion

### Overview of multiple microbial metabolite occurrences in feed and ingredients

The performance of the LC-MS/MS method is described in Table S1. A total of 140 microbial metabolites were detected in the feed (121 metabolites; Tables 1 and S2) and feed ingredients (132 metabolites; Tables 2, 3, S3, and S4). Major

**Table 1** Occurrence levels of 23 major mycotoxins in 30 poultry feed samples from Nigeria

Metabolites	Percent <sup>a</sup>	Concentration (µg/kg)		
		Min	Max	Mean
Aflatoxin B <sub>1</sub>	83.3	0.5	760	74
Aflatoxin B <sub>2</sub>	50.0	1.7	188	21
Aflatoxin G <sub>1</sub>	56.7	1.6	79	19
Aflatoxin G <sub>2</sub>	13.3	0.5	7.6	3.5
Aflatoxin M <sub>1</sub>	23.3	1.7	41	9.9
Alternariol (AOH)	40.0	0.2	8.6	2.7
AOHmethylether	46.7	0.2	5.6	1.4
Beauvericin	100	0.5	127	13
Citrinin	16.7	38	2340	522
Cyclopiazonic acid	10.0	23	49	39
Deoxynivalenol	20.0	36	174	108
Fumonisin B <sub>1</sub> (FB <sub>1</sub> )	96.7	37	3760	1014
Fumonisin B <sub>2</sub>	93.3	9.2	870	310
Fumonisin B <sub>3</sub>	90.0	9.0	149	62
Fumonisin B <sub>4</sub>	96.7	3.3	168	623
Hydrolyzed FB <sub>1</sub>	56.7	5820	86,800	28,958
Moniliformin	93.3	5.1	900	62
Nivalenol	23.3	13	647	114
Ochratoxin A	26.7	0.8	15	5.4
Ochratoxin B	20.0	1.2	24	9.3
Tenuazonic acid	70.0	5.2	315	44
Zearalenone (ZEN)	83.3	0.5	71	9.3
ZEN-sulfate	13.3	3.2	162	56

<sup>a</sup> Incidence of contamination expressed in percentage

mycotoxins such as AFs, DON, FUMs, NIV, ochratoxin A (OTA), T-2 and HT-2, ZEN and their metabolites were found to contaminate the compounded feed and feed ingredients at different incidences and concentrations. The spectrum of metabolites including mycotoxins reported herein are quite similar to the metabolite diversity in Streit et al. (2013b) but more than those previously reported in feed and feed ingredients (De Boevre et al. 2012; Ezekiel et al. 2012a; Njobeh et al. 2012; Rodrigues and Naehrer 2012; Abia et al. 2013a; Streit et al. 2013b).

### Occurrence of major mycotoxins in feed and feed ingredients

Twenty-three mycotoxins were found in the compounded feed samples (Table 1) and their ingredients (Tables 2 and 3). The most frequently detected mycotoxin in the feed was fumonisin B<sub>1</sub> (FB<sub>1</sub>; incidence, 97%; range, 37–3760 µg kg<sup>-1</sup>; mean, 1014 µg kg<sup>-1</sup>). FUMs were also quantified in all feed ingredients except bone and fish meal (Tables 2 and 3). Fumonisin B<sub>1</sub> occurred in all (100%) the maize samples (range, 164–

**Table 2** Distribution of 23 major mycotoxins in 44 cereal and nut ingredients for poultry feed in Nigeria

Metabolites	Maize ( $n^a = 17$ ; $n^b = 84$ )			Peanut cake ( $n^a = 11$ ; $n^b = 68$ )			Wheat offal ( $n^a = 10$ ; $n^b = 106$ )			Other cereals ( $n^a = 6$ ; $n^b = 39$ )						
	Percent <sup>c</sup>	Concentration ( $\mu\text{g}/\text{kg}$ )		Percent <sup>c</sup>	Concentration ( $\mu\text{g}/\text{kg}$ )		Percent	Concentration ( $\mu\text{g}/\text{kg}$ )		Percent <sup>c</sup>	Concentration ( $\mu\text{g}/\text{kg}$ )					
		Min	Max		Mean	Min		Max	Mean		Min	Max	Mean	Min	Max	Mean
Aflatoxin B <sub>1</sub>	47.1	6.1	567	176	90.9	61	3860	639	30.0	1.3	80	53	n.d.	n.d.	n.d.	n.d.
Aflatoxin B <sub>2</sub>	23.5	3.3	61	35	90.9	6.6	895	126	10.0	5.9	5.9	0.0	n.d.	n.d.	n.d.	n.d.
Aflatoxin G <sub>1</sub>	41.2	2.0	725	110	90.9	17	568	157	20.0	13	14	14	n.d.	n.d.	n.d.	n.d.
Aflatoxin G <sub>2</sub>	5.9	60	60	0.0	54.5	2.5	68	27	n.d.	n.d.	n.d.	n.d.	n.d.	n.d.	n.d.	n.d.
Aflatoxin M <sub>1</sub>	17.6	25	70	45	72.7	14	254	49	20.0	5.1	5.3	5.2	16.7	1.6	1.6	0.0
Alternariol (AOH)	11.8	0.4	0.4	0.4	n.d.	n.d.	n.d.	n.d.	70.0	2.7	23	12	n.d.	n.d.	n.d.	n.d.
AOHmethylether	23.5	0.3	1.0	0.5	9.1	0.1	0.1	0.0	90.0	0.4	8.9	4.2	n.d.	n.d.	n.d.	n.d.
Beauvericin	100	0.1	33	7.7	100	0.8	9.7	2.3	90.0	2.3	37	13	83.3	1.4	8.7	5.6
Citrinin	17.6	789	9400	4229	9.1	150	150	0.0	n.d.	n.d.	n.d.	n.d.	n.d.	n.d.	n.d.	n.d.
Cyclopiazonic acid	5.9	98	98	0.0	27.3	34	204	93	n.d.	n.d.	n.d.	n.d.	n.d.	n.d.	n.d.	n.d.
Deoxynivalenol	n.d.	n.d.	n.d.	n.d.	n.d.	n.d.	n.d.	n.d.	50.0	348	837	578	16.7	22	22	0.0
Fumonisin B <sub>1</sub> (FB <sub>1</sub> )	100	164	2090	825	27.3	4.7	910	308	50.0	2.8	67	37	16.7	0.9	0.9	0.0
Fumonisin B <sub>2</sub>	100	46	710	262	18.2	0.9	340	171	50.0	1.3	15	7.9	16.7	1.5	1.5	0.0
Fumonisin B <sub>3</sub>	100	10	186	69	9.1	62	62	0.0	10.0	6.9	6.9	0.0	n.d.	n.d.	n.d.	n.d.
Fumonisin B <sub>4</sub>	100	16	253	98	9.1	55	55	0.0	40.0	3.5	6.0	5.0	n.d.	n.d.	n.d.	n.d.
Hydrolyzed FB <sub>1</sub>	76.5	3500	80,500	24,089	n.d.	n.d.	n.d.	n.d.	10.0	3150	3150	0.0	n.d.	n.d.	n.d.	n.d.
Moniliformin	88.2	12	246	74	81.8	0.3	16	6.0	100	4.8	60	17	66.7	4.5	307	102
Nivalenol	23.5	9.7	17	14	9.1	64	64	0.0	10.0	4.7	4.7	0.0	n.d.	n.d.	n.d.	n.d.
Ochratoxin A	11.8	1.3	3.1	2.2	54.5	0.1	127	35	20.0	0.6	1.0	0.8	33.3	0.5	3.6	2.0
Ochratoxin B	5.9	3.7	3.7	0.0	18.2	158	302	230	n.d.	n.d.	n.d.	n.d.	n.d.	n.d.	n.d.	n.d.
Tenuazonic acid	5.9	7.8	7.8	0.0	n.d.	n.d.	n.d.	n.d.	90.0	60	679	190	66.7	34	80	53
Zearalenone (ZEN)	64.7	0.1	4.8	1.2	18.2	0.7	1.1	0.9	90.0	0.4	67	19	n.d.	n.d.	n.d.	n.d.
ZEN-sulfate	n.d.	n.d.	n.d.	n.d.	n.d.	n.d.	n.d.	n.d.	20.0	31.8	33	33	n.d.	n.d.	n.d.	n.d.

n.d. not detected

<sup>a</sup> Number of samples analyzed

<sup>b</sup> Number of metabolites detected

<sup>c</sup> Incidence of contamination expressed in percentage

2090  $\mu\text{g kg}^{-1}$ ; mean, 825  $\mu\text{g kg}^{-1}$ ), and surprisingly was detected in 27% of 11 peanut cake samples due to co-storage of bags of maize grains and processed peanut cake in non-ventilated warehouses leading to deposited maize grain dust on the peanut cakes. The reported incidence and concentration of FUMs in feed samples in the present study are higher than previous reports of relatively high FUM levels in feed from poultry farms in Cameroon (100%; range, 16–1930  $\mu\text{g kg}^{-1}$ ; mean, 468  $\mu\text{g kg}^{-1}$ ; Abia et al. 2013a), commercially produced feed from Nigeria (83%; range, 31–2733  $\mu\text{g kg}^{-1}$ ; mean, 964  $\mu\text{g kg}^{-1}$ ; Ezekiel et al. 2012a), and commercially compounded feed from South Africa (87%; range, 104–2999  $\mu\text{g kg}^{-1}$ ; mean, 903  $\mu\text{g kg}^{-1}$ ; Njobeh et al. 2012). The high-FUM contamination level of feed in the present study may reflect the higher FUM contamination of maize

samples used to formulate the feed samples in our study compared to the commercially processed feed analyzed by the other studies. This is also suggested by the significant correlation ( $r^2 = 0.405$ ,  $p = 0.03$ ) obtained for total FUM concentrations in maize and in the compounded feed.

Aflatoxin B<sub>1</sub> was detected in 83% of the analyzed feed samples (range, 0.5–760  $\mu\text{g kg}^{-1}$ ; mean, 74  $\mu\text{g kg}^{-1}$ ) (Table 1) and in all feed ingredients except fish meal and other cereals (millet and rice; Tables 2 and 3). The AFB<sub>1</sub> content in feed ingredients reached 397  $\mu\text{g kg}^{-1}$  and 3860  $\mu\text{g kg}^{-1}$  in palm kernel and peanut cake, respectively, with the highest mean level (639  $\mu\text{g kg}^{-1}$ ) recorded in peanut cake and the lowest found in bone (19  $\mu\text{g kg}^{-1}$ ). Other aflatoxin types, B<sub>2</sub>, G<sub>1</sub>, G<sub>2</sub>, and M<sub>1</sub>, were also found in the feed and ingredient samples albeit at lower incidences (and levels). The vast



**Table 3** Occurrence levels of 23 major mycotoxins in 28 other ingredients for poultry feed in Nigeria

Metabolites	Bone ( $n^a = 9$ ; $n^b = 59$ )			Fish meal ( $n^a = 5$ ; $n^b = 25$ )			Palm kernel ( $n^a = 3$ ; $n^b = 68$ )			Soybean ( $n^a = 11$ ; $n^b = 52$ )						
	Percent <sup>c</sup>	Concentration ( $\mu\text{g}/\text{kg}$ )		Percent <sup>c</sup>	Concentration ( $\mu\text{g}/\text{kg}$ )		Percent <sup>c</sup>	Concentration ( $\mu\text{g}/\text{kg}$ )		Percent <sup>c</sup>	Concentration ( $\mu\text{g}/\text{kg}$ )					
		Min	Max	Mean		Min	Max	Mean		Min	Max	Mean		Min	Max	Mean
Aflatoxin B <sub>1</sub>	33.3	1.9	52	19	n.d	n.d	n.d	n.d	100	32	397	162	45.5	0.7	91	38
Aflatoxin B <sub>2</sub>	11.1	9.0	9.0	0.0	n.d	n.d	n.d	n.d	100	6.9	57	25	18.2	5.9	8.0	6.9
Aflatoxin G <sub>1</sub>	11.1	6.3	6.3	0.0	n.d	n.d	n.d	n.d	100	6.5	198	71	45.5	0.3	20	4.9
Aflatoxin G <sub>2</sub>	n.d	n.d	n.d	n.d	n.d	n.d	n.d	n.d	33.3	12	12	0.0	n.d	n.d	n.d	n.d
Aflatoxin M <sub>1</sub>	n.d	n.d	n.d	n.d	n.d	n.d	n.d	n.d	33.3	21	21	0.0	9.1	3.5	3.5	0.0
Alternariol (AOH)	n.d	n.d	n.d	n.d	n.d	n.d	n.d	n.d	100	4.0	12	8.1	9.1	1.3	1.3	0.0
AOHmethylether	11.1	0.6	0.6	0.0	n.d	n.d	n.d	n.d	100	7.1	8.8	8.1	18.2	0.2	0.6	0.4
Beauvericin	11.1	4.2	4.2	0.0	100	0.1	0.5	0.3	100	0.2	9.9	3.5	90.9	0.5	4.8	1.5
Cyclopiazonic acid	n.d	n.d	n.d	n.d	n.d	n.d	n.d	n.d	33.3	44	44	0.0	n.d	n.d	n.d	n.d
Fumonisin B <sub>1</sub> (FB <sub>1</sub> )	n.d	n.d	n.d	n.d	n.d	n.d	n.d	n.d	66.7	58	122	90	18.2	44	46	45
Fumonisin B <sub>2</sub>	n.d	n.d	n.d	n.d	n.d	n.d	n.d	n.d	66.7	29	33	31	18.2	12	17	15
Fumonisin B <sub>3</sub>	n.d	n.d	n.d	n.d	n.d	n.d	n.d	n.d	33.3	4.1	4.1	0.0	9.1	1.8	1.8	0.0
Fumonisin B <sub>4</sub>	11.1	0.9	0.9	0.0	n.d	n.d	n.d	n.d	66.7	5.2	8.4	6.8	9.1	5.6	5.6	0.0
Hydrolyzed FB <sub>1</sub>	n.d	n.d	n.d	n.d	n.d	n.d	n.d	n.d	33.3	5750	5750	0.0	n.d	n.d	n.d	n.d
Moniliformin	n.d	n.d	n.d	n.d	n.d	n.d	n.d	n.d	100	7.2	17	14	18.2	28	63	45
Ochratoxin A	11.1	0.1	0.1	0.0	n.d	n.d	n.d	n.d	33.3	1.0	1.0	0.0	9.1	3.7	3.7	0.0
Ochratoxin B	n.d	n.d	n.d	n.d	n.d	n.d	n.d	n.d	n.d	n.d	n.d	n.d	9.1	15	15	0.0
Tenuazonic acid	11.1	22	22	0.0	100	13	47	36	33.3	4.2	4.2	0.0	27.3	12	128	55
Zearalenone (ZEN)	11.1	6.5	6.5	0.0	n.d	n.d	n.d	n.d	66.7	0.3	0.6	0.4	54.5	0.3	1.0	0.6
ZEN-sulfate	11.1	1.2	1.2	0.0	n.d	n.d	n.d	n.d	n.d	n.d	n.d	n.d	n.d	n.d	n.d	n.d

n.d not detected

<sup>a</sup> Number of samples analyzed

<sup>b</sup> Number of metabolites detected

<sup>c</sup> Incidence of contamination expressed in percentage

contamination of the feed and feed ingredients with AFs agrees with several previous reports of high aflatoxin contamination of cereals, nuts, legumes, oilseeds, and their products in Nigeria (Ezekiel et al. 2012b, 2013; Adetunji et al. 2014; Egbontan et al. 2017; Oyedele et al. 2017) as well as with the aflatoxin contamination of feed samples from different countries (Cameroon, India, Nigeria, and South Africa), albeit at a relatively higher contamination level than samples from these previous studies (Oluwafemi et al. 2009; Njobeh et al. 2012; Abia et al. 2013a; Kehinde et al. 2014; Kotinagu et al. 2015). However, our previous paper on commercial poultry feed in Nigeria reported higher concentrations (max, 1067  $\mu\text{g kg}^{-1}$ ; mean, 198  $\mu\text{g kg}^{-1}$ ) (Ezekiel et al. 2012a) than the present study. The disparity in aflatoxin contamination data in the several studies including the present paper may be attributed to a combination of factors ranging from climatic factors, agricultural, and processing (handling and storage) practices for raw materials, to the formulation mix utilized during compounding of the feed. A significant correlation ( $r^2 =$

0.473,  $p = 0.03$ ) was recorded for AFB<sub>1</sub> levels in peanut cake and in the analyzed feed. This agrees with the report of Atawodi et al. (1994) that food and feed containing peanut are most contaminated with AFs: a possible reason for the high AF levels in feed samples in the present study.

Other major mycotoxins found in the analyzed feed samples include citrinin (CIT), deoxynivalenol (DON), NIV, OTA, and ZEN (Table 2). Citrinin was detected in 17% of the feed (max, 2340  $\mu\text{g kg}^{-1}$ ; mean, 522  $\mu\text{g kg}^{-1}$ ), while the trichothecenes, DON and NIV, contaminated at least 20% of the samples at concentrations reaching 174  $\mu\text{g kg}^{-1}$  (mean, 108  $\mu\text{g kg}^{-1}$ ) and 647  $\mu\text{g kg}^{-1}$  (mean, 114  $\mu\text{g kg}^{-1}$ ), respectively. The mean concentrations of OTA and ZEN in the feed were less than 10  $\mu\text{g kg}^{-1}$ . The mean levels for the aforementioned mycotoxins, except CIT, as observed in this study, are lower than those previously reported in commercial feed from Nigeria (Ezekiel et al. 2012a) and South Africa (Njobeh et al. 2012), and from feed collected on farms in Cameroon (Abia et al. 2013a). Similar to the report of Abia et al. (2013a), CIT

was found in feed samples, albeit at much higher concentrations. Furthermore, we document the uncommon presence of tenuazonic acid in feed and almost all the ingredients; a mycotoxin recently reported in members of the *Aspergillus* section *Flavi* (Frisvad et al. 2019), which are common contaminants of several stored food items including grains and fish products.

Overall, all feed samples in this study were contaminated with at least four mycotoxins, with AFs and FUM co-occurring in 80% of the samples. Mixtures of several mycotoxins such as those observed in this study have been suggested to induce a range of antagonistic, additive, or synergistic effects in various cells and organs of animals including poultry (Grenier and Oswald 2011); however, the toxicity effects of mycotoxin combinations are not always predicted based on individual toxin toxicities. In addition, despite the toxin contamination data shown in this mini-survey, categorical views on the possible adverse health impacts will be premature in view of the low numbers of feed and ingredient samples analyzed and underrepresentation of the country in this study.

This mini-survey has shown that mycotoxin contamination of locally formulated poultry feed in some parts of Nigeria may be high, with maize and peanut contributing significantly to the respective FUM and AF levels in the studied feed samples. The co-contamination of feed samples with diverse mycotoxins/metabolites of varying concentrations suggests possible health risk to the animals and reduced profitability for the farmers. The following options are therefore suggested for implementation in an integrated manner to control mycotoxins in the poultry sector: (1) adoption of good agricultural practices including the application of available biological control products to crops in order to lower the contamination levels, (2) provision of good storage conditions for grains intended for poultry feed formulation to limit fungal proliferation and further toxin accumulation, (3) monitoring of mycotoxins in locally compounded feed and feed ingredients, (4) exploration of alternative and easily accessible crops (e.g., bambara nut, millet, sorghum) that may be less prone to AF and FUM contamination, and (5) educational training programs on mycotoxin reduction strategies for farmers and millers involved with the poultry industry.

**Funding Information** Open access funding provided by University of Natural Resources and Life Sciences Vienna (BOKU).

## Compliance with ethical standard

**Conflict of interest** None.

**Open Access** This article is distributed under the terms of the Creative Commons Attribution 4.0 International License (<http://creativecommons.org/licenses/by/4.0/>), which permits unrestricted use, distribution, and reproduction in any medium, provided you give appropriate credit to the original author(s) and the source, provide a link to the Creative Commons license, and indicate if changes were made.

## References

- Abia WA, Simo GN, Warth B, Suyolk M, Krska R, Tchana A, Moundipa PF (2013a) Determination of multiple mycotoxins levels in poultry feeds from Cameroon. *Jpn J Vet Res* 61(Suppl):S33–S39
- Abia WA, Warth B, Sulyok M, Krska R, Tchana AN, Njobeh PB, Dutton MF, Moundipa PF (2013b) Determination of multi-mycotoxin occurrence in cereals, nuts and their products in Cameroon by liquid chromatography tandem mass spectrometry (LC-MS/MS). *Food Control* 21:438–453
- Adetunji MC, Atanda O, Ezekiel CN, Suyolk M, Warth B, Beltran E, Krska R, Obadina A, Bakare A, Chilaka CA (2014) Fungal and bacterial metabolites of stored maize from five agro-ecological zones of Nigeria. *Mycotoxin Res* 30:89–102
- Atawodi SE, Atiku AA, Lamorde AG (1994) Aflatoxin contamination of Nigerian foods and feedstuffs. *Food Chem Toxicol* 32:61–63
- De Boevre M, Di Mavungu JD, Landschoot S, Audenaert K, Eeckhout M, Maene P, Haesaert G, De Saeger S (2012) Natural occurrence of mycotoxins and their masked forms in food and feed products. *World Mycotoxin J* 5(3):207–219
- Egbontan AO, Afolabi CG, Kehinde IA, Enikuomehin OA, Ezekiel CN, Sulyok M, Warth B, Krska R (2017) A mini-survey of moulds and mycotoxins in locally grown and imported wheat grains in Nigeria. *Mycotoxin Res* 33(1):59–64
- European Commission (2002) Commission Decision (EC) No. 2002/657 of 12th August 2002. Implementing Council Directive EC No 96/23 concerning the performance of analytical methods and the interpretation of results. *Off J Eur Union* L221:8–36
- Ezekiel CN, Bandyopadhyay R, Sulyok M, Warth B, Krska R (2012a) Fungal and bacterial metabolites in commercial poultry feed from Nigeria. *Food Addit Contamin Part A* 29:1288–1299
- Ezekiel CN, Sulyok M, Warth B, Odebode AC, Krska R (2012b) Natural occurrence of mycotoxins in peanut cake from Nigeria. *Food Control* 27:338–342
- Ezekiel CN, Sulyok M, Babalola DA, Warth B, Ezekiel VC, Krska R (2013) Incidence and consumer awareness of toxigenic *Aspergillus* section *Flavi* and aflatoxin B<sub>1</sub> in peanut cake from Nigeria. *Food Control* 30:596–601
- Ezekiel CN, Atehnkeng J, Odebode AC, Bandyopadhyay R (2014) Distribution of aflatoxigenic *Aspergillus* section *Flavi* in commercial poultry feed in Nigeria. *Int J Food Microbiol* 189:18–25
- Frisvad JC, Hubka V, Ezekiel CN, Hong S-B, Novakova A, Chen AJ, Arzanlou M, TO L, Sklenar F, Mahakarnchanakul W, Samson RA, Houbraken J (2019) Taxonomy of *Aspergillus* section *Flavi* and their production of aflatoxins, ochratoxins and other mycotoxins. *Stud Mycol* 93:1–63
- Ginting E, Rahmianna AA, Yusawan E (2018) Aflatoxin and nutrient content of peanut collected from local market and their processed foods. *IOP Conf Ser: Earth Environ Sci* 102:012–031
- Grenier B, Oswald I (2011) Mycotoxin co-contamination of food and feed: meta-analysis of publications describing toxicological interactions. *World Mycotoxin J* 4(3):285–313
- Hossain SA, Haque N, Kumar M, Sontakke UB, Tyagi AK (2011) Mycotoxin residues in poultry product: their effect on human health and control. *Wayamba J Anim Sci* 2011:92–96
- Kana JR, Gnonlonfin BGJ, Harvey J, Wainaina J, Wanjuki I, Skilton RA, Tegua A (2013) Assessment of aflatoxin contamination of maize, peanut meal and poultry feed mixtures from different agroecological zones in Cameroon. *Toxins* 5:884–894
- Kayode OF, Sulyok M, Fapohunda SO, Ezekiel CN, Krska R, Oguntona CRB (2013) Mycotoxins and fungal metabolites in groundnut- and maize-based snacks from Nigeria. *Food Addit Contamin Part B Surveill* 6(4):294–300

- Kehinde MT, Oluwafemi F, Itoandon EE, Orji FA, Ajayi OI (2014) Fungal profile and aflatoxin contamination in poultry feeds sold in Abeokuta, Ogun State, Nigeria. *Nig Food J* 32:73–79
- Kotinagu K, Mohanamba T, Kumari LR (2015) Assessment of aflatoxin B<sub>1</sub> in livestock feed and feed ingredients by high-performance thin layer chromatography. *Vet World* 8:1396–1399
- Labuda R, Parich A, Veikru E, Tancinova D (2005) Incidence of fumonisins, moniliformin and *Fusarium* species in poultry feed mixtures from Slovakia. *Ann Agric Environ Med* 12:81–86
- Malachová A, Sulyok M, Beltrán E, Berthiller F, Krska R (2014) Optimization and validation of a quantitative liquid chromatography–tandem mass spectrometric method covering 295 bacterial and fungal metabolites including all regulated mycotoxins in four model food matrices. *J Chromatogr A* 1362:145–156
- Njobeh PB, Dutton MF, Aberg AT, Haggblom P (2012) Estimation of multi-mycotoxin contamination in South African compound feeds. *Toxins* 4:836–848
- Oluwafemi F, Kehinde MT, Elegbede FF, Alfa OM, Dike CC (2009) Determination of aflatoxin levels in commercial poultry feeds sold in some parts of southwestern Nigeria. *J Nat Sci Engr Tech* 8:34–41
- Oyedele OA, Ezekiel CN, Sulyok M, Adetunji MC, Warth B, Atanda OO, Krska R (2017) Mycotoxin risk assessment of consumers of groundnut in domestic markets in Nigeria. *Int J Food Microbiol* 25: 24–32
- Rodrigues I, Naehrer K (2012) A three-year survey on the worldwide occurrence of mycotoxins in feedstuffs and feeds. *Toxins* 4:663–675
- Shareef AM (2010) Molds and mycotoxins in poultry feeds from farms of potential mycotoxicosis. *Iraqi J Vet Sci* 24(1):17–25
- Shephard GS (2008) Determination of mycotoxins in human foods. *Chem Soc Rev* 37:2468–2477
- Streit E, Nahrer K, Rodrigues I, Schatzmayr G (2013a) Mycotoxin occurrence in feed and feed raw materials worldwide: long-term analysis with special focus on Europe and Asia. *J Sci Food Agric* 93(12): 2892–2899
- Streit E, Schwab C, Sulyok M, Naehrer K, Krska R, Schatzmayr G (2013b) Multimycotoxin screening reveals the occurrence of 139 different metabolites in feed and feed ingredients. *Toxins* 5:504–523
- Sulyok M, Berthiller F, Krska R, Schuhmacher R (2006) Development and validation of a liquid chromatography/tandem mass spectrometric method for the determination of 39 mycotoxins in wheat and maize. *Rapid Commun Mass Spectrom* 20:2649–2659
- Warth B, Parich A, Atehnkeng J, Bandyopadhyay R, Schuhmacher R, Sulyok M, Krska R (2012) Quantitation of mycotoxins in food and feed from Burkina Faso and Mozambique using a modern LC-MS/MS multitoxin method. *J Agric Food Chem* 60(36):9352–9363
- Zinedine A, Manes J (2009) Occurrence and legislation of mycotoxins in food and feed from Morocco. *Food Control* 20:334–344