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Figure 2

(A) Viscosity (Pa·s) vs. Shear stress (Pa) for various HEC loadings:
- 1% HEC
- 1.5% HEC
- 2% HEC
- 2.5% HEC
- 3% HEC

(B) Viscosity (Pa·s) vs. Shear stress (Pa) for various HPMC loadings:
- 1% HPMC
- 1.5% HPMC
- 2% HPMC
- 2.5% HPMC
- 3% HPMC

(C) Viscosity (Pa·s) vs. HEC loading (%):
- $R^2 = 0.9801$

(D) Viscosity (Pa·s) vs. HPMC loading (%):
- $R^2 = 0.9515$