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Abstract

Sum-product networks are a popular family of probabilistic graphical models that allow for the explicit representation of context-specific independence [9] while retaining efficient marginal inference [7, 10]. An SPN encodes an arithmetic circuit [3]: internal nodes perform (weighted) sums and multiplications, while leaves represent variable assignments (or marginal distributions of continuous variables). SPNs can be seen as a class of mixture of univariate distributions with tractable inference [4, 8, 11].

SPNs learned from data may generalise poorly and produce unreliable and overconfident conclusions. When variables are categorical, Credal Sum-Product Networks (CSPNs), a class of imprecise probability models, can be used to perform a (computationally efficient) robustness analysis of SPNs for classification [6, 5, 2, 1]. However, often real-world data comes with both discrete and continuous variables, which can be used to infer an SPN. We extend CSPNs towards domains with continuous variables. A CSPN is an SPN where the weights associated with sum nodes (i.e., the numerical parameters of the model) are allowed to vary inside a closed and convex set. Continuous variables are represented in leaf nodes and are assumed to be normally distributed. An experimental analysis is conducted using data from a major online retailer, where the goal is to discriminate between fraudulent and legitimate orders. This is a multi-million market and frauds can be very costly.

2. Continuous and categorical CSPNs

The evaluation of an SPN (i.e., the computation of its value) for a given configuration of variables can be performed by a bottom-up message propagation scheme whereby each node sends to its parent its value. Leaf nodes send a density value (continuous variables) or the result of the indicator function (categorical variables). The whole procedure takes linear time and space. Conditional probabilities for categorical variables can be obtained in linear time by evaluating the network for each value of the query variable and the given evidence (then normalising the result). For CSPNs, more intricate algorithms have been devised to compute the expectation of any function over a single categorical variable. They can be adapted to handle continuous variables, since the propagation of density values is similar to the propagation of probability values. Therefore, the only required adaptation regards the new leaf nodes, which is an easy modification, while the procedure in the internal nodes remains the same as for categorical CSPNs [5].

Theorem 1 Computing lower conditional expectations of a function over a categorical variable in CSPNs with both categorical and continuous variables takes at most polynomial time when each internal node has at most one parent.

Because of that, credal classification (i.e., computing the set of non-dominated classes) can be done in polynomial time too. On par with previous work [5], we use CSPNs as means to define the robustness level of an issued classification as the most imprecise CSP (based on contamination of the weights) for which a single class is non-dominated.

3. Case Study

Currently all orders placed at a major online retailer are evaluated through sophisticated hand-crafted business rules. The business rules can result in three outcomes: approval, outright cancellation, and manual review. A team of business analysts works around the clock to approve or cancel
Figure 1: Graphs show cover, that is, the percentage of the cases that were classified if one only classify the cases with measure (either probability or robustness value) above the given threshold, and accuracy over those cases. The final part of the curves is non-monotonic likely due to small sample size (very low cover).

The orders that were flagged for manual review. For this case study, 36707 orders were collected and analysed, each of which was flagged for manual review by the business rules. For each of these orders, we collected the variables utilised by the existing expert system, the payment data for those orders, and the customer support data. This effort resulted in a total of 109 features. From those orders, the business analysts approved 18739 (51%), while 17968 (49%) were determined to be fraudulent and subsequently cancelled. The orders were labelled as follows: true positive: cancelled by analyst without customer complain; false positive: cancelled by analyst, but the customer contacted customer support with reasonable explanation; true negative: approved and subsequently paid for; false negative: approved, but not paid for (incurring loss to the company).

We selected 24 most important features to build an SPN, including one continuous (price) and 23 Boolean variables. The SPN was learned using the procedure of [4], with the exception that independence tests are performed using one of Chi-square, Kruskal-Wallis or Kendall (according to the variables involved), clustering is done with the Gower distance (so as to take into account both categorical and continuous variables), and leaves related to continuous variables forced to be normally distributed. Then the robustness value is calculated per testing instance using the same approach as in [6]. Both robustness and probability of most probable class are used in order to discriminate the quality of the predictions. Figure 1 shows the results obtained by issuing a classification only when the model output is deemed robust, that is, either the probability value of the SPN (first row of graphs) or the robustness value from the CSPN (second row of graphs) for that particular instance was above a threshold (all possible thresholds are plotted). This is equivalent to saying that we refrain from guessing for those cases of greater indecisiveness. Based only on probabilities, no value of threshold would lead to classification results as accurate as the business analyst. On the other hand, using robustness from CSPNs, if we only issued a decision when robustness is above the threshold of 0.1, then the model would the same performance as the analyst and would cover (that is, issue predictions) for about 15% of all orders. This can potentially benefit the company by reducing the time required to analyse orders flagged for review. This is a promising preliminary study of CSPNs with continuous and categorical variables. Such capability extends the applicability of CSPNs to many new domains.
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