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Polarization dependence of angle-resolved photoemission with submicron spatial resolution reveals emerging one-dimensionality of electrons in NbSe$_3$
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I. INTRODUCTION

Recent developments—e.g., in the field of cuprates [1–6] and pnictides [7,8]—prompt us to seriously consider whether, in an ordered phase, the electrons can self-differentiate in such a way that only some of them develop an order and coexist [9–11] with the remaining nongapped carriers [12]. This scenario is of uttermost importance in materials with reduced dimensionality where interactions can particularly easily lead to new exotic instabilities [13–15], but these systems are both fragile with respect to dimensional crossover [16,17], and prone to coupling to lattice vibrations. This is unfortunate, because they completely preempt all exciting non-Fermi liquid phenomena which could take place at the lowest energies [18–20].

Materials where distinct carriers independently governed by each of these perturbations can potentially coexist, give us an opportunity to overcome this long-standing stalemate. Here we report angle-resolved photoemission spectroscopy measurements with sub-$\mu$m spatial resolution (nanoARPES) of NbSe$_3$, a material that has been considered a paradigm of charge order. Our new data indicate the emergence of a novel order, and reveal the one-dimensional (1D) physics hidden in a material which naively could be considered the most three dimensional of all columnar chalcogenides. The 1D physics is evidenced by a new selection rule—in two polarizations we observe two strikingly different dispersions each closely resembling apparently contradicting results of previous studies of this material.

In materials with nearly commensurate band filling the electron liquid may spontaneously separate into components with distinct properties, yielding complex intra- and interunit cell ordering patterns and a reduced dimensionality. Polarization-dependent angle-resolved photoemission data with submicron spatial resolution demonstrate such an electronic self-organization in NbSe$_3$, a compound considered to be a paradigm of charge order. The new data indicate the emergence of a novel order, and reveal the one-dimensional (1D) physics hidden in a material which naively could be considered the most three dimensional of all columnar chalcogenides.

The quasi-1D compound NbSe$_3$ has a columnar structure, shown in Fig. 1(a) [22]. It undergoes two successive CDW transitions, which affect electrons on distinct columns [23–25], at $T_{CDW1} = 145$ K with wave vector $\mathbf{q}_1 = (0, 0.241, 0)$, and at $T_{CDW2} = 59$ K, with wave vector $\mathbf{q}_2 = (0.5, 0.259, 0.5)$ [26,27]. The resulting charge ordering is not strong enough to remove the entire FS [26,28], and metallic conduction is observed even below $T_{CDW2}$. The instabilities are usually interpreted in terms of imperfect nesting of the
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warped multisheet Fermi surface (FS). However, the nature of the gapless states has proven quite elusive, with several proposals for the location of residual pockets in the Brillouin zone [28–30].

Previous ARPES experiments have reported dispersive bands and CDW-related gaps [21,31] and, more recently, possible signatures of 1D physics [32]. In our measurements an electronic self-organization, driven by interactions, is revealed by emergent polarization-dependent selection rules, which reflect the underlying 1D nature of the electronic states. The number of observed bands is also doubled with respect to a single-particle picture. The ability to zoom in on a small selected, disorder-free area of the sample was crucial to observe these features, which escaped previous investigations.

The outline of the paper is as follows. After a description of the experimental methods in Sec. II we present the experimental ARPES results in Sec. III. We illustrate our DFT+$U$ study of the material in Sec. IV and derive in Sec. V the ARPES selection rules that explain the striking polarization dependence of the ARPES data. Finally, in Sec. VI we present a consistent interpretation of our both experimental and theoretical results.

II. EXPERIMENTAL METHODS

NbSe$_3$ single crystals with typical dimensions of 0.01 $\times$ 10 $\times$ 0.05 mm$^3$ grown using the chemical vapor transport method [33] were mounted on the sample holder, as shown in Fig. 2. Samples were cleaved in situ in an ultrahigh vacuum (UHV) system at room temperature to expose the $bc$ plane. As detailed below, with the help of the spatial photoemission scanning system it was possible to focus the beam on one of the best cleaved NbSe$_3$ whiskers.

We performed nanoARPES measurements with the $k$ microscope of the ANTARES beamline at the SOLEIL synchrotron [34,35], with spatial and energy resolution of 100 nm and, respectively, 20 meV. The microscopy capabilities of the experimental end station are schematically depicted in Fig. 3. A photon beam of variable energy and polarization is focused on a sub-$\mu$m spot by means of a Fresnel zone plate (ZP). An order sorting aperture (OSA) removes undesirable higher-order diffraction effects. The sample is mounted on a high-precision scanning stage with five degrees of freedom comprising linear and angular motion. Piezoscanners and an interferometric control of the sample and ZP positions guarantee zero backlash and enable sub-$\mu$m accuracy and reproducibility as different parts of the sample are scanned through the beam. All these parts ensure spatial resolution of the acquired data without sacrificing any of the qualities of conventional ARPES. Images of the sample chemical composition and angle-resolved valence band states at a sub-$\mu$m scale may be acquired by the synchronized scanning of the sample with respect to the focused beam, while the electron analyzer collects photoelectrons of a selected kinetic energy.

Spatially resolved Se 3$d$ intensity scans were obtained at different lateral scales and shown in Figs. 4(a) and 4(b). The integrated intensity of the Se 3$d$ core level spectrum is represented as a function of position in a color scale, yielding a “real-space” mapping of NbSe$_3$ micrometric fibers. Figure 4(c) shows the Se 3$d$ core level spectrum acquired in a high-intensity area in one of the best quality chains (red area). The solid line is the result of a fit of the spectrum with five spin-orbit doublets. The complex line shape, which reflects inequivalent Se sites, is a direct indication of the quality of the photoemission data acquired with sub-$\mu$m lateral resolution.

III. ARPES RESULTS: POLARIZATION DEPENDENT BAND DISPERSION

ARPES measurements of NbSe$_3$ are challenging. Crystals grow in the form of very thin whiskers, parallel to the $b$ axis, that assemble in bundles. It is thus difficult to ensure that standard ARPES results are not affected by inhomogeneities or by averaging over several domain orientations. In our experiment we took advantage of the sub-$\mu$m photon spot size to select a flat homogeneous area of a well-cleaved single crystal whisker. This is especially important for the analysis of the dependence of the spectra on the light polarization, which will be presented below. Data were collected at 100 eV photon energy with light polarized either within ($p$ polarization) or perpendicular to ($s$ polarization) the horizontal scattering plane, which contained the $b$ axis of the sample.

Figure 5 shows ARPES intensity maps measured at 55 K, below $T_{\text{CDW2}}$, along the $b^*$||$b$ axis. We used a soft median...
FIG. 3. Sub-μm ARPES experimental setup scheme. The incoming light is focused in a sub-μm region by a zone plate. The sample is mounted on a high-precision 5 degrees of freedom manipulator. Linear horizontal and linear vertical polarized light is available.

filter to remove spikes, and then symmetrized the data around \( k = 0 \). The average incoherent background, estimated from the noise level at \( k > 0.6 \text{Å} \), is monotonous and can be fitted with a Shirley function, which was then subtracted from the ARPES intensity. Curvature plots [36] extracted from Figs. 5(a) and 5(b) to enhance the visibility of the bands are shown in Figs. 5(c) and 5(e) in a smaller region around \( \Gamma \). Figures 6(a) and 6(b) show the corresponding raw, nonsymmetrized, data, and Figs. 6(c) and 6(d) the energy distribution curves (EDCs) extracted from those maps. For a quantitative analysis we fitted the line shapes of EDCs and momentum distribution curves (MDCs) extracted from ARPES intensity maps to determine the energy and wave vector of the dispersive features. The resulting peak positions are shown with different symbols in Figs. 5(d) and 5(f) and by colored tick marks on the EDCs Figs. 6(c) and 6(d).

For \( s \) polarization, in Figs. 5(c) and 5(d), we observe three parabolic bands, labeled \( A1^+, C2, \) and \( A3^+ \), near the Fermi energy (\( E_F \)). Extrapolating their dispersions to \( E_F \) yields \( k_{F1} = 0.14 \pi /b \), \( k_{F2} = 0.22 \pi /b \), and \( k_{F3} = 0.37 \pi /b \). A gap opens at \( E_F \) in band \( C2 \) owing to the change of periodicity induced by CDW1. Further analysis of the data at \( k_{F1} \) and at \( k_{F3} \) reveals a reduction of spectral weight near \( E_F \) (see, e.g., Figs. 9 and 10). This suggests the incipient opening of

FIG. 4. (a) Spatially resolved Se 3d intensity scan on NbSe3 whiskers, and (b) higher resolution scan of dashed area. (c) Se 3d core level and the result of a fit with five spin-orbit doublets.
The accurate determination of the Fermi energy is crucial to address the behavior of the electronic states affected by CDW instabilities in low-dimensional materials. The Fermi level position in Figs. 6(c) and 6(d) was determined from the Fermi cutoff of a polycrystalline gold sample. We have verified that it coincides with an internal energy reference extracted from the raw data. To this end we have quantitatively analyzed the energy-momentum dispersion of the linear band A1\(^{-}\), which provides a clear and distinct ARPES signal. We have extracted from the intensity map of Fig. 6(b) MDCs at various energies for the \(k > 0\) branch and integrated the intensity under the corresponding peak. The resulting energy-dependent momentum-integrated intensity (Fig. 7) exhibits a clear cutoff which coincides with the Fermi energy determined from the gold sample. This MDC-based \(E_F\) determination, besides being intrinsic, is largely unaffected by possible pitfalls affecting the more standard EDC-based procedure (see, e.g., Ref. [37]).

Figure 8 presents combined images of curvature plots obtained from raw data recorded with \(s\) and \(p\) polarizations. The images support the idea of distinct dispersions for the bands close to the \(E_F\) measured with the two polarizations. This is clear in particular for the A1\(^{\pm}\) bands. We also notice that A3\(^{+}\) exhibits a large gap at \(k = \pm 0.3\) \(\pi/b\) associated with the CDW1 superlattice potential. By contrast, A3\(^{-}\) exhibits a continuous, unaffected dispersion.

Figure 9 shows the second derivative of the spectral intensity with respect to the energy near \(E_F\). This procedure enhances the visibility of the band edges and therefore of the opening of energy gaps, same was used in Refs. [31,32]. In Fig. 9(a) we show extracted values of indication of a CDW1 gap (yellow arrow) and of CDW2 gaps (red arrows), where the latter one is on the edge of our resolution. Leaving the exact value of the CDW2 gap aside, it is well known that bands' dispersion backfolding is an equally good hallmark of the presence of CDW. With \(s\) polarization the wriggling of the spectral intensity underlines the hybridization of the A1\(^{+}\)C2 and C2-A3\(^{+}\) bands and reveals the backfolding produced by their anticrossing. By contrast, the A1\(^{-}\) and A3\(^{-}\) bands measured with \(p\) polarization exhibit linear dispersion and metallic behavior. Undoubtedly, the two [Figs. 9(a) and 9(b)] represent different behavior.

For a detailed assessment of bands dispersion near \(E_F\) it is convenient to adopt a symmetrization procedure that removes the perturbing effect of the Fermi-Dirac distribution function. Specifically, to an EDC measured at \(k_F\) is added a mirror image of the spectrum with respect to \(E_F\). This yields the underlying spectral function \(A(k_F, E)\) free from the Fermi-Dirac cutoff, with the usual mild assumption \(A(k_F, E) = A(k_F, -E)\). The results of this procedure applied to band A1\(^{\pm}\) at \(k_F1\) and to band A3\(^{\pm}\) at \(k_F2\) are illustrated in Fig. 10. Symmetrization of the spectra measured with \(p\) polarization yields in both cases prominent peaks centered at \(E_F\), consistent with gapless metallic behavior. The situation is qualitatively different for the spectra measured with \(s\) polarization where, even after symmetrization, one observes a nearly constant intensity at \(k_F3\), and even a dip at \(k_F1\). There is no direct evidence of CDW2 gaps, which have been estimated at \(\approx 20\) meV from low-temperature ARPES data (following the same procedure as Ref. [31]). However, one must consider that our data have

![Image](./image.png)

**FIG. 5.** ARPES intensity maps \((h\nu = 100\) eV, \(T = 55\) K) measured along the \(b'\parallel b\) axis \((\pi/b = 0.89\) Å) with (a) \(s\) polarization and (b) \(p\) polarization. The inset shows the experimental geometry. The \(s\) polarization is perpendicular to the long axis (i.e., to the \(b\) axis) of the NbSe\(_3\) crystalline whiskers. The \(p\) polarization has components along the \(b\) axis and perpendicular to the \(bc\) cleavage plane. (c) Curvature plots obtained from (a) and (b), respectively. Peak positions determined from EDCs and MDCs are shown in (d) and (f). The lines are guides to the eye.

gaps due to CDW2, which were estimated at \(\approx 20\) meV by low-temperature ARPES measurements [21,31].

Data taken with \(p\) polarization, in Figs. 5(b), 5(e) and 5(f) and Figs. 6(b) and 6(d), present a quite different picture. We now observe two linearly dispersing bands, labeled A1\(^{-}\) and A3\(^{-}\), which do not correspond to any of the spectral features observed with \(s\) polarization for the same area of the single crystal, and cross \(E_F\) with no indications of CDW-related gaps. This dramatic polarization dependence suggests that two different types of carriers, separable by some selection rule, are simultaneously present in a homogenous crystal.
FIG. 6. ARPES intensity maps (raw data; $T = 55$ K; $h\nu = 100$ eV) for (a) s polarization and (b) p polarization. The collection time was 8 h for each map. (c) and (d) Energy distribution curves (EDCs) extracted from (a) and (b), respectively. Tick marks indicate peak positions of the various spectral features.

been measured close to $T_{CDW2}$, where the gap is smaller than its zero-temperature limit [38]. It would not be possible to observe a direct signature of such a reduced gap opening with our experimental resolution. Nevertheless, the symmetrized spectra of Fig. 10 suggest an underlying incipient gap, consistent with results shown in Fig. 9 and previous tunneling transport [38], optical spectroscopy [28,39], and spectral [31,32] properties of NbSe$_3$. Theoretical prediction for the spectral function (without finite resolution broadening and in-gap states included) have been proposed in Ref. [40] where (in Fig. 2 therein) we observe that even very moderate temperature produces a broad EDC with rather soft gap.

The differences illustrated in Fig. 10 support the claim that the spectral features observed with different polarizations can be attributed to different bands with distinct near-$E_F$ properties. This conclusion is strengthened by differences in the MDCs line shapes for the two polarizations. The MDCs of Fig. 11 were extracted for $k > 0$ 30 meV below $E_F$, i.e., close to $E_F$, and yet sufficiently far to prevent artifacts due to the finite temperature and experimental resolution. Both line shapes exhibit peaks corresponding to bands $A1^\pm$ and $A3^\pm$. Band $C2$ is visible as a distinct feature with s-polarized light but, because of matrix elements, only as a shoulder to $A1^-$ for p polarization. A comparison of the two MDCs demonstrates that the momenta of the $A1$ and $A3$ ARPES features are not the same in the two polarizations, and that therefore they must be attributed to different bands.

A further analysis of the p-polarization ARPES data reveals an even more complex picture. Figure 12 presents fits of MDCs extracted at selected binding energies. Best fits are obtained when two peaks, with $k$-dependent separation, are used to fit the $A3^-$ band. They are labeled $A3_{h}$ (dark orange)
and A3\(^{-}\) (light orange). A possible interpretation can be made in terms of 1D signatures of spin-charge separation and will be discussed elsewhere [41]. The MDC fits confirm the presence of the C2 band, visible as a satellite of the more intense A1\(^{-}\) band.

IV. DFT STUDY

As a first step to interpret our data we performed (GGA+U) DFT calculations. Compared to previous DFT calculations on this material [21], the inclusion of a local interaction term \(U\) allows us to better differentiate Nb atoms with formally different oxidation states. The main results are shown in Fig. 13. The present calculations were carried out using a numerical atomic orbital density functional theory (DFT) approach [42,43], which was developed for efficient calculations in large systems and implemented in the SIESTA code [44,45]. We have used the generalized gradient approximation (GGA) to DFT and, in particular, the functional of Perdew, Burke, and Ernzerhof [46]. Only the valence electrons are considered in the calculation, with the core being replaced by norm-conserving scalar relativistic pseudopotentials [47] factorized in the Kleinman-Bylander form [48]. We have used a split-valence triple-\(\zeta\) basis set including polarization orbitals with an energy shift of 50 meV for all atoms [49]. The energy cutoff of the real space integration mesh was 250 Ry. An on-site Coulomb energy (\(U\)) of 4 eV has been taken into account within the rotationally invariant DFT+\(U\) approach of Dudarev \textit{et al.} [50]. The Brillouin zone was sampled using grids of (8 \(\times\) 8 \(\times\) 8) and (30 \(\times\) 30 \(\times\) 30) \(k\) points [51] for
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**Fig. 7.** Energy-dependent ARPES intensity in the \(k > 0\) branch of the A1\(^{-}\) band, obtained by integrating the corresponding peak in momentum-distribution curves (MDCs) extracted from Fig. 6(b). The inflection point determines the Fermi level position.
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**Fig. 8.** (a) Comparison of curvature plots obtained from data measured with \(s\) polarization (green) and \(p\) polarization (red). (b) A closeup of (a) near the Fermi level.
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**Fig. 9.** (a) Second derivative (with respect to energy) of the ARPES intensity measured with \(s\) polarization. (b) Corresponding raw data measured in \(s\) polarization. Both (a) and (b) evidence the backfolding resulting from the hybridization of bands A1\(^{+}\), A2\(^{+}\), and C2. The gap amplitudes (arrows) can be estimated from the distance between the \(E_F\) and the edge profile. (c) Second derivative with respect to energy of the ARPES intensity measured with \(p\) polarization. The spectral intensity exhibits a gapless behavior and a linear dispersion with no backfolding evidences. The dashed wriggling line shown on each panel follows the \textit{inflection} point of the spectral intensity at the vicinity of \(E_F\) in the \(s\) polarization.
determination of the density matrix and the Fermi surface, respectively. The x-ray crystal structure at 298 K was used in the calculations [22]. The calculated band structure at 298 K as well as its fat band analysis are reported in Figs. 13 and 14, respectively. The calculated Fermi surface as well as its fat band analysis are reported in Figs. 13 and 14, respectively. The calculated Fermi surface as well as several particular sections are shown in Fig. 15. The band structure confirms that the A1+, A3+, and C2 bands observed with s polarization are associated with crystal columns A and C. The calculated $k_F$ values are in good agreement with the measured data. The two bands labeled C2 are degenerate near $E_F$ as seen in the ARPES experiment where they appear as a single band, C2, implying a very small hybridization within a pair of C columns. The bands crossing $E_F$ mainly derive from d orbitals of a given Nb atom, but the d weight is never more than 50%, a rather different situation from, e.g., transition metal oxides. This is a manifestation of the covalent character of the Nb-Se bonds and implies that the electron clouds are not locked on the Nb d orbitals, and can be deformed by the CDW potential. The band dispersion has quasi-1D character, but the anisotropy is moderate: the calculated bandwidth is $W_b = 2.5$ eV along the b axis and up to 250 meV along perpendicular directions. Previously proposed band structures [21,29,30] hold also when local correlations are included, but $U$ shifts band B above $E_F$. This is consistent with scanning tunneling microscopy measurements [25] where a significant spectral weight appears just above $E_F$, and also with our experiment, where no extra band is detected close to the $\Gamma_1$ point. By contrast, the sample of Ref. [32] appears to be slightly electron doped, possibly owing to a small off-stoichiometry. Theoretical DFT GGA band calculations including finite $U = 4$ eV. The bands can be associated with their experimental counterparts in Fig. 5 by comparing their respective Fermi wave vectors. The energy zero corresponds to the Fermi level. $\Gamma = (0, 0, 0), Y = (1/2, 0, 0), Z = (0, 1/2, 0), B = (0, 0, 1/2), \text{and } D = (0, 1/2, 1/2)$ in units of the monoclinic reciprocal lattice vectors.
V. EMERGENT SYMMETRY RULES

From the DFT results one could infer that, at temperatures smaller than the band dispersion, electrons should move coherently in the crystal in all directions, and in particular perpendicular to the chains. Then the symmetry of the electronic system would be that of the \( \text{P}_{2_{1}}/\text{m} \) monoclinic space group of NbSe\(_{3}\), with only two mirror symmetry planes, both parallel to the \( ac \) plane. Since the scattering plane in our experiment is perpendicular to these symmetry planes, no definite polarization selection rule [52] should apply and one should thus observe identical dispersions for both polarizations [53], albeit with very different intensity. This is in stark contrast with the results of Fig. 5. In this section we introduce the concept of selection rules in ARPES (Sec. VA), then briefly discuss the result that would have been observed if the system were an usual 3D material (Sec. VB), then develop symmetry rules for the 1D case (Sec. VC), and analyze the outcome separately for each column (Secs. VD and VE). We conclude that the experimental data imply that, in contrast to the DFT results, the coherent motion of the electrons within the \( ac \)-plane is inhibited, endowing NbSe\(_{3}\) with a stronger 1D character than anticipated.

A. Definitions

ARPES is a probe where a high frequency electromagnetic wave suddenly removes an electron from a given electronic orbital. We probe the propagation (and subsequent recombination) of an excited photohole. The ARPES intensity is approximately given by (see, e.g., [54])

\[
I(\vec{A}, \vec{q}, \omega) = |M_{fi}(\vec{A})|^2 \delta(\vec{q}, \omega) f(\omega),
\]

where \( \omega \) and \( q \) are, respectively, the energy and the in-plane momentum deposited by the photon, and \( \vec{A} \) is the vector potential of the photon field. \( \delta(\vec{q}, \omega) \) is the one-particle spectral function, and \( f \) is the Fermi factor [55]. \( |M_{fi}(\vec{A})|^2 \) is the matrix element we will focus on in this section. It depends on the shape of the initial and final states and the photon polarization.

The matrix elements are defined between one-body wave functions in the initial and final state:

\[
M_{fi}(\vec{A}) = \langle \psi_f | \vec{A} \cdot \hat{P} | \psi_i \rangle,
\]

where \( \hat{P} \) is the momentum operator. Typically \( |\psi_f\rangle \) will have the symmetry of a plane wave whose wave vector \( \vec{k}_f \) defines, together with the incident photon wave vector, the scattering plane. In the following we denote it \( \sigma_l \). The polarization of the incident light can thus be perpendicular to this plane (\( s \) polarization; \( \vec{A} \perp \sigma_l \)) or within the plane \( \sigma_l \) (\( p \) polarization). Note that if we choose a gauge with zero scalar potential we can rewrite the interaction term as \( \vec{E} \cdot \vec{R} \), where \( \vec{R} \) is the position operator. This makes the symmetries of (2) more transparent,
especially if one rewrites the states as real space wave functions \(|\psi_i\rangle \equiv \psi_i(r)\) and integrates over space to obtain \(M_{ij}(\hat{A})\).

In the following we use a shorthand bra-ket notation \([e, o]\) to indicate an even/odd state with respect to the plane \(\sigma_i\). The final state \(|\psi_f\rangle\) is always even, thus \(|e\rangle\), because it can be expressed as an even parity plane-wave state on the detector’s surface [52]. Therefore, we have two possibilities depending on the polarization. For \(s\) polarization the initial state \(|\psi_i\rangle\) must be odd with respect to the plane \(\sigma_i\) to make the overall space integral \(M_{ij} \sim \langle e|o|o\rangle \neq 0\). On the contrary, for \(p\) polarization the initial state \(|\psi_i\rangle\) must be even.

In most systems, electron hopping can be considered reasonably isotropic, and the electronic states are well represented by Wannier functions, which are coherent superpositions in the three directions of the local orbitals. \(|\psi_j\rangle\) is a quantum coherent sum of these local entities, which we need to sum to get the \(M_{ij}\). Actually, this summation allows us to pick a state with a well defined quasimomentum inside a crystal. We see that, in order to have a well defined symmetry, the plane \(\sigma_i\) must coincide with a true symmetry plane \(\Sigma\) of the 3D crystal lattice [52]. Then the bands observed in \(s\) and \(p\) polarization can be different. If this is not the case, then there is no symmetry protection and there will be in general a mixing of the two signals. In the NbSe3 crystal lattice, where the space group is \(P2_1/m\), there are two possible \(\Sigma\) planes, both parallel to the \(ac\) plane [see Fig. 1(a)], thus perpendicular to the \(\sigma_1\) plane. We conclude that, if the system could be reasonably described as a three-dimensional material, the spectra should be essentially independent of light polarization.

B. Rough selection rules

Note that in addition to the symmetry selection rules above, the strength of interaction with light does depend on the shape of the orbitals which are at the root of the Wannier functions. From (2) we see that the amplitude is expected to be larger, the electric dipole moment \(\mathcal{Q}_j\) bigger, when the transition involves a \(j\) orbital that is extended in the direction given by \(\vec{E}\). The orbitals spread along the \(c\) axis are likely to be led to larger visibility with the \(s\)-polarized light, while those which have a large cross section within the \(\sigma_i\) plane will be more visible in the \(p\)-polarized light. From this we deduce that the \(p_y\) component of Se occupancy (and a minor \(d_{xy}\) component of Nb occupancy as well) will favor interaction with \(s\)-polarized light while all other components with \(p\)-polarized light.

Such “rough selection rules” are based on a single electron 3D description. Both tight binding and DFT result yield the atomic content for each electronic band near the Fermi level. It is therefore possible to predict their visibility according to this rough selection rule. There are two tests that we can run against our experimental data: one qualitative and one quantitative. Quantitatively, we notice that the \(p_y\) component is about half of the total Se (for atoms on short bond) occupancy while the probability of finding one electron from the A1/3 band on Se atom is approximately \(1/3\) (note that apical Se atoms have less \(p_y\) content). Hence the ratio of intensities of \(s\) vs \(p\) polarization should be (at most) \((10.5 \times 0.3(3) + 0.1 \times 0.6(6))²/\{(1 - (10.5 \times 0.3(3) + 0.1 \times 0.6(6)))²\} = 0.058\) (and here we added an extra 10% \(d_{xy}\) component of Nb occupancy). We conclude that, if we were observing the same 3D state in both polarizations, then such state would be visible above the noise level only in one polarization, in clear contrast with the result of our experiment. This prediction clearly contrasts with the experimentally observed ratio \(\approx 0.5\). Actually, since the beamline throughput is larger for \(p\) polarization, the intrinsic ratio is even closer to \(1.0\) (i.e., equal intensities for both polarizations).

Qualitatively, one observes a substantial drop in the intensity of the A3 band at binding energy \(0.25\) eV, but only in \(s\) polarization. The orbital components do not exhibit any anomaly for the corresponding momenta. For \(k_s, k_p \approx 0\) we see only a very weak dependence of orbital content on momentum and nearly identical orbital content for the A1 and A3 bands (in accordance with an intuitive two-leg ladder model proposed below). Actually, no sensible model for lattice hybridization could explain a sudden variation of A3 content without any visible change of A1 content.

Finally, one could point out that the different sensitivities of different atoms to light at a given energy should be taken into account. Indeed, in our case there is a larger photoionization cross section for the Se atomic sites, but at the same time the hybridization along the \(b\) axis is much stronger than along the \(c\) axis (note that for the moment, for the sake of argument we are considering 3D system, but it is an anisotropic 3D system) hence the elongation is larger along the former direction. It is safe to assume that these two secondary effects compensate each other.

C. New (1D) selection rules

The summation that defines \(|\psi_i\rangle\) is done over a quantum coherent part of the electron liquid. Thus new possibilities for \(\Sigma\) arise in a quasi-one-dimensional material. If the hopping between one-dimensional entities, which will be discussed below, is small compared to the inverse timescale of the ARPES process \(1/\tau \ll \hbar\omega\), hopping in the direction perpendicular to the chains is essentially incoherent [16,17,56]. In this high-energy limit the electron liquid is then in a 1D regime. At low energy the gaps \(\Delta_{1,2}\) that appear in the spectrum (see [57]) also prevent single particle hopping between chains. Thus, in both limits the electronic waves perpendicular to the \(b\) axis are incoherent. In that case, the matrix element in (2) must be computed for a single entity only, and thus the symmetry is based on a wave function for a single entity. One could think naively that the entities to be considered are the chains themselves, in which case any plane that would contain the columns [see Fig. 1(a)] could be a good symmetry plane. However, one should not neglect the col.A(1)-col.A(2) hybridization, which manifests itself as a splitting of the \(b1/b3\) bands. The symmetry plane \(\Sigma\) must accommodate these strong links, and a plane perpendicular to the \(bc\) plane must be chosen. This plane coincides with the scattering plane \(\sigma_i\). We thus find that in the 1D regime \(\Sigma = \sigma_i\) and that the selection rules are strictly obeyed. Let us now examine the consequences for each column or group of columns.

D. Column C

The prisms out of which col.C is built are strongly distorted. This means that the high symmetry of an ideal \(D_{3h}\)
chain, out of which the double prismatic columns are made, is lowered to C2h. The \( d_{z^2} \) orbital, which is decoupled in a \( D_{3h} \) environment, for \( C_{2h} \) crystal field contains an admixture of \( d_{x^2-y^2} \), because now \( d_{z^2} \) and \( d_{x^2-y^2} \) belong to the same, rank-1 symmetry class \( a_1 \). The two orbitals \( \text{Nb}(C)-d_{z^2} \) and \( \text{Nb}(C)-d_{x^2-y^2} \) remain split by the crystal field potential, but its effect can be effectively reduced owing to a relatively large value of the Hund coupling (one peculiarity of Nb [58]), which in a mean field treatment favors the symmetry-allowed orbital mixing.

So far we have used the primed system of coordinates, related to a local Nb atom, because the local crystal field is associated with a given Nb site, see Fig. 16. However, while the admixed orbital is the \( d_{x^2-y^2} \), in the local system of coordinates, in the system of coordinates linked with the scattering plane \( \sigma_1 \) the spatial shape of the admixed Nb \( d \) orbital is very close to a \( d_{xy} \) orbital. This is due to the tilting of the col.C prisms with respect to the bc plane (the tilt angle is \( \alpha = 43^\circ \)). This explains why C2 is visible in s polarization. Actually, the amplitude of this s polarization signal can be stronger than one could expect solely from a rather weak Nb-\( d_{xy} \) component. The Nb-Se bond has mostly a covalent character, with nearly 50% Se p spatial weight. The admixed \( d_{xy} \) has a larger overlap (than \( d_{z^2} \)) with the p-Se orbitals [including the p-Se(B)] and thus it plays a bigger role in p-d overlap integrals. These in turn determine the symmetry properties of the outer part of the \("C2-molecular orbital," the \( \psi^{C2}_l \) in our ARPES description. This expectation can be put on more solid ground. From our DFT calculation, we obtain that the p-Se part of the C2-molecular orbital is located on a short side of col.C prisms (as expected), the Se(C\(^-\)) atoms, and the Se-Se bond has mostly a \( \pi \) character, away from Nb site, thus with larger Se \( p_\sigma \) component (in a system of coordinates of Fig. 16). Then indeed the p-Se part has stronger odd character vs \( \sigma_1 \). The local part of the initial wave function corresponding to band C2, this must be convoluted with Bloch wave along b axis and antisymmetrized to get the full \( \psi^{C2}_l (\vec{r}) \), is a composite orbital:

\[
\psi^{C2}_l (\vec{r}) = \sum a_{dz} \chi_{dz} (\vec{r} - R_{\text{Nb(C)}}) + a_{dx,y} \chi_{dx,y} (\vec{r} - R_{\text{Nb(C)}}) + a_p \chi_p (\vec{r} - R_{\text{Se(C\(^-\))}}) + \cdots ,
\]

(3)

where \( \chi_j(\vec{r} - \vec{R}_0) \) is an atomic orbital \( j \) localized around a given site \( \vec{R}_0 \) and \( a_j \) are coefficients of the expansion, the Se(C\(^-\)) indicates atoms on the shorter edge of the prism. Ellipsis accounts for further p-Se contributions present on all surrounding atoms. Assuming that there is no interaction between the outgoing photoelectron and other carriers (this is at the core of the sudden approximation), then the symmetry character of the composite orbital \( \psi^{C2}_l (\vec{r}) \) is a weighted sum of the symmetry characters of its atomic constituents. The \( M_{fi} \) becomes a weighted sum of \( a_j \) coefficients: \( M_{fi} = \sum w_i a_j \), where only \( a_j \) with an appropriate symmetry contribute. Since we have linked our system of coordinates to \( \sigma_1 \) it is straightforward to establish whether a given \( \chi_j \) contributes or not for a given polarization. In the case of \( \psi^{C2}_l (\vec{r}) \) we have \( \chi_{dx} \equiv |e\rangle \), \( \chi_{dy} \equiv |o\rangle \), and \( \chi_p \equiv |\alpha\rangle \) thus one expects: \( M^0_{fi} \equiv \sum w_i d_{x^2} a_{dx} + \cdots \) and \( M^{10}_{fi} \equiv \sum w_i d_{y^2} a_{dy} + w_i p_{\alpha} + \cdots . \)

To evaluate \( M_{fi} \) we need to know the weights \( w_j = \rho_j \bar{w}_j \), where \( \rho_j \) is the already discussed geometric factor, which is certainly largest for a well delocalized orbital \( p\text{–Se}(C) \). \( \bar{w}_j \) is the bare photoionization cross section tabulated in Ref. [59]. For 100 eV the Nb 4d cross section is close to the Cooper minimum [59,60], while the Se 4p orbitals have a relatively large cross section (around eight times larger). Then, surprisingly, the Se \( p \) part enters with larger weight. As a result the band C2 has a significant odd component with respect to \( \sigma_1 \) and thus it can be well visible in s polarization.

An issue is why the even component of C2 is not well visible in p polarization, since the new 1D-selection rules and the DFT results imply that for p polarization \( M_{fi} \) is close to that of the odd part [61]. It should be noted that previous experiments, performed with different photon energies, revealed the doubly degenerated band C2 as the strongest, in accordance with the expectation from the DFT and the sudden electron approximation. Thus spectral weight is missing at the particular condition we probe. We need to search for a cause that depends on energy and on the fact that electrons are ordered. A possible explanation lies beyond the sudden approximation. For the chosen photon energy the wavelength of the excited photoelectrons matches well the distance between the nearest Nb(C) sites. This enhances photoelectrons backscattering, which reduces the ARPES signal. Mathematically, these processes would produce strong vertex corrections to \( \bar{w}_{dx} \), a coefficient that enters in \( M^{00}_{fi} \). Only the p-polarization part of C2 is strongly affected, because this is the one that originates mostly from an on-site Nb(C)-\( d_{z^2} \).

E. Column A

Contrary to the wave function \( \psi^{C2}_l \), which is localized around a given col.C, the “molecular orbitals” corresponding to well split bonding/antibonding bands A1 and A3, the \( \psi^{A1}_l \) and \( \psi^{A3}_l \), must be delocalized over an entire quartet col.A+col.B. The single column starting point cannot be taken. On the other hand, here the arrangement of most Se \( p \) bonds is perpendicular to the \( \sigma_1 \) plane. Then, also in accordance with \( \bar{w}_{\text{Nb}} < \bar{w}_{\text{Se}} \), it is more convenient to start with the Se \( p \) part of the \( \psi^{A1}_l \) and \( \psi^{A3}_l \). In particular, we focus on Se \( p-(\text{A}^-) \), the orbitals around a short link between col.A+col.B, shown as a green link in Fig. 1.
Focusing on Se $p$-$(A^{-})$ one can construct two states:
\[
\psi^{\gamma+}_i(\vec{r}) = \sum_{\gamma R_i} a_{p_i}^{\gamma+} \chi_{p_i}(\vec{r} - R_{Se(A)}) + a_{d_2}^{\gamma+} \chi_{d_2}(\vec{r} - R_{Nb(A)}) + \cdots,
\]
where the second term is implied by the $\sigma$ character of the Se-Se bonds, as determined by the $p_i$ orientation, and
\[
\psi^{\gamma-}_i(\vec{r}) = \sum_{\gamma R_i} a_{p_i}^{\gamma-} \chi_{p_i}(\vec{r} - R_{Se(A)}) + a_{d_2}^{\gamma-} \chi_{d_2}(\vec{r} - R_{Nb(A)}) + \cdots,
\]
where we take a sum over all atomic orbitals within a double unit cell that constitute a given eigenstate in real space. By reasoning along the same lines like as for $\psi^{C2}_i$, taking $\chi_{d_2} \equiv |e\rangle$, $\chi_{p_i} \equiv |a\rangle$, and $\chi_{p_{R_i}} \equiv |e\rangle$, we deduce for the $\gamma^+$ state: $M^{\gamma+}_{fi} = \sum_j w_{p_j} (a_{p_j}^{\gamma+} + a_{p_j}^{\gamma+}) + \cdots$, $M^{\gamma+}_{fi} = \sum_j w_{d_2} a_{d_2}^{\gamma+}$. Since $(a_{p_i}^{\gamma+} + a_{p_i}^{\gamma+}) > a_{d_2}^{\gamma+}$ and $w_{p_i} > w_{d_2}$ we obtain $M^{\gamma+}_{fi} \gg M^{\gamma+}_{fi}$. For the $\gamma^-$ state the selection rule is strictly obeyed: $M^{\gamma-}_{fi} = \sum_j w_{p_j} (a_{p_j}^{\gamma-} + a_{p_j}^{\gamma-}) + \cdots$, $M^{\gamma-}_{fi} = 0$. One can also invert the reasoning to realize that we have one initial state that interacts strongly with $s$-polarized light, while the other only with $p$-polarized light. It is then quite reasonable to expect that a photohole created by $s$-polarized light $|\psi^+_i\rangle$ will have a large overlap with only one eigenstate, the $\gamma^+$ shown in Fig. 17, that is $\langle \psi^+_i | \psi^+_i \rangle \approx 1$ and by analogy $\langle \psi^+_i | \psi^-_i \rangle \approx 1$. Then one observes a double dispersion of bands A1, A3 because the two different initial states are probed with the two different polarizations.

VI. THEORETICAL INTERPRETATION

In the previous section we showed that ARPES selection rules can emerge due to the one-dimensional character of the material. We now need to check if the peculiar physics of NbSe$_3$ can be captured in this situation. Obviously, the standard nesting scenario for the lower CDW transition, based on a warped 2D Fermi surface, must be abandoned. Note that such nesting scenario was already hardly compatible with results from x-ray diffuse scattering [62]. It has been proposed already long ago [27] that phase transitions in NbSe$_3$ must be considered from the perspective of strong interactions, but so far experiments managed to avoid, albeit sometimes narrowly [21], to tackle this admittedly very complex theoretical issue. However, any nesting-based weak coupling analysis could hardly explain the observed doubling of the bands. Therefore, an interpretation of our results cannot avoid correlations (due to interactions) that are only partly taken into account in DFT calculations.

In order to see how interactions and low dimensionality could affect the DFT results, we first consider bands C2, which are degenerate and nearly commensurate, and hence act as a doublet of 1D chains, prone to a Peierls distortion. Indeed the C2 dispersion is clearly backfolded, an effect of the CDW transition.

with wave vector $q_1$, for which a charge distortion is known to be located mostly on C columns [23,25,27]. The 1D character of this transition manifests itself in an anomalously large ratio $(\Delta_1/k_B T_{CDW1}) = 6.7$ [57]. The CDW1 transition thus partitions the rest of the electronic liquid into 1D subsystems [63] located on quartets of A+B columns, where the charge is predominantly on column A. Each pair of A columns acts as a two-leg ladder system, as shown in Fig. 17.

Such 1D substructure would be at the root of the observed polarization dependence. A complete calculation, taking into account the full ladder structure and its possible instabilities [15,64–66], would be beyond the scope of the present paper and will be done elsewhere [67]. We offer here a plausible scenario, compatible with the experimental data. Let us denote by $\psi_{A1}^+(r)$ the wave function corresponding to a DFT band A1$^+$. Let us assume a spontaneous dimerization in the $ac$ plane, such transition is permitted since a system of mutually exclusive weak-strong bonds is in essence a 2D Ising model, so a discrete symmetry is broken upon transition. The transition is bringing closer two A-B blocks as in Fig. 17(a),

\[
\begin{align*}
\psi_{A1}^+ & = \sum_{\gamma R_i} a_{p_i}^{\gamma+} \chi_{p_i}(r) + a_{d_2}^{\gamma+} \chi_{d_2}(r) + \cdots, \\
\psi_{A1}^- & = \sum_{\gamma R_i} a_{p_i}^{\gamma-} \chi_{p_i}(r) + a_{d_2}^{\gamma-} \chi_{d_2}(r) + \cdots.
\end{align*}
\]

FIG. 17. (a) $ac$ plane cross section showing schematically the perpendicular dimerization and emergent self-organization. Yellow dots represent col.C where CDW1 takes place. Pairs of red-blue rectangles linked by a thick black line are doublets of A+B columns. The perpendicular dimerization is indicated by the dashed lines. (b) Model band structure of rehybridized $\gamma^+$ states showing the proximity of an interband A$^+$ induce B$^+$ backscattering (red arrows) to the CDW1 wave vector $q_1$ (blue arrow). The color scale identifies the “hot spot” (c) (schematic) bonding $\gamma^+$ and antibonding $\gamma^-$ orbitals have a different spatial extension. Their amplitude is indicated by the strength of turquoise shading. Red and blue color indicates the phase of the involved $p$ orbitals. The orientation of a $p$ orbital determines the direction in which the resulting $\psi_{A1}^+$ will be extended. $\gamma^+$ ($\gamma^-$) exhibits odd (even) character vs the $\sigma$ plane indicated by the dashed line.
then the $\psi_{A1}(r)$ orbital will deform, leading to bonding and antibonding combinations, denoted $\gamma^{\pm}$ in Fig. 17(c). These two combinations have very different interactions with the inner C column, which carries the periodic charge modulation associated with CDW1. For the bonding states $\psi_{\gamma^{+}}(r)$ the local coupling, due to the emerging overlap between $\psi_{\gamma^{+}}(r)$ and the electronic orbitals on C columns, should thus enhance inter-band scattering with large momentum exchange [Fig. 17(b)]. The perpendicular deformation is energetically favorable because it allows to harvest electron-electron interaction energy available thanks to the closeness (in momentum space) of a col.A interband instability and col.C induced hot spot in the A3$^+$ dispersion, indicated by red and blue arrows in Fig. 17(b). In the experiment, this manifests itself as a significant rehybridization with the gapped band C2, an effect clearly visible in Fig. 5(c) and already noticed but not directly addressed [21].

One consequence of this scenario is that the 1D CDW2 formation is accompanied by a dimerization along the c axis. An organization in the ac plane with a chessboard pattern as in Fig. 17(a) is a natural choice to minimize Coulomb repulsion. It naturally yields the CDW2 periodicity $q_2^c = (1/4a^*, \frac{1}{4}c^*)$, which is hard to justify in a standard nesting scenario. Moreover, this ansatz directly solves several experimental riddles: the band doubling into $A1(3)^+$ and $A1(3)^-$ and their tiny splitting, the number of electrons that remain ungapped, and the presence of gapless carriers close to the $\Gamma$ point. The hopping between 1D subunits remains incoherent down to very low temperatures. In this case the effective symmetry plane for the 1D system consisting of a quartet of A+B columns must: (i) be perpendicular to the c axis, (ii) contain the $b$ axis, and (iii) cross the center of the quartet. The scattering plane $\sigma_l$ fulfills these conditions and thus the dipole selection rules emerge. The bonding states $\gamma^-$ are by construction delocalized towards column C and involve $p$-Se $\sigma$ hybridization on the short link [green line in Fig. 17(b)]. These states are antisymmetric with respect to the $\sigma_l$ plane [see Fig. 17(c)] and hence are expected to appear in s polarization, in agreement with the experiment. A $\pi$-bond component on the short bond, required to keep the total density close to the DFT solution, can be absorbed within the antibonding state $\gamma^-$. These states are symmetric (vs $\sigma_l$) and hence visible in p polarization. Moreover, since they are mostly localized in the core of the quartet and interact weakly with CDW1, they are expected to be gapless. This is what we observe in our ARPES data.

VII. DISCUSSION AND CONCLUSION

We wish to emphasize that results of all four preceding sections have to be taken altogether as a proof of the novel physics present in NbSe$_3$. In experimental part we emphasized bands’ backfolding in s-pol spectra (contrary to p-pol spectra) as evidence of partial CDW2, but actually a minimal, sufficient condition that could support our 1D scenario is a difference between the spectral functions measured in two polarizations. This has been obviously observed. The DFT+$U$ study shows a substantial agreement with experiment and in this allows us to narrow down the differences caused by further correlation effects. Furthermore, it allows for a precise estimate of ARPES intensities in two polarizations (although we use a three-step ARPES model, our confidence is strengthened by a recent study [68] which shows that within a one-step model, final state’s effects cannot cause a substantial difference at a chosen photon energy) which questions the standard Fermi-liquid scenario and favors the 1D scenario. The emerging 1D scenario indeed captures all details of our experimental data and is in fact in agreement with previous experimental findings. In particular, the new theory allows us to connect the rehybridization of bands C2 and A3$^+$, clearly visible in s-pol (but not in p-pol) and detected already before [21] (therein called “mysterious”), with the CDW gap formation, which makes the entire picture consistent.

In summary, we exploited ARPES with sub-$\mu$m lateral resolution and polarization control to study the electronic states of NbSe$_3$. We observed a strong dependence of the dispersion on the light polarization, incompatible with a simple anisotropic quasi-1D Fermi-liquid-like description of this material. Our results can be explained by a self-organization into orbitally distinct electronic systems. One set of electronic states is gapped as evidenced by backfolding of its electronic dispersion. The other exhibits one-dimensional character and remains metallic. The canonically accepted mechanism for the low-temperature CDW2 formation must be replaced by a new scenario where part of the carries couple more strongly with the preceding CDW1. Experimentally, our results demonstrate that pushing the lateral resolution of ARPES to submicron scale can lead to qualitative breakthroughs. This will be especially fruitful for studies of novel and strongly correlated materials, that were so far hindered by small crystal sizes and inhomogeneities. Theoretically, the new scenario for the CDW formation, based on self-differentiation leading to enhanced interaction with the preceding CDW, suggests a reinvestigation of other materials where similar sequences of phase transitions are present. These results open the way to new experiments searching for the emergence of hidden 1D dimensional states in strongly correlated materials.
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