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Calibrated integrated backscatter and myocardial fibrosis in patients undergoing cardiac surgery

David L Prior,1,2,3 Jithendra B Somaratne,1 Alicia J Jenkins,2 Michael Yii,4,5 Andrew E Newcomb,4,5 Casper G Schalkwijk,6 Mary J Black,7 Darren J Kelly,2 Duncan J Campbell2,3

ABSTRACT

Objective: The reported association between calibrated integrated backscatter (cIB) and myocardial fibrosis is based on study of patients with dilated or hypertrophic cardiomyopathy and extensive (mean 15–34%) fibrosis. Its association with lesser degrees of fibrosis is unknown. We examined the relationship between cIB and myocardial fibrosis in patients with coronary artery disease.

Methods: Myocardial histology was examined in left ventricular epicardial biopsies from 40 patients (29 men and 11 women) undergoing coronary artery bypass graft surgery, who had preoperative echocardiography with cIB measurement.

Results: Total fibrosis (picrosirius red staining) varied from 0.7% to 4%, and in contrast to previous reports, cIB showed weak inverse associations with total fibrosis (r=−0.32, p=0.047) and interstitial fibrosis (r=−0.34, p=0.03). However, cIB was not significantly associated with other histological parameters, including immunostaining for collagens I and III, the advanced glycation end product (AGE) N-(carboxymethyl)lysine (CML) and the receptor for AGEs (RAGE). When biomarkers were examined, cIB was weakly associated with log plasma levels of amino-terminal pro-B-type natriuretic peptide (r=0.34, p=0.03), creatinine (r=0.33, p=0.04) and glomerular filtration rate (r=−0.33, p=0.04), and was more strongly associated with log plasma levels of soluble vascular endothelial growth factor receptor-1 (sVEGFR-1) (r=0.44, p=0.01) and soluble RAGE (r=0.53, p=0.002).

Conclusions: Higher cIB was not a marker of increased myocardial fibrosis in patients with coronary artery disease, but was associated with higher plasma levels of sVEGFR-1 and soluble RAGE. The role of cIB as a non-invasive index of fibrosis in clinical studies of patients without extensive fibrosis is, therefore, questionable.

INTRODUCTION

Researchers and clinicians need a method to identify and quantify the extent of myocardial fibrosis throughout all stages of myocardial disease, so that they can study longitudinal changes in myocardial fibrosis and measure the effects of novel antifibrotic therapies, particularly in the early stages of the disease when changes may be more likely to be reversible. One non-invasive approach to measurement of myocardial fibrosis has been the echocardiographic measurement of calibrated integrated backscatter (cIB).1–7

cIB is a measure of the ultrasonic reflectivity of the myocardium relative to the high reflectivity of the pericardium and the low reflectivity of blood. cIB is correlated with histologically measured myocardial fibrosis in patients with dilated or hypertrophic cardiomyopathy and extensive fibrosis (mean 15–34% fibrosis),1–5 and it has been applied to the non-invasive assessment of myocardial fibrosis in a broad spectrum of patients, including those with the metabolic syndrome.
and type 2 diabetes. Moreover, some authors have interpreted the association of cIB with left ventricular functional abnormalities as evidence for the contribution of myocardial fibrosis to these abnormalities. There is, however, uncertainty about the relationship between cIB and fibrosis in patients with less extensive fibrosis. We have shown that left ventricular functional abnormalities of the metabolic syndrome and type 2 diabetes are not associated with increased myocardial fibrosis. In addition, factors other than fibrosis may influence cIB. cIB increases in response to acute myocardial ischaemia and mild or greater degrees of acute cardiac allograft rejection, and is associated with serum levels of the angiogenesis-related biomarker interleukin-13 (IL-13). In patients with chronic renal failure, cIB is correlated with serum creatinine and is reduced by increased hours/week of dialysis over 12 months, but not by a single dialysis session. Of note is the lack of correlation between cIB and T1 mapping techniques of myocardial fibrosis.

Given the uncertain relationship between cIB and myocardial fibrosis in patients without extensive fibrosis, we examined the relationship between cIB, myocardial fibrosis, clinical parameters and plasma levels of angiogenesis-related biomarkers in patients undergoing coronary artery bypass graft (CABG) surgery, who did not have dilated or hypertrophic cardiomyopathy.

METHODS

Patient population and data collection

The population consisted of all patients undergoing CABG surgery recruited to the St. Vincent’s Hospital Melbourne Cardiac Tissue Bank who did not have heart failure or atrial fibrillation, had a relatively preserved left ventricular systolic function, and had a detailed echocardiographic examination, including cIB measurement, a few days before surgery. Details of the Tissue Bank are described elsewhere. From each patient recruited to the Tissue Bank, an epicardial partial-thickness wedge-shaped biopsy was taken immediately after cardioplegia from a region in the lateral wall of the left ventricle near the base of the heart, between the territories of the left anterior descending and circumflex arteries that was free of any macroscopic pathology, and without evidence of ischaemia or wall motion abnormality on preoperative or intraoperative imaging studies. None of the patients had dilated or hypertrophic cardiomyopathy. This study was approved by St. Vincent’s Hospital Melbourne Human Research Ethics Committee and conforms to the principals outlined in the Declaration of Helsinki. Each participant provided written informed consent.

Details of haemodynamic measurements, and biochemical and histological methods are described in the online supplementary data.

Echocardiographic evaluation

All echocardiographic analyses were performed by the same individual who was blinded to myocardial histology, haemodynamic data and angiogenesis-related biomarker levels.

Transthoracic echocardiograms were performed with patients in the left lateral position using a VIVID 7 echocardiograph (GE Medical, Milwaukee, Wisconsin, USA). Routine M-mode, two-dimensional and Doppler images were acquired, and all images were stored in digital raw data format for subsequent offline analysis using commercially available software (EchoPAC PC, GE, Horten, Norway). All patients were in sinus rhythm and three cardiac cycles were captured for each measurement. Two-dimensional images of the left ventricle were acquired at sufficient frame rate to allow measurement of myocardial strain by speckle tracking from the four-chamber view. Chamber sizes and systolic function were measured according to the American Society of Echocardiography (ASE) guidelines. Left ventricular ejection fraction was measured using a biplane Simpson’s method. Mitral inflow and pulmonary venous flow velocities were measured using pulsed wave Doppler with the transducer positioned at the apex according to the ASE guidelines. Mitral annular velocities were measured with the transducer at the apex and with placement of the sample volume over the septal and lateral mitral annulus. Peak systolic (S′), early diastolic (E′) and late diastolic (A′) velocities were measured at the septal and lateral annulus, and averaged to provide mean annular velocities. Strain and strain rate were measured in the six-wall segments seen in the apical four-chamber view using speckle tracking within the EchoPAC software. The peak global strain and strain rate are the peak values of the instantaneous mean of all six segments. The mean peak systolic strain and strain rate are the mean of the peak values in all segments and were, therefore, not acquired at the same time due to temporal variation between segments.

cIB was measured from a parasternal long axis view with care taken to ensure the wall was perpendicular to the transducer. Myocardial signal intensity was measured at end diastole using a 4×8 mm region of interest within the mid-wall of the basal anteroseptal and the basal inferolateral wall, and from the posterior pericardium. The cIB value was calculated by subtracting the pericardial intensity from the average of the anteroseptal and basal inferolateral wall intensities, and represents the mean of two separate calculations performed 24 months apart by the same investigator with an intraclass correlation of 0.85 (95% CI 0.73 to 0.92, p<0.001). A Bland-Altman plot for the two measurements of cIB is shown in the online supplementary data.

Statistical analysis

Data are presented as means±SD for normally distributed variables and as medians with 25th and 75th centiles for variables that were not normally distributed. The
Cardiovascular therapies. Of the 40 patients, 39 (98%) were receiving aspirin, 38 (95%) were receiving statins, 32 (80%) were receiving β-blockers, 29 (73%) were receiving ACE inhibitors and/or angiotensin receptor blockers, 11 (28%) were receiving calcium antagonists, 9 (23%) were receiving thiazides or indapamide, 9 (23%) were receiving long-acting nitrates, and 2 (5%) were receiving oral furosemide. None were receiving spironolactone or eplerenone therapy.

### Cardiac morphology and function

All patients were in sinus rhythm with an ejection fraction >52% (table 2). Mean interventricular septal thickness was 11±2 mm, posterior wall thickness was 10±2 mm and left ventricular diastolic diameter was 47±6 mm. Right ventricular function was normal in all participants. Left atrial area was 23.3±4.4 cm², with 32 participants having left atrial enlargement (area >20 cm²). Mean right atrial area was 17.3±3.9 cm². Of the 39 participants in whom diastolic function could be assessed, 22 had a normal pattern, 12 had impaired relaxation and 5 had pseudonormal filling.

Mean cIB for the 40 patients was –19.5±2.4 dB and varied from –29 to –10 dB. Mean cIB did not differ between men (–19.4±3.9) and women (–19.8±3.1). There were statistically significant correlations between cIB and log plasma amino-terminal-pro-B-type natriuretic peptide (r=0.34, p<0.05).
peptide (NT-proBNP) level, plasma creatinine, estimated glomerular filtration rate (eGFR) (table 1), and mean peak late diastolic annular velocity (A') (table 2). However, cIB was not significantly correlated with plasma levels of carboxy-terminal propeptide of procollagen type I (PIP) or amino-terminal propeptides of procollagens type I and III (PINP and PIINP) (table 1).

### Myocardial histology

The median area of the myocardial sections was 4.0 (25th and 75th centiles were 2.9 and 6.8, respectively) mm². Total fibrosis, as assessed by picrosirius red staining, varied approximately 6-fold, from 0.7% to 4%, interstitial fibrosis varied 7.5-fold from 0.4% to 3%, and the perivascular fibrosis ratio varied 15-fold from 0.3 to 4.6.

cIB was weakly, but negatively correlated with log total and log interstitial fibrosis (figure 1), but was not correlated with perivascular fibrosis or any other histological parameter, including immunostaining for collagens I and III, advanced glycation end product (AGE) Nε-(carboxymethyl)lysine (CML) and the receptor for AGES (RAGE) (table 3). Moreover, none of the other echocardiographic parameters (table 2) or PIP, PINP or PIINP were significantly correlated with log total or log interstitial fibrosis (data not shown).

### Angiogenesis-related biomarkers

Of the plasma angiogenesis-related biomarkers evaluated (table 4), log soluble vascular endothelial growth factor receptor-1 (sVEGFR-1) and log soluble RAGE (sRAGE) were associated with increased cIB (figure 2), but not with capillary length density (data not shown).

None of the plasma angiogenesis-related biomarkers evaluated (table 4) were correlated with total or interstitial myocardial fibrosis except for sRAGE, which was negatively correlated with log total fibrosis and log interstitial fibrosis using non-parametric Spearman correlations (r=−0.36, p=0.04 for total fibrosis; r=−0.50, p=0.005 for interstitial fibrosis).

---

**Table 2** Echocardiographic and haemodynamic characteristics, and their correlation with calibrated integrated backscatter in 29 men and 11 women with coronary artery disease

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Variable</th>
<th>Means±SD or median (IQR) (n=30–40)</th>
<th>r</th>
<th>p Value</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Left ventricular ejection fraction (%)</td>
<td>65±7</td>
<td>0.02</td>
<td>0.91</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>E/A ratio</td>
<td>1.06±0.35</td>
<td>−0.03</td>
<td>0.87</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Mean S' (cm/s)</td>
<td>7.4±1.5</td>
<td>0.03</td>
<td>0.87</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Mean E' (cm/s)</td>
<td>7.0±1.7</td>
<td>−0.06</td>
<td>0.70</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Mean A' (cm/s)</td>
<td>9.0±1.9</td>
<td>−0.32</td>
<td>0.048</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Average E/E' ratio</td>
<td>11.2±3.5</td>
<td>0.20</td>
<td>0.22</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Peak global systolic strain (%)</td>
<td>−18.6±2.8</td>
<td>0.14</td>
<td>0.45</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Peak global systolic strain rate (s⁻¹)</td>
<td>−0.97±0.17</td>
<td>0.13</td>
<td>0.49</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Mean peak systolic strain (%)</td>
<td>−19.2±2.8</td>
<td>0.10</td>
<td>0.60</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Mean peak systolic strain rate (s⁻¹)</td>
<td>−1.21±0.44</td>
<td>−0.14</td>
<td>0.47</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Calculated integrated backscatter (dB)</td>
<td>−19.5±4.2</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Central venous pressure (mm Hg)</td>
<td>7.8±3.4</td>
<td>−0.21</td>
<td>0.20</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Pulmonary capillary wedge pressure (mm Hg)</td>
<td>10 (8–12)*</td>
<td>−0.24†</td>
<td>0.13†</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Cardiac index (L/min/m²)</td>
<td>2.6±0.6</td>
<td>0.17</td>
<td>0.30</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Data shown for 40 patients, except for strain and strain rate where n=30–31 patients.

Central venous pressure, pulmonary capillary wedge pressure, and cardiac index were measured immediately postinduction of anaesthesia.

*Median value and IQR given non-parametric distribution.
†Value derived from log-transformed data.

---

**Figure 1** Correlation of calibrated integrated backscatter (cIB) with log₁₀ total fibrosis (A) and log₁₀ interstitial fibrosis (B) in patients undergoing coronary artery bypass graft surgery, n=40. The correlations of cIB with log₁₀ total and interstitial fibrosis were also statistically significant using non-parametric Spearman correlations (r=−0.32, p=0.04 for total fibrosis; r=−0.39, p=0.01 for interstitial fibrosis).
The present study is the first to report the association between cIB and myocardial fibrosis in patients without extensive fibrosis. In contrast to previous studies of patients with dilated or hypertrophic cardiomyopathy and mean myocardial fibrosis of 15–34%, \(^1\)–\(^5\) we found that cIB was weakly but inversely associated with myocardial fibrosis of 0.7–4%, as assessed by picrosirius red staining. However, cIB was not significantly associated with other histological parameters, including

### Table 3
Left ventricular histological parameters and their correlation with calibrated integrated backscatter values in 29 men and 11 women with coronary artery disease

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Variable</th>
<th>Mean±SD or median (IQR) (n=40)</th>
<th>r</th>
<th>p Value</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Total fibrosis (%)</td>
<td>1.8 (1.2–2.6)*</td>
<td>-0.32</td>
<td>0.047†</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Interstitial fibrosis (%)</td>
<td>1.3 (0.9–1.7)*</td>
<td>-0.34</td>
<td>0.03†</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Perivascular fibrosis ratio</td>
<td>1.7 (1.1–2.3)*</td>
<td>-0.12</td>
<td>0.49†</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Arterioles/mm(^2) myocardium area</td>
<td>0.8±0.4</td>
<td>-0.02</td>
<td>0.90</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Mean arteriolar diameter (μm)</td>
<td>40±12</td>
<td>-0.17</td>
<td>0.31</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Arteriolar wall area/circumference ratio (μm(^3)/μm)</td>
<td>5.5±1.7</td>
<td>-0.07</td>
<td>0.66</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Cardiomyocyte width (μm)</td>
<td>22±3</td>
<td>-0.26</td>
<td>0.11</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Capillary length density (mm/mm(^3))</td>
<td>1106±419</td>
<td>0.11</td>
<td>0.51</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Diffusion radius (μm)</td>
<td>18±4</td>
<td>-0.12</td>
<td>0.47</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Collagen I total fibrosis (%)</td>
<td>5.0±3.9</td>
<td>0.06</td>
<td>0.74</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Collagen I interstitial fibrosis (%)</td>
<td>2.4±2.8</td>
<td>0.08</td>
<td>0.61</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Collagen III total fibrosis (%)</td>
<td>10.0±4.6</td>
<td>-0.03</td>
<td>0.86</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Collagen III interstitial fibrosis (%)</td>
<td>7.4±4.0</td>
<td>-0.02</td>
<td>0.92</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Total collagen I/III ratio</td>
<td>0.57±0.46</td>
<td>0.12</td>
<td>0.48</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Intersitial collagen I/III ratio</td>
<td>0.35±0.27</td>
<td>0.11</td>
<td>0.51</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>CML immunostaining arteriole media</td>
<td>1.6±0.8</td>
<td>0.15</td>
<td>0.36</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>CML immunostaining arteriole intima</td>
<td>1.8±0.7</td>
<td>0.07</td>
<td>0.65</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>RAGE immunostaining arteriole media</td>
<td>0.6±0.7</td>
<td>-0.14</td>
<td>0.41</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>RAGE immunostaining arteriole intima</td>
<td>1.6±0.8</td>
<td>-0.11</td>
<td>0.49</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>RAGE immunostaining capillaries</td>
<td>1.3±1.0</td>
<td>0.07</td>
<td>0.68</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

*Median value and IQR given non-parametric distribution.
†Values derived from log-transformed data; n=40, except for perivascular fibrosis where n=38, and for capillary length density and diffusion radius where n=39; arteriolar wall area/circumference ratio was measured for arterioles with diameter (average of maximum and minimum diameter of each arteriole) of 20–80 μm.
CML, N\(^\epsilon\)-(carboxymethyl)lysine; RAGE, receptor for advanced glycation end products.

### DISCUSSION
The present study is the first to report the association between cIB and myocardial fibrosis in patients without extensive fibrosis. In contrast to previous studies of patients with dilated or hypertrophic cardiomyopathy...

### Table 4
Plasma concentrations of angiogenesis-related biomarkers and their correlation with calibrated integrated backscatter values in 29 men and 11 women with coronary artery disease

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Variable</th>
<th>Mean±SD or median (IQR) (n=33–40)</th>
<th>r</th>
<th>p Value</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>VEGF-A (pg/mL)</td>
<td>22 (13–33)*</td>
<td>-0.17</td>
<td>0.34†</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>sVEGFR-1 (pg/mL)</td>
<td>90 (64–131)*</td>
<td>0.44</td>
<td>0.01†</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>sVEGFR-2 (ng/mL)</td>
<td>6.5±1.1</td>
<td>0.07</td>
<td>0.70</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Angiopoietin-1 (ng/mL)</td>
<td>3.5 (2.0–4.5)*</td>
<td>-0.30</td>
<td>0.09†</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Angiopoietin-2 (ng/mL)</td>
<td>1.4 (1.2–1.9)*</td>
<td>0.29†</td>
<td>0.10†</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Tie-1 (ng/mL)</td>
<td>36±9</td>
<td>0.04</td>
<td>0.82</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Tie-2 (ng/mL)</td>
<td>15±4</td>
<td>0.14</td>
<td>0.44</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Fibroblast growth factor basic (pg/mL)</td>
<td>6.8±2.9</td>
<td>-0.03</td>
<td>0.85</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Endostatin (ng/mL)</td>
<td>99±44</td>
<td>0.16</td>
<td>0.38</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Placental growth factor (pg/mL)</td>
<td>11±4</td>
<td>0.32</td>
<td>0.07</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Hepatocyte growth factor (pg/mL)</td>
<td>850 (622–969)*</td>
<td>0.26†</td>
<td>0.14†</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>N(^\epsilon)-(carboxymethyl)lysine (μmol/L)</td>
<td>1.8±0.6</td>
<td>0.12</td>
<td>0.46</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>LMWF (AU/mL)</td>
<td>2.3 (1.5–3.3)*</td>
<td>0.08†</td>
<td>0.61†</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>sRAGE</td>
<td>527 (456–937)*</td>
<td>0.53†</td>
<td>0.002†</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

*Median value and IQR given non-parametric distribution.
†Values derived from log-transformed data; n=40 for N\(^\epsilon\)-(carboxymethyl)lysine and LMWF levels, and n=33 for all other angiogenesis-related biomarkers.
LMWF, low-molecular-weight fluorophore; sRAGE, soluble receptor for advanced glycation end products; VEGF, vascular endothelial growth factor; sVEGFR, soluble VEGF receptor.
immunostaining for collagens I and III, CML and RAGE. In addition, cIB was weakly associated with plasma levels of NT-proBNP, creatinine and eGFR, and more strongly associated with plasma sVEGFR-1 and sRAGE levels. We previously demonstrated less myocardial fibrosis in women than in men undergoing CABG surgery,18 and increased myocardial interstitial fibrosis in patients with aortic stenosis than in patients with CABG surgery without aortic stenosis.21 The present findings suggest that higher cIB values cannot be used as a surrogate measure of greater myocardial fibrosis in patients without dilated or hypertrophic cardiomyopathy and extensive fibrosis, and that potential surrogate markers of myocardial fibrosis need to be validated in different patient populations.

Although cIB is a measure of reflectance of the ultrasound signal by the myocardium, there is uncertainty about the determinants of cIB. The cIB values we measured were similar to those reported by Jellis et al17 in a group of patients with early diabetic cardiomyopathy; their finding of only a borderline correlation between T1 values and cIB (p=0.053) is in agreement with our finding that higher cIB values were not a marker of greater fibrosis in patients without extensive fibrosis. Taken together with previous reports,1,3,5 our data suggest that the contribution of fibrosis to cIB depends on the extent of fibrosis and other factors play a greater role in determining cIB when fibrosis is less extensive. This may result in a threshold level of fibrosis below which cIB is not positively related to fibrosis, but above which it may be a useful measure. It is also possible that in previous studies, the observed relationship between cIB and fibrosis was, in fact, mediated by another property, and that this relationship was lost with less-extensive fibrosis. Our finding that cIB was associated with plasma NT-proBNP, creatinine and eGFR suggests that cIB is influenced by fluid status, and this is in agreement with previous reports that cIB is correlated with serum creatinine in patients with chronic renal failure12 and is reduced by increased hours/week of dialysis over 12 months.13

The mechanism of the associations between cIB and plasma levels of sVEGFR-1 and sRAGE is not known. Increased plasma levels of sVEGFR-1 are associated with disease severity and adverse outcomes in chronic heart failure,22 and plasma sRAGE levels are associated with coronary events and cardiovascular mortality in diabetes.23,24 Thus, the basis of the association of plasma sVEGFR-1 and sRAGE levels with cIB may be similar to the association of acute myocardial ischaemia and acute cardiac allograft rejection with cIB.9,10 sVEGFR-1 functions as a VEGF-A antagonist by preventing VEGF-A binding to VEGF-R2, the main VEGFR-mediating angiogenesis, and may thereby inhibit angiogenesis. It is also possible that the association of plasma levels of sVEGFR-1 and sRAGE with cIB may be similar to the association of acute myocardial ischaemia and acute cardiac allograft rejection with cIB.9,10 sVEGFR-1 functions as a VEGF-A antagonist by preventing VEGF-A binding to VEGF-R2, the main VEGFR-mediating angiogenesis, and may thereby inhibit angiogenesis. It is also possible that the association of plasma levels of sVEGFR-1 and sRAGE with cIB may be similar to the association of acute myocardial ischaemia and acute cardiac allograft rejection with cIB.9,10 sVEGFR-1 functions as a VEGF-A antagonist by preventing VEGF-A binding to VEGF-R2, the main VEGFR-mediating angiogenesis, and may thereby inhibit angiogenesis. It is also possible that the association of plasma levels of sVEGFR-1 and sRAGE with cIB may be similar to the association of acute myocardial ischaemia and acute cardiac allograft rejection with cIB.9,10 sVEGFR-1 functions as a VEGF-A antagonist by preventing VEGF-A binding to VEGF-R2, the main VEGFR-mediating angiogenesis, and may thereby inhibit angiogenesis. It is also possible that the association of plasma levels of sVEGFR-1 and sRAGE with cIB may be similar to the association of acute myocardial ischaemia and acute cardiac allograft rejection with cIB.9,10 sVEGFR-1 functions as a VEGF-A antagonist by preventing VEGF-A binding to VEGF-R2, the main VEGFR-mediating angiogenesis, and may thereby inhibit angiogenesis. It is also possible that the association of plasma levels of sVEGFR-1 and sRAGE with cIB may be similar to the association of acute myocardial ischaemia and acute cardiac allograft rejection with cIB.9,10 sVEGFR-1 functions as a VEGF-A antagonist by preventing VEGF-A binding to VEGF-R2, the main VEGFR-mediating angiogenesis, and may thereby inhibit angiogenesis. It is also possible that the association of plasma levels of sVEGFR-1 and sRAGE with cIB may be similar to the association of acute myocardial ischaemia and acute cardiac allograft rejection with cIB.9,10 sVEGFR-1 functions as a VEGF-A antagonist by preventing VEGF-A binding to VEGF-R2, the main VEGFR-mediating angiogenesis, and may thereby inhibit angiogenesis. It is also possible that the association of plasma levels of sVEGFR-1 and sRAGE with cIB may be similar to the association of acute myocardial ischaemia and acute cardiac allograft rejection with cIB.9,10 sVEGFR-1 functions as a VEGF-A antagonist by preventing VEGF-A binding to VEGF-R2, the main VEGFR-mediating angiogenesis, and may thereby inhibit angiogenesis. It is also possible that the association of plasma levels of sVEGFR-1 and sRAGE with cIB may be similar to the association of acute myocardial ischaemia and acute cardiac allograft rejection with cIB.9,10 sVEGFR-1 functions as a VEGF-A antagonist by preventing VEGF-A binding to VEGF-R2, the main VEGFR-mediating angiogenesis, and may thereby inhibit angiogenesis. It is also possible that the association of plasma levels of sVEGFR-1 and sRAGE with cIB may be similar to the association of acute myocardial ischaemia and acute cardiac allograft rejection with cIB.9,10 sVEGFR-1 functions as a VEGF-A antagonist by preventing VEGF-A binding to VEGF-R2, the main VEGFR-mediating angiogenesis, and may thereby inhibit angiogenesis. It is also possible that the association of plasma levels of sVEGFR-1 and sRAGE with cIB may be similar to the association of acute myocardial ischaemia and acute cardiac allograft rejection with cIB.9,10 sVEGFR-1 functions as a VEGF-A antagonist by preventing VEGF-A binding to VEGF-R2, the main VEGFR-mediating angiogenesis, and may thereby inhibit angiogenesis. It is also possible that the association of plasma levels of sVEGFR-1 and sRAGE with cIB may be similar to the association of acute myocardial ischaemia and acute cardiac allograft rejection with cIB.9,10 sVEGFR-1 functions as a VEGF-A antagonist by preventing VEGF-A binding to VEGF-R2, the main VEGFR-mediating angiogenesis, and may thereby inhibit angiogenesis. It is also possible that the association of plasma levels of sVEGFR-1 and sRAGE with cIB may be similar to the association of acute myocardial ischaemia and acute cardiac allograft rejection with cIB.9,10 sVEGFR-1 functions as a VEGF-A antagonist by preventing VEGF-A binding to VEGF-R2, the main VEGFR-mediating angiogenesis, and may thereby inhibit angiogenesis. It is also possible that the association of plasma levels of sVEGFR-1 and sRAGE with cIB may be similar to the association of acute myocardial ischaemia and acute cardiac allograft rejection with cIB.9,10 sVEGFR-1 functions as a VEGF-A antagonist by preventing VEGF-A binding to VEGF-R2, the main VEGFR-mediating angiogenesis, and may thereby inhibit angiogenesis. It is also possible that the association of plasma levels of sVEGFR-1 and sRAGE with cIB may be similar to the association of acute myocardial ischaemia and acute cardiac allograft rejection with cIB.9,10 sVEGFR-1 functions as a VEGF-A antagonist by preventing VEGF-A binding to VEGF-R2, the main VEGFR-mediating angiogenesis, and may thereby inhibit angiogenesis. There is uncertainty about the relevance of circulating collagen-derived peptides to cardiac fibrosis because these result from collagen turnover in many different tissues other than the heart.26 It is, therefore, not surprising that we found no correlation between PIP, PINP and PIINP levels and either cIB or myocardial total or interstitial fibrosis. Jellis et al17 reported that PIINP, but not PINP or PIP, was correlated with cIB; however, none were correlated with the postcontrast T1 value.

**Study limitations**

This was necessarily a cross-sectional study that provided limited information about the mechanisms of myocardial reflectivity because of the impossibility of obtaining serial myocardial biopsies, and our study was limited to 40 participants given the difficulty of obtaining myocardial biopsies in patients who were well characterised clinically, biochemically, haemodynamically and with cardiac imaging. This number of patients is, however, greater than the number studied in previous studies,1–3,5 except for the study of dilated cardiomyopathy by Mizuno et al.1 Moreover, we studied biopsies from the same subepicardial

---

**Figure 2** Correlations of calibrated integrated backscatter (cIB) with log_{10} plasma soluble vascular endothelial growth factor receptor-1 (sVEGFR-1) (A), and log_{10} plasma soluble receptor for advanced glycation end products (sRAGE) (B) in patients undergoing coronary artery bypass graft surgery, n=33. The correlations of cIB with log_{10} sVEGFR-1 and log_{10} sRAGE were also statistically significant using non-parametric Spearman correlations (r=0.41, p=0.02 for sVEGFR-1; r=0.40, p=0.02 for sRAGE).

---

region of the left ventricular myocardium in all patients and we do not know if the histology of these biopsies was representative of the regions used to estimate cIB. Although the patients had extensive coronary artery disease, we biopsied a region of myocardium that was free of ischaemia, without wall motion abnormalities; the histology of the biopsies showed no evidence of ischaemia or replacement fibrosis. We cannot exclude the possibility that myocardial interstitial and perivascular fibrosis were inhomogeneous, but our data clearly provide no support for a positive relationship between cIB and myocardial fibrosis in this patient population. Future studies are necessary to directly compare myocardial histology with alternative non-invasive methods of evaluation myocardial fibrosis, such as T1 mapping by cardiac MRI, and to investigate the relationships between cIB and plasma levels of NT-proBNP, creatinine, eGFR, sVEGFR-1 and sRAGE.

CONCLUSION
This study demonstrates that the positive association between cIB and myocardial fibrosis in patients with dilated or hypertrophic cardiomyopathy and extensive fibrosis cannot be extrapolated to patients with coronary artery disease without extensive fibrosis; by contrast, we found a weak inverse association between cIB and myocardial fibrosis in this patient population. cIB also showed weak associations with plasma levels of NT-proBNP, creatinine and eGFR, and stronger associations with plasma sVEGFR-1 and sRAGE levels. The role of cIB as a non-invasive index of fibrosis in clinical studies of patients with early myocardial disease without extensive fibrosis is, therefore, questionable.
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